

# Vafa-Witten Theory: Invariants, Floer Homologies, Higgs Bundles, a Geometric Langlands Correspondence, and Categorification

Zhi-Cong Ong\* and Meng-Chwan Tan†

*Department of Physics  
National University of Singapore  
2 Science Drive 3, Singapore 117551*

## Abstract

We revisit Vafa-Witten theory in the more general setting whereby the underlying moduli space is not that of instantons, but of the full Vafa-Witten equations. We physically derive (i) a novel Vafa-Witten four-manifold invariant associated with this moduli space, (ii) their relation to Gromov-Witten invariants, (iii) a novel Vafa-Witten Floer homology assigned to three-manifold boundaries, (iv) a novel Vafa-Witten Atiyah-Floer correspondence, (v) a proof and generalization of a conjecture by Abouzaid-Manolescu in [1] about the hypercohomology of a perverse sheaf of vanishing cycles, (vi) a Langlands duality of these invariants, Floer homologies and hypercohomology, and (vii) a quantum geometric Langlands correspondence with purely imaginary parameter that specializes to the classical correspondence in the zero-coupling limit, where Higgs bundles feature in (ii), (iv), (vi) and (vii). We also explain how these invariants and homologies will be categorified in the process, and discuss their higher categorification. We thereby relate differential and enumerative geometry, topology and geometric representation theory in mathematics, via a maximally-supersymmetric topological quantum field theory with electric-magnetic duality in physics.

---

\*E-mail: e0338243@u.nus.edu

†E-mail: mctan@nus.edu.sg

## Contents

|          |  |           |
|----------|--|-----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Introduction, Summary and Acknowledgements</b>  | <b>3</b>  |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Vafa-Witten Twist of <math>\mathcal{N} = 4</math> Gauge Theory, and a Vafa-Witten Invariant</b>   | <b>10</b> |
| 2.1      | Vafa-Witten Theory . . . . .   | 10        |
| 2.2      | A Vafa-Witten Invariant . . . . .  | 12        |
| <b>3</b> | <b>An <math>\mathcal{N} = (4, 4)</math> <math>A</math>-model, Higgs Bundles and Gromov-Witten Theory</b>   | <b>13</b> |
| 3.1      | Reduction of 4d Terms . . . . .  | 14        |
| 3.2      | BPS Equations in 2d and an $\mathcal{N} = (4, 4)$ $A$ -model . . . . .   | 16        |
| 3.3      | An $A$ -model in Complex Structure $I$ . . . . .   | 17        |
| 3.4      | Vafa-Witten Invariants as Gromov-Witten Invariants of Higgs Bundles . . . . .  | 18        |
| <b>4</b> | <b>A Novel Floer Homology from Boundary Vafa-Witten Theory</b>   | <b>19</b> |
| 4.1      | A Summary of Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics . . . . .  | 19        |
| 4.2      | SQM Interpretation of Boundary Vafa-Witten Theory . . . . .  | 21        |
| 4.3      | A Novel Vafa-Witten Floer Homology . . . . .   | 23        |
| <b>5</b> | <b>A Vafa-Witten Atiyah-Floer Correspondence</b>   | <b>26</b> |
| 5.1      | Heegaard Splitting . . . . .   | 26        |
| 5.2      | A Vafa-Witten Version of the Atiyah-Floer Correspondence . . . . .   | 27        |
| 5.3      | A Physical Proof and Generalization of a Conjecture by Abouzaid-Manolescu about<br>the Hypercohomology of a Perverse Sheaf of Vanishing Cycles . . . . . | 30        |
| <b>6</b> | <b>Langlands Duality of Vafa-Witten Invariants, Gromov-Witten invariants, Floer<br/>Homologies and the Abouzaid-Manolescu Hypercohomology</b>            | <b>32</b> |
| 6.1      | Langlands Duality of Vafa-Witten Invariants . . . . .  | 33        |

|          |   |           |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 6.2      | Langlands Duality of Gromov-Witten Invariants . . . . .   | 33        |
| 6.3      | Langlands Duality of Vafa-Witten Floer Homology . . . . .   | 33        |
| 6.4      | Langlands Duality of Lagrangian Floer Homology . . . . .  | 34        |
| 6.5      | Langlands Duality of the Abouzaid-Manolescu Hypercohomology . . . . .   | 34        |
| <b>7</b> | <b>A Geometric Langlands Correspondence with Purely Imaginary Parameter</b>   | <b>34</b> |
| 7.1      | An Open $A$ -model and a Category of $A$ -branes . . . . .  | 34        |
| 7.2      | From $A$ -branes in $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)$ to Twisted $D$ -modules on $\text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{C}}}(C)$ . . . . . | 35        |
| 7.3      | A Quantum Geometric Langlands Correspondence with Purely Imaginary Parameter  | 36        |
| 7.4      | A Classical Geometric Langlands Correspondence . . . . .  | 36        |
| <b>8</b> | <b>A Novel Web of Mathematical Relations, and Categorification</b>  | <b>37</b> |
| 8.1      | A Novel Web of Mathematical Relations from Vafa-Witten Theory . . . . .   | 37        |
| 8.2      | Categorifying the Vafa-Witten Invariant . . . . .   | 37        |
| 8.3      | Higher Categories from Vafa-Witten Theory . . . . .   | 39        |

## 1 Introduction, Summary and Acknowledgements

### *Introduction*

For an  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM theory on a Euclidean four-manifold  $M_4$  with gauge group  $G$ , where  $G$  is a real Lie group, one can perform topological twisting in three different ways [2], allowing one to end up with three different twisted theories. The multiplet of an  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  theory contains a single gauge boson  $A_\mu$  ( $\mu = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) with spin 1, gauge fermions  $\lambda_\alpha^i$  and  $\lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}^i$  ( $\alpha, \dot{\alpha} = 1, 2$ ) with spin  $\frac{1}{2}$ , and six adjoint-valued bosonic scalars  $\phi_{ij} = -\phi_{ji}$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) with spin 0 in the six-dimensional representation of its  $SU(4)_{\mathcal{R}}$   $R$ -symmetry. Here,  $\mu$  represents spacetime indices;  $\alpha, \dot{\alpha}$  represents spinor indices of  $SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R$  of spacetime; and  $i, j$  represent the internal indices of  $SU(4)_{\mathcal{R}}$ .<sup>1</sup>

The idea of twisting in order to shift the spin of the supercharges such that they behave as scalars whence they are insensitive to the geometry of  $M_4$ , was pioneered by Witten in [3]. To explain

---

<sup>1</sup>One can obtain  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM in 4d from a dimensional reduction of  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  supersymmetry in 10d, by compactifying along six dimensions. This explains the adjoint-valued bosonic scalar fields being in the six-dimensional representation of the internal  $SU(4)_{\mathcal{R}}$   $R$ -symmetry.

twisting, first notice that the sixteen fermions  $\lambda_\alpha^i, \lambda_\alpha^{\bar{i}}$  and thus, the sixteen supercharges  $\mathcal{Q}_\alpha^i, \mathcal{Q}_\alpha^{\bar{i}}$ , transform under  $SU(4)_{\mathcal{R}}$ . Then, twisting just involves making a choice of homomorphism  $SO(4) \rightarrow SU(4)_{\mathcal{R}}$  of the spacetime symmetry group to the  $R$ -symmetry group, whence the aforementioned shift in the spin of the supercharges can be effected. This will modify the spins of not just the  $\lambda^i$ 's and  $\mathcal{Q}^i$ 's (where they are necessarily shifted in the same way), but also that of the  $\phi_i$ 's (as they also transform under  $SU(4)_{\mathcal{R}}$ ). Amongst the sixteen supercharges with shifted spins, one can always find scalar supercharges  $\mathcal{Q}$  such that  $\mathcal{Q}^2 = 0$ . Because  $\mathcal{Q}$  is insensitive to the geometry of  $M_4$ , it is a topological supercharge whereby the generated supersymmetry remains unbroken under smooth metric deformations of  $M_4$ . This ‘shifted’ theory with topological supercharge  $\mathcal{Q}$ , is also known as a (cohomological) Topological Quantum Field Theory (TQFT).

A feature of such a TQFT is that the action can be expressed as

$$S = \{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{V}\} + \text{topological term}, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\mathcal{V}$  is called a gauge fermion. This allows us to rescale  $\mathcal{V}$  whilst leaving the path integral invariant (since the expectation value of any operator of the form  $\{\mathcal{Q}, \dots\}$  is zero), whence we can compute the path integral exactly using a convenient rescaling of  $\mathcal{V}$  for which its contributions localize to a finite-dimensional moduli space.

That such a TQFT is independent of the metric can be seen from the fact that its energy-momentum tensor  $\delta S / \delta g_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu}$  is  $\mathcal{Q}$ -exact, i.e., it can be written as  $T_{\mu\nu} = \{\mathcal{Q}, G_{\mu\nu}\}$  for a certain fermionic symmetric tensor  $G_{\mu\nu}$ , whence a variation of the metric would leave the path integral invariant (according to our explanation in the last paragraph). That being said, it is only in this sense that the word ‘topological’ holds, since TQFT’s are *not* independent of all non-topological information. We will see that there are dependencies on symplectic structures when dimensional reduction via a deformation of the metric is performed later.

Another feature of such a TQFT, is that the nilpotency of  $\mathcal{Q}$  means that one can define its spectrum to be the  $\mathcal{Q}$ -cohomology of  $\mathcal{Q}$ -closed operators which are not  $\mathcal{Q}$ -exact that therefore have nonvanishing expectation values. These  $\mathcal{Q}$ -supersymmetric operators correspond to certain BPS states of the original  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  theory. Moreover, their correlation functions are topological invariants of  $M_4$ , whence they have useful mathematical applications.

Last but not least, note that anything that is  $\mathcal{Q}$ -exact is cohomologous to zero. That the action can be expressed as (1.1) means that it is actually zero in  $\mathcal{Q}$ -cohomology. This just reflects the fact that there are no field dynamics of the theory (since supersymmetry will allow us to integrate out non-zero modes up to a factor of  $\pm 1$  in the path integral). In other words, the crux of any TQFT is in the structure of its zero modes. This is also consistent with the observation that the Hamiltonian of TQFT’s,  $H \sim T_{00} = \{\mathcal{Q}, G_{00}\}$ , is also zero in  $\mathcal{Q}$ -cohomology, i.e., only ground states are relevant in the spectrum of a TQFT.

In this paper, we will concern ourselves with the twist leading to the theory studied in [4], also known as Vafa-Witten (VW) theory. Unlike in [4], we will consider the more general setting whereby

the underlying moduli space is not that of instantons, but of the full Vafa-Witten equations. We will explore and elucidate the mathematical implications of this theory by exploiting its invariance under metric deformations of the underlying  $M_4$ , and its electric-magnetic S-duality.

Let us now give a brief plan and summary of the paper.

### *A Brief Plan and Summary of the Paper*

In §2, we discuss general aspects of the VW twist leading up to the action with complexified gauge coupling parameter  $\tau$ , where the theory will localize on a zero-dimensional moduli space of configurations satisfying the VW equations. We then give a physical, path integral derivation of a novel  $\tau$ -dependent Vafa-Witten invariant of  $M_4$ , as the partition function of VW theory, in (2.15):

$$\boxed{\mathcal{Z}_{\text{VW}, M_4}(\tau, G) = \sum_k (-1)^{n_k + \tau m_k}} \quad (1.2)$$

where  $k$  labels the  $k^{\text{th}}$  solution to the VW equations in (2.9), the integer  $n_k$  is determined by the corresponding sign of the determinant of an elliptic operator associated with a linearization of the VW equations, while the real number  $m_k$ , determined by the corresponding VW solution, is given in (2.16) as

$$\boxed{m_k = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{M_4} \text{Tr} \left( F_{(k)} \wedge F_{(k)} + dB_{(k)} \wedge \star DB_{(k)} + B_{(k)} \wedge d(\star DB_{(k)}) \right)} \quad (1.3)$$

Here,  $(A_{(k)}, B_{(k)})$  correspond to the  $k^{\text{th}}$  solution of the VW equations on  $M_4$ , where  $A_{(k)}$  is a one-form  $G$ -connection with two-form curvature  $F_{(k)}$ , and  $B_{(k)}$  is a self-dual two-form.

In §3, we compactify VW theory on  $M_4 = \Sigma \times C$  along  $C$ , where both  $\Sigma$  and  $C$  are closed Riemann surfaces, and  $C$  has a genus  $g \geq 2$ . This allows us to arrive at an  $A$ -model in complex structure  $I$  on  $\Sigma$  with  $\mathcal{N} = (4, 4)$  supersymmetry and target space  $\mathcal{M}_H^G(C)$ , the moduli space of Hitchin's equations on  $C$ . In complex structure  $I$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_H^G(C)$  can be identified with  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)$ , the moduli space of stable Higgs  $G$ -bundles on  $C$ . We then show that the partition function of the  $A$ -model in (3.24) gives a  $\tau$ -dependent Gromov-Witten (GW) invariant in (3.27):

$$\boxed{\mathcal{Z}_{\text{GW}, \Sigma}(\tau, \mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)) = \sum_l (-1)^{p_l + \tau q_l}} \quad (1.4)$$

where  $l$  labels the  $l^{\text{th}}$  holomorphic map described in (3.23), the integer  $p_l$  is determined by the corresponding sign of the determinant of an elliptic operator associated with the holomorphic map equations ((3.17), while the real number  $q_l$ , determined by the corresponding map  $\Phi_l$ , is given in (3.26) as

$$\boxed{q_l = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \Phi_l^*(\omega_I)} \quad (1.5)$$

where  $\omega_I$  is the symplectic two-form of  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)$ ). In turn, the topological invariance of VW theory will mean that we have a 4d-2d correspondence of partition functions in (3.28), whence we have a correspondence between the VW and GW invariants in (3.29):

$$\boxed{\mathcal{Z}_{\text{VW}, M_4}(\tau, G) = \mathcal{Z}_{\text{GW}, \Sigma}(\tau, \mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C))} \quad (1.6)$$

In other words, we have a correspondence between the VW invariant of  $M_4 = \Sigma \times C$  and the GW invariant of  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)$ , where  $G$  is *any* real Lie group. In the special case that the VW equations become the instanton equation, (1.6) will agree with [5, Theorem 11] that relates the Donaldson-Witten invariant of  $\Sigma \times C$  to the Gromov-Witten invariant of the moduli space of flat  $G$ -connections on  $C$ .

In §4, we consider boundary VW theory on  $M_4 = M_3 \times \mathbb{R}^+$ , with  $M_3$  a closed three-manifold, where in temporal gauge, one can now interpret  $A$  and  $B$  as one-forms on  $M_3$ . Then, we will recast the 4d theory as 1d supersymmetric quantum mechanics (SQM) on  $\mathfrak{A}$ , the space of all complexified connections  $\mathcal{A} = A + iB$  of a  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -bundle on  $M_3$ , with potential being the complex Chern-Simons functional, which action is (4.15). This will in turn allow us to compute the partition function as (4.26):

$$\boxed{\mathcal{Z}_{\text{VW}, M_4}(\tau, G) = \sum_k \mathcal{F}_{\text{VW}}^{G, \tau}(\Psi_{M_3}^k) = \sum_k \text{HF}_k^{\text{VW}}(M_3, G, \tau) = \mathcal{Z}_{\text{VW}, M_3}^{\text{Floer}}(\tau, G)} \quad (1.7)$$

where the quantities in the second and third expressions are understood to be the expectation values of the stated operators. Here,  $\text{HF}_*^{\text{VW}}(M_3, G, \tau)$  is a *novel* Vafa-Witten Floer homology assigned to  $M_3$  defined by the Morse functional in (4.21):

$$\boxed{CS(\mathcal{A}) = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{M_3} \text{Tr} \left( \mathcal{A} \wedge d\mathcal{A} + \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A} \right)} \quad (1.8)$$

with Floer differential described by the gradient flow equation (4.22):

$$\boxed{\frac{d\mathcal{A}^i}{dt} + sg_{\mathfrak{A}}^{ij} \frac{\partial CS(\mathcal{A})}{\partial \mathcal{A}^j} = 0} \quad (1.9)$$

where the  $\tau$ -dependence is due to a factor of  $(-1)^{\tau s_k}$  that is present in the  $k^{\text{th}}$  term of the above summation, and the real number  $s_k$  is given in (4.27) as

$$\boxed{s_k = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{M_3} \text{Tr} \left( A_{(k)} \wedge dA_{(k)} + \frac{2}{3} A_{(k)} \wedge A_{(k)} \wedge A_{(k)} + B_{(k)} \wedge \star DB_{(k)} \right)} \quad (1.10)$$

Here,  $(A_{(k)}, B_{(k)})$  are the  $k^{\text{th}}$  solution to the VW equations on  $M_3 \times \mathbb{R}^+$  restricted to  $M_3$ .

