GENERALIZED NUMERICAL RADIUS INEQUALITIES FOR SCHATTEN P-NORMS

JANA HAMZA AND HASSAN ISSA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we present various inequalities for generalized numerical radius of 2×2 block matrices for Schatten p-norm. Moreover, we give a refinement of the triangle inequality for the Schatten p-generalized numerical radius.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the space B(H) of bounded linear operators over a Hilbert space H. For $A \in B(H)$, the numerical radius, the usual operator norm, and the Schatten p-norm, are denoted by $\omega(A)$, ||A|| and $||A||_p$ respectively.

A norm $||| \cdot |||$ on B(H) is said to be unitarily invariant if |||UAV||| = |||A|||, where $A \in B(H)$ and $U, V \in B(H)$ being unitary, and weakly unitarily invariant if $|||UAU^*||| = |||A|||$ where $A \in B(H)$ and $U \in B(H)$ being unitary. It is known that $||A||_p$ is unitarily invariant.

We should note that if B(H) is the space of $n \times n$ complex matrices, $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, then for $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ we have

$$|||A \oplus A^*||| = |||A \oplus A|||$$
(1.1)

and

$$|||A \oplus B||| = \left| \left| \left| \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right| \right| \quad (\text{see [11]}).$$
(1.2)

Moreover, we have

$$||A \oplus B||_p = (||A||_p^p + ||B||_p^p)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$
(1.3)

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 15B57.

Key words and phrases. numerical radius, Schatten p- norm, generalized Schatten p-numerical radius, inequality.

and

$$||A \oplus A||_p = 2^{\frac{1}{p}} ||A||_p.$$
 (1.4)

The numerical radius $\omega(\cdot)$ is defined by $\omega(A) = \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} ||Re(e^{i\theta}A)||$, where $A \in B(H)$. Due to its importance, the numerical radius has been generalized several times, and their last was given by Abu-Omar and Kittaneh [2] in 2019, in which they generalized it on B(H). This generalization is denoted by $\omega_N(\cdot)$, and is defined by $\omega_N(A) = \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} N(Re(e^{i\theta}A))$. It was proved by the authors in [2] that $\omega_N(\cdot)$ generalizes the numerical radius $\omega(\cdot)$ if N is the usual operator norm.

In this paper, we are interested in studying the space of $n \times n$ complex matrices, $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. We should note that $\omega_N(\cdot)$ has the following two important properties, see [1].

Property 1.1. The following properties hold:

- a) The norm $\omega_N(\cdot)$ is self adjoint.
- b) If the norm $N(\cdot)$ is weakly unitarily invariant, then so is $\omega_N(\cdot)$.

In [6], Bhatia and Kittaneh where able to prove the following theorem that relates the Shatten p-norm of an $n \times n$ block matrix by that of its block entries.

Theorem 1.2. Let $T \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $T = [T_{ij}], 1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ then

$$n^{2-p} \|T\|_p^p \le \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|T_{ij}\|_p^p \le \|T\|_p^p,$$
(1.5)

for $2 \le p \le \infty$; and

$$||T||_{p}^{p} \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} ||T_{ij}||_{p}^{p} \leq n^{2-p} ||T||_{p}^{p}, \qquad (1.6)$$

for $1 \leq p \leq 2$.

Motivated by the results of Bhatia and Kittaneh in [6], and those of Aldalabih and Kittaneh in [3], we aim in this paper to prove some generalized numerical radius inequalities for partitioned general 2×2 block matrices considering the case when N is taken to be the Schatten p-norm. We denote this norm by $\omega_p(\cdot)$ and call it the Schatten p-generalized numerical radius. We emphasize on finding such inequalities for the off-diagonal part of block matrices. We also provide an application of this norm in which we give a refinement of the triangle inequality for the Schatten pgeneralized numerical radius. The following Lemma was proved by the authors in [1], and will be used in our work.

Lemma 1.3. Let $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, then

$$\omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \omega_p \left(B \right)$$

for all p.

2. General 2×2 Block Matrices Inequalities

In this section we give bounds for the generalized Schatten p-numerical radius of general 2×2 block matrices. We give emphasis for 2×2 block diagonal matrices. Most of the results in this section, generalize those presented in [3].

