

# HOOK FORMULAE FROM SEGRE–MACPHERSON CLASSES

LEONARDO C. MIHALCEA, HIROSHI NARUSE, AND CHANGJIAN SU

ABSTRACT. Nakada’s colored hook formula is a vast generalization of many important formulae in combinatorics, such as the classical hook length formula and the Peterson’s formula for the number of reduced expressions of minuscule Weyl group elements. In this paper, we utilize cohomological properties of Segre–MacPherson classes of Schubert cells and varieties to prove a generalization of a cohomological version of Nakada’s formula, in terms of smoothness properties of Schubert varieties. A key ingredient in the proof is the study of a decorated version of the Bruhat graph. Summing over weighted paths of this graph give the terms in the generalized Nakada’s formula, and also provide algorithms to calculate structure constants of multiplications of Segre–MacPherson classes of Schubert cells. For simply laced Weyl groups, we also show the equality of ‘skew’ and ‘straight’ Nakada’s formulae. This utilizes a criterion for smoothness in terms of excited diagrams of heaps of minuscule elements, which might be of independent interest.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	4
3. Nakada’s colored hook formula	5
4. Chern–Schwartz–MacPherson classes of Schubert cells	9
5. Segre–MacPherson Littlewood–Richardson (SMLR) coefficients	11
6. Equivariant multiplicities	13
7. Equivariant multiplicities of CSM classes and smoothness of Richardson varieties	15
8. The $\Lambda$ -Bruhat graph	17
9. A generalized colored hook formula	21
10. Heaps, excited diagrams, and smoothness	23
11. Examples	28
References	31

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The goal of this paper is to reprove and generalize a version of Nakada’s colored hook formula, using localization properties of the Segre–MacPherson classes of Schubert varieties. We recall next the version of Nakada’s formula utilized in this paper.

Let  $G$  be a complex semisimple Lie group and fix opposite Borel groups  $B, B^-$ , giving  $B \cap B^- = T$ , a maximal torus in  $G$ . Let  $R^+$  be the set of positive roots in  $B$ , and let  $W := N_G(T)/T$  be the Weyl group, endowed with the Bruhat order  $<$ , and its length function  $\ell : W \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ . For a Weyl group element  $w \in W$ , denote by  $S(w) = \{\beta \in R^+ : s_\beta w < w\}$ .

---

*Date:* June 10, 2022.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05A19, 14M15; Secondary 05E14, 14N15.

*Key words and phrases.* hook formula, Segre–MacPherson classes, Schubert varieties, equivariant multiplicity.

Let  $w \in W$  be a  $\pi$ -minuscule Weyl group element for an integral dominant weight  $\pi$ , in the sense of Peterson; see [Pro84, Car91, Pro99b, Ste96, Ste01, GK20] and §3.2 below. Nakada's colored hook formula [Nak08a] is the identity:

$$(1) \quad \sum \frac{1}{\beta_1} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta_1 + \beta_2} \cdots \frac{1}{\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \cdots + \beta_r} = \prod_{\beta \in S(w)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta}\right).$$

Here the sum is over  $r \geq 0$  and oriented paths  $x_r \xrightarrow{\beta_r} x_{r-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow x_1 \xrightarrow{\beta_1} x_0 = w$  in the Bruhat graph of the flag manifold  $G/P$ , where  $P$  is the parabolic group with Weyl group  $W_P = \text{Stab}_W(\pi)$ , and the notation  $x \xrightarrow{\beta} y$  means that  $x, y$  are minimal length representatives such that  $yW_P = s_\beta xW_P > xW_P$ . See §3 below for details.

Nakada's formula is a vast generalization of several remarkable combinatorial formulae. One is the classical Frame–Robinson–Thrall hook formula calculating the dimension of the irreducible representation of the symmetric group  $S_n$  indexed by the partition  $\lambda$ , or, equivalently, the number of standard tableaux of shape  $\lambda$ :

$$\chi_\lambda(1) = \#\text{STab}(\lambda) = \frac{n!}{\prod_{\square \in \lambda} h_\square}.$$

Here  $h_\square$  is the hook length of a cell  $\square$  in the Young diagram of  $\lambda$ . Another special case is the Peterson formula counting the number  $\#\text{Red}(w)$  of reduced decompositions of a  $\pi$ -minuscule Weyl group element  $w$ :

$$(2) \quad \#\text{Red}(w) = \frac{\ell(w)!}{\prod_{\beta \in S(w)} \text{ht}(\beta)}.$$

We refer to [Nak08a] or to §9.1 below for more details about how to obtain these specializations from Nakada's formula.

Let  $W^P$  be the set of minimal representatives for the quotient  $W/W_P$ . For each  $w \in W^P$ , denote by  $Y(v) := \overline{B^-wP/P} \subset G/P$  the corresponding Schubert variety. Our main goal is to prove an identity generalizing Equation (1) in three directions:

- (1) We remove the hypothesis that  $w \in W$  is (Peterson)  $\pi$ -minuscule.
- (2) We consider a 'skew-version', for paths  $v \leq x_r \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow x_0 = w$  where  $v$  and  $w$  are fixed, and such that the Schubert variety  $Y(v)$  is smooth at  $w$ . Nakada's formula is obtained from specializing  $v = id$ , since  $Y(id) = G/P$  is smooth at each  $w$ .
- (3) Instead of fixing a single integral weight  $\pi$ , we consider an **admissible weight function**  $\Lambda : [v, w]^P \rightarrow X^*(T)_P$  from the Bruhat interval  $[v, w]^P$  to the set of integral weights which stabilize  $P$ .

The admissible functions are required to satisfy certain hypotheses spelled out in §8. An admissible function  $\Lambda$  leads to a decorated version of the usual Bruhat graph which we call the  **$\Lambda$ -Bruhat graph** of  $G/P$ . This graph was utilized in [Mih06, Mih07] as part of an algorithm calculating the Schubert structure constants of the equivariant quantum cohomology ring of  $G/P$ . Our most general result is the following (cf. Theorem 9.1).

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $v \leq w \in W^P$ , and fix an admissible function  $\Lambda : [v, w]^P \rightarrow X^*(T)_P$  with the associated  $\Lambda$ -Bruhat graph  $\Gamma$ . Set  $S(w/v) := \{\beta \in R^+ \mid v \leq s_\beta w < w\}$ . Then:*

*$Y(v) \subset G/P$  is smooth at  $w \in G/P$  if and only if*

$$(3) \quad \sum \frac{m_\Lambda(x_r, x_{r-1})}{\mathcal{W}_\Lambda(x_r)} \cdot \frac{m_\Lambda(x_{r-1}, x_{r-2})}{\mathcal{W}_\Lambda(x_{r-1})} \cdots \frac{m_\Lambda(x_1, x_0)}{\mathcal{W}_\Lambda(x_1)} = \prod_{\beta \in S(w/v)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta}\right),$$

where the sum is over integers  $r \geq 0$ , and over all directed paths  $v \leq x_r \rightarrow x_{r-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow x_0 = w$  in  $\Gamma$ . Here  $m_\Lambda(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}$  denotes the multiplicity of the edge  $x \rightarrow y$ , and  $\mathcal{W}_\Lambda(x) \in X^*(T)_P$  denotes the  $\Lambda$ -weight of  $x$ ; see Definition 8.4 below.

If  $w$  is  $\pi$ -minuscule for a dominant integral weight  $\pi$ , and if the admissible function is constant  $\Lambda \equiv \pi$  on the interval  $[v, w]^P$ , then each multiplicity  $m_\Lambda(x_i, x_{i-1}) = 1$ , and one obtains an identity generalizing Nakada's identity (1); see Corollary 9.3 below. The proof of this ultimately requires a good understanding of the (paths in the) interval  $[v, w]^P$  for  $\pi$ -minuscule elements  $v, w$ , in analogy to the intervals in the Young lattice; for instance, the intervals in the weak and strong Bruhat order coincide. We refer to §3.3 and §9 for more details. We encourage the reader to jump to §11, where we illustrate this theorem in few examples.

The equality (3) has a remarkable geometric interpretation, observed in special cases in [Mih07, Nar14, NO19]. Let  $c_{\text{SM}}(Y(v)) \in H_T^*(G/P)$  be the equivariant Chern–Schwartz–MacPherson (CSM) class of the Schubert variety  $Y(v)$ . This class is defined using MacPherson's construction of characteristic classes of singular varieties [Mac74], generalized to the equivariant case by Ohmoto [Ohm06]; see §4. Denote by

$$s_{\text{SM}}(Y(v)) = \frac{c_{\text{SM}}(Y(v))}{c^T(T(G/P))} \in \widehat{H}_T^*(G/P)$$

the (equivariant) Segre–MacPherson (SM) class, which is an element in an appropriate completion of the equivariant cohomology ring. Let also  $s_{\text{SM}}(Y(v))|_w \in H_T^*(pt)_{\text{loc}}$  denote the localization of the SM class at the torus fixed point  $w \in G/P$ . (Here  $H_T^*(pt)_{\text{loc}}$  is the fraction field of  $H_T^*(pt)$ .) Then we show that:

$$(4) \quad \sum \frac{m_\Lambda(x_r, x_{r-1})}{\mathcal{W}_\Lambda(x_r)} \cdot \frac{m_\Lambda(x_{r-1}, x_{r-2})}{\mathcal{W}_\Lambda(x_{r-1})} \cdots \frac{m_\Lambda(x_1, x_0)}{\mathcal{W}_\Lambda(x_1)} = \frac{s_{\text{SM}}(Y(v))|_w}{s_{\text{SM}}(Y(w))|_w}.$$

This is proved by utilizing a Molev–Sagan type recursion [MS99], based on a Chevalley formula to multiply SM classes; cf. Proposition 5.3 and see also [Su16, AMSS17]. Variants of this recursion have been successfully utilized in [KT03, Mih06, Mih07, Nar14, BCMP18, NO19] to study properties of the *equivariant* (quantum) cohomology or K-theory of flag manifolds. As a by-product of this study, and in the same spirit as the references above, we obtain an algorithm for the structure constants of the multiplication of SM classes; see Corollary 5.4 below. Different algorithms were also obtained in [Su20].

Once Equation (4) is proved, Theorem 1.1 follows from a smoothness criterion of Schubert varieties in terms of localization of SM classes. More precisely, if  $R_w^v$  denotes the Richardson variety, the fraction

$$\frac{s_{\text{SM}}(Y(v))|_w}{s_{\text{SM}}(Y(w))|_w} = e_{w, G/P}(c_{\text{SM}}(R_w^v))$$

equals to Brion's equivariant multiplicity [Bri97] of the CSM class of  $R_w^v$ ; cf. Proposition 7.3. From this perspective, the (generalized) Nakada's formula calculates the equivariant multiplicity of a Richardson variety. The smoothness of  $Y(v)$  at  $w$  ensures that the equivariant multiplicity is a product of factors corresponding to weights of the normal space of  $Y(v)$  at  $w$ , and it leads to the right hand side of Equation (3). Our smoothness criterion for  $Y(v)$  at  $w$  in Theorem 7.4 generalizes similar results about smoothness of Schubert varieties by Kumar [Kum96] and Brion [Bri97], the latter in terms of equivariant multiplicities. Our criterion is also related to the one from [AMSS19] for motivic Chern classes of Schubert varieties, which had consequences in  $p$ -adic representation theory.

Among the consequences of Theorem 1.1 proved in section §9.1 we mention a skew version of the Peterson formula (2)(cf. Corollary 9.4).

**Corollary 1.2.** *Take  $v < w \in W$  be  $\pi$ -minuscule elements such that  $Y(v)$  is smooth at  $w$ . Recall that  $S(w/v) := \{\beta \in R^+ \mid v \leq s_\beta w < w\}$ . Then:*

$$(5) \quad \#\text{Red}(wv^{-1}) = \frac{(\ell(w) - \ell(v))!}{\prod_{\beta \in S(w/v)} \text{ht}(\beta)}.$$

When considered in this generality, this corollary seems to be new. However, we prove that if  $W$  is simply laced, the ‘skew’ formula for  $v < w$  equals to the ‘straight’ formula applied to  $id < wv^{-1}$ . This follows from Theorem 10.11, where we show that  $Y(v)$  is smooth at  $w$  if and only if  $wv^{-1}$  is  $\pi'$ -minuscule, for some integral dominant weight  $\pi'$ . Furthermore, the  $\Lambda$ -Bruhat graphs for the intervals  $[v, w]$  and  $[id, wv^{-1}]$ , corresponding to the parabolic groups stabilizing  $\pi$ , respectively  $\pi'$ , are isomorphic. The proof utilizes the theory of heaps, defined by Stembridge [Ste01], together with that of excited diagrams of heaps, studied by Ikeda and Naruse [IN09] and Naruse and Okada [NO19]. As a by-product of the proof, we obtain a smoothness criterion in terms of (non)existence of excited diagrams of heaps, which might be of independent interest.

Theorem 1.1 is the prototype of more general results. For instance, a similar theorem - with essentially the same proof - may be obtained if one replaces the (cohomological) SM classes with their K-theoretic versions, the *motivic Segre classes* of Schubert varieties [AMSS19, MNS21]. Because of the technical challenges (in geometry and combinatorics) when working in K-theory, this will be studied in a separate upcoming work. Furthermore, there are versions of Nakada’s colored hook formula [Nak08a] which hold in the Kac–Moody setting, suggesting that analogues of Theorem 1.1 might exist in that generality as well.

*Acknowledgments.* Part of this work was performed while L. Mihalcea was in residence at ICERM, as a participant in the program “Combinatorial Algebraic Geometry” (Spring 2021); the author is grateful for support, excellent working conditions, and a stimulating atmosphere. L. Mihalcea was also supported in part by a Simons Collaboration Grant. H. Naruse was supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 16H03921.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We start by fixing the notation utilized throughout the paper. Let  $G$  be a simply connected complex Lie group with Borel subgroup  $B$  and maximal torus  $T \subset B$ . Denote by  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$  and by  $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Lie}(T)$  be corresponding Lie algebras. Let  $R^+ \subset \mathfrak{h}^* := \mathfrak{h}^*_\mathbb{Q}$  denote the positive roots, i.e those roots in  $B$ , and by  $\Sigma = \{\alpha_i : i \in I\}$  the set of simple roots. Let  $R := R^+ \sqcup -R^+$ . We use  $\alpha > 0$  (resp.  $\alpha < 0$ ) to denote  $\alpha \in R^+$  (resp.  $\alpha \in -R^+$ ). For any root  $\alpha \in R$ , let  $\alpha^\vee \in \mathfrak{h}$  denote the corresponding coroot. Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathfrak{h}^* \times \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  denote the usual pairing, and let  $X^*(T) \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$  be the weight lattice. The Weyl group  $W = N_G(T)/T$  is generated by simple reflections  $s_i = s_{\alpha_i}$  ( $i \in I$ ), and it is equipped with the Bruhat order  $\leq$ ; we denote by  $w_0$  the longest element. For any  $w \in W$ , let  $\text{Red}(w)$  denote the set of all the reduced expressions for  $w$ . For  $v < w \in W$ , define

$$(6) \quad S(w/v) := \{\beta \in R^+ \mid v \leq s_\beta w < w\}.$$

If  $v = id$ , we denote  $S(w/id)$  by  $S(w)$ .

Let  $P(\supseteq B)$  be a parabolic subgroup with simple roots  $\Sigma_P \subset \Sigma$  in  $P$ . This determines the set  $R_P^+ \subset R^+$  of those positive roots spanned by  $\Sigma_P$ , and the subgroup  $W_P \subset W$  generated by the simple reflections  $s_i$  where  $\alpha_i \in \Sigma_P$ . Denote by  $W^P$  the set of minimal length representatives in  $W/W_P$ . The elements  $w \in W^P$  are characterized by the property that  $w(R_P^+) \subset R^+$ . The torus fixed points  $(G/P)^T$  are  $\{wP \mid w \in W^P\}$ . For any  $w \in W^P$ , let  $T_w(G/P)$  denote the tangent space at  $wP$ . For any  $w \in W$ , let  $X(w)^\circ := BwP/P \subset G/P$

(resp.  $Y(w)^\circ := B^-wP/P \subset G/P$ ) denote the Schubert cell with closure  $X(w)$  (resp.  $Y(w)$ ), where  $B^-$  is the opposite Borel subgroup. In particular,  $X(w)^\circ = X(u)^\circ$  when the two cosets  $wW_P$  and  $uW_P$  are equal to each other. The Bruhat order restricts to the (Bruhat) order on the cosets  $\{wW_P \mid w \in W^P\}$ , and it is characterized by  $uW_P \leq wW_P$  if and only if  $uP \in X(w)$ . Let  $X^*(T)_P := \{\lambda \in X^*(T) \mid \langle \lambda, \gamma^\vee \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \gamma \in R_P^+\}$  be the set of integral weights which vanish on  $(R_P^+)^\vee$ . For any  $\lambda \in X^*(T)_P$ , let  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$  denote the line bundle  $G \times^P \mathbb{C}_\lambda \in \text{Pic}(G/P)$ , which has fibre over  $1.P$  the  $T$ -module of weight  $\lambda$ .

