

Möbius random law and infinite rank-one maps

e. H. el Abdalaoui and Cesar E. Silva

ABSTRACT. We prove that Sarnak's conjecture holds for any infinite measure symbolic rank-one map. We further extended Bourgain-Sarnak's result, which says that the Möbius function is a good weight for the ergodic theorem, to maps acting on σ -finite measure spaces. We also discuss and extend Bourgain's theorem by establishing that there is a class of maps for which the Möbius disjointness property holds for any continuous bounded function. Our proof allows us to obtain an extension of Bourgain's theorem on Möbius disjointness for bounded rank one maps and a simple and self-contained proof of this fact.

1. Introduction

We are interested in studying the Möbius-Liouville randomness Law from the dynamical point of view ¹. In general terms, this law states that the Liouville function and the Möbius function are orthogonal to any deterministic sequence. In 2010, P. Sarnak in his seminal paper [32] proposed to consider sequences (a_n) arising from dynamical systems (X, T) , where X is a compact metric space and T is a homeomorphism with topological entropy zero. More precisely, Sarnak made the following conjecture: For any dynamical system (X, T) with topological entropy zero, it is the case that

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mu(n) f(T^n x) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow +\infty} 0, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{C}(X), \forall x \in X,$$

where $\mathcal{C}(X)$ is the linear space of all continuous functions on X .

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 37A40, 37F20.

Key words and phrases. rank-one maps, Möbius function, Liouville function, Sarnak's conjecture, infinite rank-one maps, dissipative maps, conservative maps.

¹The results were announced in [5]

We recall that the Möbius function is given by $\mu(1) = 1$ and

$$(1.1) \quad \mu(n) = \begin{cases} (-1)^k & \text{if } n \text{ is a product of } k \text{ distinct primes,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and the Liouville function $\lambda: \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ is defined by

$$\lambda(n) = (-1)^{\Omega(n)},$$

where $\Omega(n)$ is the number of prime factors of n counting multiplicities. The importance of these two functions in number theory is well known and may be illustrated by the following statement

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{n \leq N} \lambda(n) = o(N) = \sum_{n \leq N} \mu(n),$$

which is equivalent to the Prime Number Theorem, see e.g. [6, p. 91]. We recall also the classical connection of μ with the Riemann zeta function, namely

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(s)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^s} \text{ for any } s \in \mathbb{C} \text{ with } \Re(s) > 1.$$

In [33], it is shown that the Riemann Hypothesis is equivalent to the following: for each $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \mu(n) = O_{\varepsilon} \left(N^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} \right) \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

This latter result is due to Littlewood.

In this article, our aim is to investigate the Möbius-Liouville randomness Law for dynamical sequences arising from dynamical systems (X, T) where X is now locally compact, and T is a homeomorphism with topological entropy zero; that is, in the same spirit of Sarnak's conjecture, we ask the following: Let X be a locally compact space and T an homeomorphism with topological entropy zero. Do we have

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mu(n) f(T^n x) \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow +\infty]{} 0, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{C}_0(X), \forall x \in X,$$

where $\mathcal{C}_0(X)$ is the linear space of all continuous functions on X which vanish at infinity.

Our attention is focused on dynamical systems for which there is no finite invariant measure, or if there is a finite invariant measure it is atomic.

We start by showing that for the classical example $T: n \in \mathbb{Z} \mapsto n+1$, this version of Möbius disjointness holds. Indeed, let $(f(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a convergent

sequence, say to c . Then $((f - c)(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ converges to zero, that is, it vanishes at infinity. Therefore by Cesaro's theorem

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \geq N} |f(n) - c| \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow +\infty} 0.$$

But

$$(1.5) \quad \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \geq N} \mu(n)(f(n) - c) \right| \leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \geq N} |f(n) - c|,$$

since the Möbius function is bounded. We thus get

$$(1.6) \quad \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \geq N} \mu(n)(f(n) - c) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow +\infty} 0.$$

To conclude that f is orthogonal in the sense of Rauzy, it suffices to notice that, by the Prime Number Theorem, we have

$$(1.7) \quad \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \geq N} \mu(n) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow +\infty} 0.$$

At this point we have established that Möbius disjointness holds at the point 0. We conclude by noticing that the same proof can be run for any x in \mathbb{Z} .

We remark that the algebra of functions that we choose is important. Indeed, for the shift map of \mathbb{Z} , all function are continuous. If we consider Möbius disjointness for all continuous functions, then we can see that orthogonality fails. Indeed, take $f(n) = \mu(n)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, (the definition of μ is extended in the usual fashion). Therefore,

$$(1.8) \quad \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \geq N} \mu(n)f(S^n(0)) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \geq N} \mu(n)^2 \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{6}{\pi^2}.$$

by the classical computation of the density of the square-free sets. This simple example shows that for the infinite case we need to specify the algebra of functions and cannot consider all continuous functions. We also note that if the algebra is the space of periodic functions, then Möbius disjointness holds by Dirichlet's theorem. This example covers all the dissipative cases as any ergodic invertible dissipative transformation is isomorphic to the shift [1, Exercise 1.2.1, p.22].

For the conservative case, we are interested in the class of dynamical system called infinite rank-one, i.e., rank-one transformations with an infinite Radon invariant measure.

J. Bourgain initiated the study of Sarnak's conjecture for finite rank-one maps in [7], where he established that the conjecture is true for a class of rank-one maps with bounded parameters. The proof is based on some spectral arguments. We note that in Bourgain's proof, the fact that the measure is finite or infinite is not used and therefore the proof is valid for the infinite measure case; we will extend and present a self-contained proof of Bourgain's argument in a later section.

