

Polarized Raman Response of Two-Dimensional Quasiperiodic Antiferromagnets: Configuration-Interaction versus Green's Function Approaches

Takashi Inoue and Shoji Yamamoto*

Department of Physics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

We study Raman response of Heisenberg antiferromagnets on the C_{5v} Penrose and C_{8v} Ammann-Beenker lattices within and beyond the Loudon-Fleury second-order perturbation scheme intending to explore optical features peculiar to quasiperiodic magnets. Within the Loudon-Fleury mechanism, we find one and only Raman-active mode of E_2 symmetry without any dependence on linear incident and scattered polarizations. Beyond the Loudon-Fleury mechanism, two more symmetry species A_1 and A_2 are activated via dynamic ring exchange and chiral spin fluctuations, respectively, which can be extracted by the use of circular as well as linear polarizations. We employ Green's functions on one hand and configuration-interaction wavefunctions on the other hand to calculate the multimagnon contributions to inelastic light scatterings. Demonstrating the great advantage of the configuration-interaction scheme, we reveal that a major portion of the Shastry-Shraiman fourth-order Raman intensity is mediated by multimagnon fluctuations.

A variety of quasiperiodic magnetic crystals¹⁻⁴⁾ have renewed the theoretical exploration of novel magnetism of geometric origin. The Penrose and Ammann-Beenker lattices in two dimensions attract much interest in this context. Their Ising^{5,6)} and Heisenberg⁷⁻¹⁰⁾ models were calculated by the linear spin-wave (SW) theory and Monte Carlo (MC) methods, whereas their Hubbard models^{11,12)} were investigated within a mean-field approximation. In the context of inelastic-neutron-scattering experiments, SW findings for the dynamic structure factor of the Ammann-Beenker Heisenberg antiferromagnet reveal intriguing excitation features possibly due to the quasiperiodicity;⁸⁾ the coexistence of linear soft modes near the magnetic Bragg peaks at low frequencies, self-similar structures at intermediate frequencies, and flat bands at high frequencies. We may take further interest in inelastic light scatterings in quasiperiodic magnets, which strongly reflect their lattice symmetry and potentially bring brandnew information by virtue of the light polarization degrees of freedom.

Recent technical progress of manipulating optical lattices¹³⁻¹⁵⁾ also deserves special mention. Two-dimensional (2D) quasiperiodic potentials with five-¹⁶⁾ or eight-fold^{17,18)} rotational symmetry were theoretically designed in terms of standing-wave lasers and indeed observed via Bragg diffraction.^{14,16)} By trapping ultracold bosonic or fermionic atoms in optically tunable potentials, we can change the on-site interaction and tunneling energy to obtain various effective spin models.¹⁹⁾ There may be an extended-to-localized phase transition^{15,20)} of a Bose-Einstein condensate, for instance.

Thus motivated, we study Raman responses of 2D quasiperiodic spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ Heisenberg antiferromagnets on the C_{5v} Penrose^{21,22)} and C_{8v} Ammann-Beenker^{23,24)} lattices, described by the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} = J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j$ ($J > 0$), where $\sum_{\langle i,j \rangle}$ runs over all pairs of connected vertices. With their bipartite structures in mind, we introduce Holstein-Primakoff bosons²⁵⁾ and expand the bosonic Hamiltonian in powers of the inverse spin magnitude $1/S$, denoting the terms of order S^m by $\mathcal{H}^{(m)}$. When we decompose the quartic boson operators $\mathcal{H}^{(0)}$ into quadratic terms $\mathcal{H}_{BL}^{(0)}$ and residual normal-ordered quartic interactions $:\mathcal{H}^{(0)}:$ using Wick's theorem,^{26,27)} the up-to- $O(S^0)$ bosonic Hamiltonian reads

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^{(2)} + \mathcal{H}^{(1)} + \mathcal{H}_{BL}^{(0)} + :\mathcal{H}^{(0)}: \equiv \mathcal{H}_{BL} + :\mathcal{H}^{(0)}: . \quad (1)$$

First we diagonalize the bilinear Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_{BL} via the generalized Bogoliubov transformation,^{8,28,29)}

$$\mathcal{H}_{BL} = \sum_{m=0}^2 E^{(m)} + \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \sum_{l_\sigma=1}^{L_\sigma} \varepsilon_{l_\sigma}^\sigma \alpha_{l_\sigma}^{\sigma\dagger} \alpha_{l_\sigma}^\sigma; \quad \sum_{\sigma=\pm} L_\sigma \equiv L, \quad (2)$$

where $E^{(2)}$ is the classical ground-state energy and $E^{(m)}$ ($m = 1, 0$) are the $O(S^m)$ quantum corrections to it, while $\alpha_{l_\sigma}^{\sigma\dagger}$ creates an antiferromagnetic ($\sigma = +$) or ferromagnetic ($\sigma = -$) SW^{30,31)} of energy $\varepsilon_{l_\sigma}^\sigma$ for the vacuum state $|0\rangle_{BL}$, enhancing or reducing the ground-state magnetization, respectively.^{32,33)} Then we take account of the two-body interactions $:\mathcal{H}^{(0)}:$ in two ways, i.e., Green's function (GF) perturbative and configuration-interaction (CI) variational approaches.

Shastry and Shraiman^{34,35)} formulated magnetic Raman scatterings in an antiferromagnetic insulator by perturbatively treating the single-band Hubbard model in the limit of sufficiently strong correlation U compared to hopping t .³⁶⁾ With the electron band being half filled, the second-order vertex $^{[2]}\mathcal{R}$ results in the well-known Loudon-Fleury operator,³⁷⁾ consisting of pair exchange interactions $\mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j$, whereas the fourth-order vertices $^{[4]}\mathcal{R}$ contain three-spin scalar-chirality terms $\propto \mathbf{S}_i \cdot (\mathbf{S}_j \times \mathbf{S}_k)$ and four-spin ring-exchange terms $\propto (\mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j)(\mathbf{S}_k \cdot \mathbf{S}_l)$.³⁸⁻⁴⁰⁾ With varying incident photon frequency ω_{in} , $^{[2]}\mathcal{R}$ predominates in the far-resonant regime, $t \ll |U - \hbar\omega_{in}|$, while $^{[4]}\mathcal{R}$'s are also of major importance in the near-resonant regime, $t \simeq |U - \hbar\omega_{in}|$. The Raman operators are classified according to the number of their constituent spin operators, $^{[2n]}\mathcal{R} = \sum_{\tau=2}^{2n} \mathcal{R}_\tau^{[2n]}$ ($n = 1, 2$), and each component can be expanded in $1/S$ in terms of the bosonic language,

$$^{[2n]}\mathcal{R}_\tau = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}_\tau^{[2n]}(\tau-m) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^m \mathcal{R}_\tau^{[2n]}(\tau-m-l), \quad (3)$$

where $\mathcal{R}_\tau^{[2n]}(\tau-m)$, consisting of $2l$ ($0 \leq l \leq m$)-magnon ($2lM$) vertices, is of order $S^{\tau-m}$. Via the Bogoliubov transformation, (3) truncated at $m = 2$, i.e., the up-to- $O(S^0)$ vertices become

$$\mathcal{R} \simeq \sum_{n=1}^{1 \text{ or } 2} \sum_{l=0}^2 \mathcal{R}_\tau^{[2n]} = \sum_{n=1}^{1 \text{ or } 2} \sum_{\tau=2}^{2n} \sum_{l=0}^2 \sum_{m=l}^2 \mathcal{R}_\tau^{[2n]}(\tau-m), \quad (4)$$

where $\mathcal{R}_\tau^{[2n]}(\tau-m)$ are normal-ordered with respect to the quasiparticle magnon operators. $^{[2n]}\mathcal{R}_{0M}$ merely contribute to elastic (Rayleigh) scatterings and are thus omitted hereafter.




Fig. 1. GF calculations of the Raman intensities $^{[p]}I(\omega) \equiv \sum_{l=1}^2 {}^{[p]}I_{2M}(\omega)$ for the $L = 46$ 2D Penrose lattice of C_{5v} point symmetry in the Loudon-Fleury second-order ($p = 2$) [(a) and (a')] and Shastry-Shraiman fourth-order ($p = 4$) [(b) and (b')] perturbation schemes. $^{[p]}I_{2M}(\omega)$ is obtained from (S46b),⁴⁹ while $^{[p]}I_{4M}(\omega)$ is calculated in two ways, by the use of (S62)⁴⁹ [(a) and (b)] and (S62')⁴⁹ [(a') and (b')]. Two combinations of linear incident and scattered polarizations, $\phi_{\pm} = 0, \pi/2$, are simulated. The Shastry-Shraiman perturbation parameter $t/(U - \hbar\omega_{in})$ is set equal to 1/9 and 9/10 within [(a) and (a')] and beyond [(b) and (b')] the Loudon-Fleury scheme, respectively. Every spectral line is Lorentzian-broadened by a width of $0.1J$.

Putting $\mathcal{R}(t) \equiv e^{iHt/\hbar} \mathcal{R} e^{-iHt/\hbar}$ for any operator \mathcal{R} , we define the 2M-mediated Raman intensities at absolute zero as⁴¹⁾

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt e^{i\omega t}}{2\pi\hbar L} \sum_{n,n'=1}^{p/2} \langle 0 | [^{2n}] \mathcal{R}_{2M}^{\dagger}(t) [^{2n'}] \mathcal{R}_{2M} | 0 \rangle \equiv {}^{[p]}I_{2M}(\omega). \quad (5)$$

Once we find the exact ground state of the Heisenberg Hamiltonian, $\mathcal{H}|0\rangle = E_0|0\rangle$, the Loudon-Fleury ($p = 2$) and Shastry-Shraiman ($p = 4$) intensities can be exactly evaluated as^{42–45)}

$$^{[p]}I(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt e^{i\omega t}}{2\pi\hbar L} \sum_{n,n'=1}^{p/2} \langle 0 | [^{2n}] \mathcal{R}^{\dagger}(t) [^{2n'}] \mathcal{R} | 0 \rangle = \frac{-1}{\pi L} \times \sum_{n,n'=1}^{p/2} \lim_{\eta \rightarrow +0} \text{Im} \langle 0 | [^{2n}] \mathcal{R}^{\dagger} \frac{1}{\hbar\omega + E_0 + i\eta - \mathcal{H}} [^{2n'}] \mathcal{R} | 0 \rangle \quad (6)$$

and may be approximated by the sum $\sum_{l=1}^2 {}^{[p]}I_{2M}(\omega)$.

Magnon-magnon interactions significantly modify the Raman spectra,^{45–48)} because pair-exchange and multiple-spin cyclic-exchange Raman vertices, emergent within and beyond the Loudon-Fleury scheme, respectively, play qualitatively different roles in inelastic photon scatterings. First we demonstrate this by a renormalized perturbation theory.⁴⁹⁾ In terms of the magnon GFs (S46b) and (S46d),⁴⁹⁾ the 2M-mediated Raman scattering intensities are calculated as³⁶⁾

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{[p]}I_{2M}(\omega) &= -\text{Im} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt e^{i\omega t}}{i\pi\hbar L} \sum_{n,n'=1}^{p/2} \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} [^{2n}] \mathcal{R}_{2M}^{\dagger}(t) [^{2n'}] \mathcal{R}_{2M} | 0 \rangle \\ &\equiv -\text{Im} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt e^{i\omega t}}{\pi\hbar L} {}^{[p]} \mathcal{G}_{2M}(t); \\ {}^{[p]} \mathcal{G}_{2M}(t) &= \sum_{k_+, k'_+} \sum_{l_+, l'_+} {}^{[p]} W_{k_+ k'_+}^{(2)*} G_{l_+ l'_+}^{k_+ k'_+}(t) {}^{[p]} W_{l_+ l'_+}^{(2)}, \quad {}^{[p]} \mathcal{G}_{4M}(t) \\ &= \sum_{k_+, k'_+, k''_+, k'''_+} \sum_{l_+, l'_+, l''_+, l'''_+} {}^{[p]} X_{k_+ k'_+ k''_+ k'''_+}^{(7)*} G_{l_+ l'_+ l''_+ l'''_+}^{k_+ k'_+ k''_+ k'''_+}(t) {}^{[p]} X_{l_+ l'_+ l''_+ l'''_+}^{(7)}, \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

where we numerically obtain the coefficients ${}^{[p]}W_{l_+ l'_+}^{(2)}$ and ${}^{[p]}X_{l_+ l'_+ l''_+ l'''_+}^{(7)}$. Since any perturbative renormalization is hardly tractable for more-than-3M GFs, we decompose 4M GFs into 2M GFs as (S61)⁴⁹⁾ on one hand and into 3M and 1M GFs as (S61')⁴⁹⁾ on the other hand. The renormalized 1M GFs reduce to the Hartree-Fock solutions (S48),⁴⁹⁾ whereas the 2M and 3M ones are calculated through ladder-approximation Bethe-Salpeter equations (S49)⁴⁹⁾ and (S55),^{49,50)} respectively.

The Raman operator is written as a rank-2 tensor dotted with the polarization vectors of the incident (\mathbf{e}_{in}) and scattered (\mathbf{e}_{sc}) photons,^{48,51)}

$$^{[p]} \mathcal{R} = \sum_{\mu, \nu=x,y} \mathbf{e}_{in}^{\mu} {}^{[p]} \mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu} \mathbf{e}_{sc}^{\nu*}, \quad (8)$$

where we set \mathbf{e}_{in} and \mathbf{e}_{sc} parallel to the lattice plane ($e_{in}^z = e_{sc}^z = 0$) to reduce $^{[p]} \mathcal{R}$ to a 2×2 matrix. In terms of the point

symmetry group \mathbf{P} of the lattice, this is rewritten as^{52,53)}

$$^{[p]} \mathcal{R} = \sum_i' \sum_{\mu=1}^{d_{\mathcal{E}_i}} E_{\mathcal{E}_i;\mu}^{\mathbf{P}} {}^{[p]} \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{E}_i;\mu}^{\mathbf{P}}, \quad (9)$$

where \sum_i' runs over the Raman-active irreducible representations \mathcal{E}_i of \mathbf{P} , each with dimensionality $d_{\mathcal{E}_i}$, and $E_{\mathcal{E}_i;\mu}^{\mathbf{P}}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{E}_i;\mu}^{\mathbf{P}}$ are the μ th polarization-vector basis function and Raman vertex for \mathcal{E}_i , respectively. Since the ground state $|0\rangle$ is invariant under every symmetry operation of \mathbf{P} , any expectation value between Raman vertices of different symmetry species for it goes to zero.^{51–54)} We can therefore classify the Raman intensities as to symmetry species,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt e^{i\omega t}}{2\pi\hbar L} \sum_{n,n'=1}^{p/2} \langle 0 | [^{2n}] \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{E}_i;\mu}^{\mathbf{P}\dagger}(t) [^{2n'}] \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{E}_i;\mu}^{\mathbf{P}} | 0 \rangle \equiv {}^{[p]}I_{\mathcal{E}_i;\mu}^{\mathbf{P}}(\omega). \quad (10)$$

Considering that $^{[p]}I_{\mathcal{E}_i;1}^{\mathbf{P}}(\omega) = {}^{[p]}I_{\mathcal{E}_i;\mu}^{\mathbf{P}}(\omega)$ ($\mu = 2, \dots, d_{\mathcal{E}_i}^{\mathbf{P}}$) for any multidimensional representation \mathcal{E}_i ,^{51,52)} we find

$$^{[p]}I(\omega) = \sum_i' {}^{[p]}I_{\mathcal{E}_i;1}^{\mathbf{P}}(\omega) \sum_{\mu=1}^{d_{\mathcal{E}_i}^{\mathbf{P}}} |E_{\mathcal{E}_i;\mu}^{\mathbf{P}}|^2. \quad (11)$$

When $\mathbf{P} = C_{5v}$, (9) contains two 1D and one 2D symmetry species,⁵⁵⁾ whose basis functions and vertices are given by

$$\begin{aligned} E_{A_1;1}^{C_{5v}} &= e_{in}^x e_{sc}^{x*} + e_{in}^y e_{sc}^{y*}, \quad E_{A_2;1}^{C_{5v}} = e_{in}^x e_{sc}^{y*} - e_{in}^y e_{sc}^{x*}, \\ E_{E_2;1}^{C_{5v}} &= e_{in}^x e_{sc}^{y*} + e_{in}^y e_{sc}^{x*}, \quad E_{E_2;2}^{C_{5v}} = e_{in}^x e_{sc}^{x*} - e_{in}^y e_{sc}^{y*}, \quad (12) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{[p]} \mathcal{R}_{A_1;1}^{C_{5v}} &= \frac{{}^{[p]} \mathcal{R}^{xx} + {}^{[p]} \mathcal{R}^{yy}}{2}, \quad {}^{[p]} \mathcal{R}_{A_2;1}^{C_{5v}} = \frac{{}^{[p]} \mathcal{R}^{xy} - {}^{[p]} \mathcal{R}^{yx}}{2}, \\ {}^{[p]} \mathcal{R}_{E_2;1}^{C_{5v}} &= \frac{{}^{[p]} \mathcal{R}^{xy} + {}^{[p]} \mathcal{R}^{yx}}{2}, \quad {}^{[p]} \mathcal{R}_{E_2;2}^{C_{5v}} = \frac{{}^{[p]} \mathcal{R}^{xx} - {}^{[p]} \mathcal{R}^{yy}}{2}. \quad (13) \end{aligned}$$

For the linear polarizations $\mathbf{e}_{in/sc} = (\cos \phi_{in/sc}, \sin \phi_{in/sc}, 0)$, (12) reads $E_{A_1;1}^{C_{5v}} = \cos \phi_{\pm}$, $E_{A_2;1}^{C_{5v}} = \sin \phi_{\pm}$, $E_{E_2;1}^{C_{5v}} = \sin \phi_{\pm}$, and $E_{E_2;2}^{C_{5v}} = \cos \phi_{\pm}$ with $\phi_{\pm} \equiv \phi_{sc} \pm \phi_{in}$. Since ${}^{[2]} \mathcal{R}_{A_1;1}^{C_{5v}}$ commutes with the Heisenberg Hamiltonian, the A_1 species is Raman inactive within the Loudon-Fleury scheme. The A_2 species is also Loudon-Fleury-Raman inactive. Since the Raman operator is time-reversal-invariant,³⁵⁾ the $(\mathbf{e}_{in} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{e}_{sc}^*)$ -exchange-antisymmetric basis function $E_{A_2;1}^{C_{5v}}$ demands that ${}^{[p]} \mathcal{R}_{A_2;1}^{C_{5v}}$ be also time-reversal-antisymmetric. The second-order pair-exchange Raman vertices are all time-reversal-invariant. ${}^{[2]} \mathcal{R}$ for the 2D Penrose lattice thus consists of one and only Raman-active species E_2 to yield depolarized spectra,

$$^{[2]}I(\omega) = \left(|E_{E_2;1}^{C_{5v}}|^2 + |E_{E_2;2}^{C_{5v}}|^2 \right) {}^{[2]}I_{E_2;1}^{C_{5v}}(\omega) = {}^{[2]}I_{E_2;1}^{C_{5v}}(\omega), \quad (14)$$

as is shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(a'). This is no longer the case with higher-order Raman vertices. ${}^{[4]} \mathcal{R}_{A_1;1}^{C_{5v}}$ contains ring-exchange spin fluctuations $(\mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j)(\mathbf{S}_k \cdot \mathbf{S}_l)$ incommutable with




Fig. 2. 2M-4M-CI calculations of the Shastry-Shraiman fourth-order Raman intensities $^{[4]}I(\omega) \equiv \sum_{l=1}^2 {}^{[4]}I_{2lM}(\omega)$ for the $L = 16$ [(a) to (c)] and $L = 56$ [(d) to (f)] 2D Penrose lattice of C_{5v} point symmetry, the above three of which are compared with the exact solutions, where the perturbation parameter $t/(U - \hbar\omega_{in})$ is set to 9/10 and every spectral line is Lorentzian-broadened by a width of $0.1J$. The pure symmetry components are extracted from three polarization combinations, (15) with $\phi_- = 0, \pi/2$ and (16) with $\sigma_{in}\sigma_{sc} = -1$. All the SW calculations of $I_{2lM}(\omega)$ each are distinguishably colored.

the Heisenberg Hamiltonian, whereas $^{[4]}\mathcal{R}_{A_{2:1}}^{C_{5v}}$ comprises chiral spin fluctuations $S_i \cdot (S_j \times S_k)$ breaking the time-reversal symmetry, both of which drive the fourth-order Raman response to depend on the light polarization,

$$^{[4]}I(\omega) = {}^{[4]}I_{E_{2:1}}^{C_{5v}}(\omega) + {}^{[4]}I_{A_{1:1}}^{C_{5v}}(\omega) \cos^2 \phi_- + {}^{[4]}I_{A_{2:1}}^{C_{5v}}(\omega) \sin^2 \phi_-, \quad (15)$$

as is demonstrated in Figs. 1(b) and 1(b').