Lemma 2.1. Let $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ then for all p, we have

$$\omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = 2^{\frac{1}{p} - 1} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| e^{i\theta} A + e^{-i\theta} B^* \right\|_p.$$

Proof. By equations (1.1), (1.2) and (1.4), we have

$$\begin{split} \omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) &= \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 & e^{i\theta}A + e^{-i\theta}B^* \\ e^{i\theta}B + e^{-i\theta}A^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_p \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\theta}A + e^{-i\theta}B^* & 0 \\ 0 & (e^{i\theta}A + e^{-i\theta}B^*)^* \end{bmatrix} \right\|_p \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\theta}A + e^{-i\theta}B^* & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\theta}A + e^{-i\theta}B^* \end{bmatrix} \right\|_p \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\| e^{i\theta}A + e^{-i\theta}B^* \right\|_p \\ &= 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| e^{i\theta}A + e^{-i\theta}B^* \right\|_p. \end{split}$$

Proposition 2.2. Let $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, then the following inequality holds for all p

$$\omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix} \right) \le \left(\omega_p^p(A) + \omega_p^p(B) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{split} \omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix} \right) &= \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| Re \left(e^{i\theta} \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix} \right) \right\|_p \\ &= \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} Re(e^{i\theta}A) & 0 \\ 0 & Re(e^{i\theta}B) \end{bmatrix} \right\|_p \\ &= \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\left\| Re(e^{i\theta}A) \right\|_p^p + \left\| Re(e^{i\theta}B) \right\|_p^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{split}$$
 (by equation (1.3))
$$&\leq \left(\omega_p^p(A) + \omega_p^p(B) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{split}$$

as required.

Theorem 2.3. Let $A = [A_{ij}]$ be a 2 × 2 block matrix, then

$$\omega_p^p(A) \le \frac{1}{2^{p-2}} \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \omega_p^p(a_{ij})$$
(2.1)

for $2 \le p \le \infty$, and

$$\omega_p^p(A) \le \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \omega_p^p(a_{ij}) \tag{2.2}$$

for $1 \le p \le 2$, where

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} A_{ij} & i = j \\ 2^{-\frac{1}{p}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A_{ij} \\ A_{ji} & 0 \end{bmatrix} & i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We have

$$\left\| Re(e^{i\theta}A) \right\|_{p} = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} Re(e^{i\theta}A_{11}) & \frac{1}{2}(e^{i\theta}A_{12} + e^{-i\theta}A_{21}^{*}) \\ \frac{1}{2}(e^{i\theta}A_{21} + e^{-i\theta}A_{12}^{*}) & Re(e^{i\theta}A_{22}) \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{p},$$

then by inequality (1.5) and Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\begin{split} \left\| Re(e^{i\theta}A) \right\|_{p}^{p} &\leq \frac{1}{2^{p-2}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \left\| (Re(e^{i\theta}A))_{ij} \right\|_{p}^{p} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{p-2}} \left(\sum_{i=j} \left\| Re(e^{i\theta}A_{ij}) \right\|_{p}^{p} + \sum_{i \neq j} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left\| e^{i\theta}A_{ij} + e^{-i\theta}A_{ji}^{*} \right\|_{p} \right)^{p} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{p-2}} \left(\sum_{i=j} \omega_{p}^{p}(A_{ij}) + \sum_{i \neq j} \left(2^{-\frac{1}{p}} \omega_{p} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A_{ij} \\ A_{ji} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \right)^{p} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{p-2}} \sum_{i=j} \omega_{p}^{p}(a_{ij}). \end{split}$$

Then

$$\omega_p^p(A) = \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| Re(e^{i\theta}A) \right\|_p^p$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{2^{p-2}} \sum_{i=j} \omega_p^p(a_{ij})$$

for $2 \le p \le \infty$. The second inequality is proved in a similar manner, using Lemma 2.1, and inequality (1.6).

3. Off-Diagonal 2×2 Block Matrices Inequalities

In this section, our interest was finding inequalities for ω_p of the off-diagonal 2×2 block matrices. The following lemma is useful in our work.

Lemma 3.1. Let $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, then

a)
$$\omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ e^{i\theta}B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$
 for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.
b) $\omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$.

for all p.

Proof. Let $U = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}}I \end{bmatrix}$, then U is unitary. Then by Property 1.1 we have

$$\omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \omega_p \left(U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^* \right)$$
$$= \omega_p \left(e^{-i\frac{\theta}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ e^{i\theta}B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$
$$= \omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ e^{i\theta}B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

which ends the proof of (a). Now to prove the equality (b), consider $U = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, then U is unitary.

Then by Property 1.1 we have

$$\omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \omega_p \left(U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^* \right)$$
$$= \omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

which ends the proof.

