

# PRESENTATIONS OF BRAID GROUPS OF TYPE $A$ ARISING FROM $(m + 2)$ -ANGULATIONS OF REGULAR POLYGONS

DAVIDE MORIGI

**ABSTRACT.** We describe presentations of braid groups of type  $A$  arising from coloured quivers of mutation type  $A$ . We show that these can be interpreted geometrically as generalised triangulations of regular polygons.

**Keywords:** mutation, braid groups, cluster categories.

**AMS 2010 classification number:** 13F60, 20F36

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of  $(m + 2)$ -angulation of a regular  $(nm + 2)$ -sided polygon was studied in [1] by Baur and Marsh in 2006 to give a geometric description of the  $m$ -cluster category of type  $A_{n-1}$ . A few years later, Buan and Thomas introduced  $m$ -coloured quivers and  $m$ -coloured quiver mutations in [2], adapting the classical concept of quiver mutation given by Fomin and Zelevinsky in [3] to the setting of higher cluster categories.


Classically, the concepts of quiver of mutation type  $A_{n-1}$  and triangulation of a regular  $(n + 2)$  polygon coincide (see [4]). In Section 2 of [7], the authors associate a group to any quiver of mutation type  $A_{n-1}$ , that they show being isomorphic to the braid group of type  $A_{n-1}$ . They give an interpretation of such presentations, that already appeared in [8], in terms of triangulations of a regular  $(n + 2)$ -gon. This construction allows them to interpret the aforementioned presentations from a cluster algebra point of view.

This paper generalises the result of [7] just described, using the concepts introduced in [1] and [2]. We propose a description of some presentations given in [8] from an higher cluster category perspective. In particular, we describe presentations of the braid group of type  $A_{n-1}$  arising from colored quiver mutation. The main theorem we will prove is the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Fix two integers  $m, n \geq 1$ . Let  $Q$  be an  $m$ -coloured quiver of mutation type  $\overrightarrow{A_{n-1}}$ , with vertices  $1, \dots, n - 1$ . If  $i \rightarrow j \in Q_1$ , let  $c_{ij}$  be its colour. Define the group  $B_Q$  to be generated by  $s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}$  subject to the following relations.*

- (1)  $s_i s_j = s_j s_i$  if there is no arrow between  $i$  and  $j$  (in either direction);
- (2)  $s_i s_j s_i = s_j s_i s_j$  if there is a pair of arrows  $i \rightleftarrows j$ ;

$$(3) \quad s_{i_1} s_{i_2} s_{i_3} s_{i_1} = s_{i_2} s_{i_3} s_{i_1} s_{i_2} = s_{i_3} s_{i_1} s_{i_2} s_{i_3} \text{ if}$$



is a subquiver of  $Q$ , and  $c_{i_1, i_2} + c_{i_2, i_3} + c_{i_3, i_1} = 2m + 1$ .

Then  $B_Q$  is isomorphic to the braid group of type  $\overrightarrow{A_{n-1}}$ .

We prove this result by giving explicit group isomorphisms between  $B_Q$  and  $B_{\mu_k(Q)}$ , the group associated to the  $m$ -coloured quiver mutation of  $Q$  at some vertex  $k$ . The proof relies on the geometric description of  $m$ -coloured quivers of mutation type  $\overrightarrow{A_{n-1}}$  as  $(m+2)$ -angulations of a regular  $(nm+2)$ -sided polygon which was proved in [9]. However, an explicit proof that the quiver associated to an  $(m+2)$ -angulation of a regular polygon is always of mutation type  $\overrightarrow{A_{n-1}}$  is not given in [9]. Therefore, we will go through the proof of this fact, and then tackle Theorem 1.1.

The relations in Theorem 1.1 are the same given by Grant and Marsh in [7] for uncoloured quivers of mutation type  $A$ . However, in the uncoloured setting, the only possible full subquivers of a quiver  $Q$  of mutation type  $A$  are linear quivers and 3-cycles. In the coloured setting, if we fix an integer  $m \geq 2$  and choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  big enough, we can have as many  $k$ -cycles as we want, for  $k = 2, \dots, m+2$ . We will show that relations involving  $k$ -cycles also hold for all  $k$ , and follow from the ones in Theorem 1.1.

The pictures in this paper have been done using the interactive mathematics software Geogebra [5].

## 2. COLOURED QUIVERS

Throughout the paper,  $m, n \geq 1$  will be fixed integers. The following definitions can be found in [2].

**Definition 2.1.** An  $m$ -coloured quiver  $Q$  consists of vertices  $1, \dots, n$  and coloured arrows  $i \xrightarrow{(c)} j$ , where  $c \in \{0, \dots, m\}$ .

Let  $q_{ij}^{(c)}$  denote the number of arrows from  $i$  to  $j$  of colour  $c$ . We consider  $m$ -coloured quivers satisfying the following conditions.

- (I) *No loops:*  $q_{ii}^{(c)} = 0$  for all  $c = 0, \dots, m$ .
- (II) *Monochromaticity:*  $q_{ij}^{(c)} \neq 0$  implies  $q_{ij}^{(c')} = 0$  for all  $c' \neq c$ .
- (III) *Skew-symmetry:*  $q_{ij}^{(c)} = q_{ji}^{(m-c)}$  for all  $c = 0, \dots, m$ .

**Remark 2.2.** A standard quiver (i.e., a quiver without colours on the arrows) shall be interpreted as a 1-coloured quiver whose arrows have colour 1. For example, a quiver of type  $A_3$  with the usual orientation of the arrows

will be interpreted as the following 1-coloured quiver.

$$1 \longrightarrow 2 \longrightarrow 3 \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad 1 \xrightleftharpoons[(0)]{(1)} 2 \xrightleftharpoons[(0)]{(1)} 3$$

**Remark 2.3.** Notice that, because of the skew symmetry property, if an  $m$ -coloured quiver  $Q$  has an arrow  $i \xrightarrow{(c)} j$ ,  $c \in \{0, \dots, m\}$ , then it also has an arrow  $j \xrightarrow{(m-c)} i$ .


Thus, we may sometimes draw

$$i \xrightarrow{(c)} j$$


instead of

$$i \xrightleftharpoons[(m-c)]{(c)} j.$$

**Example 2.4.** Let  $m = 3$ ,  $n = 5$ . Then an example of 3-coloured quiver on vertices  $1, \dots, 5$  is the following.



We might draw this as



We now introduce the concept of mutation of an  $m$ -coloured quiver at a vertex  $k$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $Q$  be an  $m$ -coloured quiver, and  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  one of its vertices. The  $m$ -coloured quiver mutation of  $Q$  at vertex  $k$  is the  $m$ -coloured quiver  $\tilde{Q} = \mu_k(Q)$  defined by

$$\tilde{q}_{ij}^{(c)} = \begin{cases} q_{ij}^{(c+1)} & \text{if } k = i \\ q_{ij}^{(c-1)} & \text{if } k = j \\ \max \{0, q_{ij}^{(c)} - \sum_{t \neq c} q_{ij}^{(t)} + (q_{ik}^{(c)} - q_{ik}^{(c-1)})q_{kj}^{(0)} + q_{ik}^{(m)}(q_{kj}^{(c)} - q_{kj}^{(c+1)})\} & \text{if } i \neq k \neq j \end{cases}$$

where we set  $q_{ij}^{(m+1)} = q_{ij}^{(0)}$  and  $q_{ij}^{(-1)} = q_{ij}^{(m)}$ .

**Remark 2.6.** We will later associate to  $m$ -coloured quivers of some type a generalised triangulation of a regular polygon. In this interpretation, the quiver mutation defined above corresponds to the counterclockwise rotation of a diagonal in the polygon.

If we replace all the occurrences of  $c + 1$  by  $c - 1$  (and viceversa) in the definition of  $\tilde{q}_{ij}^{(c)}$  given above, then we would get a definition of  $m$ -coloured quiver mutation that is inverse to Definition 2.5. With this alternative definition, mutations should be interpreted as clockwise rotations of a diagonal in the associated generalised triangulation of a regular polygon.

**Remark 2.7.** Let  $Q$  be a standard (uncoloured) quiver. If we interpret  $Q$  as a 1-coloured quiver as in Remark 2.2, then it is easy to check that the definition of 1-coloured quiver mutation given above agrees with the standard definition of quiver mutation given in literature (see [3], §4).

The above definition is slightly different to the one given in [2]. Indeed, in [2] the authors swap the conditions  $k = i$  and  $k = j$ . Our choice will be justified later (see Remark 2.9 and Section 4).

One can give an equivalent definition of coloured quiver mutation, that is in general easier to work with.

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $Q$  be an  $m$ -coloured quiver, and  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  one of its vertices. Then the following algorithm correctly computes the  $m$ -coloured quiver mutation  $\mu_k(Q)$  of  $Q$  at vertex  $k$ . The colours  $-1$  and  $m+1$  that could arise shall be interpreted as  $m$  and  $0$ , respectively.*

- Step 1. *Add 1 to the colour of the arrows going into  $k$ , and subtract 1 to the colour of the arrows going out of  $k$ .*  
 Step 2. *For each of the following type of arrows*

$$i \xrightleftharpoons[(m-c)]{(c)} k \xrightleftharpoons[(m)]{(0)} j,$$

*with  $i \neq j$  and  $c \neq m$ , add the pair of arrows*

$$i \xrightleftharpoons[(m-c)]{(c)} j.$$

- Step 3. *If the graph obtained violates the monochromaticity property (II) of Definition 2.1 because for some pair of vertices  $i$  and  $j$  there are arrows from  $i$  to  $j$  which have more than one different colour, cancel the same number of arrows of each colour, until property (II) is satisfied.*

**Remark 2.9.** The above Proposition can be found in Section 10 of [2]. However, the authors don't impose the condition  $c \neq m$  in Step 2. This is likely a mistake for the following two reasons:

- Let


$$Q = i \xrightleftharpoons[(0)]{(m)} k \xrightleftharpoons[(m)]{(0)} j, \quad i \neq j.$$

If we mutate at vertex  $k$ , Definition 2.5 yields  $\tilde{q}_{ij}^{(m)} = 0$  and hence there should be no pair of arrows  $i \rightleftarrows j$  in  $\mu_k(Q)$  while, according


to Section 10 of [2], there should be a pair of arrows  $i \xrightleftharpoons[(0)]{(m)} j$ .