Let  $H_T^*(G/P)$  denote the equivariant cohomology of the partial flag variety  $G/P$ . It has a basis of the Schubert classes:

$$H_T^*(G/P) = \bigoplus_{w \in W^P} H_T^*(pt)[X(w)] = \bigoplus_{w \in W^P} H_T^*(pt)[Y(w)],$$

where  $[X(w)]$  and  $[Y(w)]$  denote the Poincaré dual of the fundamental classes of the Schubert varieties. For any  $\kappa \in H_T^*(G/P)$  and  $w \in W^P$ , let  $\kappa|_w \in H_T^*(pt)$  denote the restriction of  $\kappa$  to the fixed point  $wP \in G/P$ . Let  $H_T^*(G/P)_{\text{loc}} := H_T^*(G/P) \otimes_{H_T^*(pt)} \text{Frac } H_T^*(pt)$  be the localized equivariant cohomology of  $G/P$ , where  $\text{Frac } H_T^*(pt)$  denotes the fraction field of  $H_T^*(pt)$ . By the localization theorem,  $H_T^*(G/P)_{\text{loc}}$  has a basis formed by the classes of fixed points  $\{[wP] \mid w \in W^P\}$ ; this is called the fixed point basis.

The Weyl group  $W$  acts on  $G/P$  by left multiplication. It induces an action of  $W$  on  $H_T^*(G/P)$ , which acts on the base ring  $H_T^*(pt) = \mathbb{Z}[\mathfrak{h}]$  by the usual Weyl group action. Let  $\varphi_{w_0}$  denote the action induced by the longest Weyl group element. Then  $\varphi_{w_0}([X(w)]) = [Y(w_0w)]$ .

### 3. NAKADA'S COLORED HOOK FORMULA

In this section, we review Nakada's colored hook formula from [Nak08a, Nak08b]. This formula generalizes results of Proctor [Pro99b, Pro99a] and D. Peterson (see e.g. [Car91]) about the combinatorics of complete  $d$ -posets, and the number of reduced decompositions of certain minuscule Weyl group elements; see also [Sta84]. In order to be able to utilize geometric arguments, in this paper we require that the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of finite type, although Nakada's formula may be formulated for any Kac-Moody Lie algebra.

**3.1. Nakada's formula and pre-dominant weights.** Recall that the integral weights  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  satisfy  $\langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ , for each  $\alpha_i \in \Sigma$ ; a weight  $\lambda$  is *dominant* if in addition  $\langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \geq 0$ . Following [Nak08a], we say that an integral weight  $\lambda$  is *pre-dominant* if  $\langle \lambda, \beta^\vee \rangle \geq -1$  for all positive roots  $\beta \in R^+$ . For a pre-dominant integral weight  $\lambda$ , the *diagram*  $D(\lambda)$  of  $\lambda$  is defined by

$$(7) \quad D(\lambda) := \{\beta \in R^+ \mid \langle \lambda, \beta^\vee \rangle = -1\}.$$

If  $\lambda$  is a dominant integral weight, it is pre-dominant, but  $D(\lambda) = \emptyset$ .

We recall some elementary facts about pre-dominant integral weights from [Nak08a].

**Lemma 3.1.** [Nak08a, Lemma 4.1] *Let  $\lambda$  be a pre-dominant integral weight.*

- (1) *If  $D(\lambda) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $D(\lambda) \cap \Sigma \neq \emptyset$ .*
- (2) *For  $\beta \in D(\lambda)$ ,  $s_\beta(\lambda)$  is a pre-dominant integral weight.*
- (3) *In case (2),  $D(s_\beta(\lambda)) = s_\beta(D(\lambda) \setminus S(\beta))$ .*

For integral weights  $\mu, \nu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  and  $\beta \in R^+$ , define

$$\mu \xrightarrow{\beta} \nu \iff \langle \mu, \beta^\vee \rangle = -1 \text{ and } \nu = s_\beta(\mu).$$

In particular, if  $\mu \xrightarrow{\beta} \nu$  then  $\nu = \mu + \beta$ .

A  $\lambda$ -path of length  $r$  is a sequence  $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_r)$  where

$$\lambda = \lambda_0 \xrightarrow{\beta_1} \lambda_1 \xrightarrow{\beta_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\beta_r} \lambda_r.$$

We denote by  $\text{Path}(\lambda)$  the set of all  $\lambda$ -paths. By Lemma 3.1(2), if  $\lambda$  is pre-dominant, all the weights  $\lambda_i$  in a  $\lambda$ -path are pre-dominant. At each step in a  $\lambda$ -path,  $|D(\lambda_i)|$  strictly decreases, therefore the length of a  $\lambda$ -path for a pre-dominant weight must be at most the size of  $D(\lambda)$ . Parts (1) and (3) of Lemma 3.1 imply that a  $\lambda$ -path  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)$  of maximal length can only contain simple roots  $\beta_i \in \Delta$ , and that  $d = |D(\lambda)|$ . For a pre-dominant integral weight  $\lambda$ , we denote by  $\text{MPath}(\lambda) \subset \text{Path}(\lambda)$  the subset of longest  $\lambda$ -paths.

Now we can state Nakada's colored hook formula.

**Theorem 3.2** (Nakada's colored hook formula). [Nak08a, Theorem 7.1]

Let  $\lambda$  be a pre-dominant integral weight. Then

$$\sum \frac{1}{\beta_1} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta_1 + \beta_2} \cdots \frac{1}{\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_r} = \prod_{\beta \in D(\lambda)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta}\right),$$

where the sum is over all  $r \geq 0$  and  $\lambda$ -paths  $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_r)$ .

Taking the lowest degree terms of the formula in Theorem 3.2, we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.3.** [Nak08a, Corollary 7.2] Let  $\lambda$  be a pre-dominant integral weight and  $d = |D(\lambda)|$ . Then

$$\sum_{(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_d) \in \text{MPath}(\lambda)} \frac{1}{\beta_1} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta_1 + \beta_2} \cdots \frac{1}{\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_d} = \prod_{\beta \in D(\lambda)} \frac{1}{\beta}.$$

This formula generalizes the classical hook formula (1) and the Peterson–Proctor formula (5); see also §9 below. It is also related to an equality of rational functions involving root partitions for cluster variables cf. [Cas21, Cas19].

**3.2. Peterson minuscule elements.** For any integral weight  $\pi$ , D. Peterson defined the notion of a  $\pi$ -minuscule Weyl group element, see below and [Pro84, Car91, Pro99b, Ste96, Ste01, GK20]. Examples of  $\pi$ -minuscule elements are the minimal length representatives in  $W^P$ , where  $P$  is the maximal parabolic associated to a minuscule fundamental weight. Minuscule elements are fully commutative [Ste96]; in particular, in type A they are 321-avoiding. We will utilize this notion to rewrite Nakada's formula and its generalizations considered in this paper in terms of Weyl group elements.

**Definition 3.4** ( $\pi$ -minuscule elements). Let  $\pi$  be an integral weight. An element  $w \in W$  is called  $\pi$ -minuscule if there is a reduced expression  $w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_\ell}$  such that

$$(8) \quad \langle s_{i_{k+1}} s_{i_{k+2}} \cdots s_{i_\ell}(\pi), \alpha_{i_k}^\vee \rangle = 1 \quad (1 \leq k \leq \ell)$$

Equivalently,

$$(9) \quad s_{i_k} s_{i_{k+1}} s_{i_{k+2}} \cdots s_{i_\ell}(\pi) = \pi - \alpha_{i_\ell} - \dots - \alpha_{i_k}.$$

From definition it follows that if  $w$  is  $\pi$ -minuscule, then its length is  $\ell(w) = \text{ht}(\pi - w(\pi))$ . The next result follows immediately from analyzing the inversion set of  $w$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** [Ste01, Proposition 5.1] If  $w \in W$  then

$$w \text{ is } \pi\text{-minuscule} \iff \langle \pi, \gamma^\vee \rangle = 1 \text{ for all } \gamma \in R^+ \text{ such that } ws_\gamma < w.$$

From now on we restrict to the case when  $\pi$  is a dominant integral weight. Let  $W_\pi = \text{Stab}_W(\pi)$  denote the stabilizer subgroup of  $\pi$  inside  $W$ . This determines the parabolic subgroup  $P$  such that  $W_P = W_\pi$ , containing simple roots  $\Sigma_P := \{\alpha_i \in \Sigma \mid \langle \pi, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle = 0\}$ . Let  $W^\pi$  denote the set of minimal length representatives for the cosets  $W/W_\pi$ .

**Remark 3.6.** *It follows from Lemma 3.5 that if  $w$  is  $\pi$ -minuscule, then  $w \in W^\pi$ , and the property (8) holds for any reduced expression of  $w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_\ell}$ .*

We also need the following definition.

**Definition 3.7.** *For  $u, w \in W^\pi$  and  $\beta \in R^+$ , define*

$$u \xrightarrow{\beta} w \quad \text{if} \quad s_\beta w W_\pi = u W_\pi, \quad \text{and} \quad u < w.$$

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $\pi$  be dominant integral and  $u, w \in W^\pi$  such that  $u \xrightarrow{\beta} w$ . Then the following hold:*

- (a) *The root  $\beta$  is unique.*
- (b) *There exists a unique positive root  $\gamma$  such that  $s_\beta w W_P = w s_\gamma W_P$ . Furthermore,*

$$\gamma = -w^{-1}(\beta) \quad \text{and} \quad w s_\gamma < w.$$

- (c) *If in addition  $w$  is  $\pi$ -minuscule then  $\langle w(\pi), \beta^\vee \rangle = -1$ .*

*Proof.* The uniqueness of  $\beta$  follows from [FW04, Lemma 4.1]. Since  $s_\beta w < w$  it follows that  $w^{-1}(\beta) < 0$ , thus  $\gamma := -w^{-1}(\beta)$  is a positive root, and  $s_\gamma W_P = w^{-1} s_\beta w W_P = w^{-1} u W_P$ . Since  $w^{-1} u \notin W_P$  by hypothesis, the uniqueness of  $\gamma$  follows from [BM15, Lemma 2.2]. Finally, since  $w s_\gamma W_P = u W_P$  and  $u < w$ , then necessarily  $w s_\gamma < w$ . This finishes the proof of (b). Part (c) follows from (b) and Lemma 3.5.  $\square$

The relation between pre-dominant integral weights and  $\pi$ -minuscule elements is given by the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.9.** [Nak08a, Propositions 10.1 and 10.3] *There is a bijection between the following two sets*

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\text{pre-dominant integral weights } \lambda\} \\ & \longleftrightarrow \{(\pi, w) \mid \pi \text{ is dominant integral, and } w \text{ is } \pi\text{-minuscule}\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Here,  $\lambda$  is determined by  $(\pi, w)$  by the formula  $\lambda = w(\pi)$ . Conversely, for any pre-dominant integral weight  $\lambda$ , take a maximal  $\lambda$ -path  $(\alpha_{i_1}, \alpha_{i_2}, \dots, \alpha_{i_d}) \in \text{MPath}(\lambda)$  and set  $w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_d}$ ,  $\pi = w^{-1}(\lambda)$ . Then  $\pi$  is a dominant integral weight and  $w$  is  $\pi$ -minuscule. Moreover  $D(\lambda) = S(w) = \{\beta \in R^+ \mid s_\beta w < w\}$ , and the correspondence  $(\alpha_{i_1}, \alpha_{i_2}, \dots, \alpha_{i_d}) \mapsto s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_d}$  from  $\text{MPath}(\lambda)$  to  $\text{Red}(w)$  is bijective.*

**Corollary 3.10.** *Let  $\pi$  be a dominant integral weight,  $w$  be  $\pi$ -minuscule and  $\lambda = w(\pi)$ . For  $\beta \in R^+$ , let  $u \in W^\pi$  denote the minimal length representative in  $s_\beta w W_\pi$ . Then:*

- (a)  *$\lambda \xrightarrow{\beta} s_\beta(\lambda)$  is equivalent to  $u \xrightarrow{\beta} w$ .*
- (b) *If  $u \xrightarrow{\beta} w$ , then  $u$  is also  $\pi$ -minuscule.*

*Proof.* Part (a) follows from:

$$\lambda \xrightarrow{\beta} s_\beta(\lambda) \Leftrightarrow \langle \lambda, \beta^\vee \rangle = -1 \Leftrightarrow \langle \pi, w^{-1}(\beta^\vee) \rangle = -1 \Leftrightarrow w^{-1}\beta < 0 \Leftrightarrow s_\beta w < w \Leftrightarrow u \xrightarrow{\beta} w.$$

Here in the third equivalence, the  $\Rightarrow$  direction follows from the fact that  $\pi$  is dominant, while the  $\Leftarrow$  direction follows from Lemma 3.8.

To prove (b), observe that if  $u \xrightarrow{\beta} w$ , then  $s_\beta \lambda$  is also a pre-dominant integral weight by Lemma 3.1(2). Because of Proposition 3.9,

$$s_\beta \lambda = w'(\pi')$$

for some dominant integral weight  $\pi'$ ,  $w' \in W$  such that  $w'$  is  $\pi'$ -minuscule. Therefore,

$$s_\beta w(\pi) = w'(\pi'),$$

and because the dominant weights  $\pi, \pi'$  are in the fundamental domain for the  $W$ -action, it follows that  $\pi = \pi'$ , and that  $s_\beta w W_\pi = w' W_\pi$ . By Remark 3.6,  $w' \in W^\pi$ . Thus,  $w' = u$ , and  $u$  is  $\pi$ -minuscule.  $\square$

With Corollary 3.10, Nakada's formula (Theorem 3.2) can be reformulated as follows.

**Theorem 3.11** (Nakada). *Let  $\pi$  be a dominant integral weight, and  $w \in W$  be a  $\pi$ -minuscule element. Then:*

$$\sum \frac{1}{\beta_1} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta_1 + \beta_2} \cdots \frac{1}{\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \cdots + \beta_r} = \prod_{\beta \in S(w)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta}\right),$$

where the summation is over  $r \geq 0$  and paths  $x_r \xrightarrow{\beta_r} x_{r-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow x_1 \xrightarrow{\beta_1} x_0 = w$ . In particular,

$$\sum_{x_d \xrightarrow{\beta_d} x_{d-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow x_1 \xrightarrow{\beta_1} x_0 = w} \frac{1}{\beta_1} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta_1 + \beta_2} \cdots \frac{1}{\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \cdots + \beta_d} = \prod_{\beta \in S(w)} \frac{1}{\beta},$$

where  $d = |S(w)| = \ell(w)$ .

This statement will be generalized in Corollary 9.3 below.

**3.3. Bruhat intervals of  $\pi$ -minuscule elements.** The main goal of this section is to prove Proposition 3.12, stating that the intervals in the weak and ordinary (or strong) Bruhat order determined by two  $\pi$ -minuscule elements coincide. Special cases appeared in the works by Stembridge [Ste96, Thm. 7.1] (for  $\pi$  a minuscule weight) and Proctor [Pro99b, §10] (for  $G$  simply laced), but we have not seen Proposition 3.12 in the generality we need.

For  $u, w \in W$  the (left) weak Bruhat order is defined by  $u <_L w$  iff  $\ell(wu^{-1}) = \ell(w) - \ell(u)$ . Equivalently,  $w = vu$  and  $\ell(vu) = \ell(v) + \ell(u)$ . Observe that if  $u <_L w$  then  $u < w$  in the strong Bruhat order, but in general the two orders are different. For  $v < w \in W^P$ , set  $[v, w]^P := \{x \in W^P \mid v \leq x \leq w\}$ .

**Proposition 3.12.** *Let  $\pi$  is a dominant integral weight with  $\text{Stab}_W(\pi) = W_P$  and let  $u < w$  be  $\pi$ -minuscule elements in  $W^P$ . Then the interval  $[u, w]^P \subset W^P$  is the same in the weak and strong Bruhat orders, i.e.,*

$$\{v \in W^P : u \leq v \leq w\} = \{v' \in W^P : u \leq_L v' \leq_L w\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $v \in W^P$  such that  $v < w$ . Then it suffices to show that  $v \leq_L w$  when  $w$  covers  $v$  in the strong Bruhat order in  $W^P$ , i.e.,  $\ell(w) - \ell(v) = 1$ . Write  $vW_P = s_\beta w W_P = w s_\gamma W_P$  for positive roots  $\beta, \gamma$  as in Lemma 3.8. Since  $w$  is  $\pi$ -minuscule,  $v$  is also  $\pi$ -minuscule by Corollary 3.10 (b). Using that  $\ell(w) = \text{ht}(\pi - w(\pi))$  we obtain

$$1 = \ell(w) - \ell(v) = \text{ht}(s_\beta w(\pi) - w(\pi)) = \text{ht}(w(\pi) - \langle w(\pi), \beta^\vee \rangle \beta - w(\pi)) = \text{ht}(\beta).$$

The last equality follows because the multiplicity  $\langle w(\pi), \beta^\vee \rangle = -1$  by Lemma 3.8(c). Therefore  $\beta$  must be a simple root, and, furthermore,  $w = s_\beta v$ , thus  $v \leq_L w$ .  $\square$



The special case  $u = id$  of the following corollary has been proved by Nakada [Nak08a, Proposition 10.3].