In §5, we continue with an  $M_4 = M_3 \times \mathbb{R}^+$  and perform a Heegaard split of  $M_3$  along the Riemann surface  $C$ . Topological invariance of VW theory then allows us to compactify  $C$  and equate the resulting theory with the original uncompactified theory. Via the calculations in §3, we find that the resulting theory is an open  $A$ -model with boundaries given by Lagrangian  $(A, B, A)$ -branes  $L_0$  and  $L_1$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)$ , where they represent solutions to the relevant equations on the left

and right Heegaard split pieces of  $M_3$ , respectively. Then, via the expression (1.7) for the original theory, we will be able to obtain a *novel* Vafa-Witten Atiyah-Floer correspondence in (5.9) as

$$\boxed{\mathrm{HF}_*^{\mathrm{VW}}(M_3, G, \tau) \cong \mathrm{HF}_*^{\mathrm{Lagr}}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Higgs}}^G(C), L_0, L_1, \tau)} \quad (1.11)$$

where  $\mathrm{HF}_*^{\mathrm{Lagr}}$  is the Lagrangian Floer homology of  $L_0$  and  $L_1$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Higgs}}^G(C)$ .

Also, a hypercohomology  $\mathrm{HP}^*(M_3)$  of a perverse sheaf of vanishing cycles in the moduli space of irreducible flat  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -connections on  $M_3$  was constructed by Abouzaid-Manolescu in [1], where it was conjectured to be isomorphic to instanton Floer homology assigned to  $M_3$  for the complex gauge group  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ . We proceed further in this section to physically prove this conjecture. To this end, we first physically realize the result of [6, Remark 6.15] in (5.11) as

$$\boxed{\mathrm{HP}^*(M_3) \cong \mathrm{HF}_*^{\mathrm{Lagr}}(X_{\mathrm{irr}}(C), L_0, L_1, \tau)} \quad (1.12)$$

where  $X_{\mathrm{irr}}(C)$  is the moduli space of irreducible flat  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -connections on  $C$ . Next, from (1.8) and (1.9), and the fact that the  $(A, B, A)$ -branes  $L_0$  and  $L_1$  can also be interpreted as Lagrangian branes in  $\mathcal{M}_H^G(C)$  in complex structure  $K$  i.e.,  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{flat}}^{G_{\mathbb{C}}}(C)$ , the moduli space of irreducible flat  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -connections on  $C$ , we find that (1.11) can also be expressed as an Atiyah-Floer correspondence for  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -instantons, whence for  $G_{\mathbb{C}} = SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ , the RHS of (1.12) can be identified with the LHS of (1.11), such that we will have in (5.13)

$$\boxed{\mathrm{HP}^*(M_3) \cong \mathrm{HF}_*^{\mathrm{inst}}(M_3, SL(2, \mathbb{C}), \tau)} \quad (1.13)$$

for some value of  $\tau$ . This is exactly the aforementioned conjecture by Abouzaid-Manolescu in [1].

Clearly, since the underlying VW theory is defined for general  $G$ , the above results for  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  can be generalized to  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ . In particular, we have, in (5.15),

$$\boxed{\mathrm{HP}^*(M_3, G_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong \mathrm{HF}_*^{\mathrm{Lagr}}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{flat}}^{G_{\mathbb{C}}}(C), L_0, L_1, \tau)} \quad (1.14)$$

which again physically realizes the result of [6, Remark 6.15], and, in (5.14),

$$\boxed{\mathrm{HP}^*(M_3, G_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong \mathrm{HF}_*^{\mathrm{inst}}(M_3, G_{\mathbb{C}}, \tau)} \quad (1.15)$$

which is a  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$  generalization of the Abouzaid-Manolescu conjecture. Our physically derived generalization is also consistent with their arguments in [1, sect. 9.1] which show that a generalization to  $SL(N, \mathbb{C})$  is mathematically possible.

In §6, we will show that  $S$ -duality of VW theory will result in a Langlands duality of the invariants, Floer homologies and hypercohomology stated hitherto. Specifically, we have, in (6.1),

$$\boxed{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{VW}, M_4}(\tau, G) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{VW}, M_4}\left(-\frac{1}{n_{\mathfrak{g}}\tau}, {}^L G\right)} \quad (1.16)$$




Figure 1: The physical approach taken in this paper.

a Langlands duality of VW invariants of  $M_4$ . In (6.2),

$$\mathcal{Z}_{GW,\Sigma}(\tau, \mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{GW,\Sigma}\left(-\frac{1}{n_{\mathfrak{g}}\tau}, \mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^{LG}(C)\right) \quad (1.17)$$

a Langlands duality of GW invariants that can be interpreted as a mirror symmetry of Higgs bundles. In (6.4),

$$\text{HF}_*^{\text{VW}}(M_3, G, \tau) \longleftrightarrow \text{HF}_*^{\text{VW}}\left(M_3, {}^L G, -\frac{1}{n_{\mathfrak{g}}\tau}\right) \quad (1.18)$$

a Langlands duality of VW Floer homologies assigned to  $M_3$ . In (6.6),

$$\text{HF}_*^{\text{Lagr}}(\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C), L_0, L_1, \tau) \longleftrightarrow \text{HF}_*^{\text{Lagr}}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^{LG}(C), L_0, L_1, -\frac{1}{n_{\mathfrak{g}}\tau}\right) \quad (1.19)$$

a Langlands duality of Lagrangian Floer homologies of Higgs bundles. And lastly, in (6.7),

$$\text{HP}^*(M_3, G_{\mathbb{C}}, \tau) \longleftrightarrow \text{HP}^*(M_3, {}^L G_{\mathbb{C}}, -1/n_{\mathfrak{g}}\tau) \quad (1.20)$$

a Langlands duality of the Abouzaid-Manolescu hypercohomology of a perverse sheaf of vanishing cycles in the moduli space of irreducible flat complex connections on  $M_3$ .

In §7, we will show that  $S$ -duality of VW theory will also result in a geometric Langlands correspondence. Specifically, we have, in (7.3),

$$\text{Cat}_{A\text{-branes}}(\tau, \mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)) \longleftrightarrow \text{Cat}_{A\text{-branes}}\left(-\frac{1}{n_{\mathfrak{g}}\tau}, \mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^{LG}(C)\right) \quad (1.21)$$

a homological mirror symmetry of a  $\tau$ -dependent (derived) category of  $A$ -branes on the space of Higgs bundles, where if  $\text{Re}(\tau) = 0$ , we have, in (7.4),

$$\mathcal{D}_{-h\nu\text{-mod}}^{\mathbb{C}}(q, \text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{C}}}) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{-Lh\nu\text{-mod}}^{\mathbb{C}}\left(-\frac{1}{n_{\mathfrak{g}}q}, \text{Bun}_{{}^L G_{\mathbb{C}}}\right) \quad (1.22)$$

a quantum geometric Langlands correspondence for complex group  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$  with complex curve  $C$  and purely imaginary parameter  $q$ . Furthermore, in the zero-coupling, ‘classical’ limit of VW theory in



$G$  where  $\text{Im}(\tau) \rightarrow \infty$  whence  $q \rightarrow \infty$ , we have, in (7.5),

$$\boxed{\text{Cat}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{M}_{\text{flat}}^{G_{\mathbb{C}}}(C)) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{-L_{h^{\vee}}\text{-mod}}^{\mathbb{C}}(0, \text{Bun}_{L_{G_{\mathbb{C}}}})} \quad (1.23)$$

a classical geometric Langlands correspondence for  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$  with complex curve  $C$ .




Figure 2: A novel web of mathematical relations stemming from Vafa-Witten Theory.

In §8, we will present a novel web of mathematical relations, summarizing the dualities, correspondences, and identifications between the various mathematical objects we physically derived in

§2–7 starting from VW theory, in Fig. 6. We will go on to explain how the VW invariant will be systematically categorified in our framework as depicted in (8.5):

$$\boxed{\mathcal{Z}_{\text{VW}} \xrightarrow{\text{categorification}} \text{HF}_*^{\text{VW}} \xrightarrow{\text{categorification}} \text{Cat}_{A\text{-branes}} \xrightarrow{\text{categorification}} 2\text{-Cat}_{\text{mod-cat}}(\text{FF-cat}(T^2))} \quad (1.24)$$

where Fig. 6 will be enhanced to Fig. 7.

In summary, the physical approach that we have taken in this paper is given in Fig. 1, where it will lead us to the novel mathematical relations in Fig. 2.

### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank M. Ashwinkumar for many useful discussions. We would also like to thank S. Gukov for his comments on v1 of this paper. This work is supported in part by the MOE AcRF Tier 1 grant R-144-000-470-114.

## 2 Vafa-Witten Twist of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Gauge Theory, and a Vafa-Witten Invariant

In this section, we start by reviewing aspects of VW theory on  $M_4$  with gauge group  $G$  necessary for this paper, referring to [4, 7]. We then physically derive a novel VW invariant of  $M_4$ .

### 2.1 Vafa-Witten Theory

First, note that in Euclidean signature (the case natural to TQFT's), we can express the 4d spacetime group as  $SO(4) = SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R$ . Next, note that for  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  supersymmetry in 4d, we have an  $SU(4)_{\mathcal{R}}$   $R$ -symmetry group that can be broken down and expressed as  $SO(4)_{\mathcal{R}} = SU(2)_A \otimes SU(2)_B$ . Then, in order to obtain the VW-twist of [4], we just need to replace the  $SU(2)_L \subset SO(4)$  with  $SU(2)_{L'}$ , the diagonal subgroup of  $SU(2)_L$  and  $SU(2)_A$ . The resulting fields will consequently have quantum numbers corresponding to the total group  $SU(2)_{L'} \otimes SU(2)_R \otimes SU(2)_B$ . The field content of the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  theory is then modified as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} &\rightarrow A_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}), \\ \phi_{ij} &\rightarrow B_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}), \quad C_{ab}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}), \\ \lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}^i &\rightarrow \psi_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^a(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}), \\ \lambda_{\alpha}^i &\rightarrow \chi_{\alpha\beta}^a(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}), \quad \eta^a(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

In (2.1), the labels  $a, b$  represent indices for  $SU(2)_B$ ; the labels  $\alpha, \dot{\alpha}$  represent spinor indices. The supercharges  $\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha}^i$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i$ , being fermions, are modified in the same way as the gauge fermions  $\lambda_{\alpha}^i$  and  $\lambda_{\dot{\alpha}}^i$  to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha}^i &\rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha\beta}^a(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}), \quad \mathcal{Q}^a(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}), \\ \mathcal{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i &\rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^a(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

The VW twist thus produces a scalar supercharge  $\mathcal{Q}^a$  within an  $SU(2)_B$  doublet. We now split the fields along their  $SU(2)_B$  representation. There are 3 independent components for  $C_{ab}$  (being in the  $\mathbf{3}$  of  $SU(2)_B$ ), and we will label them as separate scalar fields  $C(0)$ ,  $\phi(+2)$  and  $\bar{\phi}(-2)$ . Here  $C(0)$  represents the field  $C$  with a ghost number of 0. Similarly,  $\psi_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^a$  will be labelled as  $\psi_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(+1)$  and  $\tilde{\chi}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(-1)$ ;  $\chi_{\alpha\beta}^a$  will be labelled as  $\chi_{\alpha\beta}(-1)$  and  $\tilde{\psi}_{\alpha\beta}(+1)$ ; and  $\eta^a$  will be labelled as  $\eta(-1)$  and  $\zeta(+1)$ . The two bosonic fields  $A_\mu(0)$  and  $B_{\alpha\beta}(0)$  remain unchanged since they are singlets of  $SU(2)_B$ . We can also split  $\mathcal{Q}^a$  into  $\mathcal{Q}^\pm$ .

The supersymmetry transformations are then

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathcal{Q}^+, A] &= \psi, & \{\mathcal{Q}^+, \psi\} &= -\mathcal{D}\phi, & [\mathcal{Q}^-, A] &= -\tilde{\chi}, & \{\mathcal{Q}^-, \tilde{\chi}\} &= \mathcal{D}\bar{\phi}, \\
[\mathcal{Q}^+, B] &= \tilde{\psi}, & \{\mathcal{Q}^+, \tilde{\psi}\} &= [\phi, B], & [\mathcal{Q}^-, B] &= -\chi, & \{\mathcal{Q}^-, \chi\} &= [\bar{\phi}, B], \\
[\mathcal{Q}^+, C] &= \zeta, & \{\mathcal{Q}^+, \zeta\} &= [\phi, C], & [\mathcal{Q}^-, C] &= -\eta, & \{\mathcal{Q}^-, \eta\} &= [\bar{\phi}, C], \\
[\mathcal{Q}^+, \phi] &= 0, & & & [\mathcal{Q}^-, \bar{\phi}] &= 0, & & (2.3) \\
[\mathcal{Q}^+, \bar{\phi}] &= \eta, & \{\mathcal{Q}^+, \eta\} &= [\phi, \bar{\phi}], & [\mathcal{Q}^-, \phi] &= \zeta, & \{\mathcal{Q}^-, \zeta\} &= [\bar{\phi}, \phi], \\
\{\mathcal{Q}^+, \tilde{\chi}\} &= \tilde{H}, & [\mathcal{Q}^+, \tilde{H}] &= [\phi, \tilde{\chi}], & \{\mathcal{Q}^-, \psi\} &= -\tilde{H}, & [\mathcal{Q}^-, \tilde{H}] &= [\psi, \bar{\phi}], \\
\{\mathcal{Q}^+, \chi\} &= H, & [\mathcal{Q}^+, H] &= [\phi, \chi], & \{\mathcal{Q}^-, \tilde{\psi}\} &= H, & [\mathcal{Q}^-, H] &= [\bar{\phi}, \tilde{\psi}],
\end{aligned}$$

satisfying the algebra

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\mathcal{Q}^+, \mathcal{Q}^+\} &= \delta_g(\phi), \\
\{\mathcal{Q}^-, \mathcal{Q}^-\} &= \delta_g(\bar{\phi}), \\
\{\mathcal{Q}^+, \mathcal{Q}^-\} &= \delta_g(C),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where  $\delta_g$  represents a gauge transformation. From (2.3), we see that  $\mathcal{Q}^\pm$  is nilpotent up to a gauge transformation. The auxiliary fields  $H$  and  $\tilde{H}$  have been included in (2.3) for (2.4) to hold off-shell.

We note that despite the existence of two supercharges  $\mathcal{Q}^\pm$ , linear combinations of  $\mathcal{Q}^\pm$  are equivalent up to an  $SU(2)_B$  symmetry transformation [4]. Hence, it does not matter which of  $\mathcal{Q}^\pm$  we consider. Therefore, let us use  $\mathcal{Q}^+$  for our construction of VW theory.

With the complex coupling parameter

$$\tau = \frac{\theta}{2\pi} + i\frac{4\pi}{e^2}, \tag{2.5}$$

the action can be written as the sum of a  $\mathcal{Q}^+$ -exact term and a topological term:<sup>2</sup>

$$S_{\text{VW}} = \frac{1}{e^2} \int_{M_4} d^4x \sqrt{g} \text{Tr}\{\mathcal{Q}^+, \mathcal{V}\} - \frac{i\tau}{4\pi} \int_{M_4} \text{Tr}F \wedge F, \tag{2.6}$$

where<sup>3</sup>

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V} &= \chi_{\mu\nu} \left( H^{\mu\nu} - 2F^{+\mu\nu} \right) + 2\bar{\phi} \mathcal{D}_\mu \psi^\mu + \tilde{\chi}_\mu \left( \tilde{H}^\mu - 2\mathcal{D}^\mu C - 2\mathcal{D}_\nu B^{\nu\mu} \right) \\
&\quad - \chi_{\mu\nu} \left( [B^{\mu\nu}, C] + \frac{1}{2} [B^{\mu\tau}, B_\tau^\nu] \right) - \bar{\phi} \left( \frac{1}{2} [\tilde{\psi}_{\mu\nu}, B^{\mu\nu}] + 2[\zeta, C] \right) + \eta[\phi, \bar{\phi}].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

<sup>2</sup>One can also write the action as a  $\mathcal{Q}^-$ -exact term, but we will only show the case for it being  $\mathcal{Q}^+$ -exact.

<sup>3</sup>Clebsch-Gordan coefficients  $(\sigma)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^\mu$  and  $(\bar{\sigma})^{\mu\dot{\alpha}\alpha}$  allow us to express  $A_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(\bar{\sigma}^\mu)^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = A^\mu$ , and  $(\sigma^{\mu\nu})^{\alpha\beta} B_{\alpha\beta} = B^{\mu\nu}$ , where  $(\sigma^{\mu\nu})^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{4} [\sigma^\mu \bar{\sigma}^\nu - \sigma^\nu \bar{\sigma}^\mu]^{\alpha\beta}$ .

