# VIRTUAL LOCALIZATION IN EQUIVARIANT WITT COHOMOLOGY 

MARC LEVINE


#### Abstract

We prove an analog of the virtual localization theorem of GraberPandharipande, in the setting of an action by the normalizer of the torus in $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$, and with the Chow groups replaced by the cohomology of a suitably twisted sheaf of Witt groups.
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## Introduction

The Bott residue theorem for a torus action on a variety $X$ over $\mathbb{C}$, as formulated by Edidin-Graham [8], gives an explicit formula for a class in the equivariant Chow groups of $X$, in terms of the restriction of the class to the fixed point locus, after inverting a suitable non-zero element in the equivariant Chow ring of a point. Graber and Pandharipande [9] have extended this to the case of a similar expression for the equivariant virtual fundamental class of $X$ associated to an equivariant perfect obstruction theory on $X$, in terms of the virtual fundamental class of the fixed point locus, with respect to a certain perfect obstruction theory induced by the one on $X$.

We let $G=\mathrm{SL}_{2}^{n}$ or the normalizer $N$ of the diagonal torus $T_{1}$ in $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$ and consider a quasi-projective $k$-scheme $Z$ with an action of $G$. We assume the action

[^0]
is linearized, in that $Z$ admits a closed $G$-equivariant embedding in a smooth quasiprojective $k$-scheme $M$. In [12] we consider the $G$-equivariant Witt-sheaf BorelMoore homology (with twists by a $G$-linearized invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}) H_{G *}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}(Z, \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{L}))$, and proved an analog of the Atiyah-Bott localization theorem in this setting. Note that the case of $G$ a torus is uninteresting for Witt-sheaf cohomology, as the Euler class one needs to invert to achieve the localization isomorphism is zero. We also proved a corresponding Bott residue formula for computing equivariant classes from their restriction to a suitable fixed point locus.

The main goal of this paper is to extend the virtual localization theorem of Graber-Pandharipande to this setting. We consider a $G$-linearized perfect obstruction theory $\phi_{\bullet}: E_{\bullet} \rightarrow L_{X / B}$ on some $B$-scheme $X$ with $G$-action (with some additional assumptions which are omitted here), and to this associate a virtual fundamental class $\left[X, \phi_{\bullet}\right]_{\mathcal{E}, G}^{v i r}$ in a $G$-equivariant Borel-Moore homology $\mathcal{E}_{G}^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{M} .\left(X / B G, E_{\bullet}\right)$. The equivariant Borel-Moore homology is defined as a limit, using the algebraic Borel construction of Totaro, Edidin-Graham and Morel-Voevodsky, and the virtual fundamental class is defined at each finite stage of the limit by a modification, following Graber-Pandharipande, of the method we used in [13] in the non-equivariant case.

For the virtual localization theorem, we restrict to the case of $G=N$ acting on a $k$-scheme $X$. To explain the main players in the statement below, $\mathbf{S c h}^{N} / k$ is the category of quasi-projective $k$-schemes with an $N$-action, and $\mathbf{S m}^{N} / k$ is the full subcategory of smooth $k$-schemes. $|X|^{N} \subset X^{T_{1}}$ is the union of the $N$-stable irreducible components of $X^{T_{1}}$, with $i_{j}:|X|_{j}^{N} \rightarrow X$ a certain union of connected components of $|X|^{N}$ determined by an equivariant embedding of $X$ in a smooth $Y$. The given $N$-linearized perfect obstruction theory $\phi: E_{\bullet} \rightarrow L_{X / k}$ on $X$ induces a perfect obstruction theory $\phi_{j}: i_{j}^{*} E_{\bullet}^{\mathfrak{f}} \rightarrow L_{|X|_{j}^{N} / k}$ on each $|X|_{j}^{N}$, and we have the Euler class of the virtual normal bundle, $e_{N}\left(N_{i_{j}}^{v i r}\right):=e_{N}\left(\mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{0}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)\right) \cdot e_{N}\left(\mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{1}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)\right)^{-1}$. Here ${ }^{\mathfrak{f}}$ and ${ }^{\mathfrak{m}}$ refer to the "fixed" and "moving" parts of the corresponding sheaves with respect to the $T_{1}$-action. Also, the integer $M_{0}>0$ below is chosen so that the localization theorem [12, Introduction, Theorem 5] for the $N$-action on $X$ holds after inverting $M_{0} e \in H^{*}(B N, \mathcal{W})$; here $e$ is the Euler class of the tautological rank two bundle on $B N$. See Definition 6.3 for the definition of a strict $N$-action; for the other notations used in the statement, please see $\$ 6$
Theorem 1 (Virtual localization, see Theorem6.7). Let $k$ be a field. Let $i: X \rightarrow Y$ be a closed immersion in $\mathbf{S c h}^{N} / k$, with $Y \in \mathbf{S m}^{N} / k$, and let $\phi: E_{\bullet} \rightarrow L_{X / k}$ be an $N$-linearized perfect obstruction theory on $X$. Suppose the $N$-action on $X$ is strict. Let $M=M_{0} \cdot \prod_{i, j} M_{j}^{X} \cdot M_{i}^{Y}$, where the $M_{j}^{X}, M_{i}^{Y}$ are as in Definition 6.6 and $M_{0}>0$ is as above. Let $\left[|X|_{j}^{N}, \phi_{j}\right]_{N}^{v i r} \in \mathcal{E}_{N}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}\left(|X|_{j}^{N}, i_{j}^{*} E_{\bullet}^{\mathfrak{f}}\right)$ be the equivariant virtual fundamental class for the $N$-linearized perfect obstruction theory $\phi_{j}: i_{j}^{*} E_{\bullet}^{\mathfrak{f}} \rightarrow$ $L_{|X|_{j}^{N} / k}$ on $|X|_{j}^{N}$. Then

$$
[X, \phi]_{N}^{v i r}=\sum_{j=1}^{s} i_{j *}\left(\left[|X|_{j}^{N}, \phi_{j}\right]_{N}^{v i r} \cap e_{N}\left(N_{i_{j}}^{v i r}\right)^{-1}\right) \in \mathcal{E}_{N}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X / B N, E_{\bullet}\right)[1 / M e]
$$

We recall the basic constructions and operations for cohomology and BorelMoore homology in the setting of the theories represented by a motivic ring spectrum $\mathcal{E} \in \mathrm{SH}(k)$ in §1, following [5] and [12]. This background is used in the
construction of the specialization map, fundamental classes, and the construction of Gysin maps and refined Gysin maps; we also recall some facts about cup and cap products. The main technical heart of this paper is $\$ 2$ where we prove a version, suitable for application to the general "motivic" theory, of a result of Vistoli [17. Lemma 3.16], originally proven in the setting of the Chow groups of stacks. We work in the setting of schemes with $G$-action, but probably, with the help of the recent technology in the motivic stable homotopy theory of stacks provided by Chowdhury [4] and Khan-Ravi [11], these arguments would go through for stacks.

In $\S 3$ we recall the construction of equivariant cohomology and Borel-Moore homology in the style of Totaro [16], Edidin-Graham [7] and Morel-Voevodsky [15], following the work of Di Lorenzo and Mantovani [6, §1.2]. In $\S 4$ we show how the construction of these classes as presented by Graber-Pandharipande also works in the motivic setting, and we apply this in $\$ 5$ to the construction of virtual fundamental classes in equivariant Borel-Moore homology. We assemble all the ingredients in $\S 6$ with the statement and proof of our main result.

I wish to thank Fangzhou Jin for his very useful explanations of how to apply a number of results from (5].

## 1. Preliminaries and background

1.1. Cohomology, Borel-Moore homology and the specialization map. Fix a noetherian separated base-scheme $B$ of finite Krull dimension, and an affine groupscheme $G$ over $B$. We let $\mathbf{S c h}^{G} / B$ denote the category of quasi-projective $B$ schemes with a $G$-action and let $\mathbf{S m}^{G} / B$ be the full subcategory of smooth $B$ schemes. In this section, we assume that $G$ is tame [10, Definition 2.26]; for our ultimate purpose, the case of the trivial $G$ will suffice, so this is not an essential limitation.

Following Hoyois [10, we have the $G$-equivariant motivic stable homotopy category $X \mapsto \mathrm{SH}^{G}(X), X \in \mathbf{S c h}^{G} / B$, together with its Grothendieck six-functor formalism. We refer the reader to [13] for our notation and basic facts about $\mathrm{SH}^{G}(-)$, extracted from Hoyois. For example, we have the $K$-theory space of $G$ linearized perfect complexes on $X, \mathcal{K}^{G}(X)$, and for $v \in \mathcal{K}^{G}(X)$, the associated suspension functor $\Sigma^{v}: \mathrm{SH}^{G}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{SH}^{G}(X)$. For $\mathcal{E}$ a locally free sheaf on $X$, we have the vector bundle $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}):=\operatorname{Spec}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{*} \mathcal{V}\right)$ with projection $p: \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow X$ and zero-section $s: X \rightarrow \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})$. The suspension functor $\Sigma^{\mathcal{V}}$ is defined as $p_{\#} \circ s_{*}$ and its quasi-inverse $\Sigma^{-\mathcal{V}}$ is given by the adjoint $s^{!} \circ p^{*}$.

We also have the twisted Borel-Moore homology

$$
H_{a, b}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}(X / B, v):=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{SH}^{G}(B)}\left(\Sigma^{a, b} p_{X!} \Sigma^{v} 1_{X}, 1_{B}\right)
$$

if we need to include mention of $G$, we will write this as $H_{a, b}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}(X / B, G, v)$. Replacing $1_{B}$ with an arbitrary object $\mathcal{E} \in \mathrm{SH}^{G}(B)$ gives us $\mathcal{E}_{a, b}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}(X / B, v)$. We usually let $p_{X}: X \rightarrow B$ denote the structure morphism for $X \in \boldsymbol{S c h}^{G} / B$. We will write $\mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}(X / B, v)$ for $\mathcal{E}_{0,0}^{\text {B.M. }}(X / B, v)$. In what follows we will often write $\mathcal{E}_{a, b}^{\text {B.M. }}(X, v)$ for $\mathcal{E}_{a, b}^{\text {B.M. }}(X / B, v)$ if the base-scheme $B$ is clear from the context.

We recall the construction of the specialization map. Let $\iota: X \hookrightarrow Y$ be a closed immersion of $B$-schemes with associated ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_{X} \subset \mathcal{O}_{Y}$. We have the blowup $\mathrm{Bl}_{X \times 0} Y \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \rightarrow Y \times \mathbb{A}^{1}$ and the deformation space $\operatorname{Def}_{X / Y}:=\mathrm{Bl}_{X \times 0} Y \times$ $\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash \mathrm{Bl}_{X \times 0} Y \times 0$, with open immersion $j: Y \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \operatorname{Def}_{X / Y}$ and closed
complement $i: C_{X / Y} \rightarrow \operatorname{Def}_{X / Y}$; here $C_{X / Y}$ is the normal cone of the immersion $\iota$,

$$
C_{X / Y}:=\operatorname{Spec}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}} \oplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{I}_{X}^{n} / \mathcal{I}_{X}^{n+1}
$$

Take $v$ in $\mathcal{K}^{G}(Y)$. Let

$$
\operatorname{sp}_{\iota / B, v}: p_{C_{X / Y}!} \circ \Sigma^{v} \circ p_{C_{X / Y}}^{*} \rightarrow p_{Y!} \circ \Sigma^{v} \circ p_{Y}^{*}
$$

be the natural transformation defined as follows. Start with the localization distinguished triangle

$$
j!j^{!} \rightarrow \operatorname{Id}_{\mathrm{SH}^{G}\left(\operatorname{Def}_{X / Y}\right)} \rightarrow i_{*} i^{*} \xrightarrow{\partial} j_{!} j^{!}[1]
$$

Composing $\partial$ with $p_{\operatorname{Def}_{X / Y}}$ ! on the left and $\Sigma^{v} \circ p_{\operatorname{Def}_{X / Y}}^{*}$ on the right gives

$$
p_{C_{X / Y}!} \circ \Sigma^{v} \circ p_{C_{X / Y}}^{*} \xrightarrow{\partial} p_{Y \times \mathbb{G}_{m}!} \circ \Sigma_{S^{1}} \circ \Sigma^{v} \circ p_{Y \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}}^{*}
$$

We have $p_{Y \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}!}=p_{Y!} \circ p_{1!}=p_{Y!} \circ p_{1 \#} \circ \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{-1}$, since $p_{1}$ is smooth with relative tangent bundle $\mathcal{O}_{Y \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}}$. This gives the identity

$$
p_{Y \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}!} \circ \Sigma_{S^{1}} \circ \Sigma^{v} \circ p_{Y \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}}^{*}=p_{Y!} \circ\left(\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{-1} \circ p_{1 \#} \circ p_{1}^{*}\right) \circ \Sigma_{S^{1}} \circ \Sigma^{v} \circ p_{Y}^{*}
$$

The quotient map $\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m}:=\left(\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}\right) /\{1\}$ induces the map $p_{1 \#} \circ p_{1}^{*} \cong$ $\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathbb{G}_{m}}$. Since

$$
\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}=\Sigma_{S^{1}} \circ \Sigma_{\mathbb{G}_{m}}=\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}_{m}} \circ \Sigma_{S^{1}}
$$

this gives the map
$\vartheta_{Y, v}: p_{Y \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}!} \circ \Sigma_{S^{1}} \circ \Sigma^{v} \circ p_{Y \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}}^{*} \rightarrow p_{Y!} \circ \Sigma_{S^{1}}^{-1} \circ \Sigma_{S^{1}} \circ \Sigma^{v} \circ p_{Y}^{*}=p_{Y!} \circ \Sigma^{v} \circ p_{Y}^{*}$, and we set

$$
\mathrm{sp}_{\iota / B, v}:=\vartheta_{Y, v} \circ \partial: p_{C_{X / Y}!} \circ \Sigma^{v} \circ p_{C_{X / Y}}^{*} \rightarrow p_{Y!} \circ \Sigma^{v} \circ p_{Y}^{*} .
$$

Applying this to $1_{Y}$ and taking $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{SH}^{G}(B)}(-, \mathcal{E})$ gives the map

$$
\operatorname{sp}_{C_{X / Y}, v}^{*}: \mathcal{E}_{* *}^{\text {B.M. }}(Y, v) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{* *}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(C_{X / Y}, v\right)
$$

and the commutative diagram


The specialization map commutes with smooth pull-back in cartesian squares. Let $f: Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth morphism, let $\Omega_{f}$ denote the sheaf of relative Kähler differentials, and take $v \in \mathcal{K}^{G}(Y)$. We have the natural transformation

$$
f_{!}: p_{Y^{\prime}!} \circ \Sigma^{v+\Omega_{f}} \circ p_{Y^{\prime}}^{*} \rightarrow p_{Y!} \circ \Sigma^{v} \circ p_{Y}^{*}
$$

defined by the composition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{Y^{\prime}!} \circ \Sigma^{v+\Omega_{f}} \circ p_{Y^{\prime}}^{*}=p_{Y!} \circ f_{!} \circ \Sigma^{\Omega_{f}} \circ f^{*} \circ \Sigma^{v} \circ p_{Y}^{*} \\
&=p_{Y!} \circ f_{\#} \circ f^{*} \circ \Sigma^{v} \circ p_{Y}^{*} \xrightarrow{\eta_{\#}^{*}} p_{Y!} \circ \Sigma^{v} \circ p_{Y}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives the smooth pullback map

$$
f^{!}: \mathcal{E}_{* *}^{\text {B.M. }}(Y, v) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{* *}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Y^{\prime}, v+\Omega_{f}\right) .
$$

Proposition 1.1. Let $\iota: X \rightarrow Y$ be a closed immersion and let $f: Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$ be $a$ smooth morphism, both in $\mathbf{S c h}^{G} / B$. Form the cartesian diagram


Then for $v \in \mathcal{K}^{G}(Y)$, the diagram

commutes.
Proof. This follows from the fact that the localization sequence is compatible with smooth pullback.

Remark 1.2. For $\mathcal{N}$ a locally free sheaf on some $Y$ with associated vector bundle $p: N \rightarrow Y, N:=\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{N})$, the smooth pullback

$$
p^{!}: H_{a, b}^{\mathrm{B.M.}}(Y, v) \rightarrow H_{a, b}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M.}}(N, v+\mathcal{N})
$$

is an isomorphism and one defines the pullback by the zero section

$$
0_{N}^{!}: H_{a, b}^{\text {B.M. }}(N, v+\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow H_{a, b}^{\text {B.M. }}(Y, v)
$$

to be the inverse of $p^{!}$. Thus, specialization commutes with pullback by zero-sections in cartesian squares.
1.2. Gysin morphisms. For a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathbf{S c h}^{G} / B$, the cotangent complex $L_{f}$ is a perfect, $G$-linearized complex. $L_{f}$ agrees with the class of the relative differential $\Omega_{f}$ in case $f$ is smooth, and with $\mathcal{N}_{f}[1]$ if $f$ is a regular embedding with conormal sheaf $\mathcal{N}_{f}:=\mathcal{I}_{X} / \mathcal{I}_{X}^{2}$. Note that the normal bundle $N_{f}$ is $\mathbb{V}\left(\mathcal{N}_{f}\right)$.

In what follows, we limit our discussion to the case of "absolute" Borel-Moore homology $H_{* *}^{\text {B.M. }}(X / B, v)$; everything extends to the general case $\mathcal{E}_{* *}^{\text {B.M. }}(X / B, v)$, naturally in $\mathcal{E}$. We simply the notation a bit by writing everything for $H^{\text {B.M. }}(X / B, v):=$ $H_{0,0}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}(X / B, v)$, the general case follows from this by changing $v$ suitably.

Let $\iota: Y_{0} \rightarrow Y$ be a regular embedding in $\mathbf{S c h}^{G} / B$. Then the normal cone is the normal bundle $C_{Y_{0} / Y}=N_{\iota}$ and one defines the Gysin map

$$
\iota^{!}: H^{\text {B.M. }}(Y, v) \rightarrow H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Y_{0}, v+L_{\iota}\right)
$$

as the composition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H^{\text {B.M. }}(Y, v) \xrightarrow{\text { sp }_{C_{Y_{0} / Y / B, v}}^{*}} H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(N_{\iota}, v\right) \\
& \xrightarrow{0^{\prime}} H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Y_{0}, v-\mathcal{N}_{\iota}\right)=H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Y_{0}, v+L_{\iota}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

More generally, suppose we are given a cartesian square

in $\boldsymbol{S c h}^{G} / B$, with $\iota$ a regular immersion. Letting $N_{\iota} \rightarrow Y_{0}$ be the normal bundle, this diagram defines a closed immersion $\alpha: C_{X_{0} / X} \rightarrow q^{*} N_{\iota}$. For $V \rightarrow X$ a vector bundle, we thus have the proper push-forward map

$$
\alpha_{*}: H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(C_{X_{0} / X}, v\right) \rightarrow H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(q^{*} N_{\iota}, v\right)
$$

and the Gysin pullback by the zero-section

$$
0_{q^{*} N_{\iota}}^{!}: H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(q^{*} N_{\iota}, v\right) \rightarrow H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X_{0}, v-\mathcal{N}_{\iota}\right)=H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X_{0}, v+L_{\iota}\right)
$$

Define

$$
\iota_{p}^{!}: H^{\text {B.M. }}(X, v) \rightarrow H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X_{0}, v+L_{\iota}\right)
$$

to be the composition

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{\text {B.M. }}(X, v) \xrightarrow{\text { sp }_{C_{X_{0} / X} / B}^{*}} H^{\text {B.M. }}( & \left(C_{X_{0} / X}, v\right) \\
& \xrightarrow{\alpha_{*}} H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(q^{*} N_{\iota}, v\right) \xrightarrow{0_{q^{*} N_{\iota}}^{\prime}} H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X_{0}, v+L_{\iota}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\iota!\mathrm{Id}_{Y}$ is the Gysin map $\iota$.
Proposition 1.3. Take $Y \in \mathbf{S c h}^{G} / B$ with $v \in \mathcal{K}^{G}(Y)$.
1 (compatibility with proper push-forward and smooth pullback). Let

be a commutative diagram in $\mathbf{S} \mathbf{c h}^{G} / B$, with both squares cartesian, with $\iota$ a regular immersion.
1a. Suppose $p_{2}$ is proper. Then the diagram

commutes.

