

Normed Fiber Functors

Paul Ziegler*

July 8, 2022

Abstract

By Goldman-Iwahori, the Bruhat-Tits building of the general linear group GL_n over a local field ℓ can be described as the set of non-archimedean norms on ℓ^n . Via a Tannakian formalism, we generalize this picture to a description of the Bruhat-Tits building of an arbitrary reductive group G over ℓ as the set of norms on the standard fiber functor of a special parahoric integral model of G . We also give a moduli-theoretic description of the Bruhat-Tits group scheme associated to a point of the building as the group scheme of tensor automorphisms of the lattice chains defined by the corresponding norm.

1 Introduction

In the theory of reductive groups over non-archimedean fields, Bruhat-Tits buildings are the analogues of symmetric spaces in the theory of real Lie groups. Given a reductive group G over a local field ℓ , the (extended) Bruhat-Tits building $B(G)$ is a polysimplicial complex with a $G(\ell)$ -action obtained by gluing affine spaces \mathbb{R}^n , the so-called apartments of $B(G)$, along hyperplanes determined by the root system of G . This $G(\ell)$ -set $B(G)$ encodes a lot of information about the group structure of $G(\ell)$ and its open compact subgroups. It was constructed by Bruhat and Tits at a large level of generality in [BT72] and [BT84a].

A second important aspect of this theory are the so-called Bruhat-Tits group schemes. These are natural smooth integral models of G over the ring of integers ℓ° of ℓ whose set of ℓ° -points is equal to the stabilizer in $G(\ell)$ of a point of $B(G)$, which play an important role for example in the theory of Shimura varieties or in the representation theory of reductive groups over local fields.

Before the work of Bruhat and Tits, the building $B(GL_n)$ was defined by Goldman-Iwahori in [GI63] as the set of non-archimedean norms $\alpha: \ell^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$. For such a norm $\alpha \in B(GL_n)$ and an element $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$, let $V^{\alpha \leq \gamma} := \{v \in V \mid \alpha(v) \leq \gamma\}$. Then the Bruhat-Tits group scheme associated to α has a moduli-theoretic description as the scheme of automorphisms of the lattice chain $(V^{\alpha \leq \gamma})_{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}}$ in V .

In this article we generalize this picture of $B(GL_n)$ as a set of norms from the general linear group to arbitrary reductive groups via the Tannakian formalism. This extends previous work of Wilson [Wil10] and Cornut [Cor20], by which this article was heavily inspired. For various classical groups, a description of $B(G)$ of this kind was already given by Bruhat and Tits in [BT84b] and [BT87].

Normed Fiber Functors The basic idea is to describe a point in $B(G)$ by specifying a non-archimedean norm on each finite-dimensional representation of G in a way which is functorial and compatible with tensor products. Since the category $\mathbf{Norm}^\circ(\ell)$ of finite-dimensional normed vector spaces over ℓ is only ℓ° -linear and not ℓ -linear, to achieve this

*TU Munich, paul.ziegler@ma.tum.de

functoriality, we need to work with a category of representations which is only ℓ° -linear as well. Because of this, we work with the category $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ of dualizable representations of a suitable smooth affine integral model \mathcal{G} of G over ℓ° . In the language of buildings, this choice of an integral model corresponds to the choice of a base point in $B(G)$. We denote by \mathbf{Vec}_ℓ the category of ℓ -vector spaces and consider a fiber functor $\omega: \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{Vec}_\ell$.

Definition 1.1. A norm on ω consists of norms α_X on $\omega(X)$ for each $X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ which are functorial in X and compatible with tensor products.

The above description of the Bruhat-Tits group schemes for $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$ naturally generalizes to the following construction: To a norm $\alpha = (\alpha_X)_{X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}}$, we associate the *stabilizer group scheme* $\underline{\mathrm{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)$ over ℓ° whose R -valued sections for some ℓ° -algebra R are given by R -linear automorphisms of $\omega(X)^{\alpha_X \leq \gamma} \otimes_{\ell^\circ} R$ for varying $X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ which are functorial in X and γ and compatible with tensor products. This moduli functor is representable by an affine group scheme with generic fiber G .

For a vector space V over ℓ equipped with a norm α , a *splitting basis* of (V, α) is a basis (e_i) of V such that $\alpha(\sum_i \lambda_i e_i) = \max_i |\lambda_i| \alpha(e_i)$. Such a basis always exists over a local field ℓ . We generalize this to a notion of *splittability* for norms on a fiber functor which plays a key technical role in our analysis of normed fiber functors. Then our main result on normed fiber functors is the following:

Theorem 1.2 (c.f. Theorem 3.58). *Let \mathcal{G} be a smooth affine group scheme over ℓ° and $\alpha = (\alpha_X)_{X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}}$ a norm on a fiber functor $\omega: \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{Vec}_\ell$.*

- (i) *The group scheme $\underline{\mathrm{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)$ is smooth over ℓ° .*
- (ii) *The norm α is splittable.*

The Tannakian formalism for $B(G)$ Using Theorem 1.2, we can then give the desired Tannakian description of $B(G)$ for a reductive group G over ℓ : We take \mathcal{G} to be the parahoric integral model of G associated to a special point of $B(G)$. (So e.g. if G is split over ℓ , then \mathcal{G} is a reductive integral model of G .) Then we consider the “tensorial building” $B^\otimes(\mathcal{G})$ given by the set of norms on the standard fiber functor $\omega_\mathcal{G}: \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{Vec}_\ell$. The group $G(\ell) = \underline{\mathrm{Aut}}^\otimes(\omega_\mathcal{G})(\ell)$ acts naturally on $B^\otimes(\mathcal{G})$ via its action on $\omega(X)$ for each $X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$.

Theorem 1.3 (c.f. Theorem 4.16). (i) *There is a natural $G(\ell)$ -equivariant bijection*

$$c: B^\otimes(\mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\sim} B(G).$$

- (ii) *For each norm $\alpha \in B^\otimes(\mathcal{G})$, the group scheme $\underline{\mathrm{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)$ is the Bruhat-Tits group scheme associated to the point $c(\alpha) \in B(G)$.*

For a more precise description of the bijection c , see Theorem 4.16. For split G , the map from Theorem 1.3 was already constructed in [Cor20, Theorem 132] as an injection $B(G) \hookrightarrow B^\otimes(\mathcal{G})$.

The proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 proceed by reduction to a normed overfield m of ℓ for which the norm map $m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ is surjective. Over such a field, all norms take a very simple form: Let $\pi \in \ell$ be a uniformizer. Any ℓ° -lattice L in a vector space V over ℓ induces a norm α_L on V determined by $\alpha_L(\lambda v) = |\lambda|$ for all $v \in L \setminus \pi L$. If the norm map on the ground field is surjective, then any norm on a vector space is of this form, and consequently any norm on a fiber functor is determined by an integral model of this fiber functor. Using this fact, over such a large field m , the above results can be verified, and to prove them over ℓ , we investigate how the group scheme $\underline{\mathrm{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)$ changes when α is replaced by its base change to m .

Structure of the paper In Sections 2 (resp. 3) we develop in parallel our results on normed vector spaces (resp. on normed fiber functors):

In Subsections 2.2 and 3.3 we define the group schemes $\underline{\text{Aut}}^{\otimes}(\alpha)$ and prove their representability.

Next we treat the notion of splittability. Given a norm on a fiber functor, we cannot find splitting bases of the norms on all the vector spaces $\omega(X)$ which are functorial and compatible with tensor products. Hence the notion of a splitting basis of a normed vector space (V, α) cannot be directly generalized to norms on fiber functors. Because of this, in Subsection 2.3 we introduce two variants of this notion: The first (c.f. Definition 2.20) is given by pair (L, χ) consisting of an ℓ° -lattice $L \subset V$ together with a homomorphism $D_{\ell^{\circ}}^{\mathbb{R}^{>0}} \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ from the diagonalizable group scheme $D^{\mathbb{R}^{>0}}$ with character group $\mathbb{R}^{>0}$, and the second is given by a homomorphism $D_{\ell^{\circ}}^{\mathbb{R}^{>0}} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}^{\otimes}(\alpha)$ over ℓ° . These are then generalized to normed fiber functors in Subsection 3.4.

In Subsections 2.4 and 3.5, we consider the behaviour of our norms under base change: If α is a norm on a vector space or a fiber functor over ℓ and m is some valued overfield of ℓ , there is a natural base change α_m of α to m which comes with a base change homomorphism

$$b^{m/\ell}: \underline{\text{Aut}}^{\otimes}(\alpha)_{m^{\circ}} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}^{\otimes}(\alpha_m)$$

over m° (c.f. Constructions 2.33 and 3.36). The generic fiber of $b^{m/\ell}$ is an isomorphism. The special fiber of $b^{m/\ell}$ is more complicated, and understanding its behaviour is crucial for the proof of our main results. If $|m^*| = \mathbb{R}^{>0}$, then the image of $b_{\bar{\ell}}^{m/\ell}$ is equal to the centralizer of a canonical homomorphism

$$\chi^{m/\ell}: D_{\bar{m}}^{\mathbb{R}^{>0}/|\ell^*|} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}^{\otimes}(\alpha)_{\bar{m}}$$

(c.f. Constructions 2.39 and 3.39).

To understand the kernel of $b_{\bar{m}}^{m/\ell}$, in Subsections 2.5 and 3.6 we introduce and study a natural filtration of $\underline{\text{Aut}}^{\otimes}(\alpha)_{\bar{\ell}}$ by certain unipotent subgroups.

Then in Subsection 3.7, we combine all of the above to obtain our main result on normed fiber functors, Theorem 3.58. In addition to the facts already stated in Theorem 1.2, this contains a number of facts about the structure of the special fiber $\underline{\text{Aut}}^{\otimes}(\alpha)_{\bar{\ell}}$.

Finally, in Section 4, we use our results on normed fiber functors to give in Theorem 4.16 our Tannakian description of Bruhat-Tits buildings.

Acknowledgements The author thanks Cristophe cornut for pointing out a mistake in a previous version of this article. The author is also grateful to Christophe Cornut, Martin Gallauer, Daniel Schappi, Eva Viehmann and Torsten Wedhorn for helpful conversations on the subject of this article. The author acknowledges support by the ERC in form of Eva Viehmann’s Consolidator Grant 770936: Newton Strata and by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through the Collaborative Research Centre TRR 326 ”Geometry and Arithmetic of Uniformized Structures”, project number 444845124.

2 Normed Vector Spaces

2.1 Normed Vector Spaces

We fix throughout a totally ordered abelian group Γ which we write multiplicatively. By a *non-archimedean field* we mean a field ℓ equipped with a non-archimedean norm $|\cdot|: \ell \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{0\}$. For such a field, we denote by $\ell^{\leq \gamma}$ (resp. $\ell^{< \gamma}$) the subset of elements of norm $\leq \gamma$ (resp. of norm $< \gamma$). By a *non-archimedean extension* m of ℓ we mean an overfield m of ℓ equipped with a norm $|\cdot|: m \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{0\}$ extending the norm on ℓ . We fix a non-archimedean field ℓ .

Definition 2.1. (i) A *norm* on a vector space V over ℓ is a function $\alpha: V \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{0\}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) $\alpha(v) = 0$ if and only if $v = 0$
- (b) $\alpha(\lambda v) = |\lambda|\alpha(v)$ for all $\lambda \in \ell$ and $v \in V$.
- (c) $\alpha(v + w) \leq \max(\alpha(v), \alpha(w))$ for all $v, w \in V$.
- (ii) A *normed vector space* over ℓ is a vector space V over ℓ together with a norm on V .
- (iii) A bounded homomorphism $h: (V, \alpha) \rightarrow (W, \beta)$ of normed vector spaces over ℓ is a ℓ -linear map satisfying $\beta(h(v)) \leq \alpha(v)$ for all $v \in V$.
- (iv) For a normed vector space (V, α) over k and some $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we denote by $V^{\alpha \leq \gamma} \subset V$ (resp. $V^{\alpha < \gamma} \subset V$) the ℓ° -submodule of elements of norm $\leq \gamma$ (resp. $< \gamma$).
- (v) For any ℓ° -algebra R and any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we denote the R -module $V^{\alpha \leq \gamma} \otimes_{\ell^\circ} R$ by $V_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma}$.
- (vi) Given a norm α on V and an element $g \in \text{GL}(V)$, we let $g \cdot \alpha$ be the norm $v \mapsto \alpha(g^{-1}v)$ on V .

Definition 2.2. A bounded homomorphism $h: (V, \alpha) \rightarrow (W, \beta)$ of normed vector spaces over ℓ is *strict* if the image norm on $h(V)$ induced from V coincides with the subspace norm induced from W , that is if

$$\beta(w) = \min\{\alpha(v) \mid v \in h^{-1}(w)\}$$

for all $w \in W$.

Definition 2.3. Let (V, α) be a normed vector space over ℓ .

- (i) A basis $(v_i)_{i \in I}$ of V *splits* the norm α on V if

$$\alpha\left(\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i v_i\right) = \max_{i \in I} |\lambda_i| \alpha(v_i)$$

for all tuples $(\lambda_i)_{i \in I} \in \ell^I$.

- (ii) The norm α is *splittable* if there exists a basis of V which splits α .

Definition 2.4. (i) We denote by $\text{Norm}^\circ(\ell)$ the category whose objects are finite-dimensional ℓ -vector spaces equipped with a splittable norm, and whose morphisms are bounded homomorphisms of such vector spaces.

- (ii) We denote by $\text{forg}: \text{Norm}^\circ(\ell) \rightarrow \text{Vec}_\ell$ the forgetful functor to the category of vector spaces over ℓ .

Equipping $\text{Norm}^\circ(\ell)$ with the class of sequences consisting of strict morphisms which are short exact as sequences of ℓ -vector spaces makes it into a ℓ° -linear exact category.

Lemma 2.5. Let $(V_1, \alpha_1) \rightarrow (V_2, \alpha_2) \rightarrow (V_3, \alpha_3)$ be an exact sequence in $\text{Norm}^\circ(\ell)$. Then for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V_1^{\alpha_1 \leq \gamma} \rightarrow V_2^{\alpha_2 \leq \gamma} \rightarrow V_3^{\alpha_3 \leq \gamma} \rightarrow 0$$

of ℓ° -modules is exact.

Proof. This follows from the fact the α_3 (resp. α_1) is the image norm (resp. subspace norm) induced by α_2 . \square

Lemma 2.6. Consider objects $(V, \alpha), (W, \beta) \in \text{Norm}^\circ(\ell)$.

- (i) The formula

$$(\alpha \otimes \beta)(z) = \min \left\{ \max_j \alpha(v_j) \beta(w_j) \mid v_j \in V, w_j \in W, z = \sum_j v_j \otimes w_j \right\}$$

defines a norm on $V \otimes W$.

(ii) If $(e_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ (resp. $(f_j)_{1 \leq j \leq m}$) is a splitting basis of (V, α) (resp. (W, β)), then $(e_i \otimes f_j)_{i,j}$ is a splitting basis of $(V \otimes W, \alpha \otimes \beta)$.

Proof. Let (e_i) and (f_j) be as in (ii). Given an object $\sum_j v_j \otimes w_j$ in $V \otimes W$, one checks that the minimum in (i) exists by expressing the v_j in terms of the e_i and the w_j in terms of the f_i . Then one readily verifies (i) and (ii). \square

This makes $\mathbf{Norm}^\circ(\ell)$ into a tensor category. Similarly one checks:

Lemma 2.7. *Let $(V, \alpha) \in \mathbf{Norm}^\circ(\ell)$.*

(i) *The formula*

$$\alpha^*(\varphi) := \max \{ |\varphi(v)| / \alpha(v) \mid v \in V \setminus \{0\} \}$$

defines a norm α^ on V^* .*

(ii) *The dual basis of any splitting basis for α is a splitting basis for α^* .*

(iii) *The object $(V^*, \alpha^*) \in \mathbf{Norm}^\circ(\ell)$ is dual to (V, α) in the tensor category $\mathbf{Norm}^\circ(\ell)$.*

So altogether $\mathbf{Norm}^\circ(\ell)$ is an ℓ° -linear exact rigid tensor category. For objects $(V, \alpha), (W, \beta) \in \mathbf{Norm}^\circ(\ell)$, we denote by

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\alpha, \beta) := (V^* \otimes W)^{\alpha^* \otimes \beta \leq 1}$$

the module of bounded homomorphisms $(V, \alpha) \rightarrow (W, \beta)$. We also write $\mathrm{End}(\alpha)$ for the ℓ° -algebra $\mathrm{Hom}(\alpha, \alpha)$.

Construction 2.8. Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over k and $L \subset V$ an ℓ° -lattice. Then we obtain norm α_L on V by

$$\alpha_L(v) := \max_{\lambda \in \ell: \lambda x \in L} |\lambda|^{-1}.$$

This norm satisfies $V^{\alpha_L \leq 1} = L$ and takes values in $|\ell|$.

Conversely:

Lemma 2.9. *If $(V, \alpha) \in \mathbf{Norm}^\circ(\ell)$ satisfies $\alpha(V) \subset |\ell|$, then $\alpha = \alpha_L$ for the lattice $L = V^{\alpha \leq 1}$.*

Proof. For $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$, let $\lambda \in \ell^*$ be an element with $\alpha(v) = |\lambda|$. Then for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ we have $\alpha(v) \leq \gamma$ if and only if $\alpha(\lambda^{-1}v) \leq 1$. \square

2.2 Stabilizer Groups

We fix an object $(V, \alpha) \in \mathbf{Norm}^\circ(\ell)$.

Definition 2.10. For an ℓ° -algebra R , we let $\underline{\mathrm{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)(R)$ be the set of tuples $(\varphi^\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) For each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the object φ^γ is an R -linear automorphism of the R -module $V_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma}$.
- (ii) For all $\gamma \leq \delta$ in Γ , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma} & \longrightarrow & V_R^{\alpha \leq \delta} \\ \varphi^\gamma \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi^\delta \\ V_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma} & \longrightarrow & V_R^{\alpha \leq \delta}, \end{array}$$

in which the horizontal arrows are the base change to R of the inclusion

$$V^{\alpha \leq \gamma} \hookrightarrow V^{\alpha \leq \delta},$$

commutes.

(iii) For all $\lambda \in \ell^*$ and all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma} & \longrightarrow & V_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma|\lambda|} \\ \varphi^\gamma \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi^{\gamma|\lambda|} \\ V_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma} & \longrightarrow & V_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma|\lambda|}, \end{array}$$

in which the horizontal arrows are the base change to R of the isomorphism

$$\omega(X)^{\alpha \leq \gamma} \xrightarrow{\sim} \omega(X)^{\alpha \leq \gamma|\lambda|}, \quad v \mapsto \lambda v,$$

commutes.

Under termwise composition, the set $\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)(R)$ is naturally a group.

For a homomorphism $R \rightarrow S$ of ℓ° -algebras, there is a natural base change homomorphism $\underline{\text{Aut}}(\alpha)(R) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}(\alpha)(S)$. So we obtain a group presheaf $\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)$ on the category of affine schemes over ℓ° .

Proposition 2.11. (i) *The presheaf $\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)$ is a sheaf for the fpqc-topology.*

(ii) *Let R be an ℓ° -algebra for which the R -modules $V_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma}$ are locally free of finite type for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then $\underline{\text{Aut}}(\alpha)_R$ is representable by an affine group scheme of finite type over R .*

Proof. Claim (i) follows from descent theory.