Upon integrating out the auxiliary fields  $H$  and  $\tilde{H}$ , we obtain the localization equations by setting to zero  $\{\mathcal{Q}^\pm, \text{fermion}\}$  in (2.3):

$$F_{\mu\nu}^+ + \frac{1}{2}[B_{\mu\nu}, C] + \frac{1}{4}[B_{\mu\rho}, B_{\lambda\nu}]g^{\rho\lambda} = 0, \quad (2.8a)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu C + \mathcal{D}_\nu B^{\nu\mu} = 0. \quad (2.8b)$$

These constitute the BPS equations for the theory, with the field configurations of  $A_\mu$ ,  $B_{\mu\nu}$  and  $C$  that satisfy (2.8) defining a moduli space which the path integral localizes on. We can set  $C$  to zero in (2.8) if we wish to consider only irreducible connections. In short, we will henceforth concern ourselves with the following localization equations:

$$F_{\mu\nu}^+ + \frac{1}{4}[B_{\mu\rho}, B_{\lambda\nu}]g^{\rho\lambda} = 0 \quad (2.9a)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_\nu B^{\nu\mu} = 0 \quad (2.9b)$$

This set of equations in (2.9) have also been studied in [8, 9]. Upon evaluating (2.6), the part of  $S_{\text{VW}}$  involving only the zero-modes of  $A$  and  $B$  is

$$S_{\text{VW}}^{A,B} = \frac{1}{e^2} \int_{M_4} d^4x \sqrt{g} \text{Tr} \left( (F_{\mu\nu}^+ + \frac{1}{4}[B_{\mu\rho}, B_{\lambda\nu}])^2 + (\mathcal{D}^\mu B_{\mu\nu})^2 \right) - \frac{i\tau}{4\pi} \int_{M_4} \text{Tr} \left( F \wedge F + dB \wedge \star DB + B \wedge d(\star DB) \right), \quad (2.10)$$

where we have taken the liberty to add the term  $\{\mathcal{Q}^+, d(B \wedge \tilde{\chi})\}$  (that is null in the spectrum of VW theory given by the  $\mathcal{Q}^+$ -cohomology), for later convenience. Also, we have used the fact that  $B$  is self-dual, whence  $\star B = B$ , and here,  $D = d + A$  where  $\star DB$  is a one-form on  $M_4$ .

With  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  supersymmetry, VW theory possesses an  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  symmetry, having both  $S$ -duality and  $T$ -duality. On a generic  $M_4$ ,  $T$ -duality corresponds to shifting  $\tau \rightarrow \tau + 1$ , generating a  $2\pi$  shift of  $\theta$ , which is a symmetry. Less obvious is  $S$ -duality, which, at the quantum level, says that a theory with coupling  $\tau$  and simply-laced gauge group  $G$  is isomorphic to a dual theory with the Langlands dual group  ${}^L G$  and coupling

$$L_\tau = -\frac{1}{\tau}. \quad (2.11)$$

For non-simply-laced gauge groups, the coupling transforms as

$$L_\tau = -\frac{1}{n_{\mathfrak{g}}\tau} \quad (2.12)$$

instead, where  $n_{\mathfrak{g}}$  is the lacing number of the group.

## 2.2 A Vafa-Witten Invariant

The VW equations in (2.9), and their zero-dimensional moduli space,  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{VW}}$ , will now enable us to furnish a purely physical derivation of a novel Vafa-Witten invariant of  $M_4$ .

To this end, first note that an examination of the supersymmetry transformations (2.3) indicates that the observables for VW theory ought to be similar to that for Donaldson-Witten (DW) theory. Insertion of these operator observables  $\mathcal{O}_i$  into the path integral amounts to computing the correlation function

$$\langle \prod_i \mathcal{O}_i \rangle_{\text{VW}} = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{VW}}} \prod_i \mathcal{O}_i e^{-S_{\text{VW}}}, \quad (2.13)$$

where the subscript ‘ $\mathcal{M}_{\text{VW}}$ ’ means that the zero modes of  $A$  and  $B$  in the path integral measure lie along  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{VW}}$ . Enforcing  $R$ -charge anomaly cancellation, one can interpret the correlation function as an integral of a top-form on  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{VW}}$ .

That said, note that unlike DW theory, VW theory belongs to a class of TQFT’s called ‘balanced TQFT’, where there is never an  $R$ -charge anomaly [10]. Hence, the only nonvanishing correlation function of VW theory will be

$$\langle 1 \rangle_{\text{VW}} = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{VW}}} e^{-S_{\text{VW}}} = \mathcal{Z}_{\text{VW}, M_4}(\tau, G), \quad (2.14)$$

where  $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{VW}, M_4}(\tau, G)$  is the VW partition function that can be interpreted as an integral of a zero-form on zero-dimensional  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{VW}}$ ,<sup>4</sup> whence it can be evaluated as

$$\boxed{\mathcal{Z}_{\text{VW}, M_4}(\tau, G) = \sum_k (-1)^{n_k + \tau m_k}} \quad (2.15)$$

where the integer  $n_k$  is determined by the corresponding sign of the determinant of an elliptic operator associated with a linearization of the VW equations in (2.9) at the  $k^{\text{th}}$  point in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{VW}}$ ,<sup>5</sup> while the real number  $m_k$ , determined by their corresponding solution  $(A_{(k)}, B_{(k)})$ , is given by

$$\boxed{m_k = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{M_4} \text{Tr} \left( F_{(k)} \wedge F_{(k)} + dB_{(k)} \wedge \star DB_{(k)} + B_{(k)} \wedge d(\star DB_{(k)}) \right)} \quad (2.16)$$

Notice that  $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{VW}, M_4}$  is a topological invariant of  $M_4$  which is an algebraic count of VW solutions with corresponding sign given by  $(-1)^{n_k + \tau m_k}$  that we elaborated on above. This defines a novel  $\tau$ -dependent Vafa-Witten invariant of  $M_4$ .

### 3 An $\mathcal{N} = (4, 4)$ $A$ -model, Higgs Bundles and Gromov-Witten Theory

In this section, we will perform dimensional reduction of the 4d VW theory with action (2.6) down to 2d. The four-manifold  $M_4$  will be taken to be  $M_4 = \Sigma \times C$ , where  $\Sigma$  and  $C$  are both closed

<sup>4</sup>Actually,  $R$ -anomaly cancellation only implies that the virtual dimension of  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{VW}}$  is zero. Nonetheless, as in [3], by letting there be no *continuous* zero modes for two- and zero-form fermions, we can take the virtual dimension to be equal to the actual dimension.

<sup>5</sup>Due to the absence of continuous zero modes (although discrete bosonic zero modes still exist as solutions of (2.9)), the path integral only integrates out fluctuating modes to give a ratio of determinants of the kinetic operators for both bosons and fermions in the action, where supersymmetry puts this ratio at  $\pm 1$ ; then, the contribution to  $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{VW}, M_4}$  at the  $k^{\text{th}}$  point in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{VW}}$  will be  $(-1)^{n_k}$ , where  $n_k$  is as described.

Riemann surfaces, and  $C$  is of genus  $g \geq 2$ . Dimensional reduction is then performed by shrinking  $C$ , whence we will show that we obtain an  $\mathcal{N} = (4, 4)$  theory in 2d that is a topological  $A$ -model on  $\Sigma$ . In turn, we obtain a correspondence between the VW invariant of  $M_4$  and the GW invariant of Higgs bundles. The method employed for dimensional reduction will be the one in [11].

### 3.1 Reduction of 4d Terms

We consider a block diagonal metric  $g$  for  $M_4 = \Sigma \times C$ ,

$$g = \text{diag}(g_\Sigma, \epsilon g_C), \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\epsilon$  is a small parameter to deform  $g_C$ . We shall use capital letters  $A, B = x^1, x^2$  to denote coordinates on  $\Sigma$ , and small letters  $a, b = x^3, x^4$  to denote coordinates on  $C$ . Taking the limit  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  then gives us a 2d theory on  $\Sigma$  with  $\mathcal{N} = (4, 4)$  supersymmetry.<sup>6</sup>

Deforming the metric inevitably affects the fields in the action, since they involve contraction of indices by the metric tensor. With the introduction of the  $\epsilon$  parameter, the determinant changes by  $\sqrt{g} \rightarrow \epsilon\sqrt{g}$ . Thus, fields that survive after taking the limit  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  require one contraction of indices  $a, b$  on  $C$ , giving a factor of  $\epsilon^{-1}$ .

On the other hand, terms with higher negative powers of  $\epsilon$  will blow up, and we are forced to set to zero these terms to ensure finiteness of the action. The topological term aside, terms in (2.10) with  $\mu, \nu, \rho = A, B$  vanish as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . For  $\mu, \nu, \rho = a, b$ , each term must be set to zero individually since the action (2.10) is a sum of squares. Using  $F_{\mu\nu}^+ = \frac{1}{2}(F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}F^{\rho\lambda})$ , we obtain a finiteness condition for the first squared term:

$$F_{34} + \frac{1}{4}[B_{3\rho}, B_4^\rho] = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Since  $B_{\mu\nu}$  is an anti-symmetric and self-dual 2-form ( $B_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}B^{\rho\lambda}$ ), there are only 3 independent components which we can take to be  $B_{12}$ ,  $B_{13}$  and  $B_{14}$ . We then perform a final contraction of indices in (3.2) with  $g^{AB}$  to obtain

$$\boxed{F_{34} - [B_{13}, B_{14}] = 0} \quad (3.3)$$

after a rescaling of the metric on  $\Sigma$  and using the self-dual properties of  $B_{\mu\nu}$ . This is our first finiteness condition.

Similarly, the second finiteness condition comes from the other squared term as

$$\boxed{D_3 B_{13} + D_4 B_{14} = 0} \quad (3.4a)$$

$$\boxed{D_3 B_{14} - D_4 B_{13} = 0} \quad (3.4b)$$

---

<sup>6</sup>Compactification of an  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  theory in 4d on a Riemann surface  $C$  breaks half of the 16 supersymmetries to give an  $\mathcal{N} = (4, 4)$  theory in 2d.

where we have used the self-dual properties of  $B_{\mu\nu}$ .

Identifying  $B_{13}$  and  $B_{14}$  as the two components of a 1-form  $\varphi$  on  $C$ , equations (3.3) and (3.4) are in fact Hitchin's equations on  $C$  [12] given by<sup>7</sup>

$$F - \varphi \wedge \varphi = 0 \quad (3.5a)$$

$$D\varphi = D^*\varphi = 0 \quad (3.5b)$$

where

$$\varphi = B_{13}dx^3 + B_{14}dx^4 = \varphi_3dx^3 + \varphi_4dx^4 \quad (3.6)$$

The space of solutions of  $(A_C, \varphi)$  to (3.3) and (3.4) modulo gauge transformations then span Hitchin's moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_H^G(C)$  for a connection  $A_C$  on a principal  $G$ -bundle  $P$  over the Riemann surface  $C$ , and a section  $\varphi \in \Omega^1(C)$ . The above equations leave the  $(x^1, x^2)$  dependence of  $A_C$  and  $\varphi$  arbitrary, and thus the fields  $(A_C, \varphi)$  define a map  $\Phi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_H^G(C)$ .

Another finiteness condition we obtain is

$$D_3B_{34} = D_4B_{43} = 0, \quad (3.7)$$

which again using the self-duality properties of  $B_{\mu\nu}$ , we obtain

$$D_3B_{12} = -D_4B_{12} = 0 \quad (3.8)$$

The field  $B_{12}$  is a 0-form w.r.t rotations on both  $C$  and  $\Sigma$ , so (3.8) tells us that the 0-form  $B_{12}$  is covariantly constant on  $C$ , which means  $B_{12}$  generates infinitesimal gauge transformations while leaving  $A_C$  fixed. We can however set  $B_{12} = 0$ , since we require gauge connections to be irreducible to avoid complications on  $\mathcal{M}_H^G(C)$ .

For the 2d action on  $\Sigma$ , we require terms with at most one contraction of indices of  $C$ . These are (excluding the topological term for now)

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{eff}} &= \frac{1}{e^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \text{Tr} \left( (F_{Aa}^+)^2 + (D_A B^{Aa})^2 \right) + \text{fermions} \\ &= \frac{1}{e^2} \int d^4x \text{Tr} \left( \frac{1}{2} (F_{13} - F_{24})^2 + \frac{1}{2} (F_{14} + F_{23})^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\partial_1 B_{13} + \partial_2 B_{14})^2 + (\partial_1 B_{14} - \partial_2 B_{13})^2 \right) + \text{fermions}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

We can take  $F_{13} = \partial_1 A_3$ , since  $A_1$  does not have derivatives on  $\Sigma$  and are thus non-dynamical fields which can be integrated out in the 2d action on  $\Sigma$ .  $A_1$  will then be equal to a combination of fermionic fields (and the same goes for  $A_2$ ). Switching to complex coordinates  $z = x^1 + ix^2$  and  $w = x^3 + ix^4$ , we obtain

$$S_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{e^2} \int_{\Sigma} (idz \wedge d\bar{z}) \int_C (idw \wedge d\bar{w}) \text{Tr} \left( 2\partial_z A_w \partial_{\bar{z}} A_{\bar{w}} + 4\partial_z \varphi_{\bar{w}} \partial_{\bar{z}} \varphi_w \right) + \text{fermions}. \quad (3.10)$$

---

<sup>7</sup> $D^*\varphi = \star D \star \varphi = D_{\mu} \varphi^{\mu}$ , where  $\star$  is the Hodge star operator.

After suitable rescalings, we can then rewrite (3.10) (with  $idz \wedge d\bar{z} = |dz^2|$ ) as

$$S_{2d} = \frac{1}{e^2} \int_{\Sigma} |dz^2| g_{i\bar{j}} \left( \partial_z X^{\bar{i}} \partial_{\bar{z}} X^j + \partial_z X^i \partial_{\bar{z}} X^{\bar{j}} + \partial_z Y^{\bar{i}} \partial_{\bar{z}} Y^j + \partial_z Y^i \partial_{\bar{z}} Y^{\bar{j}} \right) + \text{fermions}, \quad (3.11)$$

where  $X$  corresponds to  $A_C$ , and  $Y$  to  $\varphi_C$ . Thus, we have an  $\mathcal{N} = (4, 4)$  sigma model on  $\Sigma$ ,<sup>8</sup> which hyper-Kähler target  $\mathcal{M}_H^G(C)$  is split into two halves, each parameterized by coordinates  $(X^i, X^{\bar{i}})$  and  $(Y^i, Y^{\bar{i}})$  with basis  $(\alpha_{\bar{w}i}, \alpha_{w\bar{i}})$  and  $(\beta_{wi}, \beta_{\bar{w}\bar{i}})$ , respectively. The cotangent space to  $\mathcal{M}_H^G(C)$  are spanned by the one-form fermions  $\psi_C$  and  $\tilde{\chi}_C$ , and from (2.3), we see that these will be cotangent to  $A_C$  and  $\varphi_C$ , respectively. More details about  $\mathcal{M}_H^G(C)$  will be discussed shortly.

### 3.2 BPS Equations in 2d and an $\mathcal{N} = (4, 4)$ $A$ -model

To obtain the corresponding 2d BPS equations of the  $\mathcal{N} = (4, 4)$  sigma model on  $\Sigma$ , we start with the 4d,  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  action (2.10). It is of the form

$$\frac{1}{e^2} \int_{M_4} \text{Tr}(|s|^2 + |k|^2) + \text{topological term}, \quad (3.12)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\mu\nu} &= F_{\mu\nu}^+ + \frac{1}{4} [B_{\mu\rho}, B_{\nu}^{\rho}], \\ k_{\nu} &= \mathcal{D}^{\mu} B_{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

By taking  $s = k = 0$ , we can also obtain (2.9), the 4d BPS equations of VW theory, i.e., the equations which the 4d VW path integral localizes on. By performing dimensional reduction of (3.13) on  $C$  with  $s = k = 0$ , we can directly obtain the corresponding 2d BPS equations.

Noting the fact that only terms with mixed indices on  $\Sigma \times C$  survive the reduction on  $C$ , together with the self-duality properties of  $B_{\mu\nu}$ , we obtain, from (3.13) and  $s = k = 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} F_{Aa}^+ &= 0, \\ \mathcal{D}_A B^{Aa} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Via the first equation,  $F^+ = 0$ , and its implied anti-self-duality of  $F$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1 A_3 &= \partial_2 A_4, \\ \partial_1 A_4 &= -\partial_2 A_3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

These are Cauchy-Riemann equations for  $A_{\bar{w}} = \frac{1}{2}(A_3 + iA_4)$ . Switching to complex coordinates as before, (3.15) can be written as  $\partial_{\bar{z}} A_{\bar{w}} = 0$ . A similar computation can be performed for  $\mathcal{D}_A B^{Aa} = 0$ , where instead, we obtain the Cauchy-Riemann equations<sup>9</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1 B_{13} &= -\partial_2 B_{14}, \\ \partial_1 B_{14} &= \partial_2 B_{13}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

<sup>8</sup>Even though we have, for brevity, only demonstrated the reduction of the 4d bosonic terms to 2d bosonic ones, the rest of the 4d fermionic terms can also be shown to reduce to 2d fermionic ones consistently, a fact that is also guaranteed by the surviving  $\mathcal{N} = (4, 4)$  supersymmetry in 2d.