1b. Suppose $p_{2}$ is smooth. Then the diagram

commutes.
2 (functoriality). Let

be a commutative diagram in $\mathbf{S c h}^{G} / B$, with both squares cartesian, and with $\iota_{0}$ and $\iota_{1}$ regular immersions. Then

$$
\iota_{1}^{!} \circ \iota_{0}^{!}=\left(\iota_{0} \circ \iota_{1}\right)^{!}: H^{\text {B.M. }}(X, v) \rightarrow H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X_{1}, v+L_{\iota_{0} \circ \iota_{1}}\right) .
$$

Here we use the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \iota_{1}^{*} \mathcal{N}_{\iota_{0}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\iota_{0} \circ \iota_{1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\iota_{1}} \rightarrow 0
$$

to identify $H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X_{1}, v+L_{\iota_{1}}+L_{\iota_{0}}\right)$ with $H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X_{1}, v+L_{\iota_{0} \circ \iota_{1}}\right)$.
3 (excess intersection formula). Let

be a commutative diagram in $\mathbf{S c h}^{G} / B$, with both squares cartesian, and with ८ and $\iota^{\prime}$ both regular immersions. We have a natural surjection of locally free sheaves $q_{1}^{*} \mathcal{N}_{\iota} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\iota^{\prime}}$ with kernel $\mathcal{E}$. Letting $E:=\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})$, this gives the Euler class e $\left(q^{*} E\right) \in$ $H\left(W_{0}, q^{*} \mathcal{E}\right)$ ([12, §3.4]) and the cap product (see Remark 1.7 below)

$$
H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(W_{0}, v+L_{\iota^{\prime}}\right) \times H\left(W_{0}, q^{*} \mathcal{E}\right) \rightarrow H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(W_{0}, v+L_{\iota^{\prime}}-q^{*} \mathcal{E}\right)
$$

Then for $\alpha \in H^{\text {B.M. }}(W, v)$, we have

$$
\iota^{!}(\alpha)=\iota^{\prime!}(\alpha) \cap e\left(q^{*} E\right) \in H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(W_{0}, v+L_{\iota}\right)=H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(W_{0}, v+L_{\iota^{\prime}}-q^{*} \mathcal{E}\right)
$$

where we use the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\iota^{\prime}} \rightarrow q_{1}^{*} \mathcal{N}_{\iota} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0
$$

to give the canonical isomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(W_{0}, v+L_{\iota}\right) & :=H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(W_{0}, v-\mathcal{N}_{\iota}\right) \\
& \cong H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(W_{0}, v-\left(\mathcal{N}_{\iota^{\prime}}+q^{*} \mathcal{E}\right)\right)=: H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(W_{0}, v+L_{\iota^{\prime}}-q^{*} \mathcal{E}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. See See [5, Proposition 2.4.2, Theorem 4.2.1, Proposition 4.2.2].

Remark 1.4. The paper 5 is set in the framework of the motivic stable category $\mathrm{SH}(-)$, not the $G$-equivariant version of Hoyois. However, all the constructions and results of [5 carry over to the equivariant case (still for $G$ tame), and we will use this extension throughout this section. As we have mentioned already, for our ultimate purpose, we only use the theory for $G$ the trivial group, so the cautious reader may safely restrict to that case.

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an lci morphism in $\mathbf{S c h}^{G} / B$, that is, $f$ admits a factorization as $p \circ i$, with $i: X \rightarrow P$ a regular closed immersion and $p: P \rightarrow Y$ a smooth morphism (both in $\mathbf{S c h}^{G} / B$ ). We have the composition

$$
H^{\text {B.M. }}(Y, v) \xrightarrow{p^{\prime}} H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(P, v-\Omega_{p}\right) \xrightarrow{i^{\prime}} H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X, v+\Omega_{p}-\mathcal{N}_{\iota}\right)=H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X, v+L_{f}\right)
$$

It is shown in [5, Theorem 3.3.2] that this composition is independent of the choice of factorization of $f$, giving the map

$$
f^{!}: H^{\text {B.M. }}(Y, v) \rightarrow H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X, v+L_{f}\right) .
$$

In addition, for composable lci morphisms $f: X \rightarrow Y, g: Y \rightarrow Z$, one has

$$
(g f)^{!}=f^{!} g^{!}
$$

after the identification $H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X, v+L_{g f}\right) \cong H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X, v+L_{f}+L_{g}\right)$ given by the distinguished triangle

$$
f^{*} L_{g} \rightarrow L_{g f} \rightarrow L_{f} \rightarrow f^{*} L_{g}[1] .
$$

As proper push-forward is compatible with smooth pullback in cartesian squares, proper push-forward is also compatible with lci pullback in cartesian squares.

Remark 1.5 (Relative pull-back). 1. Let

be a Tor-independent cartesian square in $\operatorname{Sch} / B$, with $B_{0}$ and $B_{1}$ smooth over $B$. Take $v \in \mathcal{K}(X)$. We define the relative pull-back

$$
p^{\prime}: \mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X_{1} / B_{1}, v\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X_{0} / B_{0}, v\right)
$$

as follows. Since $B_{0}$ and $B_{1}$ are smooth over $B$, the map $p$ is lci. Since the square is Tor-independent and cartesian, $q$ is an lci morphism and $L_{q}=f^{*} L_{p}$, giving the lci pull-back $q^{\prime}: \mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X_{1} / B_{1}, v\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X_{0} / B_{1}, v+L_{p}\right)$.

The purity isomorphisms

$$
p_{X_{0}!}=p_{B_{1}!} \circ\left(p f_{0}\right)!\cong p_{B_{1} \#} \circ \Sigma^{-L_{B_{1} / B}} \circ\left(p f_{0}\right)!
$$

and

$$
p_{X_{0}!}=p_{B_{0}!} \circ f_{0!} \cong p_{B_{0} \#} \circ \Sigma^{-L_{B_{0} / B}} \circ f_{0!}
$$

gives us the isomorphisms

$$
\mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X_{0} / B_{1}, v+L_{p}\right) \cong \mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X_{0} / B, v+L_{p}+L_{B_{1} / B}\right)
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X_{0} / B_{0}, v\right) \cong \mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X_{0} / B, v+L_{B_{0} / B}\right)
$$

The distinguished triangle

$$
p^{*} L_{B_{1} / B} \rightarrow L_{B_{0} / B} \rightarrow L_{p} \rightarrow p^{*} L_{B_{1} / B}
$$

gives the isomorphism

$$
\mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X_{0} / B, v+L_{p}+L_{B_{1} / B}\right) \cong \mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X_{0} / B, v+L_{B_{0} / B}\right) .
$$

Putting these together gives the isomorphism

$$
\vartheta_{p}: \mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X_{0} / B_{1}, v+L_{p}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X_{0} / B_{0}, v\right)
$$

and we define $p^{!}:=\vartheta_{p} \circ q^{!}$.
2. The relative pull-back maps are functorial with respect to adjacent cartesian squares

when defined. This follows from the functoriality of pull-back for lci morphisms and the identities

$$
q_{2}^{!} \circ \vartheta_{p_{1}}=\vartheta_{p_{1}} q_{2}^{!}
$$

and

$$
\vartheta_{p_{1} p_{2}}=\vartheta_{p_{2}} \circ \vartheta_{p_{1}},
$$

this latter after using the canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(-/ B, v+L_{p_{1}}+L_{p_{2}}\right) \cong$ $\mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(-/ B, v+L_{p_{1} p_{2}}\right)$. The easy proofs of these identities are left to the reader.
1.3. External products and refined intersection product. Take $Z, X \in \mathbf{S c h}^{G} / B$ and let $\mathcal{E}$ be a commutative ring spectrum in $\mathrm{SH}^{G}(B)$. We have the external product

$$
\boxtimes_{Z, X}: \mathcal{E}_{a, b}^{\text {B.M. }}(Z / B, v) \times \mathcal{E}_{c, d}^{\text {B.M. }}(X / B, w) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{a+c, b+d}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Z \times_{B} X / B, v+w\right)
$$

defined as follows. We have the cartesian diagram


The base-change construction of [5, 2.2.7(1)] gives us the map

$$
\Delta^{*}: \mathcal{E}_{a, b}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}(Z / B, v) \rightarrow\left(f^{*} \mathcal{E}\right)_{a, b}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M.}}\left(Z \times_{B} X / X, v\right)
$$

We also have the composition product [5, 2.2.7(4)]

$$
\left(f^{*} \mathcal{E}\right)_{a, b}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Z \times{ }_{B} X / X, v\right) \times \mathcal{E}_{c, d}^{\text {B.M. }}(X / B, w) \xrightarrow{-\bullet-} \mathcal{E}_{a+c, b+d}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Z \times_{B} X / B, v+w\right)
$$

and we define $\boxtimes_{Z, X}:=(-\bullet-) \circ\left(\Delta^{*} \times \operatorname{Id}\right)$.
Now suppose we have a $Y \in \mathbf{S m}^{G} / B$ and morphisms $f: Z \rightarrow Y, g: X \rightarrow Y$. Let $\delta_{Y}: Y \rightarrow Y \times_{B} Y$ be the diagonal. This gives us the cartesian diagram


The refined intersection product
$-\cdot_{f, g}-: \mathcal{E}_{a, b}^{\mathrm{B.M.}}(Z / B, v) \times \mathcal{E}_{c, d}^{\mathrm{B.M.}}(X / B, w) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{a+c, b+d}^{\mathrm{B.M.}}\left(Z \times_{Y} X / B, v+w-\Omega_{Y / B}\right)$
is defined as the composition

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}_{a, b}^{\text {B.M. }}(Z / B, v) \times \mathcal{E}_{c, d}^{\text {B.M. }}(X / B, w) \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{Z, X}} & \mathcal{E}_{a+c, b+d}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Z \times_{B} X / B, v+w\right) \\
& \xrightarrow{\delta_{Y}^{!}} \mathcal{E}_{a+c, b+d}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Z \times_{Y} X / B, v+w-\Omega_{Y / B}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 1.6. Let $i: Z^{\prime} \rightarrow Z, j: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ be a closed immersions in $\mathbf{S c h}^{G} / B$.

1. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_{a, b}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Z^{\prime}, v\right), \beta \in \mathcal{E}_{c, d}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X^{\prime}, w\right)$, we have

$$
i_{*}(\alpha) \boxtimes_{Z, W} j_{*}(\beta)=(i \times j)_{*}\left(\alpha \boxtimes_{Z^{\prime}, W^{\prime}} \beta\right)
$$

and

$$
i_{*}(\alpha) \cdot f, g j_{*}(\beta)=\left(i \times_{Y} j\right)_{*}\left(\alpha \cdot{ }_{f i, g j} \beta\right)
$$

2. Suppose that the cartesian squares

and

are both Tor-independent (for example if $Z \rightarrow B$ and $W^{\prime} \rightarrow B$ are both flat). Then for $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_{a, b}^{\text {B.M. }}(Z, v), \beta \in \mathcal{E}_{c, d}^{\text {B.M. }}(X, w)$, we have

$$
i^{!}(\alpha) \boxtimes_{Z^{\prime}, W^{\prime}} j^{!}(\beta)=(i \times j)^{!}\left(\alpha \boxtimes_{Z, W} \beta\right)
$$

Proof. (1) By [5, Proposition 2.2.12], we have

$$
i_{*}(\alpha) \boxtimes_{Z, X} j_{*}(\beta)=(i \times j)_{*}\left(\alpha \boxtimes_{Z^{\prime}, X^{\prime}} \beta\right)
$$

We then apply Proposition 1.3(1a) to the diagram

(2) We have the cartesian diagrams

and


The Tor-independence condition implies that $i \times \mathrm{Id}_{W^{\prime}}$ and $\mathrm{Id}_{Z} \times j$ are both lci morphisms, and that $\Delta_{1}^{*}\left(\eta_{j}\right)=\eta_{\operatorname{Id}_{z} \times j}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\operatorname{Id}_{Z} \times j\right)^{!}\left(\alpha \boxtimes_{Z, W} \beta\right) & =\Delta_{1}^{*}\left(\eta_{j}\right) \bullet \Delta^{*}(\alpha) \bullet \beta \\
& \Delta_{1}^{*}\left(\Delta^{*}(\alpha)\right) \bullet \eta_{j} \bullet \beta \\
& \Delta^{\prime *}(\alpha) \bullet \eta_{j} \bullet \beta \\
& \alpha \boxtimes_{Z, W^{\prime}} j^{!} \beta
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\left(i \times \operatorname{Id}_{W^{\prime}}\right)^{!}\left(\alpha \boxtimes_{Z, W^{\prime}} j^{!}(\beta)\right)=i^{!}(\alpha) \boxtimes_{Z^{\prime}, W^{\prime}} j^{!}(\beta)
$$

so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (i \times j)^{!}\left(\alpha \boxtimes_{Z, W} \beta\right)=\left(i \times \operatorname{Id}_{W^{\prime}}\right)^{!} \circ\left(\operatorname{Id}_{Z} \times j\right)^{!}\left(\alpha \boxtimes_{Z, W} \beta\right) \\
& =\left(i \times \operatorname{Id}_{W^{\prime}}\right)^{!}\left(\alpha \boxtimes_{Z, W^{\prime}} j^{!}(\beta)\right)=i^{!}(\alpha) \boxtimes_{Z^{\prime}, W^{\prime}} j^{!}(\beta)
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 1.7. For $f: Z \rightarrow B$ in $\mathbf{S c h}^{G} / B$ and $\mathcal{E} \in \mathrm{SH}^{G}(B)$ a commutative ring spectrum, the cap product action of $\mathcal{E}^{*, *}(Z, v)$ on $\mathcal{E}_{*, *}^{\text {B.M. }}(Z / B, w)$ is given by the identity $\mathcal{E}^{*, *}(Z, v)=\left(f^{*} \mathcal{E}\right)_{-*,-*}^{\text {B.M. }}(Z / Z,-v)$ and the composition map

$$
\left(f^{*} \mathcal{E}\right)_{-a,-b}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}(Z / Z,-v) \times \mathcal{E}_{c, d}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}(Z / B, w) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{c-a, b-d}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M}}(Z / B, w-v)
$$

1.4. Fundamental classes of lci schemes and of cones. The construction of fundamental classes plays a central role in [5]. We have the identity $H^{\text {B.M. }}(B)=$ $H^{0,0}(B)=\operatorname{End}_{\mathrm{SH}^{G}(B)}\left(1_{B}\right)$; set set $[B]=\operatorname{Id}_{1_{B}} \in H^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}(B)$.

Let $p_{X}: X \rightarrow B$ be in $\boldsymbol{S c h}^{G} / B$ and suppose that $p_{X}$ is an lci morphism (since we are considering only quasi-projective $B$-schemes, $p_{X}$ is a smoothable lci morphism in the sense of [5]). The fundamental class $[X]$ is defined as

$$
[X]:=p_{X}^{!}([B]) \in H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X, L_{X / B}\right)
$$

Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be an lci morphism in $\mathbf{S c h}^{G} / B$. By the functoriality of $(-)$ !, we have

$$
f^{!}([X])=f^{!} p_{X}^{!}([B])=p_{Y}^{!}([B])=[Y]
$$

in $H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X, L_{Y / B}\right)$.
For $p_{X}: X \rightarrow B$ lci, and $v \in \mathcal{K}^{G}(X)$, cap product with $[X]$ gives the map

$$
[X] \cap-: \mathcal{E}^{a, b}(X, v) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{-a,-b}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X / B, L_{X / B}-v\right)
$$

in case $X$ is smooth over $B$, this is the Poincaré duality isomorphism.

Lemma 1.8. Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a morphism in $\mathbf{S m} / B$ ( $f$ is automatically an lci morphism). Then the diagram

commutes.
Let $\iota: X \rightarrow Y$ be a closed immersion in $\mathbf{S c h}^{G} / B$, with $Y$ smooth over $B$. We have the cone $C_{X / Y}:=\operatorname{Spec} \oplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{I}_{X}^{n} / \mathcal{I}_{X}^{n+1}$. Define the fundamental class $\left[C_{X / Y}\right.$ ] by

$$
\left[C_{X / Y}\right]=\mathrm{sp}_{C_{X / Y} / B, \Omega_{Y / B}^{*}}^{*}([Y]) \in H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(C_{X / Y} / B, \Omega_{Y / B}\right)
$$

One can also define $\left[C_{X / Y}\right]$ as $\mathrm{sp}_{C_{X / Y / B}}^{*}([B])$.
Remark 1.9. Let $\iota: X \rightarrow Y$ be a regular closed immersion in $\mathbf{S c h} / B$ with $Y$ smooth over $B$. We have the conormal sheaf $\mathcal{N}_{\iota}:=\mathcal{I}_{X} / \mathcal{I}_{X}^{2}$ and corresponding normal bundle $N_{\iota}:=\mathbb{V}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\iota}\right)$. Then $C_{X / Y}=N_{\iota}$. Since $X$ is lci over $B$, so is $N_{\iota}$ and $\left[C_{X / Y}\right]=\left[N_{\iota}\right]$. Indeed,

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[X]=\iota^{!}([Y])=0^{!}\left(\operatorname{sp}_{C_{X / Y} / B}^{*}([Y])\right)=0^{!}\left(\left[C_{X / Y}\right]\right)} \\
0^{!}\left(\left[N_{\iota}\right]\right)=0^{!}\left(p_{N_{\iota}}^{!}([B])\right)=p_{X}^{!}([B])=[X]=0^{!}\left(\left[C_{X / Y}\right]\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and $0^{!}: H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(N_{\iota}, \Omega_{N_{\iota} / B}\right) \rightarrow H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X, \Omega_{X / B}\right)$ is an isomorphism.
Remark 1.10. It was shown in [13, Theorem 3.2] that, given two $G$-equivariant closed immersions $\iota_{j}: X \rightarrow Y_{j}, j=1,2, Y_{j} \in \mathbf{S m}^{G} / B$, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$
\psi_{i_{2}, i_{1}}: \mathcal{E}_{* *}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(C_{X / Y_{1}}, \Omega_{Y_{1} / B}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{* *}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(C_{X / Y_{2}}, \Omega_{Y_{2} / B}\right)
$$

satisfying $\psi_{i_{2}, i_{1}}\left[C_{X / Y_{1}}\right]=\left[C_{X / Y_{2}}\right]$ and $\psi_{i_{3}, i_{1}} \circ \psi_{i_{2}, i_{1}}=\psi_{i_{3}, i_{1}}$. This says that $\left[C_{X / Y}\right] \in \mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(C_{X / Y}, \Omega_{Y / B}\right)$ is canonically defined, independent of the choice of immersion $\iota$.

## 2. Vistoli's Lemma

We continue to assume that $G$ is tame. Consider a cartesian square in $\mathbf{S c h}^{G} / B$

with $i_{1}, i_{2}$ closed immersions. This gives rise to the commutative diagram with all squares cartesian


To explain the notation: we write $C_{f} \rightarrow X$ for the cone of a closed immersion $f: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$. The maps $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ are closed immersions defined in the case of affine $Y=\operatorname{Spec} A$ as follows. If $X_{j} \subset Y$ is defined by an ideal $I_{j}$, then $C_{j}=\operatorname{Spec} \oplus_{n} I_{j}^{n} / I_{j}^{n+1}$, and for $j \neq j^{\prime}, i_{j^{\prime}}^{*} C_{j}$ is $\operatorname{Spec} \oplus_{n}\left(I_{j}^{n} / I_{j}^{n+1}\right) \otimes A / I_{j^{\prime}}$ and $C_{\alpha_{j}}$ is Spec $\oplus_{m} I_{j^{\prime}}^{m} \cdot\left(\oplus_{n} I_{j}^{n} / I_{j}^{n+1}\right) / I_{j^{\prime}}^{m+1} \cdot\left(\oplus_{n} I_{j}^{n} / I_{j}^{n+1}\right)$. The algebra $\oplus_{m} I_{j^{\prime}}^{m}$. $\left(\oplus_{n} I_{j}^{n} / I_{j}^{n+1}\right) / I_{j^{\prime}}^{m+1} \cdot\left(\oplus_{n}\left(I_{j}^{n} / I_{j}^{n+1}\right)\right.$ is a quotient of $\oplus_{n, m} I_{j^{\prime}}^{m} / I_{j^{\prime}}^{m+1} \otimes_{A} I_{j}^{n} / I_{j}^{n+1}$, defining the closed immersion $\beta_{j}$.

Proposition 2.1. Write $\mathrm{sp}_{i_{1}, v}^{*}$ for $\mathrm{sp}_{C_{X_{1} / Y}, v}$, etc. For $v \in K^{G}(Y)$, we have

$$
\beta_{1 *} \circ \mathrm{sp}_{\alpha_{1} / B, v}^{*} \circ \mathrm{sp}_{i_{1} / B, v}^{*}=\beta_{2 *} \circ \mathrm{sp}_{\alpha_{2} / B, V}^{*} \circ \mathrm{sp}_{i_{2} / B, v}^{*}
$$

as maps $H^{\text {B.M. }}(Y, v) \rightarrow H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}} \times_{Y} i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}, v\right)$.
Remark 2.2. Vistoli [17, Lemma 3.16] has considered this in the case of the Chow groups and constructs for $x_{i} \in \mathrm{CH}_{*}\left(X_{i}\right)=H \mathbb{Z}_{2 *, *}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}\left(X_{i}\right)$ an explicit rational equivalence

$$
\beta_{1 *} \circ \mathrm{sp}_{\alpha_{1} / B}^{*} \circ \mathrm{sp}_{i_{1} / B}^{*}\left(x_{1}\right) \sim \beta_{2 *} \circ \operatorname{sp}_{\alpha_{2} / B}^{*} \circ \mathrm{sp}_{i_{2} / B}^{*}\left(x_{2}\right)
$$

on $i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}} \times{ }_{Y} i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}$. He uses this to extend his result to the case of Deligne-Mumford stacks. Our proof is different from Vistoli's and relies on a single geometric construction, combined with the formal properties of localization sequences for Borel-Moore homology; we do not consider the extension to stacks here, but we believe that our essentially formal approach should make this extension feasible.