For (ii), let $(e_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be a splitting basis of (V, α) . Then the norm $\alpha(v)$ of any element $v \in V$ lies in $\alpha(e_i)|\ell^*|$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. Using this, one checks that the natural homomorphism

$$\underline{\text{Aut}}(\alpha)_R \rightarrow \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \text{GL}(V_R^{\alpha \leq \alpha(e_i)})$$

is representable by a closed immersion. \square

Lemma 2.12. *Proposition 2.11 (ii) in particular applies for $R = \ell^\circ$ in case ℓ° is a discrete valuation ring and always for $R = \ell$ or $R = \tilde{\ell}$.*

Proof. Let (e_i) be a splitting basis of (V, α) . For $\gamma \in \Gamma$, this induces an isomorphism

$$V_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma} \cong \bigoplus_i \ell^{\leq \gamma/\alpha(e_i)} \otimes_{\ell^\circ} R.$$

So it suffices to check that for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the R -module $\ell^{\leq \gamma} \otimes_{\ell^\circ} R$ is finitely generated and free. In the first two cases this is immediate. For $R = \tilde{\ell}$, this follows from the fact that $\ell^{\leq \gamma}$ is either free of rank one over ℓ° or satisfies $\ell^{\circ\circ} \ell^{\leq \gamma} = \ell^{\leq \gamma}$. \square

Lemma 2.13. *Assume that for each element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ there exists an element $x \in \ell^*$ satisfying $|x| \leq \gamma$.*

(i) *For each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ the inclusion $V^{\alpha \leq \gamma} \hookrightarrow V$ induces an isomorphism $V_\ell^{\alpha \leq \gamma} \cong V$.*

(ii) *For varying γ , the isomorphisms from (i) induce an isomorphism $\underline{\text{Aut}}(\alpha)_\ell \cong \text{GL}(V)$.*

Proof. A splitting basis $(e_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ of (V, α) gives identifications

$$V^{\alpha \leq \gamma} \cong \bigoplus_i \ell^{\leq \gamma/\alpha(e_i)}$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. The assumption ensures that all submodules $\ell^{\leq \gamma/\alpha(e_i)}$ are not zero. This implies (i). Then (ii) follows from the definition of $\underline{\text{Aut}}(\alpha)$. \square

We also give a different description of $\underline{\text{Aut}}(\alpha)$:

Construction 2.14. We consider the ring $\text{End}(\alpha) = (V \otimes V^*)^{\alpha \leq 1}$ of bounded endomorphisms of V with respect to α . For an element $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the evaluation map $V \otimes V^* \otimes V \rightarrow V$, $v \otimes h \otimes w \mapsto h(w)v$ restricts to a morphism

$$\text{End}(\alpha) \otimes V^{\alpha \leq \gamma} = (V \otimes V^*)^{\alpha \leq 1} \otimes V^{\alpha \leq \gamma} \rightarrow V^{\alpha \leq \gamma}.$$

For an ℓ° -algebra R , via the base change of this morphism to R , any element of $\text{End}(\alpha)_R^* := (\text{End}(\alpha)_R)^*$ acts naturally on $V_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma}$. For varying γ , these actions satisfy the conditions from Definition 2.10 and hence give a homomorphism

$$(2.15) \quad \text{End}(\alpha)_R^* \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}(\alpha)(R).$$

Proposition 2.16. *For any ℓ° -algebra R , the homomorphism (2.15) is an isomorphism.*

Proof. We fix a splitting basis (e_i) of (V, α) .

For an ℓ -linear endomorphism φ of V we consider the coefficients $a_{ij} \in \ell$ given by $h(e_i) = \sum_j a_{ij} e_j$ for all i . Then φ is in $\text{End}(\alpha)$ if and only if $|a_{ij}| \leq \alpha(e_i)/\alpha(e_j)$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. This gives an identification

$$(2.17) \quad \text{End}(\alpha) \cong \bigoplus_{i,j} \ell^{\leq \alpha(e_i)/\alpha(e_j)}$$

We construct an inverse of (2.15) as follows: Let $\varphi = (\varphi^\gamma)_\gamma \in \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)(R)$ for some ℓ° -algebra R . For any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the basis (e_1, \dots, e_n) gives an identification

$$V_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma} \cong \bigoplus_i \ell^{\leq \gamma/\alpha(e_i)} \otimes_{\ell^\circ} R.$$

We fix an index i and take $\gamma = \alpha(e_i)$. We consider the element $e_i \otimes 1 \in V_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma}$ and use the above identification to write its image $\varphi^\gamma(e_i \otimes 1)$ under φ as a tuple $(a_{ij} \otimes r_j)_j$ with elements $a_{ij} \in \ell^{\leq \alpha(e_i)/\alpha(e_j)}$ and $r_j \in R$.

These tuples $(a_{ij} \otimes r_j)_j$ for all i completely determine φ : Indeed let $\gamma \in \Gamma$ be arbitrary and $\lambda \in \ell$ with $\lambda e_i \in V_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma}$. Then $\lambda e_i \otimes 1 \in V_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma}$ is the image of $e_i \otimes 1 \in V_R^{\alpha \leq \alpha(e_i)}$ under the composition

$$V_R^{\alpha \leq \alpha(e_i)} \xrightarrow{v \mapsto \lambda v} V_R^{\alpha \leq |\lambda| \alpha(e_i)} \rightarrow V_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma}.$$

Under the identification (2.17), for varying i these tuples give an element $h \in \text{End}(\alpha)_R$. Using the above one checks that this element h is independent of the basis (e_i) and is an element of $\text{End}(\alpha)_R^*$. Now one checks that this construction gives an inverse homomorphism to the homomorphism in question. \square

2.3 Splittings

We give two variants of the notion of a splitting basis of a normed vector space:

For an abelian group A , we denote by D^A the diagonalizable group scheme over \mathbb{Z} with character group A . So for any ring R and any R -module M , a representation of D_R^A on M is the same as a direct sum decomposition $M = \bigoplus_{w \in A} M^w$ into weight spaces M^w .

Construction 2.18. Let V be a finite-dimensional ℓ -vector space. To an ℓ° -lattice $L \subset V$ together with homomorphism

$$\chi: D_{\ell^\circ}^\Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}(L)$$

over ℓ° , we associate a norm $\alpha_{L, \chi}$ on V as follows:

The homomorphism χ induces weight decompositions $L = \bigoplus_{w \in \Gamma} L^w$ and $V = \bigoplus_{w \in \Gamma} V^w$. By Construction 2.8, each lattice $L^w \subset V^w$ induces a norm α_{L^w} on V^w . Now we let

$$\alpha_{L, \chi} \left(\sum_{w \in \Gamma} v_w \right) = \max_{w \in \Gamma} (\alpha_{L^w}(v_w) w)$$

for all finite sums $\sum_{w \in \Gamma} v_w \in V$ with each v_w in V^w . This defines a norm on V .

Lemma 2.19. (i) In the situation of Construction 2.18, the norm $\alpha_{L,\chi}$ is splittable.

(ii) Every splittable norm α on $V \in \mathbf{Vec}_\ell$ is equal to the norm $\alpha_{L,\chi}$ for suitable L and χ .

Proof. (i) By construction, a basis of L formed out of bases of the L^w splits $\alpha_{L,\chi}$.

(ii) Let (e_1, \dots, e_n) be a splitting basis of (V, α) . We take L to be the ℓ° -lattice spanned by (e_1, \dots, e_n) and $\chi: D_{\ell^\circ}^\Gamma \rightarrow GL(L)$ the homomorphism which acts with weight $\alpha(e_i)$ on each e_i . Then $\alpha = \alpha_{L,\chi}$. \square

This motivates the following definition:

Definition 2.20. Let α be a norm on a finite-dimensional vector space V over ℓ . A pair (L, χ) as in Construction 2.18 *splits* α if $\alpha = \alpha_{L,\chi}$.

Now we fix $(V, \alpha) \in \mathbf{Norm}^\circ(\ell)$. We also give the following notion of a splitting of (V, α) which doesn't involve a lattice:

Definition 2.21. Let R be a ℓ° -algebra and consider a homomorphism $\chi: D_R^\Gamma \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}(\alpha)_R$ or $D_R^{\Gamma/|\ell^*|} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}(\alpha)_R$. For each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the group sheaf $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}(\alpha)_R$ acts naturally on $V_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma} / V_R^{\alpha < \gamma}$. We say that χ *splits* α over R if for all such γ , all weights of χ on $V_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma} / V_R^{\alpha < \gamma}$ are in $\gamma|\ell^*|$.

Remark 2.22. (i) Since $V_\ell^{\alpha \leq \gamma} = V_\ell^{\alpha < \gamma}$, this notion is only interesting over ℓ° -algebras R which have non-empty special fiber.

(ii) Since $V^{\alpha \leq \gamma} / V^{\alpha < \gamma}$ is an $\tilde{\ell}$ -module, in the situation of Definition 2.21, the homomorphism χ splits (V, α) over R if and only if $\chi_{R \otimes_{\ell^\circ} \tilde{\ell}}$ splits (V, α) over $R \otimes_{\ell^\circ} \tilde{\ell}$.

These two notions of a splitting are equivalent in the following sense:

Proposition 2.23. For a homomorphism $\chi: D_\ell^\Gamma \rightarrow GL(V)$ the following are equivalent:

- (i) There exists an ℓ° lattice $L \subset V$ together with an extension $\chi_1: D_{\ell^\circ}^\Gamma \rightarrow GL(L)$ of χ for which the pair (L, χ_1) splits α .
- (ii) The homomorphism χ extends to a homomorphism $\chi_2: D_{\ell^\circ}^\Gamma \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}(\alpha)$ which splits α over ℓ° .

If this is the case, then such objects χ_1 , χ_2 and L are uniquely determined by the above.

Proof. Let $V = \bigoplus_{w \in \Gamma} V^w$ be the weight decomposition given by χ .

(i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let (L, χ_1) be as in (i) and $L = \bigoplus_{w \in \Gamma} L^w$ the associated weight decomposition. Then, for $\gamma \in \Gamma$, Construction 2.18 implies

$$V^{\alpha \leq \gamma} = \bigoplus_{w \in \Gamma} (V^w)^{\alpha \leq \gamma}.$$

These decompositions are functorial in γ and hence give an extension $\chi_2: D_{\ell^\circ}^\Gamma \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}(\alpha)$ of χ . For $v \in V^w$, we find $\alpha(v) = \alpha_{L^w}(v) \in |\ell^*|w$. Hence χ_2 splits α over ℓ° . The fact that $V^{\alpha \leq \gamma}$ is contained in V implies that such an extension χ_2 is unique if it exists.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): We reverse the above argument: If χ_2 exists, then the induced weight decomposition of $V^{\alpha \leq \gamma}$ is given by

$$V^{\alpha \leq \gamma} = \bigoplus_{w \in \Gamma} (V^w \cap V^{\alpha \leq \gamma}).$$

This shows that there is a direct sum decomposition

$$(V, \alpha) = \bigoplus_{w \in \Gamma} (V^w, \alpha|_{V^w})$$

of normed vector spaces. For each $w \in \Gamma$ we choose a basis $(e_i^w)_i$ of V^w which splits $\alpha|_{V^w}$. The fact that χ splits α over ℓ° implies that for each w and i there exists an element $x_i^w \in \ell^*$ for which

$$(2.24) \quad \alpha(e_i^w) = w|x_i^w|.$$

We consider the ℓ° -lattice L in V spanned by the elements $((x_i^w)^{-1}e_i^w)_{i,w}$. Then (2.24) says that (L, χ) splits α . Along the same lines one checks that L and χ_1 are uniquely determined. \square

For later use we note the following facts:

Lemma 2.25. *Let $L \subset V$ be a ℓ° -lattice, $\chi: D_{\ell^\circ}^\Gamma \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}(\alpha)$ a homomorphism and $g \in \text{GL}(V)$. We consider ${}^g\chi$ as a homomorphism $D_{\ell^\circ}^\Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}(g \cdot L)$. Then $\alpha_{g \cdot L, {}^g\chi} = g \cdot \alpha_{L, \chi}$.*

Proof. This follows directly from Construction 2.18. \square

Lemma 2.26. *Let $\chi: D^{\Gamma/|\ell^*|} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}(\alpha)$ be a homomorphism which splits α over ℓ° . For $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we write the weight decomposition of $V^{\alpha \leq \gamma}$ induced by χ as*

$$V^{\alpha \leq \gamma} = \bigoplus_{[\mu] \in \Gamma/|\ell^*|} (V^{\alpha \leq \gamma})^{[\mu]}.$$

For each $\delta \in \Gamma$ satisfying $c_\ell < \delta \leq 1$, the inclusion $V^{\alpha \leq \delta\gamma} \hookrightarrow V^{\alpha \leq \gamma}$ restricts to an isomorphism

$$(2.27) \quad (V^{\alpha \leq \delta\gamma})^{[\delta\gamma]} \xrightarrow{\sim} (V^{\alpha \leq \gamma})^{[\delta\gamma]}.$$

Proof. Let $v \in (V^{\alpha \leq \gamma})^{[\delta\gamma]}$. Then, by the functoriality of the weight decomposition, the element v lies in $(V^{\alpha \leq \alpha(v)})^{[\delta\gamma]}$. Since χ splits α , this implies $\alpha(v) \in [\delta\gamma]$. Hence there exists an element $x \in \ell^*$ such that $\alpha(v) = |x|\delta\gamma$. Then $|x|\delta\gamma \leq \gamma$ and hence $|x| \leq 1/\delta$. Since $c_\ell < \delta$, this implies $|x| \leq 1$. Hence $\alpha(v) \leq \delta\gamma$ and so (2.27) is surjective. Since this map is automatically injective, it is an isomorphism. \square

Lemma 2.28. *Let R be a ℓ° -algebra and $\mathcal{T} \subset \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_R$ a split closed subtorus. There exists at most one homomorphism $\chi: D_R^{\Gamma/|\ell^*|} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ which splits α over R .*

Proof. For $w \in X_*(\mathcal{T})$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, consider the weight decomposition as

$$V_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma} = \bigoplus_{w \in X_*(\mathcal{T})} (V_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma})^w$$

given by the action of \mathcal{T} on $V^{\alpha \leq \gamma}_R$.

Any homomorphism $\chi: D_R^{\Gamma/|\ell^*|} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ is uniquely determined by the weights $\langle \chi, w \rangle \in \Gamma/|\ell^*|$ with which it acts on the modules $(V_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma})^w$ for all $w \in X_*(\mathcal{T})$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Assume that such a χ splits α over R and let $v \in (V_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma})^w$ be a non-zero element for some γ and w . Using a splitting basis of (V, α) one checks, that there exists a minimal $\gamma' \leq \gamma$ for which v lies in the image of $(V_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma'})^w \rightarrow (V_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma})^w$. Then $\langle \chi, w \rangle = \gamma'/|\ell^*|$ by Definition 2.21. This determines χ uniquely. \square

2.4 Base change of normed vector spaces

We fix $(V, \alpha) \in \text{Norm}^\circ(\ell)$ and a non-archimedean field $\ell \subset m$. The following is shown in the same way as Lemma 2.6:

Lemma 2.29. (i) *The formula*

$$\alpha_m(w) = \min \left\{ \max_i |\lambda_i| |\alpha(v_i)| \mid w = \sum_i v_i \otimes \lambda_i \text{ with } v_i \in V, \lambda_i \in m \right\}$$

defines a norm α_m on V_m which restricts to α on V .

(ii) *The image in V_m of any splitting basis of α is a splitting basis of α_m .*

(iii) *This construction defines an exact ℓ° -linear tensor functor*

$$\text{Norm}^\circ(\ell) \rightarrow \text{Norm}^\circ(m)$$

For an m° -algebra R and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we will generally denote the R -module $(V_m)^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma} \otimes_{m^\circ} R$ by $V_R^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma}$. (By contrast, note that by our convention from above, we write $V_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma}$ for the R -module $V^{\alpha \leq \gamma} \otimes_{\ell^\circ} R$.)

Construction 2.30. For an element $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we construct a chain complex

$$(2.31) \quad \left(\bigoplus_{\sigma, \sigma' \in \Gamma, \sigma' < \sigma} V^{\alpha \leq \gamma/\sigma} \otimes_{\ell^\circ} m^{\leq \sigma'} \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Gamma, \lambda \in \ell^*} V^{\alpha \leq \gamma/\sigma} \otimes_{\ell^\circ} m^{\leq \sigma} \right) \\ \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Gamma} V^{\alpha \leq \gamma/\sigma} \otimes_{\ell^\circ} m^{\leq \sigma} \rightarrow V_m^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma} \rightarrow 0$$

as follows:

The first arrow is given on each summand with $\sigma' < \sigma$ by the inclusion

$$V^{\alpha \leq \gamma/\sigma} \otimes_{\ell^\circ} m^{\leq \sigma'} \rightarrow \left(V^{\alpha \leq \gamma/\sigma'} \otimes_{\ell^\circ} m^{\leq \sigma'} \right) \oplus \left(V^{\alpha \leq \gamma/\sigma} \otimes_{\ell^\circ} m^{\leq \sigma} \right), \\ x \mapsto (x, -x)$$

and on each summand with $\sigma \in \Gamma$ and $\lambda \in m^*$ by the inclusion

$$V^{\alpha \leq \gamma/\sigma} \otimes_{\ell^\circ} m^{\leq \sigma} \rightarrow \left(V^{\alpha \leq \gamma/\sigma} \otimes_{\ell^\circ} m^{\leq \sigma} \right) \oplus \left(V^{\alpha \leq \gamma/\sigma|\lambda|} \otimes_{\ell^\circ} m^{\leq \sigma|\lambda|} \right) \\ v \otimes x \mapsto (v \otimes x, -(v/\lambda) \otimes (\lambda x)).$$

The second arrow is given by addition in V_ℓ .

Lemma 2.32. *In the situation of Construction 2.30, the sequence (2.31) is exact.*

Proof. Using a splitting basis of (V, α) , one reduces the claim to the case $\dim V = 1$. In this case the claim follows by a direct verification. \square

Construction 2.33. For any m° -algebra R , the base changes of the right exact sequence (2.31) to R for varying $\gamma \in \Gamma$ induce a natural homomorphism

$$\underline{\text{Aut}}(\alpha)(R) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}(\alpha_m)(R).$$

For varying R these give a base change morphism

$$(2.34) \quad b^{m/\ell}: \underline{\text{Aut}}(\alpha)_{m^\circ} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}(\alpha_m).$$

From now on we assume that either $|m^*| = \Gamma$ or that $|\ell^*|$ is dense in $|m^*|$ with respect to the order topology. This assumption on $\ell \subset m$ ensures the following:

Lemma 2.35. *Given elements $\gamma_1 \in |\ell^*|$ and $\gamma_2 \in \Gamma$ with $\gamma_1 < \gamma_2$, there exists an element $\gamma_3 \in |m^*|$ with $\gamma_1 < \gamma_3 \leq \gamma_2$.*

Lemma 2.36. *For all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ the following inclusion holds:*

$$V^{\alpha < \gamma} \otimes_{\ell^\circ} m^\circ \subset m^{\circ\circ} V_m^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma}$$

If $|\ell^|$ is dense in $|m^*|$, then this inclusion is an equality.*

Proof. Using a splitting basis of (V, α) , one reduced to the case $(V, \alpha) = (\ell, | \cdot |)$. In this case the claim follows from Lemma 2.35. \square

Definition 2.37. Let μ and γ be elements of Γ . We denote the class $\mu|\ell^*| \in \Gamma/|\ell^*|$ by $[\mu]$ and let

$$\text{gr}_{[\mu]}^{\ell/m}(V_m^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma}) \subset V_m^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma}$$

be the image of the composition

$$V^{\alpha \leq \mu} \otimes m^{\leq \gamma/\mu} \hookrightarrow V^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma} \twoheadrightarrow V_m^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma}.$$

(Note that this image only depends on $[\mu]$.)

Proposition 2.38. (i) *The submodules $\text{gr}_{[\mu]}^{\ell/m}(V_m^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma})$ give a $\Gamma/|\ell^*|$ -grading of $V_m^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma}$.*

- (ii) With respect to the gradings from (i), for each $x \in m^*$, the isomorphism $V_{\tilde{m}}^{\alpha \leq \gamma} \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{\tilde{m}}^{\alpha \leq \gamma |x|}$ induced by multiplication by x is an isomorphism of graded vector spaces.
- (iii) Given a second normed vector space $(W, \alpha) \in \mathbf{Norm}^\circ(\ell)$ and an element $\gamma' \in \Gamma$, we consider the natural morphism

$$V_{\tilde{m}}^{\alpha \leq \gamma} \otimes_{\tilde{m}} W_{\tilde{m}}^{\alpha \leq \gamma'} \rightarrow (V \otimes W)_{\tilde{m}}^{\alpha \leq \gamma \gamma'},$$

whose source we equip with the tensor product of the gradings from (i). This is a morphism of graded vector spaces.

Proof. (i) Using a splitting basis of V one reduced to the case that V is one-dimensional. In this case, let $\mu \in \Gamma$ be an element for which there exist elements $v \in V^{\alpha \leq \mu}$ and $x \in m^{\leq \gamma/\mu}$ for which the image of $x \otimes v$ in $V_{\tilde{m}}^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma}$ is non-zero. Then Lemma 2.36 implies $\alpha(v) = \mu$. Since the norms of non-zero elements of V form a single class $[\mu_0] \in \Gamma/|\ell^*|$, this shows that $\text{gr}_{[\mu_0]}^{m/\ell}(V_{\tilde{m}}^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma}) = V_{\tilde{m}}^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma}$ and $\text{gr}_{[\mu]}^{m/\ell}(V_{\tilde{m}}^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma}) = 0$ for all $[\mu] \in \Gamma/|\ell^*|$ different from $[\mu_0]$. This proves (i).

