R-matrix analysis of 22 Ne(α , n) 25 Mg reaction

Rajkumar Santra^a

^aDepartment of Nuclear and Atomic Physics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai-400005, India.

Abstract

The 22 Ne(α , n) 25 Mg plays an major role on neutron flux in weak s-process nucleosynthesis path in AGB stars of mass (M \ge 8M $_{\odot}$). The recent evaluation by Philip *etal*. of 22 Ne(α , n) 25 Mg reaction rate using updated nuclear data of 26 Mg from number of sources

The ²²Ne(α , n)²⁵Mg plays an major role on neutron flux in weak s-process nucleosynthesis path in AGB stars of mass (M \geq 8M₀). The recent evaluation by Philip *etal.* of ²²Ne(α , n)²⁵Mg reaction rate using updated nuclear data of ²⁶Mg from number of sources shows sizable uncertainty and significant discrepancy with literature at low temperature. Also Philip *etal.* suggested that *R*-matrix modeling will required to estimate ²⁶Mg resonance states parameters as well as study the interference patterns between distant modeling will required to estimate ²⁶Mg resonance states parameters as well as study the interference patterns between distant *R*-matrix analysis has been performed to study interference effects and constrain spin, parity of resonances by fitting the direct measurement data. The resonance states parameters of Philip *etal.* are not explain well due to strong interference between same spin, parity states. By changing spin parity of some states, experimental data are nicely explain by present *R*-matrix calculation for 0.8 to 1.45 MeV energy range. *R*-matrix *R*-matrix analysis Mimost half of the elements heavier than iron are synthesis via slow (over time scales of thousands of years) neutron capture reaction on stable isotope in s-process nucleosynthesis path rate of neutron which synthesis isotopes of mass A \approx 60 - 209. But for massive sis elements of Atomic masses A \approx 90 - 209. But for massive sis (Coor Online) *R*-matrix calculation with literature reported resonance parameters. Filed symbols represent the direct measurement data take the matrix calculation with literature reported resonance parameters. Filed symbols represent the direct measurement data take the matrix calculation with literature reported resonance parameters and the synthesi as one performed to extracted the spin, parity, parity matrix data data take the symbols represent the direct measurement data take the matrix calculation synthesis has been perevaluate (31) based unono incontrain the direct meas

and ²²Ne(α , γ)²⁶Mg reactions. Recently rate of this two reaction has been re-evaluate [3] based upon updated nuclear data from a number of sources and they suggested that an *R*-matrix modeling will required due to lack of uncertainty of spin, parity of so many relevant states of ²⁶Mg as well as interference effects same partials waves between two states.

In this context an multilevel R-matrix analysis has been performed on available cross-section data of 22 Ne(α , n) 25 Mg reaction including interference effect in energy range $E_{\alpha}^{c.m} \approx 0.8$ to 1.45 MeV range and extrapolate up to 0.57 MeV energy. Main aim is to constraining spin-parity and study interference effect of states of ²⁶Mg in excitation energy $E_x \approx 11.319$ to 11.828 MeV energy range.

Preprint submitted to Elsevier



1. R-matrix calculation

The behavior of excitation function for ${}^{22}Ne(\alpha, n){}^{25}Mg$ reaction was mainly determined by the resonance capture process through the several resonance states of compound nucleaus ²⁶Mg. In this work an *R*-matrix calculation has been performed to describe capture data and constrain the spin, parity and width of the states of ²⁶Mg.

R-matrix modeling of 22 Ne(α , n) 25 Mg reaction has been performed using AZURE2 code [8]. This code was developed based on the theory developed of Lane [9] and Thomas [9] and of Vogt [10]. In R-matrix formalism, radial space is divided into two disting regions -an internal region extended up to a

E_x	E_r	\mathbf{J}^{π}	$\Gamma_{\alpha}(eV)$	$\Gamma_n(\text{keV})$	_				
(MeV)	(MeV)	Literature [3]	Literature[3]	Literature[3]					
11.828	1.214	2+	0.18	1.1	– Table 2: S	ummary of	resonar	ce parameters obtained fro	om <i>R</i> -matrix fit.
11.7847	1.169	1-	8.0×10^{-3}	24.5 =	F	F	Iπ	Γ (eV)	Γ (keV)
11.749	1.1456	1-	0.02	64	(MeV)	(MeV)	3	$\Gamma_{\alpha}(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{v})$	$I_n(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{c},\mathbf{v})$
11.63	1.016	1-	2.4×10^{-4}	13.5 -	11 8276	$\frac{(10000)}{1214}$	2+	0.210657	1 144
11.526	0.9112	1-	4.3×10^{-4}	1.8	11.0270	1.214	$\frac{2}{0^{+}}$	0.210037 23.808285 × 10 ⁻³	17 226
11.508	0.894	1-	1.2×10^{-4}	1.27	11.764	1.109	1-	23.898283 × 10	120.025
11.461	0.847	3-	7.9×10^{-6}	6.55	11.739	1.1430	1	0.0302	139.933
11.441	0.827	3-	5.5×10^{-6}	1.47	11.03	1.016	0.	9.106×10 ⁻⁵	14.341
11 3196	0 7056	1-	5.5×10^{-6}	0.132	11.525	0.9112	1-	2.529×10 ⁻⁴	0.5209
11 272	0.65	3-	9.2×10^{-8}	1.81	11.506	0.894	1-	1.399×10^{-4}	15.346
11.272	0.05	2+	1.0×10^{-6}	0.41	11.458	0.847	3-	9.0014×10^{-6}	15.588
11.230	0.044	$\frac{2}{2^+}$	1.0×10^{-8}	0.41	11.4401	0.827	3+	4.59×10^{-6}	0.700
11.1/1	0.557	2	1.9×10^{-10}	0.03	11.319	0.7056	1-	5.1429×10^{-5}	0.452
11.169	0.552	3	4.4×10^{-10}	1.94	11.272	0.65	3-	9.2×10^{-8} (fixed)	1.81(fixed)
11.163	0.549	2+	2.7×10^{-9}	5.31	11.258	0.644	2+	1.0×10^{-6} (fixed)	0.41(fixed)
11.112	0.498	2^{+}	4.3×10^{-10}	2.095	11 171	0 557	2+	1.9×10^{-8} (fixed)	0.03(fixed)
11.084	0.470	2+	5.7×10^{-11}	-	11.171	0.557	2-	4.4×10^{-10} (fixed)	1.04(fixed)
10.9491	0.3351	1-	3.0×10^{-14}	30	11.109	0.532	5 2+	4.4×10^{-9} (fixed)	5.21(fixed)
					- 11.105	0.349	2 2+	2.7×10^{-10} (lixed)	3.31(1100)
					11.112	0.498	2' 2+	4.3×10^{-10} (fixed)	2.095(fixed)
	1 / 2	1.12			11.084	0.470	2*	5.7×10^{-11} (fixed)	-

