

Computer modeling of $t\bar{t}h(H)$ Higgs boson production with MSSM model

Tetiana Obikhod and Ievgenii Petrenko

Institute for Nuclear Research NAS of Ukraine, Kyiv 03028, Ukraine
March 29, 2022

1 Abstract

We studied the properties of the MSSM Higgs bosons, h and H through the decay into b -quarks in associated production with a top-quark pair. There was used the tree-level Higgs sector described by two parameters M_A and $\tan\beta$ and found their optimal values according to experimental data of ATLAS detector. Using the restricted parameter space we calculated cross sections of associated $t\bar{t}h(H)$ production at 13 and 14 TeV, the corresponding kinematical cuts, mass distributions and Branching Ratios of h and H decays into $b\bar{b}$ quark pair.

2 Introduction

Supersymmetry searches are the most attractive in the aspect of searching for new physics beyond the standard model. The search for an extended sector of Higgs bosons is especially urgent, since they are the lightest candidates for supersymmetric particles and information on their production cross sections and decay widths provides additional knowledge about the Yukawa coupling constants. The dependences on these couplings of the cross section and Higgs branching ratios have been studied intensively and it was found, that there are indirect constraints from experimental data on the scalar and pseudoscalar H -top couplings k_t and \tilde{k} , and these constraints are relatively weak, [1]

One of the important channels of such searches is the $t\bar{t}H$ Higgs boson production channel. The search strategy for the $t\bar{t}H$ process has been studied in various Higgs decay modes: $b\bar{b}$, [2], $\tau\bar{\tau}$, [3] and WW^* , [4]. Furthermore, from experimental point of view the $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ decay mode is more preferable due to the possibility of the reconstruction of the Higgs boson kinematics, which allows to extract the information about the top-Higgs interaction. As the decay of Higgs boson into two b -quarks ($H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$) is the most probable, [5] our paper is devoted to the consideration of this decay channel. Higgs boson decay into b -quarks in associated production with a top-quark pair is connected with testing the predictions of the Standard Model (SM) and very sensitive to effects of physics beyond the SM (BSM). So, we used Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM) as base theory for further calculations. Our purpose was to calculate production cross sections $\sigma(t\bar{t}h)$, $\sigma(t\bar{t}H)$ at the centre-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV and to compare obtained data with experimental data. We also found p_T and rapidity distributions, parameter space and mass distributions, which corresponds to the best fit with experimental data.

3 Search channels and parameter cuts of Higgs boson production

ATLAS [6] and CMS [7] have searched for the process of Higgs boson production ($t\bar{t}H(b\bar{b})$) intensively using the 8 TeV data set. Later new data collected in proton–proton collisions at the LHC between 2015 and 2018 at a centre-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV were analysed, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 139 fb^{-1} , [8]. The measured signal strength, defined as the ratio of the measured signal yield to that predicted by the SM,

$$\mu = 0.35 \pm 0.20(stat.)^{+0.30}_{-0.28}(syst.) = 0.35^{+0.36}_{-0.34},$$

corresponds to an observed (expected) significance of 1.0 (2.7) standard deviations. The measured 95% confidence level (CL) cross-section upper limits in each bin for simplified template cross-sections (STXS) formalism are shown in Fig.1




Fig.1 The measured 95% CL cross-section upper limits with the theoretical uncertainty connected with signal scale and PDF uncertainties.

The Higgs sector of the Minimal Supersymmetric extension of the SM [9] consists of five physical Higgs bosons, two neutral CP-even bosons, h , H , one neutral CP-odd boson, A , and a charged Higgs pair, H^\pm . As there is the experimental deviation from the SM, we used BSM model - MSSM and studied the properties of two Higgs bosons: the lightest Higgs boson, h and CP-even Higgs boson, H . Our analysis of Higgs boson production in association with a pair of top quarks and decaying into a pair of b-quarks ($t\bar{t}H(b\bar{b})$) is presented by Feynman diagrams in Fig.2.