<sup>9</sup> $A_1, A_2$  in the covariant derivative can be ignored since we are only considering bosonic terms for BPS equations.



for an anti-holomorphic field  $\varphi_{\bar{w}} = \frac{1}{2}(B_{13} + iB_{14})$ . In complex coordinates, (3.16) becomes  $\partial_z \varphi_{\bar{w}} = 0$ . Alternatively, we can also express (3.16) as  $\partial_{\bar{z}} \varphi_w = 0$ , with  $\varphi_w = \frac{1}{2}(B_{13} - iB_{14})$ . Seeing that  $A_{\bar{w}}$  corresponds to  $X^i$  and  $\varphi_w$  to  $Y^i$ , we get the 2d BPS equations as

$$\partial_{\bar{z}} X^i = 0 \quad (3.17a)$$

$$\partial_{\bar{z}} Y^i = 0 \quad (3.17b)$$

Hence, the path integral of the 2d,  $\mathcal{N} = (4, 4)$  sigma model on  $\Sigma$  with action (3.11), localizes on the moduli space of holomorphic maps  $\Phi(X^i, Y^i) : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_H^G(C)$ :

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{maps}} = \{\Phi(X^i, Y^i) : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_H^G(C) \mid \partial_{\bar{z}} X^i = \partial_{\bar{z}} Y^i = 0\}. \quad (3.18)$$

In other words, we have a 2d,  $\mathcal{N} = (4, 4)$   $A$ -model on  $\Sigma$  with target  $\mathcal{M}_H^G(C)$ . This conclusion has also been anticipated in [11].

### 3.3 An $A$ -model in Complex Structure $I$

The space of fields  $(A_C, \varphi)$  span an infinite-dimensional affine space  $\mathcal{W}$ . The cotangent vectors  $\delta A_C$  and  $\delta \varphi$  to  $\mathcal{M}_H^G(C)$  are solutions to the variations of equations (3.3) and (3.4). We can then introduce a basis  $(\delta A_w, \delta \varphi_{\bar{w}})$  and  $(\delta A_{\bar{w}}, \delta \varphi_w)$  in  $\mathcal{W}$ , where the (flat) metric on  $\mathcal{M}_H^G(C)$  is given by

$$ds^2 = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_C \text{Tr} \left( \delta A_w \wedge \star \delta A_{\bar{w}} + \delta \varphi_w \wedge \star \delta \varphi_{\bar{w}} \right). \quad (3.19)$$

Note that  $\mathcal{M}_H^G(C)$  is necessarily hyper-Kähler [13]. As a hyper-Kähler manifold, the metric (3.19) has three independent complex structures  $I, J$  and  $K$ , satisfying quaternion relations  $I^2 = J^2 = K^2 = -1$ .

From the BPS equations (3.17), which are  $\partial_{\bar{z}} A_{\bar{w}} = 0$  and  $\partial_{\bar{z}} \varphi_w = 0$ , one can see that the complex structure relevant to the  $A$ -model is  $I$ , with linear holomorphic functions consisting of  $A_{\bar{w}}$  and  $\varphi_w$ .<sup>10</sup> In complex structure  $I$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_H^G(C)$  can be identified as the moduli space of stable Higgs  $G$ -bundles on  $C$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)$ . One can write the corresponding symplectic form as  $\omega_I = \omega'_I + \delta \lambda_I$ , where

$$\omega'_I = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_C \text{Tr} \delta A_C \wedge \delta A_C \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_I = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_C \text{Tr} \varphi \wedge \delta \varphi. \quad (3.20)$$

In other words,  $\omega_I$  is cohomologous to  $\omega'_I$ .

Comparing the 4d topological term in (2.10) to (3.20), we see that the topological term can be written as

$$i\tau \int_{\Sigma} \Phi^*(\omega_I). \quad (3.21)$$

<sup>10</sup>The holomorphic functions for  $J$  are  $A_{\bar{w}} + i\varphi_{\bar{w}}$  and  $A_w + i\varphi_w$ , and for  $K$  are  $A_{\bar{w}} - \varphi_{\bar{w}}$  and  $A_w + \varphi_w$ .

Clearly,  $\Phi^*$  represents a pullback from  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)$  onto  $\Sigma$ . The 2d action (3.11), including the topological term, is then

$$S_{2d} = \frac{1}{e^2} \int_{\Sigma} |dz^2| g_{i\bar{j}} \left( \partial_z X^{\bar{i}} \partial_{\bar{z}} X^j + \partial_z X^i \partial_{\bar{z}} X^{\bar{j}} + \partial_z Y^{\bar{i}} \partial_{\bar{z}} Y^j + \partial_z Y^i \partial_{\bar{z}} Y^{\bar{j}} \right) + i\tau \int_{\Sigma} \Phi^*(\omega_I) + \dots \quad (3.22)$$

where “...” represent fermionic terms. (3.18) then becomes

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{maps}} = \{ \Phi(X^i, Y^i) : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C) \mid \partial_{\bar{z}} X^i = \partial_{\bar{z}} Y^i = 0 \}, \quad (3.23)$$

the moduli space of holomorphic maps  $\Phi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)$ .

In short, we have a 2d,  $\mathcal{N} = (4, 4)$   $A$ -model on  $\Sigma$  with target  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)$ .

### 3.4 Vafa-Witten Invariants as Gromov-Witten Invariants of Higgs Bundles

The dimension of  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{maps}}$ , like that of  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{VW}}$ , is also zero. This is because the 2d  $A$ -model is obtained via a topological deformation that sets  $C \rightarrow 0$  in the original 4d VW theory, whence the relevant index of kinetic operators counting the dimension of moduli space remains the same. Thus, as in the 4d case, the only nonvanishing correlation function here is the partition function

$$\langle 1 \rangle_{A, \Sigma} = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{maps}}} e^{-S_{2d}} = \mathcal{Z}_{A, \Sigma}^{\text{closed}}(\tau, \mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)), \quad (3.24)$$

where the subscript ‘ $\mathcal{M}_{\text{maps}}$ ’ means that the zero modes of  $X$  and  $Y$  in the path integral measure lie along  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{maps}}$ . Like  $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{VW}, M_4}$  in 4d,  $\mathcal{Z}_{A, \Sigma}^{\text{closed}}$  can be interpreted as an integral of a zero-form on zero-dimensional  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{maps}}$ , whence it can be evaluated as

$$\mathcal{Z}_{A, \Sigma}^{\text{closed}}(\tau, \mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)) = \sum_l (-1)^{p_l + \tau q_l}, \quad (3.25)$$

where similar to the  $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{VW}, M_4}$  case, the contribution to  $\mathcal{Z}_{A, \Sigma}^{\text{closed}}$  for the  $l^{\text{th}}$  point in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{maps}}$  will be  $(-1)^{p_l + \tau q_l}$ , such that *the integer  $p_l$  is determined by the corresponding sign of the determinant of an elliptic operator associated with the holomorphic map equations in (3.17)*, while the real number  $q_l$ , determined by the corresponding map  $\Phi_l$ , is given by

$$\boxed{q_l = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Sigma} \Phi_l^*(\omega_I)} \quad (3.26)$$

Notice that  $\mathcal{Z}_{A, \Sigma}^{\text{closed}}$  is an enumerative invariant which algebraically counts holomorphic maps described in (3.23) with corresponding sign given by  $(-1)^{p_l + \tau q_l}$  that we elaborated on above. This coincides with the definition of the GW invariant, which then means that one can identify  $\mathcal{Z}_{A, \Sigma}^{\text{closed}}$  as

$$\boxed{\mathcal{Z}_{\text{GW}, \Sigma}(\tau, \mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)) = \sum_l (-1)^{p_l + \tau q_l}} \quad (3.27)$$

where  $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{GW},\Sigma}$  is a  $\tau$ -dependent GW invariant of  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)$ .

From the topological invariance of the 4d theory, we have a 4d-2d correspondence of partition functions

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\text{VW},M_4}(\tau, G) = \mathcal{Z}_{A,\Sigma}^{\text{closed}}(\tau, \mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)), \quad (3.28)$$

whence from our above discussion, it will mean that

$$\boxed{\mathcal{Z}_{\text{VW},M_4}(\tau, G) = \mathcal{Z}_{\text{GW},\Sigma}(\tau, \mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C))} \quad (3.29)$$

In other words, we have a correspondence between the VW invariant of  $M_4 = \Sigma \times C$  and the GW invariant of  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)$ .

From §3.2, we saw that each solution of the 4d VW equations descends to a solution of the 2d holomorphic map equations, so this means that the integer ‘ $k$ ’ in  $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{VW},M_4}$  (see (2.15)) and the integer ‘ $l$ ’ in  $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{GW},\Sigma}$  (see (3.27)) both take the same range of values. Furthermore, in the special case that  $B_{\mu\nu} = 0$ , (3.29) would become an equality between the Donaldson-Witten invariant of  $\Sigma \times C$  and the Gromov-Witten invariant of the moduli space of flat  $G$ -connections on  $C$ , and this agrees with [5, Theorem 11]. Thus, (3.29) is indeed a consistent relation.

## 4 A Novel Floer Homology from Boundary Vafa-Witten Theory

In this section, we will show how we can physically derive a novel Floer homology by considering boundary VW theory on  $M_4 = M_3 \times \mathbb{R}^+$ .<sup>11</sup> We will first give a relevant summary of supersymmetric quantum mechanics (SQM). After which, we will recast the 4d  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  boundary VW theory into an SQM model, which will in turn allow us to physically derive a VW Floer homology assigned to  $M_3$ .

### 4.1 A Summary of Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics

Supersymmetric quantum mechanics is a one-dimensional topological sigma model with a map  $\phi : t \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ , where time  $t$  parameterizes the worldline, and  $\mathcal{M}$  represents a generic target manifold. The worldline can either be closed or open, i.e., either  $S^1$  or  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , but for our purposes, we shall take it to be open, i.e.,  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . For a comprehensive review of SQM, the reader can refer to [14, 15].

The action for SQM is of the form

$$S_{\text{SQM}} = \int dt \left[ i \frac{d\phi^i}{dt} H_i + \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} H_i H_j + \frac{1}{4} R_{kl}^{ij} \bar{\psi}_i \psi^k \bar{\psi}_j \psi^l - i \bar{\psi}_i \nabla_t \psi^i \right]. \quad (4.1)$$

---

<sup>11</sup>We have exploited the topological invariance of the theory to formally bring the  $-\infty$  end of  $\mathbb{R}$  to zero, because expressing  $M_4$  as  $M_4 = M_3 \times \mathbb{R}^+$  will be consistent with the idea that categorification of topological invariants can be achieved via successive introductions of boundaries to  $M_4$ , which will be explained in §8.

Indices  $i, j$  belong to  $\mathcal{M}$ , with the  $\phi^i$ 's being coordinates on  $\mathcal{M}$ . The  $\bar{\psi}_i, \psi^i$ 's are Grassmann odd coordinates (that are the supersymmetric partners to the  $\phi^i$ 's), and  $g^{ij}$  is the metric on  $\mathcal{M}$ . The field  $H_i$  is an auxiliary field which can be integrated out from the action. The covariant derivative  $\nabla_t$  is the pull-back of the covariant derivative on  $\mathcal{M}$  to the worldline (parameterized by)  $t$ , and  $R_{kl}^{ij}$  is the Riemann curvature tensor on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

There is only one nilpotent scalar supersymmetry generator  $\mathcal{Q}$ , generating the transformations

$$\begin{aligned}\{\mathcal{Q}, \phi^i\} &= \psi^i, \\ \{\mathcal{Q}, \psi^i\} &= 0, \\ \{\mathcal{Q}, \bar{\psi}_i\} &= H_i - \bar{\psi}_j \Gamma_{ik}^j \psi^k, \\ \{\mathcal{Q}, H_i\} &= H_j \Gamma_{ik}^j \psi^k - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}_j R_{ilk}^j \psi^l \psi^k,\end{aligned}\tag{4.2}$$

where  $\Gamma_{ik}^j$  is the Riemannian connection on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

One can always generalize the action (4.3) by including a potential  $V(\phi)$ . The action then becomes

$$S_{\text{SQM}} = \int dt \left[ i \left( \frac{d\phi^i}{dt} + sg^{ij} \frac{\partial V(\phi)}{\partial \phi^j} \right) H_i + \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} H_i H_j + \frac{1}{4} R_{kl}^{ij} \bar{\psi}_i \psi^k \bar{\psi}_j \psi^l - i \bar{\psi}_i \left( \delta_j^i \nabla_t + sg^{ik} \nabla_k \partial_j V(\phi) \right) \psi^j \right],\tag{4.3}$$

where  $V(\phi)$  is some functional on  $\mathcal{M}$ , and  $s$  is a parameter. Upon integrating out  $H_i$  via its equation of motion, (4.3) becomes

$$S_{\text{SQM}} = \int dt \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{d\phi^i}{dt} + sg^{ij} \frac{\partial V(\phi)}{\partial \phi^j} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4} R_{kl}^{ij} \bar{\psi}_i \psi^k \bar{\psi}_j \psi^l - i \bar{\psi}_i \left( \delta_j^i \nabla_t + sg^{ik} \nabla_k \partial_j V(\phi) \right) \psi^j \right].\tag{4.4}$$

The resulting action (4.4) is minimized by the gradient flow equation

$$\frac{d\phi^i}{dt} + sg^{ij} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi^j} = 0.\tag{4.5}$$

We thus have (4.5) as the BPS equation for this theory. Critical points of  $V(\phi)$  are boundary configurations for (4.5), and a  $\phi^i$  satisfying (4.5) flows between these critical points, similar to how an instanton tunnels between the ground states of a potential.

In non-topological theories, minimisation of the action only gives a semiclassical approximation to the theory. In supersymmetric topological theories, which is the case here, the semiclassical approximation is in fact, exact, as pointed out in the introduction. Specifically, the path integral of the theory localizes on a moduli space defined by (4.5), whence one can compute the path integral exactly. A relevant fact at this point is that the ‘squaring argument’ (see [16]) tells us that (4.5) implies that  $d\phi^i/dt = sg^{ij} \partial V/\partial \phi^j = 0$ . In other words, the path integral of the theory localizes on the critical points of  $V(\phi)$ .

Assuming that the critical points of  $V(\phi)$  are isolated and non-degenerate, and, for  $s \neq 0$ , each critical point contributes  $\pm 1$  to the partition function  $\mathcal{Z}_{SQM}$ , then

$$\mathcal{Z}_{SQM} = \sum_{\phi^i: dV(\phi^i)=0} \pm 1 \quad (4.6)$$

exactly. Notice that  $\mathcal{Z}_{SQM}$  is just an algebraic count of the critical points of  $V(\phi)$ , where there are BPS flow lines between critical points.

## 4.2 SQM Interpretation of Boundary Vafa-Witten Theory

Let the manifold of the 4d theory in (2.10) be  $M_4 = M_3 \times \mathbb{R}^+$ , where the  $M_3$  boundary is a closed three-manifold, and  $\mathbb{R}^+$  is the ‘time’ coordinate. We also let spacetime indices take the values  $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ , with  $\mu = 0$  being the time direction, while  $\mu = i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$  being the spatial directions. We shall first review the method where boundary DW theory can be recast as an SQM model. Then, we will apply this same method to boundary VW theory.

### *Review of SQM Interpretation of Boundary DW Theory*

We first consider a 4d  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  topologically twisted boundary DW theory of gauge group  $G$  with a principal  $G$ -bundle  $P \rightarrow M_4$  and nilpotent scalar supercharge  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Our aim is to review how this theory can be recast as an SQM model, as was first done in [16, 17].