(ii) and (iii) follow directly from the definition of the grading. \square

Construction 2.39. For each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the grading from Proposition 2.38 (i) corresponds to a homomorphism $D_{\tilde{m}}^{\Gamma/|\ell^*|} \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_{\tilde{m}}^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma})$. Proposition 2.38 (ii) implies that these homomorphisms fit together to a homomorphism

$$\chi^{m/\ell}: D_{\tilde{m}}^{\Gamma/|\ell^*|} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)_{\tilde{m}}.$$

Proposition 2.40. Let R be a m° -algebra and $\varphi \in \underline{\text{Aut}}(\alpha_m)(R)$. We denote the ring $R \otimes_{m^\circ} \tilde{m}$ by \tilde{R} .

- (i) If φ is in the image of the base change homomorphism (2.34), then the image of φ in $\underline{\text{Aut}}(\alpha_m)(\tilde{R})$ centralizes the homomorphism

$$\chi_{\tilde{R}}^{m/\ell}: D_{\tilde{R}}^{\Gamma/|\ell^*|} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}(\alpha_m)_{\tilde{R}}.$$

- (ii) Assume that $|\ell^*|$ is dense in $|m^*|$ and that R is flat over ℓ° . Then the converse of (i) holds as well.

Proof. Note that a section of $\underline{\text{Aut}}(\alpha_m)(\tilde{R})$ centralizes $\chi_{\tilde{R}}^{m/\ell}$ if and only if its action on all $V_{\tilde{R}}^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma}$ preserves the grading which defines $\chi_{\tilde{R}}^{m/\ell}$. Using this, claim (i) follows from the definition of these gradings.

For (ii), note that under the given assumptions, by Lemma 2.36 for all elements $\mu \leq \gamma$ there is the following chain of inclusions:

$$m^\circ V_R^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma} \subset (V^{\alpha \leq \mu} \otimes_{\ell^\circ} m^{\leq \gamma/\mu}) \otimes_{m^\circ} R \subset V_R^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma}$$

This shows that an R -linear automorphism of $V_R^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma}$ preserves $(V^{\alpha \leq \mu} \otimes_{\ell^\circ} m^{\leq \gamma/\mu}) \otimes_{m^\circ} R$ if and only if it preserves the submodule $\text{gr}_{[\mu]}^{m/\ell}(V_{\tilde{m}}^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma})_{\tilde{R}}$ of $V_{\tilde{R}}^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma}$. This implies (ii). \square

From now on we assume that $|m^*| = \Gamma$.

Lemma 2.41. Let $\chi: D_{\ell^\circ}^{\Gamma/|\ell^*|} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}(\alpha)$ be a homomorphism which splits α over ℓ° . For $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we write the weight decomposition of $V^{\alpha \leq \gamma}$ induced by χ as

$$V^{\alpha \leq \gamma} = \bigoplus_{[\mu] \in \Gamma/|\ell^*|} (V^{\alpha \leq \gamma})^{[\mu]}.$$

We also let $\chi' := b^{m/\ell} \circ \chi: D_{\ell^\circ}^\Gamma \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}(\alpha_m)$ and again write the associated weight decompositions as

$$V^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma} = \bigoplus_{[\mu] \in \Gamma/|\ell^*|} (V^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma})^{[\mu]}.$$

For all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the inclusion $V^{\alpha \leq \gamma} \otimes m^\circ \hookrightarrow V^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma}$ restricts to an isomorphism

$$(2.42) \quad (V^{\alpha \leq \gamma})^{[\gamma]} \otimes m^\circ \xrightarrow{\sim} (V^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma})^{[\gamma]}.$$

Proof. Let $v \in (V^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma})^{[\gamma]}$. We write v as $\sum_{i=1}^m v_i \otimes x_i$ for some elements $v_i \in V$ and $x_i \in \ell$ satisfying $|x_i| \alpha(v_i) \leq \gamma$. After decomposing each v_i into its homogenous components and throwing away the components not of degree $[\gamma]$, we may assume that each v_i lies in $(V^{\alpha \leq \alpha(v_i)})^{[\gamma]}$. Then the fact that χ splits α implies that $\alpha(v_i) \in [\gamma]$ for all i . Hence after replacing each $v_i \otimes x_i$ by $v_i x \otimes x_i/x$ for a suitable $x \in \ell^*$, we may assume that $\alpha(v_i) = \gamma$ for all i . Then the x_i are in m° and the decomposition $v = \sum_i v_i \otimes x_i$ gives a preimage of v in $(V^{\alpha \leq \gamma})^{[\gamma]}$. This shows that (2.42) is surjective. Since it is automatically injective, it is thus an isomorphism. \square

Proposition 2.43. (i) A homomorphism $\chi: D_{\tilde{m}}^{\Gamma/|\ell^*|} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}(\alpha)_{\tilde{m}}$ splits α over \tilde{m} if and only if $b_{\tilde{m}}^{m/\ell} \circ \chi = \chi^{m/\ell}$.

(ii) If a homomorphism $\chi: D_{m^\circ}^{\Gamma/|\ell^*|} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}(\alpha)_{m^\circ}$ splits α over \tilde{m} , then $b^{m/\ell}$ restricts to an isomorphism

$$\text{Cent}_{\underline{\text{Aut}}(\alpha)_{m^\circ}}(\chi) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Cent}_{\underline{\text{Aut}}(\alpha_m)}(b^{\ell/m} \circ \chi).$$

Proof. (i) First we claim that for $\mu \in \Gamma$, the inclusion $V^{\alpha \leq \mu} \hookrightarrow V^{\alpha_m \leq \mu}$ induces an isomorphism

$$(2.44) \quad (V^{\alpha \leq \mu} / V^{\alpha < \mu}) \otimes_{\tilde{\ell}} \tilde{m} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{gr}_{[\mu]}^{m/\ell}(V_{\tilde{m}}^{\alpha_m \leq \mu}).$$

Using a splitting basis this claim can be reduced to the case $\dim(V) = 1$, where it follows from a direct verification. So $\chi_{\tilde{m}}$ acts on the source of (2.44) with weight $[\mu]$ if and only if it does on the target of (2.44). This statement translates to claim (i).

(ii) We use the notation from Lemmas 2.26 and 2.41. For elements $\delta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ satisfying $c_\ell < \delta \leq 1$, let $x \in m$ be an element of norm δ . Then (2.27), (2.42) and multiplication by x give us the following isomorphisms which are functorial in γ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (V^{\alpha \leq \delta\gamma})^{[\delta\gamma]} & & (V^{\alpha_m \leq \delta\gamma})^{[\delta\gamma]} \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow v \rightarrow xv \\ (V^{\alpha \leq \gamma})^{[\delta\gamma]} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & (V^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma})^{[\delta\gamma]} \end{array}$$

For an m° -algebra R , giving a section of $\text{Cent}_{\underline{\text{Aut}}(\alpha)_{m^\circ}}(\chi)(R)$ (resp. $\text{Cent}_{\underline{\text{Aut}}(\alpha_m)}(b^{m/\ell} \circ \chi)$) amounts to giving R -linear automorphisms of all $(V^{\alpha \leq \gamma})^{[\mu]}$ (resp. of all $(V^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma})^{[\mu]}$) which are functorial in γ . One checks that via the above isomorphisms, these two data are equivalent. \square

2.5 The subgroups $U_\delta(\alpha) \subset \underline{\text{Aut}}(\alpha)_{\tilde{\ell}}$

Definition 2.45. Let $(V, \alpha) \in \text{Norm}^\circ(\ell)$, let $\gamma \in \Gamma$, let $\delta \in \Gamma^{\leq 1}$ and let R be a \tilde{R} -algebra.

(i) We let

$$F^{\leq \delta}(V_{\tilde{\ell}}^{\alpha \leq \gamma}) \subset V_{\tilde{\ell}}^{\alpha \leq \gamma}$$

be the image of the homomorphism

$$V^{\alpha \leq \delta\gamma} \hookrightarrow V^{\alpha \leq \gamma} \twoheadrightarrow V_{\tilde{\ell}}^{\alpha \leq \gamma}.$$

(ii) We denote the base change $F^{\leq \delta}(V_{\tilde{\ell}}^{\alpha \leq \gamma})_R$ by $F^{\leq \delta}(V_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma})$.

(iii) We also let

$$F^{< \delta}(V_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma}) := \cup_{\delta' < \delta} F^{\leq \delta'}(V_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma}) \subset V_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma}$$

and

$$\text{gr}^\delta(V_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma}) := F^{\leq \delta}(V_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma}) / F^{< \delta}(V_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma}).$$

Lemma 2.46. Let $(V, \alpha) \hookrightarrow (W, \alpha)$ be a strict monomorphism in $\text{Norm}^\circ(\ell)$. Then

$$F^{\leq \delta}(V_{\tilde{\ell}}^{\alpha \leq \gamma}) = V_{\tilde{\ell}}^{\alpha \leq \gamma} \cap F^{\leq \delta}(W_{\tilde{\ell}}^{\alpha \leq \gamma})$$

inside $W_{\tilde{\ell}}^{\alpha \leq \gamma}$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\delta \in \Gamma^{\leq 1}$.

Proof. Let (X, α) be the cokernel of $V \rightarrow W$ in $\text{Norm}^\circ(\ell)$. We consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} V^{\alpha \leq \delta \gamma} \cap \ell^{\circ \circ} V^{\alpha \leq \gamma} & \longrightarrow & W^{\alpha \leq \delta \gamma} \cap \ell^{\circ \circ} W^{\alpha \leq \gamma} & \longrightarrow & X^{\alpha \leq \delta \gamma} \cap \ell^{\circ \circ} X^{\alpha \leq \gamma} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V^{\alpha \leq \delta \gamma} & \longrightarrow & W^{\alpha \leq \delta \gamma} & \longrightarrow & X^{\alpha \leq \delta \gamma} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V_{\tilde{\ell}}^{\alpha \leq \gamma} & \longrightarrow & W_{\tilde{\ell}}^{\alpha \leq \gamma} & \longrightarrow & X_{\tilde{\ell}}^{\alpha \leq \gamma} \end{array}$$

Here the middle row is exact and the top row is the kernel of the morphism between the two bottom rows. One checks that the top right horizontal morphism is surjective. Using this, a diagram chase proves the claim. \square

Definition 2.47. We let

$$I_\ell := \{\gamma \in \Gamma^{\leq 1} \mid \forall x \in \ell^{\circ \circ} : |x| < \gamma\}.$$

This is a convex subset of Γ with maximal element 1. If $\Gamma = \mathbb{R}$, then $I_\ell = \{1\}$ if $|\ell^*|$ is dense in Γ , and $I_\ell = (|\pi|, 1]$ for a generator π of $\ell^{\circ \circ}$ otherwise.

Lemma 2.48. Let $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\delta \in \Gamma^{< 1}$.

(i) If $\delta \in I_\ell$, then the surjection $V^{\alpha \leq \delta \gamma} \rightarrow F^{\leq \delta}(V_{\tilde{\ell}}^{\alpha \leq \gamma})$ factors through an isomorphism

$$V^{\alpha \leq \delta \gamma} / V^{\alpha < \delta \gamma} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{gr}^\delta(V_{\tilde{\ell}}^{\alpha \leq \gamma}).$$

(ii) If $\delta \notin I_\ell$, then $F^{\leq \delta}(V_{\tilde{\ell}}^{\alpha \leq \gamma}) = 0$.

Proof. (i) By the definition of $\text{gr}^\delta(V_{\tilde{\ell}}^{\alpha \leq \gamma})$, the composition

$$(2.49) \quad V^{\alpha \leq \delta \gamma} \rightarrow F^{\leq \delta}(V_{\tilde{\ell}}^{\alpha \leq \gamma}) \rightarrow \text{gr}^\delta(V_{\tilde{\ell}}^{\alpha \leq \gamma})$$

maps $V^{\alpha < \delta \gamma}$ to zero. Let v be in the kernel of (2.49). Then there exists an element $x \in \ell^{\circ \circ}$ with $\alpha(v/x) \leq \gamma$. Then $\alpha(v) = |x|\alpha(v/x) \leq |x|\gamma < \delta \gamma$. So the kernel of (2.49) is equal to $V^{\alpha < \delta \gamma}$.

(ii) If $\delta \notin I_\ell$, then there exists an element $x \in \ell^{\circ \circ}$ for which $\delta \leq |x|$. This implies that the morphism $V^{\alpha \leq \delta \gamma} \rightarrow V_{\tilde{\ell}}^{\alpha \leq \gamma}$ is zero. \square

Definition 2.50. For an $\tilde{\ell}$ -algebra R , we let $U_{\leq \delta}(\alpha)(R) \subset \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)(R)$ be the set of elements $\varphi \in \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)(R)$, for which for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $v \in V_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma}$ the element $\varphi(v) - v$ lies in $F^{\leq \delta}(V_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma})$.

These subsets are functorial in R and so we obtain a sub-presheaf $U_{\leq \delta}(\alpha) \subset \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{\ell}}$.

We also define a sub-presheaf $U_{< \delta}(\alpha) \subset \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{\ell}}$ by $U_{< \delta}(\alpha) := \text{colim}_{\mu < \delta} U_{\leq \mu}(\alpha)$.

Proposition 2.51. Let $\delta \in \Gamma^{\leq 1}$.

(i) The presheaves $U_{\leq \delta}(\alpha)$ and $U_{< \delta}(\alpha)$ are representable by closed subgroup schemes of $\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{\ell}}$.

(ii) There is an element $\mu < \delta$ of Γ for which $U_{<\delta}(\alpha) = U_{\leq\mu}(\alpha)$.

Proof. To see that $U_\delta(\alpha)$ is a subgroup functor, note that

$$(\varphi\varphi' - \text{id}) = (\varphi - \text{id})\varphi' + (\varphi' - \text{id})$$

for all sections φ, φ' of this sub-functor.

To show that $U_\delta(\alpha)$ is representable, one uses Proposition 2.11 (iii) to reduce to the analogous claim for a single module $V_{\tilde{\ell}}^{\alpha \leq \gamma}$, which can be readily verified.

Since $\underline{\text{Aut}}(\alpha)_{\tilde{\ell}}$ is of finite type over $\tilde{\ell}$ by Proposition 2.11, it follows that there is an element $\mu < \delta$ of Γ for which $U_{<\delta}(\alpha) = U_{\leq\mu}(\alpha)$. Hence this presheaf is representable as well. \square

Note that in particular $U_{\leq 1}(\alpha) = \underline{\text{Aut}}(\alpha)_{\tilde{\ell}}$.

Lemma 2.52. Let $\delta, \delta' \in \Gamma^{\leq 1}$.

(i) The commutator of $U_{\leq\delta}(\alpha)$ and $U_{\leq\delta'}(\alpha)$ is contained in $U_{\leq\delta\delta'}(\alpha)$.

(ii) The commutator of $U_{\leq\delta}(\alpha)$ and $U_{<\delta'}(\alpha)$ is contained in $U_{<\delta\delta'}(\alpha)$.

Proof. For sections g of $U_{\leq\delta}(\alpha)$ and h of $U_{\leq\delta'}(\alpha)$ we can write

$$ghg^{-1}h^{-1} = gh((g^{-1}h^{-1} - \text{id}) - (h^{-1}g^{-1} - \text{id})).$$

Using this one deduces the claim. \square

Definition 2.53. Let $\delta \in \Gamma^{\leq 1}$. By Lemma 2.52, the group scheme $U_{<\delta}(\alpha)$ is normal in $U_{\leq\delta}(\alpha)$. Hence we may consider the quotient scheme

$$\text{gr}_\delta \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{\ell}} := U_{\leq\delta}(\alpha)/U_{<\delta}(\alpha).$$

The following shows that for $\delta < 1$, the group schemes $\text{gr}_\delta \underline{\text{Aut}}(\alpha)_{\tilde{\ell}}$ powers of the additive group scheme over $\tilde{\ell}$ and hence that $U_{<1}(\alpha)$ is a split unipotent group scheme. Here, for a vector space W over some field K , we denote by $\mathbb{V}(W)$ the associated vector group scheme with $\mathbb{V}(W)(K) = W$.

Proposition 2.54. Let $\delta \in \Gamma^{<1}$ and let R be a $\tilde{\ell}$ -algebra.

(i) For an element $g \in \underline{\text{Aut}}(\alpha)(R)$, let $\tilde{u} \in \text{End}(\alpha)_R^*$ be the element corresponding to u under the isomorphism (2.15). The map

$$U_{\leq\delta}(R) \rightarrow \text{gr}^\delta(\text{End}(\alpha)_R) = F^{\leq\delta}(\text{End}(\alpha)_R)/F^{<\delta}(\text{End}(\alpha)_R)$$

which sends $u \in U_{\leq\delta}(\alpha)(R)$ to the class $(\tilde{u} - \text{id}) + F^{<\delta}(\text{End}(\alpha)_R)$ is a group homomorphism with respect to the additive group structure on the target.

(ii) The homomorphism from (i) has kernel $U_{<\delta}(\alpha)(R)$.

(iii) The induced homomorphism

$$U_\delta(\alpha)(R)/U_{<\delta}(\alpha)(R) \rightarrow \text{gr}^\delta(\text{End}(\alpha)_R)$$

is an isomorphism.

(iv) Hence we obtain an isomorphism

$$\text{gr}_\delta \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{\ell}} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}(\text{gr}^\delta(\text{End}(\alpha)_{\tilde{\ell}}))$$

of group schemes over $\tilde{\ell}$.

Proof. Using the definition of (2.15), one checks that an element $g \in \underline{\text{Aut}}(\alpha)(R)$ lies in $U_\delta(\alpha)(R)$ if and only if the corresponding element \tilde{g} satisfies $g - \text{id} \in F^{\leq \delta}(\text{End}(\alpha)_R)$.

(i) For $u, u' \in U_{< \delta}(R)$ we find

$$(\tilde{u}\tilde{u}' - \text{id}) - ((\tilde{u} - \text{id}) + (\tilde{u}' - \text{id})) = (\tilde{u} - \text{id})(\tilde{u}' - \text{id}) \in F^{\leq \delta^2}(\text{End}(\alpha)_R).$$

(ii) This follows from the the above description of $U_{< \delta}(\alpha)(R)$.

(iii) We already know from (ii) that this homomorphism is injective. Let $e \in F^{\leq \delta}(\text{End}(\alpha)_R)$. Since $e \cdot F^{\leq \delta'}(\text{End}(\alpha)_R) \subset F^{\leq \delta\delta'}(\text{End}(\alpha)_R)$ for all $\delta' \in \Gamma^{\leq 1}$, the element e of $\text{End}(\alpha)_R$ is nilpotent. Hence $1 + e \in \text{End}(\alpha)_R^* \cong \underline{\text{Aut}}(\alpha)(R)$. This element $1 + e$ gives a preimage in $U_{\leq \delta}(\alpha)(R)$ of the class of e in $\text{gr}^\delta(\text{End}(\alpha)_R)$. So the homomorphism in (iii) is surjective.

(iv) The isomorphism in (iii) is functorial in R . Hence the presheaf $R \mapsto U_\delta(\alpha)(R)/U_{< \delta}(\alpha)(R)$ is a sheaf. This sheaf is then canonically isomorphic to $\text{gr}_\delta \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{\ell}}$. This gives (iv). \square

Let now m be a non-archimedean extension of ℓ satisfying $|m^*| = \Gamma$.

Lemma 2.55. *For $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the composition*

$$V^{\alpha \leq \gamma} \otimes_{\ell^\circ} m^\circ \hookrightarrow V^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma} \rightarrow V_{\tilde{m}}^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma}$$

has kernel $V^{\alpha < \gamma} \otimes_{\ell^\circ} m^\circ + V^{\alpha \leq \gamma} \otimes_{\ell^\circ} m^{\circ\circ}$.

Proof. Using a splitting basis of (V, α) , one reduces to the case $\dim(V) = 1$. Then the claim can be readily verified. \square

Proposition 2.56. *Let $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\delta \in I_\ell$. For any $x \in m$ of norm δ , the composition*

$$(2.57) \quad V^{\alpha \leq \delta\gamma} \otimes m^\circ \hookrightarrow V^{\alpha_m \leq \delta\gamma} \xrightarrow{v \mapsto (1/x)v} V^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma} \rightarrow V_{\tilde{m}}^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma}$$

induces a bijection

$$\text{gr}^\delta(V_{\tilde{m}}^{\alpha \leq \gamma}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{gr}_{[\delta\gamma]}^{m/\ell}(V_{\tilde{m}}^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma}).$$

Proof. Since $(1/x)(V^{\alpha \leq \delta\gamma} \otimes m^\circ) = V^{\alpha \leq \delta\gamma} \otimes m^{1/\delta}$ inside V_m , the composition (2.57) has image $\text{gr}_{[\delta\gamma]}^{m/\ell}(V_{\tilde{m}}^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma})$.