Before we give the proof, we derive as corollary of Proposition 2.1 the commutativity of refined Gysin pullback.

Corollary 2.3 (Commutativity). Let

be a commutative diagram in $\mathbf{S c h}_{B}$ with all squares cartesian. Suppose that $i$ and $j$ are regular immersions. Then the diagram

commutes.
Proof. We refer to the diagram (2.1). Let $N_{i} \rightarrow S$ be the normal bundle. Let $\tilde{\alpha}_{1}: i_{2}^{*} q_{1}^{*} N_{i} \rightarrow q_{1}^{*} N_{i}$ be the closed immersion induced by $r_{1}: Z \rightarrow X_{1}$ and let $C_{\tilde{\alpha}_{1}} \rightarrow i_{2}^{*} q_{1}^{*} N_{i}$ be the corresponding cone. We have the commutative diagram

with all squares cartesian and with $\gamma_{i_{1}}$ and $\gamma_{\alpha_{1}}$ the canonical closed immersions.
Let $0_{q^{\prime}, i}: X_{1} \rightarrow q^{*} N_{i}, 0_{t_{2}, i}: Z \rightarrow t_{2}^{*} N_{i}$, and $0_{h_{1}, j}: i_{2}^{* *} C_{i_{1}} \rightarrow h_{1}^{*} N_{j}$ be the 0 -sections. For $y \in H_{a, b}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}(Y, v)$ we have

$$
j^{!} i^{!}(y)=j^{!}\left[0_{q^{\prime}, i}^{!}\left(\gamma_{i_{1} *} \operatorname{sp}_{i_{1}}^{*}(y)\right)\right]
$$

Since the refined Gysin map commutes with proper push-forward, smooth pullback, and pullback with respect to 0 -sections (Proposition 1.3) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
j^{!} i^{!}(y) & =j^{!}\left[0_{q^{\prime}, i}^{!}\left(\gamma_{i_{1} *} \mathrm{sp}_{i_{1}}^{*}(y)\right)\right] \\
& =0_{t_{2}, i}^{!} j^{!}\left(\gamma_{i_{1} *} \operatorname{sp}_{i_{1}}^{*}(y)\right) \\
& \left.=0_{t_{2}, i}^{!} \gamma_{i_{1} *}^{\prime} j^{!} \mathrm{sp}_{i_{1}}^{*}(y)\right) \\
& =0_{t_{2}, i}^{!} \gamma_{i_{1} *}^{\prime} 0_{h_{1}, j}^{!} \gamma_{\alpha_{1} *} \mathrm{sp}_{\alpha_{1}}^{*} \operatorname{sp}_{i_{1}}^{*}(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

We have the cartesian diagram

with $\gamma_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ the pullback of the closed immersion $\gamma_{i_{1}}^{\prime}$. Let $0_{1}: t_{2}^{*} N_{i} \rightarrow t_{2}^{*} N_{i} \times{ }_{Z} t_{1}^{*} N_{j}$ be the 0 -section to $p_{1}$ and let $\gamma_{1}: C_{\alpha_{1}} \rightarrow t_{2}^{*} N_{j} \times{ }_{Z} t_{1}^{*} N_{i}$ be the composition $\gamma_{1}^{\prime \prime} \circ \gamma_{\alpha_{1}}$.

Since the pullback by a 0 -section commutes with proper push-forward in a cartesian square, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
j^{!!}!(y) & =0_{t_{2}, i}^{!} \gamma_{i_{1} *}^{\prime} 0_{h, j}^{!} \gamma_{\alpha_{1} *} \operatorname{sp}_{\alpha_{1}}^{*} \operatorname{sp}_{i_{1}}^{*}(y) \\
& =0_{t_{2}, i}^{!} 0_{2}^{!} \gamma_{1 *} \operatorname{sp}_{\alpha_{1}}^{*} \operatorname{sp}_{i_{1}}^{*}(y) \\
& =0_{1,2}^{!} \gamma_{1 *} \operatorname{sp}_{\alpha_{1}}^{*} \operatorname{sp}_{i_{1}}^{*}(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $0_{1,2}=0_{1} \circ 0_{t_{2}, i}: Z \rightarrow t_{1}^{*} N_{j} \times{ }_{Z} t_{2}^{*} N_{i}$ is the zero-section.
By symmetry, we have the projection $h_{2}: C_{\alpha_{2}} \rightarrow S$, the canonical closed immersion $\gamma_{\alpha_{2}}: C_{\alpha_{2}} \rightarrow h_{2}^{*} N_{i}$, the closed immersion $\gamma_{2}^{\prime \prime}: h_{2}^{*} N_{i} \rightarrow t_{2}^{*} N_{j} \times_{Z} t_{1}^{*} N_{i}$, the composition

$$
\gamma_{2 *}:=\gamma_{2}^{\prime \prime} \circ \gamma_{\alpha_{2}}: C_{\alpha_{2}} \rightarrow t_{2}^{*} N_{i} \times{ }_{Z} t_{1}^{*} N_{j}
$$

and the identity

$$
i^{!} j^{!}(y)=0_{1,2}^{!} \gamma_{2 *} \mathrm{sp}_{\alpha_{2}}^{*} \mathrm{sp}_{i_{2}}^{*}(y)
$$

We have the closed immersion $\gamma_{i_{2}}^{\prime}: i_{1}^{\prime *} C_{i_{2}} \rightarrow t_{1}^{*} N_{j}$, giving us the closed immersion

$$
\gamma_{1,2}:=\gamma_{i_{1}}^{\prime} \times \gamma_{i_{2}}^{\prime}: i_{2}^{\prime *} C_{i_{1}} \times{ }_{Z} i_{1}^{\prime *} C_{i_{2}} \rightarrow t_{2}^{*} N_{i} \times{ }_{Z} t_{1}^{*} N_{j}
$$

Recalling the closed immersions

$$
\beta_{1}: C_{\alpha_{1}} \rightarrow i_{2}^{\prime *} C_{i_{1}} \times{ }_{Z} i_{1}^{\prime *} C_{i_{2}}, \beta_{2}: C_{\alpha_{2}} \rightarrow i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}} \times{ }_{Z} i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}
$$

one checks that

$$
\gamma_{i}=\gamma_{12} \circ \beta_{i}, i=1,2
$$

so we have

$$
j^{!} i^{!}(y)=0_{1,2}^{!} \gamma_{12 *} \beta_{1 *} \operatorname{sp}_{\alpha_{1}}^{*} \operatorname{sp}_{i_{1}}^{*}(y)
$$

and

$$
i^{!} j^{!}(y)=0_{1,2}^{!} \gamma_{12 *} \beta_{2 *} \mathrm{sp}_{\alpha_{2}}^{*} \mathrm{sp}_{i_{2}}^{*}(y)
$$

so the identity $i^{!} j^{!}(y)=j^{!} i^{!}(y)$ follows from Proposition 2.1.
For the proof of Proposition 2.1, we use a "double deformation diagram",

$$
\pi_{12}: \operatorname{Def}_{12} \rightarrow Y \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \times \mathbb{A}^{1}
$$

which we now proceed to construct.
Let $\operatorname{Def}_{1}=\left(\operatorname{Def}_{X_{1}} Y\right) \times \mathbb{A}^{1}$ and let $\operatorname{Def}_{2}=\operatorname{Def}_{X_{2} \times \mathbb{A}^{1}}\left(Y \times \mathbb{A}^{1}\right)$. These both have structure maps $\pi_{i}: \operatorname{Def}_{i} \rightarrow Y \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \times \mathbb{A}^{1} ; \operatorname{Def}_{1}$ is an open subscheme of $\mathrm{Bl}_{X_{1} \times 0 \times \mathbb{A}^{1}} Y \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \times \mathbb{A}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{Def}_{2}$ is an open subscheme of $\mathrm{Bl}_{X_{2} \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \times 0} Y \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \times \mathbb{A}^{1}$. Let $\operatorname{Def}_{12} \rightarrow Y \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \times \mathbb{A}^{1}$ be the fiber product

$$
\operatorname{Def}_{12}:=\operatorname{Def}_{1} \times_{Y \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \times \mathbb{A}^{1}} \operatorname{Def}_{2}
$$

with structure morphism

$$
\pi_{12}: \operatorname{Def}_{12} \rightarrow Y \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \times \mathbb{A}^{1}
$$

and projections

$$
p_{j}: \operatorname{Def}_{12} \rightarrow \operatorname{Def}_{j}
$$

We have $\pi_{1}^{-1}\left(Y \times 0 \times \mathbb{A}^{1}\right)=C_{i_{1}} \times \mathbb{A}^{1}$ and $\pi_{2}^{-1}\left(Y \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \times 0\right)=C_{i_{2}} \times \mathbb{A}^{1}$, giving closed immersions

$$
\sigma_{j}: C_{i_{j}} \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Def}_{j}
$$

with respective open complements

$$
\eta_{1}: Y \times\left(\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}\right) \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Def}_{1}, \eta_{2}: Y \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \times\left(\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Def}_{2}
$$

The proper transform of $\sigma_{1}\left(C_{i_{1}} \times \mathbb{A}^{1}\right)$ to $\operatorname{Def}_{12}$ is an open subscheme of $\mathrm{Bl}_{i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1} \times 0}} C_{i_{1}} \times$ $\mathbb{A}^{1}$, namely $\operatorname{Def}_{i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}}} C_{i_{1}}$, giving the closed immersion

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{1}: \operatorname{Def}_{i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}}} C_{i_{1}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Def}_{12}
$$

over the closed immersion $Y \times 0 \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \rightarrow Y \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \times \mathbb{A}^{1}$. This gives us the commutative diagram

with $\hat{\sigma}_{1}$ the canonical morphism.
Similarly, we have the closed immersion

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{2}: \operatorname{Def}_{i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}} C_{i_{2}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Def}_{12}
$$

over the closed immersion $Y \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \times 0 \rightarrow Y \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \times \mathbb{A}^{1}$, which gives us the commutative diagram

with $\hat{\sigma}_{2}$ the canonical morphism.
Thus, the closed immersions

$$
\operatorname{Def}_{i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}}} C_{i_{1}} \hookrightarrow \pi_{12}^{-1}\left(Y \times 0 \times \mathbb{A}^{1}\right), \operatorname{Def}_{i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}} C_{i_{2}} \hookrightarrow \pi_{12}^{-1}\left(Y \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \times 0\right)
$$

are isomorphisms over $Y \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash Y \times 0 \times 0$.
We have

$$
\pi_{12}^{-1}(Y \times 0 \times 0)=\pi_{1}^{-1}(Y \times 0 \times 0) \times_{Y} \pi_{2}^{-1}(Y \times 0 \times 0)=i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}} \times_{Y} i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}
$$

So

$$
\pi_{12}^{-1}\left(Y \times 0 \times \mathbb{A}^{1}\right)=\operatorname{Def}_{i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}}} C_{i_{1}} \cup i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}} \times{ }_{Y} i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}
$$

and

$$
\pi_{12}^{-1}\left(Y \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \times 0\right)=\operatorname{Def}_{i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}} C_{i_{2}} \cup i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}} \times{ }_{Y} i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}
$$

The fiber of $\operatorname{Def}_{i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}}} C_{i_{1}} \rightarrow Y \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \times \mathbb{A}^{1}$ over $Y \times 0 \times 0$ is $C_{\alpha_{1}} \subset \operatorname{Def}_{i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}}} C_{i_{1}}$ and the inclusion of this fiber in $\pi_{12}^{-1}(Y \times 0 \times 0)$ is the closed immersion $\beta_{1}$. Similarly, the inclusion of $C_{\alpha_{2}} \subset \operatorname{Def}_{i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}} C_{i_{2}}$ in $\pi_{12}^{-1}(Y \times 0 \times 0)$ is the closed immersion $\beta_{2}$.

We fit this all together in the following commutative diagram


Moreover, $\pi_{12}$ restricts to an isomorphism
$\operatorname{Def}_{12} \backslash \pi_{12}^{-1}\left(Y \times 0 \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \cup Y \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \times 0\right) \xrightarrow[\sim]{\pi_{12}} Y \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\left(Y \times 0 \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \cup Y \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \times 0\right)$, we have
$\pi_{12}^{-1}\left(Y \times 0 \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \cup Y \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \times 0\right)=\hat{\sigma}_{1}\left(\operatorname{Def}_{i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}}} C_{i_{1}}\right) \cup i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}} \times{ }_{Y} i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}} \cup \hat{\sigma}_{2}\left(\operatorname{Def}_{i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}} C_{i_{2}}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}} \times{ }_{Y} i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}} \cap \hat{\sigma}_{1}\left(\operatorname{Def}_{i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}}} C_{i_{1}}\right)=\beta_{1}\left(C_{\alpha_{1}}\right) \\
& i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}} \times{ }_{Y} i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}} \cap \hat{\sigma}_{2}\left(\operatorname{Def}_{i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}} C_{i_{2}}\right)=\beta_{2}\left(C_{\alpha_{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We now proceed to the proof of Proposition 2.1.
Proof of Proposition 2.1. As in the construction of the map 1.1), we have the identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (2.2) \quad p_{Y \times\left(\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}\right)^{2}!} \circ \Sigma_{S^{1}}^{2} \circ \Sigma^{v} \circ p_{Y \times\left(\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}\right)^{2}}^{*} \\
& =p_{Y!} \circ\left(\left[\Sigma^{p_{12}^{*}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}}\right)} \circ \Sigma^{p_{13}^{*}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}}\right)}\right]^{-1} \circ\left(p_{12 \#} \circ p_{12}^{*}\right) \circ\left(p_{13 \#} \circ p_{13}^{*}\right) \circ \Sigma_{S^{1}}^{2} \circ \Sigma^{v} \circ p_{Y}^{*}\right. \\
& =p_{Y!} \circ\left[\Sigma^{p_{13}^{*}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}}\right)}\right]^{-1} \circ\left[\Sigma^{p_{12}^{*}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}}\right.}\right]^{-1} \circ\left(p_{12 \#} \circ p_{12}^{*}\right) \circ\left(p_{13 \#} \circ p_{13}^{*}\right) \circ \Sigma_{S^{1}}^{2} \circ \Sigma^{v} \circ p_{Y}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the isomorphism

$$
\left(p_{12 \#} \circ p_{12}^{*}\right)=\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}^{1}} \backslash\{0\},
$$

the isomorphism $\left.\Sigma^{p_{12}^{*}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{A}^{1}} \backslash\{0\}\right.}\right) \cong \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{-1}$, and the projection $\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathbb{G}_{m}}$, this gives the map

$$
\theta_{1}:\left[\Sigma^{p_{12}^{*}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}}\right)}\right]^{-1} \circ\left(p_{12 \#} \circ p_{12}^{*}\right) \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{-1} \circ \Sigma_{\mathbb{G}_{m}}=\Sigma_{S^{1}}^{-1}
$$

Similarly, we have the map

$$
\theta_{2}:\left[\Sigma^{p_{13}^{*}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}}\right)}\right]^{-1} \circ\left(p_{13 \#} \circ p_{13}^{*}\right) \rightarrow \Sigma_{S^{1}}^{-1}
$$

We note that $\Sigma_{S^{1}}$ and $\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}_{m}}$ commute and the translation functor $\Sigma_{S^{1}}$ commutes with the exact functors $p_{i j \#}, p_{i j}^{*}$. Applying $\theta_{1},(2.2$ thus gives the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vartheta_{Y, v, 2}: p_{Y \times\left(\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}\right)^{2}!} \circ \Sigma_{S^{1}}^{2} \circ \Sigma^{v} \circ p_{Y \times\left(\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}\right)^{2}}^{*} \\
& \qquad \rightarrow p_{Y!} \circ\left[\Sigma^{p_{13}^{*}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{A}^{1}} \backslash\{0\}\right.}\right)^{-1} \circ\left(p_{13 \#} \circ p_{13}^{*}\right) \circ \Sigma_{S^{1}}^{-1} \Sigma_{S^{1}}^{2} \circ \Sigma^{V} \circ p_{Y}^{*} \\
& \quad \rightarrow p_{Y!} \circ \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{-1} \circ \Sigma_{\mathbb{G}_{m}} \circ \Sigma_{S^{1}} \circ \Sigma^{v} \circ p_{Y}^{*}=p_{Y!} \circ \Sigma^{v} \circ p_{Y}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

the second map coming from the projection $\left(p_{13 \#} \circ p_{13}^{*}\right) \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathbb{G}_{m}}$ and the isomorphism $\Sigma^{p_{13}^{*}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}}\right) \cong \Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^{1}} \text {. } . . . . ~}$

To define a corresponding map

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vartheta_{Y, v, 1}: p_{Y \times\left(\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}\right)^{2}!} \circ \Sigma_{S^{1}}^{2} \circ \Sigma^{v} \circ p_{Y \times\left(\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}\right)^{2}}^{*} \\
& \qquad \rightarrow p_{Y!} \circ\left[\Sigma^{p_{12}^{*}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}}\right)}\right]^{-1} \circ\left(p_{12 \#} \circ p_{12}^{*}\right) \circ \Sigma_{S^{1}}^{-1} \Sigma_{S^{1}}^{2} \circ \Sigma^{v} \circ p_{Y}^{*} \\
& \\
& \rightarrow p_{Y!} \circ \Sigma^{v} \circ p_{Y}^{*},
\end{aligned}
$$

we need to commute $\left[\Sigma^{p_{13}^{*}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}}\right)}\right]^{-1}$ with $\left[\Sigma^{p_{12}^{*}\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}}\right)}\right]^{-1}$ and $\left(p_{13 \#} \circ p_{13}^{*}\right) \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathbb{G}_{m}}$ with $\left(p_{12 \#} \circ p_{12}^{*}\right) \rightarrow \Sigma_{\mathbb{G}_{m}}$. This introduces the automorphism $\tau_{\mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathbb{P}^{1}} \circ \tau_{\mathbb{G}_{m}, \mathbb{G}_{m}}$, which by Lemma 2.4 below is the element $-\operatorname{Id}_{1_{B}} \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathrm{SH}(B)}\left(1_{B}\right)$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta_{Y, v, 1}=-\vartheta_{Y, v, 2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\operatorname{Def}_{12}^{\circ}=\operatorname{Def}_{12} \backslash \pi_{12}^{-1}(Y \times 0 \times 0)$, let $\operatorname{Def}_{i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}}}^{\circ} C_{i_{1}}=\operatorname{Def}_{i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}}} C_{i_{1}} \backslash C_{\alpha_{1}}$ and let $\operatorname{Def}_{i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}}^{\circ} C_{i_{2}}=\operatorname{Def}_{i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}} C_{i_{2}} \backslash C_{\alpha_{2}}$. This gives us the closed immersion

$$
i^{\circ}: \operatorname{Def}_{i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}}}^{\circ} C_{i_{1}} \amalg \operatorname{Def}_{i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}}^{\circ} C_{i_{2}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Def}_{12}^{\circ}
$$

with open complement

$$
\eta^{\circ}: Y \times\left(\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}\right)^{2} \rightarrow \operatorname{Def}_{12}^{\circ}
$$

Via the maps $\vartheta_{Y, v, 2}, \vartheta_{Y, v, 1}$ and the relation (2.3), the localization sequence for

$$
\operatorname{Def}_{i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}}} C_{i_{1}}^{\circ} \amalg \operatorname{Def}_{i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}} C_{i_{2}}^{\circ} \xrightarrow{i^{\circ}} \operatorname{Def}_{12}^{\circ} \stackrel{\eta^{\circ}}{\longleftarrow} Y \times\left(\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}\right)^{2}
$$

yields the commutative diagram


Indeed, we have

$$
\operatorname{Def}_{12}^{\circ} \backslash \operatorname{Def}_{i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}} C_{i_{2}}^{\circ}=\operatorname{Def}_{1} \backslash \pi_{1}^{-1}\left(Y \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \times 0\right) \cong\left(\operatorname{Def}_{C_{i_{1}}} Y\right) \times\left(\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}\right)
$$

so the localization sequence for

$$
C_{i_{1}} \times\left(\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}\right) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Def}_{1} \backslash \pi_{1}^{-1}\left(Y \times \mathbb{A}^{1} \times 0\right) \hookleftarrow Y \times\left(\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}\right) \times\left(\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}\right)
$$

gives the specialization map $\mathrm{sp}_{C_{i_{1}}, V}^{*}$ after applying the maps $\bar{\pi}_{1}^{\circ *} \circ \vartheta_{C_{i_{1}}, v}^{*}$ and $\vartheta_{Y, v, 1}^{*}$. The argument for the specialization for $C_{i_{2}}$ is similar.