- The second reason will be fully explained in Section 4. There, we will associate to some  $m$ -coloured quivers a combinatorial object, called  $(m+2)$ -angulation of an  $(mn+2)$ -gon. On such  $(m+2)$ -angulations we will define an operation, that we want to be compatible with the quiver mutation defined above. One can check that, in order for this to happen, the condition  $c \neq m$  is crucial.

**Example 2.10.** Let  $m = 2$  and let  $Q$  be the following quiver.



Applying Steps 1. and 2. of Proposition 2.8 for  $k = 2$  yields the quiver



However, the pair of vertices 1 and 3 violate the monochromaticity property of coloured quivers. Thus, if we apply Step 3. of Proposition 2.8, we get that the mutation of  $Q$  at vertex 2 is

$$\mu_2(Q) = 1 \xrightarrow{(2)} 2 \xrightarrow{(2)} 3.$$

**Definition 2.11.** We call the following  $m$ -coloured quiver  $\overrightarrow{A_{n-1}}$ .

$$1 \xrightleftharpoons[(m)]{(0)} 2 \xrightleftharpoons[(m)]{(0)} \cdots \xrightleftharpoons[(m)]{(0)} (n-2) \xrightleftharpoons[(m)]{(0)} (n-1)$$

**Definition 2.12.** An  $m$ -coloured quiver  $Q$  is called **of mutation type**  $\overrightarrow{A_{n-1}}$  if  $Q = \mu_{i_1} \dots \mu_{i_\ell}(\overrightarrow{A_{n-1}})$  for some  $i_1, \dots, i_\ell \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ .

### 3. $(m+2)$ -ANGULATIONS OF A REGULAR POLYGON

Recall  $n, m \geq 1$  are fixed integers.

In the following,  $\Pi$  will denote a regular  $nm+2$  sided polygon, with vertices numbered clockwise from 1 to  $nm+2$ .

We introduce the concept of  $(m+2)$ -angulation of  $\Pi$ . In the following we will see that this is closely related to  $m$ -coloured quivers of mutation type  $\overrightarrow{A_{n-1}}$ .

- Definition 3.1.**
- A **diagonal** of  $\Pi$  is a pair  $(i, j)$  with  $i \neq j$ ,  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, nm + 2\}$ . The diagonal  $(i, j)$  will be interpreted the same as the diagonal  $(j, i)$ .
  - Two diagonals  $(i_1, j_1)$ ,  $(i_2, j_2)$  with  $i_1 < j_1$  and  $i_2 < j_2$  of  $\Pi$  are called **intersecting** if  $i_1 < i_2 < j_1 < j_2$  or  $i_2 < i_1 < j_2 < j_1$ .
  - An  **$m$ -diagonal** of  $\Pi$  is a diagonal of  $\Pi$  of the form  $(i, i + jm + 1)$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, nm + 2\}$  and  $j \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ .
  - An  **$(m + 2)$ -angulation** of  $\Pi$  is a maximal collection of non intersecting  $m$ -diagonals.

**Remark 3.2.** One can give a geometrical interpretation the first three items of Definition 3.1.

- A **diagonal** of  $\Pi$  is a segment connecting two distinct vertices of  $\Pi$ .
- Two diagonals of  $\Pi$  are called **intersecting** if they have a common point inside  $\Pi$ .
- An  **$m$ -diagonal** of  $\Pi$  is a diagonal of  $\Pi$  which divides  $\Pi$  into an  $(mj + 2)$ -gon and an  $(m(n - j) + 2)$ -gon for some  $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$ .

We will mainly use the geometric description of the concepts defined above, since it allows us to draw pictures. However, one might use a purely combinatorial approach as well.

The following results follow directly from the definition of  $(m+2)$ -angulation.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $\Delta$  be an  $(m+2)$ -angulation of  $\Pi$ . Then the following hold.*

- (1) *The number of  $m$ -diagonals of  $\Delta$  is  $n - 1$ .*
- (2)  *$\Delta$  defines  $n$  distinct  $(m + 2)$ -gons  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  whose union is  $\Pi$ .*
- (3) *If  $n \geq 2$  then, for each  $\gamma \in \Delta$  there are exactly two  $(m + 2)$ -gons  $P_\gamma^{(1)}$ ,  $P_\gamma^{(2)}$  determined by  $\Delta$  that have  $\gamma$  as an edge. We call  $P_\gamma$  the  $(2m + 2)$ -gon given by the union of  $P_\gamma^{(1)}$  and  $P_\gamma^{(2)}$ .*

*Proof.* The first two statements can be proved by induction on  $n$ .

- If  $n = 1$ , then  $\Pi$  is an  $(m + 2)$ -gon. Hence  $\Delta$  is empty, that is, the number of  $m$ -diagonals of  $\Delta$  is 0, and  $\Pi$  is trivially union of one  $(m + 2)$ -gon, that is  $\Pi$  itself.
- If  $n > 1$ , let  $\gamma \in \Delta$  be an  $m$ -diagonal. Then  $\gamma$  divides  $\Pi$  into an  $(mj + 2)$ -gon  $P$  and a  $m(n - j) + 2$ -gon  $P'$  for some  $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$ .
  - By induction hypothesis, we have that  $\Delta$  induces an  $(m + 2)$ -angulation on  $P$  (resp.  $P'$ ) consisting of  $j - 1$   $m$ -diagonals (resp.  $n - j - 1$   $m$ -diagonals), say  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{j-1}$  (resp.  $\delta_{j+1}, \dots, \delta_{n-1}$ ). Hence  $\Delta = \{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{j-1}, \gamma, \delta_{j+1}, \dots, \delta_{n-1}\}$ , so the first statement follows.
  - By induction hypothesis,  $P$  can be written as disjoint union of  $j$   $(m + 2)$ -gons, say  $P_1, \dots, P_j$ , while  $P'$  can be written as union of  $n - j$   $(m + 2)$ -gons, say  $P_{j+1}, \dots, P_n$ . Hence  $\Delta$  can be written as

union of the  $(m+2)$ -gons  $P_1, \dots, P_n$ , and so we get the second statement.

As for (3), we know that  $\gamma$  divides  $\Pi$  into an  $(mj+2)$ -gon  $\Pi_1$  and an  $(m(n-j)+2)$ -gon  $\Pi_2$  for some  $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ . Let  $\Delta_i$  be the restriction of  $\Delta$  to  $\Pi_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ . By (2),  $\Delta_1$  (resp.  $\Delta_2$ ) defines  $j$  (resp.  $n-j$ )  $(m+2)$ -gons whose union is  $\Pi_1$  (resp.  $\Pi_2$ ). Therefore  $P_\gamma^{(1)}$  and  $P_\gamma^{(2)}$  are the  $(m+2)$ -gons that have  $\gamma$  as an edge in  $\Pi_1$  and  $\Pi_2$ , respectively.  $\square$

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $\Delta$  be an  $(m+2)$ -angulation of  $\Pi$ , and  $\gamma \in \Delta$  be an  $m$ -diagonal. Let  $P_\gamma$  be the  $(2m+2)$ -gon introduced in Proposition 3.3. Let  $\{a_0, \dots, a_{2m+1}\}$  be the vertices of  $P_\gamma$ , with  $a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_{2m+1}$ . Then the **mutation** of  $\Delta$  at  $\gamma$  is the following  $(m+2)$ -angulation of  $\Pi$

$$r_\gamma(\Delta) = (\Delta \setminus \gamma) \cup \{\sigma(\gamma)\},$$

where, if  $\gamma = (a_i, a_{i+m+1})$  for some  $i = 0, \dots, m$ , then

$$\sigma(\gamma) = (a_{i-1 \pmod{2m+2}}, a_{i+m \pmod{2m+2}}).$$

**Remark 3.5.** The  $(m+2)$ -angulation  $r_\gamma(\Delta)$  can be geometrically interpreted as the  $(m+2)$ -angulation of  $\Pi$  obtained by fixing all the diagonals of  $\Delta$  except from  $\gamma$ , that is rotated counterclockwise inside the  $(2m+2)$ -gon  $P_\gamma$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** Let  $\Delta$  be an  $(m+2)$ -angulation of  $\Pi$ ,  $\gamma \in \Delta$ . Then  $r_\gamma$  has order  $m+1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{a_0, \dots, a_{2m+1}\}$  be the vertices of  $P_\gamma$ , with  $a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_{2m+1}$ , and let  $i \in \{0, \dots, m\}$  be such that  $\gamma = (a_i, a_{i+m+1})$ . Definition 3.4 implies that

$$\sigma^j(\gamma) = (a_{i-j \pmod{2m+2}}, a_{i+m+1-j \pmod{2m+2}})$$

for all  $j \geq 0$ . Hence


$$\sigma^{m+1}(\gamma) = \sigma^j(\gamma) = (a_{i-(m+1) \pmod{2m+2}}, a_{i \pmod{2m+2}}) = (a_{i+m+1}, a_i)$$

is the  $m$ -diagonal  $\gamma$  by Definition 3.1. It is also straightforward to check that  $\sigma^j(\gamma) \neq \gamma$  for all  $1 \leq j < m+1$ . Thus  $\sigma$  has order  $m+1$ . The definition of  $r_\gamma$  given in Definition 3.4 implies that

$$r_\gamma^j(\Delta) = (\Delta \setminus \gamma) \cup \{\sigma^j(\gamma)\}$$

for all  $j \geq 1$ . Therefore, also  $r_\gamma$  has order  $m+1$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.7.** Let  $m = 2$ ,  $n = 5$ . Consider the diagonal  $\gamma$  in the 4-angulation  $\Delta$  of the regular dodecagon given below. The hexagon  $P_\gamma$  is coloured in picture, and the result of applying  $r_\gamma$  is displayed.