By Lemma 2.55, this composition has kernel

$$V^{\alpha < \delta\gamma} \otimes m^\circ + V^{\alpha \leq \delta\gamma} \otimes m^{\circ\circ}.$$

Hence it factors through $(V^{\alpha \leq \delta\gamma}/V^{\alpha < \delta\gamma}) \otimes \tilde{m}$, which is canonically isomorphic to $\text{gr}^\delta(V_{\tilde{m}}^{\alpha \leq \gamma})$ by Lemma 2.48. \square

3 Normed Fiber Functors

3.1 Group Schemes over Valuation Rings

We collect some facts about affine group schemes. Presumably none of these are new, but in some cases we give a proof because we could not find a suitable reference.

Let first R be a ring and \mathcal{G} a flat affine group scheme over R whose regular representation can be written as a colimit of dualizable representations. We denote by $\text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ the exact R -linear category of dualizable representations of \mathcal{G} over R and assume that the inclusion of $\text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ into the category $\text{Rep} \mathcal{G}$ of all representations of \mathcal{G} over R identifies the latter with the ind-category of the former.

For an R -algebra R' , a fiber functor on $\text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ over R' is an exact R -linear tensor functor $\text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{S'}$. We denote by $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}: \text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R$ the standard fiber functor (i.e. the forgetful functor).

Definition 3.1. Let R' be an R -algebra.

- (i) Let $\underline{\text{End}}(\omega_{\mathcal{G}})(R')$ be the R' -module of natural transformations $\omega_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{G}}$ from the fiber functor $\omega_{\mathcal{G},R'}: \mathbf{Rep}^{\circ} \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_{R'}$ to itself.
- (ii) Let $\underline{\text{End}}^{\otimes}(\omega_{\mathcal{G}})(R')$ be the submodule of $\underline{\text{End}}(\omega_{\mathcal{G}})(R')$ consisting of those natural transformations h such that for all X and Y in $\mathbf{Rep}^{\circ} \mathcal{G}$, the associated endomorphism $h_{X \otimes Y}$ of $\omega(X \otimes Y)_{R'}$ is equal to $h_X \otimes \text{id}_{\omega_{\mathcal{G}}(Y)_{R'}} + \text{id}_{\omega_{\mathcal{G}}(X)_{R'}} \otimes h_Y$.
- (iii) We endow $\underline{\text{End}}^{\otimes}(\omega_{\mathcal{G}})(R')$ with the natural conjugation action of $\mathcal{G}(R') = \underline{\text{Aut}}^{\otimes}(\omega_{\mathcal{G}})(R')$.
- (iv) These constructions are naturally functorial in R' , and so we obtain presheaves $\underline{\text{End}}^{\otimes}(\omega_{\mathcal{G}}) \subset \underline{\text{End}}(\omega_{\mathcal{G}})$ with an action of \mathcal{G} .
- (v) Let $\rho_{\mathcal{G}} \in \mathbf{Rep} \mathcal{G}$ be the regular representation and $I_{\mathcal{G}} \subset \rho_{\mathcal{G}}$ its augmentation ideal.

Theorem 3.2. *There exists a natural isomorphism between the group scheme $\mathbb{V}(\text{Lie}(\mathcal{G}))$ associated to the Lie algebra $\text{Lie}(\mathcal{G}) = \text{Hom}(I_{\mathcal{G}}/I_{\mathcal{G}}^2, R)$ of \mathcal{G} and the sheaf $\underline{\text{End}}^{\otimes}(\omega_{\mathcal{G}})$. This isomorphism is equivariant with respect to the above \mathcal{G} -action on $\underline{\text{End}}^{\otimes}(\omega_{\mathcal{G}})$ and the adjoint action of \mathcal{G} on $\text{Lie}(\mathcal{G})$.*

Proof. Let R' be an R -algebra. By [SR72, II.2.1.1.2], there is a natural isomorphism

$$(3.3) \quad \text{Hom}_R(\rho_{\mathcal{G}}, R') \cong \underline{\text{End}}(\omega_{\mathcal{G}})(R')$$

which sends a R' -linear map $\lambda: \rho_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow R'$ to the endomorphism h_{λ} of $\omega_{\mathcal{G},R'}$ which for $X \in \mathbf{Rep} \mathcal{G}$ acts on $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}(X)_{R'}$ as the composition of the coaction $\sigma_{X,R'}: \omega_{\mathcal{G}}(X)_{R'} \rightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{G}}(X)_{R'} \otimes \rho_{\mathcal{G},R'}$ and the homomorphism $\text{id}_{\omega_{\mathcal{G}}(X)_{R'}} \otimes \lambda: \omega_{\mathcal{G}}(X)_{R'} \otimes \rho_{\mathcal{G},R'} \rightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{G}}(X)_{R'}$. Under this isomorphism, the identity endomorphism of $\omega_{\mathcal{G},R'}$ corresponds to the counit $\epsilon: \rho_{\mathcal{G},R'} \rightarrow R'$.

The endomorphism h_{λ} is in $\underline{\text{End}}^{\otimes}(\omega_{\mathcal{G}})(R')$ if and only if for all $X, Y \in \mathbf{Rep}^{\circ} \mathcal{G}$, the outer part of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \omega_{\mathcal{G}}(X)_{R'} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{G}}(Y)_{R'} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_X \otimes \sigma_Y} & (\omega_{\mathcal{G}}(X)_{R'} \otimes \rho_{\mathcal{G},R'}) \otimes (\omega_{\mathcal{G}}(Y)_{R'} \otimes \rho_{\mathcal{G},R'}) \\
 \downarrow \sigma_{X \otimes Y} & & \parallel \\
 & & (\omega_{\mathcal{G}}(X)_{R'} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{G}}(Y)_{R'}) \otimes (\rho_{\mathcal{G},R'} \otimes \rho_{\mathcal{G},R'}) \\
 & \swarrow \text{id} \otimes m & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes (\lambda \otimes \epsilon + \epsilon \otimes \lambda) \\
 \omega_{\mathcal{G}}(X)_{R'} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{G}}(Y)_{R'} \otimes \rho_{\mathcal{G},R'} & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \lambda} & \omega_{\mathcal{G}}(X)_{R'} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{G}}(Y)_{R'}
 \end{array}$$

commutes. The top part of this diagram commutes by the definition of tensor products of $\rho_{\mathcal{G}}$ -comodules. Hence the top and bottom compositions coincide for all X and Y if and only if $\lambda \circ m = \lambda \otimes \epsilon + \epsilon \otimes \lambda: \rho_{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \rho_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \rho_{\mathcal{G}}$. But this condition says that λ is a derivation of $\rho_{\mathcal{G},R'}$ at ϵ . This gives the desired natural bijection between $\underline{\text{End}}^{\otimes}(\omega_{\mathcal{G}})(R')$ and $\text{Lie}(\mathcal{G})_{R'}$.

The equivariance of this isomorphism follows by applying the naturality of (3.3) to inner automorphisms of \mathcal{G} . \square

We now fix a non-archimedean field k and a flat affine group scheme \mathcal{G} over k° . By the following fact, in this case $\mathbf{Rep} \mathcal{G}$ is always the ind-category of $\mathbf{Rep}^{\circ} \mathcal{G}$.

Lemma 3.4. *Any flat $\rho_{\mathcal{G}}$ -comodule over k° is the filtered union of its dualizable sub-comodules.*

Proof. Comodules are the filtered union of their sub-comodules which are of finite type over the base ring. In our case, since we are working with a flat comodule over a valuation ring, these sub-comodules are torsion free and hence projective. \square

By a strict monomorphism $X \hookrightarrow Y$ in an exact category, we mean such a morphism which fits into an exact sequence $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$. A strict subquotient of an object X in such a category is an object of the form Y'/Y for two strict subobjects $Y \subset Y'$ of X .

The following is shown in [DH18, Proposition 3.1] in case k° is a discrete valuation ring. But the argument in *loc.cit.* works over arbitrary valuation rings k° .

Proposition 3.5. *Let $h: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a homomorphism of flat affine group schemes over k° . The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *The homomorphism h is a closed immersion.*
- (ii) *Every object $V \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{H}$ is a strict subquotient of an object of the form $h^*(W)$ for some $W \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$.*

Lemma 3.6. *Let \mathcal{G} be a flat affine group scheme over k° and $X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *The homomorphism $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(X)$ induced by the representation X is a closed immersion.*
- (ii) *Every representation $Y \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ is a strict subquotient of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n X^{\otimes r_i} \otimes (X^*)^{\otimes s_i}$ for some integers r_i and s_i .*

Proof. First we note that for $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n$ and V the standard representation, condition (ii) is satisfied. Indeed, over a field, this is proven in [Wat79, Section 3.5], and the same argument works over the valuation ring k° .

Using this, the claim follows from Proposition 3.5. \square

Definition 3.7. We call a representation $X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ satisfying the conditions of Lemma 3.6 a *tensor generator* of $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$.

Lemma 3.8. *If \mathcal{G} is of finite type over k° , then there exists a tensor generator $X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.4, there exists a dualizable sub-comodule $X \subset \rho_{\mathcal{G}}$ which generates $\rho_{\mathcal{G}}$ as a k° -algebra. We consider the k° -linear map $X \otimes X^* \rightarrow \rho$ which is adjoint to the comodule map $X \rightarrow X \otimes \rho_{\mathcal{G}}$. Using the fact that $\rho_{\mathcal{G}}$ is a Hopf algebra, one checks that the composition

$$X \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x \otimes \epsilon|_X} X \otimes X^* \rightarrow \rho_{\mathcal{G}},$$

where $\epsilon: \rho_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow k^\circ$ denotes the counit, is the inclusion $X \hookrightarrow \rho$. Hence the induced algebra homomorphism $\mathrm{Sym}(X \otimes X^*) \rightarrow \rho_{\mathcal{G}}$ is surjective. But this homomorphism corresponds to the map $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\omega_{\mathcal{G}}(X)) \rightarrow \mathrm{End}(\omega_{\mathcal{G}}(X))$ of schemes given by the action of G on $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}(X)$. Hence $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\omega_{\mathcal{G}}(X))$ is a closed immersion. \square

Lemma 3.9. *Let ℓ be a non-archimedean extension of k . Every object $X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}_\ell$ admits a strict epimorphism $Y_{m^\circ} \twoheadrightarrow X$ for some object $Y \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$.*

Proof. The comodule map $X \rightarrow X \otimes_{m^\circ} \rho_{\mathcal{G}_{m^\circ}}$ identifies X with a saturated sub-comodule of the $\rho_{\mathcal{G}_{m^\circ}}$ -comodule $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}(X) \otimes_{m^\circ} \rho_{\mathcal{G}_{m^\circ}}$. (Here by a saturated sub-comodule we mean one for which the quotient $(\omega_{\mathcal{G}}(X) \otimes_{m^\circ} \rho_{\mathcal{G}_{m^\circ}})/X$ is torsion-free or equivalently flat.) Using Lemma 3.4, we find a finitely generated projective sub-comodule $Y \subset \rho_{\mathcal{G}}$ such that $X \subset \omega(X) \otimes_{\ell^\circ} Y \subset \omega(X) \otimes_{m^\circ} \rho_{\mathcal{G}} = \omega(X) \otimes_{m^\circ} \rho_{\mathcal{G}_{m^\circ}}$. Since X is saturated in $\omega(X) \otimes_{m^\circ} \rho_{\mathcal{G}_{m^\circ}}$, it is also saturated in $\omega(X) \otimes_{m^\circ} Y_{m^\circ}$. In other words we have constructed a strict monomorphism $X \hookrightarrow Y_{m^\circ}^d$ for some $d \geq 0$. By dualizing this implies the claim. \square

Lemma 3.10. *Assume that k° is a discrete valuation ring. Let \mathcal{G} be an affine group scheme of finite type over k° with smooth and connected special and generic fibers. Then \mathcal{G} is smooth over k° .*

Proof. The argument in the proof of Lemma 1 of Chapter 3 of [BLR90] shows that \mathcal{G} is smooth over k° around the zero section. Since \mathcal{G} is a fibrewise connected group scheme, this implies that \mathcal{G} is smooth over k° (c.f. [GP11, VIB.3.10]). \square

Construction 3.11. There is a natural open flat affine subgroup scheme $\mathcal{G}^\circ \subset \mathcal{G}$ with connected special and generic fibers, which can be obtained by first taking the schematic closure \mathcal{H} of the identity component of \mathcal{G}_ℓ in \mathcal{G} and then removing all non-identity components from $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{\ell}}$. In case k° is a discrete valuation ring, the group scheme \mathcal{G}° is again affine by [BT84a, 2.2.6].

Lemma 3.12. *Assume that k° is a discrete valuation ring. Let \mathcal{G} be an affine group scheme of finite type over k° with smooth special and generic fibers. Assume in addition that there exists a closed subgroup scheme $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G}$ which is smooth over k° and for which the quotient scheme $\mathcal{G}_{\bar{k}}/\mathcal{H}_{\bar{k}}$ is connected. Then \mathcal{G} is smooth over k° .*

Proof. It suffices to prove this after replacing k by its strict Henselization. The subgroup scheme \mathcal{G}° is smooth by Lemma 3.10. Let C be a connected component of $\mathcal{G}_{\bar{k}}$. By assumption there exists an element $h \in \mathcal{H}_{\bar{k}} \cap C$. Since \mathcal{H} is smooth, we can lift h to a point $h' \in \mathcal{H}(k^\circ)$. Then $\mathcal{G}^\circ \cdot h'$ is an open subscheme of \mathcal{G} which is smooth over k° and contains C . By varying C over all connected components this implies the claim. \square

Theorem 3.13 (Grothendieck). *Assume that k° is a discrete valuation ring and that \mathcal{G} is smooth over k° . Let A be an abelian group. Every homomorphism $\chi: D_k^A \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\bar{k}}$ can be deformed to a homomorphism $D_{k^\circ}^A \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$.*

Proof. By [DG70, Theorem XI.5.8], there exists an étale morphism $S \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k^\circ)$, a point $s \in S$ lying over the special point of $\text{Spec}(k^\circ)$ with trivial residue field extension $\bar{k} \hookrightarrow k(s)$ and a homomorphism $\chi': D^A \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_S$ whose fiber in s is equal to χ . After localizing S at s , we may assume that $S = \text{Spec}(R)$ for a local ℓ° -algebra R . Since $\ell^\circ \rightarrow R$ is étale, the ring R must be regular and of dimension 1, that is a discrete valuation ring. Since $\ell^\circ \hookrightarrow R$ is unramified with trivial residue field extension, we find $\ell^\circ = R$. This proves the claim. \square

Lemma 3.14. *Assume that Γ has rank one and that the norm on k is discrete. Let H be a smooth group scheme over \bar{k} . Every homomorphism $\chi: D_k^{\Gamma/|k^*|} \rightarrow H$ factors through a maximal torus $T \subset H$.*

Proof. Since H is of finite type over \bar{k} , the homomorphism χ factors through a closed immersion $D_k^A \hookrightarrow \tilde{H}$ for some finitely generated subgroup $A \subset \Gamma/|k^*|$. We decompose A as the product $A^{\text{tor}} \times A^{\text{fr}}$ of its torsion subgroup A^{tor} and a free subgroup A^{fr} . After potentially enlarging Γ , we may assume that $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{R}$ and hence $\Gamma/|k^*| \cong \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. This implies that A^{tor} is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ for some n . So inside H , we can consider $D_k^{A^{\text{tor}}} \cong \mu_n$ as a subgroup of the smooth centralizer $\text{Cent}_H(D_k^{A^{\text{fr}}})$ of the torus $D_k^{A^{\text{fr}}}$. By [MT15, Lemma 7.1] (due to B. Conrad), every such subgroup μ_n is contained in a maximal torus T of $\text{Cent}_H(D_k^{A^{\text{fr}}})$. Such a T has the required property. \square

Definition 3.15. A *residually maximal split* torus of \mathcal{G} is a split closed subtorus $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{G}$ for which $\mathcal{T}_{\bar{\ell}}$ is a maximal split torus of $\mathcal{G}_{\bar{\ell}}$.

Proposition 3.16. *Assume that \mathcal{G} is smooth over ℓ° .*

- (i) *There exists a residually maximal split torus \mathcal{T} of \mathcal{G} . More precisely, every maximal split torus of $\mathcal{G}_{\bar{\ell}}$ can be lifted to such a torus.*
- (ii) *If ℓ° is Henselian, any two such tori are conjugate under $\mathcal{G}(\ell^\circ)$.*
- (iii) *Every homomorphism $\chi: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ from a (not necessarily of finite type) split torus \mathcal{S} factors through a residually maximal split torus of \mathcal{G} .*

Proof. (i) Let $T' \subset \mathcal{G}_{\tilde{\ell}}$ be a maximal split torus. By [DG70, XI.5.8], we can deform the inclusion $T \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\tilde{\ell}}$ to a homomorphism $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ over ℓ° whose source is a split torus \mathcal{T} . Then by the combination of [DG70, IX.6.8] and [DG70, IX.6.5], this homomorphism $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is a closed immersion.

(ii) For two residually maximal split tori \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{T}' of \mathcal{G} , we consider the subsheaf $\text{Transp}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}')$ of \mathcal{G} consisting of those sections of \mathcal{G} which conjugate \mathcal{T} to \mathcal{T}' . By [DG70, XI.5.2], this is representable by a smooth closed subscheme of \mathcal{G} . Since by the conjugacy of maximal split tori it has a point of $\tilde{\ell}$, the assumption on ℓ ensures that it has a point over ℓ° .

(iii) We consider the centralizer $\text{Cent}_{\mathcal{G}}(\chi) \subset \mathcal{G}$ which is smooth since \mathcal{G} is smooth. Since $\mathcal{S}_{\tilde{\ell}}$ is a split torus, there exists a maximal split torus $T' \subset \mathcal{G}_{\tilde{\ell}}$ which is contained in $\text{Cent}_{\mathcal{G}}(\chi)_{\tilde{\ell}}$. By (i) we can lift T' to a residually maximal split torus \mathcal{T} of $\text{Cent}_{\mathcal{G}}(\chi)$. This is then a residually maximal split torus of \mathcal{G} through which χ factors. \square

Theorem 3.17 (Bruhat-Tits, [BT84a, 1.7.3]). *Assume that k° is a discrete valuation ring and let \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} be smooth affine group schemes over k° . Every homomorphism $\mathcal{H}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_k$ which maps $\mathcal{H}(k^\circ)$ to $\mathcal{G}(k^\circ)$ extends uniquely to a homomorphism $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ over k° .*

The following generalization of Deligne's theorem on the fpqc-local isomorphy of any two fiber functors on a Tannakian category is due to Broshi ([Bro13, Theorem 1.2]) in case ℓ° is a discrete valuation ring and due to Schäppi ([Sch13, Theorem 1.3.2]) in general:

Theorem 3.18. *Let ℓ be a non-archimedean extension of k . Any two fiber functors on $\text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ over ℓ° are isomorphic fpqc-locally on ℓ° .*

3.2 Normed Fiber Functors

We fix non-archimedean fields $k \subset \ell$ and an a flat affine group scheme of finite type over k° .

Definition 3.19. (i) A *normed fiber functor* on $\text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ over ℓ is a k° -linear exact tensor functor $\alpha: \text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \text{Norm}^\circ(\ell)$.

(ii) A *morphism* of normed fiber functors is a morphism of tensor functors.

(iii) Given a non-archimedean extension m of ℓ and a normed fiber functor α on $\text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ over ℓ , we obtain a normed fiber functor α_ℓ on $\text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ over m by composing α with the base change functor $\text{Norm}^\circ(\ell) \rightarrow \text{Norm}^\circ(m)$.

(iv) Given a normed fiber functor $\alpha: \text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \text{Norm}^\circ(\ell)$, we obtain the fiber functor

$$\text{forg} \circ \alpha: \text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \text{Vec}(\ell).$$

We call this the *underlying fiber functor* of α .

Given a normed fiber functor α with underlying fiber functor ω , for an object $X \in \text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$, we will often denote the norm on $\omega(X)$ given by $\alpha(X)$ by α_X . We will also abbreviate the associated submodules $\omega(X)^{\alpha_X \leq \gamma}$ to $\omega(X)^{\alpha \leq \gamma}$.

We fix a normed fiber functor α on $\text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ over some non-archimedean extension ℓ of k .