Now let $\operatorname{Def}_{12}^{\circ^{\prime}}=\operatorname{Def}_{12} \backslash\left(\beta_{1}\left(C_{\alpha_{1}}\right) \cup \beta_{2}\left(C_{\alpha_{2}}\right)\right.$ and let $i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}} \times_{Y} i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}^{\circ^{\prime}}=i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}} \times_{Y}$ $i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}} \backslash\left(\beta_{1}\left(C_{\alpha_{1}}\right) \cup \beta_{2}\left(C_{\alpha_{2}}\right)\right.$. The closed immersion $i^{\circ}$ extends to a closed immersion

$$
i^{\circ^{\prime}}: \operatorname{Def}_{i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}}}^{\circ} C_{i_{1}} \amalg \operatorname{Def}_{i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}}^{\circ} C_{i_{2}} \amalg i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}} \times{ }_{Y} i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}^{\circ^{\prime}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Def}_{12}^{\circ^{\prime}}
$$

with open complement

$$
\eta^{\circ^{\prime}}: Y \times\left(\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}\right)^{2} \rightarrow \operatorname{Def}_{12}^{\circ^{\prime}}
$$

The corresponding localization sequence gives us the boundary map

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{a+2, b}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}\left(Y \times\left(\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}\right)^{2}, v\right) \\
\xrightarrow{\left(\partial_{1}, \partial_{2}, \partial_{3}^{\prime}\right)} \\
H_{a+1, b}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .,}\left(\operatorname{Def}_{i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}}}^{\circ} C_{i_{1}}, v\right) \oplus H_{a+1, b}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}\left(\mathrm{Def}_{i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}}^{\circ} C_{i_{2}}, v\right) \\
\oplus \\
H_{a+1, b}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}\left(i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}} \times{ }_{Y} i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}^{\iota^{\prime}}, v\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

We have the closed immersion

$$
i: \operatorname{Def}_{i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}}} C_{i_{1}} \cup \operatorname{Def}_{i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}} C_{i_{2}} \cup i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}} \times{ }_{Y} i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Def}_{12}
$$

with open complement

$$
\eta: Y \times\left(\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}\right)^{2} \rightarrow \operatorname{Def}_{12}
$$

and the closed immersion

$$
\bar{i}: \beta_{1}\left(C_{\alpha_{1}}\right) \cup \beta_{2}\left(C_{\alpha_{2}}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Def}_{i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}}} C_{i_{1}} \cup \operatorname{Def}_{i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}} C_{i_{2}} \cup i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}} \times{ }_{Y} i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}
$$

with open complement

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Def}_{i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}}}^{\circ} C_{i_{1}} \amalg \operatorname{Def}_{i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}}^{\circ} C_{i_{2}} \amalg i_{2}^{*} & C_{i_{1}} \times{ }_{Y} i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}^{\circ^{\prime}} \\
& \xrightarrow{\bar{\eta}} \operatorname{Def}_{i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}}} C_{i_{1}} \cup \operatorname{Def}_{i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}} C_{i_{2}} \cup i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}} \times{ }_{Y} i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives us the boundary maps

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{a+2, b}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}\left(Y \times\left(\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}\right)^{2}\right. & , v) \\
& \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{a+1, b}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}\left(\operatorname{Def}_{i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}}} C_{i_{1}} \cup \operatorname{Def}_{i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}} C_{i_{2}} \cup i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}} \times{ }_{Y} i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}, v\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{a+1, b}^{\mathrm{B.M.}}\left(\operatorname{Def}_{i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}}}^{\circ} C_{i_{1}}, v\right) \oplus H_{a+1, b}^{\mathrm{B.M.}}\left(\operatorname{Def}_{i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}}^{\circ} C_{i_{2}}, v\right) \\
& \stackrel{+}{H_{a+1, b}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}\left(i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}} \times{ }_{Y} i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}^{\circ^{\prime}}, v\right)} \\
& \quad \stackrel{\bar{\partial}}{\rightarrow} H_{a, b}^{\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{M} .}\left(\beta_{1}\left(C_{\alpha_{1}}\right) \cup \beta_{2}\left(C_{\alpha_{2}}\right), v\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

fitting into their respective long exact localization sequences.
Now take $x \in H_{a+2, b}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}\left(Y \times\left(\mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash\{0\}\right)^{2}, v\right)$. We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial}\left(\left(\partial_{1}, \partial_{2}, \partial_{3}^{\prime}\right)(x)\right)=0 \in H_{a, b}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}\left(\beta_{1}\left(C_{\alpha_{1}}\right) \cup \beta_{2}\left(C_{\alpha_{2}}\right), v\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, we have the commutative diagram

with the right-hand column a part of the long exact localization sequence for $\bar{i}, \bar{\eta}$.
Let

$$
\bar{\partial}_{1}: H_{a+1, b}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(\operatorname{Def}_{i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}}^{\circ} C_{i_{2}}, v\right) \rightarrow H_{a, b}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(C_{\alpha_{1}}, v\right)
$$

be the boundary map in the localization sequence for

$$
C_{\alpha_{1}} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Def}_{i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}} C_{i_{2}} \hookleftarrow \operatorname{Def}_{i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}}^{\circ} C_{i_{2}}
$$

and define

$$
\bar{\partial}_{2}: H_{a+1, b}^{\mathrm{B.M.}}\left(\operatorname{Def}_{i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}}}^{\circ} C_{i_{1}}, v\right) \rightarrow H_{a, b}^{\mathrm{B.M.}}\left(C_{\alpha_{2}}, v\right)
$$

similarly. Let

$$
\bar{\partial}_{3}: H_{a+1, b}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}\left(i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}} \times_{Y} i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}^{\circ^{\prime}}, v\right) \rightarrow H_{a, b}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}\left(\beta_{1}\left(C_{\alpha_{1}}\right) \cup \beta_{2}\left(C_{\alpha_{2}}\right), v\right)
$$

be the boundary map for the localization sequence for

$$
\beta_{1}\left(C_{\alpha_{1}}\right) \cup \beta_{2}\left(C_{\alpha_{2}}\right) \hookrightarrow i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}} \times_{Y} i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}} \hookleftarrow i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}} \times_{Y} i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}^{\circ^{\prime}}
$$

Let $\bar{\beta}_{j}: C_{\alpha_{j}} \rightarrow \beta_{1}\left(C_{\alpha_{1}}\right) \cup \beta_{2}\left(C_{\alpha_{2}}\right)$ be the closed immersion induced by $\beta_{j}$. Then

$$
\bar{\beta}_{1 *}\left(\bar{\partial}_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)=\bar{\partial}\left(x_{1}, 0,0\right), \bar{\beta}_{2 *}\left(\bar{\partial}_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)=\bar{\partial}\left(0, x_{2}, 0\right), \bar{\partial}_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)=\bar{\partial}\left(0,0, x_{3}\right)
$$

for

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{1} \in H_{a+1, b}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}\left(\operatorname{Def}_{i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}}^{\circ} C_{i_{2}}, v\right), x_{2} \in H_{a+1, b}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}\left(\operatorname{Def}_{i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}}}^{\circ} C_{i_{1}}, v\right), \\
x_{3} \in H_{a+1, b}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}\left(\left(i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}} \times{ }_{Y} i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}\right)^{\circ^{\prime}}, v\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Take $x \in H_{a+2, b}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M}}(Y, v)$. Putting this all together with 2.5 and the commutativity of $\sqrt{2.4}$, and inserting the definition of the various specialization maps, we arrive at the identity

$$
\bar{\beta}_{1 *}\left(\operatorname{sp}_{\alpha_{1}}^{*}\left(\operatorname{sp}_{i_{1}}^{*}(x)\right)\right)-\bar{\beta}_{2 *}\left(\operatorname{sp}_{\alpha_{2}}^{*}\left(\operatorname{sp}_{i_{2}}^{*}(x)\right)\right)=\bar{\partial}_{3}\left(\partial_{3}^{\prime}(x)\right)
$$

in $H_{a, b}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(\beta_{1}\left(C_{\alpha_{1}}\right) \cup \beta_{2}\left(C_{\alpha_{2}}\right), v\right)$. Pushing forward to $H_{a, b}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}} \times_{Y} i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}, v\right)$, and applying the localization sequence for $\beta_{1}\left(C_{\alpha_{1}}\right) \cup \beta_{2}\left(C_{\alpha_{2}}\right) \hookrightarrow i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}} \times_{Y} i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}$ yields the identity

$$
\beta_{1 *}\left(\operatorname{sp}_{\alpha_{1}}^{*}\left(\operatorname{sp}_{i_{1}}^{*}(x)\right)\right)=\beta_{2 *}\left(\operatorname{sp}_{\alpha_{2}}^{*}\left(\operatorname{sp}_{i_{2}}^{*}(x)\right)\right)
$$

in $H_{a, b}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}\left(i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}} \times{ }_{Y} i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}, v\right)$, which completes the proof.

Lemma 2.4. Let $\tau_{\mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathbb{P}^{1}}, \tau_{\mathbb{G}_{m}, \mathbb{G}_{m}}: 1_{B} \rightarrow 1_{B}$ be the automorphisms of $1_{B}$ induced by the symmetry automorphisms $\mathbb{P}^{1} \wedge \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1} \wedge \mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathbb{G}_{m} \wedge \mathbb{G}_{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m} \wedge \mathbb{G}_{m}$. Then

$$
\tau_{\mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathbb{P}^{1}} \circ \tau_{\mathbb{G}_{m}, \mathbb{G}_{m}}=\tau_{\mathbb{G}_{m}, \mathbb{G}_{m}} \circ \tau_{\mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathbb{P}^{1}}=-\mathrm{Id}_{1_{B}}
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\mathbb{P}^{1} \wedge \mathbb{P}^{1} \cong S^{1} \wedge \mathbb{G}_{m} \wedge S^{1} \wedge \mathbb{G}_{m}
$$

and $\Sigma_{S_{1}}$ commutes with $\Sigma_{\mathbb{G}_{m}}$. Thus

$$
\tau_{\mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathbb{P}^{1}} \circ \tau_{\mathbb{G}_{m}, \mathbb{G}_{m}}=\tau_{S^{1}, S^{1}} \circ \tau_{\mathbb{G}_{m}, \mathbb{G}_{m}}^{2}=\tau_{S^{1}, S^{1}}
$$

and it follows from the identity $\tau_{S^{1}, S^{1}}=-\mathrm{Id}$ in the classical stable homotopy category that $\tau_{\mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathbb{P}^{1}} \circ \tau_{\mathbb{G}_{m}, \mathbb{G}_{m}}=-\operatorname{Id}_{1_{B}}$. The proof for $\tau_{\mathbb{G}_{m}, \mathbb{G}_{m}} \circ \tau_{\mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathbb{P}^{1}}$ is the same.

Now consider a cartesian square

as above, with $i$ a closed immersion and with $Y \rightarrow B$ in $\mathbf{S m} / B$. We assume that the bottom square is Tor-independent.

This gives the diagram with all squares cartesian


We also have the closed immersion $\beta: C_{X_{0} / Y_{0}} \rightarrow \iota^{*} C_{X / Y}$; using the outer rectangle and the distinguished triangle

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\iota} \rightarrow \iota^{*} \Omega_{Y / B} \rightarrow L_{Y_{0} / B} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\iota}[1]
$$

gives the refined Gysin pullback
$\iota^{!}: H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(C_{X / Y} / B, \Omega_{Y / B}\right) \rightarrow H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(\iota^{*} C_{X / Y} / B, \Omega_{Y / B}-\mathcal{N}_{\iota}\right) \cong H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(\iota^{*} C_{X / Y} / B, L_{Y_{0} / B}\right)$
Similarly, the distinguished triangle

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\iota} \rightarrow \Omega_{Y_{0} / B_{0}} \rightarrow L_{Y_{0} / B} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\iota}[1]
$$

and isomorphism $\mathcal{N}_{\iota} \cong \pi_{0}^{*} \mathcal{N}_{\bar{\iota}}$ gives the isomorphism

$$
H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(\iota^{*} C_{X / Y} / B, L_{Y_{0} / B}\right) \cong H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(\iota^{*} C_{X / Y} / B_{0}, \Omega_{Y_{0} / B_{0}}\right) .
$$

We have the fundamental classes

$$
\left[C_{X / Y}\right] \in H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(C_{X / Y} / B, \Omega_{Y / B}\right),\left[C_{X_{0} / Y_{0}}\right] \in H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(C_{X_{0} / Y_{0}} / B_{0}, \Omega_{Y_{0} / B_{0}}\right)
$$

and the closed immersion $\beta: C_{X_{0} / Y_{0}} \rightarrow \iota^{*} C_{X / Y}:=C_{X / Y} \times_{B} B_{0}$, inducing the map

$$
\beta_{*}: H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(C_{X_{0} / Y_{0}} / B_{0}, \Omega_{Y_{0} / B_{0}}\right) \rightarrow H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(\iota^{*} C_{X / Y} / B_{0}, \Omega_{Y_{0} / B_{0}}\right) .
$$

Proposition 2.5. We have the identity

$$
\beta_{*}\left[C_{X_{0} / Y_{0}}\right]=\iota^{!}\left[C_{X / Y}\right]
$$

in $H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(\iota^{*} C_{X / Y} / B_{0}, \Omega_{Y_{0} / B_{0}}\right)$.
Proof. After making the identifications of the various Borel-Moore homology groups, this comes down to a special case of Proposition 2.1. We take $i_{1}:=i, i_{2}:=i_{0}$, so $C_{i_{1}}=C_{X / Y}, C_{i_{2}}=C_{Y_{0} / Y}=N_{\iota}$ and $\alpha_{1}=\bar{t}$.

The map $\alpha_{2}$ is the projection $i_{0}^{*} N_{\iota} \rightarrow N_{\iota}$, so letting $\gamma: C_{X_{0} / Y_{0}} \rightarrow X_{0}$ be the structure morphism, $C_{\alpha_{2}}$ is $\left(i_{0} \circ \gamma\right)^{*} N_{\iota}$ with structure map to $C_{X_{0} / Y_{0}}$. The fiber product $i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}} \times{ }_{Y} i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}$ is $q^{*} N_{\iota}$ and $\beta_{2}: C_{\alpha_{2}} \rightarrow i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}} \times{ }_{Y} i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}$ is the map $\left(i_{0} \circ \gamma\right)^{*} N_{\iota} \rightarrow q^{*} N_{\iota}$ induced by $\beta: C_{X_{0} / Y_{0}} \rightarrow \iota^{*} C_{X / Y}$.

Finally, $C_{\alpha_{1}}=C_{\iota^{*}} C_{X / Y} C_{X / Y}$ and the map $\alpha: C_{\iota^{*} C_{X / Y}} C_{X / Y} \rightarrow q^{*} N_{\iota}$ used to define $\iota^{!}$is the map $\beta_{1}: C_{\alpha_{1}} \rightarrow i_{2}^{*} C_{i_{1}} \times_{Y} i_{1}^{*} C_{i_{2}}$.

Via the Poincaré duality isomorphism

$$
H^{0,0}(Y) \cong H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Y / B, \Omega_{Y / B}\right)
$$

the unit $1_{Y}$ gives the fundamental class $[Y] \in H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Y / B, \Omega_{Y / B}\right)$. Applying Proposition 2.1 to [ $Y$ ], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{*}\left(\operatorname{sp}_{\bar{l}}^{*}\left(\left[C_{X / Y}\right]\right)=\beta_{2 *}\left(\operatorname{sp}_{\gamma}^{*}\left(\operatorname{sp}_{\iota}^{*}([Y])\right)\right)\right. \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(q^{*} N_{\iota}, \Omega_{Y / B}\right)$.
Since $\iota$ is a regular embedding, we have $\operatorname{sp}_{\iota}^{*}([Y])=\left[N_{\iota}\right]$ and with $\bar{\pi}: q^{*} N_{\iota} \rightarrow$ $C_{X_{0} / Y_{0}}, \pi: N_{\iota} \rightarrow Y_{0}$ the structure morphisms, we have

$$
\operatorname{sp}_{\gamma}^{*}\left(\left[N_{\iota}\right]\right)=\operatorname{sp}_{\gamma}^{*}\left(\pi^{!}\left[Y_{0}\right]\right)=\bar{\pi}^{!}\left(\operatorname{sp}_{i_{0}}^{*}\left(\left[Y_{0}\right]\right)\right)=\bar{\pi}^{!}\left(\left[C_{\left.X_{0} / Y_{0}\right]}\right]\right) .
$$

Applying $0_{q^{*} N_{\iota}}^{!}$to 2.7, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iota^{!}\left[C_{X / Y}\right] & =0_{q^{*} N_{\iota}}^{!} \alpha_{*}\left(\mathrm{sp}_{\overline{\bar{c}}}^{*}\left(\left[C_{X / Y}\right]\right)\right. \\
& =0_{q^{*} N_{0}}^{!} \beta_{2 *} \bar{\pi}^{!}\left(\left[C_{X_{0} / Y_{0}}\right]\right) \\
& =\beta_{*} 0_{q^{*} N_{\iota}}^{!} \bar{\pi}^{!}\left(\left[C_{X_{0} / Y_{0}}\right]\right) \\
& =\beta_{*}\left[C_{X_{0} / Y_{0}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The first identity is the definition of $\iota^{!}$, and the third identity is the compatibility of ! and $*$ in Tor-independent cartesian squares (Proposition 1.3(1, 3)).

Remark 2.6. Suppose that the top square in 2.6 is also Tor-independent. Then

$$
\left[C_{X_{0} / Y_{0}}\right]=\iota^{!}\left[C_{X / Y}\right]
$$

in $H^{\text {B.M. }}\left(C_{X_{0} / Y_{0}} / B_{0}, \Omega_{Y_{0} / B_{0}}\right)$. Indeed, this additional Tor-independence implies that the map $\beta: C_{X_{0} / Y_{0}} \rightarrow \iota^{*} C_{X / Y}:=C_{X / Y} \times{ }_{X} X_{0}$ is an isomorphism.

## 3. Totaro, Edidin-Graham, Morel-Voevodsky equivariant <br> (CO)HOMOLOGY

For $\mathcal{E} \in \mathrm{SH}^{G}(B), X \in \mathbf{S c h}^{G} / B$, we have been using the $\mathcal{E}$-valued Borel-Moore homology defined by

$$
\mathcal{E}_{a, b}^{\text {B.M. }}(X / B, G, v):=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{SH}^{G}(B)}\left(\Sigma^{a, b} p_{X!}\left(\Sigma^{v} 1_{X}\right), \mathcal{E}\right)
$$

in the case of a tame $G$.
For $\mathcal{E} \in \mathrm{SH}(B)$, we also have the equivariant Borel-Moore homology defined by the algebraic version of the Borel construction. We have discussed this construction in [12, §4], following the construction in [6, §1], yielding a theory $\mathcal{E}_{G}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}(X / B G, v)$; we use the same construction here, which we briefly recall.

We assume that $G$ is a closed subgroup scheme of $\mathrm{GL}_{n} / B$ for some $n$ and that $G$ is smooth over $B$; we no longer need to assume that $G$ is tame. Let $E_{j} \mathrm{GL}_{n}$ be the $B$ scheme of $n \times n+j$ matrices of rank $n$, with the action of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ by left multiplication. We let $E_{j} G$ denote the scheme $E_{j} \mathrm{GL}_{n}$ with the $G$-action by restriction, and let $B_{j} G=G \backslash E_{j} G$; note that this quotient exists as a quasi-projective $B$-scheme and the quotient map $E_{j} G \rightarrow B_{j} G$ is a $G$-torsor for the étale topology. Let $F=\mathbb{A}_{B}^{n}$ with the left action of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ via the usual matrix multiplication; we consider $F$ as a $G$-representation via the inclusion $G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n}$.

We have the $G$-equivariant closed immersion $i_{j}: E_{j} G \rightarrow E_{j+1} G$ defined by adding a $j+1$ st column of zeroes, and the $G$-equivariant open immersion $\eta_{j}$ : $E_{j} G \times F \rightarrow E_{j+1} G$ by considering $F$ as the $j+1$ st column. Let $p_{j}: E_{j} G \times F \rightarrow E_{j} G$ be the projection with 0 -section $i_{j}^{0}$.

Let $B_{j} G=G \backslash E_{j} G, N_{j} G=G \backslash\left(E_{j} G \times F\right)$. We have the corresponding regular embeddings $i_{G, j}: B_{j} G \rightarrow B_{j+1} G, i_{G, j}^{0}: B_{j} G \rightarrow N_{j} G, \eta_{G, j}: N_{j} G \rightarrow B_{j+1} G$, and $p_{j, G}: N_{j} G \rightarrow B_{j} G$, making $N_{j} G$ a vector bundle over $B_{j} G$ with zerosection $i_{G, j}^{0}$, isomorphic to the normal bundle of $i_{G, j}$. This gives us the Ind-objects $E G:=\left\{E_{j} G\right\}_{j}, B G:=\left\{B_{j} G\right\}_{j}$ in $\mathbf{S m}^{G} / B$, with transition maps $i_{j}, i_{G, j}$ all regular immersions, and the morphism of ind-objects $p_{G}: E G \rightarrow B G$, making $E G$ an ind- $G$-torsor over $B G$.

We will work in the full subcategory $\mathbf{S c h}_{q}^{G} / B$ with objects the $X \in \mathbf{S c h}^{G} / B$ for which the fppf quotients $X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G:=G \backslash X \times{ }_{B} E_{j} G$ exist in $\mathbf{S c h} / B$; this the whole of $\mathbf{S c h}^{G} / B$ if $B=\operatorname{Spec} k, k$ a field, (see [7] Lemma 9, Proposition 23]).

