

Factorials and Legendre's three-square theorem: II

Rob Burns

31st March 2022

Abstract

Let \bar{S} denote the set of integers n such that $n!$ cannot be written as a sum of three squares. Let $\bar{S}(n)$ denote $\bar{S} \cap [1, n]$. We establish an exact formula for $\bar{S}(2^k)$ and show that $\bar{S}(n) = 1/8 * n + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})$. We also list the lengths of gaps appearing in \bar{S} . We make use of the software package Walnut to establish these results.

1 Introduction

In a previous paper [1], we constructed an automaton accepting integers n such that $n!$ cannot be written as a sum of three squares. In the current paper we use this automaton and the software package Walnut to explore the sets

$$S := \{n : n! \text{ is a sum of three squares}\},$$

$$\bar{S} := \{n : n! \text{ is not a sum of three squares}\},$$

$$S(n) := \{x \leq n : x! \text{ is a sum of three squares}\}.$$

and

$$\bar{S}(n) := \{x \leq n : x! \text{ is not a sum of three squares}\}.$$

In particular, we establish a formula for $S(2^k)$, or equivalently, $\bar{S}(2^k)$, and show that $\bar{S}(n) = 1/8 * n + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})$. We also list the lengths of possible gaps in S and its complement \bar{S} .

We mention here some similar previous results. In [2], Deshouillers and Luca established the two equivalent bounds

$$S(n) = 7n/8 + \mathcal{O}(n^{2/3}) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{S}(n) = x/8 + \mathcal{O}(n^{2/3}).$$

This bound was improved by Hajdu and Papp [7] to

$$\bar{S}(n) = x/8 + \mathcal{O}(n^{1/2} \log^2 n).$$

Hajdu and Papp [7] proved that the largest gap between consecutive elements of \bar{S} is 42. In theorem 3.1, we list the lengths of all gaps that occur between consecutive elements of S and \bar{S} .

We make use of the software package Walnut. Hamoon Mousavi, who wrote the program, has provided an introductory article [8]. Papers that have used Walnut include [9], [12], [10], [5], [3]. Further resources related to Walnut can be found at Jeffrey Shallit's page

<https://www.cs.uwaterloo.ca/~shallit/papers.html> .

The free open-source mathematics software system SageMath [14] was essential for the matrix algebra and factoring of polynomials.

In the next section, we describe the automaton that will be used to examine \bar{S} and $\bar{S}(x)$.

2 The automaton

We first summarise the conditions satisfied by integers in \bar{S} . These come from [1] but were previously established by Granville and Zhu in 1990 [6]. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with binary representation given by $n = \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k 2^k$, where all but finitely many a_i are zero. Let γ be the highest power of 2 dividing $n!$. For $i \in \{3, 5\}$, define $\alpha_i = \alpha_i(n)$ by

$$\alpha_3 = \alpha_3(n) := \# \left\{ k \geq 0 : \sum_{i=k}^{k+2} a_i 2^{i-k} \in \{3, 4\} \right\} \quad (1)$$

$$\alpha_5 = \alpha_5(n) := \# \left\{ k \geq 0 : \sum_{i=k}^{k+2} a_i 2^{i-k} \in \{5, 6\} \right\}. \quad (2)$$

Theorem 2.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ have binary representation $n = \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k 2^k$, where all but finitely many a_i are zero. If γ is the highest power of 2 dividing $n!$, then $n! = 2^\gamma Z$, where Z satisfies*

$$Z \equiv 3^{\alpha_3(n)} (-1)^{\alpha_5(n)} \pmod{8}$$

Corollary 2.2. *If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $n!$ cannot be written as a sum of three squares if and only if γ and α_3 are even and α_5 is odd.*

According to the above two results, whether $n!$ can be written as a sum of three squares is determined by the triple $(\gamma \pmod{2}, \alpha_3 \pmod{2}, \alpha_5 \pmod{2})$. For convenience,




Figure 1: Automaton for the parity of γ




Figure 2: Automaton for the parity of α_3

we will give this triple a name. For integers n , define $\Theta(n)$ by

$$\Theta(n) := (\gamma \pmod{2}, \alpha_3 \pmod{2}, \alpha_5 \pmod{2}).$$

The components of $\Theta(n)$ are

$$\Theta_1(n) := \gamma \pmod{2}, \quad \Theta_2(n) := \alpha_3 \pmod{2}, \quad \Theta_3(n) := \alpha_5 \pmod{2}. \quad (3)$$

Then, $n \in \bar{S}$ if and only if $\Theta(n) = (0, 0, 1)$.