Definition 3.20. We let $\Gamma_\alpha \subset \Gamma$ be the set of elements $\gamma \in \Gamma$ for which there exists some $X \in \text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ and some non-zero element $x \in \alpha(X)$ whose norm given by α is equal to γ .

Lemma 3.21. *The set Γ_α is a subgroup of Γ . It contains $|\ell^*|$ and the quotient $\Gamma_\alpha/|\ell^*|$ is finitely generated.*

Proof. The compatibility of α with tensor products shows that Γ_α is closed under multiplication. Similarly the existence of duals in $\text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ show that Γ_α is closed under taking inverses. Since α assigns the norm of ℓ to the trivial representation of \mathcal{G} , the group Γ_α contains $|\ell^*|$. To see that $\Gamma_\alpha/|\ell^*|$ is finitely generated, we take a tensor generator X of $\text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$. Then the fact that every $Y \in \text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ admits a strict monomorphism into a direct sum of tensor products of X and X^\vee implies that Γ_α is generated by $|\ell^*|$ and the finitely many norms of a splitting basis of $\alpha(X)$. \square

Construction 3.22. Let $\omega: \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_{\ell^\circ}$ be a fiber functor. We associate a normed fiber functor $\alpha_\omega: \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{Norm}^\circ(\ell)$ to ω as follows: For each $X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$, the lattice $\omega(X)$ in $\omega(X)_\ell$ gives by Construction 2.8 a norm $\alpha_{\omega(X)}$ on $\omega(X)_\ell$. One checks that this norm is compatible with tensor products in X and ℓ° -linearly exact in X and hence gives the desired functor α_ω .

The normed fiber functor α_ω just constructed satisfies $\Gamma_{\alpha_\omega} \subset |\ell^*|$. All such normed fiber functor arise in this way:

Lemma 3.23. *Let α be a normed fiber functor on $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ over ℓ which satisfies $\Gamma_\alpha \subset |\ell^*|$. Then α is canonically isomorphic to the normed fiber functor α_λ associated to the fiber functor $\lambda: X \mapsto \omega(X)^{\alpha \leq 1}$ on $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ over ℓ° .*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2.9 by verifying that λ is a fiber functor: To verify compatibility with tensor products, consider objects $X, Y \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$. Since α is a tensor functor, there is an inclusion $\omega(X)^{\alpha \leq 1} \otimes \omega(Y)^{\alpha \leq 1} \hookrightarrow \omega(X \otimes Y)^{\alpha \leq 1}$ inside $\omega(X \otimes Y)$. To show that this is an equality, let $z \in \omega(X \otimes Y)^{\alpha \leq 1}$. Then we can write $z = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i$ for elements $x_i \in \omega(X)$ and $y_i \in \omega(Y)$ satisfying $\alpha(x_i)\alpha(y_i) \leq 1$. By our assumption, there exist elements $\lambda_i \in \ell$ satisfying $|\lambda_i| = \alpha(x_i)$. Then the presentation $z = \sum_i (x_i/\lambda_i) \otimes (\lambda_i y_i)$ shows that $z \in \omega(X)^{\alpha \leq 1} \otimes \omega(Y)^{\alpha \leq 1}$.

The exactness of λ is given by Lemma 2.5. \square

3.3 Stabilizer Groups

Let ℓ be a non-archimedean extension of k , let \mathcal{G} a flat affine group scheme over k° and $\alpha: \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{Norm}^\circ(\ell)$ a normed fiber functor. We denote the underlying fiber functor of α by ω .

Definition 3.24. For an ℓ° -algebra R , we let $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)(R)$ be the set of tuples

$$(\varphi_X^\gamma)_{X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}, \gamma \in \Gamma}$$

satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) For all $X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the object φ_X^γ is an R -linear automorphism of the R -module $\omega(X)_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma}$.
- (ii) For all morphisms $X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ and all $\gamma \leq \delta$ in Γ , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \omega(X)_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma} & \longrightarrow & \omega(Y)_R^{\alpha \leq \delta} \\ \varphi_X^\gamma \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_Y^\delta \\ \omega(X)_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma} & \longrightarrow & \omega(Y)_R^{\alpha \leq \delta}, \end{array}$$

in which the horizontal arrows are the base change to R of the composition of the inclusion $\omega(X)^{\alpha \leq \gamma} \hookrightarrow \omega(X)^{\alpha \leq \delta}$ and the homomorphism $\omega(X)^{\alpha \leq \delta} \rightarrow \omega(Y)^{\alpha \leq \delta}$ induced from $X \rightarrow Y$, commutes.

- (iii) For all $X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$, all $\lambda \in \ell^*$ and all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \omega(X)_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma} & \longrightarrow & \omega(X)_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma|\lambda|} \\ \varphi_X^\gamma \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_X^{\gamma|\lambda|} \\ \omega(X)_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma} & \longrightarrow & \omega(X)_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma|\lambda|}, \end{array}$$

in which the horizontal arrows are the base change to R of the isomorphism

$$\omega(X)^{\alpha \leq \gamma} \rightarrow \omega(X)^{\alpha \leq \gamma|\lambda|}, \quad v \mapsto \lambda v,$$

commutes.

(iv) For all $X, Y \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ and all $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \omega(X)_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma} \otimes \omega(Y)_R^{\alpha \leq \delta} & \longrightarrow & \omega(X \otimes Y)_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma \delta} \\ \varphi_X^\gamma \otimes \varphi_Y^\delta \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_{X \otimes Y}^{\gamma \delta} \\ \omega(X)_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma} \otimes \omega(Y)_R^{\alpha \leq \delta} & \longrightarrow & \omega(X \otimes Y)_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma \delta}, \end{array}$$

in which the horizontal arrows are the base change to R of the inclusion

$$\omega(X)^{\alpha \leq \gamma} \otimes \omega(Y)^{\alpha \leq \delta} \hookrightarrow \omega(X \otimes Y)^{\alpha \leq \gamma \delta}$$

inside $\omega(X \otimes Y)$, commutes.

Under termwise composition, the set $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)(R)$ is naturally a group. For any homomorphism $R \rightarrow S$ of ℓ° -algebras, there is a natural base change homomorphism $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)(R) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)(S)$. So we obtain a group presheaf $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)$ over ℓ° .

Remark 3.25. By construction, for each $X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ there is natural homomorphism

$$\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}(\alpha_X)$$

via which specifying a section of $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)$ is equivalent to specifying sections of all $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}(\alpha_X)$ which are functorial in X as well as compatible with tensor products.

Proposition 3.26. (i) *The presheaf $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)$ is a sheaf for the fpqc-topology.*

(ii) *Let R be an ℓ° -algebra such that for all $X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ and all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ the R -module $\omega(X)_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma}$ is locally free of finite type. Then the group scheme $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_R$ is representable by an affine group scheme of finite type over R .*

(iii) *For any tensor generator $X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$, the induced homomorphism*

$$\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}(\alpha_X)$$

is representable by a closed immersion.

By Lemma 2.12, in particular (ii) applies for $R = \ell^\circ$ in case ℓ° is a discrete valuation ring and always for $R = \tilde{\ell}$ or $R = \ell$.

Proof. Claim (i) follows from descent theory. By Proposition 2.11, claim (iii) implies claim (ii).

To prove (iii), let $X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ be a tensor generator. Let $Y = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n X^{\otimes r_i} \otimes (X^*)^{\otimes s_i}$ for some integers n, r_i and s_i and consider a strict monomorphism $Z \hookrightarrow Y$ in $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$. Let $\mathcal{H}_{Z \hookrightarrow Y}$ be the subfunctor of $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}(\alpha_X)$ whose R -valued points for some ℓ° -algebra R are those elements of $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}(\alpha_X)(R)$ whose action on each $\omega(Y)_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma}$ maps the submodule $\omega(Z)_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma}$ to itself. One can check that the inclusion $\mathcal{H}_{Z \hookrightarrow Y}$ is representable by a closed immersion.

Next, for any two such strict monomorphisms $Z \hookrightarrow Y_1$ and $Z \hookrightarrow Y_2$, let $\mathcal{H}_{Z \hookrightarrow Y_1, Z \hookrightarrow Y_2}$ be the subsheaf of $\mathcal{H}_{Z \hookrightarrow Y_1} \cap \mathcal{H}_{Z \hookrightarrow Y_2}$ whose R -valued points are those whose two actions on $\omega(Z)_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma}$ coincide for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Again one can check that $\mathcal{H}_{Z \hookrightarrow Y_1, Z \hookrightarrow Y_2} \hookrightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}(\alpha_X)$ is representable by a closed immersion.

Since X is a tensor generator of $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$, by intersecting these subsheaves over all such pairs of inclusions we obtain a subsheaf \mathcal{H} of $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}(\alpha_X)$ whose R -valued sections have a canonical action on $\omega(Z)_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma}$ for all $Z \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ and all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Finally, one checks that the condition that these actions are compatible with tensor products in Z again gives a subsheaf $\mathcal{H}' \subset \mathcal{H}$ which is again representable by a closed immersion. Since $\mathcal{H}' = \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)$, this proves (iii). \square

Lemma 2.13 implies:

Lemma 3.27. *Assume that for each element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ there exists an element $x \in \ell^*$ satisfying $|x| \leq \gamma$. Then for varying $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$, the inclusions $\omega(X)^{\alpha \leq \gamma} \hookrightarrow \omega(X)$ induce an isomorphism $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_\ell \cong \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\omega)$.*

Definition 3.28. For an ℓ° -algebra R , we let $\underline{\mathbf{End}}^\otimes(\alpha)(R)$ be the set of tuples

$$(h_X)_{X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}} \in \prod_{X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}} \mathbf{End}(\alpha_X)_R$$

satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) They are functorial in the sense that for all morphisms $X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$, the images of h_X and h_Y in $\mathbf{Hom}(\alpha_X, \alpha_Y)_R$ coincide.
- (ii) They are compatible with tensor products in the sense that for all $X, Y \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$, the image of $h_X \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes h_Y$ under the natural homomorphism

$$\mathbf{End}(\alpha_X)_R \otimes_R \mathbf{End}(\alpha_Y)_R \rightarrow \mathbf{End}(\alpha_X \otimes \alpha_Y)_R = \mathbf{End}(\alpha_{X \otimes Y})_R$$

is equal to $h_{X \otimes Y}$.

The set $\underline{\mathbf{End}}^\otimes(\alpha)(R)$ naturally forms an abelian group under termwise addition. This construction is functorial in R , and so we obtain a presheaf of abelian groups $\underline{\mathbf{End}}^\otimes(\alpha)$ over ℓ° .

The sheaf $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)$ acts naturally on $\underline{\mathbf{End}}(\alpha)$ via termwise conjugation via (2.15).

Example 3.29. Assume that $\Gamma_\alpha \subset |\ell^*|$. Then $\alpha = \alpha_\lambda$ for the canonical fiber functor $\lambda: X \mapsto \omega(X)^{\alpha \leq 1}$ on $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ over ℓ° by Lemma 3.23. Let $(\varphi_X^\gamma)_{X, \gamma} \in \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)(R)$ for some ℓ° -algebra R . Then by condition (ii) in Definition 3.24, for any $X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$, the automorphisms φ_X^γ for varying γ are all determined by φ_X^1 . The φ_X^1 for varying X give an element of $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\lambda)(R)$. This gives a canonical isomorphism

$$\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha) \cong \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\lambda).$$

Analogously we find a canonical isomorphism

$$\underline{\mathbf{End}}^\otimes(\alpha) \cong \underline{\mathbf{End}}^\otimes(\lambda).$$

3.4 Splittings

Let $k \subset \ell$ be an extension of non-archimedean fields and \mathcal{G} a flat group scheme over k° .

Definition 3.30. Let $\omega: \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_\ell$ be a fiber functor. An *integral model* of ω is a fiber functor $\lambda: \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_{\ell^\circ}$ together with an isomorphism $\psi: \lambda_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} \omega$. We will often omit ψ and simply talk about an integral model λ .

Given such a λ and an element $g \in \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\lambda)(\ell)$, we let $g \cdot \lambda$ be the integral model of ω obtained by replacing ψ by the isomorphism $\lambda_\ell \xrightarrow{\psi} \omega_\ell \xrightarrow{g} \omega_\ell$.

Construction 3.31. Let λ be a fiber functor on $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ over ℓ° and $\chi: D_{\ell^\circ}^\Gamma \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\lambda)$ a homomorphism. We construct a normed fiber functor $\alpha_{\lambda, \chi}$ with underlying fiber functor λ_ℓ as follows:

For $X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$, Construction 2.18 applied to the ℓ° -lattice $\lambda(X)$ in $\lambda(X)_\ell$ together with the homomorphism $\chi_X: D_{\ell^\circ}^\Gamma \xrightarrow{\chi} \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\lambda) \rightarrow GL(\lambda(X))$ gives a norm $\alpha_{\lambda(X), \chi_X}$ on $\lambda(X)_\ell$.

These norms are functorial and exact in X as well as compatible with tensor products and hence defines a normed fiber functor $\alpha_{\lambda, \chi}: \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{Norm}^\circ(\ell)$ which comes with a canonical isomorphism $\text{forg} \circ \alpha_{\lambda, \chi} \cong \lambda_\ell$.

We fix a normed fiber functor $\alpha: \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{Norm}^\circ(\ell)$ and denote the underlying fiber functor of $\text{forg} \circ \alpha$ by ω .

Definition 3.32. (i) A pair (λ, χ) consisting of an integral model λ of ω and a homomorphism $\chi: D_{\ell^\circ}^\Gamma \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\lambda)$ splits α , if the included isomorphism $\lambda_\ell \cong \omega$ identifies α with the normed fiber functor $\alpha_{\lambda, \chi}$ from Construction 3.31.

(ii) The normed fiber functor α is splittable if there exists such a pair which splits α .

We also give the following variant of this notion which doesn't involve the fiber functor λ :

Definition 3.33. Let R be a ℓ° -algebra and consider a homomorphism $\chi: D_R^\Gamma \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_R$ or $\chi: D_R^{\Gamma/|\ell^*|} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_R$. For each $X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ and each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the group sheaf $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_R$ acts naturally on $\omega(X)_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma} / \omega(X)_R^{\alpha < \gamma}$. We say that χ splits α over R if for all such X and γ , all weights of χ on $\omega(X)_R^{\alpha \leq \gamma} / \omega(X)_R^{\alpha < \gamma}$ are in $\gamma|\ell^*|$.

Proposition 3.34. For a homomorphism $\chi: D_\ell^\Gamma \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\omega)$ the following are equivalent:

- (i) There exists an integral model λ of ω together with an extension $\chi_1: D_{\ell^\circ}^\Gamma \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\lambda)$ of χ such that the pair (λ, χ_1) splits α .
- (ii) The homomorphism χ extends to a homomorphism $\chi_2: D_{\ell^\circ}^\Gamma \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)$ which splits α over ℓ° .

If this is the case, then such objects χ_1 , χ_2 and λ are uniquely determined by the above.

Proof. This follows by the same argument as Proposition 2.23, by checking in addition that the constructions there are functorial and compatible with tensor products. \square

For later use we note the following fact:

Lemma 3.35. Let R be a ℓ° -algebra and $\mathcal{T} \subset \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_R$ a split closed subtorus.

- (i) There exists at most one homomorphism $\chi: D_R^{\Gamma/|\ell^*|} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ which splits α over R .
- (ii) Let S be a faithfully flat R -algebra. Any homomorphism $\chi: D_S^{\Gamma/|\ell^*|} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_S$ which splits α over S descends to a homomorphism $D_R^{\Gamma/|\ell^*|} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ which splits α over R .

Proof. (i) Using a tensor generator of $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$, one reduces to Lemma 2.28.

(ii) By (i), the two pullbacks of χ to $S \otimes_R S$ are equal. Hence the claim follows using flat descent. \square

3.5 Base Change of Normed Fiber Functors

We continue with the notation from the previous subsection and study the behaviour of $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)$ under base change. For this let m be a non-archimedean extension of ℓ .

Construction 3.36. By Construction 2.33, for each $X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ there is a natural base change homomorphism $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}(\alpha_X)_{m^\circ} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}(\alpha_{X,m})$. One checks that for an element $\varphi = (\varphi_X^\gamma)_{\gamma, X} \in \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)(R)$ for some m° -algebra R , the images of the sections $(\varphi_X^\gamma)_\gamma \in \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}(\alpha_X)(R)$ under these base change homomorphisms fit together to a section $b^{m/\ell}(\varphi) \in \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)(R)$. This gives a base change homomorphism

$$b^{m/\ell}: \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{m}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)$$

over m° .

Lemma 3.37. Assume that for each element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ there exists an element $x \in \ell^*$ satisfying $|x| \leq \gamma$. Then the generic fiber $b_m^{m/\ell}$ of the base change homomorphism from Construction 3.36 is an isomorphism.

Proof. One readily checks that under the canonical isomorphisms $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_m \cong \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\omega)_m \cong \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)$ from Lemma 3.27, the homomorphism $b_m^{m/\ell}$ is the identity on $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\omega)_m$. \square

Lemma 3.38. *If m is unramified over ℓ , then $b^{m/\ell}: \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{m^\circ} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. In this case, for all $X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the inclusion $\omega(X)_{m^\circ}^{\alpha \leq \gamma} \hookrightarrow \omega(X)^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma}$ inside $\omega(X)_m$ is an equality. This implies the claim. \square

Now we assume that either $|m^*| = \Gamma$ or that $|\ell^*|$ is dense in $|m^*|$.

Construction 3.39. For each $X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$, Construction 2.39 gives us a homomorphism

$$\chi^{m/\ell}: D_{\tilde{m}}^{\Gamma/|\ell^*|} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_{X,m})_{\tilde{m}}.$$

Lemma 2.38 implies that these homomorphisms fit together to a homomorphism

$$\chi^{m/\ell}: D_{\tilde{m}}^{\Gamma/|\ell^*|} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{m}}.$$

We denote by $L^{m/\ell} \subset \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{m}}$ the centralizer of this homomorphism.

Proposition 3.40. (i) *The base change homomorphism*

$$b_{\tilde{m}}^{m/\ell}: \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{m}} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)_{\tilde{m}}$$

factors through $L^{m/\ell}$.

(ii) *Assume that $|\ell^*|$ is dense in $|m^*|$. Let R be a flat m° -algebra and $g \in \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)(R)$. Then g is in the image of $b_{\tilde{m}}^{m/\ell}: \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{m}} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)_{\tilde{m}}$ if and only if the image of g in $\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)(R \otimes_{m^\circ} \tilde{m})$ lies in $L^{\ell/m}(R \otimes_{m^\circ} \tilde{m})$.*

Proof. This is a consequence of Proposition 2.40. \square

Proposition 2.43 implies:

Proposition 3.41. *Assume that $|m^*| = \Gamma$.*

(i) *A homomorphism $\chi: D_{\tilde{m}}^{\Gamma/|\ell^*|} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{m}}$ splits α over \tilde{m} if and only if $b_{\tilde{m}}^{m/\ell} \circ \chi = \chi^{m/\ell}$.*

(ii) *If a homomorphism $\chi: D_{\tilde{m}}^{\Gamma/|\ell^*|} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{m^\circ}$ splits α over m° , then $b^{m/\ell}$ restricts to an isomorphism*

$$\text{Cent}_{\underline{\text{Aut}}(\alpha)_{m^\circ}}(\chi) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Cent}_{\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)}(b^{m/\ell} \circ \chi).$$

3.6 The subgroups $U_\delta(\alpha)$

We continue with the notation from the previous subsection.

Lemma 3.42. *Let $g \in \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)(R)$ for some $\tilde{\ell}$ -algebra R and let $\delta \in \Gamma^{\leq 1}$. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *For all $X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$, the element image $g_X \in \underline{\text{Aut}}(\alpha_X)(R)$ of g lies in $U_{\leq \delta}(\alpha_X)(R)$.*
- (ii) *For some tensor generator $X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$, the element g_X lies in $U_{\leq \delta}(\alpha_X)(R)$.*

Proof. Only the direction (ii) \Rightarrow (i) requires an argument. Let X be as in (ii) and $Z \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$. Then there exists an object $Y = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n X^{r_i} \otimes (X^*)^{\otimes s_i}$ of $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ together with a strict monomorphism $Z \hookrightarrow Y$. Using Lemma 2.46 applied to $(\omega(Z)_\ell, \alpha_Z) \hookrightarrow (\omega(Y)_\ell, \alpha_Y)$ it follows that $g_Z \in U_{\leq \delta}(\alpha_Z)(R)$. \square

Definition 3.43. For $\delta \in \Gamma^{\leq 1}$, we let $U_{\leq \delta}(\alpha) \subset \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{\ell}}$ be the sub-presheaf consisting of those sections which satisfy the equivalent conditions of Lemma 3.42.