We have the maps $i_{X, j}: X \times_{B} E_{j} G \rightarrow X \times_{B} E_{j+1} G, i_{X, j}^{0}: X \times_{B} E_{j} G \rightarrow X \times_{B}$ $E_{j} G \times F, \eta_{X, j}: X \times_{B} E_{j} G \times F \rightarrow X \times_{B} E_{j+1} G, p_{X, j}: X \times_{B} E_{j} G \times F \rightarrow X \times_{B} E_{j} G$. These yield the corresponding maps on the quotients $i_{X, G, j}: X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G \rightarrow X \times{ }^{G}$ $E_{j+1} G, i_{X, G, j}^{0}, \eta_{X, G, j}$ and $p_{X, G, j}$. The projections $\pi_{X, j}: X \times_{B} E_{j} G \rightarrow E_{j} G$ induce the projections $\pi_{X, G, j}: X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G \rightarrow B_{j} G$. We denote the ind-object $\left\{X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G, i_{X, G, j}\right\}_{j}$ by $X \times{ }^{G} E G$. The projection $\pi_{X}: X \rightarrow B$ induces maps $\pi_{X, G, j}: X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G \rightarrow B_{j} G$, and the corresponding map of ind-objects $\pi_{X, G}:$ $X \times{ }^{G} E G \rightarrow B G$.

We have the cartesian, Tor-independent diagram


Given $w \in \mathcal{K}^{G}(X)$, we have the corresponding perfect complex $w_{j}$ on $X \times{ }^{G} E_{j}$ defined by applying $G$-descent to the perfect complex $p_{1}^{*}(w)$ on $X \times_{B} E_{j} G$. This gives us the map of spaces

$$
(-)_{j}: \mathcal{K}^{G}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}\left(X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G\right)
$$

with canonical natural isomorphisms $w_{j} \cong i_{X, G, j}^{*}\left(w_{j+1}\right)$. Given an $\mathcal{E} \in \operatorname{SH}(B)$, the regular immersion $i_{X, G, j}$ thus gives us the natural map

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{X, G, j}^{!}: \mathcal{E}_{* *}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X \times^{G} E_{j+1} G / B, w_{j+1}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{* *}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X \times^{G} E_{j} G / B, w_{j}-\mathcal{N}_{i_{G, j}}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have the exact sequence of sheaves on $B_{j} G$

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{i_{G, j}} \rightarrow i_{G, j}^{*} \Omega_{B_{j+1} G / B} \rightarrow \Omega_{B_{j} G / B} \rightarrow 0
$$

Using this, we may twist (3.2) to give

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{E}_{* *}^{\mathrm{B.M.}}\left(X \times{ }^{G} E_{j+1} G / B, w_{j+1}+\Omega_{B_{j+1} G}\right) \\
& \xrightarrow{i_{X, G, j}^{\prime}} \mathcal{E}_{* *}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G / B, w_{j}+\Omega_{B_{j} G}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.1. 1. The projection $p_{B_{j} G}: B_{j} G \rightarrow B$ gives rise to a canonical natural isomorphism

$$
p_{B_{j} G}^{!}: \mathcal{E}_{* *}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G / B, w_{j}+\Omega_{B_{j} G}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{* *}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G / B j G, w_{j}\right)
$$

2. We have the relative pullback maps of Remark 1.5 with respect to the diagram (3.1),

$$
i_{G, j}^{!}: \mathcal{E}_{* *}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X \times{ }^{G} E_{j+1} G / B_{j+1} G, w_{j+1}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{* *}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G / B_{j} G, w_{j}\right)
$$

giving the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{gathered}
: \mathcal{E}_{* *}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X \times{ }^{G} E_{j+1} G / B, w_{j+1}+\Omega_{B_{j+1} G}\right)^{i_{X, G, j}^{!}} \xrightarrow{l} \mathcal{E}_{* *}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G / B, w_{j}+\Omega_{B_{j} G}\right) \\
\downarrow_{p_{B_{j} G}^{\prime}} \\
\mathcal{E}_{* *}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G / B_{j+1} G, w_{j+1}\right) \xrightarrow{i_{G, j}^{!}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{* *}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G / B_{j} G, w_{j}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. (1) It suffices to consider the case $*, *=0,0$. We recall that $B_{j} G$ is smooth over $B$. Let $p_{Y}: Y \rightarrow B$ denote the structure morphism for $Y \in \mathbf{S c h} / B$. We have isomorphisms (the third is the purity isomorphism)

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G!}\left(\Sigma^{w_{j}+\Omega_{B_{j} G}} 1_{X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G!}\right) & \cong p_{B_{j} G!} \circ \pi_{X, G, j!}\left(\Sigma^{w_{j}+\Omega_{B_{j} G}} 1_{X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G!}\right) \\
& \cong p_{B_{j} G!} \Sigma^{\Omega_{B_{j} G}} \circ \pi_{X, G, j!}\left(\Sigma^{w_{j}} 1_{X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G!}\right) \\
& \cong p_{B_{j} G \#} \circ \pi_{X, G, j!}\left(\Sigma^{w_{j}} 1_{X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G!}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

inducing the isomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G / B, w_{j}+\Omega_{B_{j} G}\right) & =\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{SH}(B)}\left(p_{X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G!}\left(\Sigma^{w_{j}+\Omega_{B_{j} G}} 1_{X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G!}\right), \mathcal{E}\right) \\
& \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{SH}(B)}\left(p_{B_{j} G \#} \circ \pi_{X, G, j!}\left(\Sigma^{w_{j}} 1_{X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G!}\right), \mathcal{E}\right) \\
& \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{SH}\left(B_{j} G\right)}\left(\pi_{X, G, j!}\left(\Sigma^{w_{j}} 1_{X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G!}\right), p_{B_{j} G}^{*} \mathcal{E}\right) \\
& =\mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G / B j G, w_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) follows from the definition of the relative pullback in Remark 1.5

This gives us the pro-system $\left\{\mathcal{E}_{* *}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G / B_{j} G, w_{j}\right), i_{G, j}^{!}\right\}_{j}$ and justifies the following definition

Definition 3.2. 1. For $X \in \mathbf{S c h}^{G} / B$ and $w \in \mathcal{K}^{G}(X)$, define the $w$-twisted $G$-equivariant Borel-Moore homology

$$
\mathcal{E}_{G, a, b}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}(X / B G, w)=\lim _{\overleftarrow{j}} \mathcal{E}_{a, b}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}\left(X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G / B_{j} G, w_{j}\right)
$$

and $w$-twisted $G$-equivariant cohomology

$$
\mathcal{E}_{G}^{a, b}(X, w):=\lim _{\overleftarrow{j}} \mathcal{E}^{a, b}\left(X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G, w_{j}\right)
$$

2. Given a motivic ring spectrum $\mathcal{E} \in \operatorname{SH}(B)$, we call $\mathcal{E}$ bounded if the pro-system $\left\{\mathcal{E}_{a, b}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G / B_{j} G, w_{j}\right)\right\}_{j}$ is eventually constant, for each $w \in \mathcal{K}^{G}(X)$ and each $(a, b)$.

Remark 3.3. Taking $B=\operatorname{Spec} k$, if $\mathcal{E}=\operatorname{EM}\left(\mathcal{M}_{*}\right)$ for $\mathcal{M}_{*}$ a homotopy module [14, Definition 5.2.4], the description of $\operatorname{EM}\left(\mathcal{M}_{*}\right)^{\text {B.M. }}(-,-)$ using the Rost-Schmid complex implies that $\operatorname{EM}\left(\mathcal{M}_{*}\right)$ is bounded. See [6, or [12, §4] for details of the proof.

Remark 3.4. Morel-Voevodsky [15, §4.3] give a general description of the construction outlined above. This is not a uniquely defined construction, but it is shown in loc. cit. that the resulting ind-object $B G$, considered as a presheaf on $\mathbf{S m} / B$, gives an object in the unstable motivic homotopy category $\mathcal{H}(B)$ that is independent of choices; the same arguments, as used in [7], show that the ind-object $X \times{ }^{G} E G$ yields a well-defined object of $\mathcal{H}(B)$, independent of choices.
Remark 3.5. As we have discussed in [12, Remark 4.11], the operations of pullback for lci morphisms and proper push-forward for Borel-Moore homology, and the usual pullback for cohomology, as well as the corresponding identities relating these operations for twisted $\mathcal{E}$-Borel-Moore homology and twisted $\mathcal{E}$-cohomology described in the previous sections, extend to the equivariant setting; essentially, one just applies the functor $X \mapsto X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G$ for $j \gg 0$. For the extension to the equivariant setting of the operations $f^{!}, f_{*}$, products in cohomology and cap product action of cohomology on Borel-Moore homology, one needs to show that these are compatible with respect to change in $j$; this is [12, Proposition 4.10]. The case of the external products in Borel-Moore homology follows from Lemma 1.6 .

The exact localization sequence for a closed immersion $i: Z \rightarrow X$ with open complement $j: X \backslash U \rightarrow X$ gives a corresponding exact localization sequence for $Z \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G \rightarrow X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G$ and its open complement $(X \backslash Z) \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G \rightarrow X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G$. In case $\mathcal{E}$ is bounded, these yield an exact localization sequence on the limit.

Similarly, we have available the equivariant version of Vistoli"s lemma Proposition 2.1 and all of its consequences as detailed in $\$ 2$.
Remark 3.6. The sheaf of relative differentials $\Omega_{E_{j} G / B}$ has a canonical $G$-linearization, defining the locally free sheaf $\Omega_{E_{j} G}^{G}$ on $B_{j} G$ by descent. We have the exact sequence on $B_{j} G$

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{i_{G, j}} \rightarrow i_{G, j}^{*} \Omega_{E_{j+1} G}^{G} \rightarrow \Omega_{E_{j} G}^{G} \rightarrow 0
$$

giving us the canonical isomorphism

$$
\mathcal{E}_{* *}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G / B, w_{j}+\Omega_{E_{j+1} G}^{G}-\mathcal{N}_{i_{G, j}}\right) \cong \mathcal{E}_{* *}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G / B, w_{j}+\Omega_{E_{j} G}^{G}\right)
$$

and thus giving the map

$$
\mathcal{E}_{* *}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X \times{ }^{G} E_{j+1} G / B, w_{j+1}+\Omega_{E_{j+1} G}^{G}\right) \xrightarrow{i_{X, G, j}^{\prime}} \mathcal{E}_{* *}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G / B, w_{j}+\Omega_{E_{j} G}^{G}\right)
$$

as above. The $G$-equivariant Borel-Moore homology $\mathcal{E}_{G, * *}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}(X / B, w)$ is defined in [6. §1] as

$$
\mathcal{E}_{G, a, b}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M}}(X / B, w)=\lim _{\overleftarrow{j}} \mathcal{E}_{a, b}^{\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{M} .}\left(X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G / B, w_{j}+\Omega_{E_{j} G / B}^{G}\right)
$$

Also, we have the exact sequence of $G$-linearized sheaves on $E_{j} G$

$$
0 \rightarrow \pi_{G, j}^{*} \Omega_{B_{j} G / B} \rightarrow \Omega_{E_{j} G / B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{\vee} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{B}} \mathcal{O}_{E_{j} G} \rightarrow 0
$$

where $\mathfrak{g}^{\vee}$ is the co-Lie algebra of $G$ over $B$, with the co-adjoint action. Letting $\mathfrak{g}_{j}^{\vee}$ be the sheaf on $B_{j} G$ defined by $\mathfrak{g}^{\vee} \otimes_{B} \mathcal{O}_{E_{j} G}$ via descent, this gives us the exact sequence on $B_{j} G$

$$
0 \rightarrow \Omega_{B_{j} G / B} \rightarrow \Omega_{E_{j} G / B}^{G} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{j}^{\vee} \rightarrow 0
$$

from which we have the natural isomorphism

$$
\mathcal{E}_{a, b}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G / B, w_{j}+\Omega_{E_{j} G / B}^{G}\right) \cong \mathcal{E}_{a, b}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G / B, w_{j}+\Omega_{B_{j} G / B}+\mathfrak{g}_{j}^{\vee}\right),
$$

compatible with the Gysin maps $i_{X, G, j}^{!}$. This defines the natural isomorphism

$$
\mathcal{E}_{G, a, b}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M}}(X / B, w) \cong \mathcal{E}_{G, a, b}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M}}\left(X / B G, w+\mathfrak{g}^{\vee} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{B}} \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)
$$

In other words, the two theories $\mathcal{E}_{G}^{\text {B.M. }}(-/ B,-)$ and $\mathcal{E}_{G}^{\text {B.M. }}(-/ B G,-)$ are essentially the same.

Finally, if $G$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_{n} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{n}$, then the $G$-representation $\operatorname{det} \mathfrak{g}^{\vee}$ is trivial. Thus, if $\mathcal{E}$ is SL-oriented, we have

$$
\mathcal{E}_{G, a, b}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M}}(X / B, w) \cong \mathcal{E}_{G, a, b}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M}}\left(X / B G, w+\mathfrak{g}^{\vee} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{B}} \mathcal{O}_{X}\right) \cong \mathcal{E}_{G, a+2 d, b+d}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}(X / B G, w)
$$

where $d=\operatorname{dim}_{B} G$.
Proposition 3.7 (Equivariant refined Gysin pullback). Let

be a cartesian square in $\mathbf{S c h}^{G} / B$, with $\iota$ a regular immersion and take $v \in \mathcal{K}^{G}(Y)$. Then for each j, the map

$$
\iota \times{ }^{G} \operatorname{Id}_{E_{j} G}: Y_{0} \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G \rightarrow Y \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G
$$

is a regular immersion with conormal sheaf $\mathcal{N}_{\iota \times{ }^{G} \operatorname{Id}_{E_{j} G}} \cong\left(\mathcal{N}_{\iota}\right)_{j}$, the diagram

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{0} \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G \xrightarrow{\iota^{\prime} \times{ }^{G} \mathrm{Id}} X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G \\
& q \times{ }^{G} \mathrm{Id} \mid \\
& Y_{0} \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G \xrightarrow{\left\llcorner{ }^{G} \mathrm{Id}\right.} Y \times{ }^{G} \mathrm{Id} \\
& { }^{G} E_{j} G
\end{aligned}
$$

is cartesian and the sequence of refined Gysin maps

$$
\mathcal{E}_{* *}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G / B_{j} G, v_{j}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(\iota \times^{G} \mathrm{Id}_{E_{j} G}\right)^{!}} \mathcal{E}_{* *}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X_{0} \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G / B_{j} G, v_{j}-\left(\mathcal{N}_{\iota}\right)_{j}\right)
$$

gives rise to a well-defined map of pro-systems

$$
\left\{\mathcal{E}_{* *}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G / B_{j} G, v_{j}\right)\right\}_{j} \rightarrow\left\{\mathcal{E}_{* *}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X_{0} \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G / B_{j} G, v_{j}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\iota}\right)_{j}\right)\right\}_{j}
$$

and thus a well-defined map

$$
\iota^{!}: \mathcal{E}_{G, * *}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}(X / B G, v) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{G, * *}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}\left(X_{0} / B G, v-\mathcal{N}_{\iota}\right)
$$

Proof. The first two assertions are easily verified and are left to the reader. To show that the maps $\left(\iota \times{ }^{G} \operatorname{Id}_{E_{j} G}\right)$ ! give rise to a well-defined map of pro-systems, we need only that that

$$
\left(\iota \times^{G} \operatorname{Id}_{E_{j} G}\right)^{!} \circ i_{X, G, j}^{!}=i_{X, G, j}^{!} \circ\left(\iota \times^{G} \operatorname{Id}_{E_{j+1} G}\right)^{!}
$$

as maps
$\mathcal{E}_{* *}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X \times{ }^{G} E_{j+1} G / B, v_{j+1}+\Omega_{B_{j+1} G}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{* *}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X_{0} \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G / B, v_{j}+\Omega_{B_{j} G}-\left(\mathcal{N}_{\iota}\right)_{j}\right)$.
For this, consider the commutative diagram


By Corollary 2.3, we have the identity of refined Gysin maps

$$
\left(\iota \times^{G} \mathrm{Id}\right)^{!} \circ i_{G, j}^{!}=i_{G, j}^{!} \circ\left(\iota \times^{G} \mathrm{Id}\right)^{!}
$$

But the top right-hand square and top rectangle are cartesian and Tor-independent, so the excess intersection formula of Proposition 1.3 rewrites this as the desired identity

$$
\left(\iota \times{ }^{G} \mathrm{Id}\right)^{!} \circ i_{X, G, j}^{!}=i_{X_{0}, G, j}^{!} \circ\left(\iota \times{ }^{G} \mathrm{Id}\right)^{!}
$$

Remark 3.8. As above, once we have the well-defined refined Gysin map on equivariant Borel-Moore homology, all the relations satisfied by the refined Gysin maps for Borel-Moore homology, as in Proposition 1.3 and Corollary 2.3 , are also satisfied in the equivariant setting.

## 4. Virtual fundamental classes

In [13] we showed how a $G$-linearized perfect obstruction theory $\phi_{\bullet}: E_{\bullet} \rightarrow L_{Z / B}$ on some $Z \in \boldsymbol{S c h}^{G} / B$ gives rise to a virtual fundamental class in twisted BorelMoore homology, $\left[Z, \phi_{\bullet}\right]_{\mathcal{E}}^{v_{i} i r} \in \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}\left(Z / B, G, E_{\bullet}\right)$ for an arbitrary motivic spectrum $\mathcal{E} \in \mathrm{SH}^{G}(B)$. In the next section, we define a virtual class $\left[Z, \phi_{\bullet}\right]_{\mathcal{E}, G}^{v i r}$ in the $G$ equivariant (Totaro, Edidin-Graham) Borel-Moore homology $\mathcal{E}_{G}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Z / B G, E_{\bullet}\right)$ for a motivic ring spectrum $\mathcal{E} \in \mathrm{SH}(B)$. In this section, we use the description of the Behrend-Fantechi virtual fundamental class given in [9] to simplify our earlier construction of $\left[Z, \phi_{\bullet}\right]_{\mathcal{E}}^{v i r}$, as an aid to defining $\left[Z, \phi_{\bullet}\right]_{\mathcal{E}, G}^{v i r}$ in the next section. We work in the case $G=\{\operatorname{Id}\}$ to simplify the notation, although the case of a general (tame) $G$ would work just as well.

We first recall the construction of Graber-Pandharipande (in the non-equivariant setting). We assume $B$ is affine. For a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on a scheme $X$, we let
$C(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow X$ denote the associated abelian cone $\operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{Sym}^{*} \mathcal{F}$; in case $\mathcal{F}$ is locally free, this is just the vector bundle $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow X$.

Let $\left[\phi_{\bullet}\right.$ ] be a perfect obstruction obstruction theory on $Z$. We assume that $Z$ admits a closed immersion $i: Z \rightarrow M$ with $M$ quasi-projective and smooth over $B$. Thus $\left[\phi_{\bullet}\right]$ admits a representative as a map of complexes

$$
\phi_{\bullet}:\left(F_{1} \xrightarrow{\partial} F_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{I}_{Z} / \mathcal{I}_{Z}^{2} \xrightarrow{d} i^{*} \Omega_{M / B}\right)
$$

with the $F_{i}$ locally free coherent sheaves on $Z$. The assumption that $\phi_{\bullet}$ defines a perfect obstruction theory is equivalent to the exactness of the sequence

$$
F_{1} \rightarrow F_{0} \oplus \mathcal{I}_{Z} / \mathcal{I}_{Z}^{2} \xrightarrow{\gamma} i^{*} \Omega_{M / B} \rightarrow 0
$$

Let $Q=\operatorname{ker}(\gamma)$ with surjection $\pi: F_{1} \rightarrow Q$. Then we have the exact sequence of abelian cones

$$
0 \rightarrow i^{*} T_{M / B} \rightarrow C\left(\mathcal{I}_{Z} / \mathcal{I}_{Z}^{2}\right) \times_{Z} \mathbb{V}\left(F_{0}\right) \rightarrow C(Q) \rightarrow 0
$$

The surjection $\pi$ gives rise to a closed immersion

$$
i_{\pi}: C(Q) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}\right)
$$

The surjection $\operatorname{Sym}^{*} \mathcal{I}_{Z} / \mathcal{I}_{Z}^{2} \rightarrow \oplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{I}_{Z}^{n} / \mathcal{I}_{Z}^{n+1}$ gives the closed immersion $C_{Z / M} \hookrightarrow$ $C\left(\mathcal{I}_{Z} / \mathcal{I}_{Z}^{2}\right)$, inducing the closed immersion $C_{Z / M} \times_{Z} \mathbb{V}\left(F_{0}\right) \rightarrow C\left(\mathcal{I}_{Z} / \mathcal{I}_{Z}^{2}\right) \times{ }_{Z} \mathbb{V}\left(F_{0}\right)$ with image containing the sub-vector bundle $i^{*} T_{M / B}$. Let $D:=C_{Z / M} \times{ }_{Z} \mathbb{V}\left(F_{0}\right)$, giving the quotient cone

$$
D^{v i r}:=i^{*} T_{M / B} \backslash D
$$

that is naturally a closed sub-cone of $C(Q)$. The closed immersion $i_{\pi}$ thus induces a closed immersion

$$
\iota_{F_{\bullet}}: D^{v i r} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}\right)
$$

We have the projections

$$
\begin{gathered}
\pi_{1}: D \rightarrow C_{Z / M} \\
\pi_{2}: D \rightarrow D^{v i r}
\end{gathered}
$$

The maps $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$ exhibit $D$ as an affine space bundle over $C_{Z / M}$ and over $D$, respectively, and are both maps over $Z$.