The application of  $r_\gamma$  might hence be thought as a counterclockwise rotation of  $\gamma$  inside the hexagon  $P_\gamma$ .


#### 4. CONNECTION BETWEEN $(m+2)$ -ANGULATIONS AND $m$ -COLOURED QUIVERS

In this section we introduce a map  $\Psi$ , that associates an  $m$ -coloured quiver to an  $(m+2)$ -angulation of  $\Pi$ . This construction will be done in a way such that the two concepts of mutation we introduced (at a vertex for an  $m$ -coloured quiver, and at a diagonal for an  $(m+2)$ -angulation of  $\Pi$ ) commute. This result, that we will prove in detail, is used in [9] to show that  $\Psi$  induces a bijection between  $(m+2)$ -angulations of  $\Pi$  and  $m$ -coloured quivers of mutation type  $\overrightarrow{A_{n-1}}$ .

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $\Delta$  be an  $(m+2)$ -angulation of  $\Pi$ . We associate to  $\Delta$  an  $m$ -coloured quiver  $\Psi(\Delta)$  as follows.

- The vertices of  $\Psi(\Delta)$  are the  $m$ -diagonals of  $\Delta$ .
- If  $\gamma, \delta$  are  $m$ -diagonals of  $\Delta$  which are edges of some  $(m+2)$ -gon in the  $(m+2)$ -angulation  $\Delta$ , then  $\Psi(\Delta)$  has an arrow from  $\gamma$  to  $\delta$ . In this case, the colour of the arrow is the number of edges forming the segment of the boundary of the  $(m+2)$ -gon which lie between  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$ , counterclockwise from  $\gamma$  and clockwise from  $\delta$ .


**Example 4.2.** The 2-coloured quiver  $\Psi(r_\gamma(\Delta))$  associated to the 4-angulation  $r_\gamma(\Delta)$  in Example 3.7 is given by





In the following, we will identify  $\Pi$  with a circle with  $mn+2$  marked points, numbered clockwise from 1 to  $mn+2$ .

**Definition 4.3.** We call  $\widehat{A}_{n-1}$  the following  $(m+2)$ -angulation of  $\Pi$ .



**Remark 4.4.** Consider the  $m$ -coloured quiver  $\overrightarrow{A}_{n-1}$  introduced in Definition 2.11. Then

$$\overrightarrow{A}_{n-1} = \Psi(\widehat{A}_{n-1})$$

We want to prove that every  $(m+2)$ -angulation of  $\Pi$  can be obtained from  $\widehat{A}_{n-1}$  by iteratively mutating it at some  $m$ -diagonals. In order to do this, we introduce the concept of distance between a diagonal of an  $(m+2)$ -angulation  $\Delta$  of  $\Pi$  and the vertex 1.

**Definition 4.5.** Let  $\Delta$  be an  $(m+2)$ -angulation of  $\Pi$ ,  $\gamma \in \Delta$  one of its  $m$ -diagonals. We define the **distance**  $d_\Delta(\gamma)$  between  $\gamma$  and the vertex 1 of  $\Pi$  as follows:

- $d_\Delta(\gamma) = 0$  if  $\gamma = (1, i)$  for some  $i$ ;
- $d_\Delta(\gamma) = k$  if  $\gamma$  is an edge of a  $(2m+2)$ -gon  $P_\delta$  defined in Proposition 3.3, for some  $\delta \in \Delta$  with  $d_\Delta(\delta) = k-1$ .

**Example 4.6.** Consider the 4-angulation  $r_\gamma(\Delta)$  of  $\Pi$  given in Example 3.7. Then  $d_{r_\gamma(\Delta)}(\delta) = 0 = d_{r_\gamma(\Delta)}(\sigma(\gamma))$ ,  $d_{r_\gamma(\Delta)}(\varepsilon) = 1$ ,  $d_{r_\gamma(\Delta)}(\zeta) = 2$ .

**Lemma 4.7.** Let  $\Delta$  be an  $(m+2)$ -angulation of  $\Pi$ . Then there exist  $m$ -diagonals  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\ell$  such that

$$\Delta = r_{\gamma_1} \dots r_{\gamma_\ell}(\widehat{A}_{n-1}).$$

*Proof.* We prove the result by induction on  $k = \max\{d_\Delta(\gamma) \mid \gamma \in \Delta\}$ .

- If  $k = 0$ , then  $\Delta = \widehat{A}_{n-1}$ .
- Let  $k > 0$ . Order the  $m$ -diagonals of  $\Delta$  as

$$\Delta = \{\gamma_{0,1}, \dots, \gamma_{0,t_0}, \gamma_{1,1}, \dots, \gamma_{1,t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{k,1}, \dots, \gamma_{k,t_k}\},$$

where  $d_\Delta(\gamma_{i,j}) = i$  for all  $i, j$ . Fix  $j \in \{1, \dots, t_1\}$ . Since  $d_\Delta(\gamma_{1,j}) = 1$ , then we know that the  $(2m+2)$ -gon  $P_{\gamma_{1,j}}$  contains the vertex

1. Hence we can mutate the  $m$ -diagonal  $\gamma_{1,j}$  a certain number of times, say  $s_j$ , so that  $\sigma^{s_j}(\gamma_{1,j})$  has 1 as endpoint. Now, consider the following  $(m+2)$ -angulation of  $\Pi$ .

$$\Delta' = r_{\gamma_{1,t_1}}^{s_{t_1}} \dots r_{\gamma_{1,1}}^{s_1}(\Delta) = \underbrace{\{\gamma_{0,1}, \dots, \gamma_{0,t_0}\}}_{=\gamma'_{0,1}}, \underbrace{\{\sigma^{s_1}(\gamma_{1,1}), \dots, \sigma^{s_{t_1}}(\gamma_{1,t_1}), \dots, \gamma_{k,1}, \dots, \gamma_{k,t_k}\}}_{=\gamma'_{1,t_1}}, \underbrace{\{\gamma_{k,1}, \dots, \gamma_{k,t_k}\}}_{=\gamma'_{k,t_k}}.$$

By assumption we have  $d_{\Delta'}(\gamma'_{0,j}) = 0$  for all  $j$ .

Also,  $d_{\Delta'}(\gamma'_{i,j}) = i - 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  and all  $j$ . This can be shown by induction on  $i \geq 1$ .

- If  $i = 1$ , then by construction we know that all the  $m$ -diagonals  $\gamma'_{1,j} = \sigma^{s_i}(\gamma_{1,j})$  have 1 as endpoint. This means that  $d_{\Delta'}(\gamma'_{1,j}) = 0$  for all  $j$ .
- Let  $i > 1$ , and fix  $j \in \{1, \dots, t_i\}$ . Then, by construction, we can find an  $m$ -diagonal  $\gamma'_{i-1,\ell}$  that is an edge of  $P_{\gamma'_{i,j}}$ . But  $d_{\Delta'}(\gamma'_{i-1,\ell}) = i - 2$  by induction hypothesis, and thus  $d_{\Delta'}(\gamma'_{i,j}) = i - 1$  by definition of distance  $d_{\Delta'}$ .

Hence  $\max\{d_{\Delta'}(\gamma') \mid \gamma' \in \Delta'\} = k - 1$ . Thus, by induction we get

$$(1) \quad \hat{A}_{n-1} = r_{\tilde{\gamma}_1} \dots r_{\tilde{\gamma}_s}(\Delta') = r_{\tilde{\gamma}_1} \dots r_{\tilde{\gamma}_s} r_{\gamma_{1,t_1}}^{s_{t_1}} \dots r_{\gamma_{1,1}}^{s_1}(\Delta)$$

for some  $m$ -diagonals  $\tilde{\gamma}_1, \dots, \tilde{\gamma}_s$ .

But all mutations  $r_\gamma$  have finite order  $m+1$  by Proposition 3.6, and hence we can invert all mutations in (1). Therefore we get the statement.  $\square$

**Definition 4.8.** Let  $Q$  be an  $m$ -coloured quiver,  $k \in Q_0$  one of its vertices. Define


- $\mathcal{N}_{Q,k} = \{i \in Q_0 \mid \text{there are arrows } k \rightrightarrows i\}$  to be the **neighbourhood of  $k$  in  $Q$** .
- $F_{Q,k}$  as the full subquiver of  $Q$  with vertex set  $\mathcal{N}_{Q,k} \cup \{k\}$ .

**Definition 4.9.** • We call an  $m$ -coloured quiver  $Q$  **complete** if, for every pair of vertices  $i, j \in Q_0$ , there is exactly one pair of arrows  $i \rightrightarrows j$ .

• Let  $Q, Q'$  quivers with vertex sets  $\{k, u_1, \dots, u_i\}$  and  $\{k, v_1, \dots, v_j\}$  respectively, for some  $i, j \geq 0$ . Then the **glueing of  $Q$  and  $Q'$  at vertex  $k$**  is the quiver with vertex set  $\{k, u_1, \dots, u_i, v_1, \dots, v_j\}$  and arrows  $Q_1 \cup Q'_1$ .

The following Lemma will help us understand how the  $m$ -coloured quiver associated to an  $(m+2)$ -angulation of  $\Pi$  mutates at a vertex. Its proof follows by a straightforward application of Definition 4.1.

**Lemma 4.10.** *Let  $Q$  be an  $m$ -coloured quiver,  $k \in Q_0$ . Suppose that  $F_{Q,k}$  has the following shape*




where the arrows can have any colour.

In words, suppose that  $F_{Q,k}$  is the quiver obtained by glueing the complete quiver on  $\{k, u_1, \dots, u_a\}$  and the complete quiver on  $\{k, v_1, \dots, v_b\}$  at vertex  $k$ , for some  $a, b \geq 0$ .