We present here three automata which take as input the binary digits of an integer n , starting with the least significant digit. The automata were produced by Walnut based on the instructions provided in [1]. The first automaton, which we call gamma, is pictured in figure 1. It accepts integers n if and only if $\gamma(n)$ is even. The second automaton, which we call a3, is pictured in figure 2. It accepts integers n if and only if $\alpha_3(n)$ is even. The third automaton, which we call a5, is pictured in figure 3. It accepts integers n if and only if the $\alpha_5(n)$ is even.

For any triple of binary digits (x, y, z) , we can use Walnut to create an automaton which accepts n if and only if $\Theta(n) = (x, y, z)$. We are particularly interested in determining when $\Theta(n) = (0, 0, 1)$. We create this automaton using the Walnut command:

```
def factauto "?lsd_2 $gamma(n) & $a3(n) & ~$a5(n)":
```




Figure 3: Automaton for the parity of α_5




Figure 4: Automaton accepting n if $n!$ cannot be written as a sum of 3 squares

The resulting automaton has 33 states and is pictured in figure 4.

3 Gaps and runs in S and \bar{S}

In this section we investigate the gaps and runs in the sets S and \bar{S} . A gap g exists in S (or \bar{S}) if there are integers x and y which are consecutive elements of S and such that $y - x = g$. A run of length r exists in S (or \bar{S}) if S contains $x, x+1, \dots, x+r-1$ but $x-1$ and $x+r$ are not in S . Since $S \cup \bar{S} = \mathbb{N}$, gaps in S correspond to runs in \bar{S} and vice versa. For example, if S contains a run of size r , then \bar{S} contains a gap of length $r+1$.

In [7], Hajdu and Papp proved that the largest gap between consecutive elements of \bar{S} is 42.

Theorem 3.1. *The gap sizes in S are $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. The gap sizes in \bar{S} are*

$$\{1, 2, 3, \dots, 23, 25, 26, 28, 30, 31, 33, 34, 35, 37, 38, 42\}.$$

Proof. We firstly deal with \bar{S} . Recall the automaton "factauto" which was defined previously. It accepts integers n such that $n!$ cannot be written as a sum of three squares. We use Walnut to define an automaton, which we call "gaps":

```
def gaps "?lsd_2 $factauto(n) & $factauto(n+r) & (Aj (j < r-1) => ~$
factauto(n+ j + 1))":
```

This automaton takes as input the integer pair (n, r) and reaches an accepting state if and only if $n \in \bar{S}$ and the next integer in \bar{S} is $n+r$, i.e. there a gap of length r in \bar{S} starting at n . Since the automaton has 319 states, we will not display it here. The following Walnut command creates an automaton which accepts an integer r if and only if there is a gap of length r somewhere in \bar{S} :

```
eval tmp "?lsd_2 E n $gaps(n, r)":
```

This automaton is displayed in figure 5. A patient reader will see that the automaton accepts the integers listed in the theorem.

We can use the same approach to find all gaps in the set S . We define the automaton "sgaps" which takes as input the integer pair (n, r) and reaches an accepting state if and only if $n \in S$ and the next integer in S is $n+r$, i.e. there a gap of length r in S starting at n .

```
def sgaps "?lsd_2 ~$factauto(n) & ~$factauto(n+r) & (Aj (j < r-1) => $
factauto(n+ j + 1))":
```




Figure 5: Automaton accepting gap lengths in \bar{S}

The resulting automaton has 203 states. The following Walnut command creates an automaton which accepts an integer r if and only if there is a gap of length r somewhere in S :

```
eval tmp "?lsd_2 E n $sgaps(n, r)":
```

This automaton is displayed in figure 6. It is clear that it only accepts the integers 1, 2, 3, 4. \square

Remarks. A gap of 42 in \bar{S} commences at $n = 23268$ as mentioned by Hajdu and Papp. This is the second last gap to appear in the sequence \bar{S} . The last gap to appear in \bar{S} is 33, which commences at $n = 153828 = (100101100011100100)_2$.