Figures 1(a) and 1(a') present almost the same observations, while Figs. 1(b) and 1(b') show artificial differences at $\hbar\omega \gtrsim 5J$. Since we cannot directly evaluate more-than-3M GFs in practice, $^{[4]}I(\omega)$ and more generally Raman responses beyond the Loudon-Fleury scheme, containing significant multimagnon contributions, are much harder to reliably calculate in terms of GFs than $^{[2]}I(\omega)$ obtainable from the established Bethe-Salpeter equation. Even though we can calculate 3M GFs, for instance, there may be some different Bethe-Salpeter-like manners of renormalization^{49,56} to complicate matters further. In order to reliably evaluate the role of multimagnon scatterings in novel Raman responses beyond the Loudon-Fleury scheme, we propose an alternative approach utilizing CI variational wavefunctions.⁵⁷ Once we proceed to the fourth-order perturbation scheme, the polarized Raman spectra (15) for linearly polarized components of the incident and scattered field amplitudes are inadequate to identify all the three Raman-active symmetry species, and therefore, we consider circularly polarized components of them as well.^{58,59} For the incident and scattered fields of circular polarizations, $e_{in/sc} = (1, \sigma_{in/sc}i, 0)/\sqrt{2}$, (12) reads $E_{A_{1:1}}^{C_{5v}} = (1 + \sigma_{in}\sigma_{sc})/2$, $E_{A_{2:1}}^{C_{5v}} = -i(\sigma_{in} + \sigma_{sc})/2$, $E_{E_{2:1}}^{C_{5v}} = i(\sigma_{in} - \sigma_{sc})/2$, and $E_{E_{2:2}}^{C_{5v}} = (1 - \sigma_{in}\sigma_{sc})/2$ to yield the second- and fourth-order Raman responses

$$^{[2]}I(\omega) = (1 - \sigma_{in}\sigma_{sc}) {}^{[2]}I_{E_{2:1}}^{C_{5v}}(\omega), \quad {}^{[4]}I(\omega) = (1 - \sigma_{in}\sigma_{sc}) \times {}^{[4]}I_{E_{2:1}}^{C_{5v}}(\omega) + \frac{1 + \sigma_{in}\sigma_{sc}}{2} [{}^{[4]}I_{A_{1:1}}^{C_{5v}}(\omega) + {}^{[4]}I_{A_{2:1}}^{C_{5v}}(\omega)]. \quad (16)$$

Substituting (16) with $\sigma_{in}\sigma_{sc} = -1$ into (15) with $\phi_- = 0$ or $\phi_- = \pi/2$ reveals all the symmetry species separately.

A CI wavefunction generally consists of a linear combination of Hartree-Fock Slater determinants,^{60,61} including a

certain set of quasiparticle excited states as well as the ground state $|0\rangle_{BL}$. In our 2M-4M-CI scheme, the Hilbert space in which the bosonic Hamiltonian (1) operates is spanned by the up-to-4M N_{CI} basis states (S71a)–(S71c).⁵⁷ In terms of the variationally corrected eigenstates and eigenvalues of the 2M-4M-CI Hamiltonian (S73),⁵⁷ the 2M-mediated Raman scattering intensities read

$$^{[p]}I_{2lM}(\omega) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{v=0}^{N_{CI}-1} \left| \langle v | \sum_{n=1}^{p/2} [^{2n}]\mathcal{R}_{2lM} | 0 \rangle \right|^2 \delta(\hbar\omega - \varepsilon_v + \varepsilon_0). \quad (17)$$

We verify the CI evaluation (17) in Fig. 2. The CI findings for the E_2 and A_2 symmetry species are in very good agreement with the exact solutions obtained by a recursion method based on the Lanczos algorithm.^{62,63} While the E_2 scattering is Loudon-Fleury-Raman active and arises chiefly from pair-exchange spin fluctuations, a nonnegligible portion of this scattering intensity is mediated by 4M fluctuations, as is revealed by our CI scheme. While the A_2 scattering intensity is small compared to the other symmetries, it is so interesting as to allow for directly observing the chiral spin fluctuations $S_i \cdot (S_j \times S_k)$.⁵⁸ The spin-chirality-driven A_2 Raman response is emergent in the honeycomb and kagome lattices consisting of nonparallelograms but impossible in the square and triangular lattices comprising rhombuses.³⁸ The present scattering of A_2 symmetry owes to the quasiperiodic geometry⁶⁴ whose rank D , i.e., the smallest number of wavevectors that can span the whole diffraction pattern of the crystal by their integral linear combinations, is larger than the actual physical dimension d . The $d = 2$ Penrose and Ammann-Beenker lattices have the same indexing dimension $D = 4$. Our CI scheme well reproduces the A_1 exact solution as well but somewhat overestimates its 4M spectral weight. This is because the A_1 symmetry species becomes Raman active due to ring exchange interactions such as $S_i^+ S_j^- S_k^+ S_l^-$, $S_i^+ S_j^- S_k^z S_l^z$, and $S_i^z S_j^z S_k^z S_l^z$, the latter two of which are essentially described by six or more Holstein-Primakoff bosons and therefore sensitive to the Hamiltonian of $O(S^{-1})$.

What will happen to clusters without C_{5v} symmetry? The A_1 and A_2 symmetry species remain Loudon-Fleury-




Fig. 3. 2M-4M-CI [(a) to (f)] and 2M + 4M [approximated by (S62')]-GF [(a') to (f')] calculations of $[4]I(\omega) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^2 [4]I_{2M}(\omega)$ for the $L = 25$ and $L = 57$ 2D Ammann-Beenker lattice of C_{8v} point symmetry, the above six of which are compared with the exact solutions. All other details are the same as Fig. 2.

Raman inactive, considering that $^{[2]}\mathcal{R}_{A_{1;1}}^{C_{5v}}$ is commutable with the Heisenberg Hamiltonian and $^{[2]}\mathcal{R}_{A_{2;1}}^{C_{5v}}$ is time-reversal-invariant regardless of the size and shape of clusters, whereas the Loudon-Fleury-Raman response $^{[2]}I(\omega) = \sum_{\mu=1}^2 |E_{E_2;\mu}^{\mathbf{P}}|^2 |^{[2]}I_{E_2;\mu}^{\mathbf{P}}(\omega)|$ is no longer perfectly depolarized without the equality $^{[p]}I_{E_2;1}^{\mathbf{P}}(\omega) = ^{[p]}I_{E_2;2}^{\mathbf{P}}(\omega)$. However, the polarization dependence disappears in the thermodynamic limit and it is already faint in such medium-sized clusters of $L \gtrsim 50$. Size dependence of the Raman spectra is further demonstrated in Supplemental Material.⁶⁵⁾

Figure 3 shows comparative CI and GF calculations of the 2D Ammann-Beenker lattice. Even though we set \mathbf{P} to C_{8v} in (11), the second- and fourth-order Raman responses remain the same as (14), (15) and (16). Depolarization of $^{[2]}I(\omega)$ occurs in a certain class of periodic planar magnets as well, including Heisenberg antiferromagnets on the triangular⁴⁸⁾ and kagome^{38,66)} lattices and Kitaev spin liquids on the pure⁶⁷⁾ and decorated⁵³⁾ honeycomb lattices. In these lattice geometries, the two polarization-vector basis functions $e_{\text{in}}^x e_{\text{sc}}^{y*} + e_{\text{in}}^y e_{\text{sc}}^{x*}$ and $e_{\text{in}}^x e_{\text{sc}}^{x*} - e_{\text{in}}^y e_{\text{sc}}^{y*}$ span such a 2D irreducible representation as to be one and only Raman-active symmetry species. This criterion is met by 2D lattices with certain rotational symmetry,⁵⁵⁾ including periodic ones of triangular geometry and all noncrystallographic—in the conventional sense—ones.^{68,69)}

Figure 3 reveals our CI scheme to be much superior to the

conventional GF approach. Indirect evaluation of the 4M GFs cannot reproduce the significant 4M-mediated scattering intensity in general. The spin-chirality-driven A_2 scattering intensity is especially misunderstood by the GF approach. Indeed low-energy peaks are almost of 2M character, but high-energy ones clearly owe to both 2M and 4M scatterings, as is revealed by the CI calculations. The inaccurate 4M GFs are totally ignorant of the mixed character of these A_2 scattering peaks and such is the case with every symmetry species. The essential features of all the calculational schemes developed are further demonstrated in Supplemental Material.⁶⁵⁾

We have demonstrated the advantages of CI over GF in analyzing Raman scattering intensities according to mediating magnons. Once we go beyond the Loudon-Fleury second-order perturbation scheme, or sometimes even within it, we will find out any spectral weight of multimagnon character correctly only by evaluating the Raman correlation functions $^{[p]}\mathcal{G}_{2M}(t)$ directly. Real-frequency dynamic quantities cannot be obtained directly from path-integral calculations such as quantum MC (QMC) findings. Relevant imaginary-time correlation functions have to be first calculated and then continued to real frequency. Laplace transforms are difficult to invert numerically and maximum-entropy analytic continuation, for instance, of QMC data is not necessarily successful even for small periodic clusters.⁴⁵⁾ Under such circumstances,

our elaborate CI approach can open up a new path of calculating dynamic properties. In terms of its ability to clarify what kind of intermediate states are essential in which scattering channel, we note that a newly developed representation theory of the quantum affine Lie algebra for the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ XXZ infinite chain^{70,71)} opened the door to a full understanding of its dynamics. We are aware of how much percentage of its total structure factor intensity two- and four-spinon intermediate states contribute.^{72,73)} Dynamic spin structure factors of quasiperiodic magnets⁸⁾ are also analyzable in full detail with the present CI scheme.

Acknowledgment We are grateful to J. Ohara for his critical comments on our coding. This work is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 22K03502.

* yamamoto@phys.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

- 1) B. Charrier and D. Schmitt, J. Magn. Mater. **171**, 106 (1997).
- 2) I. R. Fisher, K. O. Cheon, A. F. Panchula, P. C. Canfield, M. Chernikov, H. R. Ott, and K. Dennis, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 308 (1999).
- 3) T. J. Sato, H. Takakura, A. P. Tsai, K. Shibata, K. Ohoyama, and K. H. Andersen, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 476 (2000).
- 4) T. J. Sato, H. Takakura, A. P. Tsai, and K. Shibata, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 054417 (2006).
- 5) Y. Okabe and K. Niizeki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **57**, 16 (1988).
- 6) Y. Komura and Y. Okabe, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **85**, 044004 (2016).
- 7) S. Wessel, A. Jagannathan, and S. Haas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 177205 (2003).
- 8) S. Wessel and I. Milat, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 104427 (2005).
- 9) A. Jagannathan, A. Szallas, S. Wessel, and M. Duneau, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 212407 (2007).
- 10) A. Szallas and A. Jagannathan, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 104427 (2008).
- 11) A. Koga and H. Tsunetsugu, Phys. Rev. B **96**, 214402 (2017).
- 12) A. Koga, Phys. Rev. B **102**, 115125 (2020).
- 13) L. Guidoni, C. Triché, P. Verkerk, and G. Grynberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 3363 (1997).
- 14) K. Viebahn, M. Sbroscia, E. Carter, J.-C. Yu, and U. Schneider, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 110404 (2019).
- 15) M. Sbroscia, K. Viebahn, E. Carter, J.-C. Yu, A. Gaunt, and U. Schneider, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 200604 (2020).
- 16) L. Sanchez-Palencia and L. Santos, Phys. Rev. A **72**, 053607 (2005).
- 17) A. Jagannathan and M. Duneau, Europhys. Lett. **104**, 66003 (2013).
- 18) A. Jagannathan and M. Duneau, Eur. Phys. J. B **87**, 149 (2014).
- 19) L.-M. Duan, E. Demler, and M. D. Lukin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 090402 (2003).
- 20) D. Johnston, P. Öhberg, and C. W. Duncan, Phys. Rev. A **100**, 053609 (2019).
- 21) N. G. de Bruijn, Indag. Math. Proc. Ser. A **84**, 39 (1981).
- 22) N. G. de Bruijn, Indag. Math. Proc. Ser. A **84**, 53 (1981).
- 23) J. E. S. Socolar, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 10519 (1989).
- 24) M. Baake and D. Joseph, Phys. Rev. B **42**, 8091 (1990).
- 25) T. Holstein and H. Primakoff, Phys. Rev. **58**, 1098 (1940).
- 26) Y. Noriki and S. Yamamoto, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **86**, 034714 (2017).
- 27) S. Yamamoto and Y. Noriki, Phys. Rev. B **99**, 094412 (2019).
- 28) R. M. White, M. Sparks, and I. Ortenburger, Phys. Rev. **139**, A450 (1965).
- 29) See Section S1 in Supplemental Material, where the up-to- $O(S^0)$ bosonic Hamiltonian $\sum_{m=0}^2 \mathcal{H}^{(m)}$ is decomposed into \mathcal{H}_{BL} and $\mathcal{H}^{(0)}$; the diagonalization of \mathcal{H}_{BL} is demonstrated, and $\mathcal{H}^{(0)}$ is expressed in terms of the quasiparticle magnon operators.
- 30) S. Yamamoto, T. Fukui, K. Maisinger, and U. Schollwöck, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **10**, 11033 (1998).
- 31) S. Yamamoto, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 064426 (2004).
- 32) S. Brehmer, H.-J. Mikeska, and S. Yamamoto, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **9**, 3921 (1997).
- 33) S. Yamamoto and T. Fukui, Phys. Rev. B **57**, 14008(R) (1998).
- 34) B. S. Shastri and B. I. Shraiman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 1068 (1990).
- 35) B. S. Shastri and B. I. Shraiman, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **5**, 365 (1991).
- 36) See Section S2 in Supplemental Material, where magnetic Raman scatterings are derived from the half-filled single-band Hubbard model.
- 37) P. A. Fleury and R. Loudon, Phys. Rev. **166**, 514 (1968).
- 38) W.-H. Ko, Z.-X. Liu, T.-K. Ng, and P. A. Lee, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 024414 (2010).
- 39) F. Michaud, F. Vernay, and F. Mila, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 184424 (2011).
- 40) T. Inoue and S. Yamamoto, Phys. Status Solidi B **257**, 2000118 (2020).
- 41) While any finite cluster lie in the magnon vacuum at absolute zero, it is not necessarily the case with infinite lattices. In the thermodynamic limit of Heisenberg antiferromagnets on the Penrose and Ammann-Beenker lattices, Goldstone magnons may appear even at absolute zero. In such a case, every conventional spin-wave theory should be *modified*.^{26,27)} Note that $\langle 0 | [2n] \mathcal{R}_{2M}^\dagger(t) [2n'] \mathcal{R}_{2M} | 0 \rangle = 0$ unless $l = l'$ not only for $|0\rangle = |0\rangle_{BL}$ but also for any ground state $|0\rangle$ corrected by the quartic interaction $\mathcal{H}^{(0)}$: perturbatively or variationally.
- 42) F. Lema, J. Eroles, C. D. Batista, and E. R. Gagliano, Phys. Rev. B **55**, 15295 (1997).
- 43) J. Eroles, C. D. Batista, S. B. Bacci, and E. R. Gagliano, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 1468 (1999).
- 44) F. Nori, R. Merlin, S. Haas, A. W. Sandvik, and E. Dagotto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 553 (1995).
- 45) A. W. Sandvik, S. Capponi, D. Poilblanc, and E. Dagotto, Phys. Rev. B **57**, 8478 (1998).
- 46) C. M. Canali and S. M. Girvin, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 7127 (1992).
- 47) A. V. Chubukov and D. M. Frenkel, Phys. Rev. B **52**, 9760 (1995).
- 48) N. Perkins and W. Brenig, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 174412 (2008).
- 49) See Section S3 in Supplemental Material, where we explain our ladder approximation for multimagnon GFs and give them in the Lehmann representation.
- 50) A. Carbone, A. Cipollone, C. Barbieri, A. Rios, and A. Polls, Phys. Rev. C **88**, 054326 (2013).
- 51) B. Perreault, J. Knolle, N. B. Perkins, and F. J. Burnell, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 094439 (2015).
- 52) T. Kimura and S. Yamamoto, Phys. Rev. B **101**, 214411 (2020).
- 53) S. Yamamoto and T. Kimura, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **89**, 063701 (2020).
- 54) T. P. Devereaux and R. Hackl, Rev. Mod. Phys. **79**, 175 (2007).
- 55) See Section S4 in Supplemental Material, where the Raman operator in two dimensions is decomposed into symmetry-definite components and the dimensionality of each symmetry species is discussed.
- 56) C. Barbieri, D. Van Neck, and W. H. Dickhoff, Phys. Rev. A **76**, 052503 (2007).
- 57) See Section S5 in Supplemental Material, where we explain our CI scheme and give the CI Hamiltonian.
- 58) P. E. Sulewski, P. A. Fleury, K. B. Lyons, and S.-W. Cheong, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 3864 (1991).
- 59) R. H. Lehmburg, M. F. Wolford, J. L. Weaver, D. Kehne, S. P. Obenschain, D. Eimerl, and J. P. Palastro, Phys. Rev. A **102**, 063530 (2020).
- 60) J. Ohara and S. Yamamoto, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 045122 (2006).
- 61) S. Yamamoto, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 235205 (2008).
- 62) V. S. Viswanath and G. Müller, *The Recursion Method* (Springer, Berlin, 1994).
- 63) S. Yamamoto, Physica B **481**, 224 (2016).
- 64) R. Lifshitz, Z. Kristallogr. **222**, 313 (2007).
- 65) See Section S6 in Supplemental Material, where we show CI and GF calculations of $^{[4]}I(\omega) \equiv \sum_{l=1}^2 {}^{[4]}I_{2M}(\omega)$ for various 2D Penrose and Ammann-Beenker clusters.
- 66) O. Cépas, J. O. Haerter, and C. Lhuillier, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 172406 (2008).
- 67) J. Knolle, G.-W. Chern, D. L. Kovrizhin, R. Moessner, and N. B. Perkins, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 187201 (2014).
- 68) D. Shechtman, I. Blech, D. Gratias, and J. W. Cahn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **53**, 1951 (1984).
- 69) S. Van Smaalen, Cryst. Rev. **4**, 79 (1995).
- 70) B. Davies, O. Foda, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, and A. Nakayashiki, Commun. Math. Phys. **151**, 89 (1993).
- 71) A. Abada, A. H. Bougourzi, and B. Si-Lakhal, Nucl. Phys. B **497**, 733 (1997).
- 72) J.-S. Caux and R. Hagemans, J. Stat. Mech. (2006) P12013.
- 73) M. Mourigal, M. Enderle, A. Klöpperpieper, J.-S. Caux, A. Stunault, and H. M. Rønnow, Nature Phys. **9**, 435 (2013).