Subsequently, E. H. el Abdalaoui, Lemańczyk, and de la Rue extended Bourgain's result to a large class of finite rank-one maps [3]. Their argument is based on the weak-closure limits in the centralizer of the given rank-one maps. These arguments cannot be extended to the infinite measure case. In the same year, V. Ryzhikov gave a simple proof of Bourgain's result for a specific class of finite rank-one maps. Indeed, he established that weakly mixing non-rigid rank-one maps with bounded parameters have the minimal self-joinings property (MSJ) [31]. Furthermore, it is well-known that the MSJ property combined with the Katai-Bourgain-Sarnak-Ziegler criterion implies that Sarnak's conjecture holds for the class of maps with the minimal self-joinings property. Later, Ryzhikov's result was revisited by A. Gao and H. Hill in [18], where the authors extended Ryzhikov's result by establishing that canonical bounded rank-one maps have trivial centralizer. Despite all these initiatives and efforts, the validity of Sarnak's conjecture for all rank-one maps is still open. We note again that those arguments cannot be used for the infinite measure case.

Here, our concern is to study this conjecture for infinite symbolic rank-one maps. It turns out that in this setting we are able to obtain that the conjecture is true (Corollary 3.3). We start by proving that (1.3) holds for almost all points.

2. Condition (1.3) holds for almost all points.

In this section we shall extend the Bourgain-Sarnak result which states that if (X, \mathcal{B}, T, μ) is a dynamical system where μ is a probability measure and T is a measure-preserving transformation on X , then for almost all points with respect to μ , for any $f \in L^2(X)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mu(n) f(T^n x) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow +\infty} 0.$$

In fact, Sarnak pointed out that his result can be obtained as a consequence of the following Davenport's estimate [17]: for each $A > 0$, we have

$$(2.1) \quad \max_{z \in \mathbb{T}} \left| \sum_{n \leq N} z^n \mu(n) \right| \leq C_A \frac{N}{\log^A N} \text{ for some } C_A > 0,$$

combined with the spectral theorem and some tools from [8]. Here, we shall prove the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let (X, \mathcal{B}, T, μ) be a σ -finite conservative dynamical system, where X is a locally compact space and T is a measure-preserving transformation on X and μ a σ -finite measure. Then, for any $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(X)$, for almost all x with respect to μ , we have

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mu(n) f(T^n x) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow +\infty} 0.$$

PROOF. Since the subspace of continuous functions with compact support $\mathcal{C}_K(X)$ is dense in $\mathcal{C}_0(X)$, it suffices to prove (2.2) for $f \in \mathcal{C}_K(X)$. But, if f is $\mathcal{C}_K(X)$ then we can apply the spectral theorem to write

$$\left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \leq N} f(T^n x) \boldsymbol{\mu}(n) \right\|_2 = \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \leq N} z^n \boldsymbol{\mu}(n) \right\|_{L^2(\sigma_f)},$$

where σ_f is the spectral measure of f . We know that the spectral measure σ_f is a finite measure on the circle determined by its Fourier transform given by

$$\hat{\sigma}_f(n) = \int f \circ T^n \cdot \bar{f} d\mu,$$

$n \in \mathbb{Z}$. This, combined by Davenport's estimate (2.1) yields, for each $A > 0$,

$$(2.3) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \leq N} f(T^n x) \boldsymbol{\mu}(n) \right\|_2 \leq \frac{C_A}{\log^A N},$$

where C_A is a constant that depends only on A . Take $\rho > 1$, then for $N = [\rho^m]$ for some $m \geq 1$, we rewrite (2.3) as follows

$$\left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \leq N} f(T^n x) \boldsymbol{\mu}(n) \right\|_2 \leq \frac{C_A}{(m \log(\rho))^\varepsilon} \text{ for any } A > 0.$$

By choosing $A = 2$, we obtain

$$\sum_{m \geq 1} \left\| \frac{1}{[\rho^m]} \sum_{n \leq [\rho^m]} f(T^n x) \boldsymbol{\mu}(n) \right\|_2 < +\infty.$$

In particular, by the triangle inequality for the L^2 norm,

$$\sum_{m \geq 1} \left| \frac{1}{[\rho^m]} \sum_{n \leq [\rho^m]} f(T^n x) \boldsymbol{\mu}(n) \right| \in L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$$

and the above sum is almost surely finite. Hence, for almost every point $x \in X$, we have

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{1}{[\rho^m]} \sum_{n \leq [\rho^m]} f(T^n x) \boldsymbol{\mu}(n) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Now, if $[\rho^m] \leq N < [\rho^{m+1}] + 1$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \leq N} f(T^n x) \boldsymbol{\mu}(n) \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \leq [\rho^m]} f(T^n x) \boldsymbol{\mu}(n) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{[\rho^m]+1 \leq n \leq N} f(T^n x) \boldsymbol{\mu}(n) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{1}{[\rho^m]} \sum_{n \leq [\rho^m]} f(T^n x) \boldsymbol{\mu}(n) \right| + \frac{\|f\|_\infty}{[\rho^m]} (N - [\rho^m]) \\ &\leq \left| \frac{1}{[\rho^m]} \sum_{n \leq [\rho^m]} f(T^n x) \boldsymbol{\mu}(n) \right| + \frac{\|f\|_\infty}{[\rho^m]} ([\rho^{m+1}] - [\rho^m]). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{\|f\|_\infty}{[\rho^m]}([\rho^{m+1}] - [\rho^m]) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow +\infty} \|f\|_\infty(\rho - 1)$, using (2.4) and the fact that ρ can be taken arbitrarily close to 1, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \leq N} f(T^n x) \mu(n) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0 \text{ for a.e. } x \in X,$$

completing the proof. \square

The previous result is a Bourgain-Sarnak theorem on the Möbius disjointness almost everywhere. For the infinite measure case, it is well known that for all $f \in L^1(X)$, the classical Birkhoff ergodic averages converge to 0 a.e.. It follows that, for all $f \in L^1(X)$, for almost all $x \in X$,

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \leq N} f(T^n x) \mu(n) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

However, the natural way to formulate the Möbius disjointness almost everywhere in the case of infinite measure is to consider the Hopf ratio ergodic averages pondered with Möbius function, that is,

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N \mu(n) f(T^n x)}{\sum_{n=1}^N p(T^n x)}.$$

where $f, p \in L^1(X, \nu)$, $p > 0$, and T is conservative measure preserving transformation of the σ -finite measure space (X, \mathcal{A}, ν) .