Then Lemma 3.42 implies:

Lemma 3.44. *Let $X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ be a tensor generator. Under the induced closed immersion $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{\ell}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}(\alpha_X)_{\tilde{\ell}}$ (c.f. Proposition 3.26 (iii)), the presheaf $U_{\leq \delta}(\alpha)$ is equal to the intersection of $U_{\leq \delta}(\alpha_X)$ and $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{\ell}}$ inside $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}(\alpha_X)_{\tilde{\ell}}$.*

Together with Proposition 2.51 this implies:

Proposition 3.45. *For $\delta \in \Gamma^{\leq 1}$, the sub-presheaf $U_{\leq \delta}(\alpha)$ of $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{\ell}}$ is representable by a closed subscheme.*

Definition 3.46. For $\delta \in \Gamma^{\leq 1}$, we let $U_{< \delta}(\alpha) := \operatorname{colim}_{\mu < \delta} U_{\leq \mu}(\alpha) \subset \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{\ell}}$. Since $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{\ell}}$ is of finite type over $\tilde{\ell}$, it follows from Proposition 3.45 that $U_{< \delta}(\alpha) = U_{\leq \mu}(\alpha)$ for some $\mu < \delta$.

Lemma 2.52 implies:

Lemma 3.47. *Let $\delta, \delta' \in \Gamma^{\leq 1}$.*

- (i) *The commutator of $U_{\leq \delta}(\alpha)$ and $U_{\leq \delta'}(\alpha)$ is contained in $U_{\leq \delta \delta'}(\alpha)$.*
- (ii) *The commutator of $U_{\leq \delta}(\alpha)$ and $U_{< \delta'}(\alpha)$ is contained in $U_{< \delta \delta'}(\alpha)$.*

Definition 3.48. Let $\delta \in \Gamma^{\leq 1}$. By Lemma 3.47, the group scheme $U_{< \delta}(\alpha)$ is normal in $U_{\leq \delta}(\alpha)$. Hence we may consider the quotient scheme

$$\operatorname{gr}_\delta \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{\ell}} := U_{\leq \delta}(\alpha) / U_{< \delta}(\alpha).$$

Lemma 3.49. *For $\delta \in \Gamma^{< 1}$, the group scheme $\operatorname{gr}_\delta \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{\ell}}$ is unipotent and commutative.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.44, a tensor generator $X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ induces a closed immersion

$$\operatorname{gr}_\delta \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{\ell}} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{gr}_\delta \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_X)_{\tilde{\ell}}.$$

Since $\operatorname{gr}_\delta \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_X)_{\tilde{\ell}}$ is the group scheme underlying a vector space by Proposition 2.54, this implies the claim. \square

We also note that Lemma 2.48 implies:

Lemma 3.50. *For $\delta \in \Gamma^{\leq 1}$, the group scheme $U_{\leq \delta}(\alpha)$ is trivial unless $\delta \in I_\ell$.*

Let now m be a non-archimedean extension of ℓ for which $|m^*| = \ell$. Then Constructions 3.36 and 3.39 give us homomorphisms

$$b_{\tilde{m}}^{m/\ell} : \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{m}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)_{\tilde{m}}$$

and

$$\chi^{m/\ell} : D_{\tilde{m}}^{\Gamma/|\ell^*|} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)_{\tilde{m}}.$$

We consider the relationship of the group schemes U_δ with these homomorphisms:

Lemma 3.51. *The homomorphism $b_{\tilde{m}}^{m/\ell} : \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{m}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)_{\tilde{m}}$ has kernel $U_{< 1}(\alpha)_{\tilde{m}}$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)(R)$ be in the kernel of $b_{\tilde{m}}^{m/\ell}$ for some \tilde{m} -algebra R . Then, for any $x \in \omega(X)_R^{\alpha_{\leq \gamma}}$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the element $y = \varphi(x) - x$ maps to zero in $\omega(X)_R^{\alpha_m \leq \gamma}$ and we need to verify that this implies that $y \in F^{\leq \delta}(\omega(X)_R^{\alpha_{\leq \gamma}})$ for some $\delta < 1$. This follows using the exactness of (2.31). \square

Construction 3.52. The homomorphism $\chi^{m/\ell} : D_{\tilde{m}}^{\Gamma/|\ell^*|} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)_{\tilde{m}}$ from Construction 3.39 together with the action of $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)_{\tilde{m}}$ on $\underline{\mathbf{End}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)_{\tilde{m}}$ by conjugation induce a weight decomposition of $\underline{\mathbf{End}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)_{\tilde{m}}$ which we write as

$$(3.53) \quad \underline{\mathbf{End}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)_{\tilde{m}} \cong \bigoplus_{[\mu] \in \Gamma/|\ell^*|} \operatorname{gr}_{[\mu]}^{m/\ell}(\underline{\mathbf{End}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)_{\tilde{m}}).$$

From the various definitions, one sees that this decomposition can be equivalently described by saying that for any \tilde{m} -algebra R , a section $(h_X)_X \in \underline{\mathbf{End}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)_{\tilde{m}}(R)$ lies in the submodule $\operatorname{gr}_{[\mu]}^{m/\ell}(\underline{\mathbf{End}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)_{\tilde{m}})(R)$ if and only if for all $X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$, the element $h_X \in \operatorname{End}(\alpha_X)_R$ lies in the summand $\operatorname{gr}_{[\mu]}^{m/\ell}(\operatorname{End}(\alpha_X)_R)$ from Definition 2.37.

Construction 3.54. Let $\delta \in I_\ell \cap \Gamma^{<1}$ and let $x \in m^{\circ\circ}$ be an element of norm δ .

Consider a \tilde{m} -algebra R , an element $u \in U_{\leq\delta}(\alpha)(R)$ and an object $X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$. The homomorphism (2.57) associated to our chosen x , the normed vector space $V = \alpha(X \otimes X^*)$ and $\gamma = 1$ gives a surjection

$$(3.55) \quad F^{\leq\delta}(\mathrm{End}(\alpha_X)_R) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{gr}_{[\delta]}^{m/\ell}(\mathrm{End}(\alpha_m)_{\tilde{m}})_R$$

with kernel $F^{<\delta}(\mathrm{End}(\alpha_X)_R)$.

Let u_X be the image of u in $\underline{\mathrm{Aut}}(\alpha_X)(R)$ and $\tilde{u}_X \in \mathrm{End}(\alpha_X)_R^*$ the image of u_X under (2.15). Then by the definition of $U_{\leq\delta}(\alpha)$, the element $\tilde{u}_X - \mathrm{id}_{\omega(X)}$ is contained in $F^{\leq\delta}(\mathrm{End}(\alpha_X)_R)$. We denote by $d(u)_X$ its image under (3.55).

If Y is a second object of $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$, then the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}_{X \otimes Y} - \mathrm{id}_{\omega(X \otimes Y)} = \\ (\tilde{u}_X - \mathrm{id}_{\omega(X)}) \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\omega(Y)} + \mathrm{id}_{\omega(X)} \otimes (\tilde{u}_Y - \mathrm{id}_{\omega(Y)}) + (\tilde{u}_X - \mathrm{id}_{\omega(X)}) \otimes (\tilde{u}_Y - \mathrm{id}_{\omega(Y)}) \end{aligned}$$

in $\mathrm{End}(\alpha_{X \otimes Y})_R$. Since $(\tilde{u}_X - \mathrm{id}_{\omega(X)}) \otimes (\tilde{u}_Y - \mathrm{id}_{\omega(Y)}) \in F^{\leq\delta^2}(\mathrm{End}(\alpha_{X \otimes Y})_R)$ lies in the kernel of (3.55), this shows that the $d(u)_X$ for varying X are compatible with tensor products in the sense of Definition 3.28. So they define an element

$$d(u) \in \mathrm{gr}_{[\delta]}^{m/\ell}(\underline{\mathrm{End}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)_R).$$

The assignment $u \mapsto \ell(u)$ is functorial in R and so we obtain a morphism

$$(3.56) \quad d: U_{\leq\delta}(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}_{[\delta]}^{m/\ell} \underline{\mathrm{End}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)$$

of presheaves over $\tilde{\ell}$.

Lemma 3.57. *In the situation of Construction 3.54 the following hold:*

- (i) *The morphism d is a homomorphism with respect to the additive group structure on its target.*
- (ii) *The kernel of d is equal to $U_{<\delta}(\alpha)$.*

Proof. (i) With the notation from Construction 3.54, for element $u, u' \in U_{\leq\delta}(\alpha)(R)$ we find

$$\tilde{u}_X \circ \tilde{u}'_X - \mathrm{id}_{\omega(X)} = (\tilde{u}_X - \mathrm{id}_{\omega(X)}) + (\tilde{u}'_X - \mathrm{id}_{\omega(X)}) + (\tilde{u}_X - \mathrm{id}_{\omega(X)}) \circ (\tilde{u}'_X - \mathrm{id}_{\omega(X)})$$

in $\mathrm{End}(\alpha_X)_R$. Since $(\tilde{u}_X - \mathrm{id}_{\omega(X)}) \circ (\tilde{u}'_X - \mathrm{id}_{\omega(X)}) \in F^{\leq\delta^2}(\mathrm{End}(\alpha_X)_R)$ lies in the kernel of (3.55), this implies (i).

(ii) follows from the fact that the kernel of (3.55) is equal to $F^{<\delta}(\mathrm{End}(\alpha_X)_R)$. \square

3.7 Main result

Now we assume that Γ has rank one and that the valuation on ℓ is discrete.

Theorem 3.58. *Let \mathcal{G} be a smooth affine group scheme over ℓ° and $\alpha: \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{Norm}^\circ(\ell)$ a normed fiber functor.*

- (i) *The normed fiber functor α is splittable.*
- (ii) *The sheaf $\underline{\mathrm{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)$ is representable by a smooth affine group scheme over ℓ° .*
- (iii) *For all $\delta < 1$, the group scheme $\mathrm{gr}_\delta \underline{\mathrm{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{\ell}}$ is the commutative group scheme underlying a vector bundle over $\tilde{\ell}$.*
- (iv) *The group scheme $U_{<1}(\alpha) \subset \underline{\mathrm{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{\ell}}$ is a split unipotent group scheme.*

- (v) For every residually maximal split torus \mathcal{T} of $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)$, there exists a unique homomorphism $\chi: D_{\ell^\circ}^{\Gamma/|\ell^*|} \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \subset \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)$ which splits α over ℓ° .
- (vi) Any two homomorphisms $\chi: D_{\ell^\circ}^{\Gamma/|\ell^*|} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)$ which split α over ℓ° are conjugate by an element of $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)(\ell^\circ)$.
- (vii) For any χ as in (v), the centralizer $\text{Cent}_{\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)}(\chi)$ of χ gives a semidirect product decomposition $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{\ell}} = U_{<1}(\alpha) \rtimes \text{Cent}_{\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)}(\chi)_{\tilde{\ell}}$.

Proof. Let $\ell \subset m$ be a non-archimedean extension for which $|m^*| = \Gamma$. Then by Lemma 3.23 there exists a canonical fiber functor λ on $\text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ over m° for which $\alpha_m = \alpha_\lambda$. Example 3.29 gives us a canonical isomorphism $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_m) \cong \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\lambda)$. By Theorem 3.18, the group scheme $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\lambda)$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{G}_{m° fpqc-locally on m° and hence in particular smooth.

By Example 3.29 and Theorem 3.2 there are canonical isomorphisms

$$\underline{\mathbf{End}}^\otimes(\alpha_m) \cong \underline{\mathbf{End}}^\otimes(\lambda) \cong \mathbb{V}(\text{Lie}(\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\lambda))) \cong \mathbb{V}(\text{Lie}(\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)))$$

over m° which are $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)$ -equivariant. By the equivariance, under this isomorphism, the weight decomposition (3.53) of $\underline{\mathbf{End}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)_{\tilde{m}}$ is the weight decomposition of $\text{Lie}(\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)_{\tilde{m}})$ induced by the homomorphism $\chi^{m/\ell}: D_{\tilde{m}}^{\Gamma/|\ell^*|} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)_{\tilde{m}}$ and the adjoint action of $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)$ on $\text{Lie}(\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_m))$. We write this decomposition as

$$\text{Lie}(\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)_{\tilde{m}}) = \bigoplus_{[\mu] \in \Gamma/|\ell^*|} \text{gr}_{[\mu]}^{m/\ell}(\text{Lie}(\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)_{\tilde{m}})).$$

We look at the filtration of $U_{<1}(\alpha)_{\tilde{m}} \subset \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{m}}$ by the subgroups $U_{\leq \delta}(\alpha)_{\tilde{m}}$ for elements $\delta \in I_\ell \setminus \{1\} \subset \Gamma$ (c.f. Lemma 3.50): For each such δ , by Lemma 3.57, the morphism (3.56) gives a monomorphism

$$(3.59) \quad \text{gr}_\delta \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{V}(\text{gr}_{[\delta]}^{m/\ell}(\text{Lie}(\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)_{\tilde{m}})))$$

of group schemes over $\tilde{\ell}$. Such a monomorphism is a closed immersion.

If $\pi \in \ell^{\circ\circ}$ is a uniformizer, then $I_\ell = (|\pi|, 1]$ and hence the composition $I_\ell \hookrightarrow \Gamma \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma/|\ell^*|$ is a bijection. Using this and (3.59) we find:

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(U_{<1}(\alpha)) &= \sum_{\delta \in I_\ell, \delta < 1} \dim(\text{gr}_\delta \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{\ell}}) \\ &\leq \sum_{[\delta] \in \Gamma/|\ell^*|, [\delta] \neq [1]} \dim_{\tilde{m}}(\text{gr}_{[\delta]}^{m/\ell}(\text{Lie}(\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)_{\tilde{m}}))) \\ &= \dim_{\tilde{m}}(\text{Lie}(\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)_{\tilde{m}})) - \dim_{\tilde{m}}(\text{gr}_{[1]}^{m/\ell}(\text{Lie}(\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)_{\tilde{m}}))). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)$ is smooth, the submodule $\text{gr}_{[1]}^{m/\ell}(\text{Lie}(\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)_{\tilde{m}}))$ appearing here is the Lie algebra of the centralizer $L^{m/\ell} \subset \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)_{\tilde{m}}$ of $\chi^{m/\ell}$. Using this, this inequality translates to

$$(3.60) \quad \dim(U_{<1}(\alpha)) \leq \dim(\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)_{\tilde{m}}) - \dim(L^{m/\ell}).$$

By Lemma 3.51 the morphism $b^{\ell/m}: \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{m}} \rightarrow L^{m/\ell}$ has kernel $U_{<1}(\alpha)_{\tilde{m}}$. This implies the reverse inequality

$$\dim(\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)_{\tilde{m}}) - \dim(U_{<1}(\alpha)) \leq \dim(L^{m/\ell}).$$

Hence (3.60) is an identity.

This implies that source and target of (3.59) have the same dimension, and hence that (3.59) is an isomorphism. This proves claim (iii). Then by varying δ claim (iii) implies claim (iv).

Since $L^{m/\ell}$ is smooth, the identity (3.60) implies that the image of $b^{m/\ell}$ must be open in $L^{m/\ell}$. So $\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{m}}$ is an extension of the smooth group schemes $U_{<1}(\alpha)$ and $b^{\ell/m}(\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{m}})$ and hence smooth.

Let T be a maximal torus of $\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{\ell}}$ and $T' := b^{m/\ell}(T_{\tilde{m}})$. By what we have just shown, the homomorphism $b^{m/\ell}$ restricts to a bijection $T_{\tilde{m}} \xrightarrow{\sim} T'$ and T' is a maximal torus of $L^{m/\ell}$. Hence by Lemma 3.14 the homomorphism $\chi^{m/\ell}$ factors through T' . Let $\chi: D_{\tilde{m}}^{\Gamma/|\ell^*|} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{m}}$ be the composition of $\chi^{m/\ell}$ with the inverse of the isomorphism $T_{\tilde{m}} \xrightarrow{\sim} T'$. Then by Proposition 3.41 (i), the homomorphism χ splits α over \tilde{m} . Hence by Lemma 3.35, it descends to a homomorphism $D_{\tilde{\ell}}^{\Gamma/|\ell^*|} \rightarrow T$ which we also denote by χ . Since the map

$$X_*(T) \otimes \Gamma \rightarrow X_*(T) \otimes \Gamma/|\ell^*|$$

is surjective, we may next lift χ to a homomorphism $\chi': D_{\tilde{\ell}}^\Gamma \rightarrow T$.

Let $\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)^\circ \subset \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)$ be the affine open subgroup scheme given by Construction 3.11. Then Lemma 3.10 shows that $\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)^\circ$ is smooth over ℓ° . Since $T \subset \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{\ell}}^\circ$, by Theorem 3.13, the homomorphism χ' can be deformed to a homomorphism $\xi': D_{\tilde{\ell}^\circ}^\Gamma \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)^\circ$. Such a homomorphism ξ' splits α over ℓ° . By Proposition 3.34 this proves (i).

Let now ξ be the composition $D_{\tilde{\ell}^\circ}^{\Gamma/|\ell^*|} \rightarrow D_{\tilde{\ell}^\circ}^\Gamma \xrightarrow{\xi'} \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)$. Then by Proposition 3.41 (ii), the homomorphism $b^{m/\ell}$ restricts to an isomorphism

$$(3.61) \quad \text{Cent}_{\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{m^\circ}}(\xi) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Cent}_{\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)}(b^{m/\ell} \circ \xi).$$

Since $\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)$ is smooth, so is $\text{Cent}_{\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_m)}(b^{\ell/m} \circ \xi')$. Hence by (3.61) so is the group scheme $\text{Cent}_{\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)}(\xi')$. Since $U_{<1}(\alpha)$ is connected, Lemma 3.12 applied to $\mathcal{H} = \text{Cent}_{\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)}(\xi')$ shows that $\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)$ is smooth. This proves (ii).

Let \mathcal{T} be a residually maximal split torus of $\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{\ell}}$. By applying the above to a maximal torus T of $\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\tilde{\ell}}$ containing $\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{\ell}}$, we see that there exists a homomorphism $\chi': D_{\tilde{\ell}}^\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{\ell}}$ which splits α over $\tilde{\ell}$. Such a χ' then deforms uniquely to a homomorphism $\xi': D_{\tilde{\ell}^\circ}^\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ which splits α over ℓ° . This shows the existence claim in (v). Unicity in (v) is given by Lemma 3.35.

Using the unicity statement in (v), claim (vi) now follows from Proposition 3.16 (ii).

Since $(b^{m/\ell} \circ \xi)_{\tilde{m}} = \chi^{m/\ell}$, taking the special fiber of (3.61) proves (vii). \square

4 A Tannakian formalism for Bruhat-Tits buildings

4.1 The set $B^\otimes(\mathcal{G})$

Let ℓ be a non-archimedean field and \mathcal{G} be a flat affine group scheme over ℓ° . We denote \mathcal{G}_ℓ by G .

Definition 4.1. Let $\omega: \text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\ell^\circ}$ be a fiber functor.

- (i) A *norm* θ on ω is a tuple $(\theta_X)_{X \in \text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}}$ consisting of splittable norms θ_X on each $\omega(X)_\ell$ which are functorial in X in such a way that the assignment $X \mapsto (\omega(X), \theta_X)$ gives a normed fiber functor α_θ on $\text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ over ℓ° .
- (ii) Given a norm θ on ω and an element $g \in \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\omega)(\ell)$, we let $g \cdot \theta$ be the norm $(g_X \cdot \theta_X)_{X \in \text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}}$, where g_X denotes the element of $\text{GL}(\omega(X)_\ell)$ given by g .

Definition 4.2. We let $B^\otimes(\mathcal{G})$ be the set of norms θ on $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}$. We equip this set with the $G(\ell)$ -action given by $\theta \mapsto g \cdot \theta$.

For each $X \in \text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$, the lattice $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}(X) \subset \omega_{\mathcal{G}}(X)_\ell$ defines a norm $\alpha_{\omega_{\mathcal{G}}(X)}$ on $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}(X)_\ell$. For varying X , these norms define a norm on $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}$ which we denote by $\theta_{\mathcal{G}} \in B^\otimes(\mathcal{G})$.