Theorem 3.1 is about gaps in the set \bar{S} which contains integers n satisfying $\Theta(n) = (0, 0, 1)$. The same method can be used for other sets defined in terms of Θ (see (9) below). Hajdu and Papp showed that the maximum gap length in any of these sets is 42.

4 A formula for $\bar{S}(2^k)$

In this section we establish a formula for $\bar{S}(2^k)$. The method we use is taken from the papers [4], [11], [13]. Firstly, we use Walnut to obtain a linear representation of $\bar{S}(n)$. Walnut produces matrices M_0 and M_1 and vectors v and w such that, if n has binary representation (n_0, n_1, \dots, n_k) where n_0 is the least significant digit, then

$$\bar{S}(n) = v * M_{n_0} * M_{n_1} * \dots * M_{n_k} * w \quad (4)$$




Figure 6: Automaton accepting gap lengths in S

In the particular case that $n = 2^k$, we have $\bar{S}(2^k) = v * M_0^k * M_1 * w$. The theory of linear recurrences says that there are constants $\{c_i\}$ such that $\bar{S}(2^k)$ can be written as

$$\bar{S}(2^k) = \sum_i c_i \lambda_i^k \quad (5)$$

where $\{\lambda_i\}$ are the roots of the minimal polynomial of M_0 . The constants $\{c_i\}$ can be determined by calculating $\bar{S}(2^k)$ for enough values of k and plugging the values into (5) to obtain a system of linear equations that can be solved.

Theorem 4.1. *For $k \geq 2$,*

$$\bar{S}(2^k) = \begin{cases} 2^{k-3} - 2^{(k-4)/2}, & \text{if } k \equiv \{0, 2, 22\} \pmod{24} \\ 2^{k-3} - 2^{(k-3)/2}, & \text{if } k \equiv \{1, 3\} \pmod{24} \\ 2^{k-3} - 2^{(k-5)/2}, & \text{if } k \equiv 11 \pmod{24} \\ 2^{k-3} + 2^{(k-4)/2}, & \text{if } k \equiv \{14, 16, 18\} \pmod{24} \\ 2^{k-3} + 2^{(k-3)/2}, & \text{if } k \equiv 17 \pmod{24} \\ 2^{k-3} - 3 * 2^{(k-5)/2}, & \text{if } k \equiv 23 \pmod{24} \\ 2^{k-3} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The Walnut command

```
eval sumfact n "?lsd_2 (j>=1) & (j<=n) & $factauto(j)":
```

produces the matrices M_0 and M_1 and the vectors v and w mentioned above. Since the dimensions of these objects are large, we will not display them here. The minimal

polynomial of M_0 is

$$\begin{aligned}
h(x) &= x^{20} - 7 * x^{19} + 20 * x^{18} - 30 * x^{17} + 24 * x^{16} - 40 * x^{14} + 64 * x^{13} - 128 * x^{11} \\
&\quad + 160 * x^{10} - 384 * x^8 + 960 * x^7 - 1280 * x^6 + 896 * x^5 - 256 * x^4 \\
&= (x - 2) * (x - 1) * (x - i - 1) * (x + i - 1) * (x - i\sqrt{2}) * (x + i\sqrt{2}) * \\
&\quad * (x + \sqrt{2}) * (x + (-i/2 + 1/2) * \sqrt{3} + i/2 - 1/2) * \\
&\quad * (x - 1/2 * \sqrt{2} * \sqrt{3} + 1/2 * \sqrt{2}) * (x + 1/2 * \sqrt{2} * \sqrt{3} + 1/2 * \sqrt{2}) * \\
&\quad * (x + (i/2 + 1/2) * \sqrt{3} - i/2 - 1/2) * (x + (-i/2 - 1/2) * \sqrt{3} - i/2 - 1/2) * \\
&\quad * (x - 1/2 * \sqrt{2} * \sqrt{3} - 1/2 * \sqrt{2}) * (x + 1/2 * \sqrt{2} * \sqrt{3} - 1/2 * \sqrt{2}) * \\
&\quad * (x + (i/2 - 1/2) * \sqrt{3} + i/2 - 1/2) * (x - \sqrt{2}) * x^4
\end{aligned}$$

