

UNITS OF TWISTED GROUP RINGS AND THEIR CORRELATIONS TO CLASSICAL GROUP RINGS

GEOFFREY JANSSENS, ERIC JESPERS, AND OFIR SCHNABEL

ABSTRACT. Given a central extension Γ of some normal subgroup N by a group G , we study the group ring $R\Gamma$ over some domain R . We obtain a direct sum decomposition in terms of various twisted group rings of G and concrete information on the kernel and cokernel of the projections. This allows to kick-start the investigation of the unit group $\mathcal{U}(R\Gamma)$ via the unit group of twisted group rings. Among others we construct a new generic family of units therein. As an application hereof we are able to obtain a complete description of the unit group of the integral group ring of $G \times C_2^n$ from data of G .

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Cohomological preliminaries	4
3. On the decomposition of the twisted group algebras of general extensions and transgression	6
3.1. Concrete decomposition	6
3.2. Transgression and natural morphisms as projections	8
3.3. The case of an α -representation group of G	9
4. When is the unit group of a twisted group ring finite?	10
5. Correlations between $R[\Gamma]$ and $R^\alpha[G]$ - a unit group point of view	12
5.1. On the cokernel of the generalized transgression map	13
5.2. On the kernel of the generalized transgression map	14
6. Twisting bicyclic units and their contribution	16
6.1. Generalized bicyclic units versus elementary matrices	16
6.2. Twisted bicyclic units - general theory	17
6.3. On twisted bicyclic units in cokernel of the transgression map	18
7. Method to describe $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^n])$	19
8. Full description for elementary abelian 2-groups	22
8.1. The starting case $C_2 \times C_2$	22
8.2. The general case	23
9. Description of $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}[D_8 \times C_2^m])$	25
References	26

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16S35, 16H10, 16U60, 20C25.

Key words and phrases. Twisted group rings, unit group, projective representations.

The first author is grateful to Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek vlaanderen - FWO (grant 88258), and le Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique - FNRS (grant 1.B.239.22) for financial support.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper contributes to the study of a finite group Γ via its representation theory over a number field¹ F and its ring of integers R . The overarching question is which group theoretical invariants are determined by the R -algebra $R\Gamma$ or in other words by the regular $R\Gamma$ -module. From the literature one could somehow distil an approach in two steps to that. Firstly one considers the decomposition of the group algebra $F\Gamma$ given by Wedderburn-Artin's theorem:

$$F\Gamma \cong M_{n_1}(D_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{n_q}(D_q),$$

where D_i are finite dimensional division F -algebras. If one now chooses an order \mathcal{O}_i in each D_i , then we obtain two orders in $F\Gamma$, namely $R\Gamma$ and $\bigoplus_{i=1}^q M_{n_i}(\mathcal{O}_i)$. Because F is a number field, in particular R is a 'nice' Dedekind domain, it is well known that the two orders share many properties. In particular their unit groups have a common subgroup of finite index. The aim of the first step is two obtain as much information as possible on the F -character degrees n_i and the form of the division algebras D_i . Consequently, it is to be expected that the number theoretical properties of the number field F will play a special role here.

Recall that there is a bijection between the matrix components above, the absolutely irreducible F -characters and the primitive central idempotents of $F\Gamma$ (a set denoted $\text{PCI}(F\Gamma)$). A setback of making the switch from $R\Gamma$ to $\bigoplus_{i=1}^q M_{n_i}(\mathcal{O}_i)$ is that one is somehow replacing the group Γ by the larger group $\prod_{e \in \text{PCI}(F\Gamma)} \Gamma e$. Due to this one loses information on 'ties'. The role of the second step consists then to focus on the given group Γ . This might be via representation theoretical methods or group theoretical ones. To clarify the latter, we now set $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and so $R = \mathbb{Z}$. Then it is well-known that the group isomorphism type of the unit group $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma)$ and the ring isomorphism type of $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ contain the same information². The gain of this is that $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma)$ is an arithmetic subgroup in some linear semisimple algebraic group (in particular it is a finitely presented group), allowing the use of classical but strong methods in algebraic groups or geometric group theory.

Briefly said, in this article we will contribute to both steps in a novel way by regrouping simple components in certain twisted group algebras. More specifically, we will view Γ as some extension

$$(1) \quad 1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{\lambda} G \rightarrow 1$$

and aim at describing $R\Gamma$ and its unit group in terms of certain twisted group rings of the smaller group G . Before going into details, we first recall the definition of a twisted group ring. For a 2-cocycle $\alpha \in Z^2(G, R^*)$, the twisted group ring $R^\alpha[G]$ of G over R with respect to α is the free R -module with basis $\{u_g\}_{g \in G}$ where the multiplication on the basis is defined via

$$u_g u_h = \alpha(g, h) u_{gh} \quad \text{for all } g, h \in G$$

and any u_g commutes with the elements of R . Note that the ring structure of $R^\alpha[G]$ depends only on the cohomology class $[\alpha] \in H^2(G, R^*)$ of α and not on the particular 2-cocycle. Of importance is that there is a 1-1 correspondence between α -projective representations of G and $R^\alpha[G]$ -modules. As such projective representations, although not explicitly used, are recurrent objects behind the scenes (see [6, §2.1] for detailed explanation).

In order to keep the introduction notationally light, we will restrict ourselves to abelian extensions (i.e. Γ is split into an extension where N is abelian). However from Section 2 till Section 5 we will work with general extensions. When N is abelian, the extension (1) corresponds³ to a cohomology class $[\alpha] \in H_\sigma^2(G, N)$ where σ is the action of G on N . Via σ the group G acts on the set $\text{Lin}(N, F)$ of linear F -characters of N and we denote the orbit space by $\text{Lin}(N, F)/G$. Now, with a G -invariant linear character χ of N over F one can associate via the transgression map a new 2-cocycle $[T_\alpha(\chi)] \in H^2(G, F^*)$ where $T_\alpha(\chi)(g, h) := \chi(\alpha(g, h))$.

¹The most of the results will in fact hold in the generality where F is any field with $\text{char}(F) \nmid |\Gamma|$.

²Thus $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}H$ if and only if $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma) \cong \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}H)$. It is folklore that it holds more generally for any Γ -adapted coefficient ring R , i.e. where all prime divisors of $|\Gamma|$ are not divisible in $\mathcal{U}(R)$. In short, this follows in that case from the linear independence of finite subgroups of $\mathcal{U}(RG)$.

³The necessary background is briefly introduced in Section 2.

Theorem A (Theorem 3.2 & Proposition 3.1). *With notations as above, N abelian and $[\beta] \in H^2(\Gamma, F^*)$ inflated from G . We have that*

$$(2) \quad F^\beta[\Gamma] \cong \bigoplus_{[\chi] \in \text{Lin}(N, F)/G} (F(\chi)E_\chi) * G$$

for some concrete idempotents E_χ and explicit skewing and twisting of the crossed product $(F(\chi)E_\chi) * G$. In particular, if $\chi \in \text{Lin}(N, F)^G$ is a G -invariant character, then⁴

$$(F(\chi)E_\chi) * G \cong F(\chi)^{\beta.T_\alpha(\chi)}[G].$$

It is interesting to pause a second on the classical case when β is trivial, i.e. $F^\beta[\Gamma] = F\Gamma$ is a group ring. The above theorem then tells that even if one is solely interested in non-twisted group rings, one should still study twisted group rings over finite extensions of the chosen number field F . Important for the application later on is that the decomposition (2) is not simply an abstract one. Among others, the projections p_χ onto the direct summands have a down-to-earth description as seen below. For this we need to fix a section $\mu : G \rightarrow \Gamma$ of λ in (1).

Proposition B (Proposition 3.3). *Let R be any subring of F and let $\chi \in \text{Lin}(N, F^*)^{\Gamma/N}$, using the notations as above. Then, the projection p_χ viewed over R agrees with the ring epimorphism*

$$\Psi_{\chi, \beta} : R^\beta[\Gamma] \rightarrow R[\chi]^{\beta.T_\alpha(\chi)}[G] : r u_{n.\mu(g)} \mapsto r\chi(n)v_g.$$

At this point one has another point of view on the first step alluded to at the start of the introduction. Now we continue with the second part that focuses on a better understanding of the unit group $\mathcal{U}(R^\beta[\Gamma])$ for some order R in F . For still a general number field F and extension Γ , this is the content of Section 5. In that part we investigate the kernel and cokernel of the induced group morphism $\tilde{\Psi}_{\chi, \beta}$ between $\mathcal{U}(R^\beta[\Gamma])$ and $\mathcal{U}(R[\chi]^{\beta.T_\alpha(\chi)}[G])$.

Theorem C (Theorem 5.2 & Theorem 5.4). *Let Γ be some extension (1), $[\beta] \in H^2(\Gamma, F^*)$ inflated from G and $\chi \in \text{Lin}(N, F)^G$. Also let R be an order in the number field F . Then⁵:*

- (1) $\text{Coker}(\tilde{\Psi}_{\chi, \beta})$ is finite.
- (2) If N is central, then $\{\text{torsion units in } \ker(\tilde{\Psi}_{\chi, \beta})\} = \{\chi(a)^{-1}a \mid a \in N\}$.

Moreover, we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for $\ker(\tilde{\Psi}_{\chi, \beta})$ to be finite and some computational reduction for determining $|\text{Coker}(\tilde{\Psi}_{\chi, \beta})|$.

Along the way we obtain a version for twisted group algebras of certain classical theorems of Higman and Berman-Higman. More precisely, in Theorem 4.1 we describe when the unit group of an (arbitrary) twisted group ring is finite and in Theorem 5.5 we show that torsion units must have trace zero.

All the results till now give new methods to compute $\mathcal{U}(R\Gamma)$ for some extension Γ which is especially explicit and hence useful for a central extension. As such, one is now reduced to understanding the unit group of a twisted group ring over the smaller group G , which is a topic of independent interest. In Section 6 we kick start the investigations of generic constructions of units therein. More precisely, we now restrict to the case that F is a cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$, with ζ_n some primitive root of unity, R its ring of integers and $[\gamma] \in H^2(G, R^*)$.

Most notably, we construct a new class of units \mathcal{B}^{tw} , see Definition 6.7, in $\mathcal{U}(R^\gamma[G])$ which truly makes use of the twisting $[\gamma]$. These elements might be thought as some deformations of the classical bicyclic units in (non-twisted) group rings. Due to this there holds a variant of a theorem of Jespers-Leal [11] which tells us that \mathcal{B}^{tw} contains enough elementary matrices of each simple component. More concretely, if $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and the γ -representation group G_γ (see Section 3.3) is fixed-point free, then for every $e \in \text{PCI}(\mathbb{Q}^\gamma[G])$ such that $\mathbb{Q}^\gamma[G]e \cong M_n(D)$ with $n \geq 2$ there exists a number $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $1 - e + E_n(y\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathcal{B}^{tw}$ (where \mathcal{O} is an order in the finite dimension division \mathbb{Q} -algebra D).

⁴With $\beta.T_\alpha(\chi)$ is meant the 2-cocycle of G with values in $F(\chi)^*$ defined pointwise, i.e. $(\beta.T_\alpha(\chi))(g, h) = \beta(g, h).T_\alpha(\chi)(g, h) = \beta(g, h).\chi(\alpha(g, h))$.

⁵Again, in order to avoid more notations in the introduction, some parts of the statements are left vague.

An intriguing feature of the twisted bicyclic units, which was also the starting motivation for this project, is that the 'smallest' ones seem to live in $\text{Coker}(\tilde{\Psi}_\chi : \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^{T_\alpha(\chi)}[G]))$ and hence are truly new type of units from the classical perspective:

Question D (Question 6.10). *Is the subgroup of $\mathcal{B}^{tw}(G)$ generated by the 'minimal' twisted bicyclic units, as defined in (20), a non-trivial subgroup in $\text{Coker}(\tilde{\Psi}_\chi)$ of finite exponent?*

Under the restrictions that $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is finite we are able in Proposition 6.9 to give a positive answer to the previous question.

Finally, in the last sections we apply all the above machinery to study the case that $\Gamma = G \times C_2^m$ for some m . Note that the number of simple components of the group algebra increase exponentially with m , which makes these extensions more subtle as it first looks like. In Section 7 we give a description of $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\gamma[G \times C_2^m])$ for $[\gamma] \in H^2(G \times C_2^m, \mathbb{Z}^*)$ in terms of $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^{\text{Res}(\gamma)}[G])$, see Theorem 7.3. From this we are able to deduce the following:

Theorem E (Corollary 7.4). *Let G be a finite group and $[\gamma] \in H^2(G \times C_2^m, \mathbb{Z}^*)$ inflated from a cocycle $[\delta] \in H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}^*)$. If G has a (torsion-free) complement in $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\delta[G])$ or satisfies one of the Zassenhaus conjectures, then the same holds for $G \times C_2^m$ and $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\gamma[G \times C_2^m])$.*

To finish, in Section 8 all the protagonists introduced till now are computed explicitly in the case that $G \cong D_8$. More precisely, we consider D_8 as some extension $[\alpha] \in H^2(C_2 \times C_2, C_2)$ and look at one of the projections $\tilde{\Psi}_\chi : \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}[D_8 \times C_2^m]) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^{T_\alpha(\chi)}[C_2^{m+2}])$. For this map we show that the minimal twisted bicyclic units are exactly the generators of $\text{Coker}(\tilde{\Psi}_\chi)$, see Lemma 9.1. We expect that this happens in much greater generality. Together with the general description of $\ker(\tilde{\psi}_\chi)$ and Theorem E we are able to pullback a precise description of $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^{T_\alpha(\chi)}[C_2^{m+2}])$, obtained in Proposition 8.3. Altogether, the main achievement here is a unexpected uniform description of $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}[D_8 \times C_2^m])$ for all m . This was unexpected due to the fact that with m increasing the congruence subgroups lie always deeper.

Notational conventions:

- (1) All rings, denoted R , will be assumed unital and associative.
- (2) If $f : R \rightarrow S$ is a ring homomorphism, then we denote the induced map on the unit groups by $\tilde{f} : \mathcal{U}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(S)$.
- (3) $\mathcal{U}(R)$ denotes the unit group of R . If $\mathcal{U}(R)$ appears as the coefficients of a cohomology group, i.e. $H^j(G, \mathcal{U}(R))$, we will instead write R^* (thus $H^j(G, R^*)$).
- (4) Except stated otherwise, with 'an order R ' we will mean a \mathbb{Z} -order.
- (5) We will use the convention $g^h = h^{-1}gh$ and $\text{conj}(h)(g) = g^h$ for conjugation.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to Yuval Ginosar for valuable discussions and for sharing with us example 3.6. During the early stages of this project the first author was in 2017 a visitor at the Technion - Israel Institute of Technology and he would like to thank the institution for the pleasant working conditions. Thereafter the third author visited several times the VUB and he warmly thanks the host institution and the mathematics department at VUB.

2. COHOMOLOGICAL PRELIMINARIES

Given a finite group G with an action $\varphi : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ on an abelian group A , extensions⁶

$$(3) \quad 1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{\lambda} G \rightarrow 1.$$

of A by G whose induced action is φ are parametrised by the second group cohomology group $H_\varphi^2(G, A)$. If the action of G on A is trivial, in which case we write $H^2(G, A)$, the cohomology group parametrizes the central extensions (i.e. $\mathcal{Z}(A) \subseteq \Gamma$). Given a 2-cocycle $\alpha \in Z^2(G, R^*)$ and a commutative ring R one can associate the *twisted group ring* $R^\alpha[G]$ whose basis we will denote by $\{u_g \mid g \in G\}$. Up to R -algebra isomorphism, $R^\alpha[G]$ does not depend on α but only on the cohomology class $[\alpha] \in H^2(G, R^*)$. A 2-cocycle α is called *normalized* if u_1 is the

⁶Thus A is normal and $G \cong \Gamma/A$. In other words (3) is a short exact sequence.

identity element of $R^\alpha[G]$, i.e. if $\alpha(1, g) = 1 = \alpha(g, 1)$ for all $g \in G$. Notice also that then u_1 is the identity of $R^\alpha[G]$ and the ring $R = Ru_1$ is central in the twisted group ring $R^\alpha[G]$.