We notice that Hopf's maximal ergodic inequality holds for 2.5. Also, if T is an ergodic measure preserving transformation of a σ -finite measure space (X, \mathcal{A}, ν) , then, for any $f, p \in L^1(X)$, $p > 0$, for almost all $x \in X$,

$$(2.6) \quad \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N \mu(n) f(T^n x)}{\sum_{n=1}^N p(T^n x)} \right| \leq \frac{\int |f(y)| d\nu(y)}{\int p(y) d\nu(y)}.$$

Now, for a dissipative map T , for any $f, p \in L^1(X, \mu)$, for almost all $x \in X$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N \mu(n) f(T^n x)}{\sum_{n=1}^N p(T^n x)} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \mu(n) f(T^n x)}{\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} p(T^n x)}.$$

However, we show that for the Boole map and $f \in L^\infty(X, \mu)$ the convergence does not hold. The Boole map is given by $T : x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \mapsto x - \frac{1}{x}$. It is well known that T preserves Lebesgue measure and is ergodic and conservative [1, p.215]. Now, taking

$f = 1$, using a deep result of Odlyzko-te Riele [20] combined with [1, Exercise 2.2.2] we have

$$\overline{\lim} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N \mu(n) \mathbb{1}(T^n x)}{\sum_{n=1}^N p(T^n x)} > \frac{1.06\pi}{\sqrt{2} \int p(y) d\mu(y)}$$

and

$$\underline{\lim} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N \mu(n) \mathbb{1}(T^n x)}{\sum_{n=1}^N p(T^n x)} < \frac{-1.009\pi}{\sqrt{2} \int p(y) d\mu(y)}.$$

3. Rank-one maps and our first main result

As mentioned earlier, the study of Sarnak's conjecture for the class of symbolic rank-one maps was initiated by Bourgain [7], where the author proved that Sarnak's conjecture is true for the subclass of maps for which the cutting parameter $(p(n)) \subset \mathbb{N}$ and the stacking parameter $(s(n, i))_{i=0}^{p_n-1} \subset \mathbb{N}^{p_n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ are bounded and $s(n, p_n - 1) = 0$. In [3], the authors relaxed this latter condition and extended Bourgain's result to a large class of rank-one maps including rigid Generalized Chacon's maps and Katok's maps. However, as it was pointed out, their methods can not be extended to the case of infinite measure.

There are several definitions of rank-one maps in ergodic theory. Here we consider the symbolic one. Let T be a shift map on $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $(p(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a positive sequence of integers. For each n , consider the p_n -tuple of non-negative integer denoted by $(s(n, i))_{i=0}^{p_n-1}$. The sequence $(p(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ will play the role of what are called the cutting parameters and $((s(n, i))_{i=0}^{p_n-1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are the spacers parameters. Put

$$W_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 0; \quad W_{n+1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} W_n 1^{s_{n,0}} W_n 1^{s_{n,1}} \dots W_n 1^{s_{n,p_n-1}}.$$

The sequence of finite words $(W_n)_{n \geq 1}$ over the alphabet $\{0, 1\}$ is called the *building blocks* sequence.

The length $|B_n|$ of the building block of order n is equal to h_n and the symbols 1 in the building blocks will be called the *spacers*.

Then we consider the subshift $X \subset \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ consisting of the set of bi-infinite sequences $(x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ satisfying

$$(3.1) \quad \forall i < j, \quad x|_i^j \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x_i x_{i+1} \dots x_{j-1} \text{ is a subword of } W_m \text{ for some } m \geq 1.$$

We consider on X the product topology which turns X into a metrizable compact space, and we denote by T_s the shift of coordinates, which is a homeomorphism of X . According to Kalikow [24], T_s is said to be *nondegenerate* if the limit sequence $W_\infty \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} W_n$ is aperiodic. Otherwise, T_s is said to be *degenerate*. We further have that if T_s is degenerate, then T_s is isomorphic to an odometer, in particular, it has infinitely many rational eigenvalues. For the proof of this fact and

more details on the connection between the classical and useful definition of rank-one map by cutting and staking method and the symbolic definition, we refer to [3].

Assume that T_s is nondegenerate and let v be a finite word. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, put

$$O_{v,k} = \{x \in X : x \text{ has an occurrence of } v \text{ at position } k\}.$$

It follows that $O_{v,k}$ is a basic open set of X . We further have that there is an atomless shift-invariant measure μ on X given by

$$\mu(O_{v,k}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{fr(v, W_n)}{fr(0, W_n)},$$

where $fr(u, W_n)$ is the number of occurrences of u in W_n . We notice that μ is the unique shift-invariant measure on X with $\mu(O_{0,0}) = 1$. T_s is said to be infinite if $\mu(O_{1,0}) = \infty$. In this case, μ is the unique invariant measure up to constants. There is also the atomic measure concentrated on the infinite word $\mathbf{1} = 1111 \dots$.

We are now able to state our first main result.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let (X, T) be a dynamical system (X is a compact metric space and T a homeomorphism). If (X, T) has only one invariant probability measure and this measure is atomic, then it satisfies the Möbius disjointness law.*

For the proof of Theorem 3.1 we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let (X, T) be as in Theorem 3.1 and let $z \in X$ be the point where the finite invariant measure is supported. Then for any $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$, for any $x \in X$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (f - f(z))(T^n x) \rightarrow 0.$$

PROOF. By a standard argument, the set $\{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \delta_{T^n x}\}$ is compact for the weak convergence star topology. Therefore, for some sequence (N_k) the sequence of probability measures

$$\frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{n=1}^{N_k} \delta_{T^n x}$$

converges to some measure ν , that is, for any function $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{n=1}^{N_k} f(T^n x) \rightarrow \nu(f).$$

We thus get

$$\frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{n=1}^{N_k} (f - f(z))(T^n x) \rightarrow \nu(f) - f(z).$$

But the only probability invariant measure is δ_z . Hence

$$\frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{n=1}^{N_k} (f - f(z))(T^n x) \rightarrow 0.$$

This complete the proof of the lemma. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let f be a continuous function and write

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \leq N} f(T^n x) \mu(n) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \leq N} (f - f(z))(T^n x) \mu(n) + f(z) \cdot \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \leq N} \mu(n).$$

Then the first term of the right-hand side is zero by the lemma and the second by the Prime Number Theorem. This finish the proof of the theorem. \square

COROLLARY 3.3. The Möbius disjointness law holds for any infinite symbolic rank-one map.