For each pair of vertices  $h, \ell \in \mathcal{N}_{Q,k}$  connected by an arrow, let  $c_{h\ell}$  be the colour of the arrow  $h \rightarrow \ell$ . Suppose that  $c_{k,u_a} < c_{k,u_{a-1}} < \dots < c_{k,u_1}$  and  $c_{k,v_b} < c_{k,v_{b-1}} < \dots < c_{k,v_1}$ .

Then the mutation  $\mu_k(Q)$  of  $Q$  at vertex  $k$  fixes the arrows (together with their colours) of  $Q_1 \setminus (F_{Q,k})_1$ , and acts as follows on  $F_{Q,k}$  (the blue vertices will denote those vertices that have moved, and red arrows will denote the new arrows):


- a) If  $c_{k,u_a} \neq 0 \neq c_{k,v_b}$ , then  $\mu_k(F_{Q,k})$  has the same shape as  $F_{Q,k}$ :



and the colours of the arrows change as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{c}_{u_i, u_j} &= c_{u_i, u_j}, & i, j &\in \{1, \dots, a\} \\ \tilde{c}_{v_i, v_j} &= c_{v_i, v_j}, & i, j &\in \{1, \dots, b\} \\ \tilde{c}_{k, u_i} &= c_{k, u_i} - 1, & i &\in \{1, \dots, a\} \\ \tilde{c}_{k, v_i} &= c_{k, v_i} - 1, & i &\in \{1, \dots, b\} \end{aligned}$$


- b) If  $c_{k,u_a} = 0$ ,  $c_{k,v_b} \neq 0$ , then  $\mu_k(F_{Q,k})$  is given by



and the colours of the arrows change as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{c}_{u_i, u_j} &= c_{u_i, u_j}, & i, j &\in \{1, \dots, a-1\} \\
 \tilde{c}_{v_i, v_j} &= c_{v_i, v_j}, & i, j &\in \{1, \dots, b\} \\
 \tilde{c}_{k, u_i} &= c_{k, u_i} - 1, & i &\in \{1, \dots, a\} \\
 \tilde{c}_{k, v_i} &= c_{k, v_i} - 1, & i &\in \{1, \dots, b\} \\
 \tilde{c}_{v_i, u_a} &= c_{v_i, k}, & i &\in \{1, \dots, b\}
 \end{aligned}$$


c) If  $c_{k, u_a} \neq 0, c_{k, v_b} = 0$ , then  $\mu_k(F_{Q, k})$  is given by



and the colours of the arrows change as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{c}_{u_i, u_j} &= c_{u_i, u_j}, & i, j &\in \{1, \dots, a\} \\
 \tilde{c}_{v_i, v_j} &= c_{v_i, v_j}, & i, j &\in \{1, \dots, b-1\} \\
 \tilde{c}_{k, u_i} &= c_{k, u_i} - 1, & i &\in \{1, \dots, a\} \\
 \tilde{c}_{k, v_i} &= c_{k, v_i} - 1, & i &\in \{1, \dots, b\} \\
 \tilde{c}_{u_i, v_b} &= c_{u_i, k}, & i &\in \{1, \dots, a\}
 \end{aligned}$$

d) If  $c_{k, u_a} = 0 = c_{k, v_b}$ , then  $\mu_k(F_{Q, k})$  is given by



and the colours of the arrows change as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{c}_{u_i, u_j} &= c_{u_i, u_j}, & i, j &\in \{1, \dots, a-1\} \\
 \tilde{c}_{v_i, v_j} &= c_{v_i, v_j}, & i, j &\in \{1, \dots, b-1\} \\
 \tilde{c}_{k, u_i} &= c_{k, u_i} - 1, & i &\in \{1, \dots, a\} \\
 \tilde{c}_{k, v_i} &= c_{k, v_i} - 1, & i &\in \{1, \dots, b\} \\
 \tilde{c}_{u_i, v_b} &= c_{u_i, k}, & i &\in \{1, \dots, a-1\} \\
 \tilde{c}_{v_i, u_a} &= c_{v_i, k}, & i &\in \{1, \dots, b-1\}
 \end{aligned}$$

The following proposition is stated in [2], after Proposition 11.1. We give an explicit proof of this fact that relies on the action of  $\mu_k$  on  $m$ -coloured quivers described in Lemma 4.10.

**Proposition 4.11.** *Let  $\Delta$  be an  $(m+2)$ -angulation of  $\Pi$ , and consider an  $m$ -diagonal  $\gamma \in \Delta$ . Then*

$$\Psi(r_\gamma(\Delta)) = \mu_\gamma(\Psi(\Delta)).$$

*Proof.* Let  $P_\gamma$  be the regular  $(2m+2)$ -gon defined in Proposition 3.3. Let  $P_\gamma^{(1)}$ ,  $P_\gamma^{(2)}$  be the two  $(m+2)$ -gons induced by  $\Delta$  having  $\gamma$  as an edge, so that  $P_\gamma = P_\gamma^{(1)} \cup P_\gamma^{(2)}$ .

Let  $\delta_1^{(1)}, \dots, \delta_a^{(1)}, \delta_{a+1}^{(1)} = \gamma$  (resp.  $\delta_1^{(2)}, \dots, \delta_b^{(2)}, \delta_{b+1}^{(2)} = \gamma$ ) be the edges of  $P_\gamma^{(1)}$  (resp. of  $P_\gamma^{(2)}$ ) that are  $m$ -diagonals of  $\Delta$ , ordered clockwise, for some  $a, b \geq 0$ .

Let  $\ell_i^{(1)}$  (resp.  $\ell_i^{(2)}$ ) be the number of edges forming the segment of the boundary of  $P_\gamma^{(1)}$  (resp.  $P_\gamma^{(2)}$ ) which lies between  $\delta_i^{(1)}$  and  $\delta_{i-1}^{(1)}$  (resp. between  $\delta_i^{(2)}$  and  $\delta_{i-1}^{(2)}$ ), counterclockwise from  $\delta_i^{(1)}$  (resp.  $\delta_i^{(2)}$ ) for  $i = 1, \dots, a+1$  (resp.  $i = 1, \dots, b+1$ ). By convention, we set  $\delta_0^{(1)} = \delta_0^{(2)} = \gamma$ . See Figure 1 for a geometric description.





FIGURE 1. Local  $(m+2)$ -angulation  $\Delta$  around  $\gamma$

Using Definition 4.1 we get that  $F_{\Psi(\Delta),\gamma}$  is the following quiver,



where the colour of the arrows  $\delta_i^{(1)} \rightarrow \delta_j^{(1)}$  is  $c_{i,j}^{(1)} = \ell_i^{(1)} + \ell_{i-1}^{(1)} + \dots + \ell_{j+1}^{(1)} + (i - j - 1)$  for all  $1 \leq j < i \leq a + 1$ , and the colour of the arrows  $\delta_i^{(2)} \rightarrow \delta_j^{(2)}$  is  $c_{i,j}^{(2)} = \ell_i^{(2)} + \ell_{i-1}^{(2)} + \dots + \ell_{j+1}^{(2)} + (i - j + 1)$  for all  $1 \leq j < i \leq b + 1$ .

Notice that

$$c_{a+1,a}^{(1)} < c_{a+1,a-1}^{(1)} < \dots < c_{a+1,1}^{(1)}, \quad c_{b+1,b}^{(2)} < c_{b+1,b-1}^{(2)} < \dots < c_{b+1,1}^{(2)}.$$


Therefore we can apply Lemma 4.10, that gives us all the possible mutations of  $\Psi(\Delta)$  at vertex  $\gamma$ . In particular,  $\mu_\gamma$  fixes the arrows (together with their colours) of  $\Psi(\Delta) \setminus F_{\Psi(\Delta),\gamma}$ , and it acts on  $F_{\Psi(\Delta),\gamma}$  as explained in Lemma 4.10. This computes  $\mu_\gamma(\Psi(\Delta))$ .

We now compute  $r_\gamma(\Delta)$ . First of all notice that  $r_\gamma$  fixes all the  $m$ -diagonals of  $\Delta$  apart from  $\gamma$ . Hence we may as well just represent how  $r_\gamma$  acts on  $\Delta$  locally around  $\gamma$ , that is, on  $P_\gamma^{(1)}$  and  $P_\gamma^{(2)}$ . We have four possible cases, depending on the values of  $\ell_{a+1}^{(1)}$  and  $\ell_{b+1}^{(2)}$  (see Figure 2 in the next page).

Now, applying the definition of  $\Psi$  (see Definition 4.1), one gets that the quiver  $\Psi(r_\gamma(\Delta))$  associated to  $r_\gamma(\Delta)$  is exactly the one given in Lemma 4.10.

For example, if  $\ell_{a+1}^{(1)} = 0, \ell_{b+1}^{(2)} \neq 0$ , one gets the commutative diagram in Figure 3.

Hence we get the statement.  $\square$


 FIGURE 2. Mutations  $r_\gamma(\Delta)$  at  $\gamma$





FIGURE 3. Commutative diagram for  $\ell_{i+1}^{(1)} = 0$ ,  $\ell_{j+1}^{(2)} \neq 0$



**Remark 4.12.** The above Proposition is the second reason why we defined  $m$ -coloured quiver mutation in a slightly different way compared to [2]. Consider the following example:

$$Q = 1 \xrightleftharpoons[(0)]{(2)} 2 \xrightleftharpoons[(2)]{(0)} 3 \quad m = 2.$$

Then, if we mutate at vertex 2 using Proposition 10.1 in [2], we get that  $\mu_2(Q)$  is the following quiver.



However, the diagram in Figure 4 does not commute.