All roots of h , apart from 2 and 1, are of the form $\lambda_i = \sqrt{2} * (\text{a } 24\text{'th root of unity})$. We then have for $0 \leq s < 24$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{S}(2^{24k+s}) &= \sum_j c_j \lambda_j^{24k+s} = c_0 * 2^{24k+s} + c_1 + \sum_{\lambda_j \neq 1,2} c_j \lambda_j^{24k+s} \\
&= c_0 * 2^{24k+s} + c_1 + 2^{12k} * \sum_{\lambda_j \neq 1,2} c_j \lambda_j^s
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

The values of the $\{c_j\}$ can be determined by calculating $\bar{S}(2^k)$ for enough k using (4). We create a matrix A having the same dimension as the number of constants. The j 'th row of A is

$$2^{j+2}, 1, \lambda_2^{j+2}, \lambda_3^{j+2}, \dots$$

Then the vector of constants is given by

$$(c_0, c_1, \dots) = A^{-1} * (\bar{S}(2^2), \bar{S}(2^3), \dots).$$

The calculation gives $c_0 = 1/8$ and $c_1 = 0$. Since we are only interested in the values for c_0 and c_1 , an alternative approach is to use (6) and the calculated values of, say, $\bar{S}(2^3) = 0$, $\bar{S}(2^{27}) = 16773120$ and $\bar{S}(2^{51}) = 281474959933440$ to create three linear equations. The equations are:

$$\begin{aligned}
2^3 * c_0 + c_1 + \tau &= 0 \\
2^{27} * c_0 + c_1 + 2^{12} * \tau &= 16773120 \\
2^{51} * c_0 + c_1 + 2^{24} * \tau &= 281474959933440
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tau = \sum_{\lambda_j \neq 1,2} c_j \lambda_j^3$. These can be solved to give $c_0 = 1/8$, $c_1 = 0$ and $\tau = -1$.

Plugging the values for c_0 and c_1 into (6), we see that, for each $0 \leq s < 24$, the terms $\sum_{\lambda_j \neq 1,2} c_j \lambda_j^s$ satisfy

$$\sum_{\lambda_j \neq 1,2} c_j \lambda_j^s = \bar{S}(2^s) - 2^{s-3}$$

As an example of the calculation that is required for each s , $\bar{S}(2^3) = 0$ so $\sum_{\lambda_j \neq 1,2} c_j \lambda_j^3 = -1$. Hence, if $n \equiv 3 \pmod{24}$, then $\bar{S}(2^n) = 2^{n-3} - 2^{12*\lfloor n/24 \rfloor} = 2^{n-3} - 2^{(n-3)/2}$. Here $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is the floor function. A similar calculation can be made for each s . \square

Theorem 4.2. For $k \geq 5$,

$$\bar{S}(3 * 2^k) = \begin{cases} 3 * 2^{k-3} + 1, & \text{if } k \equiv \{7, 9, 13, 18, 19\} \pmod{24} \\ 3 * 2^{k-3} + 1 - 2^{(k-3)/2}, & \text{if } k \equiv \{1, 3, 5\} \pmod{24} \\ 3 * 2^{k-3} + 1 - 2^{(k-2)/2}, & \text{if } k \equiv \{0, 4, 10\} \pmod{24} \\ 3 * 2^{k-3} + 1 - 2^{(k-4)/2}, & \text{if } k \equiv \{2, 6, 8, 12\} \pmod{24} \\ 3 * 2^{k-3} + 1 - 2^{(k-5)/2}, & \text{if } k \equiv \{11, 23\} \pmod{24} \\ 3 * 2^{k-3} + 1 + 2^{(k-4)/2}, & \text{if } k \equiv 14 \pmod{24} \\ 3 * 2^{k-3} + 1 + 2^{(k-1)/2}, & \text{if } k \equiv 15 \pmod{24} \\ 3 * 2^{k-3} + 1 + 3 * 2^{(k-4)/2}, & \text{if } k \equiv 16 \pmod{24} \\ 3 * 2^{k-3} + 1 + 2^{(k-3)/2}, & \text{if } k \equiv 17 \pmod{24} \\ 3 * 2^{k-3} + 1 - 3 * 2^{(k-4)/2}, & \text{if } k \equiv \{20, 22\} \pmod{24} \\ 3 * 2^{k-3} + 1 - 2^{(k-1)/2}, & \text{if } k \equiv 21 \pmod{24} \end{cases}$$