Note that, by construction, the stabilizer in $G(\ell)$ of a point $\theta \in B^\otimes(\mathcal{G})$ is equal to the group $\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_\theta)(\ell^\circ)$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\ell \subset m$ be a non-archimedean extension. For each norm θ on $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}$, there exists a unique norm θ_m on $\omega_{\mathcal{G}_{m^\circ}}$ for which the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_\theta} & \text{Norm}^\circ(\ell) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}_{m^\circ} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\theta_m}} & \text{Norm}^\circ(m) \end{array}$$

Proof. Let $X \in \text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}_{m^\circ}$. By Lemma 3.9, there exists a strict epimorphism $Y_{m^\circ} \twoheadrightarrow X$ for some $Y \in \text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$. We let $\theta_{m,X}$ be the image norm on $\omega_{\mathcal{G}_{m^\circ}}(X)_m$ induced by the norm $(\theta_Y)_m$ on $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}(Y)_m$ via this epimorphism. If $Y'_{m^\circ} \twoheadrightarrow X$ is another such strict epimorphism, then by considering a strict epimorphism $Y'' \twoheadrightarrow Y_{m^\circ} \times_X Y'_{m^\circ}$, we can check that the norm $\theta_{m,X}$ is independent of the choice of $Y_{m^\circ} \twoheadrightarrow X$. Similarly one checks that $(\theta_{m,X})_{X \in \text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}_{m^\circ}}$ is a norm on $\omega_{\mathcal{G}_{m^\circ}}$ which has the required properties. \square

Construction 4.4. We consider the functoriality of $B^\otimes(\mathcal{G})$: Let $\ell \subset m$ be a non-archimedean field extension. Let furthermore \mathcal{G}' be a flat affine group scheme over m° together with a homomorphism $h: \mathcal{G}_{m^\circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$. We denote by $h^*: \text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}' \rightarrow \text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}_{m^\circ}$ the associated tensor functor.

For a norm $\theta \in B^\otimes(\mathcal{G})$, we obtain from Lemma 4.3 the norm $\theta_m \in B^\otimes(\mathcal{G})$. To this in turn we may associate the norm $(\theta_{m,h^*(X)})_{X \in \text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}'} \in B^\otimes(\mathcal{G}')$.

Altogether we obtain a natural $G(\ell)$ -equivariant map

$$B^\otimes(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow B^\otimes(\mathcal{G}').$$

Lemma 4.5. *In Construction 4.4, if the homomorphism h is a closed immersion, then the induced map $B^\otimes(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow B^\otimes(\mathcal{G}')$ is an injection.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.9 that the map $B^\otimes(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow B^\otimes(\mathcal{G}_{m^\circ})$ is an injection and from Proposition 3.5 that $B^\otimes(\mathcal{G}_{m^\circ}) \rightarrow B^\otimes(\mathcal{G}')$ is an injection. \square

Construction 4.6. Now we take m to be a non-archimedean extension of ℓ which is Galois over ℓ . For $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(m/\ell)$, pullback by along $\sigma: m^\circ \rightarrow m^\circ$ gives an ℓ° -linear tensor functor $\text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}_{m^\circ} \rightarrow \text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}_{m^\circ}$, $X \mapsto \sigma^*(X)$. Using this functor, we may associate to any norm $\theta = (\theta_X)_{X \in \text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}_{m^\circ}} \in B^\otimes(\mathcal{G}_{m^\circ})$ the norm $\sigma(\theta) = (\theta_{\sigma^*(X)})_{X \in \text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}_{m^\circ}}$. This defines an action of $\text{Gal}(m/\ell)$ on $B^\otimes(\mathcal{G}_{m^\circ})$.

Proposition 4.7. *In the situation of Construction 4.6, the following hold:*

- (i) *The inclusion $B^\otimes(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow B^\otimes(\mathcal{G}_{m^\circ})$ factors through the fixed-point set $B^\otimes(\mathcal{G}_{m^\circ})^{\text{Gal}(m/\ell)}$.*
- (ii) *If Γ has rank one, the valuation on ℓ is discrete and m is tamely ramified over ℓ , the inclusion $B^\otimes(\mathcal{G}) \hookrightarrow B^\otimes(\mathcal{G}_{m^\circ})^{\text{Gal}(m/\ell)}$ is a bijection.*

Proof. (i) By Lemma 3.9, every norm $\theta \in B^\otimes(\mathcal{G}_{m^\circ})$ is determined by the norms $\theta_{X_{m^\circ}}$ for $X \in \text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$. But if θ is in the image of $B^\otimes(\mathcal{G})$, it follows directly from the definition of the $\text{Gal}(m/\ell)$ -action, that these norms are $\text{Gal}(m/\ell)$ -invariant.

(ii) Using the definition of $B^\otimes(\mathcal{G})$, one reduces to proving that if V is a finite-dimensional vector space over ℓ , any $\text{Gal}(m/\ell)$ -invariant splittable norm on V_m descends to V . Using the Goldman-Iwahori description of $B(\text{GL}_n)$ as the space of splittable norms, this follows e.g. from Rousseau's result [Rou77, Prop. 5.1.1] on tamely ramified descent for Bruhat-Tits buildings. \square

Construction 4.8. For every homomorphism $\chi: D_{\ell^\circ}^\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{G} = \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\omega_{\mathcal{G}})$, Construction 3.31 gives us the normed fiber functor $\alpha_{\omega_{\mathcal{G}}, \chi}$ split by $(\omega_{\mathcal{G}}, \chi)$. This functor comes with a natural isomorphism $\psi: \text{forg} \circ \alpha_{\omega_{\mathcal{G}}, \chi} \cong \omega_{\mathcal{G}}$ via which we consider $\alpha_{\omega_{\mathcal{G}}, \chi}$ as a norm θ_χ on $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}$.

Proposition 4.9. *Assume that \mathcal{G} is smooth over ℓ° and that every étale \mathcal{G} -torsor over ℓ° is trivial. Then for every norm $\theta \in B^\otimes(\mathcal{G})$, there exist residually maximal split torus \mathcal{T} of \mathcal{G} , a cocharacter $\chi: D_{\ell^\circ}^\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{G}$ and an element $g \in G(\ell)$ such that $\theta = g \cdot \theta_\chi$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.58, there exists some integral model λ of $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}$ together with a homomorphism $\chi: D_{\ell^\circ}^\Gamma \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\lambda)$ such that (λ, χ) splits α . By Theorem 3.18, the two fiber functors λ and $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}$ on $\text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G}$ differ by a flat \mathcal{G} -torsor over ℓ° . Since \mathcal{G} is smooth, any such torsor is trivial étale-locally on ℓ° . Hence our assumption ensures that here exists an isomorphism $\Phi: \omega_{\mathcal{G}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \lambda$ of fiber functors. Under the given isomorphisms $\lambda_\ell \cong \omega_{\mathcal{G}} \cong \omega_{\mathcal{G}, \ell}$, the generic fiber of such a Φ is given by multiplication by some element $g \in G(\ell)$. Then $\lambda = g \cdot \omega_{\mathcal{G}}$ and conjugation by g^{-1} gives an isomorphism $\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}$ over ℓ° . We denote the image $D_{\ell^\circ}^\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ of χ under this isomorphism by $g^{-1}\chi$. Then Lemma 2.25 shows that $\theta = g \cdot \theta_{g^{-1}\chi}$. Finally Proposition 3.16, there exists a residually maximal split torus \mathcal{T} of \mathcal{G} through which χ factors. \square

Description of $B^\otimes(\mathcal{G})$ for split tori

In case \mathcal{G} is a split torus \mathcal{T} over ℓ° , whose generic fiber we denote by T , we can describe the $T(\ell)$ -set $B(\mathcal{T})$ completely:

Since every homomorphism $D_\ell^\Gamma \rightarrow T$ extends uniquely to a homomorphism $D_{\ell^\circ}^\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$, Construction 4.8 gives the natural map

$$(4.10) \quad X_*(T)_\Gamma \rightarrow B^\otimes(\mathcal{T}), \chi \mapsto \theta_\chi.$$

Furthermore, there is a natural isomorphism

$$(4.11) \quad X_*(T) \otimes |\ell^*| \cong T(\ell)/\mathcal{T}(\ell^\circ)$$

which for a cocharacter $\chi: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow T$ and an element $x \in \ell^*$ sends $\chi \otimes |x|$ to $\chi(x)T(\ell^\circ)$. We let $t \in T(\ell)$ act on $X_*(T)_\Gamma$ via translation by the image of $t\mathcal{T}(\ell^\circ)$ under (4.11).

Proposition 4.12. *The map (4.10) is a $T(\ell)$ -equivariant bijection.*

Proof. First we show equivariance: For $X \in \text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{T}$, the norm $\theta_{\chi, X}$ on $\omega_{\mathcal{T}}(X)_\ell$ associated to $\chi: D_\ell^\Gamma \rightarrow T$ is constructed in Construction 3.31 by adding the norm associated to the lattice $\omega_{\mathcal{T}}(X) \subset \omega_{\mathcal{T}}(X)_\ell$ and the weights of χ on $\omega_{\mathcal{T}}(X)_\ell$. The statement that (4.10) is $T(\ell)$ -equivariant then amounts to saying that given a homomorphism $\chi': \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow T$ and an element $x \in \ell^*$, replacing in this construction the lattice $\omega_{\mathcal{T}}(X)$ by $\chi'(x)\omega_{\mathcal{T}}(X)$ has the same effect as replacing χ by $\chi + |x|\chi'$. This follows from the construction of θ_χ .

Via Proposition 3.35, the injectivity of (4.10) is a special case of Lemma 3.35. Since \mathcal{T} is a split torus, every étale \mathcal{T} -torsor over ℓ° is trivial. Using this, Proposition 4.9 shows that every element of $B^\otimes(\mathcal{T})$ is in the $T(\ell)$ -orbit of an element in the image of (4.10). Using the equivariance of (4.10), this implies surjectivity. \square

4.2 Bruhat-Tits buildings

Now we assume that Γ has rank one and that the valuation on ℓ is discrete.

Let G be a reductive group over ℓ . If it exists, we denote the Bruhat-Tits building of G over ℓ by $B(G)$. We want to show that, under certain assumptions, if we take \mathcal{G} to be the parahoric group scheme associated to a special point in $B(G)$, the $G(\ell)$ -set $B^\otimes(\mathcal{G})$ can be identified with the Bruhat-Tits building $B(G)$. For this we need to assume that $B(G)$ exists not only over ℓ , but also over certain field extensions $\ell \subset m$, together with

certain functoriality properties. If $B(G)$ exists, we denote by $A(T) \subset B(G)$ the apartment associated to a maximal split torus $T \subset G$.

Definition 4.13. Assume that $B(G)$ exists and let $\ell \subset m$ be a non-archimedean extension for which $B(G_m)$ exists. A *morphism of buildings* $B(G) \rightarrow B(G_m)$ is a $G(\ell)$ -equivariant injective map such that for every maximal split torus $T \subset G$, there exists a maximal split torus $T' \subset G_m$ containing T_m for which $f(A(T)) \subset A(T')$.

Then we make the following assumptions:

- (i) The building $B(G)$ exists.
- (ii) The building $B(G_{\check{\ell}})$ of G over the maximal unramified extension $\check{\ell}$ of ℓ exists.
- (iii) There exists a non-archimedean extension m of $\check{\ell}$ over which G is split (and hence over which $B(G_m)$ exists) such that there exists a morphism $B(G_{\check{\ell}}) \rightarrow B(G_m)$ of buildings.

In [Rou77, Theorem 5.1.2], Rousseau shows that if the group G becomes quasi-split over a tamely ramified extension of ℓ , then $B(G_m)$ exists for all non-archimedean extensions m of ℓ and is functorial in m via morphisms of buildings in the sense of Definition 4.13. This implies that both assumptions are satisfied in this case. This is in particular the case if ℓ is complete and $\check{\ell}$ is perfect. This functoriality statement also implies that if assumption (ii) is satisfied for a given m , then it is as well satisfied for all non-archimedean overfields of m .

We let \mathcal{G} be the parahoric group scheme associated to a special point $x \in B(G)$.

Definition 4.14. Let $S(\mathcal{G})$ be the set of those maximal split tori of G which extend to a split closed subtorus $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{G}$.

Given a torus $T \in S(\mathcal{G})$, in the following we will always denote by $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{G}$ the split torus extending T . By Theorem 3.17, the tori in $S(\mathcal{G})$ are the maximal split tori T of G for which the maximal bounded subgroup $\mathcal{T}(\ell^\circ)$ of $T(\ell)$ is contained in $\mathcal{G}(\ell^\circ)$, or equivalently the maximal split tori T for which $A(T)$ contains our chosen point x . By Bruhat-Tits theory the split ranks of the special and generic fiber of \mathcal{G} are the same. Hence integral models $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{G}$ of tori $T \in S(\mathcal{G})$ are the residually maximal split tori of \mathcal{G} .

For $T \in S(\mathcal{G})$, the inclusion $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{G}$ induces a $T(\ell)$ -equivariant map $B^\otimes(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow B^\otimes(\mathcal{G})$. It follows from Proposition 4.12 and Theorem 3.58 that this is an inclusion whose image is the set of norms θ on $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}$ for which there exists a homomorphism $\chi: D_\ell^\Gamma \rightarrow T$ such that $(\omega_{\mathcal{G}}, \chi)$ splits α_θ . We denote this image of $B^\otimes(\mathcal{T})$ in $B^\otimes(\mathcal{G})$ by $A^\otimes(T)$.

Furthermore, for $T \in S(\mathcal{G})$, by Bruhat-Tits theory there is a canonical bijection

$$(4.15) \quad X_*(T)_\Gamma \cong A(T)$$

which sends 0 to x and which is $T(\ell)$ -equivariant, where $T(\ell)$ acts on $X_*(T)_\Gamma$ via (4.11) as before.

Then our main result on Bruhat-Tits buildings is:

Theorem 4.16. (i) *There exists a unique $G(\ell)$ -invariant bijection $c: B^\otimes(\mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\sim} B(G)$ whose restriction to $A^\otimes(T)$ for every $T \in S(\mathcal{G})$ is the map c_T defined by the commutative diagram*

$$(4.17) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & X_*(T)_\Gamma & \\ \cong \swarrow & & \searrow \cong \\ A^\otimes(T) & \xrightarrow{c_T} & A(T) \end{array}$$

in which the diagonal maps are given by (4.10) and (4.15).

- (ii) *For each $\theta \in B^\otimes(\mathcal{G})$, the group scheme $\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_\theta)$ is the Bruhat-Tits group scheme associated to $c(\theta)$, that is the unique smooth affine integral model of G whose group of ℓ° -points is equal to the stabilizer of $c(\theta)$ in $G(\ell)$.*

The proof of Theorem 4.16 will take up the rest of this subsection.

Functoriality with respect to the ground field

We will prove Theorem 4.16 by base changing to a large non-archimedean extension $\ell \subset m$. (This argument is modelled on the proof of [Cor20, Theorem 132].) For this, we first consider the functoriality of the maps c_T with respect to such a base change:

Construction 4.18. We consider a non-archimedean extension $\ell \subset m$ for which there exists a morphism of building $f: B(G) \rightarrow B(G_m)$ which sends x to a special point $\tilde{x} \in B(G_m)$. We denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ the parahoric integral model of G_m associated to \tilde{x} .

Since f is $G(\ell)$ -equivariant, we have $\mathcal{G}(\ell^\circ) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(\ell^\circ)$ and hence by Bruhat-Tits theory there is a morphism $\mathcal{G}_{m^\circ} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ which is the identity on the generic fiber. So Construction 4.4 gives us a $G(\ell)$ -equivariant map $B^\otimes(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow B^\otimes(\tilde{\mathcal{G}})$.

If $T \in S(\mathcal{G})$, then by Definition 4.13 there exists a maximal split torus $\tilde{T} \subset G_m$ containing T_m such that f maps $A(T)$ into $A(\tilde{T})$. For such a \tilde{T} , the fact that $\tilde{x} \in A(\tilde{T})$ implies $\tilde{T} \in S(\tilde{\mathcal{G}})$. If $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{G}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{T}} \subset \mathcal{G}'$ are the associated split subtori, then the fact that $\mathcal{T}(\ell^\circ) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{T}}(m^\circ)$ implies that the homomorphism $\mathcal{G}_{m^\circ} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ restricts to a homomorphism $\mathcal{T}_{m^\circ} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{T}}$. Altogether we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_*(\tilde{T})_\Gamma & \xrightarrow{\cong} & A^\otimes(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}) & \xrightarrow{c_{\tilde{T}}} & A(\tilde{T}) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ X_*(T)_\Gamma & \xrightarrow{\cong} & A^\otimes(\mathcal{T}) & \xrightarrow{c_T} & A(T) \end{array}$$

Some Reductions

Lemma 4.19. *To prove Theorem 4.16, it suffices to show that a map c as in Theorem 4.16 (i) exists.*

Proof. First we note that if (i) holds, then the stabilizers of α and $c(\alpha)$ in $G(\ell)$ are equal, and hence (ii) is just a rephrasing of the fact from Theorem 3.58 that $\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_\theta)$ is a smooth affine group scheme. So we only need to consider claim (i). We also note that since $B(G) = \cup_{T \in S(\mathcal{G})} A(T)$, the existence of a map c satisfying the condition from (i) implies $B^\otimes(\mathcal{G}) = \cup_{T \in S(\mathcal{G})} A^\otimes(T)$ and hence such a c is unique if it exists. \square

Lemma 4.20. *If Theorem 4.16 holds over a maximal unramified extension $\check{\ell}$ of ℓ , then it holds over ℓ .*

Proof. Let $\Sigma := \text{Gal}(\check{\ell}/\ell)$. By [Rou77, Prop. 5.1.1], there exist a natural Σ -action on $B^\otimes(G_{\check{\ell}})$ as well as a morphism $f: B(G) \rightarrow B(G_{\check{\ell}})$ of buildings which identifies $B^\otimes(G)$ with $B^\otimes(G_{\check{\ell}})^\Sigma$. We assume that there is a bijection $c_{\check{\ell}}: B^\otimes(\mathcal{G}_{\check{\ell}^\circ}) \rightarrow B(G_{\check{\ell}})$ satisfying the condition of Theorem 4.16 (i). Then for $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $T \in S(G_{\check{\ell}})$, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^\otimes(T) & \xrightarrow{c_T} & A(T) \\ \sigma \cdot \downarrow & & \sigma \cdot \downarrow \\ A^\otimes(\sigma(T)) & \xrightarrow{c_{\sigma(T)}} & A(\sigma(T)) \end{array}$$

This implies that $c_{\check{\ell}}$ is Σ -equivariant. Hence using Proposition 4.7 it restricts to a $G(\ell) = G(\check{\ell})^\Sigma$ -equivariant bijection $c: B^\otimes(\mathcal{G}) = B^\otimes(\mathcal{G}_{\check{\ell}^\circ})^\Sigma \rightarrow B(G) = B(G_{\check{\ell}})^\Sigma$.

Since $\check{\ell}$ is unramified over ℓ , the point $f(x)$ is again special. So we may use Construction 4.18. Using this, we see that c satisfies the condition of Theorem 4.16 (i). So we conclude using Lemma 4.19. \square

Centralizers of maximal split tori

Now we assume that $\tilde{\ell}$ is algebraically closed and consider a split torus $T \in S(\mathcal{G})$ and the associated centralizer $\mathcal{Z} := Z_{\mathcal{G}}(T) \subset \mathcal{G}$. We want to show that the $G(\ell)$ -action on $B^{\otimes}(\mathcal{G})$ restricts to a $\mathcal{Z}(\ell)$ -action on $A^{\otimes}(T)$ and that c_T is $\mathcal{Z}(\ell)$ -equivariant.

The action of $\mathcal{Z}(\ell)$ on $A(T)$ can be described as follows (c.f. e.g. [Lan96, §1]): There exists a unique homomorphism $\nu: \mathcal{Z}(\ell) \rightarrow X_*(T)_{\Gamma}$ extending the homomorphism $T(\ell) \rightarrow X_*(T)_{|\ell^*|} \hookrightarrow X_*(T)_{\Gamma}$ given by (4.11), and $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\ell)$ acts on $A(T)$ by translation by $\nu(z)$.