FIGURE 4. Diagram obtained from Proposition 10.1 in [2]

This suggests that the correct definition of  $m$ -coloured quiver mutation is the one in Proposition 2.8, that in this example correctly gives

$$\mu_2(Q) = 1 \xrightleftharpoons[(2)]{(0)} 2 \xrightleftharpoons[(2)]{(0)} 3.$$

Proposition 4.11 is used in the following Theorem, whose proof can be found in Section 4 of [9].

**Theorem 4.13.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}_{n-1,m}$  be the set of  $m$ -coloured quivers of mutation type  $A_{n-1}$ , and  $\mathcal{T}_{m,n}$  the set of  $(m+2)$ -angulations of  $\Pi$ . Then the map  $\Psi$  introduced in Definition 4.1 induces a bijection*

$$\Psi : (\mathcal{T}_{m,n} / \sim) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{n-1,m} / \mathfrak{S}_{n-1},$$

where  $\Delta \sim \Delta'$  if  $\Delta'$  can be obtained rotating  $\Delta$ , and the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_{n-1}$  on  $n-1$  letters acts on an  $m$ -coloured quiver by permuting its vertices.


**Corollary 4.14.** *Let  $Q$  be an  $m$ -coloured quiver of mutation type  $\overrightarrow{A_{n-1}}$  and  $k \in Q_0$ . Then  $\mu_k$ , the mutation of  $Q$  at vertex  $k$ , has order  $m+1$ .*

*Proof.* This follows directly from Proposition 3.6 and Theorem 4.13.  $\square$

**Remark 4.15.** Corollary 4.14 holds for arbitrary quivers in the case  $m=1$ . Equivalently, for all (uncoloured) quivers  $Q$  and  $k \in Q_0$ , then  $\mu_k$  has order  $m+1=2$ .

This is not in general true for  $m > 1$ .

For example, let  $m=2$  and consider the following 2-coloured quiver  $Q$ , and its repeated mutations at vertex  $k=2$  obtained applying repeatedly Proposition 2.8.



Hence we have  $\mu_2^3(Q) \neq Q$ .

Therefore, for an arbitrary  $m$ -coloured quiver  $Q$  and  $k \in Q_0$  we have  $\mu_k^{m+1}(Q) \neq Q$ , and the condition that  $Q$  is of mutation type  $\overrightarrow{A_{n-1}}$  is crucial.

**Corollary 4.16.** *Let  $Q$  be an  $m$ -coloured quiver of mutation type  $\overrightarrow{A_{n-1}}$ , and let  $i, j \in Q_0$ . Then there is at most one arrow  $i \longrightarrow j$ .*

*Proof.* Thanks to Theorem 4.13 this is equivalent to saying that, for each pair of  $m$ -diagonals  $\gamma, \gamma'$  in an  $(m+2)$ -angulation of  $\Pi$ , there is at most one  $(m+2)$ -gon inside  $\Pi$  having both  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  as edges, that is trivially true.  $\square$

Let  $\Delta$  be an  $(m+2)$ -angulation of  $\Pi$ , and  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_a \in \Delta$  be  $m$ -diagonals ordered clockwise that are edges of an  $(m+2)$ -gon  $P$  inside  $\Pi$  determined by  $\Delta$ , for some  $i \geq 2$ . Let  $\ell^k$  be the the number of edges of  $P$  lying between  $\gamma_{k+1}$  and  $\gamma_k$ ,  $k=1, \dots, a$ , where we set  $\gamma_{a+1} = \gamma_1$ .

Let  $Q$  be an  $m$ -coloured quiver associated to  $\Delta$  by  $\Psi$ , and let  $\ell_k$  be the colour of the arrow  $\gamma_k \rightarrow \gamma_{k+1}$ , for  $k=1, \dots, a$ .

Notice that, by the skew symmetry property of  $m$ -coloured quivers, we have

$$\ell_k = m - \ell^k,$$


for all  $k=1, \dots, a$ .

**Proposition 4.17.** *With the same notation as above, the complete quiver on vertices  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_a$  is a subquiver of  $Q$ .*


Furthermore, the following formulas hold.

$$\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_a = (a-1)(m+1) - 1, \quad \ell^1 + \dots + \ell^a = m - a + 2$$

*Proof.* We can represent the  $(m+2)$ -gon  $\Pi$  and the  $m$ -diagonals  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_a \in \Delta$  as follows.



Using the definition of  $\Psi$ , we can see that the subquiver of  $Q$  associated to  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_a$  by  $\Psi$  is the following complete quiver on vertices  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_a$ ,



with arrows

$$\gamma_k \xrightleftharpoons[(\ell^k)]{(\ell_k)} \gamma_{k+1}, \quad k = 1, \dots, a.$$

Now, since  $P$  is an  $(m+2)$ -gon, we have  $\ell^1 + \dots + \ell^a + a = m+2$ , that is,


$$\ell^1 + \dots + \ell^a = m - a + 2.$$

Also, since  $\ell_k = m - \ell^k$  for all  $k = 1, \dots, a$ , we get:


$$\begin{aligned} \ell_1 + \dots + \ell_a &= (m - \ell^1) + \dots + (m - \ell^a) \\ &= am - (\ell^1 + \dots + \ell^a) = am - (m - a + 2) \\ &= (a-1)(m+1) - 1. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Example 4.18.** Let  $m = 2, n = 4$ , and consider the following 12-angulation of a regular dodecagon  $\Pi$ .



The associated 2-coloured quiver  $Q$  is given by



Let  $c_{i,j}$  be the colour of the arrow  $i \rightarrow j$  in  $Q$ . Then the two formulas from Proposition 4.17 hold.

For example, if we consider the vertices 1,2,3,4, we have

$$c_{1,4} + c_{4,3} + c_{3,2} + c_{2,1} = 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 = 0 = 2 - 4 + 2$$

$$c_{1,2} + c_{2,3} + c_{3,4} + c_{4,1} = 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 = 9 = 3 \cdot 3 - 1.$$

Also, if we consider vertices 1,2,4, we have

$$c_{1,4} + c_{4,2} + c_{2,1} = 0 + 1 + 0 = 1 = 2 - 3 + 2$$

$$c_{1,2} + c_{2,4} + c_{4,1} = 2 + 1 + 2 = 5 = 2 \cdot 3 - 1.$$


We can now define in a natural way a group arising from an  $m$ -coloured quiver of mutation type  $\overrightarrow{A_{n-1}}$ .

## 5. PRESENTATIONS OF BRAID GROUPS OF TYPE $\mathbb{A}_{n-1}$

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $Q$  be an  $m$ -coloured quiver of mutation type  $\overrightarrow{A_{n-1}}$ , with vertices  $1, \dots, n-1$ . For an arrow  $i \rightarrow j$ , let  $c_{ij}$  be its colour. Define the group  $B_Q$  to be generated by  $s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}$  subject to the relations:


- (1)  $s_i s_j = s_j s_i$  if there is no arrow between  $i$  and  $j$  (in either direction);
- (2)  $s_i s_j s_i = s_j s_i s_j$  if there is a pair of arrows  $i \rightleftarrows j$ ;

(3)  $s_{i_1}s_{i_2}s_{i_3}s_{i_1} = s_{i_2}s_{i_3}s_{i_1}s_{i_2} = s_{i_3}s_{i_1}s_{i_2}s_{i_3}$  if



is a subquiver of  $Q$  and  $c_{i_1,i_2} + c_{i_2,i_3} + c_{i_3,i_1} = 2m + 1$ .

**Remark 5.2.** Let  $Q$  be an  $m$ -coloured quiver of mutation type  $\overrightarrow{A_{n-1}}$ . By Theorem 4.13 we can find an  $(m+2)$ -angulation  $\Delta$  of  $\Pi$  such that  $\Psi(\Delta) = Q$ . Therefore any subquiver of  $Q$  of the form



corresponds to three  $m$ -diagonals  $i_1, i_2, i_3 \in \Delta$  that, by Definition of  $\Psi$ , are edges of the same  $(m+2)$ -gon identified by  $\Delta$  in  $\Pi$ . Thus, Proposition 4.17 applied in the case  $i = 3$  tells us that exactly one of the following holds true.

- The sum of the colours of the arrows going clockwise is  $2m + 1$ ;
- The sum of the colours of the arrows going counterclockwise is  $2m + 1$ .

Therefore any complete full subquiver of  $Q$  on three vertices gives a relation in  $B_Q$  of type (3) in Definition 5.1.


**Remark 5.3.** Consider the  $m$ -coloured quiver  $\overrightarrow{A_{n-1}}$ .

$$1 \xrightleftharpoons[(m)]{(0)} 2 \xrightleftharpoons[(m)]{(0)} \dots \xrightleftharpoons[(m)]{(0)} (n-2) \xrightleftharpoons[(m)]{(0)} (n-1).$$

Then its associated group  $B_{\overrightarrow{A_{n-1}}}$  is the braid group of type  $A_{n-1}$ , given in terms of its standard presentation. More precisely, it is generated by  $s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}$  subject to the relations

$$\begin{cases} s_i s_j = s_j s_i, & \text{if } |i - j| > 1 \\ s_i s_{i+1} s_i = s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1} \end{cases}$$

**Example 5.4.** Let  $m = 2$ , and consider the following 2-coloured quiver  $Q$  of mutation type  $\overrightarrow{A_4}$ .



Then the group  $B_Q$  associated to  $Q$  is generated by  $s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4$  subject to the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 s_4 &= s_4 s_1 \\ s_2 s_4 &= s_4 s_2 \\ s_1 s_2 s_1 &= s_2 s_1 s_2 \\ s_1 s_3 s_1 &= s_3 s_1 s_3 \\ s_2 s_3 s_2 &= s_3 s_2 s_3 \\ s_3 s_4 s_3 &= s_4 s_3 s_4 \\ s_1 s_3 s_2 s_1 &= s_3 s_2 s_1 s_3 = s_2 s_1 s_3 s_2. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the quiver  $Q$  and the associated group  $B_Q$  can also be obtained in the uncoloured case (see [7]). In Example 5.11 we will give an example of a 2-coloured quiver  $Q$  of mutation type  $\overrightarrow{A_4}$  that does not arise from the uncoloured theory.