Of central importance in DW theory is the BPS equation

$$F^+ = 0, \quad (4.7)$$

which characterises instantons. The path integral of the 4d theory localizes on the moduli space of this equation, i.e., instantons. Using  $F_{\mu\nu}^+ = \frac{1}{2}(F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}F^{\rho\lambda})$ , (4.7) can be written as

$$\dot{A}^i + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}F_{jk} = 0, \quad (4.8)$$

where the temporal gauge  $A^0 = 0$  is taken, and  $\dot{A}^i = F^{0i}$ . The boundary DW action can then be written as

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{DW}}^{\text{bdry}} &= \frac{1}{e^2} \int_{M_4} \text{Tr}(F^+)^2 - \frac{i\tau}{4\pi} \int_{M_4} \text{Tr}F \wedge F + \dots \\ &= \frac{1}{e^2} \int dt \int_{M_3} \text{Tr}(\dot{A}^i + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}F_{jk})^2 - \frac{i\tau}{4\pi} \int_{M_3} \text{Tr}(A \wedge dA + \frac{2}{3}A \wedge A \wedge A) + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

where “...” refers to fermionic terms and scalar fields in the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  multiplet. Note that  $A \in \Omega^1(M_3)$  in the final expression of the topological term, i.e., it is a one-form on  $M_3$ .

Next, let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the space of irreducible connections  $A$  on  $P$ , where the cotangent space  $T_A^*\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{A}$  is spanned by  $\delta A$ . The metric  $g_{\mathcal{A}}$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  can then be defined as

$$g_{\mathcal{A}} = \int_{M_3} \text{Tr}(\delta A \wedge \star \delta A). \quad (4.10)$$

With the metric on  $\mathcal{A}$  defined as such, one can see that the first term in (4.9) resembles the bosonic kinetic term of the SQM action in (4.4), where  $\epsilon^{ijk}F_{jk}$ , being the gradient vector field of a Chern-Simons functional, means that  $V(\phi)$  can be interpreted as the Chern-Simons functional itself, while  $\dot{A}^i = dA^i/dt$  can be identified with  $d\phi^i/dt$ . The terms indicated by “...” then give, via equations of motion, the Riemann curvature terms and the fermion kinetic terms in (4.4). Altogether, this means that we can interpret (4.9) as the action of an SQM model with target  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A}$  that also has a single nilpotent topological scalar supercharge  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

Thus, with the potential on  $\mathcal{A}$  being the Chern-Simons functional, and the identification of (4.8) with (4.5), we conclude that (4.8), which is the instanton equation, can be interpreted as a gradient flow equation between critical points of the Chern-Simons functional. Hence, just like (4.6), the partition function of boundary DW theory will be an algebraic count of critical points of the Chern-Simons functional, i.e., flat  $G$ -connections on  $M_3$ , where there are instanton flow lines between critical points.

The second term in (4.9) is a topological term that only contributes to an overall factor in the path integral. The  $\tau$ -dependence of this term will not be important for boundary DW theory. It will, however, play a significant role in boundary VW theory, as we will explain shortly.

#### *SQM Interpretation of Boundary VW Theory*

Likewise, let us turn to the BPS equations (2.9) of boundary VW theory, and split the indices into space and time directions. Using  $F_{\mu\nu}^+ = \frac{1}{2}(F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}F^{\rho\lambda})$  and  $B_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}B^{\rho\lambda}$ , we can reexpress the VW equations (2.9) as

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{A}^i + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}(F_{jk} - [B_j, B_k]) &= 0, \\ \dot{B}^i + \epsilon^{ijk}(\partial_j B_k + [A_j, B_k]) &= 0,\end{aligned}\tag{4.11}$$

where the temporal gauge  $A^0 = 0$  is taken,  $B^i = B^{0i}$ ,  $\epsilon^{ijk} = \epsilon^{0ijk}$ , and  $A^i, B^i \in \Omega^1(M_3)$ .<sup>12</sup>

Our aim is to recast boundary VW theory into an SQM model, in the same way that was done for boundary DW theory above. To this end, let us introduce a complexified connection  $\mathcal{A} = A + iB \in \Omega^1(M_3)$ , of a  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -bundle on  $M_3$ . We then find that (4.11) can be expressed as

$$\dot{\mathcal{A}}^i + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}\mathcal{F}_{jk} = 0,\tag{4.12}$$

where  $\mathcal{F} \in \Omega^2(M_3)$  is the complexified field strength. This is just a complexified gauge field version of (4.8).

As in the boundary DW theory case, we can write the action for boundary VW theory as

$$S_{\text{VW}}^{\text{bdry}} = \frac{1}{e^2} \int dt \int_{M_3} \text{Tr} \left( \dot{\mathcal{A}}^i + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}\mathcal{F}_{jk} \right)^2 - \frac{i\tau}{4\pi} \int_{M_3} \text{Tr} \left( A \wedge dA + \frac{2}{3}A \wedge A \wedge A + B \wedge \star DB \right) + \dots\tag{4.13}$$

---

<sup>12</sup>Using self-duality properties, we have  $B^{0i} = B^i = \epsilon^{ijk}B_{jk}$ .

where “...” refers to fermionic terms and scalar fields in the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  multiplet.

Now, let  $\mathfrak{A}$  denote the space of complexified connections  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then, we can define a metric  $g_{\mathfrak{A}}$  on  $\mathfrak{A}$  in similar fashion to (4.10) as

$$g_{\mathfrak{A}} = \int_{M_3} \text{Tr}(\delta\mathcal{A} \wedge \star\delta\mathcal{A}). \quad (4.14)$$

Noticing also that  $\epsilon^{ijk}\mathcal{F}_{jk}$  is a gradient vector field of a complex Chern-Simons functional, it will then mean that we can rewrite (4.13) as

$$S_{\text{VW}}^{\text{bdry}} = \frac{1}{e^2} \int dt \left( \frac{d\mathcal{A}^i}{dt} + sg_{\mathfrak{A}}^{ij} \frac{\partial V(\mathcal{A})}{\partial \mathcal{A}^j} \right)^2 - \frac{i\tau}{4\pi} \int_{M_3} \text{Tr} \left( A \wedge dA + \frac{2}{3} A \wedge A \wedge A + B \wedge \star DB \right) + \dots \quad (4.15)$$

where

$$V(\mathcal{A}) = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{M_3} \text{Tr} \left( \mathcal{A} \wedge d\mathcal{A} + \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A} \right) \quad (4.16)$$

and from (4.12),

$$\frac{d\mathcal{A}^i}{dt} + sg_{\mathfrak{A}}^{ij} \frac{\partial V(\mathcal{A})}{\partial \mathcal{A}^j} = 0 \quad (4.17)$$

One can see that (4.15) and (4.17) resemble (4.4) and (4.5), respectively, with  $\mathcal{A}$  corresponding to  $\phi$ . In fact, the terms in (4.15) indicated by “...” give, via equations of motion, the Riemann curvature terms and the fermion kinetic terms in (an  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  generalization of) (4.4). Altogether, this means that we can interpret (4.15) as the action of an SQM model with target  $\mathfrak{A}$  and a single nilpotent topological scalar supercharge  $\mathcal{Q}^+$ , where (4.17), which describes the VW equations, can be interpreted as a gradient flow equation between critical points of the potential on  $\mathfrak{A}$  given by (4.16). Hence, just like (4.6), *the partition function of boundary VW theory will be an algebraic count of critical points of the complex Chern-Simons functional, i.e., flat  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -connections on  $M_3$ , where there are VW flow lines between critical points.*

The second term in (4.15) is a  $\tau$ -dependent topological term that contributes to an overall factor in the path integral. Contrary to the situation in boundary DW theory,  $\tau$  is now scale-invariant, and will thus play a significant role in the  $S$ -duality of the path integral later.

### 4.3 A Novel Vafa-Witten Floer Homology

*The Spectrum of States of Boundary VW Theory as States on  $M_3$*

Recall from the introduction that for a TQFT such as VW theory, the Hamiltonian  $H$  vanishes in the  $\mathcal{Q}^+$ -cohomology, whence this means that for any state  $|\mathcal{O}\rangle$  that is nonvanishing in the  $\mathcal{Q}^+$ -cohomology, we have

$$H|\mathcal{O}\rangle = \{\mathcal{Q}^+, \dots\}|\mathcal{O}\rangle = \mathcal{Q}^+(\dots|\mathcal{O}\rangle) = \mathcal{Q}^+|\mathcal{O}'\rangle = \{\mathcal{Q}^+, \mathcal{O}'\}|0\rangle = |\{\mathcal{Q}^+, \mathcal{O}'\}\rangle \sim 0. \quad (4.18)$$

In other words, the  $|\mathcal{O}\rangle$ 's which span the spectrum of states in VW theory are actually ground states that are therefore time-invariant. In particular, for boundary VW theory on  $M_4 = M_3 \times \mathbb{R}^+$ , where  $\mathbb{R}^+$  is the 'time' coordinate, its spectrum of states is entirely captured by states on  $M_3$ . This will indeed be the case, as we will see shortly.

Now, for an  $M_4$  with boundary  $\partial M_4 = M_3$ , one needs to specify boundary conditions on  $M_3$  to compute correlation functions. We can do this by first defining a restriction of the fields to  $M_3$ , which we shall denote as  $\Psi_{M_3}$ , and then specifying boundary values for these restrictions. Doing this is equivalent to inserting in the path integral, an operator functional  $\mathcal{F}(\Psi_{M_3})$  that is nonvanishing in the  $\mathcal{Q}^+$ -cohomology. This means that correlation functions in boundary VW theory can be computed as

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_n \rangle_{\mathcal{F}(\Psi_{M_3})} = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{VW}}} \mathcal{F}(\Psi_{M_3}) \mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_n e^{-S_{\text{VW}}^{\text{bdry}}}. \quad (4.19)$$

Since in our case,  $\dim(\mathcal{M}_{\text{VW}}) = 0$ , the only nonvanishing observable is the partition function on  $M_4$ :

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\text{VW}, M_4}(\tau, G) = \langle 1 \rangle_{\mathcal{F}(\Psi_{M_3})} = \sum_k \langle \mathcal{F}_{\text{VW}}^{G, \tau}(\Psi_{M_3}^k) \rangle, \quad (4.20)$$

where the summation in ' $k$ ' is over all points in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{VW}}$  that each represent a solution to the VW equations on  $M_3 \times \mathbb{R}^+$ , and  $\langle \mathcal{F}_{\text{VW}}^{G, \tau}(\Psi_{M_3}^k) \rangle$  is the corresponding expectation value of  $\mathcal{F}(\Psi_{M_3})$ .

By the state-operator correspondence,  $\mathcal{F}(\Psi_{M_3})$  can be interpreted as a state on  $M_3$ . In turn, this means that the  $\langle \mathcal{F}_{\text{VW}}^{G, \tau}(\Psi_{M_3}^k) \rangle$ 's can be interpreted as the inner products of states on  $M_3$ . Thus, (4.20) means that the spectrum of states of boundary VW theory on  $M_3 \times \mathbb{R}^+$  is entirely captured by states on  $M_3$ , as anticipated earlier.

What else can we say about the expectation values  $\langle \mathcal{F}_{\text{VW}}^{G, \tau}(\Psi_{M_3}^k) \rangle$ , or rather, their underlying operators  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{VW}}^{G, \tau}(\Psi_{M_3}^k)$ ?

### *A Novel Vafa-Witten Floer Homology Assigned to $M_3$*

To this question, first note that in the previous subsection, we showed that boundary VW theory on  $M_3 \times \mathbb{R}^+$  can also be interpreted as an SQM model on  $\mathfrak{A}$ , the space of complexified connections  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $M_3$ , and the partition function can be expressed as an algebraic count of critical points of the complex Chern-Simons functional (4.16), i.e., flat  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -connections on  $M_3$ , where there are VW flow lines between critical points described by the gradient flow equation (4.17).

Next, note that according to [18], the critical points as described above, just generate a Floer complex with Morse functional

$$\boxed{CS(\mathcal{A}) = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{M_3} \text{Tr} \left( \mathcal{A} \wedge d\mathcal{A} + \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A} \right)} \quad (4.21)$$



the complex Chern-Simons functional, where the VW flow lines, described by the gradient flow equation

$$\boxed{\frac{d\mathcal{A}^i}{dt} + sg_{\mathfrak{A}}^{ij} \frac{\partial CS(\mathcal{A})}{\partial \mathcal{A}^j} = 0} \quad (4.22)$$

can be interpreted as the Floer differential, whence the number of outgoing flow lines at each critical point would be the degree of the corresponding chain in the complex.

In other words, we can also write (4.20) as

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\text{VW}, M_4}(\tau, G) = \sum_i \langle \mathcal{F}_{\text{VW-Floer}}^i(M_3, G, \tau) \rangle, \quad (4.23)$$

where the summation in ‘i’ is over all critical points of  $CS(\mathcal{A})$ , and the operators  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{VW-Floer}}^i(M_3, G, \tau)$  can be identified with classes in what we shall henceforth call the Vafa-Witten Floer homology  $\text{HF}_*^{\text{VW}}(M_3, G, \tau)$  assigned to  $M_3$ , defined by (4.21) and (4.22).

Thus, from (4.20) and (4.23), we find that a sum in the operators  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{VW}}^{G, \tau}(\Psi_{M_3}^k)$  is equal to a sum in the operators  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{VW-Floer}}^i(M_3, G, \tau)$ , where the latter and therefore the former would belong in  $\text{HF}_*^{\text{VW}}(M_3, G, \tau)$ . That is,  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{VW}}^{G, \tau}(\Psi_{M_3}^k) \in \text{HF}_*^{\text{VW}}(M_3, G, \tau)$ .

All being said, the number of terms in the summation in ‘k’ and ‘i’ in (4.20) and (4.23), respectively, are *not* the same. After all, the former counts the number of VW solutions, while the latter counts the number of critical points of  $CS(\mathcal{A})$ , and from (4.22), which must be obeyed by any VW solution, one can see that there are more critical points (satisfying only  $\partial CS(\mathcal{A})/\partial \mathcal{A} = 0$ ) than VW solutions (satisfying both  $\partial CS(\mathcal{A})/\partial \mathcal{A} = 0$  and  $d\mathcal{A}/dt = 0$ ).

To reconcile this discrepancy, first, notice that if the  $i^{\text{th}}$  critical point in (4.23) has  $n$  outgoing VW flow lines, it would mean that  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{VW-Floer}}^i \in \text{HF}_n^{\text{VW}}$ . Second, note that the maximum and minimum number of outgoing VW flow lines at any critical point is given by the total number of nontrivial VW solutions and zero, respectively. Together, this means that we can, for the summation in ‘i’ in (4.23), group the terms according to the number  $n$  of outgoing VW flow lines, whence (4.23) can also be written as

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\text{VW}, M_4}(\tau, G) = \sum_n \langle \mathcal{F}_{\text{VW-Floer}}^n(M_3, G, \tau) \rangle, \quad (4.24)$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{VW-Floer}}^n(M_3, G, \tau) = \sum_j \mathcal{F}_{\text{VW-Floer}}^{j, n}(M_3, G, \tau). \quad (4.25)$$

Here,  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{VW-Floer}}^{j, n}$  is the operator associated with the  $j^{\text{th}}$  critical point that has  $n$  outgoing VW flow lines, i.e.,  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{VW-Floer}}^{j, n} \in \text{HF}_n^{\text{VW}}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{VW-Floer}}^n \in \text{HF}_n^{\text{VW}}$  too. Since the summation in ‘n’ in (4.24) takes the same range as the summation in ‘k’ in (4.20),<sup>13</sup> we can identify  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{VW}}^{G, \tau}(\Psi_{M_3}^k)$  with  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{VW-Floer}}^k(M_3, G, \tau)$ , whence we can finally conclude that  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{VW}}^{G, \tau}(\Psi_{M_3}^k) \in \text{HF}_k^{\text{VW}}(M_3, G, \tau)$ .

<sup>13</sup>The alert reader might ponder over this, as ‘n’ can start from 0 but perhaps not ‘k’. There is, however, no discrepancy, as the points in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{VW}}$  also include the trivial solution  $\mathcal{A} = 0$ , and that is the 0<sup>th</sup> point captured by  $k = 0$ .

In summary, we can write

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\text{VW}, M_4}(\tau, G) = \sum_k \mathcal{F}_{\text{VW}}^{G, \tau}(\Psi_{M_3}^k) = \sum_k \text{HF}_k^{\text{VW}}(M_3, G, \tau) = \mathcal{Z}_{\text{VW}, M_3}^{\text{Floer}}(\tau, G) \quad (4.26)$$

where the quantities in the second and third expressions are understood to be the expectation values of the stated operators, and ‘ $k$ ’ sums from zero to the maximum number of nontrivial VW solutions on  $M_3 \times \mathbb{R}^+$ .

*About the  $\tau$ -dependence*

Notice the  $\tau$ -dependence of  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{VW}}^{G, \tau}(\Psi_{M_3}^k)$  and therefore  $\text{HF}_k^{\text{VW}}(M_3, G, \tau)$  that we have yet to explain. This arises because in evaluating (4.20), there will be a factor of  $(-1)^{\tau s_k}$  for the  $k^{\text{th}}$  term, where from the action  $S_{\text{VW}}^{\text{bdry}}$  in (4.13),

$$s_k = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{M_3} \text{Tr} \left( A_{(k)} \wedge dA_{(k)} + \frac{2}{3} A_{(k)} \wedge A_{(k)} \wedge A_{(k)} + B_{(k)} \wedge \star DB_{(k)} \right) \quad (4.27)$$

Here, the subscript ‘ $(k)$ ’ denotes that they are the  $k^{\text{th}}$  solution to the VW equations on  $M_3 \times \mathbb{R}^+$  restricted to  $M_3$ .