PROOF. Let T be a rank-one map as defined in Section 3. It is infinite measure-preserving and it only has one finite invariant measure supported on $\mathbf{1}$. Then apply Theorem 3.1. \square

Our first main result (Theorem 3.1) can also be applied to the examples from the infinite (C, F) -actions constructed by A. Danilenko [16, see Theorem 2.1 and the remark that follows].

4. Our second main result, Riesz products as spectral types and Bourgain's arguments

In this section we extend and give a self-contained presentation of Bourgain's argument and use it to obtain Möbius disjointness for a larger class of rank-one maps including rank-one maps acting on σ -finite space. In fact, We state and prove our main result about rank-one maps, which is an extension of Bourgain's theorem on the Möbius disjointness for the class of rank-one maps with bounded parameters.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let $T = T_{((p_n), (s(n, i))_{i=0}^{p_n-1}, n \in \mathbb{N})}$ be a symbolic rank-one map and assume that*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{p_n^2} = +\infty.$$

Then the Möbius disjointness law holds for T .

Theorem 4.1 extends in some sense Bourgain's theorem. For its proof, we will follow Bourgain's method. We will assume also that T is weak-mixing and there are infinitely set of primes q such that q does not divide $\left\{ (p_n - 1)h_n + \sum_{j=0}^{p_n-1} s(n, j) \right\}$.

We start by pointing out that it is enough to verify that Möbius orthogonality holds for the dense subset of functions. Indeed, we have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 4.2. Let X be a compact set and \mathcal{F} be a family of continuous functions on X . Assume that

- (a) \mathcal{F} is dense in $C(X)$.
- (b) For any $f \in \mathcal{F}$, for any $x \in X$, the sequence $(f(T^n x))_{n \geq 1}$ is orthogonal to the Möbius function.

Then, for any $f \in C(X)$, for any $x \in X$, the sequence $(f(T^n x))_{n \geq 1}$ is orthogonal to the Möbius function.

PROOF. Straightforward. \square

Now, we will recall some basic facts on the notion of affinity. This notion is at the heart of Bourgain's approach.

The affinity between two probabilities. Let \mathbb{P} and \mathcal{Q} be probability measures on measurable space (X, \mathcal{A}) . The affinity or Hellinger integral $H(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ is defined by the formula

$$\int_X \sqrt{\frac{d\mathbb{P}}{d\mathbb{R}} \cdot \frac{d\mathcal{Q}}{d\mathbb{R}}} d\mathbb{R}.$$

The most important property of affinity needed here is the following.

$$H(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{Q}) = 0 \iff \mathbb{P} \perp \mathcal{Q}.$$

We shall need also the following classical lemma due to Coquet-Mendés-France-Kamae [15].

LEMMA 4.3. *Let (\mathbb{P}_n) and (\mathcal{Q}_n) be two sequences of probability measures on the circle weakly converging to the probability measures \mathbb{P} and \mathcal{Q} respectively. Then*

$$(4.1) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} H(\mathbb{P}_n, \mathcal{Q}_n) \leq H(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{Q}).$$

Spectral measures. Let $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P}, T)$ be an ergodic dynamical system. It is well known that the spectral measure of any L^2 -function f can be defined as the weak limit of the following sequence of finite measures

$$\sigma_{f,T,N} = \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} f(T^j x) e^{in\theta} \right|^2 d\theta.$$

The weak limit σ_f holds for almost all points x . If in addition X is compact and the system $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P}, T)$ is uniquely ergodic, then the weak limit holds for any x provided that the function f is continuous.

We denote by σ_p the push-forward measure of σ under the map $z \mapsto z^p$.

We introduce also the notion of the pseudo-dilation of a measure. It is an easy exercise to see that for any ϕ in $L^1(\mathbb{T})$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}^*$, if $\phi_{(m)}(t) = \phi(mt)$, for any

$t \in \mathbb{T}$, then, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\widehat{\phi_{(m)}}(n) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \nmid m; \\ \widehat{\phi}(\frac{n}{m}), & \text{if } n|m. \end{cases}$$

We extend this fact to the finite measure σ on the torus by putting

$$\widehat{\sigma_{(m)}}(n) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \nmid m; \\ \widehat{\sigma}(\frac{n}{m}), & \text{if } n|m. \end{cases}$$

Therefore we have the following lemma [25, p.7],

LEMMA 4.4. [25] *Let $\sigma_k = f_k(t)dt$ be a sequence of finite measure on the torus which converges weakly to σ . Then $\sigma_{(m)}$ is the weak limit of $\sigma_{k,(m)} = f_k(mt)dt$*

From this we deduce the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 4.5. Let $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P}, T)$ be a uniquely ergodic system, f be a continuous function and p be a positive integer. Then, the sequence of measures given by

$$\sigma_{f,(p),N} = \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} f(T^j x) e^{ipj} \right|^2 dt,$$

converges to the pseudo-dilation of the spectral measure of f by p , that is, $\sigma_{f,(p)}$.

The measure $\sigma_{(p)}$ can be obtained by taking the image of σ under the map $x \mapsto \frac{1}{p}x$, i.e. the measure $\sigma_{1/p}$, and then repeating this new measure periodically in intervals $[\frac{j}{p}, \frac{j+1}{p})$, that is:

$$\sigma_{(p)} := \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} (\tau_{j/p})_* \sigma_{1/p} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \sigma_{1/p} * \delta_{j/p},$$

where $\tau_y(x) = x + y$. Clearly, $\sigma_{(p)}$ is invariant under rotation by $1/p$, i.e.

$$(4.2) \quad (\tau_{1/p})_* \sigma_{(p)} = \sigma_{(p)}.$$

The following two relations follow directly (see [28], p. 196):

$$(4.3) \quad (\sigma_{(p)})_p = \sigma,$$

$$(4.4) \quad (\sigma_p)_{(p)} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \sigma * \delta_{j/p}.$$

We further have the following lemma due to Jean-Paul Thouvenot.