The smooth pullback maps

$$
\begin{gathered}
\pi_{1}^{!}: E_{a, b}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}\left(C_{Z / M} / B, v\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{a, b}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}\left(D / B, v+F_{0}\right) \\
\pi_{2}^{!}: E_{a, b}^{\mathrm{B.M.}}\left(D^{v i r} / B, v\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{a, b}^{\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{M} .}\left(D / B, v+i^{*} \Omega_{M / B}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

are isomorphisms. Thus, the fundamental class $\left[C_{Z / M}\right] \in \mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(C_{Z / M} / B, i^{*} \Omega_{M / B}\right)$ gives rise to the class

$$
\left[D^{v i r}\right]:=\left(\pi_{2}^{!}\right)^{-1}\left(\pi_{1}^{!}\left[C_{Z / M}\right]\right) \in \mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(D^{v i r} / B, F_{0}\right)
$$

Definition 4.1. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be in $\mathrm{SH}(B)$ and let $\phi_{\bullet}: F_{\bullet} \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{I}_{Z} / \mathcal{I}_{Z}^{2} \xrightarrow{d} i^{*} \Omega_{M / B}\right)$ be a representative of a perfect obstruction theory on an embedded $B$-scheme $i: Z \rightarrow M$ with $M$ smooth over $B$. Let $0_{\mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}\right)}: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}\right)$ be the zero-section. The $\mathcal{E}$-valued Graber-Pandharipande virtual fundamental class is defined as

$$
[Z, \phi, i]_{\mathcal{E}, G P}^{v i r}:=0_{\mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}\right)}^{!}\left(\iota_{F_{\bullet} *}\left[D^{v i r}\right]\right) \in \mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Z / B, F_{\bullet}\right)
$$

We have the virtual fundamental class $[Z,[\phi]]_{\mathcal{E}}^{v i r} \in \mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Z / B, F_{\bullet}\right)$, as defined in [13]. Note that $[Z,[\phi]]^{\text {vir }}$ depends only on the choice of perfect obstruction theory $[\phi]: E_{\bullet} \rightarrow L_{Z / B}$ in $D^{\text {perf }}(Z)$.

Proposition 4.2. Let $\mathcal{E}, i: Z \rightarrow M$ and $\phi$ be as in Definition 4.1. Then

$$
[Z,[\phi]]_{\mathcal{E}}^{v i r}=[Z, \phi, i]_{\mathcal{E}, G P}^{v i r} \in \mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Z / B, F_{\bullet}\right)
$$

Proof. We prove the result by tracing through the step-by-step construction of $[Z,] \phi]]^{v i r}$. This consists of starting with a representative $\phi_{\bullet}: F_{\bullet} \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{I}_{Z} / \mathcal{I}_{Z}^{2} \rightarrow\right.$ $i^{*} \Omega_{M / B}$ ) of $[\phi]$, and modifying $\phi_{\bullet}$ and $Z$ step-by-step, until we arrive at a particularly simple case. At each stage, there is a canonical isomorphism of the corresponding Borel-Moore homology groups and at the final stage, we have an explicit construction of a class. The class $[Z,[\phi]]_{\mathcal{E}}^{v i r} \in \mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Z / B, F_{\bullet}\right)$ is then the image of this explicit class through the sequence of canonical isomorphisms of Borel-Moore homology groups.

Here we will go through this procedure in reverse order, starting with the special situation needed for the explicit construction and going back step-by-step until we reach the general situation. We will identify the explicit construction of $[Z,[\phi]]_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text {vir }}$ with the Graber-Pandharipande construction at the initial stage of our reverse-order process, and show that the classes one constructs by the Graber-Pandharipande method are compatible with the canonical isomorphisms at each stage in the reverse process. This proves the result. Now for the details.

First suppose that the obstruction theory $\phi_{\bullet}: F_{\bullet} \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{I}_{Z} / \mathcal{I}_{Z}^{2} \rightarrow i^{*} \Omega_{M / B}\right)$ is normalized, meaning that $\phi_{1}: F_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z} / \mathcal{I}_{Z}^{2}$ is surjective, and is reduced, meaning $F_{0}=i^{*} \Omega_{M / B}$ and $\phi_{0}=\mathrm{Id}$. In this case we have the canonical isomorphism

$$
D^{v i r}=C_{Z / M} \times{ }_{Z} \mathbb{V}\left(F_{0}\right) / i^{*} T_{M / B}=C_{Z / M} \times{ }_{Z} i^{*} T_{M / B} / i^{*} T_{M / B}=C_{Z / M}
$$

and via this isomorphism we have

$$
\left[D^{v i r}\right]=\left[C_{Z / M}\right] \in \mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(D / B, F_{0}\right)=\mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(C_{Z / M} / B, i^{*} \Omega_{M / B}\right)
$$

Also in this case, the surjection $\phi_{1}$ gives the closed immersion $i: C_{Z / M} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}\right)$, which gets identified with the closed immersion $\iota_{F_{\bullet}}: D^{v i r} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}\right)$. If in addition we assume that $Z$ and $M$ are affine, then $[Z, \phi]_{\mathcal{E}}^{v^{i r}}$ is defined by

$$
[Z, \phi]_{\mathcal{E}}^{v i r}:=0_{\mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}\right)}^{!}\left(i_{*}\left[C_{Z / M}\right]\right) \in \mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Z / B, F_{\bullet}\right)
$$

which thus agrees with $[Z, \phi, i]_{\mathcal{E}, G P}^{v i r}:=0_{\mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}\right)}^{!}\left(\iota_{F_{\bullet} *}\left[D^{v i r}\right]\right)$.
Now assume that $Z$ and $M$ are affine and $\phi_{1}$ is surjective. In this case $\phi_{0}$ is also surjective; let $K_{i}$ be the kernel of $\phi_{i}$. Then $K_{0}$ is locally free and lifts isomorphically via the differential $\partial: F_{1} \rightarrow F_{0}$ to a locally free summand of $K_{1}$ (see [13, §6] for proofs of these assertions). Taking the quotient of $F_{\bullet}$ by the subcomplex $\left(K_{0} \xrightarrow{\text { Id }} K_{0}\right)$ gives us the normalized perfect obstruction theory $\phi_{\bullet}^{\prime}$ : $F_{\bullet}^{\prime} \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{I}_{Z} / \mathcal{I}_{Z}^{2} \rightarrow i^{*} \Omega_{M / B}\right)$, and our original obstruction theory is $F_{\bullet}^{\prime} \oplus\left(K_{0} \xrightarrow{\text { Id }} K_{0}\right)$ with $\phi_{0}$ and $\phi_{1}$ the zero map on $K_{0} \subset F_{0}$ and on $K_{0} \subset F_{1}$. Also, this says that $\phi_{0}^{\prime}: F_{0}^{\prime} \rightarrow i^{*} \Omega_{M / B}$ is an isomorphism, so by a "change of coordinates", we may assume that $\phi_{\bullet}^{\prime}: F_{\bullet}^{\prime} \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{I}_{Z} / \mathcal{I}_{Z}^{2} \rightarrow i^{*} \Omega_{M / B}\right)$ is reduced and normalized.

The quotient map $\pi: F_{\bullet} \rightarrow F_{\bullet}^{\prime}$ is a quasi-isomorphism of perfect complexes, inducing a canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Z / B, F_{\bullet}\right) \cong \mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Z / B, F_{\bullet}^{\prime}\right)$. We have our cone $D$ and closed immersion $\iota_{F_{\bullet}}: D^{v i r} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}\right)$ and the corresponding cone $D^{\prime}$ and closed immersion $\iota_{F_{\bullet}^{\prime}}: D^{\prime v i r} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. The surjection $\pi$ induces closed immersions $C(\pi): D^{\prime v i r} \rightarrow D^{\text {vir }}$ and $\mathbb{V}(\pi): \mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}\right)$. We claim that
i. $C(\pi)!\left[D^{v i r}\right]=\left[D^{\prime v i r}\right]$
ii. $\mathbb{V}(\pi)^{!}\left(\iota_{F_{\bullet} *}\left[D^{v i r}\right]\right)=\iota_{F_{\bullet} *}\left[D^{\prime v i r}\right]$
and
iii. $0_{\mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}\right)}^{!}\left(\iota_{F_{\bullet} *}\left[D^{v i r}\right]\right)=0_{\mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}^{\prime}\right)}^{!}\left(\iota_{F_{\bullet}^{\prime} *}\left[D^{\prime v i r}\right]\right)$.

To prove (i) and (ii), let $p_{D^{\prime v i r}}: D^{\prime v i r} \rightarrow Z, p_{\mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}^{\prime}\right)}: \mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow Z$ be the structure morphisms. Then we have

$$
\mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}\right)=\mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}^{\prime}\right) \oplus \mathbb{V}\left(K_{0}\right), D^{v i r}=D^{\prime v i r} \oplus \mathbb{V}\left(K_{0}\right)
$$

via which $C(\pi)$ and $\mathbb{V}(\pi)$ become the inclusion as the first summand. The respective projections onto the first summands

$$
\pi_{\mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}^{\prime}\right)}: \mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}^{\prime}\right), \pi_{D^{\prime v i r}}: D^{v i r} \rightarrow D^{\prime v i r}
$$

thus exhibit $D^{v i r}$ as the vector bundle $p_{D^{\prime v i r}}^{*}\left(\mathbb{V}\left(K_{0}\right)\right)$ over $D^{\prime v i r}$, and $\mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}\right)$ as the vector bundle $p_{\mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}^{\prime}\right)}^{*}\left(\mathbb{V}\left(K_{0}\right)\right)$ over $\mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}^{\prime}\right)$; the inclusions $C(\pi)$ and $\mathbb{V}(\pi)$ are the respective zero-sections. Thus $C(\pi)^{!}$is the inverse to the smooth pullback map $\pi_{D^{\prime}}^{!}$ and $\mathbb{V}(\pi)$ ! is inverse to the smooth pullback map $\pi_{\mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}^{\prime}\right)}$.

The identity

$$
\left[D^{v i r}\right]=\pi_{D^{\prime v i r}}^{!}\left(\left[D^{\prime v i r}\right]\right)
$$

follows immediately from the definition of $\left[D^{v i r}\right]$ and $\left[D^{\prime v i r}\right]$ and the functoriality of smooth pullback, proving (i). The identity in (ii) is equivalent to the identity

$$
\iota_{F_{\bullet} *}\left(\pi_{D^{\prime v i r}}^{!}\left(\left[D^{\prime v i r}\right]\right)\right)=\pi_{\mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}^{\prime}\right)}^{!}\left(\iota_{F_{\bullet}^{\prime} *}\left[D^{\prime v i r}\right]\right)
$$

Since the square

is cartesian, this latter identity follows from the compatibility of proper pushforward and smooth pullback in cartesian squares: $\iota_{F_{\bullet} *} \circ \pi_{D^{\prime v i r}}^{!}=\pi_{\mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}^{\prime}\right)}^{!} \circ \iota_{F_{\bullet}^{\prime} *}$, Proposition 1.3. This proves (ii).

The proof of (iii) is similar: Let $p_{\mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}\right)}: \mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}\right) \rightarrow Z$ be the structure map. The identity is equivalent to

$$
p_{\mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}^{\prime}\right)}^{!}\left(p_{\mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}\right)}^{!}\right)^{-1}\left(\iota_{F_{\bullet} *}\left(\left[D^{v i r}\right]\right)\right)=\iota_{F_{\bullet} *}\left[D^{\prime v i r}\right]
$$

and we have

$$
\iota_{F_{\bullet} *}\left(\left[D^{v i r}\right]\right)=\pi_{\mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}^{\prime}\right)}^{!}\left(\iota_{F_{\bullet}^{\prime} *}\left[D^{\prime v i r}\right]\right)
$$

By functoriality of smooth push-forward, we have

$$
p_{\mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}\right)}^{!}=p_{\mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}^{\prime}\right)}^{!} \circ \pi_{\mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}^{\prime}\right)}^{!}
$$

and thus
$\left.p_{\mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}^{\prime}\right)}^{!}\left(p_{\mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}\right)}^{!}\right)^{-1}\left(\iota_{F_{\bullet} *}\left(\left[D^{v i r}\right]\right)\right)=p_{\mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}^{\prime}\right)}^{!} \circ p_{\mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}\right)}^{!}\right)^{-1} \circ \pi_{\mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}^{\prime}\right)}^{!}\left(\iota_{F_{\bullet} *}\left[D^{\prime v i r}\right]\right)=\iota_{F_{\bullet} *}\left[D^{\prime v i r}\right]$ proving (iii). In other words, $[Z, \phi, i]_{\mathcal{E}, G P}^{v i r}=\left[Z^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}, i\right]_{\mathcal{E}, G P}^{v i r}$ in $\mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Z / B, F_{\bullet}\right)=$ $\mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Z / B, F_{\bullet}^{\prime}\right)$.

Now suppose that $\phi_{\bullet}$ is normalized, but $M$ is only quasi-projective over the affine base-scheme $B$. Let $q: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be a Jouanolou cover of $M$, that is, $q$ is a (Zariski) torsor for a vector bundle on $M$ and $\tilde{M}$ is affine. Letting $\tilde{Z}=Z \times_{M} \tilde{M}$, we have the Jouanolou cover $q_{Z}: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$ and the closed immersion $\tilde{i}:=p_{2}: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$.

Since $\tilde{M}$ is affine, we can split the canonical surjection $\Omega_{\tilde{M} / B} \rightarrow \Omega_{\tilde{M} / M}$, giving the isomorphism $\Omega_{\tilde{M} / B}=q^{*} \Omega_{M / B} \oplus \Omega_{\tilde{M} / M}$. We define $\tilde{F}_{1}=q_{Z}^{*} F_{1}, \tilde{F}_{0}:=q_{Z}^{*} F_{0} \oplus \Omega_{\tilde{M} / M}$, with differential $\partial_{\tilde{F}}: \tilde{F}_{1} \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{0}$ to be $q_{Z}^{*}\left(\partial_{F}\right)$ followed by the inclusion $q_{Z}^{*} F_{0} \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{0}$. Similarly, define $\tilde{\phi}_{1}:=q_{Z}^{*} \phi_{1}$ and $\tilde{\phi}_{0}=q_{Z}^{*} \phi_{0} \oplus \operatorname{Id}_{\Omega_{\tilde{M} / M}}$.

This gives us the normalized perfect obstruction theory

$$
\tilde{\phi}_{\bullet}: \tilde{F}_{\bullet} \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{I}_{\tilde{Z}} / \mathcal{I}_{\tilde{Z}}^{2} \rightarrow \tilde{i}^{*} \Omega_{\tilde{M} / B}\right)
$$

on $\tilde{Z}$. Since $q_{Z}: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$ is the pullback to $Z$ of the vector bundle torsor $\tilde{M} \rightarrow M$, we see that the relative tangent bundle $T_{q_{Z}}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{V}\left(i^{*} \Omega_{\tilde{M} / M}\right)$. By homotopy invariance, the smooth pullback map

$$
q_{Z}^{!}: \mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Z / B, F_{\bullet}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(\tilde{Z} / B, q_{Z}^{*} F_{\bullet}+L_{q_{Z}}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism and the isomorphism $L_{q_{Z}} \cong i^{*} \Omega_{\tilde{M} / M}$ gives us the canonical isomorphism

$$
\mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(\tilde{Z} / B, q_{Z}^{*} F_{\bullet}+L_{q_{Z}}\right) \cong \mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(\tilde{Z} / B, \tilde{F}_{\bullet}\right)
$$

Let $\iota_{\tilde{F}_{\bullet}}: \tilde{D}^{v i r} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}\left(\tilde{F}_{1}\right)$ be the closed immersion associated to the perfect obstruction theory $\tilde{\phi}_{\bullet}$. We have the commutative diagram

with all squares cartesian. Arguing as in the previous case, we see that

$$
q_{Z}^{!}\left(0_{\mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}\right)}^{!}\left(\iota_{F_{\bullet} *}\left[D^{v i r}\right]\right)\right)=0_{\mathbb{V}\left(\tilde{F}_{1}\right)}^{!}\left(\iota_{\tilde{F}_{\bullet} *}\left[\tilde{D}^{v i r}\right]\right)
$$

in other words $q_{Z}^{!}\left([Z, \phi, i]_{\mathcal{E}, G P}^{v i r}=[\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{i}]_{\mathcal{E}, G P}^{v i r}\right.$ in $\mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(\tilde{Z} / B, \tilde{F}_{\bullet}\right)$.
We conclude the argument with the general case. If

$$
\phi_{\bullet}: F_{\bullet} \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{I}_{Z} / \mathcal{I}_{Z}^{2} \xrightarrow{d} i^{*} \Omega_{M / B}\right)
$$

is an arbitrary perfect obstruction theory on our quasi-projective $Z$, there is a locally free sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ and a surjection $a: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z} / \mathcal{I}_{Z}^{2}$. Replace $\phi_{\bullet}$ with $\phi_{\bullet}: F_{\bullet}^{\prime} \rightarrow$ $\left(\mathcal{I}_{Z} / \mathcal{I}_{Z}^{2} \xrightarrow{d} i^{*} \Omega_{M / B}\right)$ with $F_{i}^{\prime}:=F_{i} \oplus \mathcal{F}, \partial_{F_{\bullet}^{\prime}}=\partial_{F_{\bullet}} \oplus \operatorname{Id}_{\mathcal{F}}, \phi_{1}^{\prime}=\phi_{1}+a$ and $\phi_{0}^{\prime}=\phi_{0}+d \circ a$. Then $\phi_{\bullet}^{\prime}$ is normalized. As above, we have a canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Z, F_{\bullet}\right)=\mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Z, F_{\bullet}^{\prime}\right)$ and via this isomorphism, we have

$$
0_{\mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}\right)}^{!}\left(\iota_{F_{\bullet} *}\left[D^{v i r}\right]\right)=0_{\mathbb{V}\left(F_{1}^{\prime}\right)}^{!}\left(\iota_{\tilde{F}_{\bullet}^{\prime} *}\left[D^{\prime v i r}\right]\right)
$$

that is, $[Z, \phi, i]_{G P}^{v i r}=\left[Z^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}, i\right]_{G P}^{v i r}$ in $\left.\mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Z / B, F_{\bullet}\right)\right)=\mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Z / B, F_{\bullet}^{\prime}\right)$
Thus, we have verified the identity of the two classes at the initial stage of our reverse process, and have shown that the Graber-Pandharipande classes are
compatible with the canonical isomorphisms of Borel-Moore homology at each stage of the process. This completes the proof.

Remark 4.3. The main result of [13] is that the virtual fundamental class $[Z,[\phi]]_{\mathcal{E}}^{v i r} \in$ $\mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Z / B, F_{\bullet}\right)$ depends only on the perfect obstruction theory $[\phi]$ on $Z$ and is independent of the various choices made in its construction. Thus, the GraberPandharipande class $[Z, \phi, i]_{G P}^{v i r}$ is also independent of the choice of embedding $i$ and the choice of representative $\phi_{\bullet}$ for $[\phi]$. One can also show this directly by using a minor modification of the proof of this independence for the Behrend-Fantechi classes [2, Proposition 5.3].

## 5. Totaro-Edidin-Graham equivariant virtual fundamental classes

For $X \in \mathbf{S c h}^{G} / B$, the cotangent complex $L_{X / B}$ has a canonical $G$-linearization.
Definition 5.1. Let $D_{G}^{\operatorname{perf}}(X)$ denote the derived category of $G$-linearized perfect complexes on $X$. A $G$-linearized perfect obstruction theory on $X$ relative to $B$ is a $G$-linearized perfect complex $E_{\bullet}$ and a map $\phi: E_{\bullet} \rightarrow L_{X / B}$ in $D_{G}^{p e r f}(X)$ that defines a perfect obstruction theory after forgetting the $G$-linearizations. In other words, $E_{\bullet}$ is supported in (homological) degrees $[0,1], h_{0}(\phi)$ is an isomorphism and $h_{1}(\phi)$ is a surjection.

The virtual fundamental class associated to a perfect obstruction theory only depends on the truncation $\phi: E_{\bullet} \rightarrow \tau_{\leq 1} L_{X / B}$ (we use the homological convention for the truncation); in what follows, we will abuse notation and refer to a map $\phi: E_{\bullet} \rightarrow \tau_{\leq 1} L_{X / B}$ that is an isomorphism on $h_{0}$ and a surjection on $h_{1}$ as a perfect obstruction theory.