Example 2.1. A cohomology class $[\alpha] \in H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}^*)$ over \mathbb{Z} corresponds to a central extension

$$(4) \quad [\alpha] : 1 \rightarrow C_2 \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1.$$

As a result, for an abelian group G generated by $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r\}$, $[\alpha] \in H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}^*)$ is determined by the values in $\{\pm 1\}$ of

$$(5) \quad u_{g_i}^{\circ(g_i)}, 1 \leq i \leq r, \text{ and the commutators } [u_{g_i}, u_{g_j}], 1 \leq i < j \leq r,$$

in the corresponding twisted group ring $\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G]$.

Let Q be a normal subgroup of G , let M be an abelian group which we equip with a *trivial* G -action and let $\pi : G \rightarrow G/Q$ be the quotient map. Then, for any $\delta \in Z^i(G/Q, M) \subset \text{Map}(G/Q, M)$ we can define $\gamma \in Z^i(G, M)$ by

$$\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_i) = \delta(\pi(x_1), \dots, \pi(x_i)).$$

The map from $Z^i(G/Q, M)$ to $Z^i(G, M)$ sending δ to γ induces⁷ a map

$$\text{Inf} : H^i(G/Q, M) \rightarrow H^i(G, M)$$

which is called the *inflation map*. Sometimes we will want to emphasize Q and use the notation Inf_Q . Notice also, that for any subgroup H of G , there is a natural restriction map from $H^i(G, M)$ to⁸ $H^i(H, M)$. In case of a trivial action the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence yields a very concrete exact sequence between the lower cohomology groups.

Lemma 2.2 (Inflation-Restriction exact sequence). *Let Q be a normal subgroup of G and M a trivial G -module. Then one has an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G/Q, M) \xrightarrow{\text{Inf}} H^1(G, M) \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} H^1(Q, M)^{G/Q} \xrightarrow{d} H^2(G/Q, M) \xrightarrow{\text{Inf}} H^2(G, M)$$

where the first connecting map d is the transgression map and $H^1(\cdot, \cdot) = \text{Hom}(\cdot, \cdot)$.

In case of the abelian extension (3), i.e. taking Γ and A (as respectively G and Q) the first transgression map is a morphism from $\text{Hom}(A, M)^G$ to $H^2(G, M)$ whose definition we now recall. For $\chi \in \text{Hom}(A, M)^G$ one can define a 2-cocycle $\text{Tra}(\chi) \in Z^2(G, M)$ via

$$\text{Tra}(\chi)(g_1, g_2) = \chi(\alpha(g_1, g_2))$$

for any $g_1, g_2 \in G$. The cohomology class $[\text{Tra}(\chi)]$ does not depend on the choice of α .

Definition 2.3. With the above notations, the map

$$\text{Tra}_\alpha : \text{Hom}(A, M)^G \rightarrow H^2(G, M) : \chi \mapsto T_\alpha(\chi) := [\text{Tra}(\chi)]$$

is called the (first) *transgression map* associated to α .

Using notations as in (3). Let Q be a normal subgroup of Γ such that $A \cap Q = 1$. Then $Q \cong \lambda(Q)$ and from now on we will implicitly identify the both and speak about G/Q .

Remark 2.4. Notice that $G/\lambda(Q) \cong G/Q$ and $AQ/Q \cong A/A \cap Q = A$. Hence, if $\alpha = \text{Inf}_Q(\gamma)$ then Γ/Q is the central extension

$$(6) \quad 1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow \Gamma/Q \xrightarrow{\lambda} G/Q \rightarrow 1,$$

corresponding to $[\gamma]$.

In Section 5.2 we will consider various such normal subgroups Q and the (co)kernel of the transgression map associated to Γ/Q . Therefore we also write Tra_Q for the transgression map associated to the abelian extension (6). When the extension or Q are clear from the context we write Tra .

Finally we record a corollary of $\alpha(g, 1) = \alpha(1, 1) = \alpha(1, g)$ for all $g \in \Gamma$, entailed by the 2-cocycle condition, which will repeatedly be used without further notice.

⁷It also yields a map from $Z^2(G/Q, M)$ to $Z^2(G, M)$ which we also denote by Inf .

⁸If $H = Q$ is normal, then $\text{Im}(\text{Res})$ is easily seen to be contained in the subgroup $H^i(Q, M)^{G/Q}$ of G/Q -invariant cocycles.

Corollary 2.5. *Let G be a group, Q a normal subgroup and suppose that $[\alpha] \in H^2(G, R^*)$ is inflated from a cohomology class $[\gamma] \in H^2(G/Q, R^*)$. Then, for $g \in G$ and $x \in Q$, u_g and u_x commute in $R^\alpha[G]$ whenever g and x commute in G . In particular, u_a is central in $R^\alpha[G]$ for any $a \in Z(Q)$.*

Standing assumptions: All cohomology groups will be with respect to a trivial action, except stated otherwise. Also, given $[\alpha] \in H^2(G, A)$, we always assume a chosen representative and we assume $\alpha \in Z^2(G, A)$ to be normalized.

3. ON THE DECOMPOSITION OF THE TWISTED GROUP ALGEBRAS OF GENERAL EXTENSIONS AND TRANSGRESSION

In this section, given a central extension as in (3), we will recover the decomposition [18, Theorem 5.3.] of the twisted group algebra $F^\beta[\Gamma]$ for any $[\beta] \in H^2(\Gamma, F^*)$ inflated from G and F a field with $\text{char}(F) \nmid |\Gamma|$. Our aim is to use other methods which allow to work with general extensions (i.e. with A not necessarily abelian) and to interpret in Section 3.2 the projection maps as a kind of transgression morphisms. The most general structural result will be Proposition 3.1, but Theorem 3.2 will be more precise.

3.1. Concrete decomposition. Consider an arbitrary short exact sequence

$$(7) \quad 1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{\lambda} G \rightarrow 1.$$

Fix also a section μ of λ . In particular $\alpha(g, h) := \mu(g)\mu(h)\mu(gh)^{-1} \in N$ for all $g, h \in G$ and conjugation with $\mu(g)$ gives an outer automorphism of N :

$$(8) \quad \sigma : G \rightarrow \text{Out}(N) := \text{Aut}(N)/\text{Inn}(N) : g \mapsto \text{conj}(\mu(g)^{-1})$$

It is well-known that the associativity of Γ means that $\alpha(g, h)\alpha(gh, k) = \sigma_g(\alpha(h, k))\alpha(g, hk)$.

Let F be any field with $\text{char}(F) \nmid |\Gamma|$ and $[\beta] \in \text{im}(\text{Inf} : H^2(G, F^*) \rightarrow H^2(\Gamma, F^*))$. Note that

$$(9) \quad F^\beta[\Gamma] \cong (FN) * G = \sum_{g \in G} (FN)v_g$$

is a crossed product of G over FN with the following operations⁹:

$$v_g v_h = \beta(g, h)\alpha(g, h)v_{gh} \quad (\text{twisting})$$

and, for $x \in FN$,

$$v_g x = \sigma_g(x)v_g. \quad (\text{skewing})$$

To give a more precise description we need to consider

$$\text{Irr}(N, F) := \{\text{absolutely irreducible } F\text{-characters of } N\}$$

and

$$\text{Lin}(N, F) := \{\chi \in \text{Irr}(N, F) \mid \chi(1) = 1\}.$$

Recall that, [9, Theorem 3.3.1], $\text{Irr}(N, F)$ can be computed from $\text{Irr}(N, \mathbb{C})$ via Galois descent and that it is in bijective correspondence with $\text{PCI}(FN)$, the primitive central idempotents of FN . Denote by e_χ be the idempotent corresponding to $\chi \in \text{Irr}(N, F)$. Note that G , interpreted as Γ/N , acts on $\text{Irr}(N, F)$ via $\chi^g(n) := \chi(\sigma_g(n))$ and this also induces an action on $\text{PCI}(FN)$ (by $e_\chi^g := e_{\chi^g}$). The orbit space will be denoted $\text{Irr}(N, F)/G$.

Proposition 3.1. *With notation as above, we have the following:*

$$F^\beta[\Gamma] \cong \bigoplus_{[\chi] \in \text{Irr}(N, F)/G} ((FNE_\chi) * G)$$

⁹In $F^\beta[\Gamma]$ an arbitrary basis element has the form $u_a u_{\mu(g)}$ for some $a \in N, g \in G$. We are identifying it with av_g where a is considered as a coefficient in $F[N]$. Implicitly we are extending the action σ of G on N to FN .

where $E_\chi := \sum_{\chi^g \in [\chi]} e_\chi^g$ and the skewing of $(FNE_\chi) * G$ is given by σ and the twisting by¹⁰ $E_\chi.(\beta \cdot \alpha)$. Moreover, $\text{if}^{\text{fl}} \chi \in \text{Lin}(N, F)^{\Gamma/N}$

$$(10) \quad (FNE_\chi) * G \cong F(\chi)^{\beta.T_\alpha(\chi)}[G]$$

where $F(\chi)$ is the smallest field containing F and the values of χ .

Proof. As mentioned earlier, $F^{\text{Res}(\beta)}[N] = FN = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(N, F)} FNe_\chi$. In general the orthogonal idempotents e_χ are not central in $F^\beta[\Gamma]$, however the element E_χ (being the sum of the orbit under conjugation by G) is. These elements are again two-by-two orthogonal idempotents, hence (9) can be rewritten as $F^\beta[\Gamma] = \bigoplus_{[\chi] \in \text{Irr}(N, F)/G} (FNE_\chi) * G$. Since E_χ is central we see that indeed only the twisting changes and in the way asserted.

If $\chi \in \text{Irr}(N, F)^{\Gamma/N}$ is an invariant character then its orbit is a singleton and hence $E_\chi = e_\chi$. Now suppose $\chi \in \text{Lin}(N, F)^{\Gamma/N}$ is linear. Then multiplying with E_χ is projecting to the 1-dimensional component of FN associated to χ . In other words, for $n \in N$,

$$(11) \quad nE_\chi = ne_\chi = \chi(n)e_\chi \quad \text{and} \quad FNE_\chi \cong F(\chi).$$

Also, from the earlier reformulation of the associativity of Γ , it readily follows that $\alpha_\chi := \text{Tra}_\alpha(\chi)$ satisfies the 2-cocycle condition

$$\alpha_\chi(g, h)\alpha_\chi(gh, k) = \alpha_\chi(h, k)\alpha_\chi(g, hk).$$

In particular, $(FNE_\chi) * G$ is a twisted group algebra where, by the above, the twisting is indeed $E_\chi.\beta \cdot \alpha = \beta \cdot \text{Tra}_\alpha(\chi)$. \square

In order to obtain a more insightful decomposition we now assume that N is abelian (and denote it A). In this case the extension (7) corresponds to the cohomology class¹² $[\alpha] \in H_\sigma^2(G, A)$ and $\text{Irr}(A, F) \subseteq \text{Hom}(A, \overline{F}^*)$. Moreover the theorem of Perlis-Walker [9, Theorem 3.3.6.] tells us that

$$(12) \quad FA = \bigoplus_{d|A} F(\zeta_d)^{\oplus a_d},$$

where $a_d = k_d \frac{[\mathbb{Q}[\xi_d]:\mathbb{Q}]}{[F[\xi_d]:F]}$, with k_d is the number of cyclic subgroups of A of order d and ζ_d a primitive d -th root of unity. Proposition 3.1 now readily translates into the following:

Theorem 3.2. *With notations as above we have that:*

(1) *If N is abelian, then*

$$F^\beta[\Gamma] \cong \bigoplus_{[\chi] \in \text{Lin}(N, F)/G} \left(\bigoplus_{\chi' \in [\chi]} F(\chi')e_{\chi'} * G \right) = \bigoplus_{[\chi] \in \text{Lin}(N, F)/G} (F(\chi)E_\chi) * G$$

*with the skewing of $(F(\chi)E_\chi) * G$ given by σ and the twisting by $E_\chi.(\beta \cdot \alpha)$.*

(2) *If N is central¹³, then*

$$F^\beta[\Gamma] \cong \bigoplus_{\chi \in \text{Lin}(N, F)} F(\chi)^{\beta.T_\alpha(\chi)}[G].$$

Proof. The first part is a direct application of Proposition 3.1 and remarking that now $\text{Lin}(N, F) = \text{Irr}(N, F)$ and $F(\chi) = F(\chi')$ for two conjugated characters χ and χ' . When N is central then $\text{Irr}(A, F) = \text{Lin}(A, F)^{\Gamma/A}$ and $e_\chi^g = e_\chi$. Therefore the second part follows from the first and the last assertion in Proposition 3.1. \square

¹⁰The notation needs clarification: we implicitly consider β and α both as having image in FN and hence $(\beta \cdot \alpha)(g, h) := \beta(g, h).\alpha(g, h)$. Subsequently, $E_\chi.(\beta \cdot \alpha)$ also multiplies the result with the central idempotent E_χ .

¹¹We denote by $\text{Lin}(N, F)^{\Gamma/N}$ the set of Γ/N -invariant linear characters.

¹²Thus we might have a non-trivial action on the coefficients given by σ .

¹³As mentioned earlier, this case was already obtained in [18, Theorem 5.3.]

3.2. Transgression and natural morphisms as projections. Consider again the general extension (7). The projection in Proposition 3.1 of $F^\beta[\Gamma]$ on $FN E_\chi * G$, denoted p_χ , is given by multiplying with the central idempotent E_χ . If $\chi \in \text{Lin}(N, F^*)^{\Gamma/N}$ then this idempotent E_χ and, in particular, the isomorphism (10) can be made explicit and moreover we recover some classical constructions (see Example 3.4). More generally, let R be a domain and F its field of fractions. For $\chi \in \text{Lin}(N, F^*)^{\Gamma/N}$ we need its R -linear extension

$$\chi_R : RN \rightarrow R[\chi] : \sum_{a \in N} r_a a \mapsto \sum_{a \in N} r_a \chi(a)$$

which is an R -algebra map whose kernel we denote by $I_\chi := \ker(\chi_R)$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let Γ, N, G, F be as in Section 3.1, $[\beta] \in \text{im}(\text{Inf} : H^2(G, R^*) \rightarrow H^2(\Gamma, R^*))$ and $\chi \in \text{Lin}(N, F^*)^{\Gamma/N}$. Then, the projection p_χ viewed over R agrees with the map defined by (for $n \in N$ and $g \in G$)*

$$(13) \quad \Psi_{\chi, \beta} : R^\beta[\Gamma] \rightarrow R[\chi]^{\beta, T(\chi)}[G] : r u_{n, \mu(g)} \mapsto r \chi(n) v_g.$$

which is a ring epimorphism with $\ker(\Psi_{\chi, \beta}) = R^\beta[\Gamma] I_\chi = R^\beta[\Gamma](1 - e_\chi) \cap R^\beta[\Gamma]$. In particular,

$$R^\beta[\Gamma]/R^\beta[\Gamma] I_\chi \cong R^{\beta, T(\chi)}[G].$$

Moreover, if $\text{im}(\chi) \subseteq R^*$, then I_χ is a free R -module with R -basis $\{u_a - \chi(a)u_1 \mid a \in A\}$.

When β is trivial we will simply write Ψ_χ . If R is a field and N central the most of the previous result was known¹⁴ (e.g. if β is trivial in [14, Theorem 3.2.8.] and for $\beta \in \text{im}(\text{Inf}_N)$ in [18, Proposition 5.2.]).