Lemma 4.21. *The group $\mathcal{Z}(\ell^{\circ})$ is the unique maximal bounded subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}(\ell)$.*

Proof. By [Lan96, Prop 1.2], the group $\mathcal{Z}(\ell)$ has a unique maximal bounded subgroup $\mathcal{Z}(\ell)_b$ given by the kernel of ν . By the above description of the $\mathcal{Z}(\ell)$ -action on $A(T)$, the group $\mathcal{Z}(\ell)_b$ stabilizes x and so must be contained in $\mathcal{Z}(\ell^{\circ})$. Since $\mathcal{Z}(\ell^{\circ})$ is a bounded subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}(\ell)$, this implies $\mathcal{Z}(\ell)_b = \mathcal{Z}(\ell^{\circ})$. \square

Lemma 4.22. *For every point $\theta \in B^{\otimes}(\mathcal{Z})$, the integral model $\underline{\text{Aut}}^{\otimes}(\alpha_{\theta})$ of \mathcal{Z}_{ℓ} is equal to \mathcal{Z} .*

Proof. Since both $\underline{\text{Aut}}^{\otimes}(\alpha_{\theta})$ and \mathcal{Z} are smooth over ℓ° , by Theorem 3.17 it suffices to prove the identity $\underline{\text{Aut}}^{\otimes}(\alpha_{\theta})(\ell^{\circ}) = \mathcal{Z}(\ell^{\circ})$ inside $\mathcal{Z}(\ell)$. By Lemma 4.21, for this it suffices to prove $\mathcal{Z}(\ell^{\circ}) \subset \underline{\text{Aut}}^{\otimes}(\alpha_{\theta})(\ell^{\circ})$.

It follows from Proposition 3.16, that the split torus \mathcal{T} is the unique residually maximal split torus of \mathcal{Z} . Hence by Proposition 4.9 and our assumption on ℓ , the point θ is of the form $z \cdot \theta_{\chi}$ for some $\chi \in X_*(T)_{\Gamma}$. Since $\mathcal{Z}(\ell^{\circ})$ stabilizes both $\omega_{\mathcal{Z}}$ and χ , it stabilizes θ_{χ} . Then, since $\mathcal{Z}(\ell^{\circ})$ is normal in $\mathcal{Z}(\ell)$ by Lemma 4.21, it also stabilizes $\theta = z \cdot \theta_{\chi}$. \square

Lemma 4.23. (i) *The map $A^{\otimes}(T) = B^{\otimes}(\mathcal{T}) \hookrightarrow B^{\otimes}(\mathcal{Z})$ induced by the inclusion $\mathcal{T} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ is a bijection.*

(ii) *The $G(\ell)$ -action on $B^{\otimes}(\mathcal{G})$ restricts to a $\mathcal{Z}(\ell)$ -action on $A^{\otimes}(T)$.*

Proof. (i) This map is an injection by Lemma 4.5. Using Lemma 4.22, let $\theta \in A^{\otimes}(\mathcal{Z})$ and $\chi: D_{\ell^{\circ}}^{\Gamma} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}^{\otimes}(\alpha_{\theta}) = \mathcal{Z}$ a homomorphism which splits α_{θ} over ℓ° . Since as in the proof of Lemma 4.22 the torus \mathcal{T} is the unique residually maximal split torus of \mathcal{Z} , by Proposition 4.9, the homomorphism χ factors through \mathcal{T} . Then it follows from the uniqueness statement in Proposition 3.34 that θ is equal to θ_{χ} . This proves surjectivity.

(ii) Since the map $B^{\otimes}(\mathcal{Z}) \rightarrow B^{\otimes}(\mathcal{G})$ induced by the inclusion $\mathcal{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is $\mathcal{Z}(\ell)$ -equivariant, this follows from (i). \square

Proposition 4.24. (i) *Every element $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\ell)$ acts on $A^{\otimes}(T) \cong X_*(T)_{\Gamma}$ by translation by $\nu(z)$.*

(ii) *The map c_T is $\mathcal{Z}(\ell)$ -equivariant.*

Proof. (i) Let $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\ell)$. By Lemma 4.23, the point $z \cdot \theta_{\mathcal{Z}} \in A^{\otimes}(T)$ is equal to θ_{χ} for a unique $\chi \in X_*(T)_{\Gamma}$. For $X \in \mathbf{Rep}^{\circ} \mathcal{Z}$, the fact that \mathcal{T} is central in \mathcal{Z} implies that there is a decomposition $X = \bigoplus_{w \in X^*(T)} X^w$ in $\mathbf{Rep}^{\circ} \mathcal{Z}$ into weight spaces associated to \mathcal{T} . Then the fact that $z \cdot \theta_{\mathcal{Z}} = \theta_{\chi}$ says that for each $w \in X^*(T)$, the norm $z \cdot \alpha_{\omega_{\mathcal{Z}}(X^w)}$ on $\omega_{\mathcal{Z}}(X^w)_{\ell}$ is equal to $\langle w, \chi \rangle \alpha_{\omega_{\mathcal{Z}}(X^w)}$. This implies that the assignment $z \mapsto \chi$ is a homomorphism $\mathcal{Z}(\ell) \rightarrow X_*(T)_{\Gamma}$ whose restriction to $T(\ell)$ is the homomorphism $T(\ell) \rightarrow X_*(T)_{|\ell^*|} \hookrightarrow X_*(T)_{\Gamma}$ given by (4.11). So, as noted above, this homomorphism must be equal to ν . This shows (i).

(ii) In view of the above description of the $\mathcal{Z}(\ell)$ -action on $A(T)$, this follows from (i). \square

Proof of Theorem 4.16

First we prove the following weaker version of Theorem 4.16:

Proposition 4.25. *Assume that ℓ is strictly Henselian and let $B^\otimes(\mathcal{G})' := \cup_{T \in S(\mathcal{G})} A^\otimes(T) \subset B^\otimes(\mathcal{G})$. There exists a unique bijection*

$$c: B^\otimes(\mathcal{G})' \rightarrow B(G)$$

satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) For each $T \in S(\mathcal{G})$, the restriction of c to $A^\otimes(T)$ is the map c_T from (4.17).
- (ii) For each $\theta \in B^\otimes(\mathcal{G})'$, the stabilizers of θ and $c(\theta)$ in $G(\ell)$ are equal.

Proof. First we note that since $B(G) = \cup_{T \in S(\mathcal{G})} A(T)$, a map c satisfying the condition (i) is automatically surjective, and is also uniquely determined by condition (i).

Consider a non-archimedean extension $\ell \subset m$ with $|m^*| = \Gamma$, over which G splits and for which there is a morphism $f: B(G) \rightarrow B(G_m)$ of buildings. We want to reduce to proving the existence of c over m : Since $|m^*| = \Gamma$, the existence of the isomorphism (4.11) shows that for every maximal split torus $T' \subset G_m$, the group $T(\ell)$ acts transitively on $A(T)$. Hence every point of $B(G_m)$ is hyperspecial and so in particular the image \tilde{x} of x in $B(G_m)$ is hyperspecial. We let $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ be the associated hyperspecial model of G_m and use the map $B^\otimes(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow B^\otimes(\tilde{\mathcal{G}})$ given by Construction 4.4.

Since the $A^\otimes(T)$ cover $B^\otimes(\mathcal{G})'$, to prove the existence of a map $c: B^\otimes(\mathcal{G})' \rightarrow B(G)$ satisfying condition (i), it suffices to show that for all $T, T' \in S(\mathcal{G})$, the maps c_T and $c_{T'}$ agree on $A^\otimes(T) \cap A^\otimes(T')$. Using Construction 4.18, we see that it suffices to show this after replacing ℓ by m and \mathcal{G} by $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$. After the existence of such a map c has been shown, condition (ii) and injectivity of c can also be checked after passing to m . So we may and do assume that $|\ell^*| = \Gamma$ and that G is split.

As just noted, to check the existence of a map $c: B^\otimes(\mathcal{G})' \rightarrow B(G)$ restricting to each c_T , we need to check that $c_T(\theta) = c_{T'}(\theta)$ for all $T, T' \in S(\mathcal{G})$ any all $\theta \in A^\otimes(T) \cap A^\otimes(T')$. Since $|\ell^*| = \Gamma$, it follows from Proposition 4.12 that $T(\ell)$ acts transitively on $A^\otimes(T)$, and analogously for T' . Hence there exist elements $t \in T(\ell)$ and $t' \in T'(\ell)$ such that $\theta = t \cdot \theta_G = t' \cdot \theta_G$. Since the stabilizer group scheme $\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_{\theta_G})$ of $\alpha_{\theta_G} = \alpha_{\omega_G}$ is canonically isomorphic to \mathcal{G} by Example 3.29, there exists an element $g \in \mathcal{G}(\ell^\circ)$ such that $t' = tg$. Since (4.10) and (4.15) are $T(\ell)$ -equivariant, so is c_T . Using this we find

$$c_{T'}(\theta) = c_{T'}(t' \cdot \theta_G) = t' \cdot c_{T'}(\theta_G) = t' \cdot x = tg \cdot x = t \cdot x = t \cdot c_T(\theta_G) = c_T(t \cdot \theta_G) = c_T(\theta).$$

This proves the existence of a map c satisfying condition (i).

Next we verify condition (ii). This is certainly true for $\theta = \theta_G$ (which is mapped to x under c), since then both stabilizers are equal to $\mathcal{G}(\ell^\circ)$. For an arbitrary θ , we choose $T \in S(\mathcal{G})$ with $\theta \in A^\otimes(T)$. Then, as noted above, there exists an element $t \in T(\ell)$ for which $\theta = t \cdot \theta_G$. Since then $c(\theta) = t \cdot x$ by the $T(\ell)$ -equivariance of c_T , we see that the stabilizers of θ and $c(\theta)$ are both equal to ${}^t\mathcal{G}(\ell^\circ)$.

It remains to prove injectivity. So let $\theta, \theta' \in B^\otimes(\mathcal{G})$ with $c(\theta) = c(\theta')$. The fact that for each $T \in S(\mathcal{G})$, the group $T(\ell)$ operates transitively on $A^\otimes(T)$ implies that there exists $g \in \mathcal{G}(\ell)$ such that $g \cdot \theta = \theta'$. Then g stabilizes $c(\theta)$ and hence, as already shown, the point θ . So $\theta = \theta'$ and c is injective. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.16. By Lemmas 4.19 and 4.20, we may assume that ℓ is strictly Henselian, and it suffices to prove that a map c as in Theorem 4.16 (i) exists. We take the map c from Proposition 4.25 and show that it has the required properties. That is we prove that $B^\otimes(\mathcal{G})' = B^\otimes(\mathcal{G})$ and that c is $G(\ell)$ -equivariant.

Let $\theta \in B^\otimes(\mathcal{G})$. By Proposition 4.9, there exist a residually maximal split torus \mathcal{T} of \mathcal{G} , a homomorphism $\chi: D_{\ell^\circ}^\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{G}$ and an element $g \in G(\ell)$ such that $\theta = g \cdot \theta_\chi$. As noted above, the generic fiber $T = \mathcal{T}_\ell$ of such a \mathcal{T} lies in $S(\mathcal{G})$.

By Proposition 4.25, the stabilizer in $G(\ell)$ of θ_χ contains a parahoric subgroup of $G(\ell)$. Hence, since \mathcal{G} is a special parahoric integral model, by the Cartan decomposition we may decompose g as $g = g_1 z g_2$ with $g_1 \in \mathcal{G}(\ell^\circ)$, $z \in Z_G(T)(\ell)$ and g_2 in the stabilizer of θ_χ . Since $\theta_\chi \in A^\otimes(T)$, using Lemma 4.23 we find

$$\theta = g \cdot \theta_\chi = g_1 z \cdot \theta_\chi \in g_1 \cdot A^\otimes(T) = A^\otimes({}^g T) \subset B^\otimes(\mathcal{G})'.$$

This proves that $B^\otimes(\mathcal{G}) = B^\otimes(\mathcal{G}')$.

To show that c is $G(\ell)$ -equivariant, let $g \in G(\ell)$ and $\theta \in B(\mathcal{G})$. Let $T \in S(\mathcal{G})$ be such that $\theta \in A^\otimes(T)$. First we assume $g \in \mathcal{G}(\ell^\circ)$. Then ${}^g T \in S(\mathcal{G})$ and $g \cdot \theta \in A^\otimes({}^g T)$. Using this it follows from the defining property of c that

$$(4.26) \quad c(g \cdot \theta) = c_{{}^g T}(g \cdot \theta) = g \cdot c_T(\theta) = g \cdot c(\theta).$$

Next we consider an arbitrary element $g \in G(\ell)$. As before, by the Cartan decomposition we can write $g = g_1 z g_2$ with $g_1 \in \mathcal{G}(\ell^\circ)$, $z \in Z_G(T)(\ell)$ and $g_2 \in \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_\theta)(\ell^\circ)$. Then

$$c(g \cdot \theta) = c(g_1 z g_2 \cdot \theta) = c(g_1 z \cdot \theta) = g_1 \cdot c_T(z \cdot \theta) = g_1 z \cdot c(\theta) = g_1 z g_2 \cdot c(\theta),$$

where we have used the $Z_G(T)(\ell)$ -equivariance of c_T from Proposition 4.24 and the fact that g_2 stabilizes $c(\theta)$. So c is $G(\ell)$ -equivariant. \square

4.3 Special Fibers of Bruhat-Tits group schemes

We continue with the notation from the previous subsection.

Using our methods we can also give the following description of the special fiber of a Bruhat-Tits group scheme: (It is not clear to us whether this result is new.) Let $\theta \in B^\otimes(\mathcal{G})$ and $\chi: D_{\ell^\circ}^{\Gamma/|\ell^*|} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_\theta)$ a homomorphism which splits α_θ . Then by Theorem 3.58, the special fiber $\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_\theta)_{\bar{\ell}}$ is a semidirect product of a split unipotent group scheme and the centralizer of $\chi_{\bar{\ell}}$. The latter is described in the theorem below. For example if \mathcal{G} is a hyperspecial model, this shows that the centralizer of $\chi_{\bar{\ell}}$ is reductive, and hence that its identity component is a Levi subgroup of the identity component of $\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha)_{\bar{\ell}}$.

Theorem 4.27. *Let $\theta \in B^\otimes(\mathcal{G})$ and $\chi: D_{\ell^\circ}^{\Gamma/|\ell^*|} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_\theta)$ be a homomorphism which splits α_θ over ℓ° .*

Let $T \in S(\mathcal{G})$ be such that $\theta \in A^\otimes(T)$ with split integral model $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{G}$. Let $\chi': D_{\ell^\circ}^\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ be the preimage of θ under the bijection (4.10) and $\bar{\chi}'$ be the composition $D_{\ell^\circ}^{\Gamma/|\ell^|} \rightarrow D_{\ell^\circ}^\Gamma \xrightarrow{\chi'} \mathcal{T}$.*

Then the group schemes $\text{Cent}_{\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_\theta)}(\chi)$ and $\text{Cent}_{\mathcal{G}}(\bar{\chi}')$ over ℓ° are inner forms of each other in such a way that the conjugacy classes of χ and $\bar{\chi}'$ correspond to each other.

Proof. By Proposition 3.34, the homomorphism χ'_ℓ extends to a homomorphism $D_{\ell^\circ}^\Gamma \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_\theta)$ which splits α_θ over ℓ° . Hence by Theorem 3.58 (vi), the homomorphisms χ_ℓ and $\bar{\chi}'_\ell$ are conjugate by an element $g \in \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_\theta)(\ell^\circ)$. Since the statement is invariant under replacing χ by ${}^g \chi$, we may thus assume that $\chi_\ell = \bar{\chi}'_\ell$.

Let now $\ell \subset m$ be a non-archimedean extension of ℓ satisfying $|m^*| = \Gamma$. Then $\alpha_{\theta, m} = \alpha_\lambda$ for the integral model $\text{Rep}^\circ \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{m^\circ}$, $X \mapsto \omega_{\mathcal{G}}(X)^{\theta_{X, m} \leq 1}$ of $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}$ by Lemma 3.23. As in the proof of Proposition 4.9, Theorem 3.18 implies that after potentially further enlarging m there exists an element $g \in G(m)$ such that $g \cdot \lambda = \omega_{\mathcal{G}}$ as an integral model of $\omega_{\mathcal{G}}$.

Since $\theta \in A^\otimes(T)$, the inclusion $T \hookrightarrow G$ extends (uniquely) to a closed immersion $\mathcal{T} \hookrightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_\theta)$ over ℓ° . Since by Theorem 3.58 the kernel $U_{<1}(\alpha)$ of $b_{\bar{\ell}}^{m/\ell}$ is unipotent, composition with $b^{m/\ell}$, the homomorphism $b^{m/\ell}$ restricts to a closed immersion $\mathcal{T}_{m^\circ} \hookrightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_{\theta, m})$ over m° . So both T_m and ${}^g T_m$ extend to a residually maximal split torus of \mathcal{G}_{m° . Hence by Proposition 3.16, there exists an element $g' \in \mathcal{G}(m^\circ)$ for which $T_m = {}^{g'} T_m$. Hence after replacing g by $g'g$ we find $g \in N_G(T)(m)$. Since \mathcal{G} is a special parahoric integral

model of G , the group $N_G(T)(m)$ is contained in $\mathcal{G}(m^\circ)T(m)$. Hence after again replacing g by some element of $\mathcal{G}(m^\circ)g$, we may assume that $g \in T(\ell)$.

Let $\Phi: \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_{\theta,m}) \cong \mathcal{G}_{m^\circ}$ be the isomorphism whose generic fiber is given by conjugation by g . Since by the above ${}^g\chi_\ell = \bar{\chi}'_\ell$, the isomorphism Φ sends $b^{m/\ell} \circ \chi_{m^\circ}$ to $\bar{\chi}'_{m^\circ}$. Hence, by Proposition 3.41, the homomorphisms $b^{m/\ell}$ and Φ induce isomorphisms

$$L_{m^\circ} \cong \text{Cent}_{\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\alpha_{\theta,m})}(b^{m/\ell} \circ \chi) \cong L'_{m^\circ}$$

which send χ_{m° to $\bar{\chi}'_{m^\circ}$. This proves the claim. \square

References

- [BLR90] Siegfried Bosch, Werner Lütkebohmert, and Michel Raynaud. *Néron models*, volume 21 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [Bro13] Michael Broshi. G -torsors over a Dedekind scheme. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 217(1):11–19, 2013.
- [BT72] F. Bruhat and J. Tits. Groupes réductifs sur un corps local. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (41):5–251, 1972.
- [BT84a] F. Bruhat and J. Tits. Groupes réductifs sur un corps local. II. Schémas en groupes. Existence d’une donnée radicielle valuée. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (60):197–376, 1984.
- [BT84b] F. Bruhat and J. Tits. Schémas en groupes et immeubles des groupes classiques sur un corps local. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 112(2):259–301, 1984.
- [BT87] F. Bruhat and J. Tits. Schémas en groupes et immeubles des groupes classiques sur un corps local. II. Groupes unitaires. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 115(2):141–195, 1987.
- [Cor20] Christophe Cornut. Filtrations and buildings. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 266(1296):147, 2020.
- [DG70] M. Demazure and A. Grothendieck, editors. *Schémas en groupes. II: Groupes de type multiplicatif, et structure des schémas en groupes généraux*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 152. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1962/64 (SGA 3), Dirigé par M. Demazure et A. Grothendieck.
- [DH18] Nguyen Dai Duong and Phùng Hô Hai. Tannakian duality over Dedekind rings and applications. *Math. Z.*, 288(3-4):1103–1142, 2018.
- [GI63] O. Goldman and N. Iwahori. The space of \mathfrak{p} -adic norms. *Acta Math.*, 109:137–177, 1963.
- [GP11] Philippe Gille and Patrick Polo, editors. *Schémas en groupes (SGA 3). Tome I. Propriétés générales des schémas en groupes*, volume 7 of *Documents Mathématiques (Paris) [Mathematical Documents (Paris)]*. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2011. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1962–64. [Algebraic Geometry Seminar of Bois Marie 1962–64], A seminar directed by M. Demazure and A. Grothendieck with the collaboration of M. Artin, J.-E. Bertin, P. Gabriel, M. Raynaud and J.-P. Serre, Revised and annotated edition of the 1970 French original.
- [Lan96] Erasmus Landvogt. *A compactification of the Bruhat-Tits building*, volume 1619 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [MT15] Johan Martens and Michael Thaddeus. Variations on a theme of grothendieck. 2015. arXiv:arXiv:1210.8161v2.

- [Rou77] Guy Rousseau. *Immeubles des groupes réductifs sur les corps locaux*. Publications Mathématiques d'Orsay, No. 221-77.68. Université Paris XI, U.E.R. Mathématique, Orsay, 1977. Thèse de doctorat.
- [Sch13] Daniel Schäppi. The formal theory of Tannaka duality. *Astérisque*, (357):viii+140, 2013.
- [SR72] Neantro Saavedra Rivano. *Catégories Tannakiennes*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 265. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972.
- [Wat79] William C. Waterhouse. *Introduction to affine group schemes*, volume 66 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1979.
- [Wil10] Kevin Michael Wilson, Jr. *A Tannakian description for parahoric Bruhat-Tits group schemes*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2010. Thesis (Ph.D.)—University of Maryland, College Park.