LEMMA 4.6. *Assume that σ and η are two probability measures on the circle.*

- (i) *If $\sigma_p \perp \eta_q$, then $\sigma_{(p)} \perp \eta_{(q)}$;*
- (ii) *Assume $(p, q) = 1$. Then $\sigma_p \perp \eta_q$ if and only if $\sigma_{(p)} \perp \eta_{(q)}$.*

PROOF. The first part (i)) follows easily from (4.3). Indeed, this gives

$$(\sigma_{(p)})_{pq} = \sigma_q \text{ and } (\sigma_{(q)})_{pq} = \sigma_p.$$

For the second part (ii), Suppose that $(p, q) = 1$ and $\sigma_p \not\leq \sigma_q$. Then

$$(4.5) \quad (\sigma_p)_{\frac{1}{pq}} \not\leq (\eta_q)_{\frac{1}{pq}}.$$

If $A \subset [0, \frac{1}{pq})$ is Borel then

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_p)_{\frac{1}{pq}}(A) &= \sigma_p(pqA) = \sigma_p(p(qA)) = \\ &= \sigma\left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{p-1} \left(qA + \frac{j}{p}\right)\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \sigma\left(qA + \frac{j}{p}\right) \end{aligned}$$

as $qA \subset [0, \frac{1}{p})$. We claim that

$$(4.6) \quad (\sigma_p)_{\frac{1}{pq}} \ll \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \sigma_{(q)} * \delta_{\frac{j}{pq}}.$$

Indeed, since the support of $(\sigma_p)_{\frac{1}{pq}}$ is contained in $[0, \frac{1}{pq})$, fix a Borel set $A \subset [0, \frac{1}{pq})$ and suppose that $\sigma_q * \delta_{\frac{j}{pq}}(A) = 0$. Then

$$\sigma_q * \delta_{\frac{j}{pq}}(A) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \sigma^{1/q} \left(\left(A + \frac{j}{pq} \right) + \frac{k}{q} \right) = \sigma(qA + \frac{j}{p})$$

whence (4.6) follows. In view of (4.5) and (4.6), we obtain

$$(4.7) \quad \sigma_q * \delta_{\frac{j}{pq}} \not\leq \eta_p * \delta_{\frac{k}{pq}}$$

for some $0 \leq j \leq p-1$ and $0 \leq k \leq q-1$. Since $(p, q) = 1$, we have $j = ap + bq$ and $k = cp + dq$. Substituting this to (4.7), we obtain

$$\sigma_{(q)} * \delta_{\frac{a}{q}} * \delta_{\frac{b}{p}} \not\leq \eta_{(p)} * \delta_{\frac{c}{q}} * \delta_{\frac{d}{p}}.$$

Hence

$$\sigma_{(q)} * \delta_{\frac{a-c}{q}} \not\leq \eta_{(p)} * \delta_{\frac{d-b}{p}}$$

and the result follows from (4.2). For the converse, let $A, B \subset [0, 1)$ be measurable sets with $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $\sigma_{(p)}(A) = \eta_{(q)}(B) = 1$. We may assume that $A = \bigcup_{i=0}^{q-1} (A' + \frac{i}{q})$ and $B = \bigcup_{j=0}^{p-1} (B' + \frac{j}{p})$, where $A' \subset [0, \frac{1}{q})$, $B' \subset [0, \frac{1}{p})$. Let $A'' := qA'$, $B'' := pB'$. Then $\sigma(A'') = \eta(B'') = 1$. We claim that

$$(4.8) \quad pA'' \cap (qB'' + k) = \emptyset \text{ for any } k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

(we treat now A'', B'' as subsets of \mathbb{R}). By the definition of $\sigma_{(q)}, \eta_{(p)}$, we have $(A' + \frac{i}{q}) \cap (B' + \frac{j}{p}) = \emptyset$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $(p, q) = 1$, for any $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ we can find $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\frac{\ell}{p} - \frac{i}{q} = \frac{jq - ip}{pq} = \frac{\ell}{pq}$. Therefore, $A' \cap (B' + \frac{\ell}{pq}) = \emptyset$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and it follows that $pA'' \cap (qB'' + \ell) = pqA' \cap (pqB' + \ell) = \emptyset$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$,

i.e. (4.8) indeed holds. It remains to show that (4.8) implies $\sigma_p \perp \eta_q$. Let $A''' := \bigcup_{i=0}^{p-1} (pA'' - i) \cap [0, 1)$, $B''' := \bigcup_{j=0}^{q-1} (qB'' - j) \cap [0, 1)$. Clearly, $\sigma_p(A''') = \eta_q(B''') = 1$ and thus, by (4.8), $\sigma_p \perp \eta_q$. \square

Let us recall that the maximal spectral type of T is the equivalence class of Borel measures σ on S^1 (under the equivalence relation $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ if and only if $\mu_1 \ll \mu_2$ and $\mu_2 \ll \mu_1$), such that $\sigma_f \ll \sigma$ for all $f \in L^2(X)$ and if ν is another measure for which $\sigma_f \ll \nu$ for all $f \in L^2(X)$ then $\sigma \ll \nu$.

By the canonical decomposition of $L^2(X)$ into decreasing cycles (see for instance [21]) with respect to the operator $U_T(f) = f \circ T$, there exists a Borel measure $\sigma = \sigma_f$ for some $f \in L^2(X)$, such that σ is in the equivalence class defining the maximal spectral type of T . By abuse of notation, we will call this measure the maximal spectral type measure, but it can be replaced by any other measure in its equivalence class. As shown by B. Host, F. Mela, and F. Parreau [19], Bourgain [9], [14] and [27], the maximal spectral type (except possibly some discrete part) of any rank-one map is given by some kind of generalized Riesz products. Precisely, we have the following:

PROPOSITION 4.7. Let $(X, \mathcal{A}, T, \mathbb{P})$ be a rank-one dynamical system with the parameters $(p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $((s_{n,j})_{j=1}^{p_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then the maximal spectral type of T is given (up to discrete part) by the weak limit of the measures $\sigma_N, N = 1, 2, \dots$ given by the formula

$$d\sigma_N = \prod_{n=1}^N |P_n(t)|^2 dt,$$

where

$$P_n(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_n}} \sum_{j=0}^{p_n-1} e^{i(jh_n + \tilde{s}_{n,j})t}.$$

The proof of Proposition 4.7 yields that the spectral type of the rank-one map is the spectral measure of the indicator function of the cylinder set $[0]$ (up to discrete part). For any n , put

$$f_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu([B_n])}} \mathbb{1}_{[B_n]},$$

where $[B_n]$ is the cylinder set. The span F_n generated by the functions f_0, \dots, f_n verify

- (1) $F_n \subset F_{n+1}$.
- (2) Any continuous ϕ which depend on finitely many coordinates can be approximated by the functions in $\bigcup F_n$.