Supplemental Material for Polarized Raman Response of Two-Dimensional Quasiperiodic Antiferromagnets: Configuration-Interaction versus Green's Function Approaches

Takashi Inoue and Shoji Yamamoto*

Department of Physics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

S1. Spin-Wave Hamiltonian

We discuss antiferromagnetic Heisenberg models on the two-dimensional (2D) Penrose and Ammann-Beenker lattices of point symmetry C_{5v} and C_{8v} , respectively, both of which are described by the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \quad (J > 0), \quad (S1)$$

where \mathbf{S}_i is the vector spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ operator at site i and $\sum_{\langle i,j \rangle}$ runs over all pairs of connected vertices. Since the Penrose and Ammann-Beenker lattices are both bipartite, we divide them each into two sublattices, A with L_A sites and B with L_B ($\equiv L - L_A$) sites, respectively. We introduce the Holstein-Primakoff (HP) bosonic spin deviation operators¹⁾

$$\begin{aligned} S_i^+ &= (2S - a_i^\dagger a_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} a_i, \quad S_i^- = a_i^\dagger (2S - a_i^\dagger a_i)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ S_i^z &= S - a_i^\dagger a_i; \\ S_j^+ &= b_j^\dagger (2S - b_j^\dagger b_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad S_j^- = (2S - b_j^\dagger b_j)^{\frac{1}{2}} b_j, \\ S_j^z &= b_j^\dagger b_j - S, \end{aligned} \quad (S2)$$

where the site indices are understood as $i \in A$ and $j \in B$. We expand the Hamiltonian (S1) in powers of the inverse spin magnitude $1/S$,

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^{(2)} + \mathcal{H}^{(1)} + \mathcal{H}^{(0)} + O(S^{-1}), \quad (S3)$$

where $\mathcal{H}^{(m)}$, on the order of S^m , reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{(2)} &= -JS^2 \sum_{i \in A} \sum_{j \in B} l_{i,j}, \quad \mathcal{H}^{(1)} = JS \sum_{i \in A} \sum_{j \in B} l_{i,j} \\ &\times (a_i^\dagger a_i + b_j^\dagger b_j + a_i b_j + a_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger), \quad \mathcal{H}^{(0)} = -J \sum_{i \in A} \sum_{j \in B} l_{i,j} \\ &\times \left[a_i^\dagger a_i b_j^\dagger b_j + \frac{1}{4} (a_i^\dagger a_i a_i b_j + a_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_j + \text{H.c.}) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (S4)$$

with $l_{i,j}$ being 1 for connected vertices i and j , otherwise 0. We decompose the $O(S^0)$ quartic Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}^{(0)}$ into quadratic terms $\mathcal{H}_{\text{BL}}^{(0)}$ and normal-ordered quartic terms $\mathcal{H}^{(0)} : \text{through Wick's theorem,}^{2,3)}$

$$\begin{aligned} a_i^\dagger a_i b_j^\dagger b_j &= : a_i^\dagger a_i b_j^\dagger b_j : \\ &+ \text{BL} \langle 0 | a_i^\dagger a_i | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} b_j^\dagger b_j + \text{BL} \langle 0 | b_j^\dagger b_j | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} a_i^\dagger a_i \\ &+ \text{BL} \langle 0 | a_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} a_i b_j + \text{BL} \langle 0 | a_i b_j | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} a_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger \\ &- \text{BL} \langle 0 | a_i^\dagger a_i | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} \text{BL} \langle 0 | b_j^\dagger b_j | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} \\ &- \text{BL} \langle 0 | a_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} \text{BL} \langle 0 | a_i b_j | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_i^\dagger a_i b_j &= : a_i^\dagger a_i b_j : \\ &+ 2 \left(\text{BL} \langle 0 | a_i^\dagger a_i | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} a_i b_j + \text{BL} \langle 0 | a_i b_j | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} a_i^\dagger a_i \right) \\ &- 2 \text{BL} \langle 0 | a_i^\dagger a_i | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} \text{BL} \langle 0 | a_i b_j | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}}, \\ a_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_j &= : a_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_j : \\ &+ 2 \left(\text{BL} \langle 0 | a_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} b_j^\dagger b_j + \text{BL} \langle 0 | b_j^\dagger b_j | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} a_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger \right) \\ &- 2 \text{BL} \langle 0 | a_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} \text{BL} \langle 0 | b_j^\dagger b_j | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}}, \end{aligned} \quad (S5)$$

where $|0\rangle_{\text{BL}}$ denotes the quasiparticle magnon vacuum. The up-to- $O(S^0)$ bosonic Hamiltonian reads

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^{(2)} + \mathcal{H}^{(1)} + \mathcal{H}_{\text{BL}}^{(0)} + : \mathcal{H}^{(0)} : \equiv \mathcal{H}_{\text{BL}} + : \mathcal{H}^{(0)} : \quad (1)$$

Let us express the bilinear Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_{BL} as

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{BL}} = \mathbf{c}^\dagger \mathcal{M} \mathbf{c} + \sum_{m=0}^2 \tilde{E}^{(m)}; \quad \mathcal{M} \equiv \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{C} \\ \hline \mathbf{C}^\dagger & \mathbf{B} \end{array} \right], \quad (S6)$$

where we define the row vectors \mathbf{a}^\dagger and \mathbf{b}^\dagger of dimension L_A and L_B , respectively,

$$\mathbf{c}^\dagger = [a_1^\dagger, \dots, a_{L_A}^\dagger, b_1, \dots, b_{L_B}] \equiv [\mathbf{a}^\dagger, \mathbf{b}], \quad (S7)$$

the matrices \mathbf{A} , \mathbf{B} , and \mathbf{C} of dimension $L_A \times L_A$, $L_B \times L_B$, and $L_A \times L_B$, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{A}]_{i,i'} &= J \delta_{i,i'} \sum_{j \in B} l_{i,j} \left[S - \text{BL} \langle 0 | b_j^\dagger b_j | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left(\text{BL} \langle 0 | a_i b_j | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} + \text{BL} \langle 0 | a_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} \right) \right], \\ [\mathbf{B}]_{j,j'} &= J \delta_{j,j'} \sum_{i \in A} l_{i,j} \left[S - \text{BL} \langle 0 | a_i^\dagger a_i | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left(\text{BL} \langle 0 | a_i b_j | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} + \text{BL} \langle 0 | a_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} \right) \right], \\ [\mathbf{C}]_{i,j} &= J l_{i,j} \left[S - \text{BL} \langle 0 | a_i b_j | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left(\text{BL} \langle 0 | a_i^\dagger a_i | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} + \text{BL} \langle 0 | b_j^\dagger b_j | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (S8)$$

and the constants

$$\tilde{E}^{(2)} = \mathcal{H}^{(2)} \equiv E^{(2)}, \quad \tilde{E}^{(1)} = -JS \sum_{i \in A} \sum_{j \in B} l_{i,j},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{E}^{(0)} = & J \sum_{i \in A} \sum_{j \in B} l_{i,j} \left[\text{BL} \langle 0 | a_i^\dagger a_i | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \left(\text{BL} \langle 0 | a_i b_j | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} + \text{BL} \langle 0 | a_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} \right) \\
& + \text{BL} \langle 0 | a_i^\dagger a_i | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} \text{BL} \langle 0 | b_j^\dagger b_j | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} \\
& + \text{BL} \langle 0 | a_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} \text{BL} \langle 0 | a_i b_j | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \left(\text{BL} \langle 0 | a_i^\dagger a_i | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} + \text{BL} \langle 0 | b_j^\dagger b_j | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} \right) \\
& \left. \times \left(\text{BL} \langle 0 | a_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} + \text{BL} \langle 0 | a_i b_j | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} \right) \right]. \quad (\text{S9})
\end{aligned}$$

We carry out the Bogoliubov transformation

$$c = X\alpha; \quad X \equiv \begin{bmatrix} S & U \\ V & T \end{bmatrix}, \quad (\text{S10})$$

where we define the matrices S , T , U , and V of dimension $L_A \times L_-$, $L_B \times L_+$, $L_A \times L_+$, and $L_B \times L_-$, respectively, to obtain the ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic magnon operators

$$[\alpha_1^-, \dots, \alpha_{L_-}^-, \alpha_1^+, \dots, \alpha_{L_+}^+] \equiv \alpha^\dagger. \quad (\text{S11})$$

By virtue of the bosonic commutation relations, the Bogoliubov transformation matrix X satisfies⁴⁻⁶⁾

$$\begin{aligned}
X\Gamma'X^\dagger &= \Gamma; \quad \Gamma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} -\mathbf{I}(L_A) & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{I}(L_B) \end{bmatrix}, \\
X^\dagger\Gamma X &= \Gamma'; \quad \Gamma' \equiv \begin{bmatrix} -\mathbf{I}(L_-) & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{I}(L_+) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (\text{S12})
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{I}(L)$ denotes the $L \times L$ identity matrix. Demanding that X should diagonalize M , we obtain

$$X^\dagger M X = \text{diag}[\varepsilon_1^-, \dots, \varepsilon_{L_-}^-, \varepsilon_1^+, \dots, \varepsilon_{L_+}^+] \equiv E, \quad (\text{S13})$$

where the eigenvalues ε_l^- and ε_l^+ are non-negative. Multiplying (S13) by $X\Gamma'$ from the left yields

$$\Gamma M X = X\Gamma' E. \quad (\text{S14})$$

The column vectors of X and the diagonal elements of $\Gamma'E$ are the right eigenvectors and their eigenvalues for ΓM , respectively.

The eigenvalues of ΓM comprise L_- negative and L_+ positive eigenvalues,^{5,6)}

$$\Gamma'E = E' \equiv \text{diag}[\varepsilon_1'^-, \dots, \varepsilon_{L_-}'^-, \varepsilon_1'^+, \dots, \varepsilon_{L_+}'^+]. \quad (\text{S15})$$

Having in mind that $(\Gamma')^2 = \mathbf{I}(L)$, we find $E = \Gamma'E'$. Then the non-negative eigenvalues ε_l^- and ε_l^+ read

$$\varepsilon_l^- = -\varepsilon_l'^-, \quad \varepsilon_l^+ = \varepsilon_l'^+ \quad (\text{S16})$$

to yield the diagonal one-body Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{BL}} = \sum_{m=0}^2 \tilde{E}^{(m)} + \sum_{l=1}^{L_-} \varepsilon_l^- \alpha_{l-}^\dagger \alpha_{l-}^- + \sum_{l=1}^{L_+} \varepsilon_l^+ \alpha_{l+}^\dagger \alpha_{l+}^+. \quad (\text{S17})$$

Denoting the $O(S^m)$ component of $\varepsilon_{l\sigma}^\sigma$ by $\varepsilon_{l\sigma}^{\sigma(m)}$, we express the $O(S^0)$ quantum corrections to the classical ground-state energy $E^{(2)}$ as

$$E^{(m)} = \tilde{E}^{(m)} + \sum_{l=1}^{L_+} \varepsilon_{l+}^{+(m)} \quad (m = 1, 0). \quad (\text{S18})$$




Fig. S1. The eigenvalues ε_l^σ ($\sigma = \pm, 1 \leq l \leq L$) of the bosonic Hamiltonian (2) and quantum Monte Carlo calculations of the specific heat C as a function of temperature T of the antiferromagnetic Heisenberg Hamiltonian (S1) for various 2D Penrose (a) and Ammann-Beenker (b) clusters of point symmetry C_{5v} and C_{8v} , respectively.

and rewrite the Hamiltonian as

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{BL}} = \sum_{m=0}^2 E^{(m)} + \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \sum_{l\sigma=1}^{L_\sigma} \varepsilon_{l\sigma}^\sigma \alpha_{l\sigma}^{\sigma\dagger} \alpha_{l\sigma}^\sigma. \quad (2)$$

We show in Fig. S1 the magnon eigenvalues $\varepsilon_{l\sigma}^\sigma$ of the bosonic Hamiltonian (2), together with the rigorous specific heat curves. The major portion of the eigenvalues continuously distributes in almost the same energy region with almost the same pattern at each system size. The rest are isolated from them and strongly localized to sites with relatively high coordination numbers.^{5,6)} Since there is not much of a difference in the temperature profile of the specific heat between various clusters, sites of the highest and/or second highest coordination numbers, which are possibly absent from small clusters, do not seriously affect the thermodynamic properties.

The quartic interaction : $\mathcal{H}^{(0)}$: is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 : \mathcal{H}^{(0)} : &:= -J \sum_{i \in A} \sum_{j \in B} l_{ij} \left\{ \sum_{l, l', l'', l'''} V_{ij;l,l',l'',l'''}^{(1)} \alpha_{l-}^{-\dagger} \alpha_{l'}^{-\dagger} \alpha_{l''}^{-} \alpha_{l'''}^{-} \right. \\
 &+ \sum_{l, l', l'', l'''} V_{ij;l,l',l'',l'''}^{(2)} \alpha_{l-}^{-\dagger} \alpha_{l'}^{+} \alpha_{l''}^{-} \alpha_{l'''}^{-} \\
 &+ \sum_{l, l', l'', l'''} V_{ij;l,l',l'',l'''}^{(3)} \alpha_{l+}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l'}^{-\dagger} \alpha_{l''}^{-\dagger} \alpha_{l'''}^{-} \\
 &+ \sum_{l, l', l'', l'''} V_{ij;l,l',l'',l'''}^{(4)} \alpha_{l+}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l'}^{+} \alpha_{l''}^{-\dagger} \alpha_{l'''}^{-} \\
 &+ \sum_{l, l', l'', l'''} V_{ij;l,l',l'',l'''}^{(5)} \alpha_{l+}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l'}^{+} \alpha_{l''}^{+} \alpha_{l'''}^{-} \\
 &+ \sum_{l, l', l'', l'''} V_{ij;l,l',l'',l'''}^{(6)} \alpha_{l+}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l'}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l''}^{-\dagger} \alpha_{l'''}^{+} \\
 &+ \sum_{l, l', l'', l'''} V_{ij;l,l',l'',l'''}^{(7)} \alpha_{l+}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l'}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l''}^{-\dagger} \alpha_{l'''}^{-\dagger} \\
 &+ \sum_{l, l', l'', l'''} V_{ij;l,l',l'',l'''}^{(8)} \alpha_{l+}^{+} \alpha_{l'}^{+} \alpha_{l''}^{-} \alpha_{l'''}^{-} \\
 &\left. + \sum_{l, l', l'', l'''} V_{ij;l,l',l'',l'''}^{(9)} \alpha_{l+}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l'}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l''}^{+} \alpha_{l'''}^{+} \right\} \quad (S19)
 \end{aligned}$$

with $V_{ij;l,l',l'',l'''}^{(2)} = V_{ij;l,l',l'',l'''}^{(3)*}$, $V_{ij;l,l',l'',l'''}^{(5)} = V_{ij;l,l',l'',l'''}^{(6)*}$, and $V_{ij;l,l',l'',l'''}^{(7)} = V_{ij;l,l',l'',l'''}^{(8)*}$. Figure S2 shows the magnon-magnon interactions $V_{ij;l,l',l'',l'''}^{(m)}$ diagrammatically. We give the magnon-number-conserving interactions explicitly in particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_{ij;l,l',l'',l'''}^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{4} \left(s_{i,l-}^{*} s_{i,l'''} v_{j,l'} v_{j,l''}^{*} + s_{i,l-}^{*} s_{i,l''} v_{j,l'} v_{j,l''}^{*} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + s_{i,l-}^{*} s_{i,l''} v_{j,l'} v_{j,l''}^{*} + s_{i,l-}^{*} s_{i,l''} v_{j,l'} v_{j,l''}^{*} \right) \\
 &+ \frac{1}{8} \left(s_{i,l-}^{*} s_{i,l''} s_{i,l'''} v_{j,l'}^{*} + s_{i,l-}^{*} v_{j,l'''} v_{j,l''} v_{j,l'}^{*} \right. \\
 &\quad + s_{i,l''} v_{j,l'} v_{j,l''}^{*} v_{j,l'}^{*} + s_{i,l-}^{*} s_{i,l''} s_{i,l'''} v_{j,l'}^{*} \\
 &\quad + s_{i,l''} s_{i,l'''} s_{i,l''} v_{j,l'}^{*} + s_{i,l-}^{*} v_{j,l'''} v_{j,l''} v_{j,l'}^{*} \\
 &\quad \left. + s_{i,l'''} v_{j,l''} v_{j,l'}^{*} v_{j,l''}^{*} + s_{i,l-}^{*} s_{i,l''} s_{i,l'''} v_{j,l'}^{*} \right), \\
 V_{ij;l,l',l'',l'''}^{(4)} &= s_{i,l''}^{*} s_{i,l'''} t_{i,l} t_{i,l'}^{*} + s_{i,l''}^{*} u_{i,l} v_{i,l'''} t_{i,l'}^{*} \\
 &\quad + u_{i,l'}^{*} s_{i,l''} t_{i,l} v_{i,l''}^{*} + u_{i,l'}^{*} u_{i,l} v_{i,l''} v_{i,l'''}^{*} \\
 &+ \frac{1}{4} \left(s_{i,l''}^{*} s_{i,l'''} u_{i,l} t_{i,l'}^{*} + s_{i,l''}^{*} u_{i,l} s_{i,l'''} t_{i,l'}^{*} \right. \\
 &\quad + u_{i,l'}^{*} s_{i,l''} u_{i,l} v_{i,l''}^{*} + u_{i,l'}^{*} u_{i,l} s_{i,l''} v_{i,l'''}^{*} \\
 &\quad + s_{i,l''}^{*} v_{i,l'''} t_{i,l} t_{i,l'}^{*} + s_{i,l''}^{*} t_{i,l} v_{i,l'''} t_{i,l'}^{*} \\
 &\quad \left. + u_{i,l'}^{*} v_{i,l''} t_{i,l} v_{i,l''}^{*} + u_{i,l'}^{*} t_{i,l} v_{i,l''} v_{i,l'''}^{*} \right) \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} \left(s_{i,l''}^{*} t_{i,l} v_{i,l''}^{*} t_{i,l'}^{*} + u_{i,l} v_{i,l''} v_{i,l'''}^{*} t_{i,l'}^{*} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + s_{i,l''}^{*} u_{i,l'}^{*} s_{i,l''} t_{i,l} + s_{i,l''}^{*} u_{i,l'}^{*} u_{i,l} v_{i,l''} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$




Fig. S2. Diagrammatic representation of the magnon-magnon interactions $V_{ij;l,l',l'',l'''}^{(m)}$ emergent in (S19). The open and closed circles each signify creating and annihilating a magnon, whether it is antiferromagnetic or ferromagnetic. The single and double arrowheads are to form antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic magnon propagators, respectively, both of which enhance and reduce the magnetization when they are incoming and outgoing, respectively. The enclosed diagrams keep the number of magnons constant.