**Corollary 3.13.** *Assume the hypotheses from Proposition 3.12 and let  $d := \ell(w) - \ell(u)$ . There is a bijection between the set of reduced words of  $wu^{-1}$  and the set of maximal length paths from  $u$  to  $w$ , sending a reduced word  $wu^{-1} = s_{\beta_1} \cdot \dots \cdot s_{\beta_d}$  to the path*

$$u = x_d \xrightarrow{\beta_d} x_{d-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow x_1 \xrightarrow{\beta_1} x_0 = w.$$

*Proof.* Consider any path  $u = x_d \xrightarrow{\beta_d} x_{d-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow x_1 \xrightarrow{\beta_1} x_0 = w$  in the (strong) Bruhat interval  $[u, w]^P$ . Then  $\ell(x_{i-1}) - \ell(x_i) = 1$  for all  $i$ , and since  $w$  is  $\pi$ -minuscule all  $x_i$ 's must be also. Because weak and strong Bruhat orders coincide in  $[u, w]^P$  by Proposition 3.12,  $x_i = s_{\beta_i} x_{i-1}$  and each of the roots  $\beta_i$  must be simple. Then  $s_{\beta_d} s_{\beta_{d-1}} \cdot \dots \cdot s_{\beta_1} = uw^{-1}$  and this decomposition is reduced. This associates a reduced word of  $wu^{-1}$  to the given path (by reading in reverse), and it easily follows that this correspondence is a bijection.  $\square$

#### 4. CHERN-SCHWARTZ-MACPHERSON CLASSES OF SCHUBERT CELLS

In this section, we recall the definition of the equivariant Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson (CSM) and Segre-MacPherson (SM) classes, then we recall the Chevalley formula for the CSM and SM classes of Schubert cells in partial flag manifolds [AMSS17, Su16].

**4.1. Definition.** Let  $X$  be a complex algebraic variety. The group of constructible functions  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  consists of functions  $\varphi = \sum_W c_W \mathbb{1}_W$ , where the sum is over a finite set of constructible subsets  $W \subset X$ ,  $c_W \in \mathbb{Z}$  are integers, and  $\mathbb{1}_W$  is the characteristic function of  $W$ . For a proper morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow X$ , there is a linear map  $f_* : \mathcal{F}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$ , such that for any constructible subset  $W \subset Y$ ,  $f_*(\mathbb{1}_W)(x) = \chi_{top}(f^{-1}(x) \cap W)$ , where  $x \in X$  and  $\chi_{top}$  denotes the topological Euler characteristic. Thus  $\mathcal{F}$  can be considered as a (co-variant) functor from the category of complex algebraic varieties and proper morphisms to the category of abelian groups.

According to a conjecture attributed to Deligne and Grothendieck, there is a unique natural transformation  $c_* : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow H_*$  from the functor of constructible functions on a complex algebraic variety  $X$  to the homology functor, where all morphisms are proper, such that if  $X$  is smooth then  $c_*(\mathbb{1}_X) = c(TX) \cap [X]$ , where  $c(TX)$  denotes the total Chern class of the tangent bundle  $TX$  and  $[X]$  denotes the fundamental class. This conjecture was proved by MacPherson [Mac74]; the class  $c_*(\mathbb{1}_X)$  for possibly singular  $X$  was shown to coincide with a class defined earlier by M.-H. Schwartz [Sch65a, Sch65b, BS81].

The theory of CSM classes was later extended to the equivariant setting by Ohmoto [Ohm06]. If  $X$  has an action of a torus  $T$ , Ohmoto defined the group  $\mathcal{F}^T(X)$  of *equivariant* constructible functions. We will need the following properties of this group:

- (1) If  $W \subseteq X$  is a constructible set which is invariant under the  $T$ -action, its characteristic function  $\mathbb{1}_W$  is an element of  $\mathcal{F}^T(X)$ . We will denote by  $\mathcal{F}_{inv}^T(X)$  the subgroup of  $\mathcal{F}^T(X)$  consisting of  $T$ -invariant constructible functions on  $X$ . (The group  $\mathcal{F}^T(X)$  also contains other elements, but this will be immaterial for us.)
- (2) Every proper  $T$ -equivariant morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  of algebraic varieties induces a homomorphism  $f_*^T : \mathcal{F}^T(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^T(Y)$ . The restriction of  $f_*^T$  to  $\mathcal{F}_{inv}^T(X)$  coincides with the ordinary push-forward  $f_*$  of constructible functions. See [Ohm06, §2.6].

Ohmoto proves [Ohm06, Theorem 1.1] that there is an equivariant version of MacPherson transformation  $c_*^T : \mathcal{F}^T(X) \rightarrow H_*^T(X)$  that satisfies  $c_*^T(\mathbb{1}_X) = c^T(TX) \cap [X]_T$  if  $X$  is a non-singular variety, and that is functorial with respect to proper push-forwards. The last

statement means that for all proper  $T$ -equivariant morphisms  $Y \rightarrow X$  the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}^T(Y) & \xrightarrow{c_*^T} & H_*^T(Y) \\ f_*^T \downarrow & & \downarrow f_*^T \\ \mathcal{F}^T(X) & \xrightarrow{c_*^T} & H_*^T(X). \end{array}$$

If  $X$  is smooth, we will identify the (equivariant) homology and cohomology groups, by Poincaré duality:  $H_*^T(X) \simeq H_T^*(X)$ .

**Definition 4.1.** *Let  $Z$  be a  $T$ -invariant constructible subvariety of  $X$ .*

- (1) *We denote by  $c_{\text{SM}}(Z) := c_*^T(\mathbb{1}_Z) \in H_*^T(X)$  the equivariant Chern–Schwartz–MacPherson (CSM) class of  $Z$ .*
- (2) *If  $X$  is smooth, we denote by  $s_{\text{M}}(Z) := \frac{c_*^T(\mathbb{1}_Z)}{c_*^T(TX)} \in \widehat{H}_T^*(X)$  the equivariant Segre–MacPherson (SM) class of  $Z$ , where  $\widehat{H}_T^*(X)$  is an appropriate completion of  $H_T^*(X)$ .*

**4.2. Chevalley formulae for CSM and SM classes of Schubert cells.** In this section, we recall the Chevalley formula for the CSM/SM classes of the Schubert cells in the partial flag variety  $G/P$ , proved in [AMSS17, Su16].

Let  $H_T^*(X)_{\text{loc}} := H_T^*(X) \otimes_{H_T^*(pt)} \text{Frac } H_T^*(pt)$  denote the localization of  $H_T^*(X)$ , where  $\text{Frac } H_T^*(pt)$  is the fraction field of  $H_T^*(pt)$ . Then by the localization formula, CSM classes  $\{c_{\text{SM}}(X(w)^\circ) \mid w \in W^P\}$  and SM classes  $\{s_{\text{M}}(Y(w)^\circ) \mid w \in W^P\}$  are bases for  $H_T^*(G/P)_{\text{loc}}$ , dual under the Poincaré pairing  $\langle -, - \rangle_{G/P}$  on  $H_T^*(G/P)_{\text{loc}}$ . This means that:

$$\langle s_{\text{M}}(Y(u)^\circ), c_{\text{SM}}(X(w)^\circ) \rangle_{G/P} = \delta_{u,w} \text{ for any } w, u \in W^P.$$

Moreover, if  $\varphi_{w_0}$  denotes the automorphism of  $H_T^*(G/P)$  induced by the left multiplication by  $w_0$  on  $G/P$ , then

$$(10) \quad \varphi_{w_0}(c_{\text{SM}}(X(w)^\circ)) = c_{\text{SM}}(Y(w_0w)^\circ).$$

**Theorem 4.2.** [AMSS17, Su16] *For any  $w \in W^P$  and  $\lambda \in X^*(T)_P$ , the following holds in  $H_T^*(G/P)$ :*

$$c_1(\mathcal{L}_\lambda) \cup c_{\text{SM}}(X(w)^\circ) = w(\lambda)c_{\text{SM}}(X(w)^\circ) - \sum_{\alpha > 0, ws_\alpha < w} \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle c_{\text{SM}}(X(ws_\alpha)^\circ),$$

and

$$c_1(\mathcal{L}_\lambda) \cup s_{\text{M}}(Y(w)^\circ) = w(\lambda)s_{\text{M}}(Y(w)^\circ) - \sum_{\alpha > 0, ws_\alpha > w} \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle s_{\text{M}}(Y(ws_\alpha)^\circ).$$

*Proof.* The first equality follows from [Su16, Theorem 3.7] and [AMSS17]. We prove the second one. Applying  $\varphi_{w_0}$  to the first equation, we get

$$c_1(\mathcal{L}_\lambda) \cup c_{\text{SM}}(X(w_0w)^\circ) = w_0w(\lambda)c_{\text{SM}}(X(w_0w)^\circ) - \sum_{\alpha > 0, ws_\alpha < w} \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle c_{\text{SM}}(X(w_0ws_\alpha)^\circ).$$

Here we have used Equation (10) and the fact that  $c_1(\mathcal{L}_\lambda)$  is  $W$ -invariant. Assume  $w_0w = zu$  for some  $z \in W^P$  and  $u \in W_P$ . Then  $w_0ws_\alpha W_P = zws_\alpha W_P = zs_{u\alpha} W_P$ , and we have the following equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha > 0, ws_\alpha < w \\ \Leftrightarrow & \alpha > 0, w\alpha < 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow & \alpha \in R^+ \setminus R_P^+, w\alpha < 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Leftrightarrow \beta &:= u\alpha \in R^+ \setminus R_P^+, z\beta > 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow \beta &\in R^+ \setminus R_P^+, zs_\beta > z. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $\langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle = \langle \lambda, \beta^\vee \rangle$  since  $\lambda \in X^*(T)_P$ . Finally, the second equation holds by the above equivalences and the fact that  $\langle \lambda, \gamma^\vee \rangle = 0$  for any  $\gamma \in R_P^+$ .  $\square$

### 5. SEGRE–MACPHERSON LITTLEWOOD–RICHARDSON (SMLR) COEFFICIENTS

For any  $u, v, w \in W^P$ , define the Segre–MacPherson Littlewood–Richardson (SMLR) coefficients  $d_{u,w}^v \in H_T^*(pt)_{\text{loc}}$  for the SM classes of Schubert cells by

$$(11) \quad s_M(Y(u)^\circ) \cup s_M(Y(v)^\circ) = \sum_{w \in W^P} d_{u,v}^w s_M(Y(w)^\circ) \in H_T^*(G/P)_{\text{loc}}.$$

A formula for the structure constants  $d_{u,v}^w$ , in terms of multiplications in the cohomology of Bott–Samelson varieties, was recently obtained by the third-named author in [Su20, Theorem 5.2]. In what follows we will obtain a recursive procedure to calculate the coefficients, based on the equivariant Chevalley formula for the SM classes. Instances of this recursion in various equivariant (quantum) cohomology and K theory rings, appeared in [MS99, KT03, Mih06, Mih07, Nar14, BCMP18, NO19].

We start with the following simple lemma.

**Lemma 5.1.** *The following properties hold for the SMLR coefficients  $d_{u,v}^w$ :*

- (a) *If  $d_{u,v}^w \neq 0$ , then  $u \leq w$  and  $v \leq w$ .*
- (b)  *$d_{u,v}^v = s_M(Y(u)^\circ)|_v$ .*

*Proof.* To prove (a), localize both sides at the fixed point  $wP$ , and observe that

$$s_M(Y(u)^\circ)|_w = 0$$

unless  $u \leq w$ . Part (b) follows again by localization, after restricting both sides of Equation (11) to the fixed point  $vP$ .  $\square$

We record the following explicit localization formula for  $s_M(Y(u)^\circ)|_v$ , generalizing the one in the complete flag variety case [AMSS17, Corollary 6.7].

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $u \leq v \in W^P$ . Fix a reduced expression  $v = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_\ell}$  and set  $\beta_j = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_{j-1}}(\alpha_{i_j})$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$ ). Then*

$$s_M(Y(u)^\circ)|_v = \frac{1}{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} (1 + \beta_j)} \sum \beta_{j_1} \beta_{j_2} \cdots \beta_{j_k},$$

where the summation is over  $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_k \leq \ell$  such that  $s_{i_{j_1}} s_{i_{j_2}} \cdots s_{i_{j_k}} W_P = uW_P$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p : G/B \rightarrow G/P$  be the natural projection. In this proof, we use  $Y(w)_B^\circ := B^- w B / B \subset G/B$  to denote the Schubert cells in  $G/B$ . By [AMSS17, Equation (37)], we have

$$p^*(s_M(Y(u)^\circ)) = \sum_{z \in W_P} s_M(Y(uz)_B^\circ).$$

Restricting both sides to the fixed point  $vB \in G/B$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} s_M(Y(u)^\circ)|_v &= p^*(s_M(Y(u)^\circ))|_{vB} \\ &= \sum_{z \in W_P} s_M(Y(uz)_B^\circ)|_{vB} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\prod_{\alpha>0, v\alpha>0} (1 - v\alpha)}{\prod_{\alpha>0} (1 - v\alpha)} \sum \beta_{j_1} \beta_{j_2} \cdots \beta_{j_k} \\
&= \frac{1}{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} (1 + \beta_j)} \sum \beta_{j_1} \beta_{j_2} \cdots \beta_{j_k}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here the third equality follows from [AMSS17, Corollary 6.7], and the summations in the last two lines are over  $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_k \leq \ell$  such that  $s_{i_{j_1}} s_{i_{j_2}} \cdots s_{i_{j_k}} W_P = u W_P$ .  $\square$

For any  $\lambda \in X^*(T)_P$  and  $u, w \in W^P$ , define the Chevalley coefficients  $c_{\lambda, w}^u$  by the equation

$$(12) \quad c_1(\mathcal{L}_\lambda) \cup_{s_M(Y(w)^\circ)} = \sum_{u \in W^P} c_{\lambda, w}^u s_M(Y(u)^\circ).$$

These coefficients are calculated in Theorem 4.2. The following is our main recursion formula for  $d_{u, v}^w$ .

**Proposition 5.3.** *For any  $u, v, w \in W^P$  and any weight  $\lambda \in X^*(T)_P$ , the following holds:*

$$(c_{\lambda, w}^w - c_{\lambda, u}^u) d_{u, v}^w = \sum_{u < x} c_{\lambda, u}^x d_{x, v}^w - \sum_{y < w} c_{\lambda, y}^w d_{u, v}^y.$$

*Proof.* By the associativity of the cup product, we have

$$\left( c_1(\mathcal{L}_\lambda) \cup_{s_M(Y(u)^\circ)} \right) \cup_{s_M(Y(v)^\circ)} = c_1(\mathcal{L}_\lambda) \cup \left( s_M(Y(u)^\circ) \cup_{s_M(Y(v)^\circ)} \right).$$

By Equation (11), Equation (12) and Lemma 5.1, taking the coefficients of  $s_M(Y(w)^\circ)$  in both sides of the above equation gives

$$c_{\lambda, u}^u d_{u, v}^w + \sum_{u < x} c_{\lambda, u}^x d_{x, v}^w = c_{\lambda, w}^w d_{u, v}^w + \sum_{y < w} c_{\lambda, y}^w d_{u, v}^y.$$

This proves the desired equality.  $\square$

Note that by Theorem 4.2, the Chevalley coefficient  $c_{\lambda, x}^y \neq 0$  only if  $x \leq y$ . Furthermore, if  $u \neq w$ , it was proved in [Mih07, Proposition A.3] that one can find  $\lambda$  such that  $c_{\lambda, w}^w \neq c_{\lambda, u}^u$ . Thus, the identity in Proposition 5.3 may be rewritten as:

$$(13) \quad d_{u, v}^w = \frac{1}{c_{\lambda, w}^w - c_{\lambda, u}^u} \left( \sum_{u < x} c_{\lambda, u}^x d_{x, v}^w - \sum_{y < w} c_{\lambda, y}^w d_{u, v}^y \right).$$

Then the same argument as the one from [Mih07] shows that Equation (13) gives a recursive relation for the coefficients  $d_{u, v}^w$ . We briefly recall the salient points. If  $u = w$ , then the coefficient  $d_{u, v}^u$  is known from Lemma 5.1 and Proposition 5.2. If  $u \neq w$ , we may choose  $\lambda$  (depending on  $u, w$ ) such that  $c_{\lambda, w}^w - c_{\lambda, u}^u \neq 0$ . The coefficients  $d_{x, v}^w$  and  $d_{u, v}^y$  on the right hand side of Equation (13) satisfy  $\ell(w) - \ell(x), \ell(y) - \ell(u) < \ell(w) - \ell(u)$ . To conclude, an inductive argument on  $u \leq w$  and  $\ell(w) - \ell(u)$  shows that:

**Corollary 5.4.** *The coefficients  $d_{u, v}^w$  are algorithmically determined by the following:*

- $d_{u, v}^w = 0$  for  $u \not\leq w$ ;
- $d_{u, v}^u$  (known from Lemma 5.1 and Proposition 5.2);
- For  $u < w$ , the coefficients  $d_{u, v}^w$  are determined recursively from Equation (13), in terms of coefficients  $d_{u', v'}^{w'}$  where  $u \leq u', v' \leq w$  and  $\ell(v') - \ell(u') < \ell(w) - \ell(u)$ .