## 5 A Vafa-Witten Atiyah-Floer Correspondence

In this section, we consider a four-manifold of the form  $M_4 = M_3 \times \mathbb{R}^+$ , where a Heegaard split of  $M_3$  into  $M_3'$  and  $M_3''$  along a Riemann surface  $C$  is performed. This will allow us to relate Vafa-Witten Floer homology obtained in the previous section to Lagrangian Floer homology, in what is a novel Vafa-Witten version of the Atiyah-Floer correspondence [19] based on instantons. In doing so, we would be able to physically prove and generalize a conjecture by mathematicians Abouzaid-Manolescu about the hypercohomology of a perverse sheaf of vanishing cycles in the moduli space of irreducible flat  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -connections on  $M_3$ .

### 5.1 Heegaard Splitting

We perform a Heegaard split of  $M_3 = M_3' \cup_C M_3''$  along  $C$ , as shown in Fig. 3 (left), whence we can view  $M_3'$  and  $M_3''$  as nontrivial fibrations of  $C$  over intervals  $I'$  and  $I''$ , respectively, where  $C$  goes to zero size at one end of the intervals.<sup>14</sup> The metric on  $M_3'$  and  $M_3''$  can then be written as

$$ds_{M_3', ''}^2 = (dx^B)^2 + f(x^B)(g_C)_{ab} dx^a dx^b, \quad (5.1)$$

where  $a, b$  are indices on the Riemann surface  $C$ ,  $B$  are indices on  $I'$  and  $I''$ , and  $f(x^B)$  is a scalar function along  $I'$  and  $I''$ .

<sup>14</sup>This diagram is adapted from Fig.2 in [20].

Because of the topological invariance of VW theory on  $M_4$ , we are free to perform a Weyl rescaling of the corresponding Heegaard split metrics on  $M_4$  to

$$ds_{M_4}'^2 = \frac{1}{f(x^B)} \left[ (dx^A)^2 + (dx^B)^2 \right] + (g_C)_{ab} dx^a dx^b, \quad (5.2)$$

where  $A$  represent indices on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . The prefactor is simply a scaling factor on both  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times I'$  and  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times I''$ , whence their topologies are left unchanged. We can thus write  $M_4 = (\mathbb{R}^+ \times I' \times C) \cup_C (\mathbb{R}^+ \times I'' \times C)$ , where  $M_3' = I' \times C$  and  $M_3'' = I'' \times C$ . This is illustrated in Fig. 3 (right), where if  $C \rightarrow 0$ , we indeed have  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times I'$  and  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times I''$ .




Figure 3: **Left:**  $M_3$  as a connected sum of three-manifolds  $M_3'$  and  $M_3''$  along a common Riemann surface  $C$ . **Right:**  $M_4$  split along four-manifolds  $M_4'$  and  $M_4''$  with corners.

## 5.2 A Vafa-Witten Version of the Atiyah-Floer Correspondence

An  $A$ -model on  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times I$

If  $C \rightarrow 0$ , we end up with an *open*  $A$ -model in complex structure  $I$  (recall from §3) on  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times I'$  and  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times I''$ , respectively, with target space  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)$ . It describes open strings with worldsheets  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times I'$  and  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times I''$  that propagate (starting from  $t = 0$ ) in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)$  and end on  $A$ -branes. Because we have an  $A$ -model in complex structure  $I$ , the admissible branes are those of type  $(A, *, *)$ , i.e., they are  $A$ -branes in complex structure  $I$ , but can be either  $A$  or  $B$ -branes in complex structures  $J$  and  $K$ .

Specifically, we need an  $(A, *, *)$ -brane in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)$  that corresponds to Higgs pair on  $C$  that can be extended to flat complex connections  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $M_3'$  – recall from §4.2 that the partition function of the underlying boundary VW theory gets contributions from the critical points of the complex Chern-Simons functional, and these are flat complex connections  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $M_3 = M_3' \cup_C M_3''$ .

Such an  $(A, *, *)$ -brane has indeed been obtained in [21].<sup>15</sup> It is an  $(A, B, A)$ -brane  $\alpha_{M_3}'$ , that is simultaneously an  $A$ -brane in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)$  and an  $A$ -brane in  $\mathcal{M}_H^G(C)$  in complex structure  $K$ , i.e.,

<sup>15</sup>The 4d theory considered in [21] is not the VW but the GL theory of [22], albeit with parameter  $t = 0$ . However, both these 4d theories descend to the same 2d  $A$ -model with target  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)$  after dimensional reduction on  $C$ , and since our  $A$ -branes of interest are  $A$ -model objects within  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)$ , the arguments used and examples stated in [21] are applicable here.

$\mathcal{M}_{\text{flat}}^{G_{\mathbb{C}}}(C)$ , the moduli space of flat  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -connections on  $C$ , where it corresponds to flat connections that can be extended to  $M_3''$ . It is middle-dimensional, and is therefore a Lagrangian brane. Let us henceforth denote this brane as  $L$ .

Now, with two split pieces  $M_4'$  and  $M_4''$ , when  $C \rightarrow 0$ , we have two strings, each ending on pairs of Lagrangian branes  $(L_0, L')$  and  $(L'', L_1)$  (see Fig. 4.) We then glue the open worldsheets together along their common boundary  $L'$  and  $L''$ , giving us a single  $A$ -model, with a single string extending from  $L_0$  to  $L_1$ , which is equivalent to gluing  $M_4'$  and  $M_4''$  along  $C \times \mathbb{R}^+$ . (see Fig. 4 again.)




Figure 4: Identifying  $L'$  and  $L''$  and gluing them together to form a single open string.

#### *The $A$ -model on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times I$ as an SQM Model*

Similar to what had been done in §4.2, one can recast the  $A$ -model here as an SQM model, where  $\mathbb{R}^+$  is ‘time’, and the target space is  $\mathcal{P}(L_0, L_1)$ , the space of smooth trajectories from  $L_0$  to  $L_1$  (arising from the interval  $I$  that connects them).

The BPS equations for this  $A$ -model are (3.17), i.e., holomorphic maps from the worldsheet to the target space. The boundary conditions on the worldsheet, however, will impose additional constraints on (3.17), which we will elaborate upon shortly. At any rate, note that (3.17) can be written as a gradient flow equation on the worldsheet

$$\frac{\partial Z^l}{\partial t} + i \frac{\partial Z^l}{\partial s} = 0, \quad (5.3)$$

where we have used real coordinates  $t$  and  $s$  (for  $z = t + is$ ), and here,  $Z^l = X^l + Y^l$ .

Comparing (5.3) with (4.5), one can see that the critical points of the underlying potential of the SQM model that contribute to the partition function are defined by  $\partial Z^l / \partial s = 0$ . Since ‘ $s$ ’ is the spatial coordinate of  $I$ , it would mean that the critical points just correspond to stationary trajectories in  $\mathcal{P}(L_0, L_1)$ , i.e., the intersection points of  $L_0$  and  $L_1$ .

Notice that the worldsheet of the (topological)  $A$ -model can be identified as a disk,  $D$ , which left and right boundary arcs end on  $L_0$  and  $L_1$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)$ , respectively.

Each flow line satisfying (5.3) then corresponds to a holomorphic map  $Z : D \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)$ ,

such that the boundary conditions are

$$\begin{aligned} Z|_{D_0} &\in L_0, & Z|_{D_1} &\in L_1, \\ Z|_S &= p, & Z|_N &= q, \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

where  $D_0, D_1$  are the left and right boundary arcs of  $D$ ; ‘ $S$ ’ and ‘ $N$ ’ denote the south and north points of  $D$ , which represent time  $t = 0$  and  $t = \infty$ , respectively; and  $p, q$  are two different points in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)$ .

Thus, the partition function of the  $A$ -model, which, from the SQM model perspective, is given by an algebraic count of the critical points of its underlying potential, will be an algebraic count of the intersection points of  $L_0$  and  $L_1$ , where there are flow lines between the intersection points that obey (5.3). These flow lines correspond to holomorphic disks with boundary conditions (5.4), in which  $p$  and  $q$  are different intersection points of  $L_0$  and  $L_1$  that the corresponding flow line will start and end at, respectively. In other words, these flow lines correspond to holomorphic Whitney disks.

### *Lagrangian Floer Homology*

Note that from this description of the partition function, we have physically realized the Lagrangian Floer homology first defined in [23], where the intersection points of  $L_0$  and  $L_1$  actually generate the chains of the Lagrangian Floer complex, and the Floer differential, which counts the number of holomorphic Whitney disks, can be interpreted as the outgoing flow lines at each intersection point of  $L_0$  and  $L_1$  which number would be the degree of the corresponding chain in the complex.

Specifically, let  $(L_0 \cap L_1)_i^n$  denote the  $i^{\text{th}}$  point of the intersection  $L_0 \cap L_1$  where there are  $n$  outgoing flow lines, whence we can identify

$$\sum_i (L_0 \cap L_1)_i^n \in \text{HF}_n^{\text{Lagr}}(\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C), L_0, L_1), \tag{5.5}$$

where  $\text{HF}_n^{\text{Lagr}}(\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C), L_0, L_1)$  is the Lagrangian Floer homology of  $(L_0, L_1)$  on  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)$  of degree  $n$ . Then, the partition function of the  $A$ -model will be given by

$$\mathcal{Z}_{A,L}(\tau, \mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)) = \sum_n \text{HF}_n^{\text{Lagr}}(\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C), L_0, L_1, \tau), \tag{5.6}$$

where the RHS is understood to be a sum in the expectation values of the operators that can be identified with the stated Lagrangian Floer homology of degree  $n$ . A  $\tau$ -dependency appears here because of a  $\tau$ -dependent term in the  $A$ -model action (see (3.22)).

### *A Novel Vafa-Witten Atiyah-Floer Correspondence*

Since the underlying boundary VW theory on  $M_4 = M_3 \times \mathbb{R}^+$  is topological, we will have the following equivalence of partition functions:

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\text{VW}, M_4}(\tau, G) = \mathcal{Z}_{A, L}(\tau, \mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)), \quad (5.7)$$

which, from (4.26) and (5.6), means that

$$\sum_k \text{HF}_k^{\text{VW}}(M_3, G, \tau) = \sum_n \text{HF}_n^{\text{Lagr}}(\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C), L_0, L_1, \tau). \quad (5.8)$$

A natural question to ask at this juncture, is whether the gradings in ‘ $k$ ’ and ‘ $n$ ’ match, whence we would have a degree-by-degree isomorphism of the VW Floer homology and the Lagrangian Floer homology.

To ascertain this, recall that the VW flow lines between critical points in  $\mathfrak{A}$  are solutions to the VW equations (2.9). Also, in §3.2, it was shown that the VW equations descend to the worldsheet instanton equations (3.17) defining holomorphic maps from the worldsheet to  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)$ , the solutions to which are the flow lines between critical points in  $\mathcal{P}(L_0, L_1)$ . Thus, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the flow lines that define  $\text{HF}_*^{\text{VW}}$  and underlie the LHS of (5.8), and the flow lines that define  $\text{HF}_*^{\text{Lagr}}$  and underlie the RHS of (5.8). In particular, the maximum number of flow lines coming out from a critical point, given by the total number of solutions to the 4d VW equations and therefore the 2d worldsheet instanton equations, are the same in the definition of  $\text{HF}_*^{\text{VW}}$  and  $\text{HF}_*^{\text{Lagr}}$ . This means that the integers ‘ $k$ ’ and ‘ $n$ ’ in (5.8) start from 0 and end at the same value.

In other words, the gradings ‘ $k$ ’ and ‘ $n$ ’ in (5.8) do match, and we do have a degree-by-degree isomorphism of the VW Floer homology and the Lagrangian Floer homology, whence we would have a Vafa-Witten Atiyah-Floer correspondence

$$\boxed{\text{HF}_*^{\text{VW}}(M_3, G, \tau) \cong \text{HF}_*^{\text{Lagr}}(\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C), L_0, L_1, \tau)} \quad (5.9)$$

Notice that in the special case that  $B = 0$  in the underlying VW equations whence they become the instanton equation (see (2.9)) while  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)$  gets replaced by the moduli space of flat  $G$ -connections on  $C$  (see (3.5)-(3.6)), (5.9) just reduces to the celebrated Atiyah-Floer correspondence. Thus, (5.9) is indeed a consistent generalization thereof.

### 5.3 A Physical Proof and Generalization of a Conjecture by Abouzaid-Manolescu about the Hypercohomology of a Perverse Sheaf of Vanishing Cycles

A hypercohomology  $\text{HP}^*(M_3)$  was constructed by Abouzaid-Manolescu in [1], where it was conjectured to be isomorphic to instanton Floer homology assigned to  $M_3$  for the complex gauge group  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ .

Its construction was via a Heegaard split of  $M_3 = M'_3 \cup_C M''_3$  along  $C$  of genus  $g$ , and the intersection of the two associated Lagrangians in the moduli space  $X_{\text{irr}}(C)$  of irreducible flat  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -connections on  $C$  (that represent solutions extendable to  $M'_3$  and  $M''_3$ , respectively), to which one can associate a perverse sheaf of vanishing cycles.  $\text{HP}^*(M_3)$  is then the hypercohomology of this perverse sheaf of vanishing cycles in  $X_{\text{irr}}(M_3)$ , where it is an invariant of  $M_3$  independent of the Heegaard split.

#### *A Physical Realization of $\text{HP}^*(M_3)$*

Based on the mathematical construction of  $\text{HP}^*(M_3)$  described above, it would mean that a physical realization of (the dual of)  $\text{HP}^*(M_3)$  ought to be via an open  $A$ -model with Lagrangian branes  $L_0$  and  $L_1$  in the target  $X_{\text{irr}}(C)$ , where the observables contributing to the partition function can be interpreted as classes in the Lagrangian Floer homology  $\text{HF}_*^{\text{Lagr}}(X_{\text{irr}}(C), L_0, L_1, \tau)$ . One can argue that this is indeed the case.

To this end, first, note that there is an isomorphism between  $\text{HF}_*^{\text{Lagr}}$  and the homology of Lagrangian submanifolds in  $X_{\text{irr}}(C)$  [24, Theorem 11], i.e.,

$$\text{HF}_*^{\text{Lagr}}(X_{\text{irr}}(C), L_0, L_1, \tau) \cong \text{H}_*(L, \mathbb{Z}_2)_{\otimes \mathbb{Z}_2} \Lambda, \quad (5.10)$$

where  $\Lambda$  is a scalar function over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , called the Novikov field, and  $L$  on the RHS can be taken as either  $L_0$  or  $L_1$ . The homology cycles of the Lagrangian (i.e., middle-dimensional) submanifolds of  $X_{\text{irr}}(C)$  have a maximum dimension of  $\frac{1}{2} \dim(X_{\text{irr}}(C))$ , where  $\frac{1}{2} \dim(X_{\text{irr}}(C)) = 2(3g - 3)$ .<sup>16</sup> Including the zero-cycle, the grading of  $\text{H}_*(L, \mathbb{Z}_2)_{\otimes \mathbb{Z}_2} \Lambda$  and therefore  $\text{HF}_*^{\text{Lagr}}(X_{\text{irr}}(C), L_0, L_1, \tau)$ , goes as  $0, 1, \dots, 2(3g - 3)$ .

Second, note that in [1, Theorem 1.8], it was computed that  $\text{HP}^k$  is nonvanishing only if  $-3g + 3 \leq k \leq 3g - 3$ . In other words, the grading of  $\text{HP}^*$  goes as  $-(3g - 3), \dots, 0, \dots, (3g - 3)$ .

These two observations then mean that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the gradings of  $\text{HP}^*(M_3)$  and  $\text{HF}_*^{\text{Lagr}}$ . Moreover, the generators of  $\text{HP}^*$  and  $\text{HF}_*^{\text{Lagr}}$  both originate from the intersection points of  $L_0$  and  $L_1$  in  $X_{\text{irr}}(C)$ . Hence, we can identify  $\text{HP}^*$  with (the dual of)  $\text{HF}_*^{\text{Lagr}}$ , i.e.,

$$\boxed{\text{HP}^*(M_3) \cong \text{HF}_*^{\text{Lagr}}(X_{\text{irr}}(C), L_0, L_1, \tau)} \quad (5.11)$$

This agrees with [6, Remark 6.15].