Example 3.4. • If χ is the trivial character, i.e. $\chi(n) = 1$ for all $n \in N$, then χ_R is the augmentation map of RN . In that case, writing $[\beta] = \text{Inf}([\gamma])$,

$$\Psi_{\chi, \beta} : R^\beta[\Gamma] \rightarrow R^\gamma[G] : r u_{n, \mu(g)} \mapsto r v_g$$

is the so-called natural homomorphism with respect to N (i.e. induced from the canonical map from Γ to G , denoted ω_N . If β is trivial it also is called the relative augmentation with respect to N). We will denote this specific case by $\omega_{\beta, N}$.

- If Γ is a central extension, i.e. $N \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(\Gamma)$, then $\text{Lin}(N, R^*)^{\Gamma/N} = \text{Hom}(N, R^*)$ and $\Psi_{\chi, \beta}$ is the ring morphism associated to the transgression map Tra as in [18, Section 5]. Therefore we call the morphism (13) the *generalized transgression morphism*.

Proof of Proposition 3.3. A direct calculation verifies that $\Psi_{\chi, \beta}$ is multiplicative when χ is an invariant character. Concretely, $(u_{n_1} u_{\mu(g_1)}) \cdot (u_{n_2} u_{\mu(g_2)}) = \beta(g_1, g_2) u_{n_1} u_{\sigma_{g_1}(n_2)} u_{\mu(g_1 g_2)}$ which is mapped by $\Psi_{\chi, \beta}$ to $\beta(g_1, g_2) \chi(n_1 \sigma_{g_1}(n_2)) v_{g_1 g_2} = \beta(g_1, g_2) \chi(n_1) \chi(n_2) v_{g_1 g_2}$. The latter is $\Psi_{\chi, \beta}(u_{n_1} u_{\mu(g_1)}) \cdot \Psi_{\chi, \beta}(u_{n_2} u_{\mu(g_2)})$, as needed. Now it is clear that it is an epimorphism.

Next, because $\chi \in \text{Lin}(N, F^*)^{\Gamma/N}$, multiplying with the idempotent E_χ , i.e. the value of the projection, was already explicitly mentioned in (11). By comparing we see that $\Psi_{\chi, \beta}$ indeed agrees with p_χ .

Concerning the kernel, it follows from Proposition 3.1 that $\ker(\Psi_{\chi, \beta}) = R^\beta[\Gamma](1 - e_\chi) \cap R^\beta[\Gamma]$. For the other description, since $\Psi_{\chi, \beta}|_{RN} = \chi_R$, we already have that $R^\beta[\Gamma] I_\chi \subseteq \ker(\Psi_{\chi, \beta})$. Also, an element y of $R^\beta[\Gamma]$ can be uniquely written as $y = \sum_{g \in G} y_g u_{\mu(g)}$, with each $y_g \in RN$. Since the elements v_g are $R[\chi]$ -linearly independent, the concrete form of $\Psi_{\chi, \beta}$ implies that $y \in \ker(\Psi_{\chi, \beta})$ if and only if each $y_g \in \ker(\Psi_{\chi, \beta})$, or equivalently each $y_g \in I_\chi$. Consequently, $\ker(\Psi_{\chi, \beta}) = \sum_{g \in G} I_\chi u_{\mu(g)} \subseteq R^\beta[\Gamma] I_\chi$, as asserted.

Finally, suppose $\text{im}(\chi)$ is contained in R^* and denote the free R -module generated by the set $\{u_a - \chi(a)u_1 \mid a \in N\}$ by M . Clearly M is contained in the kernel I_χ of $\chi_R : RN \rightarrow R$. Conversely, if $x = \sum_{a \in N} r_a u_a \in \ker(\chi_R)$, then $\sum_{a \in N} r_a \chi(a) u_1 = 0$ and hence $x = x - \sum_{a \in N} r_a \chi(a) u_1 \in M$. It follows that $I_\chi = M$. \square

¹⁴If χ is the trivial character and N arbitrary, then the statement has to be compared with [14, Lemma 3.2.12].

In Section 5.1 we will be in the setting of Remark 2.4 where χ is a (linear) character of the abelian subgroup A , but where once we will be working with Γ and once with some Γ/Q for $A \cap Q = 1$. In order to distinguish, we will sometimes write

$$(14) \quad \Psi_{\chi, Q} : R^\beta[\Gamma/Q] \rightarrow R^{\beta.T(\chi)}[G/Q]$$

and hence assume $[\beta]$ is understood from the context.

3.3. The case of an α -representation group of G . Often in this paper we will be concentrating on a fixed 2-cocycle $\alpha \in Z^2(G, F^*)$ of G . In that case it is useful to consider the following group which will be recurrent in this paper:

$$(15) \quad G_\alpha := \{\alpha(a, b)u_c \mid a, b, c \in G\} = \langle u_g \mid g \in G \rangle \leq \mathcal{U}(F^\alpha[G]).$$

If α is of finite order¹⁵, then every element in G_α is of the form $\zeta^i u_g$ with ζ a $o(\alpha)$ -primitive root of unity. Hence it is a central extension of $\langle \zeta \rangle$ by G with $\lambda : G_\alpha \rightarrow G : \zeta^i u_g \mapsto g$. Considering the canonical section $\mu(g) = u_g$ one has that $\alpha(g, h) = \mu(g)\mu(h)\mu(gh)^{-1}$. Thus $\text{Hom}(\langle \zeta \rangle, F^*) = \langle \chi \rangle$ with $\chi(\zeta) = \zeta$ and $\alpha = \text{Tra}(\chi)$. Therefore we may now apply Theorem 3.2 to recover [14, Proposition 3.3.8.].

Corollary 3.5. *Let G be a finite group, F a number field and $\alpha \in Z^2(G, F^*)$ a cocycle of finite order. Then*

$$F[G_\alpha] \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{o(\alpha)-1} F^{\alpha^i}[G].$$

Note that the isomorphism class of G_α depends on the chosen cocycle. It turns out that even for cohomologous cocycles of the same finite order the associated groups might be non isomorphic. The following example was communicated to us by Y. Ginosar.

Example 3.6. Denote

$$G = C_2 \times C_2 = \langle x \rangle \times \langle y \rangle$$

and let $F = \mathbb{Q}(i)$. Next, we wish to define two cocycles $\alpha, \alpha' \in Z^2(G, F^*)$. We will take cocycles which are normalized, that is for any $g \in G$

$$\alpha(g, e_G) = 1 = \alpha(e_G, g), \quad \alpha'(g, e_G) = 1 = \alpha'(e_G, g).$$

Further, define

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(x, x) &= \alpha(x, y) = \alpha(x, xy) = 1 \\ \alpha(y, y) &= 1, \quad \alpha(y, x) = \alpha(y, xy) = -1 \\ \alpha(xy, x) &= 1, \quad \alpha(xy, y) = \alpha(xy, xy) = -1. \end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha'(x, x) &= -1, \quad \alpha'(x, y) = 1, \quad \alpha'(x, xy) = -1 \\ \alpha'(y, y) &= -1, \quad \alpha'(y, x) = -1, \quad \alpha'(y, xy) = 1 \\ \alpha'(xy, x) &= 1, \quad \alpha'(xy, y) = -1, \quad \alpha'(xy, xy) = -1. \end{aligned}$$

Now choose a basis $\{u_g\}_{g \in G}$ for $F^\alpha G$ and a basis $\{v_g\}_{g \in G}$ for $F^{\alpha'} G$. Notice that both cocycles $\alpha, \alpha' \in Z^2(G, F^*)$ are of order 2 and also notice that the cohomology classes which corresponds to these cocycles are

$$[\alpha] : \quad u_x^2 = 1, \quad u_y^2 = 1, \quad [u_x, u_y] = -1$$

and

$$[\alpha'] : \quad v_x^2 = -1, \quad v_y^2 = -1, \quad [v_x, v_y] = -1.$$

It follows that

$$G_\alpha = \langle u_g \mid g \in G \rangle \cong D_8 \quad \text{and} \quad G_{\alpha'} = \langle v_g \mid g \in G \rangle \cong Q_8$$

¹⁵Since G is finite we know that the cohomology class $[\alpha]$ has finite order dividing $|G|$, however there has not to be a representative of finite order. For example the cohomology class of $C_2 = \langle x \rangle$ over \mathbb{Q} defined by $u_x^2 = 2$ has order 2 but any 2-cocycle representative has infinite order. Such a representative however exists when the values are in a $|G|$ -divisible group.

and therefore $G_\alpha^* \not\cong G_{\alpha'}^*$. The crucial point is that these cocycles are cohomologous over¹⁶ $F = \mathbb{Q}(i)$ but not over \mathbb{Q} .

4. WHEN IS THE UNIT GROUP OF A TWISTED GROUP RING FINITE?

Let F be a number field, R an order in F and $\alpha \in Z^2(G, R^*)$ a *fixed* (normalized) 2-cocycle. In this section we determine when the unit group $\mathcal{U}(R^\alpha[G])$ is finite.

Generally speaking, [9, Corollary 5.5.8.], if \mathcal{O} is a \mathbb{Z} -order in a finite dimensional semisimple \mathbb{Q} -algebra A , then $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O})$ is finite if and only if every simple component of A is either \mathbb{Q} , a quadratic imaginary field extension of \mathbb{Q} or a totally definite quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} . As a by-product, if $x \in \mathcal{U}(R^\alpha[G])$ is of finite order, say n , then n must¹⁷ divide 4 or 6. Consequently, the exponent of G_α is a divisor of 4 or 6. Moreover, also $\mathcal{U}(R)$ would need to be finite and hence by the above $F = \mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ with $d > 0$. In the former case $R = \mathbb{Z}$ is the only order in F . We obtain the following characterisation, generalizing a classical result of Higman [9, Theorem 1.5.6] for untwisted group rings.

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be a finite group, F be a number field, R a \mathbb{Z} -order in F and $\alpha \in Z^2(G, R^*)$ non-trivial (normalized) cocycle. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\mathcal{U}(R^\alpha[G])$ is finite,
- (2) $\mathcal{U}(R[G_\alpha])$ is finite,
- (3) $\mathcal{U}(R^{\alpha^i}[G])$ is finite for all i .

One of the above holds if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (i) G_α is an abelian group of exponent 4 and $F = \mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$,
- (ii) G_α is an abelian group of exponent 3 and $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$,
- (iii) G_α is an abelian group of exponent 6 and $F = \mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$,
- (iv) G_α is a non-abelian Hamiltonian¹⁸ 2-group and $F = \mathbb{Q}$.

Interestingly, the list of possibilities above which is for a non-trivial cocycle is more restricted than for a trivial cocycle (it is to emphasize this that we excluded the trivial case in Theorem 4.1). The group G_α is abelian exactly when the cocycle α is symmetric (i.e. $\alpha(g, h) = \alpha(h, g)$ for all $h, g \in G$) and G is abelian. In Corollary 4.3 below we will give a concrete interpretation in terms of G and α for case (iv).

Proof. Clearly in any of the cases (1)-(3) $\langle \text{im}(\alpha) \rangle$ is a finitely generated torsion subgroup of $R^* \subset F^*$ and hence it is a finite cyclic group. In particular $o(\alpha) < \infty$ which allows to apply Corollary 3.5:

$$F[G_\alpha] \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{o(\alpha)-1} F^{\alpha^i}[G].$$

Hence the equivalence between (2) and (3) is a consequence thereof and commensurability of \mathbb{Z} -orders in $F[G_\alpha]$ (see [9, Lemma 4.6.9]). Due to this also any order in $F^{\alpha^i}[G]$ is a direct summand of an order in $F[G_\alpha]$ and hence (2) implies (1). The main bulk of the proof goes into proving that (1) implies (2). More precisely we will show that G_α and F are of the form (i)-(iv). In those cases one can directly see that $\mathcal{U}(R[G_\alpha])$ is finite (e.g. this can be deduced from [9, Theorem 1.5.6.], handling the case $R = \mathbb{Z}$, together with Dirichlet unit theorem [9, Theorem 5.2.4.]).

Suppose $\mathcal{U}(R^\alpha[G])$ is finite and hence, as noticed earlier, $\exp(G_\alpha) \mid 4$ or 6 and $F^\alpha[G]$ is a direct sum of (certain) division F -algebras. In particular, it contains no non-zero nilpotent elements.

¹⁶The coboundary here is a map $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(i)$ determined by $f(x) = i = f(y)$, $f(xy) = -1$ and $f(1) = 1$. Indeed $\alpha'(g_1, g_2) = f(g_1)f(g_2)f(g_1g_2)^{-1}\alpha(g_1, g_2)$ for all $g_1, g_2 \in G$.

¹⁷The F -subalgebra $F[x]$ of $F^\alpha G$ is a commutative semisimple subalgebra and hence a direct sum of cyclotomic extensions of $\mathbb{Q}(\xi_m)$, with ξ_m a root of unity of order m , a divisor of n . Moreover, by the above, $[\mathbb{Q}(\xi_m) : \mathbb{Q}] \leq 2$ and one of the m must be equal to n . Now the Dirichlet unit theorem yields the claim as a \mathbb{Z} -order in $\mathbb{Q}(\xi_n)$ is finite exactly when n divides 4 and 6.

¹⁸A group is called *Hamiltonian* if every subgroup is normal.

Claim 1: If $u_k \in G_\alpha$, with $k \in G$ such that $o(u_k) = o(k)$ then $\langle u_k \rangle$ is normal in G_α . Moreover, this condition holds when $o(u_k)$ is square-free and if $o(u_k) = 2$ then $u_k \in \mathcal{Z}(G_\alpha)$.

For any $t \in G$ the element $(1 - u_k)u_t \widetilde{u}_k$ with $\widetilde{u}_k := \sum_{i=0}^{o(u_k)-1} u_k^i$ is nilpotent and $\widetilde{u}_k \neq 0$ when $o(u_k) = o(k)$. By an earlier remark the nilpotent element is zero and thus $u_t^{-1}u_k u_t \widetilde{u}_k = \widetilde{u}_k$. Consider now $F^\alpha[G]$ with its canonical G -grading. Then $\deg(u_k) = k$ and $\deg(u_t^{-1}u_k u_t) = t^{-1}kt$. Since $o(u_k) = o(k)$ all summands of \widetilde{u}_k have different degrees and thus a degree argument shows that $u_t^{-1}u_k u_t = u_k^j$ for some j . In conclusion $\langle u_k \rangle \triangleleft G_\alpha$, as desired. Now suppose $o(u_k)$ is square-free. It is well-known¹⁹ that if $o(\alpha)$ is finite then $u_k^{o(k)}$ is a root of unity in R^* dividing $o(k)$. Hence if $o(u_k)$ is square-free, it is easily seen that $u_k^{o(k)} = 1$. The last part follows from the rest.

Claim 2: Either (I) G_α is abelian of exponent dividing 4 or 6, (II) $G_\alpha \cong Q_8 \times E$ with E an elementary abelian 2-group.

Note that by Baer-Dedekind's classification theorem [20, Theorem 1.8.5.] these cases are exactly those Hamiltonian groups with exponent dividing 4 or 6. Due to claim 1 it remains to prove that the generators of order 4 are also normal. For this recall that [22, Theorem 11.5.12] the unit group of a maximal order in a quaternion algebra $\left(\frac{-a, -b}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$, with $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, is cyclic except for the maximal order in $\left(\frac{-1, -1}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{-1, -3}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$. In those cases the unit group is $SL(2, 3) = Q_8 \rtimes C_3$, resp. $Dic_3 := C_3 \rtimes C_4$. Now consider $G_\alpha \leq \prod_{e \in \text{PCI}(F^\alpha[G])} G_\alpha e$. Since also $\exp(G_\alpha e) \mid 4, 6$ we see that $G_\alpha e$ is either cyclic or a subgroup of Q_8 or D_6 . Thus one can check that any element of order 4 in the direct product generates a normal therein and in particular the same holds for G_α . This finishes the proof of the second claim.