An algorithm to calculate  $d_{u, v}^u$ , in terms of paths on a decorated version of the Bruhat graph of  $G/P$ , will be discussed in §8 below.

## 6. EQUIVARIANT MULTIPLICITIES

**6.1. Definition and a smoothness criterion.** Let  $X$  be a scheme with a torus action  $T$ , and  $p \in X$  a torus fixed point. Following [Bri97, §4], we say that  $p$  is non-degenerate if 0 is not a weight of the (Zariski) tangent space  $T_p X$ . The set of weights of  $T_p X$  will be called the weights of  $p$ . In [Bri97, §4.2], Brion defined an equivariant multiplicity  $e_p(\kappa)$  for a (Borel-Moore) equivariant homology class  $\kappa \in H_*^T(X)$  at a non-degenerate torus fixed point  $p$ . The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 6.5 which provides a smoothness criterion utilizing equivariant multiplicities and CSM classes; it generalizes a similar criterion by Brion, who utilizes fundamental classes. This will be needed to identify the right hand side of the Equation (4) with an equivariant multiplicity.

We fix some notation. For a  $T$ -module  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C}_{\chi_i}$  denote by  $\Phi(V) = \{\chi_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  the set of its weights. The *Chern class* of  $V$  is defined by  $c^T(V) := \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + \chi_i)$ . The *Euler class* of  $V$  is defined by  $e^T(V) := \prod_{i=1}^n \chi_i$ ; these as elements of  $H_T^*(pt)$ .

Next we recall the definition of the equivariant multiplicity from [Bri97, §4.2]. Recall that  $H_T^*(pt)_{\text{loc}}$  denotes the fraction field of  $H_T^*(pt)$ .

**Theorem 6.1** (Brion). *Let  $p \in X$  be a non-degenerate fixed point and let  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n$  be its weights.*

(a) *There exists a unique  $H_T^*(pt)$ -linear map  $e_{p,X} : H_*^T(X) \rightarrow H_T^*(pt)_{\text{loc}}$  such that*

$$e_{p,X}[p]_T = 1 \text{ and } e_{p,X}[Y]_T = 0$$

for any  $T$ -invariant subvariety  $Y \subset X$  with  $p \notin Y$ . Furthermore, the image  $\text{Im}(e_{p,X})$  is contained in  $\frac{1}{\chi_1 \cdots \chi_n} H_T^*(pt)$ .

(b) *For any  $T$ -invariant subvariety  $Y \subset X$ , the rational function  $e_{p,X}[Y]_T$  is homogeneous of degree  $-\dim Y$  and it coincides with  $e_{p,Y}[Y]_T$ .*

(c) *The point  $p$  is non-singular in  $X$  if and only if*

$$e_{p,X}[X]_T = \frac{1}{\chi_1 \cdots \chi_n}.$$

**Corollary 6.2.** *Let  $X$  be a scheme with a torus action  $T$ , and let  $p \in X$  be a non-singular, non-degenerate, torus fixed point. Then the equivariant multiplicity equals*

$$e_{p,X}(\kappa) = \frac{\kappa|_p}{e^T(T_p X)} \quad \forall \kappa \in H_T^*(X).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\iota_p : \{p\} \rightarrow X$  denote the embedding. We may find a  $T$ -invariant neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  such that  $V$  is smooth. (For instance,  $V = X \setminus X_{\text{sing}}$ , the complement of the singular locus of  $X$ .) Using the terminology from [Ful84], the morphism  $\iota_p$  is an l.c.i. morphism (it is the composition of the regular embedding  $\{p\} \hookrightarrow V$  and the open embedding  $V \subset X$ ). Then by the self intersection formula  $[p]|_p = \iota_p^*(\iota_p)_*[p]_T = e^T(T_p X)[p]_T$  in  $H_*^T(pt)$ . When regarded in the equivariant cohomology, this shows that  $[p]|_p/e^T(T_p X) = 1$ . Then the statement follows from the part (a) of Theorem 6.1.  $\square$

We will need the following homological analogue of [AMSS19, Lemma 9.3].

**Lemma 6.3.** *Let  $X \subset M$  be a  $T$ -equivariant closed embedding of irreducible  $T$ -varieties. Let  $p \in X$  be a  $T$ -fixed point, non-degenerate and smooth in  $X$  and  $M$ . Denote by  $N_{p,X}M$  the normal space of  $X$  in  $M$  at  $p$ . Then:*

$$\iota_p^* c_{\text{SM}}(X) = c^T(T_p X) \cdot e^T(N_{p,X}M).$$

*Proof.* Since the arguments essentially mimic those from [AMSS19], we will be brief. Again we may find a  $T$ -invariant neighborhood  $x \in V \subset M$  such that  $V \cap X$  is smooth. Let  $j : V \rightarrow M$  be the inclusion. This is an open embedding, thus smooth, with trivial relative tangent bundle. Factor the inclusion  $\iota_p$  as  $\{p\} \xrightarrow{\iota'_p} V \xrightarrow{j} M$ . Note that the restriction  $i : X \cap V \subset V$  is proper, by base-change. We apply the Verdier–Riemann–Roch (see e.g. [AMSS17]), to obtain

$$\iota_p^* c_{\text{SM}}(X) = (\iota'_p)^* j^* c_{\text{SM}}(X) = (\iota'_p)^* i_* c_{\text{SM}}(X \cap V).$$

Since  $X \cap V$  is smooth, it follows that  $i_* c_{\text{SM}}(X \cap V) = i_*(c^T(T(X \cap V)) \cap [X \cap V]_T) \in H_*^T(X \cap V)$ . By the self-intersection formula,

$$(\iota'_p)^* i_* c_{\text{SM}}(X \cap V) = c^T(T_p X) \cdot e^T(N_{p, X \cap V}) = c^T(T_p X) \cdot e^T(N_{p, X} M),$$

as claimed.  $\square$

The following is immediate from the previous Lemma.

**Corollary 6.4.** *Let  $M$  be a smooth  $T$ -variety, and assume all the other hypotheses from Lemma 6.3. Then:*

$$\iota_p^*(s_M(X)) = \frac{e^T(N_{p, X} M)}{c^T(N_{p, X} M)}.$$

**Theorem 6.5.** *Let  $X$  be an irreducible variety with a  $T$ -action. Let  $p \in X$  be a non-degenerate  $T$ -fixed point with weights  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n$ . Then  $X$  is smooth at  $p$  if and only if*

$$e_{p, X}(c_{\text{SM}}(X)) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{1}{\chi_i}\right).$$

*Proof.* If  $X$  is smooth at  $p$ , the claim follows from Corollary 6.2 and Lemma 6.3 (for  $X = M$ ). We now prove the converse. From the definition of the CSM classes it follows that in  $H_*^T(X)$ ,

$$(14) \quad c_{\text{SM}}(X) = [X]_T + \sum a_i [V_i]_T,$$

where  $a_i \in H_T^*(pt)$  and  $V_i \subset X$  are closed irreducible subvarieties such that the terms  $a_i [V_i]_T \in H_{2j}^T(X)$  for  $j < \dim X$ . Indeed, take a Whitney stratification  $X = \bigcup X_i$ . We may find equivariant desingularizations  $\pi_i : \tilde{X}_i \rightarrow \overline{X}_i$  of the closures of  $X_i$  such that  $\pi_i$  is an isomorphism over  $X_i$  and  $\tilde{X}_i \setminus \pi_i^{-1}(X_i)$  is a simple normal crossing divisor. Then by additivity and functoriality,

$$c_{\text{SM}}(X) = \sum (\pi_i)_*(c^T(T\tilde{X}_i)) - (\pi_i)_*(c_{\text{SM}}(\tilde{X}_i \setminus \pi_i^{-1}(X_i))).$$

It is not difficult to check that in this expression, the only term in  $H_{2\dim X}^T(X)$  is  $[X]_T$ .

From Equation (14) it follows that the leading term (i.e. the term of lowest degree) in the localization  $\iota_p^*(c_{\text{SM}}(X))$  equals  $\iota_p^*[X]_T$ . Since the equivariant multiplicity is  $H_T^*(pt)$ -linear, we deduce that

$$e_{p, X}(c_{\text{SM}}(X)) = e_{p, X}([X]_T) + \sum a_i e_{p, X}([V_i]_T).$$

By part (b) of Theorem 6.1,  $\deg e_{p, X}([X]_T) = -\dim X$  and  $\deg e_{p, V_i}([V_i]_T) = -\dim V_i$ . Then the leading term of  $e_{p, X}(c_{\text{SM}}(X))$  has degree  $-\dim X$ , and it must be equal to  $e_{p, X}([X]_T)$ . The hypothesis implies that  $e_{p, X}([X]_T) = \frac{1}{\chi_1 \cdots \chi_n}$ , and by Brion's criterion from Theorem 6.1(c),  $X$  is smooth at  $p$ .  $\square$

## 7. EQUIVARIANT MULTIPLICITIES OF CSM CLASSES AND SMOOTHNESS OF RICHARDSON VARIETIES

Next we apply the results in §6 to prove a smoothness criterion for Richardson varieties in terms of the equivariant multiplicities of their CSM class; cf. Theorem 7.4. This will be used to interpret certain terms in the hook formula from §3.

**7.1. Weights of tangent and normal spaces of Schubert varieties.** We recall, and also define, various tangent and normal spaces of Schubert varieties which we utilize below.

Fix  $P$  a parabolic subgroup and  $w \in W^P$ . The fixed point  $wP$  is isolated and the tangent space  $T_w G/P$  has weights  $\Phi(T_w G/P) := \{-w(\alpha) : \alpha \in R^+ \setminus R_P^+\}$ . We consider the following  $T$ -submodules of  $T_w G/P$ .

- The tangent spaces  $T_w(X(w))$ , respectively  $T_w(Y(w))$ , of  $X(w)$  and  $Y(w)$ , at the smooth point  $wP$ . They satisfy  $T_w X(w) \oplus T_w Y(w) = T_w G/P$  and have weights

$$\Phi(T_w Y(w)) = \{-w(\alpha) \in \Phi(T_w G/P) : w(\alpha) > 0\};$$

$$\Phi(T_w X(w)) = \Phi(T_w G/P) \setminus \Phi(T_w Y(w)) = \{-w(\alpha) \in \Phi(T_w G/P) : w(\alpha) < 0\}.$$

Note that the condition  $w(\alpha) > 0$  (respectively  $w(\alpha) < 0$ ) is equivalent to  $ws_\alpha > w$  (respectively  $ws_\alpha < w$ ). Equivalently,  $\Phi(T_w X(w)) = S(w)$  from Equation (6).

- The normal spaces  $N_{w,X(w)} G/P$ , respectively  $N_{w,Y(w)} G/P$ , of  $X(w)$  and  $Y(w)$ , at the smooth point  $wP$ . Note that:

$$\Phi(N_{w,X(w)} G/P) = \Phi(T_w Y(w)); \quad \Phi(N_{w,Y(w)} G/P) = \Phi(T_w X(w)).$$

- More generally, let  $v \leq w$  be two elements in  $W^P$ . Define the  $T$ -submodule  $\tilde{T}_w Y(v) \subset T_w G/P$  by the requirement that its weights are:

$$\Phi(\tilde{T}_w Y(v)) = \{-w(\alpha) \in \Phi(T_w G/P) : v \leq ws_\alpha\}.$$

The space  $\tilde{T}_w Y(v)$  is in general not equal to the Zariski tangent space  $T_w Y(v)$  of  $Y(v)$  at  $wP$ . However, if  $wP$  is non-singular in  $Y(v)$  then  $\tilde{T}_w Y(v)$  is the actual tangent space. The latter will be the case for most of our applications. We will not need it, but observe that in general  $\tilde{T}_w Y(v)$  is always included in  $T_w Y(v)$ , see e.g. [Car94] or [Kum02, Prop. 12.1.7].

- As before, let  $v \leq w$  be two elements in  $W^P$ . Define the  $T$ -submodule  $\tilde{N}_{w,Y(v)} G/P \subset T_w G/P$  by the requirement that its weights are:

$$\Phi(\tilde{N}_{w,Y(v)} G/P) = \{-w(\alpha) \in \Phi(T_w G/P) : v \not\leq ws_\alpha\} = \{\beta \in \Phi(T_w G/P) : v \not\leq s_\beta w\},$$

where the second equality follows from the change of variable  $\beta = -w(\alpha)$ . Again we observe that if  $wP$  is non-singular in  $Y(v)$  then this is the genuine normal space  $N_{w,Y(v)} G/P$  of  $Y(v)$  at  $wP$ . Also observe that by definition,

$$\tilde{T}_w Y(v) \oplus \tilde{N}_{w,Y(v)} G/P = T_w G/P.$$

We also recall a smoothness criterion for Schubert varieties due to S. Kumar, see also [Bri97, page 255 (K)] for another proof using equivariant multiplicities.

**Theorem 7.1** ([Kum96]). *Let  $v, w \in W^P$  be two Weyl group elements such that  $v \leq w$ . Then the Schubert variety  $Y(v) \subset G/P$  is smooth at  $wP$  if and only if the localization of the equivariant fundamental class  $[Y(v)] \in H_T^*(G/P)$  is given by:*

$$[Y(v)]|_w = \prod_{\beta \in \Phi(\tilde{N}_{w,Y(v)} G/P)} \beta.$$

*Proof.* In [Kum96], the criterion is stated for  $G/B$ , but it immediately implies the result in  $G/P$ . For completeness, we indicate the main points. We use the same convention as in the proof of Proposition 5.2. Let  $p : G/B \rightarrow G/P$  be the natural projection, and let  $Y(v)_B := \overline{B^-vB/B} \subset G/B$  be the Schubert variety in  $G/B$ . Since  $p$  is a smooth morphism, and if  $v \in W^P$  then  $p^{-1}(Y(v)) = Y(v)_B$ . In particular, if  $v \leq w$  are elements in  $W^P$ , then  $Y(v)$  is smooth at  $wP$  if and only if  $Y(v)_B$  is smooth at  $wB$ . Furthermore, the localization  $[Y(v)W_P]|_w = [Y(v)_B]|_{wB}$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

**7.2. Equivariant multiplicities of CSM classes of Richardson varieties.** In this section we calculate equivariant multiplicities of Richardson varieties and their CSM classes. These will show again as factors in the generalized hook formula.

Let  $v \leq w$  in  $W^P$  and consider the Richardson variety  $R_w^v := X(w) \cap Y(v) \subset G/P$ . The  $T$ -fixed point  $wP$  is an isolated  $T$ -fixed point in  $R_w^v$ , and the torus weights of the tangent space of  $R_w^v$  at  $wP$  are non-zero and distinct, therefore  $wP$  is non-degenerate in  $R_w^v$ . We need the following Lemma.

**Lemma 7.2.** *Let  $w$  be an element in  $W^P$ . Then*

$$c_{\text{SM}}(X(w))|_w \cdot s_{\text{M}}(Y(w))|_w = e^T(T_w G/P).$$

*Proof.* From Lemma 6.3 we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\text{SM}}(X(w))|_w \cdot s_{\text{M}}(Y(w))|_w &= \frac{c^T(T_w X(w))e^T(N_{w,X(w)}G/P)c^T(T_w Y(w))e^T(N_{w,Y(w)}G/P)}{c^T(T_w G/P)} \\ &= e^T(T_w G/P). \end{aligned}$$

Here we utilized that  $T_w X(w) \oplus T_w Y(w) = T_w G/P$  since the intersection  $X(w) \cap Y(w)$  is proper and transversal and it consists of the single point  $wP$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 7.3.** *Let  $v \leq w$  in  $W^P$ . Then the following equality holds:*

$$e_{w,G/P}(c_{\text{SM}}(R_w^v)) = \frac{s_{\text{M}}(Y(v))|_w}{s_{\text{M}}(Y(w))|_w}.$$

*Proof.* From Corollary 6.2 we deduce that

$$e_{w,G/P}(c_{\text{SM}}(R_w^v)) = \frac{c_{\text{SM}}(R_w^v)|_w}{e^T(T_w G/P)}.$$

By Schürmann's transversality formula [Sch17, AMSS17] it follows that

$$c_{\text{SM}}(R_w^v)|_w = (c_{\text{SM}}(X(w))s_{\text{M}}(Y(v)))|_w = c_{\text{SM}}(X(w))|_w \cdot s_{\text{M}}(Y(v))|_w.$$

Then the claim follows from Lemma 7.2 after combining the two equations.  $\square$

Next we utilize Kumar's smoothness criterion to relate smoothness of Schubert and Richardson varieties in terms of localizations of CSM and SM classes.