#### *A Physical Proof of the Abouzaid-Manolescu Conjecture*

Notice from the Morse functional (4.21) and the gradient flow equation (4.22) that the definition of  $\text{HF}_*^{\text{VW}}$  coincides with the definition of the instanton Floer homology in [18], albeit for a *complex*

<sup>16</sup>It is a fact that  $\dim(X_{\text{irr}}(C))$  is given by  $4(N^2 - 1)(g - 1)$  for  $G_{\mathbb{C}} = SL(N, \mathbb{C})$ , where  $g$  is the genus of  $C$ .

gauge group  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ . This means that we can also express the LHS of (5.9) as  $\mathrm{HF}_*^{\mathrm{Inst}}(M_3, G_{\mathbb{C}}, \tau)$ , the instanton Floer homology of  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$  assigned to  $M_3$ .

Also, recall that the Lagrangian branes  $L_0$  and  $L_1$  on the RHS of (5.9) are  $(A, B, A)$ -branes, i.e., they can also be interpreted as Lagrangian branes in  $\mathcal{M}_H^G(C)$  in complex structure  $K$ , or equivalently,  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{flat}}^{G_{\mathbb{C}}}(C)$ , the moduli space of irreducible flat  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -connections on  $C$ .

These two points then mean that we can also write (5.9) as

$$\boxed{\mathrm{HF}_*^{\mathrm{inst}}(M_3, G_{\mathbb{C}}, \tau) \cong \mathrm{HF}_*^{\mathrm{Lagr}}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{flat}}^{G_{\mathbb{C}}}(C), L_0, L_1, \tau)} \quad (5.12)$$

In other words, the VW Atiyah-Floer correspondence in (5.9) can also be interpreted as an Atiyah-Floer correspondence for  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -instantons.

It is now clear from (5.12) and (5.11), that for  $G_{\mathbb{C}} = SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ , we have

$$\boxed{\mathrm{HP}^*(M_3) \cong \mathrm{HF}_*^{\mathrm{inst}}(M_3, SL(2, \mathbb{C}), \tau)} \quad (5.13)$$

for some complex constant  $\tau$ . This is exactly the conjecture by Abouzaid-Manolescu about  $\mathrm{HP}^*(M_3)$  in [1]!

This agrees with their expectations in [1, sect. 9.2] that  $\mathrm{HP}^*(M_3)$  ought to be part of 3+1 dimensional TQFT based on the VW equations.

### *A Generalization of the Abouzaid-Manolescu Conjecture*

It was argued in [1, sect. 9.1] that the construction of  $\mathrm{HP}^*(M_3)$  can be generalized to  $SL(N, \mathbb{C})$ . The question therefore, is whether a corresponding generalization of (5.13) exists. Our answer is ‘yes’, and to complex gauge groups  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$  that are not limited to  $SL(N, \mathbb{C})$ .

Indeed, notice that (5.12) implies that there ought to be a  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$  generalization of the Abouzaid-Manolescu conjecture in (5.13) to

$$\boxed{\mathrm{HP}^*(M_3, G_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong \mathrm{HF}_*^{\mathrm{inst}}(M_3, G_{\mathbb{C}}, \tau)} \quad (5.14)$$

where the hypercohomology  $\mathrm{HP}^*(M_3, G_{\mathbb{C}})$  of the perverse sheaf of vanishing cycles in  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{flat}}^{G_{\mathbb{C}}}(M_3)$  is such that

$$\boxed{\mathrm{HP}^*(M_3, G_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong \mathrm{HF}_*^{\mathrm{Lagr}}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{flat}}^{G_{\mathbb{C}}}(C), L_0, L_1, \tau)} \quad (5.15)$$

which again agrees with [6, Remark 6.15].

## **6 Langlands Duality of Vafa-Witten Invariants, Gromov-Witten invariants, Floer Homologies and the Abouzaid-Manolescu Hypercohomology**

In this section, we will demonstrate a Langlands duality of the invariants, Floer homologies and Abouzaid-Manolescu hypercohomology that we have physically derived hitherto, from the  $S$ -duality



of VW theory.

### 6.1 Langlands Duality of Vafa-Witten Invariants

It is known that  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories has a  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  symmetry, with  $S$ - and  $T$ -duality, as mentioned in §2. In particular, the theory with complex coupling  $\tau$  and gauge group  $G$ , is  $S$ -dual to a theory with complex coupling  $-\frac{1}{n_{\mathfrak{g}}\tau}$  and Langlands dual gauge group  ${}^L G$ , i.e., we have, up to a possible phase factor of modular weights that is just a constant, a duality of VW partition functions

$$\boxed{\mathcal{Z}_{\text{VW}, M_4}(\tau, G) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\text{VW}, M_4}\left(-\frac{1}{n_{\mathfrak{g}}\tau}, {}^L G\right)} \quad (6.1)$$

In other words, we have a Langlands duality of VW invariants of  $M_4$ , given by (6.1).

### 6.2 Langlands Duality of Gromov-Witten Invariants

Note that if  $M_4 = \Sigma \times C$ , from (6.1) and (3.29), 4d  $S$ -duality would mean that we have the 2d duality

$$\boxed{\mathcal{Z}_{\text{GW}, \Sigma}(\tau, \mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\text{GW}, \Sigma}\left(-\frac{1}{n_{\mathfrak{g}}\tau}, \mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^{{}^L G}(C)\right)} \quad (6.2)$$

where  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^{{}^L G}$  are mirror manifolds.

In other words, we have a Langlands duality of GW invariants that can be interpreted as a mirror symmetry of Higgs bundles, given by (6.2).

### 6.3 Langlands Duality of Vafa-Witten Floer Homology

If  $M_4 = M_3 \times \mathbb{R}^+$ , from (4.26) and (6.1), we have the duality

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\text{VW}, M_3}^{\text{Floer}}(\tau, G) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\text{VW}, M_3}^{\text{Floer}}\left(-\frac{1}{n_{\mathfrak{g}}\tau}, {}^L G\right). \quad (6.3)$$

In turn, from (4.26), this means that we have the duality

$$\boxed{\text{HF}_*^{\text{VW}}(M_3, G, \tau) \longleftrightarrow \text{HF}_*^{\text{VW}}(M_3, {}^L G, -1/n_{\mathfrak{g}}\tau)} \quad (6.4)$$

In other words, we have a Langlands duality of VW Floer homologies assigned to  $M_3$ , given by (6.4).

## 6.4 Langlands Duality of Lagrangian Floer Homology

From (6.3) and (5.7), we have the duality

$$\mathcal{Z}_{A,L}(\tau, \mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{A,L}\left(-\frac{1}{n_{\mathfrak{g}}\tau}, {}^L G\right). \quad (6.5)$$

Then, from the RHS of the VW Atiyah-Floer correspondence in (5.9), which defines the state spectrum of  $\mathcal{Z}_{A,L}$ , we have the duality

$$\boxed{\text{HF}_*^{\text{Lagr}}(\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C), L_0, L_1, \tau) \longleftrightarrow \text{HF}_*^{\text{Lagr}}(\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^{{}^L G}(C), L_0, L_1, -1/n_{\mathfrak{g}}\tau)} \quad (6.6)$$

In other words, we have a Langlands duality of Lagrangian Floer homologies of Higgs bundles, given by (6.6).

## 6.5 Langlands Duality of the Abouzaid-Manolescu Hypercohomology

From (5.14), the fact that its RHS can be identified with  $\text{HF}_*^{\text{VW}}(M_3, G, \tau)$ , and the relation (6.4), we have the duality

$$\boxed{\text{HP}^*(M_3, G_{\mathbb{C}}, \tau) \longleftrightarrow \text{HP}^*(M_3, {}^L G_{\mathbb{C}}, -1/n_{\mathfrak{g}}\tau)} \quad (6.7)$$

In other words, we have a Langlands duality of the Abouzaid-Manolescu hypercohomologies of a perverse sheaf of vanishing cycles in the moduli space of irreducible flat complex connections on  $M_3$ , given by (6.7).

## 7 A Geometric Langlands Correspondence with Purely Imaginary Parameter

In this section, we will first derive a quantum geometric Langlands correspondence with purely imaginary parameter from the  $S$ -duality of VW theory, and then show that it specializes to the classical correspondence in the zero-coupling limit.

### 7.1 An Open $A$ -model and a Category of $A$ -branes

Consider VW theory on  $M_4 = \Sigma_{\text{open}} \times C = I \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times C$ . Upon dimensional reduction where  $C \rightarrow 0$ , we get an *open*  $A$ -model (that starts at  $t = 0$ ) with target  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)$ . This furnishes us with a (derived) category of  $A$ -branes in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)$ . Since we have an  $A$ -model in complex structure  $I$ , we can only have branes that are of type  $(A, *, *)$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)$ . Because the  $A$ -model in complex structure  $I$  will map to itself under 4d  $S$ -duality, it will mean that ‘ $S$ -dual’ branes are also of type  $(A, *, *)$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^{{}^L G}(C)$ . Some examples of these  $A$ -branes are given in [21].<sup>17</sup>

---

<sup>17</sup>See footnote 15.




Figure 5: Merging of string worldsheets along a common boundary  $\mathcal{B}_{\text{d.c.}}$ , representing the operation  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}_{\text{d.c.}}, \mathcal{B}_{\text{d.c.}}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}_{\text{d.c.}}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{L}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}_{\text{d.c.}}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{L}}}$ .

## 7.2 From $A$ -branes in $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)$ to Twisted $D$ -modules on $\text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{C}}}(C)$

Looking back to the action of the  $A$ -model in (3.22), we see that the topological term is of the form

$$i\tau \int_{\Sigma_{\text{open}}} \Phi^*(\omega_I) = \int_{\Sigma_{\text{open}}} \Phi^*(\omega - iB). \quad (7.1)$$

Here,  $\omega$  is the Kähler form, and  $B$  is the  $B$ -field on  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)$ . The expression on the RHS of (7.1) is the usual expression for the topological term in an  $A$ -model involving the complexified Kähler class,  $\omega - iB$ . In relation to the 4d theory,  $B$  is the  $\theta$ -angle in the topological term of (2.10). It was shown in [21] that if  $B = 0$ , we can have a d.c.-brane (distinguished coisotropic) of type  $(A, A, B)$  that is space-filling. Furthermore, it was also argued in [21] that in this case, the category of  $A$ -branes in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)$  can be identified with a category of twisted  $D$ -modules on  $\text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{C}}}(C)$ , the moduli space of principal  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$  bundles on  $C$ , where  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$  is the complexified version of  $G$ . This latter claim can be understood as follows.

The  $A$ -model will have boundary conditions on both sides of the  $I \times \mathbb{R}^+$  worldsheet, say boundary conditions 1 and 2, giving us  $\mathcal{B}_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2$  branes in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)$ . The strings suspended between these branes define a vector space  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2}$  of  $(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ -strings. For arbitrary branes  $\mathcal{B}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_2$  and  $\mathcal{B}_3$ , we can have  $(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$  and  $(\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{B}_3)$ -strings, where the operation  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{B}_3} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_3}$  is physically equivalent to merging  $(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$  and  $(\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{B}_3)$ -strings along their common boundary  $\mathcal{B}_2$  to produce  $(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_3)$ -strings. In particular, if  $\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{B}_2 = \mathcal{B}_{\text{d.c.}}$ , where  $\mathcal{B}_{\text{d.c.}}$  is the d.c.-brane, and  $\mathcal{B}_3 = \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{L}}$ , where  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{L}}$  is any Lagrangian brane, the operation can be understood physically as in Fig. 5. In this way, one can see that a  $(\mathcal{B}_{\text{d.c.}}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{L}})$ -string is a module for a  $(\mathcal{B}_{\text{d.c.}}, \mathcal{B}_{\text{d.c.}})$ -string. In turn, this means that the category of  $A$ -branes (spanned by the  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{L}}$ 's) can be identified with the category of modules of  $(\mathcal{B}_{\text{d.c.}}, \mathcal{B}_{\text{d.c.}})$ -strings. All that is left to explain is why  $(\mathcal{B}_{\text{d.c.}}, \mathcal{B}_{\text{d.c.}})$ -strings can be identified with twisted differential operators on  $\text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{C}}}(C)$ .

To this end, note that at the classical level, the  $(\mathcal{B}_{\text{d.c.}}, \mathcal{B}_{\text{d.c.}})$ -strings correspond to holomorphic

functions on Hitchin moduli space in complex structure  $J$ . This space can be identified with the moduli space of flat  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -connections on  $C$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{flat}}^{G_{\mathbb{C}}}(C)$ , which is isomorphic to the twisted cotangent bundle  $\mathcal{T}^*\text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{C}}}(C)$  [25, 26]. In other words, classical  $(\mathcal{B}_{\text{d.c.}}, \mathcal{B}_{\text{d.c.}})$ -strings can be interpreted as holomorphic functions on  $\mathcal{T}^*\text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{C}}}(C)$ . The quantization of the  $(\mathcal{B}_{\text{d.c.}}, \mathcal{B}_{\text{d.c.}})$ -strings then leads to their identification with (the sheaf of) holomorphic differential operators on the line bundle  $\mathcal{L}^{-h^\vee+q}$  over  $\text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{C}}}(C)$ , where  $\mathcal{L}^{-h^\vee} = K^{1/2}$ , and  $K$  is the canonical line bundle on  $\text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{C}}}(C)$ . Here,  $h^\vee$  is the dual Coxeter number of  $G$ , and the parameter  $q = \tau$  is purely imaginary because  $B = 0$ .

This is how the  $\tau$ -dependent category  $\text{Cat}_{A\text{-branes}}(\tau, \mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C))$  of  $A$ -branes in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)$ , can be identified with a category  $\mathcal{D}_{-h^\vee\text{-mod}}^{\mathbf{c}}(q, \text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{C}}})$  of twisted  $D$ -modules on  $\text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{C}}}(C)$  with parameter  $q$ , where ‘ $D$ ’ refers to the differential operator we just described.

### 7.3 A Quantum Geometric Langlands Correspondence with Purely Imaginary Parameter

Note that from (6.1) and (3.28), 4d  $S$ -duality would mean that we have the 2d duality

$$\mathcal{Z}_{A, \mathcal{B}}(\tau, \mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{A, \mathcal{B}}\left(-\frac{1}{n_{\mathfrak{g}}\tau}, \mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^{LG}(C)\right), \quad (7.2)$$

where  $\mathcal{Z}_{A, \mathcal{B}}$  is the partition function of the open  $A$ -model with branes  $\mathcal{B}$ .

In turn, this implies a homological mirror symmetry of the  $\tau$ -dependent category of  $A$ -branes:

$$\boxed{\text{Cat}_{A\text{-branes}}(\tau, \mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G(C)) \longleftrightarrow \text{Cat}_{A\text{-branes}}\left(-\frac{1}{n_{\mathfrak{g}}\tau}, \mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^{LG}(C)\right)} \quad (7.3)$$

where  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^G$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^{LG}$  are mirror manifolds.

As explained above, for  $\theta = B = 0$ , the category of  $\tau$ -dependent  $A$ -branes can be identified with a category of twisted  $D$ -modules on  $\text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{C}}}(C)$  with parameter  $q$ . Thus, this mirror symmetry would mean that we have

$$\boxed{\mathcal{D}_{-h^\vee\text{-mod}}^{\mathbf{c}}(q, \text{Bun}_{G_{\mathbb{C}}}) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{-Lh^\vee\text{-mod}}^{\mathbf{c}}\left(-\frac{1}{n_{\mathfrak{g}}q}, \text{Bun}_{LG_{\mathbb{C}}}\right)} \quad (7.4)$$

This is a quantum geometric Langlands correspondence for  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$  with complex curve  $C$  and purely imaginary parameter  $q$  [27, eqn. (6.4)].

### 7.4 A Classical Geometric Langlands Correspondence

In the zero-coupling, ‘classical’ limit of the 4d theory in  $G$  where  $\text{Im}(\tau) \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $q \rightarrow \infty$ . In this limit, the LHS of (7.4) can be identified with the category  $\text{Cat}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{M}_{\text{flat}}^{G_{\mathbb{C}}}(C))$  of coherent sheaves on  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{flat}}^{G_{\mathbb{C}}}(C)$  [27].

This ‘classical’ limit corresponds to the ‘ultra-quantum’ limit of the  $S$ -dual 4d theory in  ${}^L G$ , where  ${}^L q = -\frac{1}{n_{\mathfrak{g}} q} \rightarrow 0$ . In this limit, the RHS of (7.4) can be identified with the category  $\mathcal{D}_{-{}^L h^\vee}^{\mathfrak{c}}\text{-mod}(0, \text{Bun}_{L G_{\mathbb{C}}}(C))$  of critically-twisted  $D$ -modules on  $\text{Bun}_{L G_{\mathbb{C}}}(C)$ .