Regarding Proposition 4.7, it is sufficient to establish that Möbius orthogonality holds only for any function f_n . Notice that the pseudo-dilation measure $\sigma_{(p)}$ is the weak-star limit of

$$d\sigma_{N,(p)} = \prod_{n=1}^N |P_n(pt)|^2 dt,$$

by Lemma 4.4.

We further need the following lemma due to Nadkarni [29, p.154].

LEMMA 4.8. *The infinite product*

$$\prod_{l=1}^{+\infty} |P_{j_l}(t)|^2,$$

taken over a subsequence $j_1 < j_2 < \dots$, represents the maximal spectral type (up to a discrete measure) of some rank-one map. If $j_l \neq l$ for infinitely many l , the map acts on an infinite measure space (it is an infinite rank-one map.),

5. Möbius disjointness and Bourgain methods

The Bourgain methods introduced in [7] are based essentially on the Daboussi-Katai-Bourgain-Sarnak-Ziegler Criterion [11] which can be formulated as follows.

THEOREM 5.1 (D-K-B-S-Z criterion [11]). *Let (X, T) be a flow (X is a compact and T homeomorphism). Let f be a continuous function on X and assume that for large prime numbers p and q , $p \neq q$, we have, for any $x \in X$,*

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} f(T^{pn}x) f(T^{qn}x) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow +\infty} 0.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \mu(n) f(T^n x) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow +\infty} 0.$$

It was observed by el Abdalaoui & M. Nerurkar that KDBSZ 5.1 is essentially based on the Prime Number Theorem. Precisely, the authors noticed that the PNT implies Daboussi-Katai-Bourgain-Sarnak-Ziegler criterion. But, the converse implication is false.

Now, let $p \neq q$ be a prime numbers. By Bourgain's observation, we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{\tilde{N}} \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{N}} f(T^{pn}x) f(T^{qn}x) \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{N}}} \sum_{j=1}^N f(T^n x) e^{ipn\theta} \right| \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{N}}} \sum_{j=1}^N f(T^n x) e^{iqn\theta} \right| d\theta,$$

where $\tilde{N} = \frac{N}{\max\{p, q\}}$. Therefore,

$$\left| \frac{1}{\tilde{N}} \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{N}} f(T^{pn}x) f(T^{qn}x) \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{N}}} \sum_{j=1}^N f(T^n x) e^{ipn\theta} \right| \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{N}}} \sum_{j=1}^N f(T^n x) e^{iqn\theta} \right| d\theta,$$

Hence,

$$\left| \frac{1}{\tilde{N}} \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{N}} f(T^{pn}x) f(T^{qn}x) \right| \leq \frac{N}{\tilde{N}} H(\sigma_{f, (p), N}, \sigma_{f, (q), N})$$

This combined with the Coquet-Mandés-France-Kamae Lemma 4.3 implies

$$(5.1) \quad \limsup \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{N}} f(T^{pn}x) f(T^{qn}x) \right| \leq \max\{p, q\} \limsup H(\sigma_{f,(p),N}, \sigma_{f,(q),N})$$

$$(5.2) \quad \leq \max\{p, q\} H(\sigma_{f,(p)}, \sigma_{f,(q)}).$$

Therefore we are reduced to the computation of the Hellinger distance between two primes pseudo-dilatation of the spectral measure f . For that, we shall need to extend some basic facts known in the context of generalized Riesz products.

6. On the orthogonality of the generalized Riesz products

The orthogonality of two given Riesz products has been intensively studied since the famous Zygmund dichotomy criterion [34] (see [4] for a recent exposition). Peyrière [23] was the first to extend the Zygmund criterion of singularity to the case of two Riesz products based on the same set of frequencies. The analogous results have been extended to generalized Riesz products [26],[22],[12], [13],[30] but under the restriction that the frequencies of the polynomials be dissociate. However, the polynomials arising from the rank-one constructions do not enjoy this property, making their treatment more delicate. Nevertheless, using Peyrière's idea, Klemes and Reinhold extended the Zygmund criterion in this context. One can extend in a similar way Peyrière's criterion to the generalized Riesz products arising from the rank-one spectral type. According to the previous section, this latter criterion can be used to establish Möbius disjointness. Here, we need the following version of Peyrière criterion as it is stated by G. Brown and E. Hewitt [10].

LEMMA 6.1. *Let (X, \mathcal{M}) be a measurable space. Let μ_1 and μ_2 be a probability measures on it. Suppose that there is a sequence $(\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of complex-valued functions on X that are in $\mathcal{L}_2(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu_j)$ ($j = 1, 2$) and for which the following relations hold*

$$(6.1) \quad \sum_{k \geq 0} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \left\{ \left| \int \phi_n(x) \phi_{n+k}(x) d\mu_j - \int \phi_n(x) d\mu_j \int \phi_{n+k}(x) d\mu_j \right| \right\} < \infty.$$

$$(6.2) \quad \sum_{n \geq 1} \left| \int \phi_n(x) d\mu_1 - \int \phi_n(x) d\mu_2 \right|^2 = +\infty.$$

Then, μ_1 and μ_2 are mutually singular.

We need also the following lemma from [27].