We will now study both cases in more detail. To start, as already used, we know that $\mathcal{U}(R)$ is finite and hence that $F = \mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ with $d > 0$. It remains to restrict the possible values of d and exclude exponent 2.

Case (I): Suppose G_α is abelian. To start remark $\exp(G_\alpha) = 2$ is not possible. Indeed, otherwise $1 = (u_g u_h)^2 = \alpha(g, h) u_g^2 u_h^2 = \alpha(g, h)$ for all $g, h \in G$, in contradiction with the assumption that α is non-trivial. By computations of the same type, $\exp(G_\alpha) = 3$ and $F = \mathbb{Q}$ is also impossible for non-trivial α . The restriction on F for the other cases will follow from the fact that all simple components have degree at most 2 over \mathbb{Q} . Indeed, suppose $\exp(G_\alpha) = 4$ and write $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$, with d a square free non-negative integer. Then $F^\alpha[G]$ has a simple component $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d}, \sqrt{-1}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}, \sqrt{-1})$ which is of degree at most 2 if and only if $d = 0$, or 1. If the exponent is 3 or 6, then there is a simple component $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d}, \zeta_3)$ which would have degree larger than 2 if $d \neq 0, 3$.

Case (II): Finally consider the case that $G_\alpha \cong Q_8 \times E$ is an Hamiltonian 2-group. Since $F^\alpha[G]$ has a simple component $\left(\frac{-1, -1}{F}\right)$ which needs to be totally definite (in particular F is totally real), we indeed get that $F = \mathbb{Q}$. \square

Remark 4.2. The condition on the coefficient ring R can be generalized further. Namely, let F be a global field and S be a finite set of places of F containing the archimedean ones. Denote by \mathcal{O}_S the ring of S -integers of F , which is well-known to be a Dedekind domain with finite quotients. Therefore, any \mathcal{O}_S -order R is commensurable [9, Lemma 4.6.9] with \mathcal{O}_S . Since $|\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}_S)|$ is finite only for $F = \mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$, with $d > 0$, and $S = \{\infty\}$ (e.g. see [5, Theorem 3.24.]) we see that the conclusion of Theorem 4.1 also holds for such R .

Using Theorem 4.1 one can give an especially precise characterisation when $\mathcal{U}(R^\alpha[G])$ is a finite non-abelian group in terms of G and α . For this recall that any non-abelian Hamiltonian 2-group G is isomorphic to $Q_8 \times C_2^n$ for some n . In other words, it can be written as a stem extension

$$1 \rightarrow C_2 \rightarrow G \rightarrow C_2^{n+2} \rightarrow 1.$$

¹⁹More generally, if g_1 and g_2 commute, then $[u_{g_1}, u_{g_2}] := u_{g_1}^{-1} u_{g_2}^{-1} u_{g_1} u_{g_2} = \alpha(g_1, g_2)$. Since $u_k^{o(k)}$ is central in $R^\alpha[G]$, it follows that $1 = [u_{g_1}^{o(g_1)}, u_{g_2}] = \alpha(g_1, g_2)^{o(g_1)}$. Now considering $u_k^{o(k)} = \alpha(k^{o(k)-1}, k) u_1$ we obtain the claim.

On the other hand, any non-trivial cohomology class $[\alpha] \in H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}^*)$ corresponds to a central extension of G by C_2 . Therefore, from Theorem 4.1 (iv) we deduce

Corollary 4.3. *Let G be a finite group, F be a number field, R an order in F and $[\alpha] \in H^2(G, R^*)$ a non-trivial cohomology class. Then $\mathcal{U}(R^\alpha[G])$ is a finite non-abelian group if and only if the following conditions are satisfied*

- (1) $R = \mathbb{Z}$,
- (2) G is an elementary abelian 2-group of rank at least 2,
- (3) $[\alpha]$ is inflated from a cohomology class $[\gamma] \in H^2(C_2 \times C_2, C_2)$ which is determined by $u_x^2 = u_y^2 = [u_x, u_y] = -1$ where x, y are generators of $C_2 \times C_2$ and -1 is the generator of C_2 .

Notice that the cohomology class $[\gamma] \in H^2(C_2 \times C_2, C_2)$ above corresponds to Q_8 .

To finish this section, we would like to come back on the proof of Theorem 4.1 which unfortunately is quit indirect. Indeed the implication from (1) to (2) goes by classifying all the possibilities for G_α and F and then noticing that in all these cases $\mathcal{U}(R[G_\alpha])$ is finite. A more natural approach would have been to construct for all j an isogeny between $\mathcal{U}(R^\alpha[G])$ and $\mathcal{U}(R^{\alpha^j}[G])$. Such a map can however only come from ring (epi)morphism when $\gcd(j, n) = 1$. The statement answers a question of Margolis-Schnabel²⁰ [18, remark 3.2.] in case $[\alpha]$ has a cocycle representative of finite order.

Proposition 4.4. *Let G be a finite group, F a field of characteristic zero and $\alpha \in Z^2(G, F^*)$. If $F^\alpha[G] \cong F^{\alpha^j}[G]$, then $\gcd(j, o([\alpha])) = 1$. If α has finite order and F is a number field then also the converse holds.*

Proof. First suppose that $F^\alpha[G] \cong F^{\alpha^j}[G]$. Note that $\langle \text{im}(\alpha) \rangle$ is a finitely generated abelian subgroup of F^* . In particular it lies in some countable subfield, say $L \subseteq F$. Moreover, $F^\alpha[G] \cong F \otimes_L L^\alpha[G]$ and so the given isomorphism restricts to an L -algebra isomorphism $L^\alpha[G] \cong L^{\alpha^j}[G]$. Now, since L is countable it can be embedded in \mathbb{C} and we can view α as having values in \mathbb{C}^* . Consequently, by tensoring with $\mathbb{C} \otimes_L -$ we see that $\mathbb{C}^\alpha[G] \cong \mathbb{C}^{\alpha^j}[G]$. Therefore we can apply [18, Theorem 3.1.] saying that $\gcd(j, o([\alpha])) = 1$.

Conversely, let $n = o([\alpha])$. When $\gcd(j, n) = 1$ we have a \mathbb{Q} -algebra isomorphism $\sigma_j : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{o(\alpha)}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{o(\alpha)}^j)$ mapping $\zeta_{o(\alpha)}$ to its j -th power. With this at hand, define $\psi : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{o(\alpha)})^\alpha[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{o(\alpha)})^{\alpha^j}[G]$ by $\psi(\sum a_g u_g) = \sum \sigma_j(a_g) v_g$. Note that for all $g, h \in G$:

$$\psi(u_g u_h) = \psi(\alpha(g, h) u_{gh}) = \alpha(g, h)^j v_{gh} = v_g v_h = \psi(u_g) \psi(u_h).$$

So now it easily is seen that ψ is a ring epimorphism and hence isomorphism. An extension of scalars with the larger field F now finishes the proof. \square

5. CORRELATIONS BETWEEN $R[\Gamma]$ AND $R^\alpha[G]$ - A UNIT GROUP POINT OF VIEW

Throughout this section we fix an extension

$$(16) \quad 1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{\lambda} G \rightarrow 1.$$

as in (7), fix also a section μ of λ and define

$$\alpha(g, h) = \mu(g)\mu(h)\mu(gh)^{-1}.$$

In particular when N is abelian we will always choose this α as the normalized representative of the cohomology class $[\alpha]$ corresponding (16). We will always assume that the underlying field F is such that $\text{char}(F) \nmid |\Gamma|$ and R is some order in F .

We wish to compare $\mathcal{U}(R[\Gamma])$ and $\mathcal{U}(R^\alpha[G])$ with the aim to pullback results from the smaller group G , but in the twisted context, to the larger group Γ . For this we study the kernel and cokernel of the generalized transgression Ψ_χ from Proposition 3.3. Also in this section we will work more generally with $R^\beta[\Gamma]$ for some $[\beta] \in \text{im}(\text{Inf}_N)$.

²⁰As is apparent from the proof, the main tool is however their result over the complex numbers [18, Theorem 3.1.].

Notation: Recall that if $f : R \rightarrow S$ is a ring homomorphism, then we denote the induced map on the unit groups by $\tilde{f} : \mathcal{U}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(S)$.

5.1. On the cokernel of the generalized transgression map. In the sequel finiteness of cokernels of group morphisms will always follow from the following somehow folklore lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *Let²¹ R be a Dedekind domain with field of fractions F such that R/I is finite for all $0 \neq I \triangleleft R$ and A and B be semisimple F -algebras. Furthermore consider an R -order \mathcal{O}_A in A and \mathcal{O}_B in B . If there exists a R -algebra epimorphism $\pi : \mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B$, then $\text{Coker}(\tilde{\pi})$ is finite.*

Proof. By definition \mathcal{O}_A contains an F -basis of A and similarly for \mathcal{O}_B . Therefore we can extend F -linearly π to an F -algebra epimorphism $\hat{\pi} : A \rightarrow B$. Formally $\hat{\pi} = id_F \otimes_R \pi$ and we identify $A = F\mathcal{O}_A$ with $F \otimes_R \mathcal{O}_A$. Due to the semisimplicity of A , there exists a central idempotent e in A such that $Ae \cong B$ via $\hat{\pi}$. In other words, when decomposing $A = Ae \oplus A(1-e)$ we can consider $\hat{\pi}$ as projection on the first component. To obtain the desired statement consider the R -orders $\mathcal{O}_A \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{Ae} \oplus \mathcal{O}_A(1-e)$ in A . Due to the conditions on R there exists a positive integer n such that $n(\mathcal{O}_{Ae} \oplus \mathcal{O}_A(1-e)) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_A$ and see [9, Lemma 4.6.9.],

$$[\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}_{Ae}) \times \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}_A(1-e)) : \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}_A)] \leq [\mathcal{O}_A : n\mathcal{O}_A] < \infty.$$

Consequently also its epimorphic image $\text{Im}(\tilde{\pi}) \cong \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}_A)e$ is of finite index in $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}_{Ae}) \cong \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O}_B)$ (with upper bound the above number), as desired. \square

Note that the proof in fact gives a method to obtain an upper bound on $|\text{Coker}(\tilde{\pi})|$. It would be interesting to have a more explicit one.

Using Proposition 3.3 a first useful incarnation of Lemma 5.1 is with $\tilde{\Psi}_\chi$ for some $\chi \in \text{Lin}(\Gamma, R^*)^{\Gamma/N}$. However, in contrast to the kernel and despite it to be finite, in general a concrete description (or even generators) of the cokernel is out of reach. Instead, we will focus on comparing the cokernel of $\tilde{\Psi}_{\chi,Q}$, defined in (14), for certain types of 'nice' normal subgroups Q of Γ . The restrictions on Q will be as in Remark 2.4 and be such that we have the following²² commutative diagram:

$$(17) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U}(R^\beta[\Gamma]) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Psi}_\chi} & \mathcal{U}(R^{\beta.T(\chi)}[G]) \\ \tilde{\omega}_{Q,\beta} \downarrow & & \tilde{\omega}_{Q,\beta.T(\chi)} \downarrow \\ \mathcal{U}(R^\beta[\Gamma/Q]) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Psi}_{\chi,Q}} & \mathcal{U}(R^{\beta.T(\chi)}(\chi)[G/Q]) \end{array}$$

Note that (co)restricting $\tilde{\Psi}_\chi$ yields a morphism

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{ker} : \mathcal{U}(1 + R^\beta[\Gamma].I_Q) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}(1 + R^{\beta.T(\chi)}[G].I_Q) : 1 + x \mapsto 1 + \Psi_\chi(x)$$

between $\ker(\tilde{\omega}_N)$ and $\ker(\tilde{\omega}_{N,\alpha})$ (cf. Proposition 3.3).

Theorem 5.2. *Let Γ be the extension (16), $[\beta] \in \text{im}(\text{Inf}_N)$, $\chi \in \text{Lin}(N, F^*)^{\Gamma/N}$ and R a Dedekind domain such that R/I is finite for all $0 \neq I \triangleleft R$ and $\text{char}(R) \nmid \Gamma$. Then,*

- (1) $\text{Coker}(\tilde{\Psi}_{\chi,\beta})$ is finite,
- (2) for any normal subgroup Q of Γ such that $Q \cap N = 1$, $\alpha(x, y) = 1$ for all $x, y \in Q$, $\beta \in \text{im}(\text{Inf}_Q)$ and $\tilde{\omega}_Q$ and $\tilde{\omega}_{Q,\beta.T(\chi)}$ are surjective, then

$$|\text{Coker}(\tilde{\Psi}_{ker})| \cdot |\text{Coker}(\tilde{\Psi}_{\chi,Q})| = |\text{Coker}(\tilde{\Psi}_\chi)|.$$

²¹The prototypical example of such a ring is the ring of integers in a number field. The condition is the minimal needed to use classical methods with R -orders, e.g. that two R -orders have commensurable unit groups.

²²In the right column one should be careful with the notation $T(\chi)$. More precisely in the right upper corner $T(\chi) = [\chi \circ \alpha] \in H^2(G, R^*)$. In the right lower corner $T(\chi) = [\chi \circ \gamma] \in H^2(G/Q, R^*)$ with $\alpha = \text{Inf}(\gamma)$ as in Equation (6). In particular $\text{Tra}_\alpha(\chi) = \text{Inf}_N(\text{Tra}_{\gamma,N}(\chi))$ and so the going down arrows exist.

If Q has a complement in Γ (which will be our setting in the later sections), then the maps $\tilde{\omega}_Q$ and $\tilde{\omega}_{Q,\beta,T(\chi)}$ are surjective and the inflation condition on α will also be satisfied. The surjectivity of the augmentation is also the case when R is an Artinian ring [4, Lemma 3.4].

Proof of Theorem 5.2. The first statement follows by combining Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 5.1. The second statement will follow from a diagram chasing like argument starting from diagram (17). For notation simplicity we will write $\tilde{\omega}$ for $\tilde{\omega}_{Q,\beta}$ and $\tilde{\omega}_\chi$ for $\tilde{\omega}_{Q,\beta,T(\chi)}$.

We complete the rows to an exact sequence by adding their kernels and cokernels with the canonical embedding and projection denoted by i_1, π_1 (resp. i_Q, π_Q). Due to the commutativity of (17), $\pi_Q \circ \tilde{\omega}_{Q,\beta}$ induces a map F_{Cok} between the cokernels. Altogether we obtain the following diagram where all squares commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \ker(\tilde{\Psi}_\chi) & \xrightarrow{i_1} & \mathcal{U}(R^\beta[\Gamma]) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Psi}_\chi} & \mathcal{U}(R^{\beta,T(\chi)}[G]) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & \text{Coker}(\tilde{\Psi}_\chi) \\ & & \downarrow \pi \circ \tilde{\omega} & & \downarrow \tilde{\omega}_\chi & & \downarrow F_{Cok} \\ & & \frac{\mathcal{U}(R^\beta[\Gamma/Q])}{\ker(\tilde{\Psi}_{\chi,Q})} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Psi}_{\chi,Q}} & \mathcal{U}(R^{\beta,T(\chi)}[G/Q]) & \xrightarrow{\pi_Q} & \text{Coker}(\tilde{\Psi}_{\chi,Q}) \end{array}$$

where π is the canonical epimorphism from $\mathcal{U}(R^\beta[\Gamma/Q])$ to $\frac{\mathcal{U}(R^\beta[\Gamma/Q])}{\ker(\tilde{\Psi}_{\chi,N})}$. The Snake lemma applied to the diagram above now yields an exact sequence:

$$\ker(\pi \circ \tilde{\omega}) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Psi}_{ker}} \ker(\tilde{\omega}_\chi) \rightarrow \ker(F_{Cok}) \xrightarrow{d} \text{Coker}(\pi \circ \tilde{\omega}) \rightarrow \text{Coker}(\tilde{\omega}_\chi) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Coker}(F_{Cok})$$

with all the morphisms being the straightforward ones and d the connecting morphism.