We will always assume that our $G$-linearized perfect obstruction theories admit representatives $\left(E_{1} \rightarrow E_{0}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{0}\right)} \tau_{\leq 1} L_{X / B}$ with $\left(E_{1} \rightarrow E_{0}\right)$ a $G$-linearized twoterm complex of locally free coherent sheaves on $X$, and that $X$ is in the subcategory $\operatorname{Sch}_{q}^{G} / B$ and admits a $G$-equivariant closed immersion $\iota: X \rightarrow Y$ for some $Y \in$ $\operatorname{Sm}_{q}^{G} / B$. This gives us for each $j$ the $G$-equivariant closed immersion $\iota_{j}:=\iota \times$ $\operatorname{Id}_{E_{j} G}: X \times E_{j} G \rightarrow Y \times E_{j} G$ and induced closed immersion $\alpha_{j}: X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G \rightarrow$ $Y \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G$.

We note that for $p_{1}: X \times{ }_{B} E_{j} G \rightarrow X$ the projection, $p_{1}^{*} L_{X / B}=L_{X \times{ }^{B} E_{j} G / E_{j} G}$, and that the perfect complex $L_{X / B, j}$ on $X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G$ induced by $p_{1}^{*} L_{X / B}$ via descent is canonically isomorphic to $L_{X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G / B_{j} G}$. Letting

$$
\phi_{j}: E_{\bullet, j} \rightarrow \tau_{\leq 1} L_{X / B, j} \cong \tau_{\leq 1} L_{X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G / B_{j} G}
$$

be the map induced by $p_{1}^{*} \phi$ by descent, $\phi_{j}$ defines a perfect obstruction theory on $X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G$ relative to $B_{j} G$, giving us the virtual fundamental class

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G, \phi_{j}\right]_{\mathcal{E}}^{v i r} \in \mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G / B_{j} G, E_{\bullet, j}\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will usually drop the subscript $\mathcal{E}$ from the notation if there is no cause for confusion.

Lemma 5.2. $i: B_{0} \rightarrow B_{1}$ be a regular closed immersion in $\mathbf{S m} / B$. Let $\psi:\left(F_{1} \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.F_{0}\right) \rightarrow \tau_{\leq 1} L_{Z_{1} / B_{1}}$ be a perfect obstruction theory on some $Z_{1} \in \mathbf{S c h} / B_{1}$, relative to
$B_{1}$. Let $Z_{0}=Z_{1} \times{ }_{B_{1}} B_{0}$ and assume that the cartesian square

is Tor-independent, giving the canonical isomorphism $i^{*} L_{Z_{1} / B_{1}} \cong L_{Z_{0} / B_{0}}$ and the induced perfect obstruction theory $i^{*} \psi:\left(i^{*} F_{1} \rightarrow i^{*} F_{0}\right) \rightarrow \tau_{\leq 1} L_{Z_{0} / B_{0}}$.

Choose a closed immersion $\iota: Z_{1} \rightarrow Y_{1}$, with $Y_{1} \in \mathbf{S m}_{q} / B_{1}$, giving the closed immersion $\iota_{0}: Z_{0} \rightarrow Y_{0}:=Y_{1} \times_{B_{1}} B_{0}$. We assume that the canonical map $\beta$ : $C_{Z_{0} / Y_{0}} \rightarrow i^{*} C_{Z_{1} / Y_{1}}$ is an isomorphism. Then the refined Gysin morphism

$$
i^{!}: \mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Z_{1} / B_{1}, F_{\bullet}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Z_{0} / B_{1}, i^{*} F_{\bullet}-\mathcal{N}_{i}\right) \cong \mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Z_{0} / B_{0}, i^{*} F_{\bullet}\right)
$$

satisfies

$$
i^{!}\left([Z, \psi]^{v i r}\right)=\left[Z_{0}, \psi_{0}\right]^{v i r}
$$

Proof. As in Remark 1.5, the purity isomorphisms for $B_{0} \rightarrow B, B_{1} \rightarrow B$ give us the canonical isomorphism

$$
\vartheta_{i}: \mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Z_{0} / B_{1}, v-\mathcal{N}_{i}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Z_{0} / B_{0}, v\right)
$$

for $v \in \mathcal{K}\left(Z_{0}\right)$.
Letting $\mathcal{I}_{Z}$ be the ideal sheaf of $Z$ in $Y$, we have the map $\bar{\psi}:\left(F_{1} \rightarrow F_{0}\right) \rightarrow$ $\left(\mathcal{I}_{Z} / \mathcal{I}_{Z}^{2} \rightarrow \iota^{*} \Omega_{Y / B}\right)$ induced by $\psi$, the corresponding closed immersion $\iota_{0}: Z_{0} \rightarrow$ $Y_{0}:=Y \times_{B} B_{0}$ and the corresponding map $i^{*} \bar{\psi}:\left(i^{*} F_{1} \rightarrow i^{*} F_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{I}_{Z_{0}} / \mathcal{I}_{Z_{0}}^{2} \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.\iota^{*} \Omega_{Y_{0} / B_{0}}\right)$ induced by $\psi$.

Following the Graber-Pandharipande construction given in $\$ 4$, we have the cone $D^{v i r}$ with fundamental class $\left[D^{v i r}\right]$, and closed immersion $\iota_{F_{\bullet}}: D^{v i r} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{V}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\right)$, giving the virtual fundament class $[Z, \psi]^{v i r}$ as

$$
[Z, \psi]^{v i r}=0_{\mathbb{V}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\right)}^{!}\left(\iota_{F_{\bullet} *}\left(\left[D^{v i r}\right]\right)\right)
$$

We also have the corresponding cone $D_{0}^{v i r}$ with fundamental class $\left[D_{0}^{v i r}\right]$, and closed immersion $\iota_{i^{*} F_{\bullet}}: D_{0}^{v i r} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{V}\left(i^{*} F_{1}\right)$, giving the virtual fundamental class $\left[Z_{0}, i^{*} \psi\right]$ vir as

$$
\left[Z_{0}, i^{*} \psi\right]^{v i r}=0_{\mathbb{V}\left(i^{*} \mathcal{F}_{1}\right)}^{!}\left(\iota_{i^{*} F_{\bullet} *}\left(\left[D_{0}^{v i r}\right]\right)\right)
$$

By assumption, the canonical map $\beta: C_{Z_{0} / Y_{0}} \rightarrow i^{*} C_{Z / Y}$ is an isomorphism. By Proposition 2.5, this gives the identity

$$
\left[C_{Z_{0} / Y_{0}}\right]=i^{!}\left[C_{Z / Y}\right] \in \mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(C_{Z_{0} / Y_{0}} / B_{0}, \Omega_{Y_{0} / B_{0}}\right)
$$

Using the zig-zag of isomorphisms of Borel-Moore homology used to define $[D]$ and [ $D_{0}$ ], and using the compatibility of the refined Gysin map with smooth pullback (Proposition 1.3) this implies

$$
\left[D_{0}^{v i r}\right]=i^{!}\left[D^{v i r}\right] \in \mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(D_{0} / B_{0}, i^{*} F_{0}\right)
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[Z_{0}, i^{*} \psi\right]^{v i r} } & =0_{\mathbb{V}\left(i^{*} \mathcal{F}_{1}\right)}^{!}\left(\iota_{i^{*} F_{\bullet} *}\left(\left[D_{0}^{v i r}\right]\right)\right) \\
& =0_{\mathbb{V}\left(i^{*} \mathcal{F}_{1}\right)}^{!}\left(\iota_{i^{*} F_{\bullet} *}\left(i^{!}\left[D^{v i r}\right]\right)\right) \\
& =0_{\mathbb{V}\left(i^{*} \mathcal{F}_{1}\right)}^{!} i^{!}\left(\iota_{i^{*} F_{\bullet} *}\left(\left[D^{v i r}\right]\right)\right) \\
& =i^{!}\left(0_{\mathbb{V}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\right)}^{!}\left(\iota_{i^{*} F_{\bullet} *}\left(\left[D^{v i r}\right]\right)\right)\right) \\
& =i^{!}[Z, \psi]^{v i r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The third identity is Proposition 1.3 and the fourth is Corollary 2.3
Definition/Proposition 5.3. Let $\phi_{\bullet}: E_{\bullet} \rightarrow \tau_{\leq 1} L_{X / B}$ be a $G$-linearized perfect obstruction theory on $X \in \mathbf{S c h}_{q}^{G} / B$. Then the family of virtual fundamental classes of (5.1),

$$
\left[X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G, \phi_{j}\right]_{\mathcal{E}}^{v i r} \in \mathcal{E}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G / B_{j} G, E_{\bullet, j}\right)
$$

give a well-defined element

$$
[X, \phi]_{\mathcal{E}, G}^{v i r} \in \mathcal{E}_{G}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X / B, E_{\bullet}\right)
$$

Proof. We have the cartesian, Tor-independent diagram

and the perfect obstruction theory $\phi_{j+1}$ on $X \times{ }^{G} E_{j+1} G$. Choose a $G$-equivariant closed immersion $\iota: X \rightarrow Y$ with $Y \in \mathbf{S m}_{q}^{G} / B$, giving the closed immersions

$$
\iota_{j}: X \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G \rightarrow Y \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G
$$

with $Y \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G$ smooth over $B_{j} G$ and $\iota_{j} \cong \iota_{j+1} \times_{B_{j+1} G} B_{j} G$. This gives the isomorphism

$$
C_{\iota_{j}} \cong C_{X / Y} \times{ }^{G} E_{j} G
$$

and thus the canonical map $\beta: C_{\iota_{j}} \rightarrow C_{\iota_{j+1}} \times{ }_{B_{j+1} G} B_{j} G$ is an isomorphism. We also have the canonical isomorphism of perfect obstruction theories $i^{*} \phi_{j+1} \cong \phi_{j}$. Thus, we may apply Lemma 5.2 to yield the result.

We will usually drop the subscripts $\mathcal{E}, G$ from $[X, \phi]_{\mathcal{E}, G}^{v i r}$ if there is no cause for confusion.

## 6. Virtual localization

With the machinery of $\$ 3$ and $\$ 5$, together with our version of Vistoli's lemma, we can essentially copy the constructions and arguments of Graber-Pandharipande to prove our virtual localization theorem. We concentrate on the case $G=N$, with torus $T_{1} \subset N, T_{1}=\mathbb{G}_{m}$.

Fix an $X \in \mathbf{S c h}^{N} / k$, a $Y \in \mathbf{S m}^{N} / k$ and a closed immersion $i: X \hookrightarrow Y$ in $\mathbf{S c h}^{N} / k$. We also fix a $N$-equivariant perfect obstruction theory on $X$, represented by a two-term complex $E_{\bullet}:=\left(E_{1} \rightarrow E_{0}\right)$ of $N$-linearized locally free sheaves on $X$, together with a $N$-equivariant map $\phi_{\bullet}: E_{\bullet} \rightarrow \tau_{\leq 1} L_{X / k}=\left(\mathcal{I}_{X} / \mathcal{I}_{X}^{2} \rightarrow i^{*} \Omega_{Y / k}\right)$.

We recall from [9, §1] some facts about the $T_{1}$-fixed loci of $X$ and $Y$.

We have the $T_{1}$-fixed subschemes $X^{T_{1}} \subset Y^{T_{1}}$, with $X^{T_{1}}=X \cap Y^{T_{1}} . Y^{T_{1}}$ is smooth; let $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{s}$ be the irreducible components of $Y^{T_{1}}$ with inclusion maps $i_{j}^{Y}: Y_{j} \rightarrow Y$, and let $X_{j}=X \cap Y_{j}$, so $X^{T_{1}}=\amalg_{j} X_{j}$. Let $i_{j}: X_{j} \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a $T_{1}$-linearized coherent sheaf on on $X_{j}$. Since the $T_{1}$-action on $X_{j}$ is trivial, we can decompose $\mathcal{F}$ into weight spaces for the $T_{1}=\mathbb{G}_{m}$-action

$$
\mathcal{F}=\oplus_{r} \mathcal{F}_{r}
$$

where $t \in \mathbb{G}_{m}$ acts on $\mathcal{F}_{r}$ by the character $t \mapsto t^{r}$. if $\mathcal{F}$ is locally free, so is each $\mathcal{F}_{r}$. The subsheaf $\mathcal{F}^{\mathfrak{m}}:=\oplus_{r \neq 0} \mathcal{F}_{r}$ is the moving part of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\mathfrak{f}}:=\mathcal{F}_{0}$ is the fixed part of $\mathcal{F}$.

Letting $i_{j}: X_{j} \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion, the map $\phi_{\bullet}$ induces the map

$$
\phi_{j}: i_{j}^{*} E_{\bullet}^{\mathfrak{f}} \rightarrow \tau_{\leq 1} L_{X_{j} / k}
$$

By [9, Proposition 1] $\phi_{j}$ defines a perfect obstruction theory on $X_{j}$.
Definition 6.1. The virtual conormal sheaf of $X_{j}$ in $X, \mathcal{N}_{j}^{v i r}$, is defined to be the perfect complex $i_{j}^{*} E_{\bullet}^{\mathfrak{m}}$.

We have the 4-torsion element $\sigma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{array}\right) \in N$, which together with $T_{1}$ generates $N$. Letting $\bar{\sigma}$ denote the image of $\sigma$ in $N / T_{1}, N / T_{1}$ is the cyclic group of order two generated by $\bar{\sigma}$.
Lemma 6.2. For each $j, \phi_{j}: i_{j}^{*} E_{\bullet}^{\mathfrak{f}} \rightarrow \tau_{\leq 1} L_{X_{j} / k}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{j}^{v i r}$ inherit from $\phi$ and $E_{\bullet}$ natural $N$-linearizations.
Proof. For $t \in T_{1}$, we have $t \cdot \sigma=\sigma \cdot t^{-1}$. If $\mathcal{F}$ is an $N$-linearized coherent sheaf on $X, i_{j}^{*} \mathcal{F}$ inherits an $N$-linearization on $X_{j}$, and this relation implies that the canonical isomorphism $\sigma \cdot: \sigma^{*}\left(i_{j}^{*} \mathcal{F}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ given by the $N$-linearization restricts to $\sigma \cdot: \sigma^{*}\left(i_{j}^{*} \mathcal{F}_{r}\right) \rightarrow i_{j}^{*} \mathcal{F}_{-r}$. Thus $i_{j}^{*} \mathcal{F}_{r} \oplus i_{j}^{*} \mathcal{F}_{-r}$ is an $N$-linearized subsheaf of $i_{j}^{*} \mathcal{F}$ for each $r \neq 0$, and $i_{j}^{*} \mathcal{F}_{0}$ is also an $N$-linearized subsheaf of $i_{j}^{*} \mathcal{F}$.

Thus $\phi_{j}: i_{j}^{*} E_{\bullet}^{\mathfrak{f}} \rightarrow \tau_{\leq 1} L_{X_{j}^{T_{1}} / k}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{j}^{\text {vir }}:=i_{j}^{*} E_{\bullet}^{\mathfrak{m}}$ have canonical $N$-linearizations.

We have shown in [12, Theorem 8.6] that there is a positive integer $M_{0}$ such that, for each $N$-linearized invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ on $X$, the push-forward by the inclusion $X^{T_{1}} \rightarrow X$ induces an isomorphism

$$
H_{N}^{*}\left(X^{T_{1}}, \mathcal{W}\left(i^{*} \mathcal{L}\right)\right)\left[1 / M_{0} e\right] \rightarrow H_{N}^{*}(X, \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{L}))\left[1 / M_{0} e\right]
$$

Definition 6.3. Let $|X|^{N} \subset X^{T_{1}}$ be the union of the $N$-stable irreducible components of $X^{T_{1}}$, and let $X_{\text {ind }}^{T_{1}}$ be the union of the irreducible components $C$ of $X^{T_{1}}$ such that $N \cdot C=C \cup C^{\prime}$ with $C^{\prime} \neq C$ and $C \cap C^{\prime}=\emptyset$. We call the action semi-strict if $X^{T_{1}}=|X|^{N} \cup X_{\mathrm{ind}}^{T_{1}}$ and strict if the action is semi-strict, $|X|^{N} \cap X_{\mathrm{ind}}^{T_{1}}=\emptyset$, and $|X|^{N}$ decomposes as a disjoint union of two $N$-stable closed subschemes

$$
|X|^{N}=X^{N} \amalg X_{\mathrm{fr}}^{T_{1}}
$$

where the $N / T_{1}$-action on $X_{\text {fr }}^{T_{1}}$ is free.
If the $N$-action is semi-strict, then the inclusion $|X|^{N} \rightarrow X$ induces an isomorphism

$$
H_{N}^{*}\left(|X|^{N}, \mathcal{W}\left(i^{*} \mathcal{L}\right)\right)\left[1 / M_{0} e\right] \rightarrow H_{N}^{*}(X, \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{L}))\left[1 / M_{0} e\right]
$$

and

$$
H_{N}^{*}\left(X^{T_{1}} \backslash|X|^{N}, \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{L})\right)\left[1 / M_{0} e\right]=0
$$

Remark 6.4. Suppose that the $N$-action on $Y$ is strict. Then the $N$-action on $X$ is also strict, with $X^{N}=Y^{N} \cap X$, with $Y_{\mathrm{ind}}^{T_{1}} \cap X \subset X_{\mathrm{ind}}^{T_{1}}$, and with $Y_{\mathrm{fr}}^{T_{1}} \cap X=X_{\mathrm{fr}}^{T_{1}} \amalg X^{\prime}$, with $X_{\text {ind }}^{T_{1}}=X^{\prime} \amalg Y_{\text {ind }}^{T_{1}} \cap X$.

Assuming the $N$-action on $X$ is strict, we set $|X|_{j}^{N}:=|X|^{N} \cap X_{j}$, giving a decomposition of $|X|^{N}$,

$$
|X|^{N}=\amalg_{j}|X|_{j}^{N},
$$

with each $|X|_{j}^{N}$ having the perfect obstruction theory $\phi_{j}: i_{j}^{*} E_{\bullet}^{\mathfrak{f}} \rightarrow \tau_{\leq 1} L_{|X|_{j}^{N} / k}$ and virtual conormal sheaf $\mathcal{N}_{j}^{v i r}$. Decomposing each $|X|_{j}^{N}$ further, we have the connected components $|X|_{j, \ell}^{N}$ of $|X|_{j}^{N}$.

In the case of a strict $N$-action, each $N$-linearized locally free sheaf $\mathcal{V}$ on a connected component of $X^{T_{1}}$, with $\mathcal{V}=\mathcal{V}^{\mathbf{m}}$, has a generic representation type [ $\mathcal{V}^{\text {gen }], ~ w h i c h ~ i s ~ a n ~ i s o m o r p h i s m ~ c l a s s ~ o f ~ a n ~} N$-representation on a $k$-vector space (see [12, Construction 2.7] for details).

Lemma 6.5. Let $X$ be in $\mathbf{S c h}^{N} / k$. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be an $N$-linearized locally free sheaf on $X^{T_{1}}$. Suppose that the $N$-action is strict and that that $\mathcal{V}=\mathcal{V}^{\mathrm{m}}$ on $|X|^{N}$. Fix a $j$, $\ell$ and let $\mathcal{V}_{j, \ell}$ denote the restriction of $\mathcal{V}$ to $|X|_{j, \ell}^{N}$.

1. There are positive integers $M, n$ such that the equivariant Euler class $e_{N}\left(\mathcal{V}_{j, \ell}^{g e n}\right) \in$ $H^{*}(B N, \mathcal{W})$ is of the form $M \cdot e^{n}$. If the weight space $\left(\mathcal{V}_{j, \ell}\right)_{r}$ for the $T_{1}$-action is zero for all even $r>0$, then $M$ is an odd integer.
2. If $k$ admits a real embedding, then $e_{N}\left(\mathcal{V}_{j, \ell}^{\text {gen }}\right)$ is not nilpotent. If $M$ is odd, then $e_{N}\left(\mathcal{V}_{j, \ell}^{\text {gen }}\right)$ is a non-zero divisor in $H^{*}(B N, \mathcal{W})$.
3. $e_{N}\left(\mathcal{V}_{j, \ell}\right)$ is invertible in $H_{N}^{*}\left(|X|_{j, \ell}^{N}, \mathcal{W}\left(\operatorname{det}^{-1} \mathcal{V}\right)\right)[1 / M e]$.