**Theorem 7.4.** *Let  $v, w \in W^P$  be two elements such that  $v \leq w$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) *The Schubert variety  $Y(v)$  is smooth at  $wP$ ;*
- (b) *The Richardson variety  $R_w^v$  is smooth at  $wP$ ;*
- (c) *The localization of  $c_{\text{SM}}(Y(v))$  at  $wP$  satisfies:*

$$c_{\text{SM}}(Y(v))|_w = e^T(\tilde{N}_{w,Y(v)}G/P) \cdot c^T(\tilde{T}_w Y(v));$$



(d) *The localization of  $s_M(Y(v))$  at  $wP$  satisfies:*

$$s_M(Y(v))|_w = \frac{e^T(\tilde{N}_{w,Y(v)}G/P)}{c^T(\tilde{N}_{w,Y(v)}G/P)} = \prod_{\beta > 0, v \not\leq s_\beta w} \frac{\beta}{1 + \beta};$$

(e) *The equivariant multiplicity of  $c_{SM}(R_w^v)$  at  $wP$  satisfies:*

$$e_{w,G/P}(c_{SM}(R_w^v)) = \prod_{\beta \in S(w/v)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta}\right),$$

where  $S(w/v)$  is defined in Equation (6).

*Proof.* The equivalence (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b) follows from Kleiman transversality theorem; see e.g. [BC12, Cor. 2.9] for a proof. The second equality in (d), and the equivalence (c)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (d), follow from the definition of the spaces involved (cf. §7.1) and the definition of CSM and SM classes. The equivalence (d)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (e) follows from Proposition 7.3, taking into account that  $Y(w)$  is smooth at  $wP$ , and using the formula for  $s_M(Y(w))|_w$  from Corollary 6.4.

To finish the proof it suffices to show the equivalence (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c). The direction (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) follows from Lemma 6.3. For the reverse direction, observe that Equation (14) implies that the term of lowest degree of  $c_{SM}(Y(v))|_w$  is the localization  $[Y(v)]|_w$ . Therefore, the hypothesis implies that

$$[Y(v)]|_w = e^T(\tilde{N}_{w,Y(v)}G/P).$$

Then the claim follows from Kumar's smoothness criterion [Kum96]; see Theorem 7.1.  $\square$

## 8. THE $\Lambda$ -BRUHAT GRAPH

In this section we introduce the main combinatorial object in this paper: a directed graph depending on an 'admissible function'  $\Lambda$  assigning weights to vertices, and whose sums over weighted paths give algorithms to calculate localization of SM classes of Schubert cells and varieties; cf. Proposition 8.9 and Corollary 8.10. Similar graphs based on Chevalley-type recursions have been used in [Mih06, Mih07, Nar14, NO19] to provide algorithms for Schubert multiplication in the equivariant quantum cohomology of flag manifolds. In the next section we will utilize this graph to formulate and prove a generalization of the Nakada's colored formula.

For a parabolic subgroup  $P$  recall that  $X^*(T)_P$  denotes the set of integral weights orthogonal to roots in  $R_P^+$ . We recall the following characterization of the covering relations in the Bruhat order in  $G/P$ ; see e.g. [FW04, Lemma 4.1].

**Lemma 8.1.** *Let  $x \neq y$  be two elements in  $W^P$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) *There exists  $\gamma \in R^+ \setminus R_P^+$  such that  $xs_\gamma W_P = yW_P$ .*
- (b) *There exists  $\beta \in R^+ \setminus R_P^+$  such that  $s_\beta xW_P = yW_P$ .*

Furthermore,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are unique with these properties.

*Proof.* The equivalence of (a) and (b), and the uniqueness of  $\beta$  are proved in [FW04, Lemma 4.1]. This lemma also shows that  $s_\gamma$  is unique up to a conjugation by an element in  $W_P$ . If  $s_\gamma W_P = s_{\gamma'} W_P$  then  $\gamma = \gamma'$  by [BM15, Lemma 2.2].  $\square$

**Remark 8.2.** *When we analyze edges of the Bruhat graph below, we need to consider situations where  $xW_P = ys_{\gamma'}W_P < yW_P = xs_\gamma W_P$  for  $x, y \in W^P$ . As observed in the proof above  $s_{\gamma'}$  is a  $W_P$  conjugate of  $s_\gamma$ . Furthermore, if  $xW_P < s_\beta xW_P = xs_\gamma W_P$  then  $s_\beta x > x$ ,  $\gamma = x^{-1}(\beta)$ , and for any weight  $\lambda$  such that  $\text{Stab}_W(\lambda) = W_P$ ,*

$$\langle \lambda, \gamma^\vee \rangle = \langle \lambda, (\gamma')^\vee \rangle = \langle x(\lambda), \beta^\vee \rangle \quad .$$

For  $v < w \in W^P$ , recall that  $[v, w]^P := \{x \in W^P \mid v \leq x \leq w\}$  and set  $[v, w)^P = [v, w]^P \setminus \{w\}$ .

**Definition 8.3** (Admissible function). *Let  $v < w \in W^P$ . An admissible function,  $\Lambda = \Lambda_{v,w} : [v, w]^P \rightarrow X^*(T)_P$  is any assignment  $x \mapsto \lambda_x$  such that  $x(\lambda_x) \neq w(\lambda_x)$  for all  $x \in [v, w)^P$ .*

Admissible functions always exist: one example is given by

$$(15) \quad \Lambda(x) = \varpi_P := \sum_{\alpha_i \in \Sigma \setminus \Sigma_P} \varpi_i; \quad \forall x \in [v, w]^P.$$

(This follows because  $w(\varpi_P) = \varpi_P$  if and only if  $w \in W_P$ ; cf. [Bou02, Ch.5, §4.6].) We call this the *standard* admissible function. Another important situation is when  $v < w$  are  $\pi$ -minuscule and  $P$  corresponds to the stabilizer of  $\pi$ , i.e.,  $W_P = W_\pi$ . Then the constant function  $\Lambda \equiv \pi$  is admissible. Admissible functions appeared in [Mih07, §7] in the study of the equivariant quantum cohomology ring of flag manifolds.

**Definition 8.4** ( $\Lambda$ -Bruhat graph). *Let  $v, w \in W^P$  such that  $v < w$ , and let  $\Lambda : [v, w]^P \rightarrow X^*(T)_P$  be an admissible function. To this data we associate a decorated directed graph  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  and two functions,  $\mathcal{W}_\Lambda : V \rightarrow X^*(T)$  and  $m_\Lambda : E \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ , as follows:*

- (1) *The set of vertices is defined by  $V = [v, w]^P$ .*
- (2) *There is an oriented edge  $x \rightarrow y$  whenever  $xW_P < yW_P$  and  $yW_P = xs_\gamma W_P$ .*
- (3) *Each vertex  $x \in V$  is decorated by a weight*

$$\mathcal{W}_\Lambda(x) := x(\lambda_x) - w(\lambda_x).$$

*By the definition of  $\Lambda$ , if  $x \neq w$ , the weight  $\mathcal{W}_\Lambda(x) \neq 0$ . We will call  $\mathcal{W}_\Lambda : V \rightarrow X^*(T)$  the  $\Lambda$ -weight function of the graph.*

- (4) *Each edge  $xW_P \rightarrow yW_P = xs_\gamma W_P$  is decorated by the **Chevalley multiplicity**:*

$$m_\Lambda(x, y) := \langle \lambda_x, \gamma^\vee \rangle.$$

*We will refer to this graph as the  $\Lambda$ -Bruhat graph determined by the triple  $(v, w, \Lambda)$ . If  $\Lambda \equiv \pi$  is a constant admissible function, then we set  $\mathcal{W}_\pi = \mathcal{W}_\Lambda$ ,  $m_\pi = m_\Lambda$ .*

If one ignores the orientation and the admissible function  $\Lambda$ , then the  $\Lambda$ -Bruhat graph is the 1-skeleton of the  $T$ -action on  $G/P$ . This is the graph utilized in the GKM theory, and to calculate curve neighborhoods of Schubert varieties [BM15]; it is also called the Bruhat graph. It contains the (unoriented) quantum Bruhat graph from [BFP99] and [LNS<sup>+</sup>15], and it is related to ‘‘Games with hook structure’’ defined by Kawanaka [Kaw15].

Next we record the following lemma.

**Lemma 8.5.** (a) *Let  $(v, w, \Lambda)$  be a  $\Lambda$ -Bruhat graph and let  $(x, y)$  be an edge such that  $yW_P = s_\beta xW_P = xs_\gamma W_P$ . If  $\Lambda(x) = \Lambda(y) = \lambda$  then  $x(\lambda) - y(\lambda) = m_\Lambda(x, y)\beta$ .*

(b) *Let  $\pi \in X(T)_P$  be a dominant integral weight and assume we are given a constant admissible function  $\mathcal{W}_\pi \equiv \pi$ . Then for any edge  $x \xrightarrow{\beta} y$  as in (a) (with the notation from Definition 3.7):*

$$(16) \quad \mathcal{W}_\pi(x) = \mathcal{W}_\pi(y) + m_\pi(x, y)\beta.$$

*Proof.* By definition,

$$x(\lambda) - y(\lambda) = x(\lambda) - xs_\gamma(\lambda) = \langle \lambda, \gamma^\vee \rangle x(\gamma) = m_\Lambda(x, y)\beta,$$

where the last equality follows from Remark 8.2. This proves part (a). Part (b) follows from (a) and the definition of  $\mathcal{W}_\pi$ .  $\square$

In the next section we will analyze  $\Lambda$ -Bruhat graphs for minuscule elements, and we will need the following result.

**Proposition 8.6.** *Let  $v < w$  be  $\pi$ -minuscule elements, and let  $P$  be the parabolic subgroup defined by  $W_P = \text{Stab}_W(\pi)$ . Consider the  $\Lambda$ -Bruhat graph  $(v, w, \Lambda)$  with the constant admissible function  $\Lambda \equiv \pi$ . Then the following hold:*

(1) *Let  $x, y \in [v, w]^P$  be two elements and let  $x \rightarrow y$  be an edge such that  $xW_P < yW_P = xs_{\gamma}W_P$ . Then the Chevalley multiplicity*

$$m_{\pi}(x, y) = \langle \pi, \gamma^{\vee} \rangle = 1.$$

(2) *The  $\Lambda \equiv \pi$ -weight of the vertex  $x$  equals*

$$\mathcal{W}_{\pi}(x) = x(\pi) - w(\pi) = \sum_{i=0}^s \beta_i,$$

where  $x = x_0 \xrightarrow{\beta_1} x_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow x_{s-1} \xrightarrow{\beta_s} x_s = w$  is any chain in the  $\Lambda$ -Bruhat graph.

(3) *The admissible function  $\mathcal{W}_{\pi}$  is injective.*

*Proof.* By Corollary 3.10(2), since  $w$  is  $\pi$ -minuscule, each element in  $[v, w]^P$  is again  $\pi$ -minuscule. Let  $\gamma'$  be the positive root such that  $xW_P = ys_{\gamma'}W_P$  (cf. Lemma 3.8). From Remark 8.2, the multiplicity  $m_{\pi}(x, y) = \langle \pi, (\gamma')^{\vee} \rangle$ . Since  $ys_{\gamma'}W_P < yW_P$  implies that  $ys_{\gamma'} < y$ , and since  $y$  is  $\pi$ -minuscule,  $\langle \pi, (\gamma')^{\vee} \rangle = 1$  from Lemma 3.5. This proves part (1).

From Lemma 8.5(b) it follows that for any chain as in the hypothesis,

$$\mathcal{W}_{\pi}(x) = x(\pi) - w(\pi) = \sum m_{\pi}(x_{i-1}, x_i)\beta_i.$$


Since by part (1) all multiplicities  $m_{\pi}(x_{i-1}, x_i) = 1$ , part (2) follows. Finally, if  $\mathcal{W}_{\pi}(x) = \mathcal{W}_{\pi}(y)$  then  $x(\pi) = y(\pi)$ , thus  $x = y$  in  $W^P$ , proving part (3).  $\square$

We provide examples of  $\Lambda$ -Bruhat graphs below; in these examples we will remove those edges of Chevalley multiplicity 0, as they will not contribute to our algorithm for the SM structure constants. We will encode the function  $\mathcal{W}_{\Lambda}$  by representing a vertex  $x \neq w$  as  $\frac{x}{\mathcal{W}_{\Lambda}(x)}$ .


**Example 8.7.** *Consider  $G = \text{SL}_3(\mathbb{C})$  and  $P = B$ . In this case  $G/B = \text{Fl}(3)$ , the flag variety parametrizing complete flags  $(F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \mathbb{C}^3)$ . Set  $v := \text{id}$  and  $w := w_0 = s_1s_2s_1$  and consider two functions  $\Lambda_i : W \rightarrow X^*(T)^+$  defined by:*

$$\Lambda_1(x) = \begin{cases} \varpi_1 & \text{if } x \neq s_2s_1; \\ \varpi_2 & \text{if } x = s_2s_1. \end{cases} \quad \Lambda_2(x) \equiv n_1\varpi_1 + n_2\varpi_2, \quad (n_1, n_2 > 0).$$

*One may easily check that both are admissible functions. The corresponding graphs for the triple  $(\text{id}, w_0, \Lambda_i)$  are below, from left to right; the edges are decorated with their Chevalley multiplicities, and a vertex  $u \neq w$  is replaced by its decoration  $\frac{u}{\mathcal{W}_{\Lambda_i}(u)}$ .*



**Example 8.8.** Consider the Lie type  $B_3$ , i.e.  $G = \mathrm{SO}(7, \mathbb{C})$ . The simple roots are  $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$  with  $\alpha_3$  short. We choose  $P$  to be the maximal parabolic determined by the set  $\Delta_P = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_3\}$ . Geometrically,  $G/P$  is the submaximal isotropic Grassmannian  $\mathrm{IG}(2, 7)$  parametrizing subspaces of dimension 2 which are isotropic with respect to the non-degenerate symmetric form in  $\mathbb{C}^7$ . We pick the standard admissible function  $\Lambda(x) \equiv \varpi_2$  and  $v = id, w = s_2s_1s_3s_2$ . All simple edges have Chevalley multiplicity 1, and the double edges have multiplicity 2.



We now give a formula for the coefficients  $d_{u,w}^w$  from Equation (11) in terms of summation over weighted paths in the  $\Lambda$ -Bruhat graph.

**Proposition 8.9.** For any  $v \leq w \in W^P$ , fix an admissible function  $\Lambda : [v, w]^P \rightarrow X^*(T)_P$ , and let  $\Gamma = (v, w, \Lambda)$  be the associated  $\Lambda$ -Bruhat graph. Then for  $u \in [v, w]^P$ ,

$$(17) \quad d_{u,w}^w = \left( \sum \frac{m_\Lambda(x_r, x_{r-1})}{\mathcal{W}_\Lambda(x_r)} \cdot \frac{m_\Lambda(x_{r-1}, x_{r-2})}{\mathcal{W}_\Lambda(x_{r-1})} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{m_\Lambda(x_1, x_0)}{\mathcal{W}_\Lambda(x_1)} \right) d_{w,w}^w.$$

where the sum is over integers  $r \geq 0$ , and over all directed paths  $u = x_r \rightarrow x_{r-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow x_0 = w$  in  $\Gamma$ .