Now assume that $\tilde{\omega}$ and $\tilde{\omega}_\chi$ are surjective. Then $\text{Coker}(\pi \circ \tilde{\omega})$ is trivial and hence, going through the exact sequence above via isomorphism theorems, $\ker(F_{Cok}) \cong \text{Coker}(\tilde{\Psi}_{ker})$. Also, $\text{Coker}(F_{Cok})$ is trivial thus altogether we obtain the desired statement. \square

5.2. On the kernel of the generalized transgression map. Unlike $\text{Coker}(\tilde{\Psi}_\chi)$, the kernel of $\tilde{\Psi}_\chi$ will usually be infinite as already seen with augmentation maps.

Example 5.3. When $N = \Gamma$ and χ the trivial character, then $\tilde{\Psi}_\chi$ is simply the usual augmentation map from $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$ to $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}) = \{\pm 1\}$. We now see that $[\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]) : \ker(\tilde{\Psi}_\chi)] = 2$ and therefore the kernel is infinite when $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])$ is infinite which, by a theorem of Higman [9, Th. 1.5.6.], exactly happens when Γ is not a Hamiltonian 2-group or an abelian group of exponent dividing 4 or 6.

The advantage of the kernel is that thanks to Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 3.2 one has much information on its elements. Combined with an (intensive use of) Theorem 4.1 we can describe finiteness of $\ker(\tilde{\Psi}_\chi)$, in case of a central extension.

Theorem 5.4. *Suppose that the extension (16) is central (i.e. $N \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(\Gamma)$), $[\beta] \in \text{im}(\text{Inf}_N)$, $\chi \in \text{Hom}(N, R^*)$ and R the ring of integers in a number field²³ F . Then,*

- (1) $\{\text{torsion units in } \ker(\tilde{\Psi}_{\chi,\beta})\} = \{\chi(a)^{-1}a \mid a \in N\}$,
- (2) *If $\ker(\tilde{\Psi}_\chi)$ is finite if and only if $\mathcal{U}(R^\beta[\Gamma])$ is finite or $\chi \neq \omega_N$ and one of the following hold:*
 - $A \cong C_p$ for some prime and $\mathcal{U}(RG)$ is finite
 - G is abelian and $\text{lcm}(\exp(G), \exp(A))$ is a divisor of 4 or 6.

To proof the second part we will need the next theorem which is a generalisation of the classical result of Berman and Higman on torsion units (see [15, Theorem 2.3.] or [21, Theorem III.1] for the untwisted case).

²³This restriction on the coefficient ring is only due to the restriction in Theorem 5.5. With more work, as in [21, Theorem III.1], one could probably take any domain of characteristic 0 such that no prime divisor of $|G|$ is divisible in R^* .

Theorem 5.5. *Let G be a finite group, R the ring of integers in a number field F and $\beta \in H^2(G, R^*)$. If $x = \sum_{g \in G} a_g u_g$ is a torsion unit in $R^\beta[G]$ such that $a_1 \neq 0$, then $x \in \mathcal{U}(R)$.*

Proof. The proof is along the same lines as [15, Theorem 2.3]. Let $u = \sum_{g \in G} r_g u_g$ be a torsion unit in $R^\beta[G]$, say of order m . Assume $r_1 \neq 0$. Let $n = |G|$ and consider the left regular representation $\rho : F^\beta[G] \rightarrow M_n(F)$. Then $\rho(u)$ is of finite order n (note that ρ is injective) and thus it is diagonalisable over the algebraic closure \mathbb{C} of F (recall that F is a number field). Its eigenvalues ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n are roots of unity, each of order a divisor of m . Let ϵ be a primitive m -th root of unity. We get that $\zeta_1 + \dots + \zeta_n = \sum_{g \in G} r_g \text{tr}(\rho(u_g)) = nr_1$. Clearly, nr_1 then belongs to the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\epsilon) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and $|nr_1| = |\zeta_1 + \dots + \zeta_n| \leq n$. Moreover, we get $|nr_1| = n$ if and only if $\zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = \dots = \zeta_n$. If this holds then the diagonalisation of $\rho(u)$ is a multiple of the identity matrix, hence $\rho(u)$ is a central, i.e. $\rho(u) \in F$. Because ρ is injective this yields that $u \in F \cap R^\beta[G] = R$, as desired.

So, it remains to show that $|nr_1| = n$. Assume, the contrary, i.e. suppose $|nr_1| < n$. We claim that, for every $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\epsilon)/\mathbb{Q})$, we have $|\sigma(nr_1)| < n$. Indeed, note that complex conjugation and elements of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\epsilon)/\mathbb{Q})$ commute and thus if $n = |\sigma(nr_1)| = \sigma(nr_1)\overline{\sigma(nr_1)} = \sigma(nr_1)\sigma(\overline{nr_1})$ then also $n = \sigma^{-1}(\sigma(nr_1)\sigma(\overline{nr_1})) = |nr_1| < n$. This proves the claim.

Note that $r_1 \in \mathbb{Q}(\epsilon)$. Since also $r_1 \in R$ and thus r_1 is an algebraic integer, it follows that r_1 is in the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\epsilon)$. The assumption says that $|r_1| < 1$ and from the claim we get that $|\sigma(r_1)| < 1$ for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\epsilon)/\mathbb{Q})$. It follows that the norm $0 \neq |N_{\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\epsilon)/\mathbb{Q})}(r_1)| = |\prod_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\epsilon)/\mathbb{Q})} \sigma(r_1)| = \prod_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\epsilon)/\mathbb{Q})} |\sigma(r_1)| < 1$. However, this yields a contradiction as $N_{\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\epsilon)/\mathbb{Q})}(r_1)$ is an algebraic integer (see for example Proposition 4.1.8 in [9]) and belongs to \mathbb{Z} ; so it can not be strictly between 0 and 1. Thus, Berman's result remains valid for torsion units in $R^\beta[G]$. \square

We now proceed to the proof of the main theorem

Proof of Theorem 5.4. First note that $\{\chi(a)^{-1}a \mid a \in N\}$ is indeed contained in $\ker(\tilde{\Psi}_{\chi, \beta})$. Conversely, take a torsion unit $u \in \ker(\tilde{\Psi}_{\chi, \beta})$. According to Proposition 3.3 $\ker(\tilde{\Psi}_{\chi, \beta}) = \mathcal{U}(1 + R^\beta[\Gamma]I_\chi)$, allowing to rewrite u as $u = 1 + \sum_{g \in G} y_g u_{\mu(g)}$ with $y_g \in I_\chi = \text{span}_R\{u_a - \chi(a)u_1 \mid a \in N\}$. In particular if there would be no $1 \neq a \in N$ in $\text{supp}(u)$, then $u(1) = 1$ and hence by Theorem 5.5 would $u \in \mathcal{U}(R)$ and thus $u = 1$ (as u is in the kernel).

Now take a non-trivial $a \in N \cap \text{supp}(u)$ and consider the element $z := u \cdot u_{a^{-1}} \chi(a)$. Note that due to the centrality of N the element z is again torsion unit in $\ker(\tilde{\Psi}_{\chi, \beta})$. However now $z(1) \neq 0$ and therefore by applying Theorem 5.5 $z = 1$. In other words, $u = \chi(a)^{-1}a$ as needed.

For the second part, we will assume that we are not in the trivial case that $\mathcal{U}(R^\beta[\Gamma])$ is finite. Then using Theorem 3.2 we see that $\ker(\tilde{\Psi}_\chi)$ is finite if and only if $\mathcal{U}(R(\chi)^{\beta, T(\chi)}[G])$ for all $\chi \neq \chi' \in \text{Lin}(N, F)$ but, by assumption, $\mathcal{U}(R(\chi)^{\beta, T(\chi)}[G])$ not. Remark that the latter can't happen if $\chi = \omega_N$ is the augmentation.

For the interpretation of those conditions we use Theorem 4.1. Among others, $\mathcal{U}(R(\chi'))$ must be finite for all $\chi \neq \chi'$. In other words if we decompose $FN \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(F^\beta[\Gamma])$ as in (12), i.e. using the theorem of Perlis-Walker: $FN = \bigoplus_{d \mid |N|} F(\zeta_d)^{\oplus a_d}$, then there has to be at most one ζ_d for which the ring of integers in $F(\zeta_d)$, denoted R_d is infinite. Moreover, for this value we need $a_d = 1$. Note that this property is inherited by subgroups of N . Also remark that if $\mathcal{U}(R_d)$ is infinite, then also $\mathcal{U}(R_{d \cdot m})$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, using Dirichlet unit theorem, we see that either $\exp(N) \mid 4, 6$ or $N \cong C_p$ for some prime p . If $N \cong C_p$ and since $\chi \neq \omega_N$, there is only the component $\mathcal{U}(RG)$ remaining. This yields the first case mentioned in the statement.

Now suppose $\exp(A) \mid 4, 6$ and $\chi \neq \omega_N$. We then already know that $\mathcal{U}(RG)$ is finite. Thus either G is abelian with $\exp(G) \mid 4, 6$ or $G \cong Q_8 \times E$ with E an elementary abelian 2-group. It follows from Corollary 4.3 that the latter case can't occur. Finally, if G is abelian one can check that if $\text{lcm}(\exp(G), \exp(A))$ is not a divisor of 4 or 6. Then one would have to many large cyclotomic fields. \square

6. TWISTING BICYCLIC UNITS AND THEIR CONTRIBUTION

In this section we will introduce a generic construction of units in twisted group rings (of finite groups) which generalizes the bicyclic units. They defer by a factor determined by the twisting, hence the suggestive name of twisted bicyclic units. We will show in Section 6.2 that these units play a role analogous to the one of elementary matrices inside the special linear group, building on a generalisation of Jespers-Leal theorem obtained in Theorem 6.3. Thereafter we return to the context of the previous section and investigate their contribution to $\text{Coker}(\Psi_\chi)$.

6.1. Generalized bicyclic units versus elementary matrices. Before specifying to twisted group rings²⁴, we wish to write down a general version of an important result of Jespers-Leal on bicyclic units which was only informally known to some experts. For this section we work as general as possible : let B be a finite dimensional semisimple \mathbb{Q} -algebra, S a finite set of places of \mathbb{Q} such that $\{\infty\} \subseteq S$. Further let A be a finite dimensional semisimple B -algebra, R an S -order in B .

Example 6.1. Let $S = \{\infty, (p_1), \dots, (p_l)\}$ with p_i a prime number and ζ some root of unity. Then $R = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{p_l}, \zeta]$ is the ring of S -integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$. So in this case $B = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ and $A = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)^\alpha[G]$ for some $[\alpha] \in H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)^*)$. Another frequent example is $A = M_n(D)$ with D a finite dimensional division \mathbb{Q} -algebra.

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$ be a B -basis of A and $\{x_1, \dots, x_q\}$ a \mathbb{Z}_S -basis of R . For every idempotent $f \in A$, there exists a minimal integer $n_f \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $n_f f \in \text{span}_R(\mathcal{A})$. For these consider now the elements

$$b(m_i x_i g, f) = 1 + n_f^2 (1 - f) m_i x_i g f \text{ and } b(f, m_i x_i g) = 1 + n_f^2 f m_i x_i g (1 - f).$$

with $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_S$. As usual, these are units because $(1 - f) m_i x_i g f$ has square zero.

Definition 6.2. Let \mathcal{F} be a set of idempotents in A . Then the elements in

$$\text{GBic}^{\mathcal{F}}(A, R) = \langle b(m_i x_i g, f), b(f, m_i x_i g) \mid f \in \mathcal{F}, 1 \leq i \leq q, g \in \mathcal{A}, m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_S \rangle$$

are called *generalized bicyclic units* corresponding to \mathcal{F} .

Classically only the generators with $m_i = 1$ are called generalized bicyclic units. The values m_i are added in order to still obtain all elements of A in the middle between $(1 - f)$ and f (when taking the group generated by). An important theorem of Jespers-Leal²⁵ [12] says that generalized bicyclic units should be considered as the analogue of elementary matrices.

Theorem 6.3. *Let $e \in \text{PCI}(A)$ such that $Ae \cong M_n(D)$ with $n \geq 2$. Let \mathcal{O} be a S -order in D . If f is an idempotent in A such that $fe \notin \mathcal{Z}(Ae)$, then there exists a $y \in \mathbb{Z}_S$ such that*

$$1 - e + E_n(y\mathcal{O}) \subseteq \text{GBic}^{\{f\}}(A, R)$$

Proof. Multiplying generalized bicyclic units one sees that

$$\{1 + n_f^2 f \alpha (1 - f), 1 + n_f^2 (1 - f) \alpha f \mid \alpha \in A\} \subseteq \text{GBic}^{\{f\}}(A, R).$$

Writing now $f = E_{11} + \dots + E_{ll}$, for $0 < l < n$ and $\{E_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ a set of matrix units (which is possible by [9, Lemma 11.2.4]), it quickly follows that

$$f M_n(\mathcal{O}) E_{i,j} (1 - f) e = M_n(\mathcal{O}) E_{i,j} \text{ and } (1 - f) M_n(\mathcal{O}) E_{i,j} f e = M_n(\mathcal{O}) E_{i,j}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq l$ and $l + 1 \leq j \leq n$. Hence for these i and j we find that

$$1 + x M_n(\mathcal{O}) E_{i,j} \text{ and } 1 + x M_n(\mathcal{O}) E_{j,i}$$

are contained in $\text{GBic}^{\{f\}}(A, R)$ for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}_S$. The other indices can now be reached by taking the appropriate commutators and by doing so one will obtain that $y = x^2$ is the multiple you are looking for. \square

²⁴The reader only interested herein, can jump to the next subsection.

²⁵In their version the case $S = \{\infty\}$, i.e. of \mathbb{Z} -orders was done.

6.2. Twisted bicyclic units - general theory. From now on we consider the setting where $S = \{\infty\}$, i.e. \mathbb{Z} -orders, and $A = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)^\alpha[G]$ where ζ_n is some primitive n -th root and $[\alpha] \in H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]^*) \subseteq H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)^*)$ arbitrary. The importance of this case for the study of $\mathbb{Q}[\Gamma]$ for Γ some central extension is highlighted by Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 3.3.

Idempotents from trivial units. Suppose there is a 2-cocycle $\alpha \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]^*)$ of finite order with $o(\alpha) \mid n$. Then we can partition G into the following sets:

$$(18) \quad \mathcal{G}_j^\alpha = \{g \in G \mid u_g^{o(g)} = \zeta_n^j\}.$$

The observation is now that if $g \in \mathcal{G}_0^\alpha = \{g \in G \mid o(u_g) = o(g)\}$, then $\widehat{u}_g = \frac{1}{o(g)} \widetilde{u}_g$ with

$$\widetilde{u}_g := 1 + u_g + \dots + u_g^{o(u_g)-1}$$

is again a non-trivial idempotent in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)^\alpha[G]$. Consequently, $\widetilde{u}_g(o(g) - \widetilde{u}_g) = 0$. If $g \in \mathcal{G}_j^\alpha$ for a non-zero j , then²⁶ $\widetilde{u}_g = 0$. Instead one could make an idempotent out of $u_g^{n/\gcd(j,n)}$ but in practice this construction will not be useful. Hence:

Question 6.4. Does there a generic way to produce a non-trivial idempotent from $g \in \mathcal{G}_j^\alpha$ for a non-zero j ?