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_{ij;l,l',l'',l'''}^{(9)} &= \frac{1}{4} \left(u_{i,l'}^{*} u_{i,l''} t_{j,l} t_{j,l'}^{*} + u_{i,l'}^{*} u_{i,l} t_{j,l'} t_{j,l''}^{*} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + u_{i,l'}^{*} u_{i,l'} t_{j,l} t_{j,l'}^{*} + u_{i,l'}^{*} u_{i,l} t_{j,l'} t_{j,l''}^{*} \right) \\
 &+ \frac{1}{8} \left(u_{i,l'}^{*} u_{i,l'} u_{i,l} t_{j,l''}^{*} + u_{i,l'}^{*} t_{j,l'} t_{j,l} t_{j,l''}^{*} \right. \\
 &\quad + u_{i,l} t_{j,l'} t_{j,l''}^{*} t_{j,l'}^{*} + u_{i,l'}^{*} u_{i,l''} u_{i,l} t_{j,l'} \\
 &\quad + u_{i,l''}^{*} u_{i,l'} u_{i,l} t_{j,l''}^{*} + u_{i,l''}^{*} t_{j,l'} t_{j,l} t_{j,l''}^{*} \\
 &\quad \left. + u_{i,l'} t_{j,l} t_{j,l''}^{*} t_{j,l'}^{*} + u_{i,l'}^{*} u_{i,l''} u_{i,l} t_{j,l} \right), \quad (S20)
 \end{aligned}$$

in terms of the matrix elements $s_{i,l-} \equiv [\mathbf{S}]_{i,l-}$, $t_{j,l+} \equiv [\mathbf{T}]_{j,l+}$, $u_{i,l+} \equiv [\mathbf{U}]_{i,l+}$, and $v_{j,l-} \equiv [\mathbf{V}]_{j,l-}$ defined in (S10).

S2. Magnetic Raman Scattering

Following the Shastry-Shraiman perturbation theory,⁷⁻⁹ we derive spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ magnetic Raman operators from the half-filled single-band nearest-neighbor Hubbard model

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{H} &= U \sum_i c_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{i\uparrow} c_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{i\downarrow} - t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} (c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + \text{H.c.}), \\
 &\equiv \mathcal{H}_U + \mathcal{H}_t, \quad (S21)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger}$ creates an electron with spin σ at site i . We assume that $0 < t \ll U$. We replace all the hopping terms $c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma}$ in \mathcal{H}_t with $c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} \exp \left[\frac{ie}{\hbar c} \int_{\mathbf{r}_j}^{\mathbf{r}_i} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot d\mathbf{r} \right]$, where e and c are the elementary electric charge and the light velocity, respectively, and then the applied electric field $\mathbf{E}(t)$ reads as $-\partial \mathbf{A}(t)/\partial t$. Suppose $\gamma_{\mathbf{q},p}^{\dagger}$ creates a photon of momentum \mathbf{q} , energy $\hbar\omega_{\mathbf{q}}$, and polarization p , then the second-quantized vector potential is written as

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{q},p} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c^2}{V\omega_{\mathbf{q}}}} \left(\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{q},p} \gamma_{\mathbf{q},p} e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} + \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{q},p}^{*} \gamma_{\mathbf{q},p}^{\dagger} e^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \right) \quad (S22)$$

with V being the appropriate volume of the sample. For visible light, we may put $e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \simeq 1$ and therefore denote $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r})$ sim-

ply by \mathbf{A} hereafter. Then the electron-photon-coupled Hamiltonian reads

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{\text{el-ph}} &= \mathcal{H} + \Omega + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [{}^{[m]}\mathcal{J}]; \quad \Omega \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{q},p} \hbar\omega_{\mathbf{q}}\gamma_{\mathbf{q},p}^{\dagger}\gamma_{\mathbf{q},p}, \\ [{}^{[m]}\mathcal{J}] &\equiv -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} \frac{1}{m!} \left[c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} \left(\frac{-ie}{\hbar c} \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{i,j} \right)^m + \text{H.c.} \right] \\ &= -t \sum_{i,j=1}^L l_{i,j} \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} \frac{1}{m!} c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} \left(\frac{-ie}{\hbar c} \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{i,j} \right)^m\end{aligned}\quad (\text{S23})$$

with $\mathbf{d}_{i,j} \equiv \mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i$.

Let the photoinduced current operators $[{}^{[m]}\mathcal{J}]$ be perturbations to $\mathcal{H} + \Omega$. The transition between arbitrary states, $|i\rangle$ of energy ε_i and $|f\rangle$ of energy ε_f , each being a product of electronic and photonic states, are rated as

$$W_{i,f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle f | \mathcal{T} | i \rangle|^2 \delta(\varepsilon_f - \varepsilon_i). \quad (\text{S24})$$

Any Raman scattering contains two photons, starting with an incident photon and ending in a scattered photon, where (S22) is explicitly written as

$$\mathbf{A} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c^2}{V\omega_{\text{in}}}} \mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} \gamma_{\mathbf{q}_{\text{in}}, \mathbf{e}_{\text{in}}} + \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c^2}{V\omega_{\text{sc}}}} \mathbf{e}_{\text{sc}}^* \gamma_{\mathbf{q}_{\text{sc}}, \mathbf{e}_{\text{sc}}}^{\dagger} \quad (\text{S25})$$

with ω_{in} (ω_{sc}), \mathbf{q}_{in} (\mathbf{q}_{sc}), and \mathbf{e}_{in} (\mathbf{e}_{sc}) being the frequency, momentum, and polarization of the incident (scattered) photon, respectively. The Raman transition matrix \mathcal{T} in proportion to \mathbf{A}^2 reads

$$\mathcal{T} = [{}^{[2]}\mathcal{J}] + [{}^{[1]}\mathcal{J}] \frac{1}{\varepsilon_i - \Omega - \mathcal{H}_U - \mathcal{H}_t} [{}^{[1]}\mathcal{J}]. \quad (\text{S26})$$

Every magnetic Raman scattering demands that the electronic state should belong in the singly-occupied ground-state manifold at the beginning and end, where $[{}^{[m]}\mathcal{J}]$, inducing a single electron transfer, singly has no contribution to the transition rate, $\langle f | [{}^{[m]}\mathcal{J}] | i \rangle = 0$. Relevant intermediate states obtained by operating $[{}^{[1]}\mathcal{J}]$ on the initial state each have one doublon-holon pair together with no photon or two photons. The photonic state is also singly occupied at the beginning and end. Considering that $t \ll U$, we regard both $[{}^{[m]}\mathcal{J}]$ and \mathcal{H}_t as perturbations to \mathcal{H}_U and therefore express the effective Raman operator as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R} &= \mathcal{P} [{}^{[1]}\mathcal{J}] \frac{1}{\varepsilon_i - \Omega - \mathcal{H}_U - \mathcal{H}_t} [{}^{[1]}\mathcal{J}] \mathcal{P} \\ &= \mathcal{P} [{}^{[1]}\mathcal{J}] \frac{1}{\varepsilon_i - \Omega - \mathcal{H}_U} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\mathcal{H}_t \frac{1}{\varepsilon_i - \Omega - \mathcal{H}_U} \right)^n [{}^{[1]}\mathcal{J}] \mathcal{P},\end{aligned}\quad (\text{S27})$$

where \mathcal{P} is the projection operator to the singly-occupied ground-state manifold.

No-photon and two-photon intermediate states are higher in energy than the ground state by $U - \hbar\omega_{\text{in}}$ and $U + \hbar\omega_{\text{sc}}$, respectively. Assuming that the incident photon energy is comparable to the electronic correlation energy, $t \lesssim |U - \hbar\omega_{\text{in}}| \ll U$, we may replace $(\varepsilon_i - \Omega - \mathcal{H}_U)^{-1}$ by $(\hbar\omega_{\text{in}} - U)^{-1}$. With the single occupancy at every site in mind, we express the electron operators in terms of the spin operators,

$$\mathcal{P} c_{i\sigma_1}^{\dagger} c_{i\sigma_2} \mathcal{P} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2} + \sum_{\mu=x,y,z} S_i^{\mu} [\sigma^{\mu}]_{\sigma_2 \sigma_1}, \quad (\text{S28})$$

where σ^{μ} 's are the Pauli matrices.




Fig. S3. Fourth-order electron hopping paths. (a)-(d) and (a')-(d') are cyclic paths, while (ã)-(d̃) and (ã')-(d̃') are round paths. Solid arrows create or annihilate a doublon-holon pair arising from $[{}^{[1]}\mathcal{J}]$, whereas broken arrows correspond to electron transfer arising from \mathcal{H}_t .

The lowest-order $n = 0$ term in (S27), which is of second order in t , reads

$$\begin{aligned} [{}^{[2]}\mathcal{R}] &= \frac{-1}{U - \hbar\omega_{\text{in}}} \mathcal{P} [{}^{[1]}\mathcal{J}] [{}^{[1]}\mathcal{J}] \mathcal{P} = \frac{2\pi e^2}{\hbar V \sqrt{\omega_{\text{in}} \omega_{\text{sc}}}} \frac{t^2}{U - \hbar\omega_{\text{in}}} \\ &\times \sum_{i_1, j_1=1}^L l_{i_1, j_1} \sum_{i_2, j_2=1}^L l_{i_2, j_2} \sum_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2} (\mathbf{e}_{\text{sc}}^* \cdot \mathbf{d}_{i_2, j_2}) (\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{i_1, j_1}) \\ &\times \mathcal{P} c_{i_2 \sigma_2}^{\dagger} c_{j_2 \sigma_2} c_{i_1 \sigma_1}^{\dagger} c_{j_1 \sigma_1} \mathcal{P} = \frac{2\pi e^2}{\hbar V \sqrt{\omega_{\text{in}} \omega_{\text{sc}}}} \frac{t^2}{U - \hbar\omega_{\text{in}}} \\ &\times \sum_{i_1, j_1=1}^L l_{i_1, j_1} \sum_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2} (\mathbf{e}_{\text{sc}}^* \cdot \mathbf{d}_{j_1, i_1}) (\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{i_1, j_1}) \\ &\times \mathcal{P} c_{j_1 \sigma_1}^{\dagger} c_{i_1 \sigma_1} c_{i_2 \sigma_2}^{\dagger} c_{j_2 \sigma_2} \mathcal{P}. \end{aligned}\quad (\text{S29})$$

Applying (S28) to (S29) and discarding Rayleigh (elastic scattering) terms, we obtain what they call the Loudon-Fleury Raman vertex¹⁰⁾

$$[{}^{[2]}\mathcal{R}] = \frac{2\pi e^2}{\hbar V \sqrt{\omega_{\text{in}} \omega_{\text{sc}}}} \frac{4t^2}{U - \hbar\omega_{\text{in}}} \sum_{\langle i, j \rangle} (\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{i, j}) (\mathbf{e}_{\text{sc}}^* \cdot \mathbf{d}_{i, j}) \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j. \quad (\text{S30})$$

The Loudon-Fleury second-order mechanism predominates in the Raman response when the incident photon energy $\hbar\omega_{\text{in}}$ is in the far-resonant regime, $t \ll |U - \hbar\omega_{\text{in}}|$.

The $n = 1$ term in (S27), which is of third order in t , and the odd-integral- n ones in general, vanish by virtue of the electron-hole symmetry. Note that even though n is an odd integer, the electronic state can come back again into the singly-occupied ground-state manifold at the end in a triangular lattice, for instance. With the electron band being half filled, cre-

ation and annihilation of an electron can be described in terms of a hole as

$$d_{i\sigma}^\dagger = (-1)^{\delta_{\sigma,\uparrow}} c_{i\bar{\sigma}}, \quad c_{i\sigma}^\dagger = (-1)^{\delta_{\sigma,\downarrow}} d_{i\bar{\sigma}}. \quad (\text{S31})$$

$$\begin{aligned} [3]\mathcal{R} &= \frac{1}{(U - \hbar\omega_{\text{in}})^2} \mathcal{P}^{[1]} \mathcal{J} \mathcal{H}_t^{[1]} \mathcal{J} \mathcal{P} = \frac{2\pi e^2}{\hbar V \sqrt{\omega_{\text{in}} \omega_{\text{sc}}}} \frac{t^3}{(U - \hbar\omega_{\text{in}})^2} \sum_{i_1, j_1=1}^L l_{i_1, j_1} \sum_{i_2, j_2=1}^L l_{i_2, j_2} \sum_{i_3, j_3=1}^L l_{i_3, j_3} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3} (\mathbf{e}_{\text{sc}}^* \cdot \mathbf{d}_{i_3, j_3}) (\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{i_1, j_1}) \mathcal{P} c_{i_3 \sigma_3}^\dagger c_{j_3 \sigma_3} c_{i_2 \sigma_2}^\dagger c_{j_2 \sigma_2} c_{i_1 \sigma_1}^\dagger c_{j_1 \sigma_1} \mathcal{P} \\ &= \frac{2\pi e^2}{\hbar V \sqrt{\omega_{\text{in}} \omega_{\text{sc}}}} \frac{t^3}{(U - \hbar\omega_{\text{in}})^2} \sum_{i_1, j_1=1}^L l_{i_1, j_1} \sum_{i_2, j_2=1}^L l_{i_2, j_2} \sum_{i_3, j_3=1}^L l_{i_3, j_3} \sum_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3} (\mathbf{e}_{\text{sc}}^* \cdot \mathbf{d}_{i_3, j_3}) (\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{i_1, j_1}) \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{P} \left[c_{i_3 \sigma_3}^\dagger c_{j_3 \sigma_3} c_{i_2 \sigma_2}^\dagger c_{j_2 \sigma_2} c_{i_1 \sigma_1}^\dagger c_{j_1 \sigma_1} + (-1)^3 d_{i_3 \sigma_3}^\dagger d_{j_3 \sigma_3} d_{i_2 \sigma_2}^\dagger d_{j_2 \sigma_2} d_{i_1 \sigma_1}^\dagger d_{j_1 \sigma_1} \right] \mathcal{P}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S32})$$

Substituting (S31) into (S28) with the single-occupancy constraint $\sum_{\sigma} d_{i\sigma}^\dagger d_{i\sigma} = 1$ in mind yields

$$\mathcal{P} c_{i\sigma_1}^\dagger c_{i\sigma_2} \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P} (-1)^{\delta_{\sigma_1, \downarrow} + \delta_{\sigma_2, \downarrow}} (\delta_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2} - d_{i\sigma_2}^\dagger d_{i\sigma_1}) \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P} [\delta_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2} + (-1)^{\delta_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2}} d_{i\sigma_2}^\dagger d_{i\sigma_1}] \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P} d_{i\sigma_1}^\dagger d_{i\sigma_2} \mathcal{P}, \quad (\text{S33})$$

showing that the electron and hole at each site have the same spin projection, and therefore, (S32) vanishes. Likewise, all the odd-integral- n vertices contribute nothing to the Raman intensity of our system (S23).