Let  $Q$  be an  $m$ -coloured quiver of mutation type  $\overrightarrow{A_{n-1}}$ ,  $k \in Q_0$ . Let  $Q' = \mu_k(Q)$  be its coloured quiver mutation at  $k$ . Let  $\{s_i | i \in Q_0\}$  (resp.  $\{t_i | i \in Q'_0\}$ ) be the generators of  $B_Q$  (resp.  $B_{Q'}$ ) introduced in Definition 5.1. Let  $F_Q$  be the free group with generators  $s_i$ ,  $i \in Q_0$ .

**Definition 5.5.** Let  $\varphi_k : F_Q \rightarrow B_{Q'}$  be the group homomorphism defined by

$$\varphi_k(s_i) = \begin{cases} t_k t_i t_k^{-1}, & \text{if } k \xrightarrow{(0)} i \\ t_i, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

**Proposition 5.6.** *The group homomorphism defined above induces a group homomorphism  $\varphi_k : B_Q \rightarrow B_{Q'}$ .*


*Proof.* We need to show that all the relations in  $B_Q$  are preserved by  $\varphi_k$ .

By Theorem 4.13 we can find an  $(m+2)$ -angulation  $\Delta$  of  $\Pi$  such that  $\Psi(\Delta) = Q$ .

Consider the  $m$ -diagonal  $k \in \Delta$ . By Proposition 3.3(3), there are exactly two  $(m+2)$ -gons  $P_k^{(1)}, P_k^{(2)}$  in  $\Pi$  identified by  $\Delta$  that have  $k$  as an edge. Let  $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_a$  (resp.  $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_b$ ) be the  $m$ -diagonals of  $\Delta$  that are edges of  $P_k^{(1)}$  (resp. of  $P_k^{(2)}$ ), ordered clockwise, for some  $a, b \geq 0$ , where we set  $u_0 = v_0 = k$ . By Proposition 3.3(3), we also know that, if  $a > 0$  (resp.  $b > 0$ ), then  $u_a$  (resp.  $v_b$ ) will be edge of another  $(m+2)$ -gon  $P_{u_a}$  (resp.  $P_{v_b}$ ) in  $\Pi$  identified by  $\Delta$ . Let  $u_a, w_1, \dots, w_\ell$  (resp.  $v_b, z_1, \dots, z_h$ ) be the  $m$ -diagonals of  $\Delta$  that are edges of  $P_{u_a}$  (resp.  $P_{v_b}$ ), ordered clockwise, for some  $\ell, h \geq 0$ .

Applying the definition of  $\Psi$ , we get that the union of the subquivers  $F_{Q,k}, F_{Q,u_a}$  and  $F_{Q,v_b}$  of  $Q$  is the following glueing of complete subquivers

of  $Q$ .



Notice that the relations in  $B_Q$  involving  $s_{u_a}$  are:


- (1)  $s_{u_a} s_p = s_p s_{u_a}$  for all  $p \notin \mathcal{N}_{Q, u_a}$ ;
- (2)  $s_{u_a} s_p s_{u_a} = s_p s_{u_a} s_p$  for all  $p \in \mathcal{N}_{Q, u_a}$ ;
- (3)  $s_{u_a} s_{u_i} s_{u_j} s_{u_a} = s_{u_i} s_{u_j} s_{u_a} s_{u_i} = s_{u_j} s_{u_a} s_{u_i} s_{u_j}$  for all  $i, j \in \{0, \dots, a-1\}$ , with  $i < j$ ;
- (4)  $s_{u_a} s_{w_i} s_{w_j} s_{u_a} = s_{w_i} s_{w_j} s_{u_a} s_{w_i} = s_{w_j} s_{u_a} s_{w_i} s_{w_j}$  for all  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ , with  $i < j$ .

The relations in  $B_Q$  involving  $s_{v_b}$  are analogous.

Now, Lemma 4.10 thus tells us the result of the mutation of  $Q$  at  $k$ .

We need to check that the relations defining  $B_Q$  are preserved by  $\varphi_k$ . We split the cases as in Lemma 4.10. For convenience, let  $c$  (resp.  $d$ ) be the colour of the arrow  $k \rightarrow u_a$  (resp. of the arrow  $k \rightarrow v_b$ ). Let  $\tilde{s}_p := \varphi_k(s_p)$  for all  $p \in Q_0$ .

- a) If  $c \neq 0 \neq d$ , then  $\tilde{s}_p = s_p$  for all  $p \in Q_0$ , and the result follows trivially.
- b) If  $c = 0$  and  $d \neq 0$  then, if we apply  $\mu_k$ , the shape of the subquiver of  $Q$  previously drawn changes as follows.



By definition of  $\varphi_k$ , we have  $\tilde{s}_{u_a} = \varphi_k(s_{u_a}) = t_k t_{u_a} t_k^{-1}$  and  $\tilde{s}_p = \varphi_k(s_p) = t_p$  for all  $p \neq u_a$ .

It is enough to check that the relations involving  $s_{u_a}$  are preserved.

(1) Suppose that  $p \notin \mathcal{N}_{Q,u_a}$ . Then:

- if  $p \notin \mathcal{N}_{Q,k}$  then, using  $t_k t_p = t_p t_k$  and  $t_{u_a} t_p = t_p t_{u_a}$  we get

$$\tilde{s}_{u_a} \tilde{s}_p = t_k t_{u_a} t_k^{-1} t_p = t_p t_k t_{u_a} t_k^{-1} = \tilde{s}_p \tilde{s}_{u_a}.$$

- if  $p \in \mathcal{N}_{Q,k}$ , then  $p = v_i$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, b\}$ . Thus, using  $t_k t_{u_a} t_{v_i} t_k = t_{v_i} t_k t_{u_a} t_{v_i}$  and  $t_k t_{v_i} t_k = t_{v_i} t_k t_{v_i}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{s}_{u_a} \tilde{s}_{v_i} &= t_k t_{u_a} t_k^{-1} t_{v_i} = t_{v_i} t_k t_{u_a} t_{v_i} t_k^{-1} t_{v_i}^{-1} t_k^{-1} t_{v_i} \\ &= t_{v_i} t_k t_{u_a} t_{v_i} t_{v_i}^{-1} t_k^{-1} t_{v_i}^{-1} t_{v_i} = t_{v_i} t_k t_{u_a} t_k^{-1} = \tilde{s}_{v_i} \tilde{s}_{u_a}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) Suppose  $p \in \mathcal{N}_{Q,u_a}$ . Then:

- if  $p \in \mathcal{N}_{Q,k}$ , then  $p = u_i$  for some  $i \in \{0, \dots, a-1\}$ . Then, using the relations  $t_k t_{u_a} t_k = t_{u_a} t_k t_{u_a}$ ,  $t_k t_{u_i} t_k = t_{u_i} t_k t_{u_i}$  and  $t_{u_a} t_{u_i} = t_{u_i} t_{u_a}$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{s}_{u_a} \tilde{s}_{u_i} \tilde{s}_{u_a} &= t_k t_{u_a} t_k^{-1} t_{u_i} t_k t_{u_a} t_k^{-1} = t_{u_a}^{-1} t_k t_{u_a} t_{u_i} t_{u_a}^{-1} t_k t_{u_a} \\ &= t_{u_a}^{-1} t_k t_{u_a} t_{u_a}^{-1} t_{u_i} t_k t_{u_a} = t_{u_a}^{-1} t_k t_{u_i} t_k t_{u_a} \\ &= t_{u_a}^{-1} t_{u_i} t_k t_{u_i} t_{u_a} = t_{u_i} t_{u_a}^{-1} t_k t_{u_a} t_{u_i} \\ &= t_{u_i} t_k t_{u_a} t_k^{-1} t_{u_i} = \tilde{s}_{u_i} \tilde{s}_{u_a} \tilde{s}_{u_i}. \end{aligned}$$



- if  $p \notin \mathcal{N}_{Q,k}$ , then  $p = w_i$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ . Then, using  $t_k t_{w_i} = t_{w_i} t_k$  and  $t_{u_a} t_{w_i} t_{u_a} = t_{w_i} t_{u_a} t_{w_i}$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{s}_{u_a} \tilde{s}_{w_i} \tilde{s}_{u_a} &= t_k t_{u_a} t_k^{-1} t_{w_i} t_k t_{u_a} t_k^{-1} = t_k t_{u_a} t_k^{-1} t_k t_{w_i} t_{u_a} t_k^{-1} \\ &= t_k t_{u_a} t_{w_i} t_{u_a} t_k^{-1} = t_k t_{w_i} t_{u_a} t_{w_i} t_k^{-1} = t_{w_i} t_k t_{u_a} t_k^{-1} t_{w_i} \\ &= \tilde{s}_{w_i} \tilde{s}_{u_a} \tilde{s}_{w_i}. \end{aligned}$$