*Proof.* There is nothing to do when  $u = w$ . If  $u < w$ , set  $\lambda_u := \Lambda(u)$ . Then from Equation (13),

$$\begin{aligned} d_{u,w}^w &= \frac{1}{w(\lambda_u) - u(\lambda_u)} \sum_{u < x} c_{\lambda_u, u}^x d_{x,w}^w = \frac{1}{w(\lambda_u) - u(\lambda_u)} \sum_{x=us_\alpha > u; \alpha > 0} c_{\lambda_u, u}^x d_{x,w}^w \\ &= \frac{1}{w(\lambda_u) - u(\lambda_u)} \sum_{x=us_\alpha > u; \alpha > 0} \langle -\lambda_u, \alpha^\vee \rangle d_{x,w}^w = \frac{1}{\mathcal{W}_\Lambda(u)} \sum_{u \rightarrow x} m_\Lambda(u, x) d_{x,w}^w \\ &= \sum_{u \rightarrow x} \frac{m_\Lambda(u, x)}{\mathcal{W}_\Lambda(u)} d_{x,w}^w. \end{aligned}$$

Here the second equality follows from Theorem 4.2 and the definition of  $c_{\lambda_u, u}^x$  in Equation (12), and the rest are from definitions. Then the statement follows by induction descending from  $w$ , on those elements  $x$  such that  $u < x \leq w$ .  $\square$

From Lemma 5.1 we deduce another interpretation of the sum in the previous proposition:

**Corollary 8.10.** *Let  $v \leq w$  be two elements in  $W^P$ . With the hypotheses from Proposition 8.9, the following hold:*

$$(18) \quad \frac{s_M(Y(v))|_w}{s_M(Y(w))|_w} = \sum \frac{m_\Lambda(x_r, x_{r-1})}{\mathcal{W}_\Lambda(x_r)} \cdot \frac{m_\Lambda(x_{r-1}, x_{r-2})}{\mathcal{W}_\Lambda(x_{r-1})} \cdots \frac{m_\Lambda(x_1, x_0)}{\mathcal{W}_\Lambda(x_1)},$$

where the sum is over integers  $r \geq 0$ , and over all directed paths  $v \leq x_r \rightarrow x_{r-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow x_0 = w$  in  $\Gamma$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.1 and the additivity of CSM classes, the left hand side of (18) equals to

$$\frac{s_M(Y(v))|_w}{s_M(Y(w))|_w} = \frac{\sum_{v \leq u} s_M(Y(u)^\circ)|_w}{s_M(Y(w)^\circ)|_w} = \sum_{v \leq u \leq w} \frac{d_{u,w}^w}{d_{w,w}^w}.$$

Here we also utilized that  $s_M(Y(u)^\circ)|_w = 0$  if  $w \not\leq u$ . Then the claim follows from Proposition 8.9.  $\square$

**Remark 8.11.** *By Proposition 5.2 and Proposition 7.3, the left hand side of Equation (18) is an equivariant multiplicity, which may be calculated explicitly:*

$$(19) \quad \frac{s_M(Y(v))|_w}{s_M(Y(w))|_w} = e_{w, G/P}(\text{CSM}(R_w^v)) = \frac{\sum \beta_{j_1} \beta_{j_2} \cdots \beta_{j_k}}{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_\ell},$$

here the summation is over  $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k \leq \ell$  such that  $vW_P \leq s_{i_{j_1}} s_{i_{j_2}} \cdots s_{i_{j_k}} W_P$  (the notation is the same as in Proposition 5.2). As we observed in Theorem 7.4, if  $Y(v)$  is smooth at  $wP$ , then both the numerator and the denominator of this expression may be written as products. This is the key observation which leads to a generalization of Nakada's hook formula in the next section.

## 9. A GENERALIZED COLORED HOOK FORMULA

In this section we prove the main result of this paper - the generalization of Nakada's colored hook formula, together with several corollaries of it.

**9.1. The colored hook formula and consequences.** Recall that for  $v < w \in W$ ,  $S(w/v) := \{\beta \in R^+ \mid v \leq s_\beta w < w\}$ .

**Theorem 9.1.** *Let  $v \leq w \in W^P$ , and fix an admissible function  $\Lambda : [v, w]^P \rightarrow X^*(T)_P$  with the associated  $\Lambda$ -Bruhat graph  $\Gamma = (v, w, \Lambda)$ . Then:*

$$Y(v) \subset G/P \text{ is smooth at } wP \in G/P \text{ if and only if}$$

$$\sum \frac{m_\Lambda(x_r, x_{r-1})}{\mathcal{W}_\Lambda(x_r)} \cdot \frac{m_\Lambda(x_{r-1}, x_{r-2})}{\mathcal{W}_\Lambda(x_{r-1})} \cdots \frac{m_\Lambda(x_1, x_0)}{\mathcal{W}_\Lambda(x_1)} = \prod_{\beta \in S(w/v)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta}\right),$$

where the sum is over integers  $r \geq 0$ , and over all directed paths  $v \leq x_r \rightarrow x_{r-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow x_0 = w$  in  $\Gamma$ .

*Proof.* We proved in Proposition 7.3 that

$$\frac{s_M(Y(v))|_w}{s_M(Y(w))|_w} = e_{w, G/P}(c_{SM}(R_w^v)).$$

Then by Theorem 7.4,  $Y(v) \subset G/P$  is smooth at  $wP \in G/P$  if and only if

$$\frac{s_M(Y(v))|_w}{s_M(Y(w))|_w} = \prod_{\beta \in S(w/v)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta}\right).$$

Now observe that by Corollary 8.10 the left hand side of this expression is the sum in the statement.  $\square$

An important particular case of Theorem 9.1 is to consider a constant admissible function. For example, let  $\pi \in X^*(T)$  be any dominant integral weight, and as usual define  $P$  by  $\text{Stab}_W(\pi) = W_P$ . Set  $\Lambda(x) \equiv \pi$  for  $x \in [v, w]^P$ , and recall that  $\mathcal{W}_\pi$  denotes the associated weight function. Let  $m_i = \langle \pi, \gamma_i^\vee \rangle$  be the multiplicity of the edge  $x_i \rightarrow x_{i-1}$  and let  $\beta_i$  be the positive root  $\beta_i$  such that  $x_{i-1}W_P = s_{\beta_i}x_iW_P$ . With this notation, and by Theorem 9.1, we deduce the following.

**Corollary 9.2.** *Under the above assumptions, we have the following equivalence:*

$$Y(v) \subset G/P \text{ is smooth at } wP \in G/P \text{ if and only if}$$

$$\sum \frac{m_r}{m_1\beta_1 + m_2\beta_2 + \cdots + m_r\beta_r} \cdots \frac{m_1}{m_1\beta_1} = \prod_{\beta \in S(w/v)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta}\right),$$

where the sum is over all integers  $r \geq 0$ , and over all directed paths  $v \leq x_r \xrightarrow{\beta_r} x_{r-1} \xrightarrow{\beta_{r-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\beta_1} x_0 = w$  in  $\Gamma = (v, w, \pi)$ .

*Proof.* Immediate from Theorem 9.1, since  $\mathcal{W}_\pi(x_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k m_i\beta_i$ , by Equation (16).  $\square$

**Corollary 9.3.** *Let  $v \leq w \in W$  be two  $\pi$ -minuscule elements for a dominant integral weight  $\pi$ , and  $P \subset G$  be the parabolic subgroup satisfying  $\text{Stab}_W(\pi) = W_P$ . Then:*

$$(20) \quad Y(v) \subset G/P \text{ is smooth at } wP \in G/P \text{ if and only if}$$

$$\sum \frac{1}{\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \cdots + \beta_r} \cdots \frac{1}{\beta_1} = \prod_{\beta \in S(w/v)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta}\right),$$

where the sum is as in Corollary 9.2.

*Proof.* First observe that by Corollary 3.10(2), since  $w$  is  $\pi$ -minuscule, each representative  $x \in W^P$  in a chain to  $w$  is also  $\pi$ -minuscule. Then from Corollary 9.2, we only need to show that any edge  $x \rightarrow y$  has multiplicity  $m_\pi(x, y) = 1$ . This follows from Proposition 8.6.  $\square$

If  $v = id$ , Corollary 9.3 recovers Nakada's colored hook formula stated in Theorem 3.11.

Corollary 9.3 implies a skew version of the classical Peterson formula [Car91, Pro99b]. Recall that  $\text{Red}(w)$  denotes the set of all reduced expressions of  $w$  and  $\text{ht}(\beta)$  is the height of the positive root  $\beta$ .

**Corollary 9.4** (A skew Peterson formula). *Let  $\pi$  be a dominant integral weight, and let  $P$  be the parabolic subgroup defined by  $\text{Stab}_W(\pi) = W_P$ . Let  $v \leq w \in W$  be  $\pi$ -minuscule elements such that  $Y(vW_P)$  is smooth at  $wP$ . Then*

$$(21) \quad \#\text{Red}(wv^{-1}) = \frac{(\ell(w) - \ell(v))!}{\prod_{\beta \in S(w/v)} \text{ht}(\beta)}.$$

*Proof.* Consider the term of lowest degree  $-\#S(w/v)$  in the expression in Corollary 9.3. This corresponds to taking the summation over *maximal paths* in Corollary 9.3, and yields

$$(22) \quad \sum \frac{1}{\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \cdots + \beta_r} \cdots \frac{1}{\beta_1} = \prod_{\beta \in S(w/v)} \frac{1}{\beta}.$$

The paths considered are the same as the paths from Corollary 3.13, in particular each  $\beta_i$  is a simple root. Now specialize each simple root  $\alpha_i \mapsto 1$ . The right hand side gives  $\frac{1}{\prod_{\beta \in S(w/v)} \text{ht}(\beta)}$ . On the left hand side, each summand specializes to  $\frac{1}{(\ell(w) - \ell(v))!}$ , and the number of summands is equal to the number of reduced expressions of  $wv^{-1}$ , again by Corollary 3.13.  $\square$

**Remark 9.5.** *Assume the hypotheses from Corollary 9.3. It follows from Theorem 10.11 below that if  $W$  is a simply laced Weyl group, then  $Y(v)$  is smooth at  $w$  if and only if  $wv^{-1}$  is  $\pi'$ -minuscule, where  $\pi'$  is dominant integral. Furthermore, in this case the identities (20) and (21) for  $[v, w]^\pi$  coincide with those corresponding to the interval  $[id, wv^{-1}]^{\pi'}$ . If  $W$  is not simply laced then it is possible that  $Y(v)$  is smooth at  $w$ , but  $wv^{-1}$  is not dominant minuscule. An example is in type  $F_4$  for  $v = s_1$ ,  $w = s_1s_3s_2s_4s_3s_2s_1$ , with  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  short roots. However, in this case one can show that if  $Y(v)$  is smooth at  $w$ , the 'skew' Nakada's identity is obtained from the 'straight' formula applied to suitable elements in type  $E_6$ . The transformation between the two cases is given by the folding of the root system  $E_6$  into  $F_4$ . This suggests that folding may lead to a more general statement relating skew and straight Nakada formulae.*

**Remark 9.6.** *If we remove the condition that  $Y(vW_P)$  is smooth at  $wP$ , by Equation (18), Equation (19), and the proof of Corollary 9.4, we obtain*

$$(23) \quad \#\text{Red}(wv^{-1}) = (\ell(w) - \ell(v))! \frac{\sum \text{ht}(\beta_{j_1}) \text{ht}(\beta_{j_2}) \cdots \text{ht}(\beta_{j_{\ell(v)}})}{\text{ht}(\beta_1) \cdots \text{ht}(\beta_\ell)}$$

where the summation is over  $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_{\ell(v)} \leq \ell$  such that  $v = s_{i_{j_1}} s_{i_{j_2}} \cdots s_{i_{j_{\ell(v)}}}$  is a reduced decomposition. Observe also that the right hand side is the specialization of  $(\ell(w) - \ell(v))! \frac{[Y(v)]_w}{[Y(w)]_w}$  by taking a root to its height. We will reformulate this using excited diagrams associated to a heap; see Remark 10.10.

## 10. HEAPS, EXCITED DIAGRAMS, AND SMOOTHNESS

In this section, we utilize excited diagrams to give a smoothness criterion for the Schubert variety at a torus fixed point  $wP$ , where  $w$  is a dominant minuscule element in a simply-laced Weyl group. As a consequence, we show that our skew version of the Nakada's hook formula Corollary 9.3 is equivalent to the original formula Theorem 3.11, see Remark 9.5.

We need to introduce the heap of a Weyl group element and excited diagrams first. We consider a general finite Weyl group, although the statements also hold for a Kac–Moody Weyl group. For example, the (dominant) minuscule elements (Definition 3.4) are defined and studied in this generality ([Pro99b], [Ste01]). The concept of  $d$ -complete posets utilized by Proctor can also be included within the heap framework.

**10.1. Heap.** From now on, we fix  $\mathbf{D} = (I, E)$  to be an unoriented Dynkin diagram, where  $I$  is the node set and  $E$  is the edge set of  $\mathbf{D}$ . Let  $W$  be the associated Weyl group of  $\mathbf{D}$  with Cartan matrix  $A = (a_{i,j})$ ,  $a_{i,j} = \langle \alpha_j, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle$ .

**Definition 10.1.** (*Heap  $H(w)$  of a reduced expression*) Given a reduced expression  $w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_\ell}$  of an element  $w \in W$ , define a poset  $H(w)$  as follows.

As a set  $H(w) := \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_\ell\}$ , where  $\ell = \ell(w)$ . The partial order on  $H(w)$  is the minimal partial order with the property that if  $1 \leq j < k \leq \ell$  and  $s_{i_j} s_{i_k} \neq s_{i_k} s_{i_j}$  then  $p_j < p_k$ . There is a (canonical) **coloring map**

$$c_w : H(w) \rightarrow I; \quad c_w(p_j) = i_j; \quad (1 \leq j \leq \ell).$$

The **support** of  $H(w)$  is the image  $c_w(H(w))$ .

One may think of  $H(w)$  as the poset of initial subexpressions of  $s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_\ell}$ . If  $s_i = s_{i_k}$  and the index  $i_k$  is clear from the context, we will say  $s_i \in H(w)$  to mean that  $c_w(p_{i_k}) = i$ .

**Example 10.2.** In the figure below, we consider the heap  $H(w)$  for a dominant minuscule element  $w \in S_{10}$  (Lie type  $A_9$ ). The element  $w$  is  $\pi = \varpi_2 + \varpi_7$ -minuscule, and its support has components  $I_1 = \{1, 2, 3\}, I_2 = \{5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$ . While  $w$  is not a Grassmannian element,  $w = w_1 \cdot w_2$  with  $w_1, w_2$  both Grassmannian. Here,  $w_1 = s_2 s_1 s_3 s_2$  is  $\varpi_2$ -minuscule, and  $w_2 = s_5 s_8 s_7 s_6 s_9 s_8 s_7$  is  $\varpi_7$ -minuscule (the reading order is specified by the elements  $p_i$ ; in this case this is the reading of the colors of vertices on diagonal edges, in SE–NW direction). This heap may also be seen as a difference of two heaps, and it is associated to the skew




diagram  $(5, 5, 3, 2, 2)/(2, 2, 2)$  in  $\text{Gr}(5, 10)$ ; see Example 11.3.

**Remark 10.3.** Let  $w$  be a  $\pi$ -minuscule element for dominant integral  $\pi$ . It is known that each connected component (as a Hasse diagram) of  $H(w)$  has a unique maximal element (cf. [Ste01, §4]). Then  $\pi$  is the sum of fundamental weights corresponding to the maximal elements (see e.g. Example 10.2).

**Remark 10.4.** Originally, heaps were defined by Viennot for words of monoids and called “Heap of pieces” [Vie86]. Stembridge [Ste01] considered heaps for non-reduced expressions of Coxeter elements. We will not need this generality.

From now on fix a  $\pi$ -minuscule element  $w$  with  $\pi$  integral dominant weight, and a reduced decomposition  $w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_\ell}$ . We recall next some fundamental properties of heaps  $H(w)$ . For a poset  $\mathcal{P}$ , a subset  $F \subset \mathcal{P}$  is called an order filter if  $x \in F$  and  $y \in \mathcal{P}$  satisfying  $x < y$ , then  $y \in F$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P})$  be the set of order filters of  $\mathcal{P}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P})$  has a natural partial order structure by inclusion of sets.



It was proved by Stembridge [Ste01, Ste96] that the heap  $H(w)$  is independent of choices of reduced expressions, in the following sense. Take another reduced expression  $w = s_{j_1} s_{j_2} \dots s_{j_\ell}$  with associated heap  $H'(w) = \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_\ell\}$  and labeling  $c'_w : H'(w) \rightarrow I$  as in Definition 10.1. Then there exists a permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$  such that the induced bijective map  $\sigma : H(w) \rightarrow H'(w), p_i \mapsto q_{\sigma(i)}$  gives an isomorphism of posets with compatible coloring maps, i.e.  $c'_w \circ \sigma = c_w$ .

Consider  $v \in [id, w]^\pi$ . Since by Proposition 3.12 intervals in weak and strong order coincide,  $v$  has a canonical reduced expression obtained from a final subexpression of  $s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_\ell}$ . Then  $H(v)$  is canonically identified with an order filter of  $H(w)$ , and  $c_v$  is the restriction of  $c_w$ . Further,  $H(wv^{-1}) = H(w) \setminus H(v)$  and we have the following poset isomorphism:

$$(24) \quad [v, w]^\pi \simeq \mathcal{F}(H(w) \setminus H(v)), \quad z \mapsto H(z) \setminus H(v).$$

**10.2. Excited diagrams of heaps.** Excited (Young) diagrams were defined in [IN09] as a tool to calculate equivariant localization of Schubert classes in the maximal orthogonal Grassmannians of classical types. Recently, their definition was extended in [NO19, Def.3.1] to include excited diagrams of any colored poset. We recall this definition next, and prove some properties relevant to this paper.