Proof. From (4),

$$\bar{S}(3 * 2^k) = v * M_0^k * M_1 * M_1 * w$$

We can therefore use the same approach as in theorem 4.1. We have,

$$\bar{S}(3 * 2^k) = \sum_i c_i \lambda_i^k \quad (7)$$

where $\{c_j\}$ are constants and $\{\lambda_j\}$ are the roots of the minimal polynomial of M_0 , which we have already calculated. The constants c_0 and c_1 can be calculated using the values of $\bar{S}(3 * 2^3) = 3$, $\bar{S}(3 * 2^{27}) = 50327553$ and $\bar{S}(3 * 2^{51}) = 844424913354753$ to create three linear equations. The equations are:

$$\begin{aligned} 2^3 * c_0 + c_1 + \tau &= 3 \\ 2^{27} * c_0 + c_1 + 2^{12} * \tau &= 50327553 \\ 2^{51} * c_0 + c_1 + 2^{24} * \tau &= 844424913354753 \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau = \sum_{\lambda_j \neq 1,2} c_j \lambda_j^3$. These can be solved to give $c_0 = 3/8$, $c_1 = 0$ and $\tau = -1$. As before, we have for $0 \leq s < 24$,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{S}(3 * 2^{24k+s}) &= \sum_j c_j \lambda_j^{24k+s} = 3/8 * 2^{24k+s} + 1 + \sum_{\lambda_j \neq 1,2} c_j \lambda_j^{24k+s} \\ &= 3 * 2^{24k+s-3} + 1 + 2^{12k} * \sum_{\lambda_j \neq 1,2} c_j \lambda_j^s \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The formula for $\bar{S}(3 * 2^k)$ can be deduced once (7) is used to calculate $\sum_{\lambda_j \neq 1,2} c_j \lambda_j^s$ for each $0 \leq s < 24$. \square

5 A bound for $\bar{S}(n)$

In this section we establish a best possible bound on $\bar{S}(n)$. We borrow an argument from the paper by Hajdu and Papp [7].

We introduce the following sets for any triple (x, y, z) of binary digits:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{S}_{(x,y,z)} &:= \{n : \Theta(n) = (x, y, z)\}, \\ \bar{S}_{(x,y,z)}(n) &:= \{r \leq n : \Theta(r) = (x, y, z)\}, \\ \bar{S}_{(x,y,z)}(m, n) &:= \{m < r \leq n : \Theta(r) = (x, y, z)\}\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

Then $\bar{S}_{(0,0,1)} = \bar{S}$ and $\bar{S}_{(0,0,1)}(n) = \bar{S}(n)$.

Note that our set $\bar{S}_{(x,y,z)}$ is equivalent to the set $H^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ used by Hajdu and Papp, where $\alpha = x$ and $\beta = 3^y(-1)^z$.

We note that the results from the previous section show that

$$\bar{S}(2^k) = 1/8 * 2^k + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{2^k}) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{S}(3 * 2^k) = 1/8(3 * 2^k) + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{2^k})$$

The same bound can be shown to apply for other sets of numbers defined by $(\gamma, \alpha_3, \alpha_5)$. In summary, as for the case when $(x, y, z) = (0, 0, 1)$, the set $\bar{S}_{(x,y,z)}(n)$ is accepted by an automaton constructed from the automata "gamma", "a3" and "a5" displayed earlier. For example, the set of $\{n : \Theta(n) = (1, 1, 1)\}$ is accepted by the automaton defined by the Walnut command:

```
def factauto111 "?lsl_2 ~$gamma(n) & ~$a3(n) & ~$a5(n)":
```

As before, a linear representation of this automaton in terms of the matrices M_0 and M_1 and the vectors v and w comes from the command:

```
eval sumfact111 n "?lsl_2 (j>=1) & (j<=n) & $factauto111(j)":
```

For all choices of (x, y, z) , the matrix M_0 that Walnut produces is the same as the matrix M_0 that was produced by the automaton "sumfact" that was used in analysing the $\Theta(n) = (0, 0, 1)$ case. All the previous analysis related to the case $\Theta(n) = (0, 0, 1)$ therefore applies to the other choices of (x, y, z) . As a result, the same methods show that, for any (x, y, z) ,

$$\bar{S}_{(x,y,z)}(2^k) = 1/8 * 2^k + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{2^k}) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{S}_{(x,y,z)}(3 * 2^k) = 1/8(3 * 2^k) + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{2^k}). \tag{10}$$