LEMMA 6.2. *Let $(n_j)_{j=0}^\infty$ be a sequence satisfying $n_{j+1} \geq n_j + 3$ and $d\alpha = \prod_{j=0}^\infty |P_{n_j}|^2$. Then there is a sequence $(m_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that:*

- (i) $\hat{\alpha}(\pm m_j) = \frac{1}{p_{n_j}}$,
- (ii) $\hat{\alpha}(m_j \pm m_k) = \hat{\alpha}(\pm m_j) \hat{\alpha}(\pm m_k), \forall j \neq k$.

The sequence (m_j) is given exactly by

$$m_j = h_{n_{j+1}} - h_{n_j} - s(n_j, p_{n_j}).$$

We are now able to give the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. By our assumption

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{p_n^2} = +\infty.$$

Therefore there is $\eta \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ such that

$$\sum_{n \equiv \eta \pmod{3}} \frac{1}{p_n^2} = +\infty.$$

Let us put $n_j = 3j + \eta$. Then $n_{j+1} = n_j + 3$. Now, by appealing to Lemma 6.2, the generalized Riesz product

$$d\alpha = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} |P_{n_j}|^2,$$

satisfy

- (1) $\hat{\alpha}(\pm m_j) = \frac{1}{p_{n_j}},$
- (2) $\hat{\alpha}(m_j \pm m_k) = \hat{\alpha}(m_j)\hat{\alpha}(m_k), \forall j \neq k.$

where $m_j = h_{n_{j+1}} - h_{n_j} - s(n_j, p_{n_j})$. Assume now that for infinity many primes q , $m_j \not\equiv 0$, for infinity many j . Then, for infinity many primes $p \neq q$, we have

$$\widehat{\alpha_{(q)}}(pm_j \pm pm_k) = \widehat{\alpha_{(q)}}(pm_j) = \widehat{\alpha_{(q)}}(pm_k) = 0.$$

We have also

- (1) $\widehat{\alpha_{(p)}}(\pm pm_j) = \frac{1}{p_{n_j}},$
- (2) $\widehat{\alpha_{(p)}}(pm_j \pm pm_k) = \widehat{\alpha_{(p)}}(pm_j)\widehat{\alpha_{(p)}}(pm_k), \forall j \neq k.$

Whence, by taking $\phi_n(z) = z^{pm_n}$, we get

$$(6.3) \quad \sum_{k \geq 0} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \left\{ \left| \int \phi_n(x) \phi_{n+k}(x) d\alpha_{(p)} - \int \phi_n(x) d\alpha_{(p)} \int \phi_{n+k}(x) d\alpha_{(p)} \right| \right\} < \infty.$$

$$(6.4) \quad \sum_{k \geq 0} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \left\{ \left| \int \phi_n(x) \phi_{n+k}(x) d\alpha_{(q)} - \int \phi_n(x) d\alpha_{(q)} \int \phi_{n+k}(x) d\alpha_{(q)} \right| \right\} < \infty.$$

$$(6.5) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \left| \int \phi_n(x) d\alpha_{(p)} - \int \phi_n(x) d\alpha_{(q)} \right|^2 = +\infty.$$

Whence

$$\frac{d\alpha_{(p)}}{d\alpha_{(q)}} = 0.$$

Applying the similar arguments as in Corollary 5.3 in [4], we conclude that

$$\frac{d\mu_{(p)}}{d\mu_{(q)}} = 0.$$

That is, for infinitely many primes $p \neq q$, we have

$$H(\mu_{(p)}, \mu_{(q)}) = 0.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

In the next subsection, we present the arguments based on the disjointness of the powers.

Möbius disjointness and spectral disjointness of the powers. As before, let us observe that we have the following

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N f(T^{pn}x) f(T^{qn}x) \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j=1}^N f(T^{pn}x) e^{in\theta} \right| \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j=1}^N f(T^{qn}x) e^{in\theta} \right| d\theta,$$

Hence,

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N f(T^{pn}x) f(T^{qn}x) \right| \leq \frac{N}{N} H(\sigma_{f,p,N}, \sigma_{f,q,N})$$

Which means that

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} f(T^{pn}x) f(T^{qn}x) \right| \leq H(\sigma_{f,T^p,N}, \sigma_{f,T^q,N})$$

and, by Coquet-Mandés-France-Kamae lemma 4.3, we deduce that we have

$$\limsup \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} f(T^{pn}x) f(T^{qn}x) \right| \leq \limsup H(\sigma_{f,T^p,N}; \sigma_{f,T^q,N}) \leq H(\sigma_{f,T^p}; \sigma_{f,T^q}).$$

At this point, we notice that if T^p and T^q are spectrally disjoint for infinitely many $p \neq q$ then T has singular spectrum (if not, T has an absolutely continuous component then T^m has a Lebesgue component for $m \geq m_0$). It follows that the Möbius disjointness holds by el Abdalaoui-Nerurkar's recent result [2].

Remark. Using our language, let us state the some known results in the situation of uniquely ergodic topological model of finite rank-one maps (X, \mathcal{A}, T, P) (P is a probability measure) where all the parameters are uniformly bounded. We have to be careful here since the symbolic dynamical system in the case of rank-one maps with spacers added on the last column is not uniquely ergodic. The proof given in [3] covers this latter case. We would like to add also that Bourgain's method and therefore our proofs of Theorem 4.1 apply to infinite symbolic rank-one maps

for which the Möbius disjointness holds. In fact, the proof gives that if f be a continuous function with zero mean (i.e. $\int f(y)d\mathbb{P} = 0$) then

$$\limsup H(\sigma_{f,(p),N}, \sigma_{f,(q),N}) = 0.$$

Later, el Abdalaoui-Lemańczyk-de-la-Rue [3] proved that for the case of some class of finite rank-one maps which include the case of of finite rank-one maps with bounded parameters we have, for a large prime $p \neq q$,

$$(6.6) \quad H(\sigma_{f,T^p}, \sigma_{f,T^q}) = 0.$$

The proof is based on the computation of the weak-limit of the maps.

In the same year, V. V. Ryzhikov [31] established that for the finite weak-mixing rank-one maps with bounded parameters we have the following weaker version: for a large prime $p \neq q$,

$$(6.7) \quad \limsup \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} f(T^{p^j}x) f(T^{q^j}x) \right| = 0.$$

This follows from the fact that those maps have a minimal self-joinings.