**Definition 10.5.** *Let  $(\mathcal{P}, c)$  be a poset equipped with a coloring map  $c : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow I$  with values in the vertices of the Dynkin diagram  $\mathbf{D} = (I, E)$ . Define the partial order  $\triangleright$  on the subsets of  $\mathcal{P}$  generated by the following covering relation denoted by  $\triangleright$  (and called **elementary excitation**).*

*If  $D, D' \subset \mathcal{P}$  then  $D \triangleright D'$  (and we say  $D$  is excited to  $D'$ ) if the following hold:*

- (1) *There exist  $p < q$  with  $p \in D'$  and  $q \in D$  such that  $D \setminus \{q\} = D' \setminus \{p\}$ , the colors of  $p$  and  $q$  are the same, and furthermore, there is no other element  $p < z < q$  in  $\mathcal{P}$  such that  $c(z) = c(p)$ .*
- (2) *For any  $u \in D \cap [p, q]$ , the pair  $(c(p), c(u))$  is not an edge in the Dynkin diagram  $\mathbf{D}$ .*

*For  $F \subset \mathcal{P}$ , the set  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{P}}(F)$  of excited diagrams of  $F$  in  $\mathcal{P}$  is the principal order ideal generated by  $F$ :  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{P}}(F) = \{D : F \triangleright D\}$ .*

An element  $D$  in  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{P}}(F)$  is called an **excited diagram** of  $F$  in  $\mathcal{P}$ . Our main examples of excited diagrams come from heaps of minuscule elements  $(H(w), c_w)$ .

**Example 10.6.** *Consider  $w = s_2 s_1 s_3 s_2 s_5 s_8 s_7 s_6 s_9 s_8 s_7$  and  $H(w)$  from Example 10.2. Take  $v = s_2 s_8 s_7 \leq w$ . The heap  $H(v)$  is represented by the red dots of  $H(w)$  in the first diagram below. The collection of all diagrams forms the set  $\mathcal{E}_{H(w)}(H(v))$  of excited diagrams of  $H(v)$ .*

We recall next a characterization of dominant minuscule elements due to Stembridge [Ste01] (cf. Prop. 2.3 and Prop. 2.5 in *loc.cit.*).

**Proposition 10.7.** *Let  $w = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_p}$  be a reduced expression. Then the following hold:*

(a) *The element  $w$  is minuscule if and only if between any pair of occurrences of a generator  $s_i$ , with no other  $s_i$  in between, there are exactly:*

- *two terms  $s_{j_1}, s_{j_2}$  that do not commute with  $s_i$ , and the corresponding simple roots  $\alpha_{j_1}, \alpha_{j_2}$  have lengths at most that of  $\alpha_i$ , or,*
- *one term that does not commute with  $s_i$ , say  $s_j$ , and  $\langle \alpha_j, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle = -2$ .*

(b) *The element  $w$  is dominant minuscule if the conditions in (a) are satisfied, and, in addition, the last occurrence of each generator  $s_i$  is followed by at most one term  $s_j$  that does not commute with  $s_i$ , and the corresponding root  $\alpha_j$  has length at most that of  $\alpha_i$ .*




FIGURE 1.  $\mathcal{E}_{H(w)}(H(v))$ ,  $w = s_2 s_1 s_3 s_2 \cdot s_5 s_8 s_7 s_6 s_9 s_8 s_7$ ,  $v = s_2 \cdot s_8 s_7$ .

**Theorem 10.8.** *Let  $w$  be a  $\pi$ -minuscule element for some dominant integral weight  $\pi$ , and let  $v \in [id, w]^\pi$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) *There are no nontrivial excited diagrams of  $H(v)$  in  $H(w)$ , i.e.,  $|\mathcal{E}_{H(w)}(H(v))| = 1$ ;*
- (b)  *$wv^{-1}$  is dominant minuscule for some dominant integral weight  $\pi'$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.12 we may choose a reduced expression  $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_\ell}$  of  $w$  such that the expressions  $v = s_{i_{j+1}} \cdots s_{i_\ell}$  and  $wv^{-1} = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_j}$  are reduced. By hypothesis on  $w$ ,  $wv^{-1}$  is  $v(\pi)$ -minuscule. Assume that  $|\mathcal{E}_{H(w)}(H(v))| = 1$ . To show  $wv^{-1}$  is dominant minuscule, we check the conditions in Proposition 10.7(b) to the heap  $H(wv^{-1}) = H(w) \setminus H(v)$ . Let  $s_i$  be a last occurrence of a simple reflection in  $wv^{-1}$ . If  $s_i$  is also a last occurrence in  $w$  we are done, since  $w$  is dominant minuscule. Therefore we may assume that  $s_i$  occurs again in  $v$ , and we pick the first such occurrence. Since  $w$  is minuscule, by Proposition 10.7(a), between these two consecutive occurrences of  $s_i$ , there is exactly one element  $s_{j_1}$  or two elements  $s_{j_1}, s_{j_2}$  which do not commute with  $s_i$ . But at least one of  $s_{j_1}, s_{j_2}$  must be in  $v$ ; otherwise one can construct an excited diagram by moving the element corresponding to  $s_i$  from  $H(v)$  into the one from  $H(wv^{-1})$ . The remaining element (say)  $s_{j_2}$  in  $wv^{-1}$  which does not commute with  $s_i$  (if it exists) must necessarily arise from the first condition in Proposition 10.7(a), which implies that the length of the corresponding simple root  $\alpha_{j_2}$  is at most that of  $\alpha_i$ . This verifies the conditions in Proposition 10.7(b).

We now prove the opposite implication. From Definition 10.5 of excited diagrams, if  $|\mathcal{E}_{H(w)}(H(v))| > 1$  there must be two consecutive occurrences of a reflection  $s_i$  in  $w$ , such that one is in  $v$ , and the other in  $wv^{-1}$ . Furthermore, there cannot be any reflection  $s_j \in H(v)$  between the two  $s_i$ 's such that  $i, j$  are adjacent in the Dynkin diagram. At the same time, since  $w$  is minuscule, there must be exactly one or two such  $s_j$ 's between the  $s_i$ 's in  $w$ , and if there is only one, then  $\langle \alpha_j, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle = -2$ . Then these  $s_j$ 's must be in  $wv^{-1}$ . Both situations contradict the condition in Proposition 10.7(b), thus  $wv^{-1}$  is not dominant minuscule.  $\square$

**Lemma 10.9.** [NO19, Proposition 3.4, Remark 3.18] *Let  $w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_\ell}$  be a reduced expression for a  $\pi$ -minuscule element, with  $\pi$  a dominant integral weight. For any  $v \in W^\pi$  satisfying  $v \leq w$ , there is a bijective correspondence between the set of excited diagrams in  $\mathcal{E}_{H(w)}(H(v))$  and subexpressions of  $s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_\ell}$  which give a reduced expression of  $v$ .*

If  $w \in W$  and  $H(w) = \{p_1, \dots, p_{\ell(w)}\}$ , define  $\beta_w : H(w) \rightarrow S(w)$ , by  $\beta_w(p_j) = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_{j-1}} \alpha_{i_j}$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ .

**Remark 10.10.** *Under the assumptions in the Lemma 10.9, Billey's formula [Bil99] can be written as follows:*

$$(25) \quad [Y(v)]|_w = \sum_{D \in \mathcal{E}_{H(w)}(H(v))} \prod_{p \in D} \beta_w(p).$$

Using this we may reformulate Equation (23) as follows.

$$(26) \quad \# \text{Red}(wv^{-1}) = (\ell(w) - \ell(v))! \sum_{D \in \mathcal{E}_{H(w)}(H(v))} \frac{1}{\prod_{p \in H(w) \setminus D} ht(\beta_w(p))}.$$

**10.3. Main results.** Finally, we can state the main results in this section. Recall that for any point  $p$  in a variety  $X$ , there is a notion of multiplicity  $\text{mult}_p(X)$  with the property that  $p$  is smooth if and only if  $\text{mult}_p(X) = 1$ ; we refer e.g. to [BL00, Section 4.7] for details.

Throughout this section we fix  $w$  a  $\pi$ -minuscule element where  $\pi$  is dominant integral. As usual, let  $P$  be the parabolic group defined by  $\text{Stab}_W(\pi) = W_P$ .

**Theorem 10.11.** *Assume that  $W$  is a simply-laced Weyl group and let  $v \in W^P$  such that  $v \leq w$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $Y(v) \subset G/P$  is non-singular at  $wP$ ;
- (2) There are no nontrivial excited diagrams of  $H(v)$  in  $H(w)$ , i.e.,  $|\mathcal{E}_{H(w)}(H(v))| = 1$ ;
- (3)  $wv^{-1}$  is  $\pi'$ -minuscule for some dominant integral weight  $\pi'$ .

Moreover these conditions imply that for any  $z \in [v, w]^P$ ,  $S(z/v) = S(zv^{-1})$ .

Furthermore, in this case, the  $\Lambda$ -Bruhat graphs for  $[v, w]^P$  and  $[id, wv^{-1}]^{P'}$ , equipped with the constant admissible functions  $\Lambda \equiv \pi$  respectively  $\Lambda' \equiv \pi'$ , are isomorphic. Here  $P'$  is the parabolic subgroup defined by  $\text{Stab}_W(\pi') = W_{P'}$ .

For the proof, we need some results of Graham and Kreiman [GK20]. An element  $x \in W$  is **cominuscule** if there exists  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$  such that for any root  $\beta$  in the inversion set of  $x^{-1}$ , we have  $\beta(h) = -1$  (see [GK20, Definition 5.6]). As observed in *loc.cit.* this is equivalent to the notion of a (Peterson) minuscule element, and the two notions coincide for simply laced Weyl groups. Indeed, in this case we may identify  $\mathfrak{h}^* \simeq \mathfrak{h}$  by  $\beta \leftrightarrow \beta^\vee$ , and we take  $h_o = w(\pi) \in \mathfrak{h}$ . Then from Lemma 3.8,

$$(27) \quad \beta(h_o) = \langle \beta, h_o \rangle = \langle w(\pi), \beta^\vee \rangle = -1.$$

This motivates the definition of  $\varphi_{w,\pi} : S(\mathfrak{h}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given by  $\varphi_{w,\pi}(h) := \langle -w(\pi), h \rangle$ . Then for any  $\beta \in S(w)$ ,  $\varphi_{w,\pi}(\beta) = 1$ ;

**Lemma 10.12.** *Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 10.11. Then the multiplicity of  $Y(v) \subset G/P$  at  $w$  equals to:*

$$\text{mult}_w(Y(v)) = \varphi_{w,\pi}([Y(v)]|_w) = |\mathcal{E}_{H(w)}(H(v))|.$$

*Proof.* Denote by  $Y(vB)$  the corresponding Schubert variety in  $G/B$ . Since the projection map  $G/B \rightarrow G/P$  is a smooth map,  $\text{mult}_{wP}(Y(vP)) = \text{mult}_{wB}(Y(vB))$ . Furthermore,  $[Y(v)]|_w = [Y(wB)]|_{wB}$  as elements in  $H_T^*(pt)$ . Then the first equality follows from [GK20, Corollary 5.12], and the second from Equation (25).  $\square$

**Example 10.13.** *In Example 10.6, there are 6 excited diagrams, showing that the multiplicity of  $Y(v)$  at  $w$  equals to 6.*

*Proof of Theorem 10.11.* The equivalence (1)  $\iff$  (2) follows from Lemma 10.12, and the equivalence (2)  $\iff$  (3) from Theorem 10.8 (for any Weyl group  $W$ ).

To prove the remaining claims, first observe that since  $Y(v)$  is non-singular at  $w$ , it will be non-singular at any  $z \in [v, w]^P$ . To prove that  $S(z/v) = S(zv^{-1})$ , we utilize the equation (22) in two situations: the intervals  $[v, z]^P$  and  $[id, zv^{-1}]^{P'}$ . By Corollary 3.13, there is a weight preserving bijection between the maximal length paths in  $[v, z]^P$  and  $[id, zv^{-1}]^{P'}$ . Consider the equality in Corollary 9.3, applied to the intervals above, and restricted to the terms of smallest degree; this corresponds to taking the sum over maximal length paths on the left hand side. The corollary and the considerations above imply that

$$\prod_{\beta \in S(zv^{-1})} \beta = \prod_{\beta' \in S(z/v)} \beta'.$$

Then the claim follows because all roots  $\beta, \beta'$  are positive and in each side they are all mutually prime when regarded as linear forms.

Finally, we prove the isomorphism between the standard  $\Lambda$ -Bruhat graphs on  $[v, w]^P$  and  $[id, wv^{-1}]^{P'}$ . First of all, we have a poset isomorphism  $\Phi_{v,w} : [v, w]^P \rightarrow [id, wv^{-1}]^{P'}$  defined by  $z \mapsto zv^{-1}$  and induced by the isomorphism in (24):

$$[v, w]^\pi \simeq \mathcal{F}(H(w) \setminus H(v)) = \mathcal{F}(H(wv^{-1})) \simeq [id, wv^{-1}]^{\pi'}.$$

We prove next that the edges in the two  $\Lambda$ -Bruhat graphs match. Suppose  $x \xrightarrow{\beta} y$  is an edge in the  $\Lambda$ -Bruhat graph of  $[v, w]^P$ . Since  $Y(v)$  is non-singular at  $wP$ ,  $Y(v)$  will be non-singular at  $yP$ , thus  $\beta \in S(y/v) = S(yv^{-1})$ . Thus, there is an edge with target  $yv^{-1}$  and weight  $\beta$  in the  $\Lambda$ -Bruhat graph of  $[id, wv^{-1}]^{P'}$ . Suppose the source of this edge is  $zv^{-1}$  for some  $z \in [v, w]^P$ . By Proposition 8.6(2),

$$\mathcal{W}_\pi(x) - \mathcal{W}_\pi(y) = \beta = \mathcal{W}_{\pi'}(zv^{-1}) - \mathcal{W}_{\pi'}(yv^{-1}),$$

and the last expression equals  $\sum \alpha_i$ , where  $\alpha_i$ 's appear in any maximal chain from  $zv^{-1}$  to  $yv^{-1}$ . By Corollary 3.13, such chains are in bijection to maximal chains from  $z$  to  $y$ , and contain the same weights. This proves that

$$\mathcal{W}_{\pi'}(zv^{-1}) - \mathcal{W}_{\pi'}(yv^{-1}) = \mathcal{W}_\pi(z) - \mathcal{W}_\pi(y).$$

Hence,  $\mathcal{W}_\pi(x) = \mathcal{W}_\pi(z)$ , thus  $x = z$  by the injectivity of  $\mathcal{W}_\pi$ , see Proposition 8.6(3). Same argument, using maximal chains this time in the intervals  $[y, w]^\pi$  and  $[yv^{-1}, wv^{-1}]^{\pi'}$ , and taking into account that  $\mathcal{W}_{\pi'}(wv^{-1}) = \mathcal{W}_\pi(w) = 0$ , shows that  $\mathcal{W}_{\pi'}(yv^{-1}) = \mathcal{W}_\pi(y)$ , and it finishes the proof.  $\square$


## 11. EXAMPLES

In this section we give some examples illustrating Theorem 9.1 and its corollaries, and the heap constructions from §10.


**11.1. Grassmannians.** Let  $X = G/P = \text{Gr}(k, n)$  be a Grassmann manifold, where  $P$  is the maximal parabolic subgroup with  $\Delta_P = \Delta \setminus \{\alpha_k\}$ . The permutations in  $W^P$  are in bijection to the set of partitions  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$  in the  $k \times (n - k)$  rectangle. We denote by  $w_\mu$  the element in  $W^P$  corresponding to  $\mu$ . This bijection has the property that  $\ell(w_\mu) = |\mu| = \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_k$  (the number of boxes of  $\mu$ ) and  $w_\mu < w_\nu$  if and only if  $\mu \subset \nu$ . Furthermore, there exists an edge  $w_\mu \rightarrow w_\nu$  in the Bruhat graph if and only if the skew shape  $\nu/\mu$  is a *rim hook* in  $\nu$ . Recall that a rim hook in  $\nu$  is a connected collection  $B$  of boxes which intersect the boundary of  $\nu$  in at least one point and such that  $\nu \setminus B$  remains a partition. One may read the reduced decompositions of  $w_\mu, w_\nu$  (cf. [IN09, BCMP18], the latter, for

more general cominuscule Grassmannians) and the root  $\beta$  such that  $w_\nu W_P = s_\beta w_\mu W_P$  directly from the diagrams involved. We illustrate this in the example below.

**Example 11.1.** Take  $G/P = \text{Gr}(5, 12)$ ; then  $\Delta_P = \{\alpha_i : 1 \leq i \leq 11\} \setminus \{\alpha_5\}$ . Consider  $\nu = (7, 7, 7, 5, 5)$  included in the  $5 \times 7$  rectangle. The partition  $\nu$  corresponds to the green portion in the left diagram below.