The next leading $n = 2$ term in (S27), which is of fourth order in t , reads

$$\begin{aligned} [4]\mathcal{R} &= \frac{-1}{(U - \hbar\omega_{\text{in}})^3} \mathcal{P}^{[1]} \mathcal{J} \mathcal{H}_t \mathcal{H}_t^{[1]} \mathcal{J} \mathcal{P} = \frac{2\pi e^2}{\hbar V \sqrt{\omega_{\text{in}} \omega_{\text{sc}}}} \frac{t^4}{(U - \hbar\omega_{\text{in}})^3} \sum_{i_1, j_1=1}^L l_{i_1, j_1} \sum_{i_2, j_2=1}^L l_{i_2, j_2} \sum_{i_3, j_3=1}^L l_{i_3, j_3} \sum_{i_4, j_4=1}^L l_{i_4, j_4} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4} (\mathbf{e}_{\text{sc}}^* \cdot \mathbf{d}_{i_4, j_4}) (\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{i_1, j_1}) \mathcal{P} c_{i_4 \sigma_4}^\dagger c_{j_4 \sigma_4} c_{i_3 \sigma_3}^\dagger c_{j_3 \sigma_3} c_{i_2 \sigma_2}^\dagger c_{j_2 \sigma_2} c_{i_1 \sigma_1}^\dagger c_{j_1 \sigma_1} \mathcal{P}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S34})$$

Figure S3 shows in what order how many electrons move in a variety of fourth-order hopping paths. After some algebra, we find the fourth-order Raman vertex as

$$\begin{aligned} [4]\mathcal{R} &= \frac{2\pi e^2}{\hbar V \sqrt{\omega_{\text{in}} \omega_{\text{sc}}}} \frac{t^4}{(U - \hbar\omega_{\text{in}})^3} \sum_{\langle i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 \rangle} \left\{ -4Q[(\mathbf{S}_{i_1} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{i_2})(\mathbf{S}_{i_3} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{i_4}) + (\mathbf{S}_{i_1} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{i_4})(\mathbf{S}_{i_3} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{i_2}) - (\mathbf{S}_{i_1} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{i_3})(\mathbf{S}_{i_2} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{i_4})] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2i \sum_{n=1}^4 \mathcal{T}_n \mathbf{S}_{i_{n+2}} \cdot (\mathbf{S}_{i_{n+1}} \times \mathbf{S}_{i_n}) + \sum_{n=1}^4 \mathcal{D}_n^+ \mathbf{S}_{i_n} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{i_{n+1}} + \sum_{n=1}^2 \mathcal{D}_n^\times \mathbf{S}_{i_n} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{i_{n+2}} \right\} \\ &\quad + \frac{2\pi e^2}{\hbar V \sqrt{\omega_{\text{in}} \omega_{\text{sc}}}} \frac{t^4}{(U - \hbar\omega_{\text{in}})^3} \sum_{\langle i_1, i_2, i_3 \rangle} \left\{ 4i\tilde{\mathcal{T}} \mathbf{S}_{i_3} \cdot (\mathbf{S}_{i_2} \times \mathbf{S}_{i_1}) + 2 \sum_{n=1}^2 \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_n^+ \mathbf{S}_{i_n} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{i_{n+1}} - 2\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_n^\times \mathbf{S}_{i_1} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{i_3} \right\}; \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S35})$$

$$Q \equiv \sum_{n=1}^4 (\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{n, n+1}) [\mathbf{e}_{\text{sc}}^* \cdot (\mathbf{d}_{n+1, n+2} + 2\mathbf{d}_{n+2, n+3} + \mathbf{d}_{n+3, n})], \quad (\text{S35a})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_n &\equiv (\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{n, n+1}) [\mathbf{e}_{\text{sc}}^* \cdot (-\mathbf{d}_{n+1, n+2} - 2\mathbf{d}_{n+2, n+3} + \mathbf{d}_{n+3, n})] + (\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{n+1, n+2}) [\mathbf{e}_{\text{sc}}^* \cdot (-\mathbf{d}_{n+2, n+3} + 2\mathbf{d}_{n+3, n} + \mathbf{d}_{n, n+1})] \\ &\quad + (\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{n+2, n+3}) [\mathbf{e}_{\text{sc}}^* \cdot (\mathbf{d}_{n+3, n} + 2\mathbf{d}_{n, n+1} + \mathbf{d}_{n+1, n+2})] + (\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{n+3, n}) [\mathbf{e}_{\text{sc}}^* \cdot (-\mathbf{d}_{n, n+1} - 2\mathbf{d}_{n+1, n+2} - \mathbf{d}_{n+2, n+3})], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S35b})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_n^+ &\equiv (\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{n, n+1}) [\mathbf{e}_{\text{sc}}^* \cdot (-\mathbf{d}_{n+1, n+2} + 2\mathbf{d}_{n+2, n+3} - \mathbf{d}_{n+3, n})] + (\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{n+1, n+2}) [\mathbf{e}_{\text{sc}}^* \cdot (\mathbf{d}_{n+2, n+3} - 2\mathbf{d}_{n+3, n} - \mathbf{d}_{n, n+1})] \\ &\quad + (\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{n+2, n+3}) [\mathbf{e}_{\text{sc}}^* \cdot (\mathbf{d}_{n+3, n} + 2\mathbf{d}_{n, n+1} + \mathbf{d}_{n+1, n+2})] + (\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{n+3, n}) [\mathbf{e}_{\text{sc}}^* \cdot (-\mathbf{d}_{n, n+1} - 2\mathbf{d}_{n+1, n+2} + \mathbf{d}_{n+2, n+3})], \\ \mathcal{D}_n^\times &\equiv (\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{n, n+1}) [\mathbf{e}_{\text{sc}}^* \cdot (\mathbf{d}_{n+1, n+2} + 2\mathbf{d}_{n+2, n+3} - \mathbf{d}_{n+3, n})] + (\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{n+1, n+2}) [\mathbf{e}_{\text{sc}}^* \cdot (-\mathbf{d}_{n+2, n+3} + 2\mathbf{d}_{n+3, n} + \mathbf{d}_{n, n+1})] \\ &\quad + (\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{n+2, n+3}) [\mathbf{e}_{\text{sc}}^* \cdot (\mathbf{d}_{n+3, n} + 2\mathbf{d}_{n, n+1} - \mathbf{d}_{n+1, n+2})] + (\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{n+3, n}) [\mathbf{e}_{\text{sc}}^* \cdot (-\mathbf{d}_{n, n+1} + 2\mathbf{d}_{n+1, n+2} + \mathbf{d}_{n+2, n+3})], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S35c})$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{T}} \equiv (\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{1,2}) (\mathbf{e}_{\text{sc}}^* \cdot \mathbf{d}_{2,3}) - (\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{2,3}) (\mathbf{e}_{\text{sc}}^* \cdot \mathbf{d}_{1,2}), \quad (\text{S35d})$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_n^+ \equiv (\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{n, n+1}) [\mathbf{e}_{\text{sc}}^* \cdot (\mathbf{d}_{1,2} + \mathbf{d}_{2,3})] + [\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} \cdot (\mathbf{d}_{1,2} + \mathbf{d}_{2,3})] (\mathbf{e}_{\text{sc}}^* \cdot \mathbf{d}_{n, n+1}),$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_n^\times \equiv (\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{1,2}) (\mathbf{e}_{\text{sc}}^* \cdot \mathbf{d}_{2,3}) + (\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{2,3}) (\mathbf{e}_{\text{sc}}^* \cdot \mathbf{d}_{1,2}), \quad (\text{S35e})$$

where $\sum_{\langle i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 \rangle}$ and $\sum_{\langle i_1, i_2, i_3 \rangle}$ run over four-site-cyclic and three-site-round paths, respectively, and we abbreviate $\mathbf{d}_{i_n, i_{n'}} \equiv \mathbf{r}_{i_{n'}} - \mathbf{r}_{i_n}$ as $\mathbf{d}_{n, n'}$ with $1 \leq n, n' \pmod{4} \leq 4$. The Shastry-Shraiman fourth-order mechanism is of major importance in the Raman response when the incident photon energy $\hbar\omega_{\text{in}}$ is in the near-resonant regime, $t \simeq |U - \hbar\omega_{\text{in}}|$.

Intending to further express the Raman operators (S30) and (S35) in terms of the bosonic language, we classify them according to the number of their constituent spin operators, which we shall denote by τ ,

$$\mathcal{R} \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{1 \text{ or } 2} [2n] \mathcal{R} = \sum_{n=1}^{1 \text{ or } 2} \sum_{\tau=2}^{2n} [2n]_{\tau} \mathcal{R}. \quad (\text{S36})$$

$[2n]_2 \mathcal{R}$, $[2n]_3 \mathcal{R}$, and $[2n]_4 \mathcal{R}$ consist of the Heisenberg pair exchange, three-spin scalar-chirality, and four-spin ring-exchange terms, respectively. They also read descending powers of the spin magnitude and each further break into components of different numbers of mediating magnons,

$$[2n]_{\tau} \mathcal{R} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} [2n]_{\tau} \mathcal{R}^{(\tau-m)} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^m [2n]_{\tau} \mathcal{R}_{2lM}^{(\tau-m)}, \quad (3)$$

where $[2n]_{\tau} \mathcal{R}^{(\tau-m)}$ is of order $S^{\tau-m}$ and $[2n]_{\tau} \mathcal{R}_{2lM}^{(\tau-m)}$ is a linear com-

bination of terms containing $2l$ magnon operators. We truncate the inverse-spin-magnitude series (3) at $m = 2$ to have the up-to- $O(S^0)$ Raman vertices

$$\mathcal{R} \simeq \sum_{n=1}^{p/2} \sum_{l=0}^2 [2n] \mathcal{R}_{2lM} = \sum_{n=1}^{p/2} \sum_{\tau=2}^{2n} \sum_{l=0}^2 \sum_{m=l}^2 [2n]_{\tau} \mathcal{R}_{2lM}^{(\tau-m)}, \quad (4)$$

where setting p equal to 2 and 4 corresponds to the Loudon-Fleury second-order and Shastry-Shraiman fourth-order perturbation schemes, respectively. We employ Wick's theorem so as for all the vertices $[2n]_{\tau} \mathcal{R}_{2lM}^{(\tau-m)}$ to be normal-ordered with respect to the quasiparticle magnon operators. $[2n]_{\tau} \mathcal{R}_{0M}$ merely contribute to elastic (Rayleigh) scatterings and are henceforth omitted.

With a tacit understanding of site indices being used as $i, k \in A$ and $j, l \in B$, various spin interactions are written in terms of HP bosons as

$$\mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j = -S^2 + S \left(a_i^{\dagger} a_i + b_j^{\dagger} b_j + a_i b_j + a_i^{\dagger} b_j^{\dagger} \right) - \left[a_i^{\dagger} a_i b_j^{\dagger} b_j + \frac{1}{4} \left(a_i^{\dagger} a_i a_i b_j + a_i^{\dagger} b_j^{\dagger} b_j^{\dagger} b_j + \text{H.c.} \right) \right] + O(S^{-1}), \quad (\text{S37})$$

$$\mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_k = S^2 + S \left(-a_i^{\dagger} a_i - a_k^{\dagger} a_k + a_i a_k^{\dagger} + a_i^{\dagger} a_k \right) + \left[a_i^{\dagger} a_i a_k^{\dagger} a_k - \frac{1}{4} \left(a_i^{\dagger} a_i a_i a_k^{\dagger} + a_i^{\dagger} a_k^{\dagger} a_k a_k + \text{H.c.} \right) \right] + O(S^{-1}), \quad (\text{S38})$$

$$\mathbf{S}_j \cdot \mathbf{S}_l = S^2 + S \left(-b_j^{\dagger} b_j - b_l^{\dagger} b_l + b_j b_l^{\dagger} + b_j^{\dagger} b_l \right) + \left[b_j^{\dagger} b_j b_l^{\dagger} b_l - \frac{1}{4} \left(b_j^{\dagger} b_j b_j b_l^{\dagger} + b_j^{\dagger} b_l^{\dagger} b_l b_l + \text{H.c.} \right) \right] + O(S^{-1}), \quad (\text{S39})$$

$$i\mathbf{S}_i \cdot (\mathbf{S}_j \times \mathbf{S}_k) = S^2 \left(a_i^{\dagger} b_j^{\dagger} + a_i^{\dagger} a_k + a_k b_j - \text{H.c.} \right) + S \left[a_i b_j a_k^{\dagger} a_k + a_i a_k^{\dagger} b_j^{\dagger} b_j + a_i^{\dagger} a_i a_k^{\dagger} b_j^{\dagger} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{4} \left(a_i^{\dagger} a_i a_i b_j + a_i b_j^{\dagger} b_j b_j + a_i a_k^{\dagger} a_k^{\dagger} a_k + a_i^{\dagger} a_i a_i a_k^{\dagger} + a_k^{\dagger} a_k^{\dagger} a_k b_j^{\dagger} + a_k^{\dagger} b_j^{\dagger} b_j^{\dagger} b_j \right) - \text{H.c.} \right] + O(S^0), \quad (\text{S40})$$

$$i\mathbf{S}_j \cdot (\mathbf{S}_k \times \mathbf{S}_l) = S^2 \left(a_k^{\dagger} b_j^{\dagger} + b_j^{\dagger} b_l + a_k b_l - \text{H.c.} \right) + S \left[a_k b_j b_l^{\dagger} b_l + a_k^{\dagger} a_k b_j b_l^{\dagger} + b_j^{\dagger} b_j a_k^{\dagger} b_l \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{4} \left(a_k^{\dagger} a_k a_k b_j + a_k b_j^{\dagger} b_j b_j + b_j b_l^{\dagger} b_l^{\dagger} b_l + b_j^{\dagger} b_j b_j b_l^{\dagger} + a_k^{\dagger} a_k^{\dagger} a_k b_l^{\dagger} + a_k^{\dagger} b_l^{\dagger} b_l^{\dagger} b_l \right) - \text{H.c.} \right] + O(S^0), \quad (\text{S41})$$

$$(\mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j)(\mathbf{S}_k \cdot \mathbf{S}_l) = S^4 - S^3 \left(a_i^{\dagger} a_i + b_j^{\dagger} b_j + a_i b_j + a_i^{\dagger} b_j^{\dagger} + a_k^{\dagger} a_k + b_l^{\dagger} b_l + a_k b_l + a_k^{\dagger} b_l^{\dagger} \right) \\ + S^2 \left[a_i^{\dagger} a_i a_k^{\dagger} a_k + a_i^{\dagger} a_i b_l^{\dagger} b_l + a_i^{\dagger} a_i a_k b_l + a_i^{\dagger} a_i a_k^{\dagger} b_l^{\dagger} + b_j^{\dagger} b_j a_k^{\dagger} a_k + b_j^{\dagger} b_j b_l^{\dagger} b_l + b_j^{\dagger} b_j a_k b_l + b_j^{\dagger} b_j a_k^{\dagger} b_l^{\dagger} \right. \\ \left. + a_i b_j a_k^{\dagger} a_k + a_i b_j b_l^{\dagger} b_l + a_i b_j a_k b_l + a_i b_j a_k^{\dagger} b_l^{\dagger} + a_i^{\dagger} b_j^{\dagger} a_k^{\dagger} a_k + a_i^{\dagger} b_j^{\dagger} b_l^{\dagger} b_l + a_i^{\dagger} b_j^{\dagger} a_k b_l + a_i^{\dagger} b_j^{\dagger} a_k^{\dagger} b_l^{\dagger} \right. \\ \left. + a_i^{\dagger} a_i b_j^{\dagger} b_j + \frac{1}{4} \left(a_i^{\dagger} a_i a_i b_j + a_i^{\dagger} b_j^{\dagger} b_j^{\dagger} b_j + \text{H.c.} \right) + a_k^{\dagger} a_k b_l^{\dagger} b_l + \frac{1}{4} \left(a_k^{\dagger} a_k a_k b_l + a_k^{\dagger} b_l^{\dagger} b_l^{\dagger} b_l + \text{H.c.} \right) \right] + O(S^1), \quad (\text{S42})$$

$$(\mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_k)(\mathbf{S}_j \cdot \mathbf{S}_l) = S^4 + S^3 \left(-a_i^{\dagger} a_i - a_k^{\dagger} a_k + a_i a_k^{\dagger} + a_i^{\dagger} a_k - b_j^{\dagger} b_j - b_l^{\dagger} b_l + b_j^{\dagger} b_l + b_j b_l^{\dagger} \right) \\ + S^2 \left[a_i^{\dagger} a_i b_j^{\dagger} b_j + a_i^{\dagger} a_i b_l^{\dagger} b_l - a_i^{\dagger} a_i b_j^{\dagger} b_l - a_i^{\dagger} a_i b_j b_l^{\dagger} + a_k^{\dagger} a_k b_j^{\dagger} b_j + a_k^{\dagger} a_k b_l^{\dagger} b_l - a_k^{\dagger} a_k b_j^{\dagger} b_l - a_k^{\dagger} a_k b_j b_l^{\dagger} \right. \\ \left. - a_i a_k^{\dagger} b_j^{\dagger} b_j - a_i a_k^{\dagger} b_l^{\dagger} b_l + a_i a_k^{\dagger} b_j^{\dagger} b_l + a_i a_k^{\dagger} b_j b_l^{\dagger} - a_i^{\dagger} a_k b_j^{\dagger} b_j - a_i^{\dagger} a_k b_l^{\dagger} b_l + a_i^{\dagger} a_k b_j^{\dagger} b_l + a_i^{\dagger} a_k b_j b_l^{\dagger} \right. \\ \left. + a_i^{\dagger} a_i a_k^{\dagger} a_k - \frac{1}{4} \left(a_i^{\dagger} a_i a_i a_k^{\dagger} + a_i^{\dagger} a_k^{\dagger} a_k a_k + \text{H.c.} \right) + b_j^{\dagger} b_j b_l^{\dagger} b_l - \frac{1}{4} \left(b_j^{\dagger} b_j^{\dagger} b_j b_l + b_j b_l^{\dagger} b_l^{\dagger} b_l + \text{H.c.} \right) \right] + O(S^1). \quad (\text{S43})$$

Via the Bogoliubov transformation (S10), the two-magnon (2M)- and four-magnon (4M)-mediated Raman scattering operators read

$$\sum_{n=1}^{p/2} [2n] \mathcal{R}_{2M} = \sum_{l_-, l'_-} [p] W_{l_- l'_-}^{(1)} \alpha_{l_-}^{-\dagger} \alpha_{l'_-}^{-} + \sum_{l_+, l'_+} [p] W_{l_+ l'_+}^{(2)} \alpha_{l_+}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l'_+}^{-\dagger} + \sum_{l_-, l'_+} [p] W_{l_- l'_+}^{(3)} \alpha_{l_-}^{-} \alpha_{l'_+}^{+\dagger} + \sum_{l_+, l'_+} [p] W_{l_+ l'_+}^{(4)} \alpha_{l_+}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l'_+}^{+\dagger} \quad (\text{S44})$$

with $[p] W_{l_+ l'_+}^{(2)} = [p] W_{l'_+ l_+}^{(3)*}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{p/2} [2n] \mathcal{R}_{4M} = & \sum_{l_-, l'_-, l''_-, l'''_-} [p] X_{l_- l'_- l''_- l'''_-}^{(1)} \alpha_{l_-}^{-\dagger} \alpha_{l'_-}^{-\dagger} \alpha_{l''_-}^{-} \alpha_{l'''_-}^{-} \\ & + \sum_{l_-, l'_+, l''_+, l'''_+} [p] X_{l_- l'_+ l''_+ l'''_+}^{(2)} \alpha_{l_-}^{-\dagger} \alpha_{l'_+}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l''_+}^{-} \alpha_{l'''_+}^{-} \\ & + \sum_{l_+, l'_-, l''_-, l'''_-} [p] X_{l_+ l'_- l''_- l'''_-}^{(3)} \alpha_{l_+}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l'_-}^{-\dagger} \alpha_{l''_-}^{-} \alpha_{l'''_-}^{-} \\ & + \sum_{l_+, l'_+, l''_+, l'''_+} [p] X_{l_+ l'_+ l''_+ l'''_+}^{(4)} \alpha_{l_+}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l'_+}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l''_+}^{-} \alpha_{l'''_+}^{-} \\ & + \sum_{l_+, l'_+, l''_+, l'''_+} [p] X_{l_+ l'_+ l''_+ l'''_+}^{(5)} \alpha_{l_+}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l'_+}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l''_+}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l'''_+}^{-} \\ & + \sum_{l_+, l'_+, l''_+, l'''_+} [p] X_{l_+ l'_+ l''_+ l'''_+}^{(6)} \alpha_{l_+}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l'_+}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l''_+}^{-\dagger} \alpha_{l'''_+}^{+\dagger} \\ & + \sum_{l_+, l'_+, l''_+, l'''_+} [p] X_{l_+ l'_+ l''_+ l'''_+}^{(7)} \alpha_{l_+}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l'_+}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l''_+}^{-\dagger} \alpha_{l'''_+}^{-\dagger} \\ & + \sum_{l_+, l'_+, l''_+, l'''_+} [p] X_{l_+ l'_+ l''_+ l'''_+}^{(8)} \alpha_{l_+}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l'_+}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l''_+}^{-} \alpha_{l'''_+}^{-} \\ & + \sum_{l_+, l'_+, l''_+, l'''_+} [p] X_{l_+ l'_+ l''_+ l'''_+}^{(9)} \alpha_{l_+}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l'_+}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l''_+}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l'''_+}^{+\dagger} \quad (\text{S45}) \end{aligned}$$

with $[p] X_{l_+ l'_+ l''_+ l'''_+}^{(2)} = [p] X_{l'_+ l_+ l''_+ l'''_+}^{(3)*}$, $[p] X_{l_+ l'_+ l''_+ l'''_+}^{(5)} = [p] X_{l'_+ l_+ l''_+ l'''_+}^{(6)*}$, and $[p] X_{l_+ l'_+ l''_+ l'''_+}^{(7)} = [p] X_{l'_+ l_+ l''_+ l'''_+}^{(8)*}$. The coefficients $[p] W_{l_\sigma l'_\sigma}^{(m)}$ and $[p] X_{l_\sigma l'_\sigma l''_\sigma l'''_\sigma}^{(m)}$ are numerically obtained.