In short, we have

$$\boxed{\text{Cat}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{M}_{\text{flat}}^{G_{\mathbb{C}}}(C)) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{-{}^L h^\vee}^{\mathfrak{c}}\text{-mod}(0, \text{Bun}_{L G_{\mathbb{C}}}(C))} \quad (7.5)$$

This is a classical geometric Langlands correspondence for  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$  with complex curve  $C$  [27, eqn. (6.4)].

## 8 A Novel Web of Mathematical Relations, and Categorification

In this final section, we will show how the dualities, correspondences and identifications between the various mathematical objects we physically derived in §2–7 starting from VW theory, will lead us to a novel web of mathematical relations. We will then explain how the VW invariant will be systematically categorified in our framework.

### 8.1 A Novel Web of Mathematical Relations from Vafa-Witten Theory

Essentially, from the duality relations (6.1), (6.2), (6.4), (6.6), the correspondences (7.3), (7.4), (7.5), and the identifications (3.28), (4.20), (5.9), we will get Fig. 6 below.

### 8.2 Categorifying the Vafa-Witten Invariant

Categorification is a mathematical procedure that turns a number into a vector space, a vector space into a category, a category into a 2-category, and so on:

$$\text{number} \xrightarrow{\text{categorification}} \text{vector space} \xrightarrow{\text{categorification}} \text{category} \xrightarrow{\text{categorification}} \text{2-category} \xrightarrow{\text{categorification}} \dots \quad (8.1)$$

From Fig. 6, one can see that this mathematical procedure is actually realized in our physical framework. Specifically, via the arrows **b** and **c**, and the fact that the VW invariant is a number, the VW Floer homology is a vector (space), and the  $A$ -branes span a category of objects, we find that <sup>18</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \text{VW theory on } M_4 &\quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \text{number} \quad \mathcal{Z}_{\text{VW}} \\ \text{VW theory on } \mathbb{R}^+ \times M_3 &\quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \text{vector} \quad \text{HF}_*^{\text{VW}} \\ \text{VW theory on } \mathbb{R}^+ \times I \times C &\quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \text{1-category } \text{Cat}_{A\text{-branes}} \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (8.2)$$

<sup>18</sup>This perspective of categorifying topological invariants by successively introducing boundaries to the manifold was first pointed out in [20].




Figure 6: A novel web of mathematical relations stemming from Vafa-Witten theory.

In other words, we have

$$\boxed{\mathcal{Z}_{\text{VW}} \xrightarrow{\text{categorification}} \text{HF}_*^{\text{VW}} \xrightarrow{\text{categorification}} \text{Cat}_{A\text{-branes}}} \quad (8.3)$$

a categorification of  $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{VW}}$ , the VW invariant of  $M_4$ .

From (8.2), it is clear that categorification can be physically understood as flattening a direction and then ending it on a boundary or boundaries. Explicitly in our case, the first step of categorification involves flattening a direction in  $M_4$  and then ending it on an  $M_3$  boundary, while the second step involves flattening a direction in  $M_3$  and then ending it on two  $C$  boundaries. Therefore, one can also understand the procedure of categorifying as computing relative invariants<sup>19</sup> – computing the relative invariant of  $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{VW}}$  give us  $\text{HF}_*^{\text{VW}}$ , and further computing the relative invariant of  $\text{HF}_*^{\text{VW}}$  gives us  $\text{Cat}_{A\text{-branes}}$ .

All this is also consistent with the fact pointed out in [28] that an  $n$ -dimensional TQFT assigns a  $k$ -category to a closed  $n-k-1$ -manifold  $M$ . Here in our case, we have  $n = 4$ , and when  $k = 0$  and 1, we have the 0-category  $\text{HF}_*^{\text{VW}}$  assigned to a closed 3-manifold  $M_3$  and the 1-category  $\text{Cat}_{A\text{-branes}}$  assigned to a closed 2-manifold  $C$ , respectively.

### 8.3 Higher Categories from Vafa-Witten Theory

#### *A 2-category from Vafa-Witten Theory*

One could continue to further categorify the VW invariant of  $M_4$  by flattening a direction along  $C$  and ending it on  $S^1$  boundaries, i.e., let  $C = I' \times S^1$ . This should give us a 2-category, 2-Cat, consisting of objects, morphisms between these objects, and 2-morphisms between these morphisms. Thus, we have an extension of (8.2) to

$$\begin{array}{llll} \text{VW theory on } M_4 & \rightsquigarrow & \text{number} & \mathcal{Z}_{\text{VW}} \\ \text{VW theory on } \mathbb{R}^+ \times M_3 & \rightsquigarrow & \text{vector} & \text{HF}_*^{\text{VW}} \\ \text{VW theory on } \mathbb{R}^+ \times I \times C & \rightsquigarrow & \text{1-category} & \text{Cat}_{A\text{-branes}} \\ \text{VW theory on } \mathbb{R}^+ \times I \times I' \times S^1 & \rightsquigarrow & \text{2-category} & \text{2-Cat} \end{array} \quad (8.4)$$

Let us now determine what this 2-category ought to be.

First, note that now, we have VW theory on  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times I \times I' \times S^1$  – in other words, we have VW theory compactified on  $S^1$  to a 3d TQFT on a semi-infinite block starting at  $t = 0$  with  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times I$  boundaries. The sought-after 2-category is then the 2-category of boundary conditions of this 3d TQFT.<sup>20</sup>

<sup>19</sup>A relative invariant is an invariant of an open manifold which was originally defined for a closed manifold.

<sup>20</sup>Just as the 1-category discussed in the previous subsection is the 1-category of boundary conditions of the 2d  $A$ -model.

Second, notice that the aforementioned boundary conditions can be realized by surface defects in VW theory that lie along the  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times I(I')$  boundaries of the 3d TQFT. In other words, the 2-category we seek is the 2-category of these surface defects in VW theory. From this viewpoint, the surface defects can be interpreted as objects; loop defects on the surface running around  $I \times I'$  can be interpreted as morphisms between these objects; while opposing pairs of point defects on the loops can be interpreted as 2-morphisms between these morphisms.

Third, note that the 3d TQFT in question is a 3d gauged  $A$ -model described in [29, sect. 7],<sup>21</sup> and for abelian  $G$  and  $\text{Re}(\tau) = 0$ , the 2-category of surface defects have been explicitly determined in *loc. cit.* to be the 2-category  $2\text{-Cat}_{\text{mod-cat}}(\text{FF-cat}(T^2))$  of module categories over the Fukaya-Floer category of  $T^2$ .<sup>22</sup> Therefore, we have, for abelian  $G$  and  $\text{Re}(\tau) = 0$ , an extension of (8.3) to

$$\boxed{\mathcal{Z}_{\text{VW}} \xrightarrow{\text{categorification}} \text{HF}_*^{\text{VW}} \xrightarrow{\text{categorification}} \text{Cat}_{A\text{-branes}} \xrightarrow{\text{categorification}} 2\text{-Cat}_{\text{mod-cat}}(\text{FF-cat}(T^2))} \quad (8.5)$$

Notice that in this case, we have  $n = 4$  and  $k = 2$  in our discussion at the end of the previous subsection, whence we ought to have a 2-category assigned to the closed 1-manifold  $S^1$ . Indeed, as is clear from (8.4) we have a 2-category of surface defects that are assigned to a closed 1-manifold  $S^1$ .

### *Langlands Duality of a 2-category*

Observe from (8.4) and Fig. 6 that from 4d  $S$ -duality, we have a Langlands duality of the 0-category  $\text{HF}_*^{\text{VW}}$ , and a Langlands duality (mirror symmetry) of the 1-category  $\text{Cat}_{A\text{-branes}}$ . Do we then also have a Langlands duality of the 2-category  $2\text{-Cat}$  from 4d  $S$ -duality? The answer is ‘yes’.

According to [29, sect. 7.4.1], 4d  $S$ -duality, which maps abelian  $G$  to its Langlands dual that is itself, will transform the symplectic area  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $T^2$  as

$$\mathcal{A} \rightarrow {}^L\mathcal{A} = \frac{4\pi^2}{\mathcal{A}}, \quad (8.6)$$

where  ${}^L\mathcal{A}$  is the symplectic area of a torus  ${}^L T^2$  that can be obtained from  $T^2$  by inverting the radii of its two circles from  $R \rightarrow \alpha'/R$  for some constant  $\alpha'$ . In other words,  ${}^L T^2$  is the  $T$ -dual torus to  $T^2$ , and  $\text{FF-cat}(T^2)$ , which is realized by a 2d open  $A$ -model with target  $T^2$ , will be invariant under  $T$ -duality of the target, i.e.,  $\text{FF-cat}(T^2) \cong \text{FF-cat}({}^L T^2)$ . Thus, we have

$$\boxed{2\text{-Cat}_{\text{mod-cat}}(\text{FF-cat}(T^2)) \longleftrightarrow 2\text{-Cat}_{\text{mod-cat}}(\text{FF-cat}({}^L T^2))} \quad (8.7)$$

Hence, Fig. 6 will be enhanced to Fig. 7.

<sup>21</sup>In [29, sect. 7], the GL theory at  $t = 0$  was considered, but it was shown in [30, sect. 5.2-5.3] that this theory compactified on  $S^1$  is the same as VW theory compactified on  $S^1$ . Hence, their results are applicable to us.

<sup>22</sup>The 3d gauged  $A$ -model has a gauge and matter sector, where each sector can either have Dirichlet (D) or Neumann (N) boundary conditions. We have stated the result for the DD case, as this choice of boundary conditions allows us to describe the situation where line defects lie along the surface defects, which is the one relevant to us.






Figure 7: A novel web of mathematical relations stemming from Vafa-Witten theory that also involves higher categories.

### A 3-category from Vafa-Witten Theory?

We could take one last step to further categorify the VW invariant of  $M_4$  by flattening  $S^1$  and ending it on point boundaries, i.e., let  $S^1 = [0, 1]$ . This should give us a 3-category, 3-Cat, consisting of objects, morphisms between these objects, 2-morphisms between these morphisms, and 3-morphisms between these 2-morphisms. Thus, we have yet another extension of (8.2) to

$$\begin{array}{llll}
 \text{VW theory on } M_4 & \rightsquigarrow & \text{number} & \mathcal{Z}_{\text{VW}} \\
 \text{VW theory on } \mathbb{R}^+ \times M_3 & \rightsquigarrow & \text{vector} & \text{HF}_*^{\text{VW}} \\
 \text{VW theory on } \mathbb{R}^+ \times I \times C & \rightsquigarrow & \text{1-category} & \text{Cat}_{A\text{-branes}} \\
 \text{VW theory on } \mathbb{R}^+ \times I \times I' \times S^1 & \rightsquigarrow & \text{2-category} & \text{2-Cat} \\
 \text{VW theory on } \mathbb{R}^+ \times I \times I' \times [0, 1] & \rightsquigarrow & \text{3-category} & \text{3-Cat} \quad .
 \end{array} \tag{8.8}$$

That is, we have a 3-category of 3d boundary conditions of VW theory along  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times I \times I'$  which is assigned to a point.

These 3d boundary conditions can be realized by domain walls. So, the sought-after 3-category has domain walls along  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times I \times I'$  as objects; surface defects within the domain walls along  $I \times I'$  as morphisms between these objects; line defects on the surfaces in the  $I$  or  $I'$  direction as 2-morphisms of these morphisms; and point defects on the lines as 3-morphisms of these 2-morphisms.

Determining the classification of such domain walls in VW theory is beyond the scope of this paper, and we shall leave it for future work. In short, we can summarize how  $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{VW}}$ , the VW invariant of  $M_4$ , can be completely categorified as

$$\boxed{\mathcal{Z}_{\text{VW}} \xrightarrow{\text{categorify}} \text{HF}_*^{\text{VW}} \xrightarrow{\text{categorify}} \text{Cat}_{A\text{-branes}} \xrightarrow{\text{categorify}} \text{2-Cat}_{\text{mod-cat}}(\text{FF-cat}(T^2)) \xrightarrow{\text{categorify}} \text{3-Cat} (?)} \tag{8.9}$$

where 2-Cat remains to be determined for non-abelian  $G$ , while 3-Cat has yet to be determined for any  $G$ .

### References

- [1] Mohammed Abouzaid and Ciprian Manolescu. “A sheaf-theoretic model for  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  Floer homology”. In: *Journal of the European Mathematical Society* 22.11 (2020), pp. 3641–3695.
- [2] Jonathan P Yamron. “Topological actions from twisted supersymmetric theories”. In: *Physics Letters B* 213.3 (1988), pp. 325–330.
- [3] Edward Witten. “Topological quantum field theory”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 117.3 (1988), pp. 353–386.
- [4] Cumrun Vafa and Edward Witten. “A strong coupling test of S-duality”. In: *Nuclear Physics B* 431.1-2 (1994), pp. 3–77.
- [5] Vicente Muñoz. “Quantum cohomology of the moduli space of stable bundles over a Riemann surface”. In: *Duke Mathematical Journal* 98 (1997), pp. 525–540.
- [6] Christopher Brav et al. “Symmetries and stabilization for sheaves of vanishing cycles”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:1211.3259* (2012).

- [7] JMF Labastida and Carlos Lozano. “Mathai-Quillen formulation of twisted  $N=4$  supersymmetric gauge theories in four dimensions”. In: *Nuclear Physics B* 502.3 (1997), pp. 741–790.
- [8] Andriy Haydys. “Fukaya-Seidel category and gauge theory”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:1010.2353* (2010).
- [9] Teng Huang. “A compactness theorem for stable flat  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  connections on 3-folds”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.03486* (2017).
- [10] Robbert Dijkgraaf and Gregory Moore. “Balanced topological field theories”. In: *Communications in mathematical physics* 185.2 (1997), pp. 411–440.
- [11] Michael Bershadsky et al. “Topological reduction of 4D SYM to 2D  $\sigma$ -models”. In: *Nuclear Physics B* 448.1-2 (1995), pp. 166–186.
- [12] Nigel J Hitchin. “The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface”. In: *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* 3.1 (1987), pp. 59–126.
- [13] Luis Alvarez-Gaume and Daniel Z Freedman. “Geometrical structure and ultraviolet finiteness in the supersymmetric  $\sigma$ -model”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 80.3 (1981), pp. 443–451.
- [14] Danny Birmingham et al. “Topological field theory”. In: *Physics Reports* 209.4-5 (1991), pp. 129–340.
- [15] Kentaro Hori et al. *Mirror symmetry*. Vol. 1. American Mathematical Soc., 2003.
- [16] Matthias Blau and George Thompson. “ $N=2$  topological gauge theory, the Euler characteristic of moduli spaces, and the Casson invariant”. In: *Communications in mathematical physics* 152.1 (1993), pp. 41–71.
- [17] Matthias Blau and George Thompson. “Topological gauge theories from supersymmetric quantum mechanics on spaces of connections”. In: *International Journal of Modern Physics A* 8.03 (1993), pp. 573–585.
- [18] Andreas Floer. “An instanton-invariant for 3-manifolds”. In: *Communications in mathematical physics* 118.2 (1988), pp. 215–240.
- [19] Michael Francis Atiyah. “New invariants of 3 and 4 dimensional manifolds”. In: 1987.
- [20] Sergei Gukov. “Surface operators and knot homologies”. In: *New Trends in Mathematical Physics*. Springer, 2009, pp. 313–343.
- [21] Anton Kapustin. “A note on quantum geometric Langlands duality, gauge theory, and quantization of the moduli space of flat connections”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:0811.3264* (2008).
- [22] Anton Kapustin and Edward Witten. “Electric-magnetic duality and the geometric Langlands program”. In: *arXiv preprint hep-th/0604151* (2006).
- [23] Andreas Floer. “Morse theory for Lagrangian intersections”. In: *Journal of Differential Geometry* 28 (1988), pp. 513–547.
- [24] Andr es Pedroza. “A Quick View of Lagrangian Floer Homology”. In: *arXiv: Symplectic Geometry* (2018), pp. 91–125.
- [25] AA Beilinson and VV Schechtman. “Determinant bundles and Virasoro algebras”. In: *Communications in mathematical physics* 118.4 (1988), pp. 651–701.
- [26] Gerd Faltings. “Stable G-bundles and projective connections”. In: *J. Algebraic Geom* 2.3 (1993), pp. 507–568.
- [27] Edward Frenkel. “Lectures on the Langlands Program and Conformal Field Theory”. In: *arXiv preprint hep-th/0512172* (2005).
- [28] “Topological Field Theory, Higher Categories, and Their Applications, author=Kapustin, Anton”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:1004.2307* (2010).
- [29] Anton Kapustin, Kevin Setter, and Ketan Vyas. “Surface operators in four-dimensional topological gauge theory and Langlands duality”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:1002.0385* (2010).
- [30] Kevin Setter. *Topological quantum field theory and the geometric Langlands correspondence*. California Institute of Technology, 2013.