Proof. This follows immediately from [12, Lemma 9.3].
Definition 6.6. Let $i: X \rightarrow Y$ be a closed immersion in $\mathbf{S c h}^{N} / k$, with $Y \in$ $\mathbf{S m}^{N} / k$, and let $\phi: E_{\bullet} \rightarrow \tau_{\leq 1} L_{X / k}$ be an $N$-linearized perfect obstruction theory on $X$. Suppose that the action on $X$ is strict. For each $i_{j, \ell}:|X|_{, \ell}^{N} j \rightarrow X$ of $|X|^{N}$, write $e_{N}\left(\left(i_{j, \ell}^{*} E_{1}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)^{g e n}\right) \cdot e_{N}\left(\left(i_{j, \ell}^{*} E_{0}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)^{\text {gen }}\right)$ as $M_{j, \ell}^{X} e^{n_{j, \ell}^{X}}$, following Lemma 6.5 We define

$$
e_{N}\left(N_{i_{j, \ell}}^{v i r}\right) \in H_{N}^{*}\left(|X|_{j, \ell}^{N}, \mathcal{W}\left(\operatorname{det}^{-1} N_{i_{j}}\right)\right)\left[1 / M_{j, \ell}^{X} e\right]
$$

by

$$
e_{N}\left(N_{i_{j, \ell}}^{v i r}\right)=e_{N}\left(\mathbb{V}\left(i_{j, \ell}^{*} E_{0}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)\right) \cdot e_{N}\left(\mathbb{V}\left(i_{j, \ell}^{*} E_{1}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)\right)^{-1} .
$$

Let $M_{j}^{X}=\prod_{\ell} M_{j, \ell}$ and let

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{N}\left(N_{i_{j}}^{v i r}\right)=\left\{e_{N}\left(N_{i_{j, \ell}}^{v i r}\right)\right\}_{\ell} \in H_{N}^{*}\left(|X|_{j, \ell}^{N},\right. & \left.\mathcal{W}\left(\operatorname{det}^{-1} N_{i_{j}}\right)\right)\left[1 / M_{j}^{X}\right] \\
& =\prod_{\ell} H_{N}^{*}\left(|X|_{j, \ell}^{N}, \mathcal{W}\left(\operatorname{det}^{-1} N_{i_{j}}\right)\right)\left[1 / M_{j}^{X}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, noting that each irreducible component $Y_{j}$ of $Y^{T_{1}}$ is smooth, we can write $e_{N}\left(\left(i_{j}^{Y *} T_{Y}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)^{g e n}\right)$ as $M_{j}^{Y} e^{n_{j}^{Y}}$.

It follows from Lemma 6.5 that $e_{N}\left(N_{i_{j}}^{v i r}\right)$ is well-defined and is invertible in $H_{N}^{*}\left(|X|_{j}^{N}, \mathcal{W}\left(\operatorname{det} \mathcal{N}_{i_{j}}^{v i r}\right)\right)\left[1 / \overline{M_{j}^{X}} e\right]$. Similarly, the Euler class $e_{N}\left(i_{j}^{Y *} T_{Y}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)$ is invertible in $H_{N}^{*}\left(Y_{j}, \mathcal{W}\left(\operatorname{det}^{-1} i_{j}^{Y *} T_{Y}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)\right)\left[1 / M_{j}^{Y} e\right]$.

Recall the integer $M_{0}>0$ defined above, just before Definition 6.3.
Theorem 6.7 (Virtual localization). Let $i: X \rightarrow Y$ be a closed immersion in $\mathbf{S c h}^{N} / k$, with $Y \in \mathbf{S m}^{N} / k$, and let $\phi: E_{\bullet} \rightarrow L_{X / k}$ be an $N$-linearized perfect obstruction theory on $X$. Suppose the $N$-action on $X$ is strict. Let $M=M_{0}$. $\prod_{i, j} M_{j}^{X} \cdot M_{i}^{Y}$, where the $M_{j}^{X}, M_{j}^{Y}$ are as in Definition 6.6. Let $\left[|X|_{j}^{N}, \phi_{j}\right]_{N}^{v i r} \in$ $\mathcal{E}_{N}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(|X|_{j}^{N}, i_{j}^{*} E_{\bullet}^{\mathfrak{f}}\right)$ be the equivariant virtual fundamental class for the $N$-linearized perfect obstruction theory $\phi_{j}: i_{j}^{*} E_{\bullet}^{\mathfrak{f}} \rightarrow \tau_{\leq 1} L_{|X|_{j}^{N} / k}$ on $|X|_{j}^{N}$. Then

$$
[X, \phi]_{N}^{v i r}=\sum_{j=1}^{s} i_{j *}\left(\left[|X|_{j}^{N}, \phi_{j}\right]_{N}^{v i r} \cap e_{N}\left(N_{i_{j}}^{v i r}\right)^{-1}\right) \in \mathcal{E}_{N}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X, E_{\bullet}\right)[1 / M e]
$$

The proof is accomplished by following the argument in [9, §3] for the proof of their main theorem. Their argument is essentially formal, relying on the various operations for the equivariant Chow groups, which as we have explained in $\$ 3$, extend to the setting of equivariant Borel-Moore homology and equivariant cohomology. The main non-formal ingredient in their proof is their use of the lemma of Vistoli, which we have extended here to the setting of Borel-Moore homology, and which thus extends to equivariant Borel-Moore homology by using the Totaro-GrahamEdidin construction. We give here a step-by-step sketch of our extension of their proof. We set $\mathcal{E}=\operatorname{EM}(\mathcal{W})$.

Step 1 Let $X_{j}=Y_{j} \cap X^{T_{1}}$. We note that the localized equivariant Borel-Moore homology of $X_{\text {ind }}^{T_{1}}$ is zero. Using the assumption that the $N$-action is strict, we have $X_{j}=|X|_{j}^{N} \amalg X_{j} \cap X_{\text {ind }}^{T_{1}}$, so using the localization sequence in equivariant Borel-Moore homology, we may replace $X$ with $X \backslash X_{\text {ind }}^{T_{1}}, Y$ with $Y \backslash X_{\text {ind }}^{T_{1}}$, and thus we may assume that $X_{\text {ind }}^{T_{1}}=\emptyset$ and $|X|_{j}^{N}=X_{j}$. Let $\iota: X \rightarrow Y, \iota_{j}: X_{j} \rightarrow X$, $i_{j}^{Y}: Y_{j} \rightarrow Y$ and $i_{j}: X_{j} \rightarrow X$ denote the inclusions.

We will use all the results of $\$ 1.1$ and $\$ 2$ promoted to the equivariant setting, following Remark 3.5 .

We have the equivariant fundamental class $[Y]_{N} \in \mathcal{E}_{N}^{\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{M} .}\left(Y / B N, \Omega_{Y / k}\right)$, with $[Y]_{N}$ the image of $1 \in \mathcal{E}_{N}(Y)$ under the Poincaré duality isomorphism

$$
\mathcal{E}_{N}^{0,0}(Y) \cong \mathcal{E}_{N}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Y / B N, \Omega_{Y / k}\right)
$$

We recall that $Y^{T_{1}}$ is smooth over $k$ with irreducible components $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{s}$. We have fundamental classes $\left[Y_{j}\right]_{N} \in \mathcal{E}_{N}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Y_{j} / B N, \Omega_{Y_{j} / B}\right)$ defined as for $[Y]_{N}$.

For each irreducible component $i_{j}^{Y}: Y_{j} \rightarrow Y$ of $Y^{T_{1}}$, the normal bundle $N_{i_{j}^{Y}}$ of $i_{j}^{Y}$ is exactly $i_{j}^{Y *} T_{Y}^{\mathfrak{m}}$. Since $i_{j}^{Y!}[Y]_{N}=\left[Y_{j}\right]_{N}$, applying the Bott residue theorem [12, Theorem 10.5, Remark 10.6] for the $N$-action on $Y$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
[Y]_{N}=\sum_{j=1}^{s} i_{j *}^{Y}\left[Y_{j}\right]_{N} \cap e_{N}\left(i_{j}^{Y *} T_{Y}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)^{-1} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathcal{E}_{N}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(Y / B N, \Omega_{Y / k}\right)\left[1 / M_{Y} e\right]$.

Note that, although the Bott residue theorem of [12] is written for the BorelMoore homology $\mathcal{E}_{N}^{\text {B.M. }}(-/ B,-)$, the fact that

$$
\mathcal{E}_{N}^{\text {B.M. }}(-/ B,-)=\mathcal{E}_{N}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(-/ B N,-+\mathfrak{g}^{\vee}\right)
$$

(see Remark 3.6) allows us to apply the results of 12$]$ to $\mathcal{E}_{N}^{\text {B.M. }}(-/ B N,-$ ) by simply substituting $\mathcal{E}_{N}^{\text {B.M. }}(-/ B N,-)$ for $\mathcal{E}_{N}^{\text {B.M. }}(-/ B,-)$ in all statements to be found in [12].
Step 2. We apply the refined intersection product ( $\$ 1.3$ ) on $Y$ with respect to $\iota: X \rightarrow Y$, Id : $Y \rightarrow Y$, and the $i_{j}^{Y}: Y_{j} \rightarrow Y$, and use the compatibility with proper push-forward (Proposition 1.6(1)) to give the identity

$$
[X, \phi]_{N}^{v i r}=[X, \phi]_{N}^{v i r} \cdot \iota, \mathrm{Id}[Y]_{N}=\sum_{j=1}^{s} i_{j *}^{Y}\left([X, \phi]_{N}^{v i r} \cdot_{\iota, i_{j}^{Y}}\left[Y_{j}\right]_{N} \cap e_{N}\left(i_{j}^{Y *} T_{Y}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)^{-1}\right)
$$

We will show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
[X, \phi]_{N}^{v i r} \cdot \iota_{\iota i_{j}^{Y}}\left[Y_{j}\right]_{N} \cap e_{N}\left(i_{j}^{Y *} T_{Y}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)^{-1}=\left[X_{j}, \phi_{j}\right]_{N}^{v i r} \cap e_{N}\left(N_{i_{j}}^{v i r}\right)^{-1} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the appropriate localized Borel-Moore homology on $X_{j}$, which will yield the formula of Theorem 6.7
Step 3. We have the cone $D=C_{X / Y} \times \mathbb{V}\left(E_{0}\right)$ over $X$ and its quotient cone $D^{v i r}:=\iota^{*} T_{Y} \backslash D$, with closed immersion $\iota_{E_{\bullet}}: D^{v i r} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{V}\left(E_{1}\right)$, all of these being maps in $\mathbf{S c h}^{N} / k$.

Referring to Definition/Proposition 5.3, we have

$$
[X, \phi]_{N}^{v i r}=0_{\mathbb{V}\left(E_{1}\right)}^{!}\left(\iota_{E_{\bullet} *}\left[D^{v i r}\right]_{N}\right) \in \mathcal{E}_{N}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X / B N, E_{\bullet}\right)
$$

For each $j$, we have a similar description of the virtual class $\left[X_{j}, \phi_{j}\right]_{N}^{v i r}$ for the $N$-linearized perfect obstruction theory $\phi_{j}: i_{j}^{*} E_{\bullet}^{\mathfrak{f}} \rightarrow \tau_{\leq 1} L_{X_{j} / k}$ on $X_{j}$ with corresponding cones $D_{j}$ and $D_{j}^{v i r}$, namely,

$$
\left[X_{j}, \phi_{j}\right]_{N}^{v i r}=0_{\mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{1}^{\mathfrak{f}}\right)}^{!}\left(\iota_{i_{j}^{*} E_{\bullet}^{\mathfrak{f}}}\left[D_{j}^{v i r}\right]_{N}\right) \in \mathcal{E}_{N}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(X_{j} / B N, i_{j}^{*} E_{\bullet}^{\mathfrak{f}}\right)
$$

Here $D_{j}=C_{X_{j} / Y_{j}} \times \mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{0}^{\mathfrak{f}}\right)$ and $D_{j}^{v i r}=\iota_{j}^{*} T_{Y_{j}} \backslash D_{j}$, with closed equivariant immer$\operatorname{sion} \iota_{i_{j}^{*} E_{\mathbf{\bullet}}^{\mathfrak{f}}}: D_{j}^{v i r} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{1}^{\mathfrak{f}}\right)$.
Step 4. We consider the closed immersions $\iota: X \rightarrow Y$ and $\iota_{j}: X_{j} \hookrightarrow Y_{j}$, with their corresponding cones $C_{\iota}:=C_{X / Y}$ and $C_{\iota_{j}}:=C_{X_{j} / Y_{j}}$. We have the closed immersion $\beta_{j}: C_{\iota j} \rightarrow i_{j}^{*} C_{\iota}$. Since $i_{j}^{Y}$ is a regular embedding, Vistoli's lemma (actually, the $N$-equivariant version of Proposition 2.5) gives us the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{j *}\left(\left[C_{\iota j}\right]_{N}\right)=i_{j}^{Y!}\left[C_{\iota}\right]_{N} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $H_{N}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(i_{j}^{*} C_{\iota}\right)$ (we suppress the twist to simplify the notation).
The closed immersion $\beta_{j}$ and the inclusion $i_{j}^{*} E_{0}^{\mathfrak{f}} \subset i_{j}^{*} E_{0}^{\mathfrak{f}} \oplus i_{j}^{*} E_{0}^{\mathfrak{m}}=i_{j}^{*} E_{0}$ gives the closed immersion $\beta_{j}^{D}: D_{j} \times \mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{0}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right) \rightarrow D$, and (6.3) gives us the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{j *}^{D}\left(\left[D_{j} \times \mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{0}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)\right]_{N}\right)=i_{j}^{Y!}[D]_{N} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $H_{N}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(i_{j}^{Y *} D\right)$. We also have the fundamental class $\left[D_{j}\right]$ induced from $\left[C_{\iota_{j}}\right]$ via the projection $D_{j} \rightarrow C_{\iota j}$.

We write $T_{Y}$ for $T_{Y / B}$, etc. We have the quotient cones

$$
D^{v i r}:=i^{*} T_{Y} \backslash D, D_{j}^{v i r}:=i_{j}^{*} T_{Y_{j}} \backslash D_{j}
$$

with their fundamental classes $\left[D^{v i r}\right]$ and $\left[D_{j}^{v i r}\right]$ induced from $[D]$ and $\left[D_{j}\right]$. The closed immersion $\iota_{E_{\mathbf{\bullet}}}: D^{v i r} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{V}\left(E_{1}\right)$ induces the cartesian diagram

which gives the identity

$$
[X, \phi]_{N}^{v i r}:=0_{\mathbb{V}\left(\mathcal{E}_{1}\right)}^{!}\left(\iota_{E_{\bullet} *}\left[D^{v i r}\right]_{N}\right)=0_{T_{Y}}^{!} 0_{\underset{\mathbb{V}}{ }\left(E_{1}\right)}^{!}\left([D]_{N}\right)
$$

Combined with (6.4) and using the commutativity of refined Gysin pullback, this gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[X, \phi]_{N}^{v i r} \cdot \cdot_{\iota, i_{j}^{Y}}\left[Y_{j}\right]_{N}=i_{j}^{Y!}[X, \phi]_{N}^{v i r}} \\
& \qquad=i_{j}^{Y!} 0_{T_{Y}}^{!} 0_{\mathbb{V}\left(E_{1}\right)}^{!}\left([D]_{N}\right)=0_{i_{j}^{Y *} T_{Y}}^{!} 0_{\mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{Y *} E_{1}\right)}^{!} i_{j}^{Y!}\left([D]_{N}\right) \\
& \\
& \quad=0_{i_{j}^{Y *} T_{Y}}^{!} 0_{\mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{Y *} E_{1}\right)}^{\prime}\left(\left[D_{j} \times \mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{0}^{\left.\mathfrak{m})]_{N}\right)}\right.\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, the closed immersion $\iota_{E_{\bullet}, j}: D_{j}^{v i r} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{V}\left(\iota_{j}^{*} E_{1}^{\mathfrak{f}}\right)$ gives the cartesian diagram

and the identity

$$
\left[X_{j}, \phi_{j}\right]_{N}^{v i r}:=0_{\mathbb{V}\left(E_{1, j}\right)}^{!}\left(\iota_{E_{\bullet}, j *}\left[D_{j}^{v i r}\right]_{N}\right)=0_{i_{j}^{*} T_{Y_{j}}}^{!} 0_{\stackrel{\mathbb{V}}{ }\left(E_{1, j}\right)}^{!}\left(\left[D_{j}\right]_{N}\right)
$$

We also note that

$$
T_{Y_{j}}=i_{j}^{Y *} T_{Y}^{\mathfrak{f}}
$$

giving

$$
i_{j}^{Y *} T_{Y}=T_{Y_{j}} \oplus i_{j}^{Y *} T_{Y}^{\mathfrak{m}}
$$

and in turn

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0_{i_{j}^{Y *} T_{Y}}^{!} 0_{\mathbb{V}\left(\iota_{j}^{*} E_{1}\right)}^{!}\left[D_{j} \times \mathbb{V}\left(\iota_{j}^{*} E_{0}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)\right]_{N} \\
&=0_{i_{j}^{Y *} T_{Y}^{\mathfrak{m}}}^{!} 0_{\mathbb{V}\left(\iota_{j}^{*} E_{1}\right)}^{!}\left[\left(T_{Y_{j}} \backslash D_{j}\right) \times \mathbb{V}\left(\iota_{j}^{*} E_{0}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)\right]_{N} \\
&=0_{i_{j}^{Y *} T_{Y / B}^{\mathfrak{m}}}^{!} 0_{\mathbb{V}\left(\iota_{j}^{*} E_{1}\right)}^{!}\left[D_{j}^{v i r} \times \mathbb{V}\left(\iota_{j}^{*} E_{0}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)\right]_{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

Following the discussion on [9, pg. 498], we have the commutative diagram

with the "hook" arrows all closed immersions and all squares cartesian, except for the one marked " $\bullet$ ". The map $\mathbb{V}\left(d_{j}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right): \mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{0}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{1}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)$ is induced from the "moving part" of the differential $d_{j}: i_{j}^{*} E_{1} \rightarrow i_{j}^{*} E_{0}$.

Let $0_{\mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{1}\right)}^{-1}(-)$ denote the scheme-theoretic pull-back with respect to the zerosection $0_{\mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{1}\right)}$. From the above diagram, we have the closed immersion

$$
b: 0_{\mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{1}\right)}^{-1}\left(D_{j}^{v i r} \times_{X_{j}} \mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{0}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)\right) \hookrightarrow i_{j}^{*} T_{Y} / \iota_{j}^{*} T_{Y_{j}}=i_{j}^{*} T_{Y}^{\mathfrak{m}}
$$

Since $0_{\mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{1}^{\mathfrak{f}}\right)}^{-1}\left(D_{j}^{v i r}\right)=X_{j}$, we also have the closed immersion

$$
a: 0_{\mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{1}\right)}^{-1}\left(D_{j}^{v i r} \times_{X_{j}} \mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{0}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)\right) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{0}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)
$$

This gives us the commutative diagram in $\mathbf{S c h}^{N} / k$


Let $\gamma=0_{\mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{1}\right)}^{!}\left[D_{j}^{\text {vir }} \times \mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{0}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)\right]_{N}$, this class living in the equivariant BorelMoore homology $H_{N}^{\text {B.M. }}\left(0_{\mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{1}\right)}^{-1}\left(D_{j}^{v i r} \times_{X_{j}} \mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{0}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)\right)\right)$. Using the excess intersection formula as in [9, §3, Lemma 1], we have

$$
0_{i_{j}^{Y *} T_{Y}^{\mathfrak{m}}}^{!}\left(a_{*}(\gamma)\right) \cap e_{N}\left(\mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{0}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)\right)=0_{\left.\underset{\mathbb{V}}{\left(i_{j}^{*}\right.} E_{0}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}\left(b_{*}(\gamma)\right) \cap e_{N}\left(i_{j}^{Y *} T_{Y}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[X, \phi]_{N}^{v i r} \cdot \iota_{\iota, i_{j}^{Y}}^{Y}\left[Y_{j}\right]_{N} \cap e\left(\mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{0}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)\right) } \\
&=0_{\mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{0}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}^{!}\left(0_{\mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{1}\right)}^{!}\left[D_{j}^{v i r} \times \mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{0}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)\right]_{N}\right) \cap e_{N}\left(i_{j}^{Y *} T_{Y}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By using $\mathbb{A}^{1}$-homotopy invariance, it follows that the right-hand side of the above is not changed if we replace the differential $d_{j}: i_{j}^{*} E_{1} \rightarrow i_{j}^{*} E_{0}$ with the 0 -map, so that the map

$$
\left(\iota_{i_{j}^{*} E_{\bullet}^{\mathfrak{f}}}, \mathbb{V}\left(d_{j}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)\right): D_{j}^{v i r} \times \mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{0}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{1}\right)
$$

factors as the projection $D_{j}^{v i r} \times \mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{0}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right) \rightarrow D_{j}^{v i r}$ followed by the canonical closed immersion $D_{j}^{v i r} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{1}^{\mathfrak{f}}\right) \subset \mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{1}\right)$. Using the equivariant excess intersection
formula, this yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0_{\mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{0}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}^{!}\left(0_{\mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{1}\right)}^{!}\left[D_{j}^{v i r} \times \mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{0}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)\right]_{N}\right) \\
& =\left(0_{\mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{1}\right)}^{!}\left[D_{j}^{v i r} \times \mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{0}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)\right]\right) \cap e_{N}\left(\mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{0}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)\right) \\
& =\left[X_{j}, \phi_{j}\right]_{N}^{v i r} \cap e_{N}\left(\mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{1}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[X, \phi]_{N}^{v i r} \cdot \iota, i_{j}^{Y}\left[Y_{j}\right]_{N} \cap e_{N}\left(i_{j}^{Y *} T_{Y}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)^{-1} } & \\
& =\left[X_{j}, \phi_{j}\right]_{N}^{v i r} \cap e_{N}\left(\mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{1}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)\right) \cdot e_{N}\left(\mathbb{V}\left(i_{j}^{*} E_{0}^{\mathfrak{m}}\right)\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

This is exactly the desired formula (6.2).
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