S3. Green's Function Formalism

Putting $\alpha(t) \equiv e^{i\mathcal{H}t/\hbar} \alpha e^{-i\mathcal{H}t/\hbar}$ for any operator α , we introduce the l ($1 \leq l \leq 4$)-magnon (l M) Green functions (GFs) for the corrected ground state $|0\rangle$,

$$G_{l_\sigma}^{k_\sigma}(t) \equiv -i \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k_\sigma}^\tau(t) \alpha_{l_\sigma}^{\sigma\dagger} | 0 \rangle, \quad (\text{S46a})$$

$$G_{l_\sigma l'_\sigma}^{k_\sigma k'_\sigma}(t) \equiv -i \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k_\sigma}^\sigma(t) \alpha_{k'_\sigma}^{\sigma'}(t) \alpha_{l_\sigma}^{\sigma\dagger} \alpha_{l'_\sigma}^{\sigma'\dagger} | 0 \rangle, \quad (\text{S46b})$$

$$G_{l_\sigma l'_\sigma l''_\sigma}^{k_\sigma k'_\sigma k''_\sigma}(t) \equiv -i \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k_\sigma}^\sigma(t) \alpha_{k'_\sigma}^\sigma(t) \alpha_{k''_\sigma}^{\bar{\sigma}}(t) \alpha_{l_\sigma}^{\sigma\dagger} \alpha_{l'_\sigma}^{\sigma\dagger} \alpha_{l''_\sigma}^{\bar{\sigma}\dagger} | 0 \rangle, \quad (\text{S46c})$$

$$\begin{aligned} & G_{l_\sigma l'_\sigma l''_\sigma l'''_\sigma}^{k_\sigma k'_\sigma k''_\sigma k'''_\sigma}(t) \\ & \equiv -i \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k_\sigma}^\sigma(t) \alpha_{k'_\sigma}^\sigma(t) \alpha_{k''_\sigma}^{\bar{\sigma}}(t) \alpha_{k'''_\sigma}^{\bar{\sigma}}(t) \alpha_{l_\sigma}^{\sigma\dagger} \alpha_{l'_\sigma}^{\sigma\dagger} \alpha_{l''_\sigma}^{\bar{\sigma}\dagger} \alpha_{l'''_\sigma}^{\bar{\sigma}\dagger} | 0 \rangle, \quad (\text{S46d}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma(\equiv -\bar{\sigma})$, σ' , and τ take \pm and \mathcal{T} denotes the time-ordering operator with respect to the unperturbed magnon operators emergent in (2), and define their Fourier transforms as

$$G_{l_\sigma}^{k_\sigma}(\omega) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{i\omega t} G_{l_\sigma}^{k_\sigma}(t), \quad (\text{S47a})$$

$$G_{l_\sigma l'_\sigma}^{k_\sigma k'_\sigma}(\omega) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{i\omega t} G_{l_\sigma l'_\sigma}^{k_\sigma k'_\sigma}(t), \quad (\text{S47b})$$

$$G_{l_\sigma l'_\sigma l''_\sigma}^{k_\sigma k'_\sigma k''_\sigma}(\omega) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{i\omega t} G_{l_\sigma l'_\sigma l''_\sigma}^{k_\sigma k'_\sigma k''_\sigma}(t), \quad (\text{S47c})$$

$$G_{l_\sigma l'_\sigma l''_\sigma l'''_\sigma}^{k_\sigma k'_\sigma k''_\sigma k'''_\sigma}(\omega) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{i\omega t} G_{l_\sigma l'_\sigma l''_\sigma l'''_\sigma}^{k_\sigma k'_\sigma k''_\sigma k'''_\sigma}(t). \quad (\text{S47d})$$

\mathcal{H}_{BL} is nothing but the $O(S^0)$ Hartree-Fock Hamiltonian and the residual $O(S^0)$ interaction : $\mathcal{H}^{(0)}$: has no effect on the 1M GFs, i.e., $\langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k_\tau}^\tau(t) \alpha_{l_\sigma}^{\sigma\dagger} | 0 \rangle = {}_{\text{BL}} \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k_\tau}^\tau(t) {}_{\text{BL}} \alpha_{l_\sigma}^{\sigma\dagger} | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} \equiv i G_{l_\sigma}^{k_\tau}(t)_{\text{BL}}$ with $\alpha(t)_{\text{BL}} \equiv e^{i\mathcal{H}_{\text{BL}}t/\hbar} \alpha e^{-i\mathcal{H}_{\text{BL}}t/\hbar}$. Then the Dyson equation for the 1M GFs reduces to

$$G_{l_\sigma}^{k_\tau}(\omega) = G_{l_\sigma}^{k_\tau}(\omega)_{\text{BL}} = \frac{\hbar \delta_{k_\tau, l_\sigma}}{\hbar \omega - \varepsilon_{l_\sigma}^\sigma + i\eta}, \quad (\text{S48})$$

where η is understood to be infinitesimal. Next we consider the ladder-approximation Bethe-Salpeter equations for the 2M GFs

$$\begin{aligned} G_{l_+ l'_-}^{k_+ k'_-}(\omega) = & G_{l_+ l'_-}^{k_+ k'_-}(\omega)_{\text{BL}} - \frac{J}{\hbar} \sum_{p_+, p'_-} \sum_{q_+, q'_-} G_{p_+ p'_-}^{k_+ k'_-}(\omega)_{\text{BL}} \\ & \times \sum_{i \in A} \sum_{j \in B} l_{i,j} V_{ij; p_+, q_+, p'_-, q'_-}^{(4)} G_{l_+ l'_-}^{q_+ q'_-}(\omega), \quad (\text{S49a}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_{l_+ l'_+}^{k_+ k'_+}(\omega) = & G_{l_+ l'_+}^{k_+ k'_+}(\omega)_{\text{BL}} - \frac{J}{\hbar} \sum_{p_+, p'_+} \sum_{q_+, q'_+} G_{p_+ p'_+}^{k_+ k'_+}(\omega)_{\text{BL}} \\ & \times \sum_{i \in A} \sum_{j \in B} l_{i,j} V_{ij; p_+, q_+, p'_+, q'_+}^{(9)} G_{l_+ l'_+}^{q_+ q'_+}(\omega), \quad (\text{S49b}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_{l_- l'_-}^{k_- k'_-}(\omega) = & G_{l_- l'_-}^{k_- k'_-}(\omega)_{\text{BL}} - \frac{J}{\hbar} \sum_{p_-, p'_-} \sum_{q_-, q'_-} G_{p_- p'_-}^{k_- k'_-}(\omega)_{\text{BL}} \\ & \times \sum_{i \in A} \sum_{j \in B} l_{i,j} V_{ij; p_-, q_-, p'_-, q'_-}^{(1)} G_{l_- l'_-}^{q_- q'_-}(\omega), \quad (\text{S49c}) \end{aligned}$$

denoting the unperturbed 2M GFs by

$$\begin{aligned} & G_{l_\sigma l'_\sigma}^{k_\sigma k'_\sigma}(\omega)_{\text{BL}} \\ & \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{i} e^{i\omega t} {}_{\text{BL}} \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k_\sigma}^\sigma(t) {}_{\text{BL}} \alpha_{k'_\sigma}^{\sigma'}(t) {}_{\text{BL}} \alpha_{l_\sigma}^{\sigma\dagger} \alpha_{l'_\sigma}^{\sigma'\dagger} | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} \\ & = i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{i\omega t} \left[G_{l_\sigma}^{k_\sigma}(t)_{\text{BL}} G_{l'_\sigma}^{k'_\sigma}(t)_{\text{BL}} + G_{l'_\sigma}^{k'_\sigma}(t)_{\text{BL}} G_{l_\sigma}^{k_\sigma}(t)_{\text{BL}} \right] \\ & = \frac{\hbar (\delta_{k_\sigma, l_\sigma} \delta_{k'_\sigma, l'_\sigma} + \delta_{k_\sigma, l'_\sigma} \delta_{k'_\sigma, l_\sigma})}{\hbar \omega - \varepsilon_{l_\sigma}^\sigma - \varepsilon_{l'_\sigma}^{\sigma'} + i\eta}. \quad (\text{S50}) \end{aligned}$$

We solve the eigenequations obtained from (S49a)–(S49c),

$$\mathcal{V}^{\sigma\sigma'} \mathbf{g}_\lambda^{\sigma\sigma'} = \hbar \Omega_\lambda^{\sigma\sigma'} \mathbf{g}_\lambda^{\sigma\sigma'}, \quad (\text{S51})$$

where $\mathbf{g}_\lambda^{\sigma\sigma'}$ are the column vectors of dimension $L_\sigma L_{\sigma'}$ whose $[(k'_\sigma - 1)L_\sigma + k_\sigma]$ -elements are given by

$$[\mathbf{g}_\lambda^{\sigma\sigma'}]_{(k'_\sigma - 1)L_\sigma + k_\sigma} \equiv g_\lambda^{k_\sigma k'_\sigma} \equiv \langle 0 | \alpha_{k_\sigma}^\sigma \alpha_{k'_\sigma}^{\sigma'} | \lambda \rangle, \quad (\text{S52})$$

whereas $\mathcal{V}^{\sigma\sigma'}$ are the matrices of dimension $L_\sigma L_{\sigma'} \times L_\sigma L_{\sigma'}$ whose $[(k'_\sigma - 1)L_\sigma + k_\sigma, (l'_\sigma - 1)L_\sigma + l_\sigma]$ -elements are given by

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mathcal{V}^{+-}]_{(k'_- - 1)L_+ + k_+, (l'_- - 1)L_+ + l_+} \\ & = \delta_{k_+, l_+} \delta_{k'_-, l'_-} (\varepsilon_{l_+}^+ + \varepsilon_{l'_-}^-) - J \sum_{i \in A} \sum_{j \in B} l_{i,j} V_{ij; k_+, l_+, k'_-, l'_-}^{(4)}, \quad (\text{S53a}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mathcal{V}^{++}]_{(k'_+ - 1)L_+ + k_+, (l'_+ - 1)L_+ + l_+} \\ & = \delta_{k_+, l_+} \delta_{k'_+, l'_+} (\varepsilon_{l_+}^+ + \varepsilon_{l'_+}^+) - 2J \sum_{i \in A} \sum_{j \in B} l_{i,j} V_{ij; k_+, l_+, k'_+, l'_+}^{(9)}, \quad (\text{S53b}) \end{aligned}$$

$$[\mathcal{V}^{--}]_{(k'_- - 1)L_- + k_-, (l'_- - 1)L_- + l_-} = \delta_{k_-, l_-} \delta_{k'_-, l'_-} (\varepsilon_{l_-}^- + \varepsilon_{l'_-}^-) - 2J \sum_{i \in A} \sum_{j \in B} l_{i,j} V_{ij; k_- k'_- l_- l'_-}^{(1)}. \quad (\text{S53c})$$

The Lehmann representation¹¹⁾ of the 2M GFs reads

$$G_{l_\sigma l'_\sigma}^{k_\sigma k'_\sigma}(\omega) = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{L_\sigma L_{\sigma'} - 1} \frac{\hbar g_{\lambda}^{k_\sigma k'_\sigma} (g_{\lambda}^{l_\sigma l'_\sigma})^*}{\hbar\omega - \hbar\Omega_{\lambda}^{\sigma\sigma'} + i\eta}. \quad (\text{S54})$$

Likewise, we consider the three-leg-ladder analogs^{12, 13)} of the Bethe-Salpeter equations for the 3M GFs

$$G_{l_+ l'_+ l''_+}^{k_+ k'_+ k''_+}(\omega) = G_{l_+ l'_+ l''_+}^{k_+ k'_+ k''_+}(\omega)_{\text{BL}} - \frac{J}{\hbar} \sum_{p_+, p'_+, p''_+} \sum_{q_+, q'_+, q''_+} G_{p_+ p'_+ p''_+}^{k_+ k'_+ k''_+}(\omega)_{\text{BL}} \times \sum_{i \in A} \sum_{j \in B} l_{i,j} \left(\delta_{p''_+, q''_+} V_{ij; p_+ p'_+ q_+ q'_+}^{(9)} + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{p_+, q_+} V_{ij; p'_+ q'_+ p''_+ q''_+}^{(4)} + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{p'_+, q'_+} V_{ij; p_+ q_+ p''_+ q''_+}^{(4)} \right) G_{l_+ l'_+ l''_+}^{q_+ q'_+ q''_+}(\omega), \quad (\text{S55a})$$

$$G_{l_- l'_- l''_-}^{k_- k'_- k''_-}(\omega) = G_{l_- l'_- l''_-}^{k_- k'_- k''_-}(\omega)_{\text{BL}} - \frac{J}{\hbar} \sum_{p_-, p'_-, p''_-} \sum_{q_-, q'_-, q''_-} G_{p_- p'_- p''_-}^{k_- k'_- k''_-}(\omega)_{\text{BL}} \times \sum_{i \in A} \sum_{j \in B} l_{i,j} \left(\delta_{p''_-, q''_-} V_{ij; p_- p'_- q_- q'_-}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{p_-, q_-} V_{ij; p'_- q'_- p''_- q''_-}^{(4)} + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{p'_-, q'_-} V_{ij; p_- q_- p''_- q''_-}^{(4)} \right) G_{l_- l'_- l''_-}^{q_- q'_- q''_-}(\omega), \quad (\text{S55b})$$

denoting the unperturbed 3M GFs by

$$G_{l_\sigma l'_\sigma l''_\sigma}^{k_\sigma k'_\sigma k''_\sigma}(\omega)_{\text{BL}} \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{i} e^{i\omega t} {}_{\text{BL}} \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k_\sigma}^\sigma(t) {}_{\text{BL}} \alpha_{k'_\sigma}^\sigma(t) {}_{\text{BL}} \alpha_{k''_\sigma}^{\bar{\sigma}}(t) {}_{\text{BL}} \alpha_{l_\sigma}^{\sigma\dagger} \alpha_{l'_\sigma}^{\sigma\dagger} \alpha_{l''_\sigma}^{\bar{\sigma}\dagger} | 0 \rangle_{\text{BL}} \\ = - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{i\omega t} \left[G_{l_\sigma}^{k_\sigma}(t) {}_{\text{BL}} G_{l'_\sigma}^{k'_\sigma}(t) {}_{\text{BL}} + G_{l'_\sigma}^{k'_\sigma}(t) {}_{\text{BL}} G_{l_\sigma}^{k_\sigma}(t) {}_{\text{BL}} \right] G_{l''_\sigma}^{k''_\sigma}(t) {}_{\text{BL}} \\ = \frac{\hbar(\delta_{k_\sigma, l_\sigma} \delta_{k'_\sigma, l'_\sigma} + \delta_{k_\sigma, l'_\sigma} \delta_{k'_\sigma, l_\sigma}) \delta_{k''_\sigma, l''_\sigma}}{\hbar\omega - \varepsilon_{l_\sigma}^\sigma - \varepsilon_{l'_\sigma}^\sigma - \varepsilon_{l''_\sigma}^{\bar{\sigma}} + i\eta}. \quad (\text{S56})$$