Remark 6.5. It is well known that for a cyclic group $C_n = \langle g \rangle$ the second cohomology group over a commutative ring R is isomorphic to $R^*/(R^*)^n$. Due to this the value of $u_g^{o(g)}$ is not uniquely determined by the cohomology class $[\alpha]$. For example, the class $[\alpha] \in H^2(C_n, \mathbb{C}^*)$ determined by the value $\lambda = u_g^{o(g)}$ is trivial for any $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Therefore the sets \mathcal{G}_j^α are unfortunately dependant of the chosen cocycle. However one could define in an independent way the sets \mathcal{G}_0 and $\mathcal{G}_{\neq 0}$ where the latter would be all $g \in G$ such that $u_g^{o(g)}$ is not in the class of 1 in $R^*/(R^*)^n$. In particular for $R = \mathbb{Z}$ the situation simplifies. Indeed due to the previous remark the value $u_g^{o(g)} \in \{\pm 1\}$ is uniquely determined by the class $[\alpha]$.

For an integral twisted group ring $\mathbb{Z}^\alpha G$, for any element of $g \in G$ of odd order, the restriction of $[\alpha]$ to $\langle g \rangle$ is trivial. Therefore we *may and will always from now on choose* a normalized representative α such that $u_g^{o(g)} = 1$ in $\mathbb{Z}^\alpha G$ for all g of odd order.

Twisting a bicyclic unit : construction. We will use the G -module structure of the twisted group ring $R^\alpha[G]$ described in [6, §3.2] given by conjugation, that is:

$$g(u_x) = u_g u_x u_g^{-1} = \alpha(g, x) \alpha(x, g)^{-1} u_{gxg^{-1}}.$$

For every $x \in G$, the restriction of the conjugation representation from G to the centralizer $C_G(x)$ admits a 1-dimensional invariant subspace spanned by u_x . By the above this ordinary 1-dimensional representation $\chi_x : C_G(x) \rightarrow R^*$ is given by

$$\chi_x(g) = [u_g, u_x] = \alpha(g, x) \alpha(x, g)^{-1}.$$

For $R = \mathbb{Z}$, for any $x \in G$ we can partition $C_G(x)$ into two disjoint sets

$$C_x^+ = \{g \in C_G(x) \mid \chi_x(g) = 1\}, \quad C_x^- = \{g \in C_G(x) \mid \chi_x(g) = -1\}.$$

We remark that if $C_x^- = \emptyset$ then x (and it's conjugacy class) is *called α -regular* and the set of all α -regular conjugacy classes form a basis for the center of $\mathbb{Z}^\alpha G$. An extreme case for that is when α is trivial. In this case $C_x^- = \emptyset$ for any $x \in G$.

Example 6.6. A straightforward calculation yields that in $R^\alpha G$, if $[u_g, u_h] = \zeta \in R^*$ a root of unity of order k , then k is a divisor of the greatest common divisor of the orders of g and h . Hence, in $\mathbb{Z}^\alpha G$ all elements of G of odd order are α -regular.

Using this we now introduce a twisted version of the classical bicyclic units. In order to do so we will use some details from §6.2.

²⁶Going in the sum till the usual upper bound $o(g) - 1$ will not yield an idempotent.

Definition 6.7. The subgroup

$$\mathcal{B}^{tw}(G) = \langle 1 + (o(g) - \widetilde{u}_g)(\alpha + \frac{\beta}{o(g)})\widetilde{u}_g \mid g \in \mathcal{G}_1^\alpha, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G], \text{supp}(\beta) \subseteq C_g^- \rangle$$

of $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G])$ is called the group of *twisted bicyclic units*.

For any square zero element γ , such as $(o(g) - \widetilde{u}_g)(\alpha + \frac{\beta}{o(g)})\widetilde{u}_g$, one has a unipotent unit $1 + \gamma$ with inverse $1 - \gamma$. However at first it might seem surprising that the above elements are units in $\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G]$ and not only in $\mathbb{Q}^\alpha[G]$. This follows from the fact that $u_g^j u_h \widetilde{u}_h = (-1)^j u_h \widetilde{u}_g$ for $t \in C_g^-$ and hence when $o(g)$ is even²⁷

$$\begin{aligned} (19) \quad (o(g) - \widetilde{u}_g)u_h \widetilde{u}_g &= u_h \left(o(g) - \sum_{j=0}^{o(g)-1} (-1)^j u_g^j \right) \widetilde{u}_g \\ &= u_h \left(o(g) - \sum_{j=0}^{o(g)-1} (-1)^j \right) \widetilde{u}_g \\ &= o(g)u_h \widetilde{u}_g. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, taking $\mathcal{F} = \{\widehat{u}_g \mid g \in \mathcal{G}_1^\alpha\}$ then we see that $\text{GBic}^\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Q}^\alpha[G], \mathbb{Z}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}^{tw}(G)$. Due to this, we can now apply Theorem 6.3 (using the same proof²⁸ as in [9, Theorem 11.3.2]) to show that twisted bicyclic units contain sufficiently many elementary matrices:

Corollary 6.8. *Let G be a finite group and $\alpha \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{Z}^*)$ such that G_α has no fixed-point free images²⁹. Then for any $e \in \text{PCI}(\mathbb{Q}^\alpha[G])$ such that $\mathbb{Q}^\alpha[G]e \cong M_n(D)$ with $n \geq 2$ we have that*

$$1 - e + E_n(y\mathcal{O}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}^{tw}(G)$$

with \mathcal{O} an order in D and some $y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark. If α is a trivial cocycle, then $\mathcal{G}_1^\alpha = \emptyset = C_g^-$ for any $g \in G$ and $\mathcal{G}_1^\alpha = G$. In particular, in that case $\mathbb{Q}^\alpha[G] = \mathbb{Q}[G]$ and $\text{GBic}^\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Q}[G], \mathbb{Z}) = \mathcal{B}^{tw}(G) = \mathcal{B}(G)$, i.e. we recover exactly the classical bicyclic units.

6.3. On twisted bicyclic units in cokernel of the transgression map. We now return to the setting of Section 3.2. More precisely we consider the central extension (3) corresponding to $[\alpha] \in H^2(G, A)$. We also fix a normalized representant $\alpha \in Z^2(G, A)$ of the form $\alpha(g, h) = \mu(g)\mu(h)\mu(gh)^{-1}$ for a section μ of $\lambda : \Gamma \rightarrow G$.

The twisted bicyclic units from the previous section now allow to construct elements in $\text{Coker}(\widetilde{\Psi}_\chi)$.

Proposition 6.9. *Let $\chi \in \text{Hom}(A, \mathbb{Z}^*)$ and Q a central subgroup of Γ such that $A \cap Q = 1$. If $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is finite then, with notations as above, we have that*

$$\mathcal{B}_{min} := \{1 + (o(g) - \widetilde{u}_g)z \frac{u_h}{o(g)} \widetilde{u}_g \in \mathbb{Z}^{\text{Tra}_\alpha(\chi)}[G] \mid g \in \mathcal{G}_1^{\text{Tra}_\alpha(\chi)}, h \in C_g^-, z \in \{1 - q : q \in Q \cup 0\}\}$$

is not in the image of $\widetilde{\Psi}_\chi \Big|_{\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma])}$.

Note that the existence of such an element h indirectly assumes that g is $\text{Tra}_\alpha(\chi)$ -irregular. For this $\text{Tra}_\alpha(\chi)$ must be a non-trivial cocycle and hence $\chi \neq \omega_A$.

Proof. To start, using (19), we rewrite the element as $1 + (o(g) - \widetilde{u}_g)z \frac{u_h}{o(g)} \widetilde{u}_g = 1 + zu_h \widetilde{u}_g$. Thus an element in $\Psi_\chi^{-1}(1 + (o(g) - \widetilde{u}_g)z \frac{u_h}{o(g)} \widetilde{u}_g)$ is of the form $\tau := 1 + z\mu(h)\mu(g) + x$ for some

²⁷If $o(g)$ is odd, the last sum equals $o(g) - 1$ and hence in general the element will not be an integral combination. However, as remarked in Section 6.2, elements of odd order are α -regular (i.e. $C_g^- = \emptyset$) and thus one can only take $\beta = 0$. In particular we don't need to specify the order of the elements from which we build the twisted bicyclic units in order to be a subset of $\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G]$.

²⁸The proof consists in showing that $\widehat{u}_g e$ is non-central under the assumptions written.

²⁹A finite group is called fixed point free if it has an irreducible complex representation ρ such that 1 is not an eigenvalue of $\rho(g)$ for all $1 \neq g \in G$. Such groups are exactly the Frobenius complements [9, Proposition 11.4.6.] and hence those that are subgroups of D^* for some finite dimensional division algebra D .

$x \in \ker(\Psi_\chi)$. We need to prove that $\tau \notin \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma)$ for any x . Assume otherwise and start by decomposing x according to Proposition 3.3:

$$x = \sum_{a \in \ker(\chi)} x_a(a-1) + \sum_{a \in A \setminus \ker(\chi)} x_a(a+1)$$

with $x_a = z_a + \sum_{1 \neq w \in \Gamma} z_w \mu(w) \in \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$.

Therefore,

$$\omega_A(\tau) = 1 + zh\tilde{g} + 2 \sum_{a \in A \setminus \ker(\chi)} x_a.$$

However, by assumption $\omega_A(\tau)$ is a torsion unit and hence by Berman-Higman either $\omega_A(\tau) = \pm 1$ or the coefficient of e_G in the above is zero. Reduction modulo 2 shows that the former is not the case. For the latter, note that the coefficient of e_G is $1 + 2 \sum_{a \in A \setminus \ker(\chi)} z_a$ which is non-zero. This yields the desired contradiction and finishes the proof. \square

We expect that the 'smallest' twisted bicyclic units as in Proposition 6.9 contribute to $\text{Coker}(\Psi_\chi)$ in full generality. Recall that, Example 3.4, when $[\beta] = \text{Inf}_Q([\gamma])$ with $[\gamma] \in H^2(G/Q, R^*)$ and χ was the trivial character then the generalized transgression map was denoted $\omega_{\beta, Q} : R^\beta[G] \rightarrow R^\gamma[G/Q]$.

Question 6.10. With notations as above and as in Section 5.1, do the following hold? :

- (1) The image of the subgroup

$$(20) \quad \langle 1 + (o(l) - \tilde{u}_l)(x + \frac{y}{o(l)})\tilde{u}_l \mid l \in \mathcal{G}_1, 0 \neq y, x \in \mathbb{Z}^\alpha G, \text{supp}(y) \subseteq C_1^- \rangle$$

of $\mathcal{B}^{tw}(G)$ is a non-trivial subgroup of $\text{Coker}(\widetilde{\Psi}_\chi)$ of exponent $\text{lcm}\{o(l) \mid l \in \mathcal{G}_1\}$.

- (2) The image of the subgroup

$$\langle 1 + (o(l) - \tilde{u}_l)(z \cdot \frac{y}{o(l)})\tilde{u}_l \mid l \in \mathcal{G}_1, 0 \neq y \in \mathbb{Z}^\alpha G, z \in \ker(\omega_{\beta, Q}), \text{supp}(y) \subseteq C_1^- \rangle$$

of $\mathcal{B}^{tw}(G)$, where $\beta = \text{Tra}_\alpha(\chi)$, is a non-trivial subgroup of $\ker(F_{C_{ok}})$

Most likely, in general other type of elements can be contained in $\text{Coker}(\widetilde{\Psi}_\chi)$. However in Section 8 we will see that for Γ an extension of C_2 with an elementary abelian 2-group $G = C_2^n$ that these elements will generate the full cokernel. Moreover in that case $\ker(F_{C_{ok}}) \cong C_2^{m-2}$. It would be interesting to know whether this is a general phenomena.

7. METHOD TO DESCRIBE $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^n])$

Let G be a finite group and

$$C_2^n = \langle x_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle x_n \rangle$$

an elementary abelian 2-group. In this section we will consider a, potentially trivial, 2-cohomology class $[\alpha]$ in the image of the inflation map

$$(21) \quad \text{Inf} : H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}^*) \rightarrow H^2(G \times C_2^n, \mathbb{Z}^*).$$

In particular, by Corollary 2.5, the subgroup C_2^n is central in $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^n])$. For such cocycles we will now present a method to describe $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^n])$ whenever $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G])$ is known.

Convention: C_2^i denotes the concrete subgroup $\langle x_1, \dots, x_i \rangle$. In particular when we write $\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^i]$ we truly mean the subring of $\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^n]$ generated by $G \times C_2^i$.

To start, consider for every $1 \leq i \leq n$ the projection

$$\psi_i : \mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^i] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^{i-1}]$$

induced by mapping x_i to 1, which is a ring morphism³⁰. Note that, due to the centrality of x_i that $\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^i] = (\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^{i-1}])[\langle x_i \rangle]$ and therefore ψ_i is globally defined by $\psi(u+vx) = u+v$

³⁰This is a ring-morphism due to the centrality of x_i . In particular for arbitrary 2-cocycles this method does not work.

for $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^{i-1}]$. By definition ψ_i induces an epimorphism

$$\tilde{\psi}_i : \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^i]) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^{i-1}]).$$

Accordingly, we obtain the following splitting.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $K_i = \ker(\tilde{\psi}_i)$. Then, $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^i]) = K_i \rtimes \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^{i-1}])$. Consequently,*

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^n]) \cong K_n \rtimes \left(K_{n-1} \rtimes (\cdots K_2 \rtimes (K_1 \rtimes \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha G))) \right).$$

Proof. Since $\tilde{\psi}_i$ is surjective we obtain an extension

$$1 \rightarrow K_i \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^i]) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\psi}_i} \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^{i-1}]) \rightarrow 1.$$

Clearly, this extension is split by the identity map. The second part now follows by iteration. \square

In view of Lemma 7.1 we will now concentrate on describing all the kernels K_i . To this end we define the groups

$$U_i = \{1 + 2^i u \mid u \in \mathbb{Z}^\alpha G\} \cap \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha G).$$

Our goal is to show that K_i can be built from isomorphic copies of the groups U_1, \dots, U_i and the unit group $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha G)$. Note that, by Theorem 5.5, U_i is torsion-free when $i \geq 2$ and the only torsion in U_1 is $\{\pm 1\}$.

As a tool we need to consider more generally the groups

$$U_{k,j} = \{1 + 2^k u \mid u \in \mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^j]\} \cap \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^j]).$$

Note that $U_{k,0} = U_k$ and $U_{k_1,j_1} \leq U_{k_2,j_2}$ for $k_2 \leq k_1$ and $j_1 \leq j_2$. Next to these, the projections

$$\varphi_i : \mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^i] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^{i-1}] : x_i \mapsto -1$$

will also be instrumental. The induced epimorphism on the unit groups is denoted by $\tilde{\varphi}_i$.

Lemma 7.2. *For all $1 \leq k, j$, with notations as above, we have that*

- $K_j \cong \tilde{\varphi}_j(K_j) = U_{1,j-1}$,
- $U_{k,j} \cong U_{k+1,j-1} \rtimes U_{k,j-1}$.

Proof. By definition,

$$K_j = \{1 + u(1 - x_j) \mid u \in \mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^{j-1}]\} \cap \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^j]).$$

Using the centrality of x_j , hence $\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^j] = \left(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^{j-1}] \right) \langle x_j \rangle$, one immediately obtains that $\tilde{\varphi}_j$ is injective on K_j . Consequently, $K_j \cong \tilde{\varphi}_j(K_j)$ and more explicitly

$$\tilde{\varphi}_j(K_j) = \{1 + 2u \mid u \in \mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^{j-1}]\} \cap \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^{j-1}]) = U_{1,j-1}.$$

Note that $\tilde{\varphi}_j(K_j)$ contains all the units above because by $\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^{j-1}]$ is truly meant the subring of $\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^j]$.