10.9491

0.3351

3-

 3.0×10^{-14} (fixed)

30(fixed)

Table 1: Summary of resonance parameters used in R-matrix calculation with literature reported values [3] for comparison with direct measurement data .

radius $R_c \approx r_0(A_p^{1/3} + A_t^{1/3})$ and an external region above R_c . A choice of radius for entrance and exit channels is needed for the model calculation. R_c of the two channels have been obtained through χ^2 minimization. However, as channel radius is not a free parameter in the model, we performed a grid search on the channel radius by changing the value in small steps and varying the parameters to get the fit. The chosen channel radii values are 5.37 fm for the ²²Ne + α channel and 4.21 fm for the 25 Mg + n channel. During calculation in AZURE2, the energy resolution(3.38 KeV) of the system also accounted.

In this present work, initially R-matrix calculation has been performed ²²Ne(α , n)²⁵Mg using the recently updated spin, parity and partial widths of resonance states reported in Ref. [3] for the observed resonances in ${}^{22}Ne(\alpha, n){}^{25}Mg$ reaction. Parameters are listed in Table 1 and result of R-matrix calculation for S-factor of 22 Ne(α , n) 25 Mg reaction compare with experimental data of Ref [4] shown in Fig. 1.Now to better explain experimental data, we adjust resonance energy and widths of populated states. By adjusting this parameters we reproduced the data form 0.7 to 0.98 MeV energy region but fails to reproduced data 0.98 to 1.2 MeV energy region due to strong destructive interference between same J^{π} states. For batter explain of the experimental data, spin, parity of $E_x=11.63$ and 11.784MeV resonances are changing form 1^- to 0^+ and Γ_{α} , Γ_n left as free parameters. The resultant *R*-matrix fit is nicely explain the experimental data in 0.8 to 1.45 MeV energy region. The fitted parameters are listed in Table 2. Also extrapolation has been done up to 0.57 MeV by fixed the resonance parameters in 0.57 to 0.8 energy that are evaluated via indirect measurement. Comparison shown in Fig. 2

A *R*-matrix modeling in 22 Ne(α , n) 25 Mg reaction was performed to the description of direct measurement data [4]. The present calculation with recently evaluated resonance parame-



Figure 2: (Color Online) R-matrix fit with changing spin and parity shown in Table 2. Filled symbols represent the direct measurement data taken from Ref. [4]

ters [3] for ²⁶Mg are poorly describe the latested measurement data [4] due to strong destructive interference between consecutive same $J^{\pi}(1^{-})$ states. With changing J^{π} form 1^{-} to 0^{+} experimental data are well describe in 0.8 to 1.45 MeV energy region. The extrapolation with indirectly measured resonance parameters well off with respect to highly uncertain data of Jaeger et. al. [4] in 0.8 to 1.45 MeV energy region. So precise measurement of spin, parity and particle decay width (Γ_n , Γ_α) will be required for $E_{\alpha} \leq 0.8 MeV$ to evaluate cross-section with less uncertainty including interference effects.

References

- F. Käppeler, R. Gallino, S. Bisterzo, W. Aoki, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83 (2011) 157.
- [2] M. Pignatari, R. Gallino, M. Heil, M. Wiescher, F. Kppeler, F. Herwig, and S. Bisterzo, Astrophys. J. 710, 1557 (2010).
- [3] Philip Adsley et al., Phys. Rev. C 103, 015805 (2021).
- [4] M. Jaeger, R. Kunz, A. Mayer, J. W. Hammer, G. Staudt, K. L. Kratz, and B. Pfeiffer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 202501 (2001).
- [5] H. Jayatissa et al., Physics Letters B 802 (2020) 135267.
- [6] S. Ota et al., Physics Letters B 802 (2020) 135256.
- [7] U. Giesen et al., Nuclear Physics A561 (1993) 95-111.
- [8] R. E. Azuma, E. Uberseder, E. C. Simpson, and C. R. Brune et al., Phys. Rev. C 81, 045805 (2010).
- [9] A. Lane and R. Thomas, Rev. Mod. Phys. 30, 257 (1958).
- [10] E. Vogt, Rev. Mod. Phys. 34, 723 (1962).