Fig.2 Representative tree-level Feynman diagrams for the production of a Higgs boson in association with a top-quark pair ($t\bar{t}H$) in (a) the t -channel and (b) the s -channel and the subsequent decay of the Higgs boson into $b\bar{b}$, from [8].

The tree-level Higgs sector, can be described by two parameters, the mass of the CP-odd Higgs boson, M_A , and the ratio of the two vacuum expectation values of the two Higgs doublets, $\tan\beta = v_2/v_1$. So, our purpose was to choose the optimal value of the corresponding parameters M_A and $\tan\beta$. We calculated Branching ratio (BR) of h and H using FeynHiggs program [10] as the function of M_A at 13 TeV (Fig. 3) as well as production cross section as the function of $\tan\beta$ at $M_A=200$ GeV (Fig.4).




Fig.3 Branching ratio (BR) of h and H decays using *FeynHiggs* program as the function of M_A at 13 TeV.




Fig.4 Production cross section of Higgs bosons h and H for two search channels as the function of $\tan\beta$ at $M_A=200$ GeV at 13 TeV.

From the obtained data we came to the conclusion about the optimum parameters of $M_A=200$ GeV and $\tan\beta = 2$.

4 Results of calculations

As gluon-gluon and quark-antiquark processes are most preferable for the Higgs boson production we have considered the following search channels using *Pythia* program [11], presented in Table 1 and Table 2

Table 1. Search channels and production cross sections of h and H bosons at the centre-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV at $M_A = 200$ GeV and $\tan\beta = 2$

Search channels	Production cross sections (pb) (with stat. err.)
$gg \rightarrow h t \bar{t}$	$2.609\text{e-}01 \pm 5.084\text{e-}03$
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow h t \bar{t}$	$1.034\text{e-}01 \pm 1.287\text{e-}03$
$gg \rightarrow H t \bar{t}$	$2.017\text{e-}02 \pm 5.618\text{e-}04$
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow H t \bar{t}$	$7.524\text{e-}03 \pm 3.862\text{e-}04$
$gg \rightarrow H t \bar{t}$ (SM)	$2.530\text{e-}1 \pm 2.704\text{e-}3$
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow H t \bar{t}$ (SM)	$1.041\text{e-}1 \pm 2.572\text{e-}3$

Table 2. Search channels and production cross sections of h and H bosons at the centre-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV at $M_A = 200$ GeV and $\tan\beta = 2$

Search channels	Production cross sections (pb) (with stat. err.)
$gg \rightarrow h t \bar{t}$	$3.134\text{e-}01 \text{ +/- } 4.095\text{e-}04$
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow h t \bar{t}$	$1.171\text{e-}01 \text{ +/- } 1.660\text{e-}04$
$gg \rightarrow H t \bar{t}$	$2.514\text{e-}02 \text{ +/- } 6.099\text{e-}05$
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow H t \bar{t}$	$9.221\text{e-}03 \text{ +/- } 5.913\text{e-}05$
$gg \rightarrow H t \bar{t}$ (SM)	$2.530\text{e-}1 \text{ +/- } 2.704\text{e-}3$
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow H t \bar{t}$ (SM)	$1.041\text{e-}1 \text{ +/- } 2.572\text{e-}3$

The kinematical cuts on h boson corresponding to the production cross section are presented in Fig. 5.




Fig.5 Transverse momentum (up) and rapidity distributions (down) of h boson at the energy of 13 TeV.

The kinematical cuts on H boson corresponding to the production cross section are presented in Fig. 6.




Fig.6 Transverse momentum (up) and rapidity distributions (down) of H boson at the energy of 13 TeV.

From the obtained data we came to the conclusion about the most suitable range of transverse momentum variation of h boson (50; 150) GeV and (100; 300) GeV of H boson. As for rapidity distributions of both Higgs bosons, their maximum region is (-1,1), which signals about the angle range along the longitudinal (beam) direction 45-90° of the Higgs bosons.