For the second statement, remark that $\tilde{\psi}_j$ is the identity map on $U_{k,i}$ for all $0 \leq i < j$ and all k . Therefore, $U_{k,j} = \ker(\tilde{\psi}_j \Big|_{U_{k,j}}) \rtimes \tilde{\psi}_j(U_{k,j})$ with $\tilde{\psi}_j(U_{k,j}) = U_{k,j-1}$. Next, since $\ker(\tilde{\psi}_j \Big|_{U_{k,j}}) = \{1 + 2^k u(1 - x_j) \mid u \in \mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^{j-1}]\} \cap U_{k,j}$ the map $\tilde{\varphi}_j$ is injective on it and so $\tilde{\varphi}_j \left(\ker(\tilde{\psi}_j \Big|_{U_{k,j}}) \right) = U_{k+1,j-1}$, finishing the proof. \square

An iterative process of the previous lemma decreases the second index of $U_{k,j}$, with the cost of producing extra complements. Hence it now readily follows that we can reduce to the groups U_i .

Theorem 7.3. *Let G be a finite group, $[\alpha] \in \text{Im}(\text{Inf})$ as in (21). Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^n]) &= K_n \rtimes \left(K_{n-1} \rtimes (\cdots K_2 \rtimes (K_1 \rtimes \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha G))) \right) \\ &= \left(((K_n \rtimes K_{n-1}) \rtimes \cdots) \rtimes K_1 \right) \rtimes \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha G) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$K_i \cong \left(((U_i \rtimes U_{i-1}) \rtimes (U_{i-1} \rtimes U_{i-2})) \rtimes \cdots \right) \rtimes K_{i-1}$$

for all $2 \leq i$ and $K_1 \cong U_1$. Moreover,

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^n]) \cong \left(((N_n \rtimes N_{n-1}) \rtimes \cdots) \rtimes N_1 \right) \rtimes (\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G]) \times \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle)$$

with N_i a torsion-free normal subgroup of K_i .

Proof. The first part was proven in Lemma 7.1 (that we can rearrange the brackets is easy to see). The description of K_i follows from an iterative use of Lemma 7.2.

For the last part, due to the centrality of the subgroup C_2^n and the obtained form of K_i , it is sufficient to prove that for every i the subgroup K_i is isomorphic to $N_i \times \langle x_i \rangle$ for some (torsion-free) subgroup N_i normal in K_{i-1} . To this end, remark that K_i contains a copy of K_1 , namely $K_{(i),1} = \{1 + (1 - x_i)u \mid u \in \mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G]\} \cap \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times \langle x_i \rangle])$. Further recall that $\tilde{\varphi}_i$ is injective on K_i and due to Corollary 2.5 $\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^i] \cong \mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[C_2^i]$. Consider now the map $\text{Id} \otimes \omega_i$ where ω_i denotes the augmentation map of $\mathbb{Z}[C_2^i]$. Then we see that $K_{(i),1}$ is mapped onto U_1 with $\langle x_i \rangle$ mapped on $\{\pm 1\}$.

Now suppose that $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G]) \cong N \rtimes \pm G$. Then, $U_1 = (U_1 \cap N) \times \{\pm 1\}$ and $U_i = U_i \cap N$ for $i \geq 2$. Consequently, $K_{(i),1} = \tilde{\varphi}_i^{-1}(U_1 \cap N) \times \langle x_i \rangle$ which in light of the centrality of x_i and the above also yields the existence of a subgroup N_i as we were looking for. Furthermore if N is torsion-free, then also $U_1 = U_1 \cap N$ and thus the group N_i obtained as above would also be torsion-free (since U_i is torsion-free for $i \geq 2$).

Note that we in fact proved that

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^n]) \cong \left(((N_n \rtimes N_{n-1}) \rtimes \cdots) \rtimes N_1 \right) \rtimes (\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G]) \times \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle)$$

with N_i torsion-free. □

Notice that we have only defined recursively a decomposition of K_i . More precisely, $K_i \cong \mathcal{U}_i \rtimes K_{i-1}$ with $\mathcal{U}_2 := U_2$ and $\mathcal{U}_i := \mathcal{U}_{i-1}[1] \rtimes \mathcal{U}_{i-1}$ where $\mathcal{U}_{i-1}[1]$ looks like \mathcal{U}_{i-1} but with all indices increased by one. For example, $K_2 \cong U_2 \rtimes K_1$, $K_3 \cong (U_3 \rtimes U_2) \rtimes K_2$, $K_4 \cong ((U_4 \rtimes U_3) \rtimes (U_3 \rtimes U_2)) \rtimes K_3$ and

$$K_5 \cong \left(((U_5 \rtimes U_4) \rtimes (U_4 \rtimes U_3)) \rtimes ((U_4 \rtimes U_3) \rtimes (U_3 \rtimes U_2)) \right) \rtimes K_4.$$

The decomposition obtained allows to transfer properties of $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha G)$ to $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^n])$. The preservation of (ZC1) was obtained previously by Höfert-Kimmerle [8] in the untwisted case. Other interesting results in that line can be found in [3, 7].

Corollary 7.4. *Let G be a finite group, $[\alpha] \in \text{Im}(\text{Inf})$ as in (21). If $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha G)$ satisfy one of the following properties:*

- (1) $\pm G$ has a (torsion-free) complement in $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G])$
- (2) it is commensurable with a direct product of free-by-free groups
- (3) has a non-trivial amalgam decomposition
- (4) G satisfies³¹ (ZC1), (ZC2) or (ZC3)

then the same holds for $G \times C_2^n$ and $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^n])$.

³¹The classical Zassenhaus conjectures are stated for group rings, however also literally makes sense for twisted group rings. For example (ZC3) would be that all finite $H \leq V(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G])$ are conjugated over $\mathbb{Q}^\alpha[G]$ to a subgroup of G .

Proof. The first part directly follows from the last decomposition in Theorem 7.3, since $((N_n \rtimes N_{n-1}) \rtimes \dots) \rtimes N_1$ is torsion-free. This decomposition also implies that every torsion subgroup of $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^n])$ is conjugated to a torsion subgroup in $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G]) \times \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$. The fourth statement hence follows.

The second statement is a direct consequence of [13, Theorem 2.1., Lemma 3.1., Proposition 3.3.] (or [10]) which altogether³² asserts that: if A is a finite dimensional semisimple \mathbb{Q} -algebra, \mathcal{O} an order in A then $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{O})$ is commensurable to a direct product of free-by-free groups if and only the simple quotients of A are either a field, totally definite quaternion algebra or $M_2(D)$ with D in a list of certain quadratic imaginary extensions of \mathbb{Q} or certain quaternion algebras over totally real number fields. In particular the property "commensurable with a direct product of free-by-free groups" is fully determined by the form of the simple components. However if $[\alpha]$ is in the image of (21), then

$$\mathbb{Q}^\alpha[G \times C_2^n] \cong \mathbb{Q}^\alpha[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}[C_2^n] \cong \underbrace{\mathbb{Q}^\alpha[G] \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Q}^\alpha[G]}_{n\text{-times}}.$$

Thus the set of isomorphism types of simple quotients of $\mathbb{Q}^\alpha[G \times C_2^n]$ is the same as for $\mathbb{Q}^\alpha[G]$.

Concerning the third statement, note that due to Theorem 7.3 $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G])$ is an epimorphic image of $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G \times C_2^n])$ and hence its amalgam decomposition can be lifted along the epimorphism. \square

Remark 7.5. The proof of (2) in Corollary 7.4 in fact shows that any group theoretical property \mathcal{P} that can be read off the Wedderburn-Artin components (i.e. the simple quotients) is inherited. Other examples of such properties are: Kazhdan's property (T), property FAb and HFA (see [2, 1]). In general, good candidates for such properties are the ones that are constant on commensurability classes.

Following Corollary 7.4 the property to have a (torsion-free) normal complement is preserved, however a concrete description thereof seems difficult. For example, in [17] it was proven that no normal complement of the trivial units in $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}[D_8 \times C_2 \times C_2])$ is generated by bicyclic units, although a normal complement in $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}[D_8 \times C_2])$ is $\text{Bic}(D_8 \times C_2)$.

8. FULL DESCRIPTION FOR ELEMENTARY ABELIAN 2-GROUPS

Let G be an elementary abelian 2-group of rank $n + 2$,

$$(22) \quad G = C_2 \times C_2 \times \dots \times C_2 = \langle g \rangle \times \langle h \rangle \times \langle x_1 \rangle \times \dots \times \langle x_n \rangle,$$

and consider the cohomology class $[\alpha] \in H^2(G, C_2)$ determined by the values

$$(23) \quad [u_g, u_h] = -1, \quad u_g^2 = u_h^2 = u_{x_i}^2 = [u_g, u_{x_i}] = [u_h, u_{x_i}] = [u_{x_i}, u_{x_j}] = 1,$$

for any $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$. By abuse of notation we think of this $[\alpha]$ as the cohomology class determining the group $\Gamma \cong D_8 \times C_2^n$ and also as the cohomology class which determines the twisted group ring $\mathbb{Z}^\alpha G$. Recall our standing convention of choosing a normalized 2-cocycle representant of $[\alpha]$.

To start we handle the case that $n = 0$, i.e. we describe $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[\langle g, h \rangle])$.

8.1. The starting case $C_2 \times C_2$. Denote by \tilde{D} the subalgebra of $M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ consisting of the elements

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mid a \equiv_2 d, b \equiv_2 c \right\}.$$

It can be easily verified that

$$(24) \quad \tilde{D} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} m+n & k+r \\ k-r & m-n \end{pmatrix} \mid m, n, k, r \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Consider now the map $\phi : \mathbb{Z}^\alpha[C_2 \times C_2] \rightarrow \tilde{D}$ defined by

$$u_1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u_g \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u_h \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u_{gh} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

³²The fact that a free-by-free group has cohomological dimension at most 2 is also needed.

which can easily be seen to be a ring morphism.

Proposition 8.1. *With notations as above, $\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[C_2 \times C_2] \cong \tilde{D}$ as rings.*

Proof. The map φ is surjective as can be seen via

$$A = \phi(mu_1 + nu_x + ku_y + ru_{xy}),$$

where $A = \begin{pmatrix} m+n & k+r \\ k-r & m-n \end{pmatrix}$ is an arbitrary element of \tilde{D} (see (24)). Injectivity follows from a linear Independence argument. \square

Next we will obtain a presentation of the unit group $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[C_2 \times C_2])$. For this we need the following set of twisted bicyclic units (cf. Definition 6.7 and (19)):

$$(25) \quad \mathcal{B} = \{u_1 + (\pm u_{lt} \pm u_l) \mid u_l u_t = -u_t u_l, u_t^2 = 1\}.$$

Proposition 8.2. *Let α be the 2-cocycle determined by the values $(1, 1, -1)$ on $(u_g^2, u_h^2, [u_g, u_h])$. Then*

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[C_2 \times C_2]) = F_2 \rtimes D_8$$

where $F_2 = \langle v, w \rangle$ is a free group of rank 2 with $v = u_1 + u_h - u_{gh}$ and $w = u_1 + u_h + u_{gh}$.

Proof. As explained earlier, the elements v and w are indeed units. Moreover their image under ϕ are equal to

$$\phi(v) = \phi(1 + u_h - u_{gh}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\phi(w) = \phi(1 + u_h + u_{gh}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the images $\{\phi(v), \phi(w)\}$ generate a well-known free group³³ of rank 2 which is of index 24 in $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ (see e.g. [16, Example 2]). Therefore, $\{v, w\}$ generates a free group of rank 2 in $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[C_2 \times C_2])$, say of index r . Denote this subgroup by H and denote by $T(\tilde{D}) = \pm\{u_1, u_g, u_h, u_{gh}\}$ the trivial units of \tilde{D} . It is easy to verify that $T(\tilde{D}) \cong D_8$ the dihedral group of 8 elements and moreover for any $x \neq y \in \phi^{-1}(T(\tilde{D}))$, the cosets $xH \neq yH$. Consequently, $[\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[C_2 \times C_2]) : H] \geq 8$. As can be seen with the matrices $\phi(u_h)$ and $1 + E_{12}$, the subgroup $\phi(\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[C_2 \times C_2])) = \mathcal{U}(\tilde{D})$ is not normal in $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and therefore it's index is at least 3. Since

$$24 = [GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : H] = [GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \mathcal{U}(\tilde{D})] \cdot [\phi(\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[C_2 \times C_2])) : H] \geq 3 \cdot 8,$$

we conclude that $[\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[C_2 \times C_2]) : H] = 8$. A simple calculation shows that

$$u_h v u_h^{-1} = w, \quad u_g v u_g^{-1} = v^{-1}, \quad u_{gh} v u_{gh}^{-1} = w^{-1}.$$

In other words, H is normal in $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[C_2 \times C_2])$, and therefore

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[C_2 \times C_2]) = H \rtimes \phi^{-1}(T(\tilde{D})) \cong F_2 \rtimes D_8.$$

\square

8.2. The general case. Now we consider the general case of $G = \langle g, h \rangle \times C_2^n$. More precisely, we will follow the method outlined in Section 7 and therefore describe the isomorphism type of the groups U_1, \dots, U_n . We use freely the objects and notations introduced there.

Proposition 8.3. *The groups U_i satisfy the following:*

- $[U_i : U_{i+1}] = 8$
- $U_1 \cong F_3 \times C_2$
- If $i \geq 2$, then U_i is a free group of rank $n_i = 1 + 2 \cdot 8^{i-1}$.

³³Sometimes this group is called the Sanov subgroup.

Proof. By definition $U_i = \{1 + 2^i u \mid u \in \mathbb{Z}^\alpha[\langle g, h \rangle]\} \cap \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[\langle g, h \rangle])$. Consequently, by Proposition 8.1,

$$U_i \cong \phi(U_i) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 + 2^i a_{11} & 2^i a_{12} \\ 2^i a_{21} & 1 + 2^i a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \mid a_{11} \equiv_2 a_{22}, a_{12} \equiv_2 a_{21} \right\} \cap \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Consider now the congruence subgroup of level 2^i in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$:

$$\Gamma(2^i) = \ker(\pi_{2^i}) : \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2^i\mathbb{Z}).$$

More concretely, $\Gamma(2^i) = (1 + 2^i M_2(\mathbb{Z})) \cap \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Note that $\Gamma(2^{i+1}) \leq \phi(U_i) \leq \Gamma(2^i)$. The first statement is a consequence of the following:

$$\textit{Claim: } [\Gamma(2^i) : \phi(U_i)] = 2 \text{ for all } i \geq 1 \text{ and } [\phi(U_i) : \Gamma(2^{i+1})] = \begin{cases} 4 & \text{if } i \geq 2 \\ 8 & \text{if } i = 1 \end{cases}$$

To start, recall that (see [19, p 146])

$$(26) \quad [\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \ker(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}))] = \begin{cases} \frac{n^3}{2} \prod_p (1 - \frac{1}{p^2}) & \text{if } n > 2 \\ 6 & \text{if } n = 2 \end{cases}$$

Hence we need to reinterpret this formula to $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and the congruence subgroups $\Gamma(2^i)$. For this remark that $[\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})] = 2$ with coset representatives 1 and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. The same statement hold for the subgroup $\ker(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2))$ of $\Gamma(2)$. However for higher powers of 2 that matrix is the only difference, hence yielding an index of 2. Also note that, if $i \geq 2$, the only difference between the kernels when mapping to $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2^i\mathbb{Z})$ or $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2^i\mathbb{Z})$ is the central matrix -1 . Altogether (26) now yields that

$$[\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma(2^i)] = \begin{cases} 4 \cdot 2^{3i} (1 - \frac{1}{4}) = 3 \cdot 2^{3i} & \text{if } i \geq 2 \\ 6 & \text{if } i = 1 \end{cases}$$

Consequently, $[\Gamma(2^i) : \Gamma(2^{i+1})] = \frac{[\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma(2^{i+1})]}{[\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma(2^i)]} = 8$ if $i \geq 2$ and $[\Gamma(2) : \Gamma(4)] = 16$. Further note that $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2^i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(2^i) \setminus \phi(U_i)$ for all i . Therefore the claim would follow if we prove that

$A \cdot B \in \phi(U_i)$ for any pair of matrices $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(2^i) \setminus \phi(U_i)$.