It then follows that, for any choice of (x, y, z) and k ,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{S}_{(x,y,z)}(2^k, 2^{k+1}) &= 1/8 * 2^k + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{2^k}) \\ \bar{S}_{(x,y,z)}(2^{k+1}, 3 * 2^k) &= 1/8 * 2^k + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{2^k}) \\ \bar{S}_{(x,y,z)}(3 * 2^{k-2}, 2^k) &= 1/8 * 2^k + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{2^k}).\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

Lemma 5.1 (Hajdu and Papp Lemma 3.1). *For any integer k with $k \geq 1$, we have $\gamma(t * 2^k + i) = \gamma(i) + \gamma(t * 2^k) \pmod{2}$ for $0 \leq i < 2^k$.*

For the following lemma, we divide the region $[0, 2^s)$ into four equal sized subregions given by:

$$\begin{aligned}I_1 &:= \{i : 0 \leq i < 2^{s-2}\} \\ I_2 &:= \{i : 2^{s-2} \leq i < 2^{s-1}\} \\ I_3 &:= \{i : 2^{s-1} \leq i < 3 * 2^{s-2}\} \\ I_4 &:= \{i : 3 * 2^{s-2} \leq i < 2^s\}.\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

Lemma 5.2 (Hajdu and Papp Lemma 3.3). *Let k be an integer and t an odd integer with $t \geq 1$. Then, for each $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, there exist numbers $c(t, j) \in \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$ such that*

$$3^{\alpha_3(t * 2^k + i)} * (-1)^{\alpha_5(t * 2^k + i)} = c(t, j) * 3^{\alpha_3(i)} * (-1)^{\alpha_5(i)} \pmod{8}$$

for all $i \in I_j$.

Lemma 5.3. *For integers r, s and t satisfying $0 \leq r < s$, $0 \leq t < 2^s$, $2 \nmid t$,*

$$\bar{S}(t * 2^s, t * 2^s + 2^r) = 1/8 * 2^r + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{2^r})$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{S}(t * 2^s, t * 2^s + 2^r) &= \#\{i : 0 \leq i < 2^r, \Theta(t * 2^s + i) = (0, 0, 1)\} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^4 \#\{i \in I_j : \Theta(t * 2^s + i) = (0, 0, 1)\}\end{aligned}$$

where I_j are the regions defined in (12). From lemma 5.1, if $0 \leq i < 2^s$,

$$\Theta_1(t * 2^s + i) = 0 \iff \Theta_1(i) = -\Theta_1(t * 2^s)$$

From lemma 5.2, if $i \in I_j$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\Theta_2(t * 2^s + i), \Theta_3(t * 2^s + i)) &= (0, 1) \\ \iff c(t, j) * 3^{\alpha_3(i)} * (-1)^{\alpha_5(i)} &\equiv -1 \pmod{8} \\ \iff (\Theta_2(i), \Theta_3(i)) &= (d_2(t, j), d_3(t, j)) \end{aligned}$$

for some $d_1, d_2 \in \{0, 1\}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{S}(t * 2^s, t * 2^s + 2^r) &= \sum_{j=1}^4 \#\{i \in I_j : \Theta(i) = (-\Theta_1(t * 2^k), d_2(t, j), d_3(t, j))\} \\ &= \bar{S}_{(x,y,z)_1}(2^{r-2}) + \bar{S}_{(x,y,z)_2}(2^{r-2}, 2^{r-1}) + \\ &\quad + \bar{S}_{(x,y,z)_3}(2^{r-1}, 3 * 2^{r-2}) + \bar{S}_{(x,y,z)_4}(3 * 2^{r-2}, 2^r) \\ &= 4 * (1/8 * 2^{r-2} + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{2^r})) \\ &= 1/8 * 2^r + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{2^r}). \end{aligned}$$

where $(x, y, z)_j = (-\Theta_1(t * 2^k), d_2(t, j), d_3(t, j))$ for $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and we have used (11). \square

The argument in the following theorem comes from theorem 2.3 in the paper by Hajdu and Papp.