Acknowledgment. The first author gratefully thanks Rutgers University for an invitation to visit in 2018 and he would like to thank Mahesh Nerurkar for many discussions and Zoom-discussion on the subjects. The second-named author would like to thank the University of Rouen Normandy for an invitation to visit in 2017 when the work on this paper was started.

References

- [1] J. Aaronson, An introduction to infinite ergodic theory, Mathematical surveys and monographs 50, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I, U.S., 1997.
- [2] e. H. el Abdalaoui and M. Nerurkar, *Sarnak's Möbius disjointness for dynamical systems with singular spectrum and dissection of Möbius flow*, arXiv:2006.07646 [math.DS].
- [3] E. H. e. Abdalaoui, M. Lemańczyk and T. de la Rue, *On spectral disjointness of powers for rank-one transformations and Möbius orthogonality*, J. Funct. Anal., 266 (2014), pp. 284-317. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1301.0134>.
- [4] E. H. el Abdalaoui & M. Nadkarni, *Calculus of generalized Riesz products*, Recent trends in ergodic theory and dynamical systems, 145-180, Contemp. Math., 631, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2015.
- [5] E.H. el Abdalaoui and C. E. Silva, Möbius random law and infinite rank one maps, AMS Special Session on Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems—to Celebrate the Work of Jane Hawkins, January 12, 2018.
- [6] T. M. Apostol. *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1976. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics.
- [7] J. Bourgain, *On the correlation of the Möbius function with random rank-one systems*, J. Anal. Math. 120 (2013), 105–130.
- [8] J. Bourgain, *An approach to pointwise ergodic theorems*, Geometric aspects of functional analysis (1986/87), 204–223, Lecture Notes in Math., 1317, Springer, Berlin, 1988.
- [9] J. Bourgain, *On the spectral type of Ornstein class one transformations*, *Isr. J. Math.*, **84**(1993), 53-63.

- [10] G. Brown, and E. Hewitt, *Some new singular Fourier-Stieltjes series*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 75 (1978), no. 11, 5268-5269.
- [11] J. Bourgain, P. Sarnak, and T. Ziegler, *Disjointness of Mobius from horocycle flow*, From Fourier Analysis and Number Theory to Radon Transforms and Geometry, Springer, New York, 2013, pp. 67-83.
- [12] G. Brown & E. Hewitt, Continuous singular measures equivalent to their convolution squares. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 80 (1976), no. 2, 249-268.
- [13] G. Brown & W. Moran, On orthogonality of Riesz products, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 76 (1974), 173-181.
- [14] J. R. Choksi and M. G. Nadkarni, *The maximal spectral type of rank-one transformation*, Can. Math. Bull., **37** (1) (1994), 29-36.
- [15] J. Coquet, T. Kamae, M. Mendès-France, Sur la mesure spectrale de certaines suites arithmétiques, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 105 (1977), 369-384.
- [16] A. Danilenko, Strong orbit equivalence of locally compact Cantor minimal systems, Internat. J. Math. 12 (2001), no. 1, 113-123.
- [17] H. Davenport. *On some infinite series involving arithmetical functions II*. Quart. J. Math. Oxford **8** (1937), 313-320.
- [18] S. Gao & A. Hill, *Bounded rank-1 transformations*, J. Anal. Math. 129 (2016), 341-365.
- [19] B. Host, J-F. Méla and F. Parreau, *Nonsingular transformations and spectral analysis of measures*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 119 (1991), no. 1, 33-90.
- [20] A. M. Odlyzko and H. J. J. te Riele, *Disproof of the Mertens conjecture*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 357 (1985), 138-160.
- [21] W. Parry, *Topics in ergodic theory*, Reprint of the 1981 original. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 75. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [22] F. Parreau. Ergodicité et pureté des produits de Riesz. Ann. Inst. Fourier, 40 :391-405, 1990.
- [23] J. Peyrière, *Étude de quelques propriétés des produits de Riesz*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble **25**, 2 (1975), 127-169.
- [24] S.A. Kalikow, *Twofold mixing implies threefold mixing for rank-one transformation*, Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. **4** (1984), 237-259.
- [25] Katznelson, *An Introduction to Harmonic Analysis*, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1976.
- [26] S. J. Kilmer and S. Saeki, On Riesz Product measures : mutual absolute continuity and singularity, Ann. Inst. Fourier, t. 38 2, 1988, p. 63-69.
- [27] I. Klemes & K. Reinhold, *rank-one transformations with singular spectre type*, Isr. J. Math., **98** (1997), 1-14.
- [28] M. Queffélec, *Substitution Dynamical Systems - Spectral Analysis*. Second edition. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1294. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.
- [29] M. Nadkarni, Spectral theory of dynamical systems. Second edition. Texts and Readings in Mathematics. Springer, Singapore; Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, [2020], 2020.
- [30] G. Ritter, On Kakutani's theorem for infinite products of not necessarily independent functions, Math. Ann., Vol. 239, 1979, pp. 35-53.
- [31] V. V. Ryzhikov, *Minimal Self-joinings, bounded constructions, and weak closure of ergodic actions*, arXiv:1212.2602v2 [math DS].
- [32] P. Sarnak. Three lectures on the Möbius function, randomness and dynamics. <http://publications.ias.edu/sarnak/> (2010).
- [33] E. C. Titchmarsh. *The theory of the Riemann zeta-function*. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, second edition, 1986. Edited and with a preface by D. R. Heath-Brown.
- [34] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series* vol. II, second ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1959.

NORMANDIE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY OF ROUEN DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LMRS
UMR 60 85 CNRS, AVENUE DE L'UNIVERSITÉ, BP.12 76801 SAINT ETIENNE DU ROUVRAY -
FRANCE .

Email address: `elhoucein.elabdalaoui@univ-rouen.fr`

URL: `http://www.univ-rouen.fr/LMRS/Persopage/Elabdalaoui/`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, 18 HOXSEY STREET, WILLIAMS COLLEGE,
WILLIAMSTOWN, MA 01267

Email address: `csilva@williams.edu`

URL: `http://web.williams.edu/Mathematics/csilva/`