One may read a reduced decomposition for  $w_\nu$  by reading the labels of  $\nu$  bottom to top, right to left:  $w_\nu = s_5 s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1 s_6 s_5 s_4 s_3 s_2 s_9 s_8 s_7 s_6 s_5 s_4 s_3 s_{10} s_9 s_8 s_7 s_6 s_5 s_4 s_{11} s_{10} s_9 s_8 s_7 s_6 s_5$ . Consider  $\mu = (5, 4, 1)$ . A path in the Bruhat graph from  $\mu$  to  $\nu$  is given by removing rim hooks starting from  $\nu$ . One example is the path below, where the rim hooks removed are, in order, starting from  $\nu$ : green, cyan, orange, yellow.



The root  $\beta$  associated to an edge  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow \lambda_2$  is the sum of the simple roots in the boxes of the skew shape  $\lambda_2/\lambda_1$ . In our case, if  $w_\nu W_P = s_\beta w_{\mu_4} W_P$  then  $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^{11} \alpha_i$  (the sum of the labels of the green rim hook).

In order to illustrate Corollary 9.3 we consider the standard admissible function  $\Lambda \equiv \omega_P = \omega_k$ . Then each element  $w \in W^P$  is  $\omega_k$ -minuscule, and all edge multiplicities are equal to 1. Further,  $Y(w_\mu W_P)$  is smooth at  $w_\nu W_P$  if and only if the skew partition  $\nu/\mu$  is a union of straight shapes. (This may be deduced e.g. from [IN09, Corollary 9.2, 9.3] or from [GK15].) In the example above,  $Y(w_\mu)$  is singular at  $w_\nu$ .

**Example 11.2.** We now consider  $G/P = \text{Gr}(2, 5)$  and the standard admissible function  $\Lambda \equiv \omega_2$ . Take  $v := w_\emptyset < w := w_{\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square \\ \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}}$ ; of course  $Y(v) = G/P$  is smooth. Consider the path



As before, we indicated the removed hooks with colors, and also their box labels. In the summation from Corollary 9.3 this corresponds to the product


$$\frac{1}{\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3} \times \frac{1}{\beta_1 + \beta_2} \times \frac{1}{\beta_1} = \frac{1}{\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4} \times \frac{1}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4} \times \frac{1}{\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4}.$$

**11.2. Submaximal isotropic Grassmannians.** In this section we consider  $G/P = \text{IG}(k, 2n)$ , the space parametrizing  $k$ -dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$  isotropic with respect to a symmetric non-degenerate bilinear form on  $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ . Then  $\Delta = \{\alpha_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  and by convention  $\alpha_n$  is short. In this case,  $\Delta_P = \Delta \setminus \{\alpha_k\}$  and the multiplicities may be 2. This is an example of a ‘non-minuscule’ flag manifold, and the equality from Corollary 9.2 is in general stronger than the Nakada’s colored hook formula.

We consider the standard admissible function  $\Lambda \equiv \omega_k$ . The root  $\beta$  for an edge  $u \rightarrow u'$  such that  $u'W_P = s_\beta uW_P$  may be found from Equation (16):

$$\beta = \frac{\mathcal{W}_\Lambda(u) - \mathcal{W}_\Lambda(u')}{m(u, u')} = \frac{u(\omega_k) - u'(\omega_k)}{m(u, u')}.$$

To illustrate, consider  $\text{IG}(2, 7)$  and the standard admissible function  $\Lambda \equiv \omega_2$ . Recall the  $\Lambda$ -Bruhat graph from Example 8.8 above, where the simple edges have multiplicity 1 and the double edges have multiplicity 2. The denominator at  $u$  equals to  $\mathcal{W}_\Lambda(u) = u(\omega_2) - w_0^P(\omega_2)$ .



Every Schubert variety is smooth at  $s_2s_1s_3s_2$  (the Schubert point), except for  $Y(s_2)$  (the Schubert divisor). This may be checked directly e.g. by the smoothness criterion from Theorem 7.1; we will also recover it from Theorem 9.1 in an example below.

11.2.1. *Example 1:*  $v = s_3s_2$ ,  $w = s_2s_1s_3s_2$ . There are two directed paths from elements  $u \geq v$  to  $w$  of length 2, two directed paths of length 1, and the trivial path, as follows:

- The path  $v \rightarrow s_1s_3s_2 \rightarrow w$  contributes with  $\frac{1}{\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2} \times \frac{2}{2\alpha_2}$ ;
- The path  $v \rightarrow s_2s_3s_2 \rightarrow w$  contributes with  $\frac{1}{\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2} \times \frac{1}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}$ ;
- The path  $s_1s_3s_2 \rightarrow w$  contributes with  $\frac{2}{2\alpha_2}$ ;
- The path  $s_2s_3s_2 \rightarrow w$  contributes with  $\frac{1}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}$ ;
- The trivial path  $w = w$  contributes with 1.

In this case Theorem 9.1 (or Corollary 9.2) states that

$$1 + \frac{1}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} + \frac{2}{2\alpha_2} + \frac{1}{\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2} \times \frac{1}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} + \frac{1}{\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2} \times \frac{2}{2\alpha_2} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha_2}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}\right).$$

11.2.2. *Example 2:*  $v = s_2$ ,  $w = s_2s_3s_2$ . In this case  $Y(s_2)$  is singular at  $w$ , therefore we do not expect the identity from Theorem 9.1 to hold. (However, observe that  $Y(s_2)$  is rationally smooth at  $w$ , since  $\ell(w) - \ell(v) < 3$ .) Then:

- The path  $v \rightarrow s_3s_2 \rightarrow w$  contributes with  $\frac{2}{\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3} \times \frac{1}{\alpha_2}$ ;
- The path  $s_2 \rightarrow w$  contributes with  $\frac{1}{\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3}$ ;
- The path  $s_3s_2 \rightarrow w$  contributes with  $\frac{1}{\alpha_2}$ ;

- The trivial path contributes with 1.


The roots  $\beta \in S(w/v)$  are  $\alpha_2, \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3$ . Observe that

$$1 + \frac{1}{\alpha_2} + \frac{1}{\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3} + \frac{1}{\alpha_2} \times \frac{2}{\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3} \neq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha_2}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3}\right).$$

By Theorem 9.1, this confirms that  $Y(s_2)$  is singular at  $s_1 s_3 s_2$ .

**11.3. More examples of heaps and excited diagrams.** The purpose of this section is to show two examples of heaps and excited diagrams, as utilized in section 10.

**Example 11.3.** *In this example we show how the heap from Example 10.2 above may be seen as a Grassmannian example associated to a skew shape. Let  $X = \text{Gr}(5, 10)$  and  $w = s_2 s_1 \cdot s_3 s_2 \cdot s_5 s_4 s_3 \cdot s_8 s_7 s_6 s_5 s_4 \cdot s_9 s_8 s_7 s_6 s_5$ ,  $v = s_4 s_3 \cdot s_5 s_4 \cdot s_6 s_5$ . In terms of partitions,  $w$  corresponds to  $(5, 5, 3, 2, 2)$  and  $v$  to  $(2, 2, 2)$ ; cf. Example 11.1. Since the skew shape  $wv^{-1} = (5, 5, 3, 2, 2)/(2, 2, 2)$  is a union of straight shapes,  $Y(v)$  is smooth at  $w$  and  $|\mathcal{E}_{H(w)}(H(v))| = 1$ . (As usual,  $H(v)$  is indicated in red, and  $H(w) \setminus H(v) = H(wv^{-1}) = \{p_1, \dots, p_{11}\}$ .)*



**Example 11.4.** *In this example we consider the Lie type  $E_8$ , with  $w = s_2 \cdot s_4 s_5 \cdot s_3 s_4 s_2 \cdot s_1 s_3 s_4 s_5 s_6 s_7 s_8$  and  $v = s_2 s_4 s_5 s_6 s_7 s_8$ . The element  $w$  is  $\varpi_8$ -minuscule. The heap for the skew shape  $wv^{-1}$  is illustrated in the figure below, together with the set  $\mathcal{E}_{H(w)}(H(v))$ . By Lemma 10.12, the multiplicity of  $Y(v)$  at  $w$  is  $\text{mult}_w(Y(v)) = 5$ .*

REFERENCES

[AMSS17] Paolo Aluffi, Leonardo C. Mihalcea, Jörg Schürmann, and Changjian Su. Shadows of characteristic cycles, Verma modules, and positivity of Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson classes of Schubert cells. arXiv preprint arXiv:1709.08697, 2017.

[AMSS19] Paolo Aluffi, Leonardo C. Mihalcea, Jörg Schürmann, and Changjian Su. Motivic Chern classes of Schubert cells, Hecke algebras, and applications to Casselman’s problem. *to appear in Annales Sci. de l’Ecole Normale Sup.*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1902.10101, 2019.

[BC12] Sara Billey and Izzet Coskun. Singularities of generalized Richardson varieties. *Comm. Algebra*, 40(4):1466–1495, 2012.

[BCMP18] Anders S. Buch, Pierre-Emmanuel Chaput, Leonardo C. Mihalcea, and Nicolas Perrin. A Chevalley formula for the equivariant quantum  $K$ -theory of cominuscule varieties. *Algebr. Geom.*, 5(5):568–595, 2018.


[BFP99] Francesco Brenti, Sergey Fomin, and Alexander Postnikov. Mixed Bruhat operators and Yang-Baxter equations for Weyl groups. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (8):419–441, 1999.

[Bil99] Sara C. Billey. Kostant polynomials and the cohomology ring for  $G/B$ . *Duke Math. J.*, 96(1):205–224, 1999.

[BL00] Sara Billey and V. Lakshmibai. *Singular Loci of Schubert Varieties*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.

[BM15] Anders S. Buch and Leonardo C. Mihalcea. Curve neighborhoods of Schubert varieties. *J. Differential Geom.*, 99(2):255–283, 2015.

[Bou02] Nicolas Bourbaki. *Lie groups and Lie algebras. Chapters 4–6*. Elements of Mathematics (Berlin). Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002. Translated from the 1968 French original by Andrew Pressley.

FIGURE 2. The  $E_8$  heap  $H(w)$  and excited diagrams in  $\mathcal{E}_{H(w)}(H(v))$ 

- [Bri97] Michel Brion. Equivariant Chow groups for torus actions. *Transform. Groups*, 2(3):225–267, 1997.
- [BS81] Jean-Paul Brasselet and Marie-Hélène Schwartz. Sur les classes de Chern d’un ensemble analytique complexe. In *The Euler-Poincaré characteristic (French)*, volume 83 of *Astérisque*, pages 93–147. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1981.
- [Car91] James B. Carrell. Vector fields, flag varieties and Schubert calculus. In *Proceedings of the Hyderabad Conference on Algebraic Groups (Hyderabad, 1989)*, pages 23–57. Manoj Prakashan, Madras, 1991.
- [Car94] James B. Carrell. The Bruhat graph of a Coxeter group, a conjecture of Deodhar, and rational smoothness of Schubert varieties. In *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, volume 56, pages 53–61, 1994.
- [Cas19] Elie Casbi. Newton–Okounkov bodies for categories of modules over quiver hecke algebras. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.11440*, 2019.
- [Cas21] Elie Casbi. Equivariant multiplicities of simply-laced type flag minors. *Represent. Theory*, 25:1049–1092, 2021.
- [Ful84] William Fulton. *Intersection theory*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [FW04] W. Fulton and C. Woodward. On the quantum product of Schubert classes. *J. of Alg. Geom.*, 13(4):641–661, 2004.
- [GK15] William Graham and Victor Kreiman. Excited Young diagrams, equivariant  $K$ -theory, and Schubert varieties. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 367(9):6597–6645, 2015.
- [GK20] William Graham and Victor Kreiman. Cominuscule points and schubert varieties. *to appear in Annales de L’Institut Fourier*, *arXiv preprint arXiv:1701.05965v2*, Feb 2020.
- [IN09] Takeshi Ikeda and Hiroshi Naruse. Excited Young diagrams and equivariant Schubert calculus. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 361(10):5193–5221, 2009.
- [Kaw15] Noriaki Kawanaka. Games and algorithms with hook structure [translation of MR2883935]. *Sugaku Expositions*, 28(1):73–93, 2015.
- [KT03] Allen Knutson and Terence Tao. Puzzles and (equivariant) cohomology of Grassmannians. *Duke Math. J.*, 119(2):221–260, 2003.
- [Kum96] Shrawan Kumar. The nil Hecke ring and singularity of Schubert varieties. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 123(3):471–506, 1996.
- [Kum02] Shrawan Kumar. *Kac–Moody groups, their flag varieties and representation theory*, volume 204 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2002.



- [LNS<sup>+</sup>15] Cristian Lenart, Satoshi Naito, Daisuke Sagaki, Anne Schilling, and Mark Shimozono. A uniform model for Kirillov–Reshetikhin crystals I: Lifting the parabolic quantum Bruhat graph. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (7):1848–1901, 2015.
- [Mac74] Robert D. MacPherson. Chern classes for singular algebraic varieties. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 100:423–432, 1974.
- [Mih06] Leonardo Mihalcea. Equivariant quantum Schubert calculus. *Adv. Math.*, 203(1):1–33, 2006.
- [Mih07] Leonardo Constantin Mihalcea. On equivariant quantum cohomology of homogeneous spaces: Chevalley formulae and algorithms. *Duke Math. J.*, 140(2):321–350, 2007.
- [MNS21] Leonardo C Mihalcea, Hiroshi Naruse, and Changjian Su. Left Demazure–Lusztig operators on equivariant (quantum) cohomology and K- theory. *Int. Math. Res. Notices*, rnab049, 2021.
- [MS99] Alexander Molev and Bruce Sagan. A Littlewood–Richardson rule for factorial Schur functions. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 351(11):4429–4443, 1999.
- [Nak08a] Kento Nakada. Colored hook formula for a generalized Young diagram. *Osaka J. Math.*, 45(4):1085–1120, 2008.
- [Nak08b] Kento Nakada. A hook formula for the standard tableaux of a generalized shape. In *Combinatorial representation theory and related topics*, RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu, B8, pages 55–62. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. (RIMS), Kyoto, 2008.
- [Nar14] Hiroshi Naruse. Schubert calculus and hook formula. *Slides at 73rd Sém. Lothar. Combin., Strobl, Austria*, 2014.
- [NO19] Hiroshi Naruse and Soichi Okada. Skew hook formula for  $d$ -complete posets via equivariant K-theory. *Algebraic Combinatorics*, 2(4):541–571, 2019.
- [Ohm06] Toru Ohmoto. Equivariant Chern classes of singular algebraic varieties with group actions. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 140(1):115–134, 2006.
- [Pro84] Robert A. Proctor. Bruhat lattices, plane partition generating functions, and minuscule representations. *European J. Combin.*, 5(4):331–350, 1984.
- [Pro99a] Robert A. Proctor. Dynkin diagram classification of  $\lambda$ -minuscule Bruhat lattices and of  $d$ -complete posets. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 9(1):61–94, 1999.
- [Pro99b] Robert A. Proctor. Minuscule elements of Weyl groups, the numbers game, and  $d$ -complete posets. *J. Algebra*, 213(1):272–303, 1999.
- [Sch65a] Marie-Hélène Schwartz. Classes caractéristiques définies par une stratification d’une variété analytique complexe. I. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 260:3262–3264, 1965.
- [Sch65b] Marie-Hélène Schwartz. Classes caractéristiques définies par une stratification d’une variété analytique complexe. II. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 260:3535–3537, 1965.
- [Sch17] Jörg Schürmann. Chern classes and transversality for singular spaces. In *Singularities in Geometry, Topology, Foliations and Dynamics*, Trends in Mathematics, pages 207–231. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2017.
- [Sta84] Richard P. Stanley. On the number of reduced decompositions of elements of Coxeter groups. *European J. Combin.*, 5(4):359–372, 1984.
- [Ste96] John R. Stembridge. On the fully commutative elements of Coxeter groups. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 5(4):353–385, 1996.
- [Ste01] John R Stembridge. Minuscule elements of Weyl groups. *Journal of Algebra*, 235(2):722–743, 2001.
- [Su16] Changjian Su. Equivariant quantum cohomology of cotangent bundle of  $G/P$ . *Adv. Math.*, 289:362–383, 2016.
- [Su20] Changjian Su. Structure constants for Chern classes of Schubert cells. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 298(1-2):193–213, 2020.
- [Vie86] Gérard Xavier Viennot. Heaps of pieces, I: Basic definitions and combinatorial lemmas. In *Combinatoire énumérative*, pages 321–350. Springer, 1986.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VIRGINIA TECH UNIVERSITY, BLACKSBURG, VA 24061 USA

*Email address:* [lmihalce@vt.edu](mailto:lmihalce@vt.edu)

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF EDUCATION, UNIVERSITY OF YAMANASHI, KOFU, 400-8510, JAPAN

*Email address:* [hnaruse@yamanashi.ac.jp](mailto:hnaruse@yamanashi.ac.jp)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, TORONTO, ON M5S 2E4, CANADA

*Email address:* [csu@math.toronto.edu](mailto:csu@math.toronto.edu)