- (3) If  $i, j \in \{0, \dots, a-1\}$  and  $i < j$  then, using  $t_k t_{u_a} t_k = t_{u_a} t_k t_{u_a}$ ,  $t_{u_a} t_{u_i} = t_{u_i} t_{u_a}$ ,  $t_{u_a} t_{u_j} = t_{u_j} t_{u_a}$  and  $t_k t_{u_i} t_{u_j} t_k = t_{u_i} t_{u_j} t_k t_{u_i}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{s}_{u_a} \tilde{s}_{u_i} \tilde{s}_{u_j} \tilde{s}_{u_a} &= t_k t_{u_a} t_k^{-1} t_{u_i} t_{u_j} t_k t_{u_a} t_k^{-1} = t_{u_a}^{-1} t_k t_{u_a} t_{u_i} t_{u_j} t_{u_a}^{-1} t_k t_{u_a} \\ &= t_{u_a}^{-1} t_k t_{u_i} t_{u_j} t_{u_a} t_{u_a}^{-1} t_k t_{u_a} = t_{u_a}^{-1} t_k t_{u_i} t_{u_j} t_k t_{u_a} \\ &= t_{u_a}^{-1} t_{u_i} t_{u_j} t_k t_{u_i} t_{u_a} = t_{u_i} t_{u_j} t_{u_a}^{-1} t_k t_{u_a} t_{u_i} \\ &= t_{u_i} t_{u_j} t_k t_{u_a} t_k^{-1} t_{u_i} = \tilde{s}_{u_i} \tilde{s}_{u_j} \tilde{s}_{u_a} \tilde{s}_{u_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the relation  $t_k t_{u_i} t_{u_j} t_k = t_{u_j} t_k t_{u_i} t_{u_j}$  instead of  $t_k t_{u_i} t_{u_j} t_k = t_{u_i} t_{u_j} t_k t_{u_i}$ , one can also show that

$$\tilde{s}_{u_a} \tilde{s}_{u_i} \tilde{s}_{u_j} \tilde{s}_{u_a} = \tilde{s}_{u_j} \tilde{s}_{u_a} \tilde{s}_{u_i} \tilde{s}_{u_j}.$$

- (4) If  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$  and  $i < j$  then, using  $t_k t_{w_i} = t_{w_i} t_k$ ,  $t_k t_{w_j} = t_{w_j} t_k$  and  $t_{u_a} t_{w_i} t_{w_j} t_{u_a} = t_{w_i} t_{w_j} t_{u_a} t_{w_i}$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{s}_{u_a} \tilde{s}_{w_i} \tilde{s}_{w_j} \tilde{s}_{u_a} &= t_k t_{u_a} t_k^{-1} t_{w_i} t_{w_j} t_k t_{u_a} t_k^{-1} = t_k t_{u_a} t_{w_i} t_{w_j} t_k^{-1} t_k t_{u_a} t_k^{-1} \\ &= t_k t_{u_a} t_{w_i} t_{w_j} t_{u_a} t_k^{-1} = t_k t_{w_i} t_{w_j} t_{u_a} t_{w_i} t_k^{-1} \\ &= t_{w_i} t_{w_j} t_k t_{u_a} t_k^{-1} t_{w_i} = \tilde{s}_{w_i} \tilde{s}_{w_j} \tilde{s}_{u_a} \tilde{s}_{w_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the relation  $t_{u_a} t_{w_i} t_{w_j} t_{u_a} = t_{w_j} t_{u_a} t_{w_i} t_{w_j}$  instead of  $t_{u_a} t_{w_i} t_{w_j} t_{u_a} = t_{w_i} t_{w_j} t_{u_a} t_{w_i}$ , one can also get

$$\tilde{s}_{u_a} \tilde{s}_{w_i} \tilde{s}_{w_j} \tilde{s}_{u_a} = \tilde{s}_{w_j} \tilde{s}_{u_a} \tilde{s}_{w_i} \tilde{s}_{w_j}.$$

- c) The case  $c \neq 0, d = 0$  is analogous to b).  
d) If  $c = d = 0$ , then  $\tilde{s}_{u_a} = t_k t_{u_a} t_k^{-1}$ ,  $\tilde{s}_{v_b} = t_k t_{v_b} t_k^{-1}$  and  $\tilde{s}_p = t_p$  for all  $p \neq u_a, v_b$ . Again, we just need to check that the relations involving  $s_{u_a}$  and  $s_{v_b}$  are preserved. We will just check that the relations involving  $s_{u_a}$  are preserved, since one can apply use the same methods for  $s_{v_b}$ .

- (1) Suppose  $p \notin \mathcal{N}_{Q,u_a}$ . Then:

- if  $p \neq v_b$ , then one can show that

$$\tilde{s}_{u_a} \tilde{s}_p = \tilde{s}_p \tilde{s}_{u_a}$$

exactly as in b(1) and b(2).

- if  $p = v_b$  then, using the relation  $t_{u_a} t_{v_b} = t_{v_b} t_{u_a}$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{s}_{u_a} \tilde{s}_{v_b} &= t_k t_{u_a} t_k^{-1} t_k t_{v_b} t_k^{-1} = t_k t_{u_a} t_{v_b} t_k^{-1} \\ &= t_k t_{v_b} t_{u_a} t_k^{-1} = t_k t_{v_b} t_k^{-1} t_k t_{u_a} t_k^{-1} = \tilde{s}_{v_b} \tilde{s}_{u_a}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) If  $p \in \mathcal{N}_{Q, u_a}$ ,  $p \neq u_a$ , then one can show that

$$\tilde{s}_{u_a} \tilde{s}_p \tilde{s}_{u_a} = \tilde{s}_p \tilde{s}_{u_a} \tilde{s}_p$$

exactly as in b(2).

(3) If  $i, j \in \{0, \dots, a-1\}$  with  $i < j$ , then one can show that

$$\tilde{s}_{u_a} \tilde{s}_{u_i} \tilde{s}_{u_j} \tilde{s}_{u_a} = \tilde{s}_{u_i} \tilde{s}_{u_j} \tilde{s}_{u_a} \tilde{s}_{u_i} = \tilde{s}_{u_j} \tilde{s}_{u_a} \tilde{s}_{u_i} \tilde{s}_{u_j}$$

exactly as in b(3).

(4) If  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$  with  $i < j$ , then one can show that

$$\tilde{s}_{u_a} \tilde{s}_{w_i} \tilde{s}_{w_j} \tilde{s}_{u_a} = \tilde{s}_{w_i} \tilde{s}_{w_j} \tilde{s}_{u_a} \tilde{s}_{w_i} = \tilde{s}_{w_j} \tilde{s}_{u_a} \tilde{s}_{w_i} \tilde{s}_{w_j}$$

exactly as in b(4). □

**Proposition 5.7.** *Let  $Q$  be an  $m$ -coloured quiver of mutation type  $\overrightarrow{A_{n-1}}$ ,  $k \in Q_0$ , and  $k \xrightarrow{(c)} \ell$  an arrow of colour  $c$  in  $Q$ . Let  $\{t_i^{(j)} | i \in Q_0\}$  be the generators of  $B_{\mu_k^j(Q)}$  for  $j = 0, \dots, m+1$ . Then*

$$\varphi_k^j(t_\ell^{(0)}) = \begin{cases} t_\ell^{(j)}, & \text{for } j = 0, \dots, c \\ t_k^{(j)} t_\ell^{(j)} (t_k^{(j)})^{-1}, & \text{for } j = c+1, \dots, m+1 \end{cases}$$

where we set  $t_w^{(m+1)} = t_w^{(0)}$  for all  $w \in Q_0$ .

*Proof.* By the equivalent definition of  $m$ -coloured quiver mutation given in Proposition 2.8 we know that, for each application of  $\mu_k$ , the colour of the arrow  $k \rightarrow \ell$  decreases by one. Hence, the colour of the arrow  $k \rightarrow \ell$  changes as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Q & \rightarrow & \mu_k(Q) & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & \mu_k^c(Q) \\ (k \xrightarrow{(c)} \ell) & \mapsto & (k \xrightarrow{(c-1)} \ell) & \mapsto & \cdots & \mapsto & (k \xrightarrow{(0)} \ell) \end{array}$$

Therefore, if we apply  $\varphi_k$  on  $t_\ell^{(0)}$  multiple times, we get

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} B_Q & \rightarrow & B_{\mu_k(Q)} & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & B_{\mu_k^c(Q)} \\ t_\ell^{(0)} & \mapsto & t_\ell^{(1)} & \mapsto & \cdots & \mapsto & t_\ell^{(c)} \end{array}$$

Thus  $\varphi_k^j(t_\ell^{(0)}) = t_\ell^{(j)}$  for  $j = 0, \dots, c$ .

Now, the arrow  $k \rightarrow \ell$  has colour 0 in  $\mu_k^c(Q)$ . Hence the colour of the arrow  $k \rightarrow \ell$  changes as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mu_k^c(Q) & \rightarrow & \mu_k^{c+1}(Q) & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & \mu_k^{m+1}(Q) = Q \\ (k \xrightarrow{(0)} \ell) & \mapsto & (k \xrightarrow{(m)} \ell) & \mapsto & \cdots & \mapsto & (k \xrightarrow{(c)} \ell) \end{array}$$

Therefore, if we apply  $\varphi_k$  on  $t_\ell^{(c)}$  multiple times, we get

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} B_{\mu_k^c(Q)} & \rightarrow & B_{\mu_k^{c+1}(Q)} & \rightarrow & \cdots & \rightarrow & B_{\mu_k^{m+1}(Q)} = B_Q \\ t_\ell^{(c)} & \mapsto & t_k^{(c+1)} t_\ell^{(c+1)} (t_k^{(c+1)})^{-1} & \mapsto & \cdots & \mapsto & t_k^{(0)} t_\ell^{(0)} (t_k^{(0)})^{-1} \end{array}$$

Thus  $\varphi_k^j(t_\ell^{(0)}) = t_k^{(j)} t_\ell^{(j)} (t_k^{(j)})^{-1}$  for all  $j = c+1, \dots, m+1$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.8.** *The group homomorphism  $\varphi_k : B_Q \rightarrow B_{Q'}$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{t_p | p \in Q_0\}$  be the set of generators for  $B_Q$  given in Definition 5.1. Consider the following composition of group homomorphisms.

$$\phi : B_Q \xrightarrow{\varphi_k} B_{\mu_k(Q)} \xrightarrow{\varphi_k^m} B_{\mu_k^{m+1}(Q)} = B_Q$$

Let  $\ell \in \mathcal{N}_{Q,k}$ . Then, using Proposition 5.7, we can say that  $\phi(t_\ell) = t_k t_\ell t_k^{-1}$ . Furthermore, if  $w \notin \mathcal{N}_{Q,k}$ , then  $t_w$  commutes with  $t_k$ . Thus  $\phi(t_w) = t_w = t_k t_w t_k^{-1}$ . Hence

$$\phi(t_p) = t_k t_p t_k^{-1} \quad \text{for all } p \in Q_0.$$

So  $\phi$  is conjugation by  $t_k$ , that is an isomorphism. Hence  $\varphi_k$  is injective.