As for the mass determination of both Higgs bosons, we received the mass distributions, presented in Fig. 7




Fig.7 Mass distributions of h (up) and H (down) Higgs bosons obtained at 13 TeV.

From Fig.7 we see, that the mass of h boson is about 126 GeV, which coincides with the mass of the SM Higgs boson. As for the H boson, it's mass is approximately 330 GeV. We also calculated kinematical and mass distributions of both Higgs bosons at 14 TeV and didn't find a significant difference with previous calculations at 13 TeV.

5 Conclusions

The study of the properties of the Higgs boson is an urgent task, as evidenced by the experimental data of the ATLAS and CMS collaborations. We have presented the actual experimental data of the cross sections of Higgs boson decay into b-quarks in associated production with a top-quark pair in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV and an integrated luminosity of 139 fb^{-1} with the ATLAS detector. This result corresponds to an observed (expected) significance of 1.0 (2.7) standard deviations. To clarify the ambiguities, we decided to consider the minimal extension of SM – MSSM model. We used the tree-level Higgs sector which can be described by two parameters M_A and $\tan\beta$. The calculation of $\text{BR}(h \rightarrow b\bar{b})$ as the function of M_A and production cross section of both Higgs bosons as the function of $\tan\beta$ gives us the possibility to choose the optimal parameter space corresponding to the maximum values of BR and the production cross section. Using received parameters ($M_A = 200$, $\tan\beta=2$) we calculated cross sections of associated $t\bar{t}h(H)$ production at 13 and 14 TeV and the corresponding kinematical cuts on transverse momentum and rapidity. We found out the value of the mass of h (126 GeV) and H (330 GeV) at the chosen parameters from the constructed mass distribution. The values of $\text{BR}(h \rightarrow b\bar{b})$ and $\text{BR}(H \rightarrow b\bar{b})$ are equal to 0.85 and 0.05 correspondingly.

References

- [1] J. Ellis and T. You, JHEP 1306 (2013) 103 [arXiv:1303.3879 [hep-ph]].
- [2] T. Plehn, G. P. Salam and M. Spannowsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104 (2010) 111801 [arXiv:0910.5472 [hep-ph]]; P. Artoisenet, P. de Aquino, F. Maltoni and O. Mattelaer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 (2013) 091802 [arXiv:1304.6414 [hep-ph]].
- [3] C. Boddy, S. Farrington and C. Hays, Phys. Rev. D 86 (2012) 073009 [arXiv:1208.0769 [hep-ph]]; P. Agrawal, S. Bandyopadhyay and S. P. Das, arXiv:1308.6511 [hep-ph].
- [4] F. Maltoni, D. L. Rainwater and S. Willenbrock, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 034022 [hep-ph/0202205]; D. Curtin, J. Galloway and J. G. Wacker, Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) 093006 [arXiv:1306.5695 [hep-ph]]; P. Agrawal, S. Bandyopadhyay and S. P. Das, Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) 093008 [arXiv:1308.3043 [hep-ph]].
- [5] D. de Florian et al., Handbook of LHC Higgs Cross Sections: 4. Deciphering the Nature of the Higgs Sector, (2016), arXiv: 1610.07922 [hep-ph].
- [6] The ATLAS collaboration, ATLAS-CONF-2013-080; ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS-CONF-2012-135.
- [7] CMS Collaboration, CMS-PAS-HIG-13-015; CMS Collaboration, CMS-PAS-HIG-13-019; CMS Collaboration, CMS-PAS-HIG-13-020.
- [8] ATLAS Collaboration, arXiv:2111.06712 [hep-ex].
- [9] P. Fayet, S. Ferrara, Phys. Rep. 32 (1977) 249–334.
- [10] FeynHiggs programm, URL: <https://wwwth.mpp.mpg.de/members/heinemey/feynhiggs/cite.html>.
- [11] T. Sjöstrand, et al., Comput. Phys. Commun. 191 (2015) 159 arXiv:1410.3012 [hep-ph].