We solve the eigenequations obtained from (S55a) and (S55b),

$$\mathcal{V}^{\sigma\sigma\bar{\sigma}} \mathbf{g}_\lambda^{\sigma\sigma\bar{\sigma}} = \hbar\Omega_{\lambda}^{\sigma\sigma\bar{\sigma}} \mathbf{g}_\lambda^{\sigma\sigma\bar{\sigma}}, \quad (\text{S57})$$

where $\mathbf{g}_\lambda^{\sigma\sigma\bar{\sigma}}$ are the column vectors of dimension $L_\sigma^2 L_{\bar{\sigma}}$ whose $[(k''_\sigma - 1)L_\sigma^2 + (k'_\sigma - 1)L_\sigma + k_\sigma]$ -elements are given by

$$[\mathbf{g}_\lambda^{\sigma\sigma\bar{\sigma}}]_{(k''_\sigma - 1)L_\sigma^2 + (k'_\sigma - 1)L_\sigma + k_\sigma} \equiv g_\lambda^{k_\sigma k'_\sigma k''_\sigma} \equiv \langle 0 | \alpha_{k_\sigma}^\sigma \alpha_{k'_\sigma}^\sigma \alpha_{k''_\sigma}^{\bar{\sigma}} | \lambda \rangle, \quad (\text{S58})$$

while $\mathcal{V}^{\sigma\sigma\bar{\sigma}}$ are the matrices of dimension $L_\sigma^2 L_{\bar{\sigma}} \times L_\sigma^2 L_{\bar{\sigma}}$ whose $[(k''_\sigma - 1)L_\sigma^2 + (k'_\sigma - 1)L_\sigma + k_\sigma, (l''_\sigma - 1)L_\sigma^2 + (l'_\sigma - 1)L_\sigma + l_\sigma]$ -elements are given by

$$[\mathcal{V}^{++-}]_{(k''_+ - 1)L_+^2 + (k'_+ - 1)L_+ + k_+, (l''_+ - 1)L_+^2 + (l'_+ - 1)L_+ + l_+} = \delta_{k_+, l_+} \delta_{k'_+, l'_+} \delta_{k''_+, l''_+} (\varepsilon_{l_+}^+ + \varepsilon_{l'_+}^+ + \varepsilon_{l''_+}^-) - J \sum_{i \in A} \sum_{j \in B} l_{i,j} \left(2\delta_{k''_+, l''_+} V_{ij; k_+ k'_+ l_+ l'_+}^{(9)} + \delta_{k_+, l_+} V_{ij; k'_+ l'_+ k''_+ l''_+}^{(4)} + \delta_{k'_+, l'_+} V_{ij; k_+ l_+ k''_+ l''_+}^{(4)} \right), \quad (\text{S59a})$$

$$[\mathcal{V}^{--+}]_{(k''_- - 1)L_-^2 + (k'_- - 1)L_- + k_-, (l''_- - 1)L_-^2 + (l'_- - 1)L_- + l_-} = \delta_{k_-, l_-} \delta_{k'_-, l'_-} \delta_{k''_-, l''_-} (\varepsilon_{l_-}^- + \varepsilon_{l'_-}^- + \varepsilon_{l''_-}^+) - J \sum_{i \in A} \sum_{j \in B} l_{i,j} \left(2\delta_{k''_-, l''_-} V_{ij; k_- k'_- l_- l'_-}^{(1)} + \delta_{k_-, l_-} V_{ij; k'_- l'_- k''_- l''_-}^{(4)} + \delta_{k'_-, l'_-} V_{ij; k_- l_- k''_- l''_-}^{(4)} \right). \quad (\text{S59b})$$

The Lehmann representation of the 3M GFs reads

$$G_{l_\sigma l'_\sigma l''_\sigma}^{k_\sigma k'_\sigma k''_\sigma}(\omega) = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{L_\sigma^2 L_{\bar{\sigma}} - 1} \frac{\hbar g_{\lambda}^{k_\sigma k'_\sigma k''_\sigma} (g_{\lambda}^{l_\sigma l'_\sigma l''_\sigma})^*}{\hbar\omega - \hbar\Omega_{\lambda}^{\sigma\sigma\bar{\sigma}} + i\eta}. \quad (\text{S60})$$

Since any perturbative renormalization is hardly tractable for more-than-3M GFs, we decompose them into less-than-4M GFs.¹⁴⁾ The 4M GFs can be approximated by the 2M GFs on one hand,

$$2iG_{l_+ l'_+ l''_+}^{k_+ k'_+ k''_+}(t) = 2\langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k_+}^+(t) \alpha_{k'_+}^+(t) \alpha_{k''_+}^-(t) \alpha_{l_+}^{\dagger\dagger} \alpha_{l'_+}^{\dagger\dagger} \alpha_{l''_+}^{\dagger\dagger} | 0 \rangle \\ \simeq \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k_+}^+(t) \alpha_{k'_+}^-(t) \alpha_{l_+}^{\dagger\dagger} \alpha_{l'_+}^{\dagger\dagger} | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k'_+}^+(t) \alpha_{k''_+}^-(t) \alpha_{l'_+}^{\dagger\dagger} \alpha_{l''_+}^{\dagger\dagger} | 0 \rangle + \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k_+}^+(t) \alpha_{k''_+}^-(t) \alpha_{l_+}^{\dagger\dagger} \alpha_{l''_+}^{\dagger\dagger} | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k'_+}^+(t) \alpha_{k''_+}^-(t) \alpha_{l'_+}^{\dagger\dagger} \alpha_{l''_+}^{\dagger\dagger} | 0 \rangle \\ + \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k_+}^+(t) \alpha_{k''_+}^-(t) \alpha_{l'_+}^{\dagger\dagger} \alpha_{l''_+}^{\dagger\dagger} | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k'_+}^+(t) \alpha_{k''_+}^-(t) \alpha_{l_+}^{\dagger\dagger} \alpha_{l''_+}^{\dagger\dagger} | 0 \rangle + \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k_+}^+(t) \alpha_{k'_+}^-(t) \alpha_{l_+}^{\dagger\dagger} \alpha_{l''_+}^{\dagger\dagger} | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k'_+}^+(t) \alpha_{k''_+}^-(t) \alpha_{l_+}^{\dagger\dagger} \alpha_{l''_+}^{\dagger\dagger} | 0 \rangle \\ + \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k_+}^+(t) \alpha_{k'_+}^-(t) \alpha_{l_+}^{\dagger\dagger} \alpha_{l''_+}^{\dagger\dagger} | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k''_+}^-(t) \alpha_{k''_+}^-(t) \alpha_{l'_+}^{\dagger\dagger} \alpha_{l''_+}^{\dagger\dagger} | 0 \rangle, \quad (\text{S61})$$

and by the 3M and 1M GFs on the other hand,

$$4iG_{l_+ l'_+ l''_+}^{k_+ k'_+ k''_+}(t) = 4\langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k_+}^+(t) \alpha_{k'_+}^+(t) \alpha_{k''_+}^-(t) \alpha_{l_+}^{\dagger\dagger} \alpha_{l'_+}^{\dagger\dagger} \alpha_{l''_+}^{\dagger\dagger} | 0 \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\simeq \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k_+}^+ (t) \alpha_{l_+}^{+\dagger} | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k_+}^+ (t) \alpha_{k_-}^- (t) \alpha_{k_-}^- (t) \alpha_{l_+}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l_-}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l_-}^{+\dagger} | 0 \rangle + \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k_+}^+ (t) \alpha_{l_+}^{+\dagger} | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k_+}^+ (t) \alpha_{k_-}^- (t) \alpha_{k_-}^- (t) \alpha_{l_+}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l_-}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l_-}^{+\dagger} | 0 \rangle \\
&+ \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k_+}^+ (t) \alpha_{l_+}^{+\dagger} | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k_+}^+ (t) \alpha_{k_-}^- (t) \alpha_{k_-}^- (t) \alpha_{l_+}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l_-}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l_-}^{+\dagger} | 0 \rangle + \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k_+}^+ (t) \alpha_{l_+}^{+\dagger} | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k_+}^+ (t) \alpha_{k_-}^- (t) \alpha_{k_-}^- (t) \alpha_{l_+}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l_-}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l_-}^{+\dagger} | 0 \rangle \\
&+ \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k_-}^- (t) \alpha_{l_-}^{+\dagger} | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k_+}^+ (t) \alpha_{k_+}^+ (t) \alpha_{k_-}^- (t) \alpha_{l_+}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l_-}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l_-}^{+\dagger} | 0 \rangle + \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k_-}^- (t) \alpha_{l_-}^{+\dagger} | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k_+}^+ (t) \alpha_{k_+}^+ (t) \alpha_{k_-}^- (t) \alpha_{l_+}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l_-}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l_-}^{+\dagger} | 0 \rangle \\
&+ \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k_-}^- (t) \alpha_{l_-}^{+\dagger} | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k_+}^+ (t) \alpha_{k_+}^+ (t) \alpha_{k_-}^- (t) \alpha_{l_+}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l_-}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l_-}^{+\dagger} | 0 \rangle + \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k_-}^- (t) \alpha_{l_-}^{+\dagger} | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \alpha_{k_+}^+ (t) \alpha_{k_+}^+ (t) \alpha_{k_-}^- (t) \alpha_{l_+}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l_-}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l_-}^{+\dagger} | 0 \rangle. \quad (\text{S61}')
\end{aligned}$$

Since the coefficient of the Raman correlation function $^{[p]}X_{l_+l_+l_-l_-}$ is symmetric with respect to the replacements $l_+ \leftrightarrow l_+$ and $l_- \leftrightarrow l_-$, the 4M GFs can be expressed as

$$G_{l_+l_+l_-l_-}^{k_+k_+k_-k_-} (t) \simeq 2iG_{l_+l_+}^{k_+k_+} (t)G_{l_-l_-}^{k_-k_-} (t) + \frac{i}{2}G_{l_+l_+}^{k_+k_+} (t)G_{l_-l_-}^{k_-k_-} (t), \quad (\text{S62})$$

$$G_{l_+l_+l_-l_-}^{k_+k_+k_-k_-} (t) \simeq iG_{l_+l_+}^{k_+k_+} (t)G_{l_-l_-}^{k_-k_-} (t) + iG_{l_-l_-}^{k_-k_-} (t)G_{l_+l_+}^{k_+k_+} (t), \quad (\text{S62}')$$

and their Fourier transforms are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{l_+l_+l_-l_-}^{k_+k_+k_-k_-} (\omega) &\simeq i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{i\omega t} \left[2G_{l_+l_+}^{k_+k_+} (t)G_{l_-l_-}^{k_-k_-} (t) + \frac{1}{2}G_{l_+l_+}^{k_+k_+} (t)G_{l_-l_-}^{k_-k_-} (t) \right] \\
&= i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{2\pi} \left[2G_{l_+l_+}^{k_+k_+} (s)G_{l_-l_-}^{k_-k_-} (\omega - s) + \frac{1}{2}G_{l_+l_+}^{k_+k_+} (s)G_{l_-l_-}^{k_-k_-} (\omega - s) \right] \\
&= 2 \sum_{\lambda, \lambda'=0}^{L_+-1} \frac{\hbar g_{\lambda}^{k_+k_+} (g_{\lambda}^{l_+l_+})^* g_{\lambda'}^{k_-k_-} (g_{\lambda'}^{l_-l_-})^*}{\hbar\omega - \hbar\Omega_{\lambda}^{++} - \hbar\Omega_{\lambda'}^{--} + i\eta} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{L_+-1} \sum_{\lambda'=0}^{L_+-1} \frac{\hbar g_{\lambda}^{k_+k_+} (g_{\lambda}^{l_+l_+})^* g_{\lambda'}^{k_-k_-} (g_{\lambda'}^{l_-l_-})^*}{\hbar\omega - \hbar\Omega_{\lambda}^{++} - \hbar\Omega_{\lambda'}^{--} + i\eta}, \quad (\text{S63})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{l_+l_+l_-l_-}^{k_+k_+k_-k_-} (\omega) &\simeq i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{i\omega t} \left[G_{l_+l_+}^{k_+k_+} (t)G_{l_-l_-}^{k_-k_-} (t) + G_{l_-l_-}^{k_-k_-} (t)G_{l_+l_+}^{k_+k_+} (t) \right] \\
&= i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{2\pi} \left[G_{l_+l_+}^{k_+k_+} (s)G_{l_-l_-}^{k_-k_-} (\omega - s) + G_{l_-l_-}^{k_-k_-} (s)G_{l_+l_+}^{k_+k_+} (\omega - s) \right] \\
&= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{L_+-1} \frac{\hbar g_{\lambda}^{k_+k_+} (g_{\lambda}^{l_+l_+})^* \delta_{k_-l_-}}{\hbar\omega - \hbar\Omega_{\lambda}^{++} - \varepsilon_{l_-}^{++} + i\eta} + \sum_{\lambda=0}^{L_+-1} \frac{\hbar g_{\lambda}^{k_-k_-} (g_{\lambda}^{l_-l_-})^* \delta_{k_+l_+}}{\hbar\omega - \hbar\Omega_{\lambda}^{--} - \varepsilon_{l_+}^{--} + i\eta}. \quad (\text{S63}')
\end{aligned}$$

S4. Irreducible Decomposition of Raman Operators

The 2D Raman operator reads

$$^{[p]}\mathcal{R} = \sum_{\mu, \nu=x, y} e_{\text{in}}^{\mu} {}^{[p]}\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu} e_{\text{sc}}^{\nu*}, \quad (8)$$

where $e_{\text{in}} \equiv (e_{\text{in}}^x, e_{\text{in}}^y, 0)$ and $e_{\text{sc}} \equiv (e_{\text{sc}}^x, e_{\text{sc}}^y, 0)$ are the unit vectors indicating the polarizations of incident and scattered photons, respectively, while $^{[p]}\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu}$ is the (μ, ν) -element of $^{[p]}\mathcal{R}$ in Cartesian coordinates. We introduce four matrices

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi_1 &\equiv \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Xi_2 \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\
\Xi_3 &\equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Xi_0 \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{S64})
\end{aligned}$$

with their Hilbert-Schmidt inner products satisfying

$$\text{Tr} [\Xi_i \Xi_j] = \sum_{\mu, \nu=x, y} \Xi_i^{\mu\nu} \Xi_j^{\mu\nu} = 2\delta_{i,j} \quad (\text{S65})$$

to rewrite the Raman operator (8) into

$$^{[p]}\mathcal{R} = \sum_{i=0}^3 E_{\Xi_i} {}^{[p]}\mathcal{R}_{\Xi_i}, \quad E_{\Xi_i} \equiv \sum_{\mu, \nu=x, y} e_{\text{in}}^{\mu} \Xi_i^{\mu\nu} e_{\text{sc}}^{\nu*}, \quad (\text{S66})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{\Xi_1} &= e_{\text{in}}^x e_{\text{sc}}^{y*} + e_{\text{in}}^y e_{\text{sc}}^{x*}, \quad E_{\Xi_2} = e_{\text{in}}^x e_{\text{sc}}^{y*} - e_{\text{in}}^y e_{\text{sc}}^{x*}, \\
E_{\Xi_3} &= e_{\text{in}}^x e_{\text{sc}}^{x*} - e_{\text{in}}^y e_{\text{sc}}^{y*}, \quad E_{\Xi_0} = e_{\text{in}}^x e_{\text{sc}}^{x*} + e_{\text{in}}^y e_{\text{sc}}^{y*}, \quad (\text{S66a})
\end{aligned}$$

$$^{[p]}\mathcal{R}_{\Xi_1} = \frac{{}^{[p]}\mathcal{R}^{xy} + {}^{[p]}\mathcal{R}^{yx}}{2}, \quad {}^{[p]}\mathcal{R}_{\Xi_2} = \frac{{}^{[p]}\mathcal{R}^{xy} - {}^{[p]}\mathcal{R}^{yx}}{2},$$

$$^{[p]}\mathcal{R}_{\Xi_3} = \frac{{}^{[p]}\mathcal{R}^{xx} - {}^{[p]}\mathcal{R}^{yy}}{2}, \quad {}^{[p]}\mathcal{R}_{\Xi_0} = \frac{{}^{[p]}\mathcal{R}^{xx} + {}^{[p]}\mathcal{R}^{yy}}{2}. \quad (\text{S66b})$$

We recall the irreducible decomposition of the Raman operator for an arbitrary point symmetry group \mathbf{P} ,

$$^{[p]}\mathcal{R} = \sum_i' \sum_{\mu=1}^{d_{\Xi_i}^{\mathbf{P}}} E_{\Xi_i; \mu}^{\mathbf{P}} {}^{[p]}\mathcal{R}_{\Xi_i; \mu}^{\mathbf{P}}, \quad (9)$$

to consider 2D lattices of \mathbf{C}_{nv} point symmetry in general. The polarization-vector basis functions $E_{\Xi_i; \mu}^{\mathbf{C}_{nv}}$ relevant to Raman scattering have their equivalent in E_{Ξ_i} 's [cf. (12) and (S66a)]. We list their correspondence relations in Table I.

Depolarization of the Loudon-Fleury second-order Raman response, such as (14), is the consequence of $^{[2]}\mathcal{R}$ containing one and only multidimensional irreducible representation.¹⁵⁾

In Table I, neither \mathbf{C}_{2v} nor \mathbf{C}_{4v} meets this criterion, while all the rest do. Let us investigate the \mathbf{C}_{nv} symmetry operations on Ξ_i intending to reveal the possible dimensionality $d_{\Xi_i}^{\mathbf{C}_{nv}}$. We denote the matrix representation for a point symmetry operation $P \in \mathbf{P}$ by \mathcal{P} . Setting P to the rotation $C_n^z \in \mathbf{C}_{nv}$,

$$\mathcal{P} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \frac{2\pi}{n} & \sin \frac{2\pi}{n} \\ -\sin \frac{2\pi}{n} & \cos \frac{2\pi}{n} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (\text{S67})$$

we obtain

$$\mathcal{P}^{-1} \Xi_0 \mathcal{P} = \Xi_0, \quad (\text{S68a})$$

$$\mathcal{P}^{-1} \Xi_1 \mathcal{P} = \Xi_1 \cos \frac{4\pi}{n} - \Xi_3 \sin \frac{4\pi}{n}, \quad (\text{S68b})$$

Table I. Irreducible representations of the point symmetry groups $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{C}_{nv}$ corresponding to the basis matrices Ξ_i , which are doubly underlined when they are Raman-active symmetry species within the Loudon-Fleury second-order perturbation scheme, while they are singly underlined when it is not until we employ the Shastry-Shraiman fourth-order perturbation scheme that they become Raman-active symmetry species, where we specify a particular lattice to the point symmetry groups each, because it depends on the lattice shape which symmetry species is Raman active. In the case of $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{C}_{2v}$, not only Ξ_0 but also Ξ_3 may belong to the A_1 symmetry species and the coefficients of their linear combination depend on further details of the lattice.