Theorem 5.4. $\bar{S}(n) = 1/8 * n + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})$

Proof. We first note that (10) implies that for any (x, y, z) ,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{S}_{(x,y,z)}(2^{k-2}, 2^{k-1}) &= 1/8 * 2^{k-2} + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{2^k}) \\ \bar{S}_{(x,y,z)}(2^{k-1}, 3 * 2^{k-2}) &= 1/8 * 2^{k-2} + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{2^k}) \\ \bar{S}_{(x,y,z)}(3 * 2^{k-2}, 2^k) &= 1/8 * 2^{k-2} + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{2^k}). \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Let n be an integer with binary representation $n = \sum_1^j 2^{f_i}$, where $f_1 > \dots > f_j \geq 0$. Then,

$$\bar{S}(n) = \bar{S}(2^{f_1}) + \bar{S}(2^{f_1}, 2^{f_1} + 2^{f_2}) + \dots + \bar{S}(2^{f_1} + \dots + 2^{f_{j-1}}, 2^{f_1} + \dots + 2^{f_j})$$

Any of the terms above can be written as

$$\bar{S}(2^{f_1} + \dots + 2^{f_{l-1}}, 2^{f_1} + \dots + 2^{f_j}) = \bar{S}(t * 2^{f_l}, t * 2^{f_l} + 2^{f_{l+1}})$$

where $t < 2^{f_l}$ is odd. Since,

$$\bar{S}(t * 2^{f_l}, t * 2^{f_l} + 2^{f_{l+1}}) = 1/8 * 2^{f_{l+1}} + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{2^{f_{l+1}}})$$

by lemma 5.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{S}(n) &= \sum_{l=1}^j 1/8 * 2^{f_l} + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{2}^{f_l}) \\ &= 1/8 * n + \mathcal{O}((\sqrt{2}^{f_1+1} - 1)/(\sqrt{2} - 1)) \\ &= 1/8 * n + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{2}^{f_1}).\end{aligned}$$

Since $f_1 \leq \log_2(n)$ we have

$$\bar{S}(n) = 1/8 * n + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n}).$$

□

References

- [1] Rob Burns. Factorials and Legendre’s three-square theorem. *arXiv*, 2021. 1, 2, 3
- [2] Jean-Marc Deshouillers and Florian Luca. How often is $n!$ a sum of three squares? In *The Legacy of Alladi Ramakrishnan in the Mathematical Sciences*, pages 243–251. Springer New York, 2010. 1
- [3] Chen Fei Du, Hamoon Mousavi, Eric Rowland, Luke Schaeffer, and Jeffrey Shallit. Decision algorithms for fibonacci-automatic words, ii: Related sequences and avoidability. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 657:146–162, 2017. 2
- [4] Chen Fei Du, Hamoon Mousavi, Luke Schaeffer, and Jeffrey Shallit. Decision algorithms for fibonacci-automatic words, III: Enumeration and abelian properties. *International Journal of Foundations of Computer Science*, 27(08):943–963, dec 2016. 6
- [5] Daniel Goč, Hamoon Mousavi, and Jeffrey Shallit. On the number of unbordered factors. In *Language and Automata Theory and Applications*, pages 299–310. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2013. 2
- [6] Andrew Granville and Yiliang Zhu. Representing binomial coefficients as sums of squares. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 97(6):486–493, 1990. 2
- [7] L. Hajdu and A. Papp. On asymptotic density properties of the sequence $n!$ *Acta Arithmetica*, 184(4):317–340, 2018. 1, 2, 5, 10
- [8] Hamoon Mousavi. Automatic theorem proving in Walnut. *arXiv*, 2016. 2
- [9] Hamoon Mousavi, Luke Schaeffer, and Jeffrey Shallit. Decision algorithms for fibonacci-automatic words, i: Basic results. *RAIRO Theor. Informatics Appl.*, 50:39–66, 2016. 2

- [10] Narad Rampersad and Jeffrey Shallit. Congruence properties of combinatorial sequences via walnut and the rowland-yassawi-zeilberger automaton. *arXiv*, 2021. [2](#)
- [11] Jeffrey Shallit. Sumsets of wythoff sequences, fibonacci representation, and beyond. *arXiv*, 2020. [6](#)
- [12] Jeffrey Shallit. Frobenius numbers and automatic sequences. *arXiv*, 2021. [2](#)
- [13] Jeffrey Shallit. Intertwining of complementary thue-morse factors. *arXiv*, 2022. [6](#)
- [14] The Sage Developers. *SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 8.2)*, 2018. <https://www.sagemath.org>. [2](#)