We can use the same argument to show that the composition

$$\tilde{\phi} : B_{\mu_k(Q)} \xrightarrow{\varphi_k^m} B_{\mu_k^{m+1}(Q)} = B_Q \xrightarrow{\varphi_k} B_{\mu_k(Q)}$$

is conjugation by  $t_k$ , and hence it is an isomorphism. This proves that  $\varphi_k$  is surjective, and hence it is an isomorphism.  $\square$


**Corollary 5.9.** *Let  $Q$  be an  $m$ -coloured quiver of mutation type  $\overrightarrow{A_{n-1}}$ , and  $k \in Q_0$ . Let  $\{s_i | i \in Q_0\}$  (resp.  $\{t_i | i \in Q_0\}$ ) be a generating set for  $B_Q$  (resp.  $B_{\mu_k(Q)}$ ). Then  $\varphi_k^{-1} : B_{\mu_k(Q)} \rightarrow B_Q$  is computed by*

$$\varphi_k^{-1}(t_i) = \begin{cases} s_k^{-1} s_i s_k, & \text{if } k \xrightarrow{(m)} i \\ s_i, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$


**Corollary 5.10.** *Let  $Q$  be an  $m$ -coloured quiver of mutation type  $\overrightarrow{A_{n-1}}$ . Then its associated group  $B_Q$  is isomorphic to the braid group of type  $A_{n-1}$ .*

*Proof.* By Remark 5.3, we know that  $B_{\overrightarrow{A_{n-1}}}$  is the braid group of type  $A_{n-1}$ . Hence Theorem 5.8 implies the statement.  $\square$

**Example 5.11.** Consider the 4-angulation of a regular dodecagon given in Example 4.18.

FIGURE 5. 4-angulation  $\Delta$  of a regular dodecagon

The associated 2-coloured quiver  $\Psi(\Delta)$  is the following.



Therefore  $B_{\Psi(\Delta)}$  is the group generated by  $s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4$ , subject to relations

$$\begin{aligned}
 & s_1 s_2 s_1 = s_2 s_1 s_2 \\
 & s_1 s_3 s_1 = s_3 s_1 s_3 \\
 & s_1 s_4 s_1 = s_4 s_1 s_4 \\
 & s_2 s_3 s_2 = s_3 s_2 s_3 \\
 & s_2 s_4 s_2 = s_4 s_2 s_4 \\
 & s_3 s_4 s_3 = s_4 s_3 s_4 \\
 & s_1 s_2 s_3 s_1 = s_2 s_3 s_1 s_2 = s_3 s_1 s_2 s_3 \\
 & s_1 s_2 s_4 s_1 = s_2 s_4 s_1 s_2 = s_4 s_1 s_2 s_4 \\
 & s_1 s_3 s_4 s_1 = s_3 s_4 s_1 s_3 = s_4 s_1 s_3 s_4 \\
 & s_2 s_3 s_4 s_2 = s_3 s_4 s_2 s_3 = s_4 s_2 s_3 s_4
 \end{aligned}$$

Notice that also cycle-type relations involving all the four vertices 1,2,3,4 hold. Specifically

$$s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 s_1 = s_2 s_3 s_4 s_1 s_2 = s_3 s_4 s_1 s_2 s_3 = s_4 s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4.$$

For example, we can get the first relation using (2), (3) and (4) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 s_1 &\stackrel{(3)}{=} s_2 s_3 s_1 s_2 s_1^{-1} s_4 s_1 \stackrel{(2)}{=} s_2 s_3 s_1 s_2 s_4 s_1 s_4^{-1} \\ &\stackrel{(4)}{=} s_2 s_3 s_4 s_1 s_2 s_4 s_4^{-1} = s_2 s_3 s_4 s_1 s_2. \end{aligned}$$

The phenomenon described in Example 5.11 can be generalised to subquivers of  $Q$  that are complete graphs on an arbitrary number of vertices. This result can be found in Remark 3.2 of [8], but we include it together with its proof for completeness.

**Remark 5.12.** Let  $j \geq 3$ , and  $i_1, \dots, i_j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ , with  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_j$ . Let  $Q$  be an  $m$ -coloured quiver of mutation type  $\overrightarrow{A_{n-1}}$ , and  $\{s_i | i \in Q_0\}$  the set of generators of  $B_Q$  introduced in Definition 5.1.

Suppose that the complete quiver on  $i_1, \dots, i_j$  is a subquiver of  $Q$ .

Let  $\ell_{p,q}$  be the colour of the arrow  $i_p \rightarrow i_q$ , for all  $p, q \in \{1, \dots, j\}$ ,  $p \neq q$ . Then, if

$$\ell_{a,b} + \ell_{b,c} + \ell_{c,a} = 2m + 1$$

for all  $a, b, c \in \{1, \dots, j\}$  with  $a < b < c$ , the following relation holds in  $B_Q$ .

$$s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_j} s_{i_1} = s_{i_2} s_{i_3} \dots s_{i_j} s_{i_1} s_{i_2}.$$

*Proof.* We prove the result by induction on  $j \geq 3$ .

- If  $j = 3$ , then the result follows directly from the definition of  $B_Q$ .
- If  $j > 3$ , then by induction hypothesis we know that

$$s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_{j-1}} s_{i_1} = s_{i_2} s_{i_3} \dots s_{i_{j-1}} s_{i_1} s_{i_2}.$$

Using this relation together with  $s_{i_1} s_{i_j} s_{i_1} = s_{i_j} s_{i_1} s_{i_j}$  and

$s_{i_1} s_{i_2} s_{i_j} s_{i_1} = s_{i_j} s_{i_1} s_{i_2} s_{i_j}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{j-1}} s_{i_j} s_{i_1} &= s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_{j-1}} s_{i_1} s_{i_2} s_{i_1}^{-1} s_{i_j} s_{i_1} = s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_{j-1}} s_{i_1} s_{i_2} s_{i_j} s_{i_1} s_{i_j}^{-1} \\ &= s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_{j-1}} s_{i_j} s_{i_1} s_{i_2} s_{i_j} s_{i_j}^{-1} = s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_{j-1}} s_{i_j} s_{i_1} s_{i_2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Remark 5.13.** In Section 4.3 of [6] the author defines a group  $G_s^d$  that acts on an higher zigzag algebra of type  $A$ , for fixed  $s, d \geq 1$ .

The construction of the group  $G_s^d$  for  $s = 2$  is the following. Consider the quiver  $Q_2^d$  given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & 2 \\ \uparrow & & \downarrow \\ d+1 & \longleftarrow & \cdot \end{array}$$

Then the group  $G_2^d$  is generated by  $s_1, \dots, s_{d+1}$  subject to relations

$$(5) \quad s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_j} s_{i_1} = s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_j} s_{i_1} s_{i_2} = \dots = s_{i_j} s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{j-1}} s_{i_j}$$


for all  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_j \leq d+1$  and  $j \geq 2$ .

Quivers of shape  $Q_2^d$  arise in my work. Indeed, if we take  $m = d - 1$  and  $n = d + 2$ , then the  $m$ -coloured quiver associated via the map  $\Psi$  to the  $(m + 2)$ -angulation


$$\Delta^{(d)} = \{(1, d + 1), (d + 1, 2d + 1), (2d + 1, 3d + 1), \dots, (d^2 + 1, 1)\}$$

of a regular  $(mn + 2)$ -gon is a complete quiver on  $n - 1 = d + 1$  vertices, and contains  $Q_2^d$  as a subquiver.

For example, for  $d = 4$ , the 5-angulation  $\Delta^{(4)}$  of the 20-gon described above is given by the following



and the quiver associated via  $\Psi$  to  $\Delta^{(4)}$ , this contains  $Q_2^4$  as subquiver.



Therefore, Remark 5.12 implies that not all the relations from (5) are necessary. The only relations one actually needs to define  $G_2^d$  are

$$\begin{aligned} s_{i_1} s_{i_2} s_{i_1} &= s_{i_2} s_{i_1} s_{i_2}, & \text{for } 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq d + 1 \\ s_{i_1} s_{i_2} s_{i_3} s_{i_1} &= s_{i_2} s_{i_3} s_{i_1} s_{i_2} = s_{i_3} s_{i_1} s_{i_2} s_{i_3}, & \text{for } 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < i_3 \leq d + 1. \end{aligned}$$

# REFERENCES

- [1] K. Baur, B. Marsh, *A geometric description of  $m$ -cluster categories*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 360(11) (2008), 5789-5803.
- [2] A.B. Buan, H. Thomas, *Coloured quiver mutation of higher cluster categories*, Advances in Mathematics 222(3) (2009), 971-995.
- [3] S. Fomin, A. Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras, I. Foundations*, J. Amer. Math. Soc 15 (2002), no. 2, 497-529.
- [4] S. Fomin, A. Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras. II. Finite type classification*, Invent. Math. 154 (2003), no. 1, 63-121.
- [5] GeoGebra GmbH, <http://www.geogebra.org>.
- [6] J. Grant, *Higher zigzag algebras*, Doc. Math. 24(2019), 749-814.
- [7] J. Grant, B. Marsh, *Braid groups and quiver mutation*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics 290(1) (2017), 77-116.
- [8] V. Sergiescu, *Graphes planaires et presentations des groupes de tresses* [*Planar graphs and presentations of braid groups*], Math. Z. 214 (1993), no. 3, 477-490.
- [9] H.A. Torkildsen, *Coloured quivers of type  $A$  and the cell-growth problem*, Journal of Algebra and its applications, Vol.12 N. 01, 1250133 (2013).