\mathbf{P}	Ξ_0	Ξ_1	Ξ_2	Ξ_3
\mathbf{C}_{2v} (ladder)	<u>$A_1 : 1$</u>	$A_2 : 1$	$A_2 : 1$	<u>$A_1 : 1$</u>
\mathbf{C}_{3v} (kagome)	<u>$A_1 : 1$</u>	<u>$E : 1$</u>	$A_2 : 1$	<u>$E : 2$</u>
\mathbf{C}_{4v} (square)	<u>$A_1 : 1$</u>	$B_2 : 1$	$A_2 : 1$	<u>$B_1 : 1$</u>
\mathbf{C}_{6v} (triangular)	<u>$A_1 : 1$</u>	<u>$E_2 : 1$</u>	$A_2 : 1$	<u>$E_2 : 2$</u>
\mathbf{C}_{6v} (honeycomb)	<u>$A_1 : 1$</u>	<u>$E_2 : 1$</u>	<u>$A_2 : 1$</u>	<u>$E_2 : 2$</u>
\mathbf{C}_{5v} (Penrose)	<u>$A_1 : 1$</u>	<u>$E_2 : 1$</u>	<u>$A_2 : 1$</u>	<u>$E_2 : 2$</u>
\mathbf{C}_{8v} (Ammann-Beenker)	<u>$A_1 : 1$</u>	<u>$E_2 : 1$</u>	<u>$A_2 : 1$</u>	<u>$E_2 : 2$</u>

$$\mathcal{P}^{-1} \Xi_2 \mathcal{P} = \Xi_2, \quad (\text{S68c})$$

$$\mathcal{P}^{-1} \Xi_3 \mathcal{P} = \Xi_3 \cos \frac{4\pi}{n} + \Xi_1 \sin \frac{4\pi}{n}, \quad (\text{S68d})$$

while setting \mathcal{P} to the mirror operation $\sigma_{\alpha_l}^v \in \mathbf{C}_{nv}$ with $\alpha_l \equiv l\pi/n$ ($l = 1, 2, \dots, n$) being the angle between the normal vector and x axis,

$$\mathcal{P} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos 2\alpha_l & \sin 2\alpha_l \\ \sin 2\alpha_l & -\cos 2\alpha_l \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \frac{2\pi l}{n} & \sin \frac{2\pi l}{n} \\ \sin \frac{2\pi l}{n} & -\cos \frac{2\pi l}{n} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (\text{S69})$$

we have

$$\mathcal{P}^{-1} \Xi_0 \mathcal{P} = \Xi_0, \quad (\text{S70a})$$

$$\mathcal{P}^{-1} \Xi_1 \mathcal{P} = -\Xi_1 \cos \frac{4\pi l}{n} + \Xi_3 \sin \frac{4\pi l}{n}, \quad (\text{S70b})$$

$$\mathcal{P}^{-1} \Xi_2 \mathcal{P} = -\Xi_2, \quad (\text{S70c})$$

$$\mathcal{P}^{-1} \Xi_3 \mathcal{P} = \Xi_3 \cos \frac{4\pi l}{n} + \Xi_1 \sin \frac{4\pi l}{n}. \quad (\text{S70d})$$

Ξ_0 and Ξ_2 each correspond to a 1D irreducible representation for any point symmetry group \mathbf{C}_{nv} , whereas Ξ_1 and Ξ_3 span a 2D irreducible representation unless $n = 2$ or $n = 4$. No quasiperiodic lattice in two dimensions belongs to either of \mathbf{C}_{2v} and \mathbf{C}_{4v} , and therefore, depolarization of $^{[2]}I(\omega)$, which we observe in Figs. 1(a) and 1(a'), is common to all 2D quasiperiodic lattices.

S5. Configuration-Interaction Formalism

In order to give a precise description of multimagnon-mediated inelastic light scatterings, we consider interactions between the up-to-4M basis states

$$|0M\rangle \equiv |0\rangle_{\text{BL}}, \quad (\text{S71a})$$

$$|2M\rangle_{L+}^l \equiv \alpha_{l+}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l-}^{-\dagger} |0\rangle_{\text{BL}} \quad (1 \leq l_{\sigma} \leq L_{\sigma}), \quad (\text{S71b})$$

$$|4M\rangle_{L+L-}^{l+l'} \equiv \frac{\alpha_{l+}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l'++}^{+\dagger} \alpha_{l-}^{-\dagger} \alpha_{l'-}^{-\dagger} |0\rangle_{\text{BL}}}{\sqrt{1 + \delta_{l+,l'+}} \sqrt{1 + \delta_{l-,l'-}}} \quad (1 \leq l_{\sigma} \leq l'_{\sigma} \leq L_{\sigma}). \quad (\text{S71c})$$

Considering that the Hamiltonian as well as the Raman operator commutes with the total magnetization, any other 2M and 4M states, changing the total magnetization, are ineffective in the ground-state Raman response. The up-to- $O(S^0)$ 2M-4M-configuration-interaction (CI) Hamiltonian is formally written as

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0M | \mathcal{H} | 0M \rangle & \langle 0M | \mathcal{H} | 2M \rangle_1^1 & \cdots & \langle 0M | \mathcal{H} | 2M \rangle_{L-}^{L+} & \langle 0M | \mathcal{H} | 4M \rangle_{11}^{11} & \cdots & \langle 0M | \mathcal{H} | 4M \rangle_{L+L-}^{L+L+} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle L+ \langle 2M | \mathcal{H} | 0M \rangle & \langle L+ \langle 2M | \mathcal{H} | 2M \rangle_1^1 & \cdots & \langle L+ \langle 2M | \mathcal{H} | 2M \rangle_{L-}^{L+} & \langle L+ \langle 2M | \mathcal{H} | 4M \rangle_{11}^{11} & \cdots & \langle L+ \langle 2M | \mathcal{H} | 4M \rangle_{L+L-}^{L+L+} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle L+L- \langle 4M | \mathcal{H} | 0M \rangle & \langle L+L- \langle 4M | \mathcal{H} | 2M \rangle_1^1 & \cdots & \langle L+L- \langle 4M | \mathcal{H} | 2M \rangle_{L-}^{L+} & \langle L+L- \langle 4M | \mathcal{H} | 4M \rangle_{11}^{11} & \cdots & \langle L+L- \langle 4M | \mathcal{H} | 4M \rangle_{L+L-}^{L+L+} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle L+L- \langle 4M | \mathcal{H} | 0M \rangle & \langle L+L- \langle 4M | \mathcal{H} | 2M \rangle_1^1 & \cdots & \langle L+L- \langle 4M | \mathcal{H} | 2M \rangle_{L-}^{L+} & \langle L+L- \langle 4M | \mathcal{H} | 4M \rangle_{11}^{11} & \cdots & \langle L+L- \langle 4M | \mathcal{H} | 4M \rangle_{L+L-}^{L+L+} \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{S72})$$

with $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{BL}+} : \mathcal{H}^{(0)}$. With the ladder-approximation Bethe-Salpeter equation formalism [cf. (S49a)–(S49c) for 2M GFs and (S55a) and (S55b) for 3M GFs] in mind, we retain only the magnon-number-conserving interactions $V_{ij;l+l',l'',l'''}^{(1)}$, $V_{ij;l+l',l'',l'''}^{(4)}$, and $V_{ij;l+l',l'',l'''}^{(9)}$ in our CI scheme. Then (S72) reduces to the block-diagonal Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} - \sum_{m=0}^2 E^{(m)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & \\ & \begin{bmatrix} \langle 1 \langle 2M | \mathcal{H} | 2M \rangle_1^1 & \cdots & \langle 1 \langle 2M | \mathcal{H} | 2M \rangle_{L-}^{L+} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle L+ \langle 2M | \mathcal{H} | 2M \rangle_1^1 & \cdots & \langle L+ \langle 2M | \mathcal{H} | 2M \rangle_{L-}^{L+} \end{bmatrix} & \\ & & \begin{bmatrix} \langle 11 \langle 4M | \mathcal{H} | 4M \rangle_{11}^{11} & \cdots & \langle 11 \langle 4M | \mathcal{H} | 4M \rangle_{L+L-}^{L+L+} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle L+L- \langle 4M | \mathcal{H} | 4M \rangle_{11}^{11} & \cdots & \langle L+L- \langle 4M | \mathcal{H} | 4M \rangle_{L+L-}^{L+L+} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}; \quad (\text{S73})$$

$${}_{k_-}^{k_+} \langle 2M | \mathcal{H} | 2M \rangle_{L_-}^{L_+} = \delta_{k_+, l_+} \delta_{k_-, l_-} (\varepsilon_{l_+}^+ + \varepsilon_{l_-}^-) - J \sum_{i \in A} \sum_{j \in B} l_{i,j} V_{ij; k_+, l_+, k_-, l_-}^{(4)}, \quad (S73b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{k_- k'_-}^{k_+ k'_+} \langle 4M | \mathcal{H} | 4M \rangle_{L_- L'_-}^{L_+ L'_+} &= \delta_{k_+, l_+} \delta_{k'_+, l'_+} \delta_{k_-, l_-} \delta_{k'_-, l'_-} (\varepsilon_{l_+}^+ + \varepsilon_{l'_+}^+ + \varepsilon_{l_-}^- + \varepsilon_{l'_-}^-) \\ &- J \sum_{i \in A} \sum_{j \in B} l_{i,j} \left[\frac{\delta_{k_+, l_+} \delta_{k'_+, l'_+}}{\sqrt{1 + \delta_{k_+, k'_+}} \sqrt{1 + \delta_{l_+, l'_+}}} (V_{ij; k_+ k'_+ l_- l'_-}^{(1)} + V_{ij; k_+ k'_+ l'_+ l_-}^{(1)} + V_{ij; k'_+ k_+ l_- l'_-}^{(1)} + V_{ij; k'_+ k_+ l'_+ l_-}^{(1)}) \right. \\ &+ \frac{\delta_{k_-, l_-} \delta_{k'_-, l'_-}}{\sqrt{1 + \delta_{k_+, k'_+}} \sqrt{1 + \delta_{l_+, l'_+}}} (V_{ij; k_+ k'_+ l'_+ l_+}^{(9)} + V_{ij; k_+ k'_+ l'_+ l_+}^{(9)} + V_{ij; k'_+ k_+ l'_+ l_+}^{(9)} + V_{ij; k'_+ k_+ l'_+ l_+}^{(9)}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \delta_{k_+, k'_+}} \sqrt{1 + \delta_{l_+, l'_+}} \sqrt{1 + \delta_{k_-, k'_-}} \sqrt{1 + \delta_{l_-, l'_-}}} \\ &\times (V_{ij; k_+ l_+ k_- l_-}^{(4)} \delta_{k'_+, l'_+} \delta_{k'_-, l'_-} + V_{ij; k_+ l_+ k_- l_-}^{(4)} \delta_{k'_+, l'_+} \delta_{k'_-, l_-} + V_{ij; k_+ l_+ k'_- l_-}^{(4)} \delta_{k'_+, l'_+} \delta_{k_-, l_-} + V_{ij; k_+ l_+ k'_- l_-}^{(4)} \delta_{k'_+, l'_+} \delta_{k_-, l_+} \\ &+ V_{ij; k_+ l'_+ k_- l_-}^{(4)} \delta_{k'_+, l_+} \delta_{k'_-, l'_-} + V_{ij; k_+ l'_+ k_- l_-}^{(4)} \delta_{k'_+, l_+} \delta_{k'_-, l_-} + V_{ij; k_+ l'_+ k'_- l_-}^{(4)} \delta_{k'_+, l_+} \delta_{k_-, l'_-} + V_{ij; k_+ l'_+ k'_- l_-}^{(4)} \delta_{k'_+, l_+} \delta_{k_-, l_+} \\ &+ V_{ij; k'_+ l_+ k_- l_-}^{(4)} \delta_{k_+, l'_+} \delta_{k'_-, l'_-} + V_{ij; k'_+ l_+ k_- l_-}^{(4)} \delta_{k_+, l'_+} \delta_{k'_-, l_-} + V_{ij; k'_+ l_+ k'_- l_-}^{(4)} \delta_{k_+, l'_+} \delta_{k_-, l'_-} + V_{ij; k'_+ l_+ k'_- l_-}^{(4)} \delta_{k_+, l'_+} \delta_{k_-, l_+} \\ &\left. + V_{ij; k'_+ l'_+ k_- l_-}^{(4)} \delta_{k_+, l_+} \delta_{k'_-, l'_-} + V_{ij; k'_+ l'_+ k_- l_-}^{(4)} \delta_{k_+, l_+} \delta_{k'_-, l_-} + V_{ij; k'_+ l'_+ k'_- l_-}^{(4)} \delta_{k_+, l_+} \delta_{k_-, l'_-} + V_{ij; k'_+ l'_+ k'_- l_-}^{(4)} \delta_{k_+, l_+} \delta_{k_-, l_+} \right). \quad (S73c) \end{aligned}$$

Note that the 2M and 4M sectors are of dimension $\prod_{\sigma=\pm} L_{\sigma} \times \prod_{\sigma=\pm} L_{\sigma}$ and $\prod_{\sigma=\pm} [L_{\sigma}(L_{\sigma} + 1)/2] \times \prod_{\sigma=\pm} [L_{\sigma}(L_{\sigma} + 1)/2]$, respectively. We diagonalize the 2M-4M-CI magnon-number-conserving block-diagonal Hamiltonian (S73) to obtain the variationally corrected eigenstates $|\nu\rangle$ and eigenvalues ε_{ν} [$\nu = 0, 1, \dots, L_+ L_- + L_+(L_+ + 1)L_-(L_- + 1)/4 \equiv N_{\text{CI}} - 1$].

If we discard the 4M basis states (S71c) in (S73), the resultant 2M-CI findings for $^{[p]}I_{2M}(\omega)$ are exactly the same as the ladder-approximation Bethe-Salpeter calculations. There is a complete correspondence of the 2M sector (S73b) of any CI magnon-number-conserving block-diagonal Hamiltonian with the 2M Bethe-Salpeter interaction matrix \mathcal{V}^{+-} (S53a). (S49b) and (S49c) are irrelevant to any calculation of $^{[p]}I_{2M}(\omega)$ but necessary for calculating $^{[p]}I_{4M}(\omega)$, or more precisely, for decomposing the 4M GFs as (S62) and (S62'). When we go beyond the Loudon-Fleury second-order perturbation theory and take a considerable interest in multimagnon-mediated Raman intensities, the 2M-4M-CI scheme is much superior to any tractable self-consistent GF formalism.

S6. Configuration-Interaction versus Green's Function Calculations of Raman Spectra

We show the cluster-size and calculational-scheme dependences of Raman spectra in full detail for both the Penrose (Figs. S4 to S6) and Ammann-Beenker (Figs. S7 and S8) lattices, intending to demonstrate the superiority of the 2M-4M-CI scheme over the others especially in evaluating 4M-mediated scattering intensities.

For the $L = 16$ Penrose (Fig. S4) and $L = 25$ Ammann-Beenker (Fig. S7) clusters, all the perturbative and variational calculations are compared with the exact solutions. The Hartree-Fock approximation cannot reproduce any of the major peak positions. The 2M-CI formulation, which is equivalent to the 2M Bethe-Salpeter equation, is good at reproducing the low-energy peaks essentially of 2M character but poor in describing higher-energy spectral weight. The 2M-4M-CI formulation overcomes this drawback owing to its precise evaluation of 4M-mediated scattering intensities. This is not the

case with any of the GF findings. Indeed both (3 + 1)M and (2 + 2)M approximate evaluations of the 4M Raman correlation function $^{[p]}G_{4M}(t)$ lead to a reasonable reduction of the high-energy excess spectral weight, but neither of them gives such a satisfactory description of the intermediate-energy scattering bands of 2M-4M-mixed character as to be obtained through the 2M-4M-CI scheme. Such observations are common to both the Penrose and Ammann-Beenker lattices and hold good for all the symmetry species but A_1 . Any excess 4M scattering intensity for the A_1 symmetry species present in the SW calculations should rather be ascribed to the up-to- $O(S^0)$ expansion of \mathcal{R} than otherwise. Note that the exact diagonalization calculation of the Raman intensity (6) is performed with the spin operator expressions (S30) and (S35), whereas any SW calculation, whether in the GF description (7) or through the CI scheme (17), is performed with the up-to- $O(S^0)$ approximate vertices (4) written in terms of the magnon operators (S44) and (S45), with the aim of revealing the scenario of inelastic light scattering.

With increasing system size, the spectral shape and/or density change in a complicated manner, but the balance in intensity sharing between 2M and 4M scatterings remains qualitatively the same. The energy ranges in which 2M scattering intensities distribute for the three symmetry species each remain almost unchanged from those of the smallest cluster calculated and this is essentially the case with 4M scattering intensities as well. Indeed, neither of the range of the eigenvalue distribution nor the specific heat curve is sensitive to the system size for the $L \gtrsim 31$ Penrose and $L \gtrsim 33$ Ammann-Beenker lattices, as was already shown in Fig. S1. Eigenvalues corresponding to the one or two highest coordination numbers have no serious effect on bulk properties.




Fig. S4. CI and GF calculations of the Shastry-Shraiman fourth-order Raman intensities $^{[4]}I(\omega) \equiv \sum_{l=1}^2 {}^{[4]}I_{2M}(\omega)$ for the $L = 16$ 2D Penrose lattice of C_{5v} point symmetry in comparison with the exact solutions, where the perturbation parameter $t/(U - \hbar\omega_{in})$ is set to $9/10$ and every spectral line is Lorentzian-broadened by a width of $0.1J$. From the top to the bottom, the calculational schemes employed are the Hartree-Fock approximation [(a1) to (c1)], which retains only the magnon vacuum in (S71a) and substitutes the eigenstates and eigenvalues of \mathcal{H}_{BL} (2) for the basis states $|\nu\rangle$ and their energies ε_ν , with N_{CI} reducing to L , in (17), 2M-CI [(a2) to (c2)], which is equivalent to solving the 2M Bethe-Salpeter equation (S49a), 2M-4M-CI [(a3) to (c3)], $2M + 4M$ [approximated by (S62')]-GF [(a4) to (c4)], and $2M + 4M$ [approximated by (S62')]-GF [(a5) to (c5)]. The pure symmetry components are extracted from three polarization combinations, (15) with $\phi_- = 0, \pi/2$ and (16) with $\sigma_{in}\sigma_{sc} = -1$. All the SW calculations of $I_{2M}(\omega)$ each are distinguishably colored.




Fig. S5. The same as Fig. S4 for the $L = 41$ 2D Penrose lattice of C_{5v} point symmetry without any exact solution available.






Fig. S8. The same as Fig. S7 for the $L=57$ 2D Ammann-Beenker lattice of C_{8v} point symmetry without any exact solution available.

* yamamoto@phys.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

- 1) T. Holstein and H. Primakoff, Phys. Rev. **58**, 1098 (1940).
- 2) Y. Noriki and S. Yamamoto, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **86**, 034714 (2017).
- 3) S. Yamamoto and Y. Noriki, Phys. Rev. B **99**, 094412 (2019).
- 4) R. M. White, M. Sparks, and I. Ortenburger, Phys. Rev. **139**, A450 (1965).
- 5) S. Wessel and I. Milat, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 104427 (2005).
- 6) A. Szallas and A. Jagannathan, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 104427 (2008).
- 7) B. S. Shastry and B. I. Shraiman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 1068 (1990).
- 8) B. S. Shastry and B. I. Shraiman, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **5**, 365 (1991).
- 9) W.-H. Ko, Z.-X. Liu, T.-K. Ng, and P. A. Lee, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 024414 (2010).
- 10) P. A. Fleury and R. Loudon, Phys. Rev. **166**, 514 (1968).
- 11) A. L. Fetter and J. D. Walecka, *Quantum Theory of Many-Particle Systems* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1971).
- 12) C. Barbieri and W. H. Dickhoff, Phys. Rev. C **63**, 034313 (2001).
- 13) C. Barbieri, D. Van Neck, and W. H. Dickhoff, Phys. Rev. A **76**, 052503 (2007).
- 14) A. Carbone, A. Cipollone, C. Barbieri, A. Rios, and A. Polls, Phys. Rev. C **88**, 054326 (2013).
- 15) T. Kimura and S. Yamamoto, Phys. Rev. B **101**, 214411 (2020).