A direct computation shows that $AB \in \phi(U_i)$ if and only if $a_{11} + a_{22} \equiv_2 b_{11} + b_{22}$ and $a_{12} + a_{21} \equiv_2 b_{12} + b_{21}$. However it is also easy to prove that A having determinant 1 already implies that $a_{11} \equiv_2 a_{22}$ (analogously for B). Hence if $A \in \Gamma(2^i) \setminus \phi(U_i)$ then $a_{12} + a_{21}$ is odd and the same for $b_{12} + b_{21}$. Altogether the conditions for $AB \in \phi(U_i)$ is always satisfied which entails that $[\Gamma(2^i) : \phi(U_i)] = 2$. The claim³⁴ now follows from

$$[U_i : U_{i+1}] = [U_i : \Gamma(2^{i+1})] \cdot [\Gamma(2^{i+1}) : U_{i+1}] = [\Gamma(2^i) : \Gamma(2^{i+1})] = \begin{cases} 8 & \text{if } i \geq 2 \\ 16 & \text{if } i = 1 \end{cases}$$

For the second statement, notice that by definition U_1 is a subgroup of $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[\langle g, h \rangle])$, however, elements of U_1 are of the form $1 + 2u$ for $u \in \mathbb{Z}^\alpha[\langle g, h \rangle]$ and hence the only trivial units of $\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[\langle g, h \rangle]$ contained in U_1 are ± 1 . From here, with the notations of the proof of Proposition 8.2 it easily follows that U_1 is a subgroup of $\langle v, w \rangle \times \langle -1 \rangle \cong F_2 \times C_2$. Moreover, U_1 is a proper subgroup of $\langle v, w \rangle \times \langle -1 \rangle$ since $v = 1 + u_h - u_{gh}$ (and also $w = 1 + u_h + u_{gh}$) cannot be written as $1 + 2u$. We will prove that the index of U_1 in $\langle v, w \rangle \times \langle -1 \rangle$ is 2. A simple calculation yields

$$v^2 = 1 + 2u_h - 2u_{gh} = 1 + 2(u_h - u_{gh}), \quad w^2 = 1 + 2u_h + 2u_{gh} = 1 + 2(u_h + u_{gh}).$$

Additionally, $v^{-1} = 1 - u_h + u_{gh}$ and hence

$$wv^{-1} = -1 - 2u_g + 2u_{gh} = 1 + 2(-1 - u_g + u_{gh}).$$

³⁴A concrete matrix in $\phi(U_i)$ but not in $\Gamma(2^{i+1})$ is $\begin{pmatrix} 1 + 2^i & 2^i \\ -2^i & 1 - 2^i \end{pmatrix}$.

We conclude that $w^2, v^2, wv^{-1} \in U_1$. These elements generate a subgroup of index 2 in $\langle v, w \rangle$, and therefore these elements are generators of a free group of rank 3. Consequently, U_1 admits a subgroup $\langle v^2, w^2, wv^{-1} \rangle \times \langle -1 \rangle \cong F_3 \times C_2$ which is of index 2 in $\langle v, w \rangle \times \langle -1 \rangle$ and therefore

$$U_1 = \langle v^2, w^2, wv^{-1} \rangle \times \langle -1 \rangle \cong F_3 \times C_2.$$

Finally we prove the third statement. By the first part U_2 is a subgroup of index 8 in U_1 . Also it follows from [9, page 17] that U_2 is a torsion-free subgroup of $\langle v, w \rangle$. In other words U_2 is subgroup of index 8 in $U_1 \cap \langle v, w \rangle = \langle v^2, w^2, wv^{-1} \rangle \cong F_3$. Recall now the Nielsen-Schreier formula which says that a subgroup of index t in a free group of rank e is a free group of rank $1 + t(e - 1)$. Thus $U_2 \cong F_9$. Since $U_i \leq U_{i-1}$ also U_i is a free group whose rank is computed with a recursive use of Nielsen-Schreier's formula. \square

The previous proposition combined with Theorem 7.3 yields a full description of $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[\langle g, h \rangle \times C_2^n])$.

9. DESCRIPTION OF $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}[D_8 \times C_2^n])$

Let G be the group $C_2^{n+2} = \langle g, h, x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ as in (22) and

$$\Gamma \cong D_8 \times C_2 \times \dots \times C_2 = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \times \langle y_1 \rangle \times \dots \times \langle y_n \rangle.$$

where $D_8 = \langle a, b \mid a^4, b^2, a^b = a^{-1} \rangle$. We consider the epimorphism $\lambda : D_8 \times C_2^n \rightarrow G$ determined by $\lambda(a) = gh, \lambda(b) = g, \lambda(y_i) = x_i$. With the associated canonical choice of section $\mu : G \rightarrow D_8 \times C_2^n$, one directly checks that the associated 2-cocycle $\alpha(s, t) = \mu(s)\mu(t)\mu(st)^{-1}$ is exactly the one determined by (23). In other words, the central extension Γ associated with the $[\alpha] \in H^2(G, C_2)$ from Section 8 is isomorphic to $D_8 \times C_2^n$.

Taking now $\chi \in \text{Hom}(\langle a^2 \rangle, \mathbb{Z}^*)$ with $\chi(a^2) = -1$ we see that $\Psi_\chi : \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\text{Tra}(\chi)}[G]$ is nothing else than the ring epimorphism.

$$(27) \quad \psi : \mathbb{Z}[D_8 \times C_2^n] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G]$$

defined by

$$a \mapsto u_{gh}, \quad b \mapsto u_g, \quad x_i \mapsto u_{y_i}.$$

In this section we will pullback the description of $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G])$, obtained in section Section 8, by using the methods from Section 5.2 in order to obtain a description of $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}[D_8 \times C_2^n])$. First we invoke Theorem 5.4 and Theorem 5.2 to give a precise description of the (co)kernel of the induced morphism $\tilde{\psi} : \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G])$.

Lemma 9.1. *With the notations as above we have that*

- (i) $\ker(\tilde{\psi}) = \langle -a^2 \rangle \cong C_2$,
- (ii) $|\text{Coker}(\tilde{\psi})| = [\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[G]) : \text{Im}(\tilde{\psi})] = 2^{n+1}$.

Proof. The description of the kernel follows from Theorem 5.4 which says that the kernel is finite and $\ker(\tilde{\psi}) = \{1, -a^2\}$, as desired. Next, as remarked directly after Theorem 5.2, the assumptions for the second part of that theorem are satisfied and hence

$$|\text{Coker}(\tilde{\psi})| = |\text{Coker}(\tilde{\psi}_{\ker})| \cdot |\text{Coker}(\tilde{\psi}_{\text{res}})|$$

where $\tilde{\psi}_{\text{res}} : \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}[D_8]) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^{\text{Res}(\alpha)}[\langle g, h \rangle]) : a \mapsto u_{gh}, b \mapsto u_g$ is a (co)restriction of $\tilde{\psi}$.

We start by describing $\text{Coker}(\tilde{\psi}_{\text{res}})$. To this end, recall that by Proposition 8.2

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^{\text{Res}(\alpha)}[C_2 \times C_2]) = \langle v, w \rangle \rtimes D_8$$

with $v = u_1 + \frac{1}{2}(u_1 - u_g)u_h(u_1 + u_g)$ and $w = u_1 + \frac{1}{2}(1 + u_g)u_h(1 - u_g)$.

Claim: the product of any two generators of $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^{\text{Res}(\alpha)}[\langle g, h \rangle])$ belongs to $\text{Im}(\tilde{\psi}_{\text{res}})$.

This claim would imply that the cokernel has size 2. To start consider the bicyclic units $b_1 = 1 + (1 - b)ab(1 + b)$ and $b_2 = 1 + (1 + b)ab(1 - b)$. Clearly $\psi(b_1) = v^2$ and $\psi(b_2) = w^2$. Next a direct computation gives that

$$wv^{-1} = u_1 - 2(u_g + u_{hg}) = u_1 - (u_1 + u_h)u_g(u_1 - u_h).$$

Therefore if we consider the bicyclic unit $b_3 = 1 - (1 + ab)b(1 - ab)$ we also find $\psi(b_3) = wv^{-1}$. Since the trivial units are clearly also attained, the claim and therefore the second statement now follows from Proposition 6.9 (which asserts $v \in \text{Coker}(\tilde{\psi}_{res})$, hence non-surjectivity).

It remains to compute $|\text{Coker}(\tilde{\psi}_{ker})|$. For this we need to determine element in $\text{Coker}(\tilde{\psi})$ which are also in the kernel of the relative augmentation with respect to $Q = \langle u_{y_1}, \dots, u_{y_n} \rangle$. From Proposition 6.9 we know that

$$\langle u_1 + \frac{1}{2}(u_1 - u_g)(u_1 - u_{y_i})u_h(u_1 + u_g) : 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle \cong C_2^n$$

is a subgroup of $\text{Coker}(\tilde{\psi}_{ker})$. By an argument as above we can conclude that these are the only elements, hence altogether yielding part (ii). \square

Using proposition 8.3 and theorem 7.3 we now immediately obtain the description we were looking for.

Proposition 9.2. *The following hold:*

- (1) $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}[D_8]) \cong F_3 \rtimes (\pm D_8)$ with F_3 generated by bicyclic units
- (2) $U_1 \cong F_{17} \times C_2$
- (3) $U_i \cong F_{n_i}$ with $n_i = 1 + 2 \cdot 8^i$ for $i \geq 2$.
- (4) $\pm D_8 \times C_2^n$ has a torsion-free normal complement in $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}[D_8 \times C_2^n])$

Proof. To start note that $\psi(\pm D_8) = \langle g, h \rangle \cong D_8$. Also it is not hard to see that the elements b_1, b_2, b_3 from the proof of Lemma 9.1 are in fact generators for $\langle \text{Bic}(D_8) \rangle$, the group generated by the bicyclic units of D_8 (e.g. see [9, part (3) of proof Example 1.5.4]). In particular all elements in $\langle \text{Bic}(D_8) \rangle$ are of the form $1 + (1 - a^2)u$ with $u \in \mathbb{Z}[D_8]$. Hence we already know that

$$\langle \text{Bic}(D_8), a^2 \rangle \cong \langle \text{Bic}(D_8) \rangle \times \langle a^2 \rangle \cong \pm 1 \cdot \tilde{\psi}(\langle v^2, w^2, wv^{-1} \rangle) = U_1(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha C_2^2)$$

with $U_i(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha C_2^2) := \{1 + 2^i u \mid u \in \mathbb{Z}^\alpha[\langle g, h \rangle]\} \cap \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha[\langle g, h \rangle])$. The latter is isomorphic to $F_3 \times C_2$ by Proposition 8.3. The first part now follows.

Next, due to the form of the bicyclic units and the Reidemaster-Schreier method we see that the above even imply that

$$U_i(\mathbb{Z}D_8) = \{1 + 2^i(1 - a^2)u \mid u \in \mathbb{Z}[D_8]\} \cap \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}[D_8]) \cong U_{i+1}(\mathbb{Z}^\alpha C_2^2)$$

for $i \geq 2$ and for $i = 1$ the group $U_1(\mathbb{Z}D_8)$ also contain the trivial units $\{\pm 1\}$. Therefore the second and third statement now follows from Proposition 8.3. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bachle, G. Janssens, E. Jespers, A. Kiefer, and D. Temmerman. Higher modular groups as amalgamated products and a dichotomy for integral group rings. *J. Algebra (to appear)*, page 33, 2020. [arXiv:1811.12226](https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.12226). 22
- [2] A. Bachle, G. Janssens, E. Jespers, A. Kiefer, and D. Temmerman. Abelianization and fixed point properties of linear groups and units in integral group rings. *Math. Nachr. (to appear)*, page 56, 2021. [arXiv:1811.12184](https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.12184). 22
- [3] Andreas Bächle, Wolfgang Kimmerle, and Mariano Serrano. On the first Zassenhaus conjecture and direct products. *Canad. J. Math.*, 72(3):602–624, 2020. 21
- [4] Alex Bartel and Hendrik W. Lenstra, Jr. Commensurability of automorphism groups. *Compos. Math.*, 153(2):323–346, 2017. 14
- [5] P.L. Clark. Algebraic number theory ii: Valuations, local fields and adeles. *course notes*, 2018. <http://alpha.math.uga.edu/~pete/8410FULL.pdf>. 11
- [6] Yuval Ginosar and Ofir Schnabel. Semi-invariant matrices over finite groups. *Algebras and representation theory*, 17(1):199–212, 2014. 2, 17
- [7] Martin Hertweck. Torsion units in integral group rings of certain metabelian groups. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2)*, 51(2):363–385, 2008. 21
- [8] Christian Höfert and Wolfgang Kimmerle. On torsion units of integral group rings of groups of small order. In *Groups, rings and group rings*, volume 248 of *Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math.*, pages 243–252. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2006. 21
- [9] Eric Jespers and Ángel del Río. *Group ring groups. Vol. 1. Orders and generic constructions of units*. De Gruyter Graduate. De Gruyter, Berlin, 2016. 6, 7, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 25, 26
- [10] Eric Jespers and Ángel del Río. *Group ring groups. Vol. 2. Orders and generic constructions of units*. De Gruyter Graduate. De Gruyter, Berlin, 2016. 22

- [11] Eric Jespers and Guilherme Leal. Describing units of integral group rings of some 2-groups. *Comm. Algebra*, 19(6):1809–1827, 1991. 3
- [12] Eric Jespers and Guilherme Leal. Generators of large subgroups of the unit group of integral group rings. *Manuscripta Math.*, 78(3):303–315, 1993. 16
- [13] Eric Jespers, Antonio Pita, Ángel del Río, Manuel Ruiz, and Pavel Zalesskii. Groups of units of integral group rings commensurable with direct products of free-by-free groups. *Adv. Math.*, 212(2):692–722, 2007. 22
- [14] Gregory Karpilovsky. *Projective representations of finite groups*, volume 94 of *Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1985. 8, 9
- [15] Gregory Karpilovsky. *Unit groups of group rings*, volume 47 of *Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow; copublished in the United States with John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1989. 14, 15
- [16] Alex Kontorovich, D. Darren Long, Alexander Lubotzky, and Alan W. Reid. What is... a thin group? 2018. [arXiv:1812.02330](https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.02330) [[math.NT](#)]. 23
- [17] Yuanlin Li. Units of $\mathbf{Z}(G \times C_2)$. *Quaest. Math.*, 21(3-4):201–218, 1998. 22
- [18] L. Margolis and O. Schnabel. Twisted group ring isomorphism problem and infinite cohomology groups, 2020. [arXiv:1811.12184](https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.12184) [[math.RA](#)]. 6, 7, 8, 12
- [19] Morris Newman. *Integral matrices*. Academic Press, New York-London, 1972. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 45. 24
- [20] César Polcino Milies and Sudarshan K. Sehgal. *An introduction to group rings*, volume 1 of *Algebra and Applications*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2002. 11
- [21] Klaus W. Roggenkamp and Martin J. Taylor. *Group rings and class groups*, volume 18 of *DMV Seminar*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992. 14
- [22] John Voight. *Quaternion algebras*, volume 288 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, Cham, [2021] ©2021. 11

(GEOFFREY JANSSENS)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND DATA SCIENCE, VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT BRUSSEL, PLEINLAAN 2, 1050 ELSENE, BELGIUM
 E-MAIL ADDRESS: geofjans@vub.ac.be

(ERIC JESPEERS)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND DATA SCIENCE, VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT BRUSSEL, PLEINLAAN 2, 1050 ELSENE, BELGIUM
 E-MAIL ADDRESS: eric.jespers@vub.be

(OFIR SCHNABEL)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ORT BRAUDE COLLEGE, 2161002 KARMIEL, ISRAEL
 E-MAIL ADDRESS: ofirsch@braude.ac.il