The next theorem gives upper and lower bounds for $\omega_p \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$ in terms of $\omega_p(A+B)$ and $\omega_p(A-B)$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, then

$$\frac{\max\left(\omega_p(A+B),\omega_p(A-B)\right)}{2^{1-\frac{1}{p}}} \le \omega_p\left(\begin{bmatrix}0&A\\B&0\end{bmatrix}\right) \le \frac{\omega_p(A+B)+\omega_p(A-B)}{2^{1-\frac{1}{p}}}$$

for all p.

Proof. By Lemma 1.3, we have

$$2^{\frac{1}{p}}\omega_{p}(A+B) = \omega_{p} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A+B\\ A+B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$
$$= \omega_{p} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & B\\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A\\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$
$$\leq \omega_{p} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & B\\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) + \omega_{p} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A\\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$
(by triangle inequality).
$$= 2\omega_{p} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A\\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$
(by Lemma 3.1),

then

$$\omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \ge \frac{1}{2^{1-\frac{1}{p}}} \omega_p(A+B), \tag{3.1}$$

replacing B by -B in inequality (3.1), we get

$$\omega_p\left(\begin{bmatrix}0&A\\-B&0\end{bmatrix}\right) \ge \frac{1}{2^{1-\frac{1}{p}}}\omega_p(A-B),$$

taking $\theta = \pi$ in Lemma 3.1, we get

$$\omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ -B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{2^{1-\frac{1}{p}}} \omega_p (A - B), \tag{3.2}$$

therefore, by the estimations (3.1) and (3.2), we get

$$\omega_p\left(\begin{bmatrix}0 & A\\ B & 0\end{bmatrix}\right) \ge \frac{\max\left(\omega_p(A+B), \omega_p(A-B)\right)}{2^{1-\frac{1}{p}}}$$

Now for the second inequality, consider $U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} I & -I \\ I & I \end{bmatrix}$, where I is the $n \times n$ identity matrix, then U is unitary, and thus by Property 1.1, we get

$$\omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \omega_p \left(U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^* \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} -(A+B) & A-B \\ -(A-B) & A+B \end{bmatrix} \right)$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} -(A+B) & 0 \\ 0 & A+B \end{bmatrix} \right) + \omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A-B \\ -(A-B) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \right)$$

(by triangle inequality)

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(2^{\frac{1}{p}} \omega_p(A+B) + 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \omega_p(A-B) \right)$$

(by Proposition 2.2, Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 1.3).

as required.

Corollary 3.3. Let $T \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that T = A + iB, where A = Re(T) and B = Im(T), then

$$\frac{\omega_p(T)}{2} \le \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{p}}} \omega_p\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \le \omega_p(T).$$

for all p.

Proof. Replacing B by iB in theorem 3.2, we get

$$\frac{\max\left(\omega_p(A+iB),\omega_p(A-iB)\right)}{2^{1-\frac{1}{p}}} \le \omega_p\left(\begin{bmatrix}0 & A\\iB & 0\end{bmatrix}\right) \le \frac{\omega_p(A+iB) + \omega_p(A-iB)}{2^{1-\frac{1}{p}}},$$

then,

$$\frac{\max\left(\omega_p(T),\omega_p(T^*)\right)}{2^{1-\frac{1}{p}}} \le \omega_p\left(\begin{bmatrix}0 & A\\iB & 0\end{bmatrix}\right) \le \frac{\omega_p(T) + \omega_p(T^*)}{2^{1-\frac{1}{p}}}.$$

However, $\omega_p(T) = \omega_p(T^*)$, then

$$\frac{\omega_p(T)}{2^{1-\frac{1}{p}}} \le \omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A\\ iB & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \le \frac{\omega_p(T)}{2^{-\frac{1}{p}}}.$$

Take $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ in Lemma 3.1, then

$$\frac{\omega_p(T)}{2^{1-\frac{1}{p}}} \le \omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \le \frac{\omega_p(T)}{2^{-\frac{1}{p}}}.$$
(3.3)

Multiply (3.3) by $2^{-\frac{1}{p}}$, then

$$\frac{\omega_p(T)}{2} \le \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{p}}} \omega_p\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \le \omega_p(T).$$

г		

Remark 3.1. Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, then we have

$$e^{i(\theta - \frac{\pi}{2})} = -ie^{i\theta}$$
, and $e^{-i(\theta - \frac{\pi}{2})} = ie^{-i\theta}$.

Therefore,

$$Re(e^{i(\theta-\frac{\pi}{2})}A) = \frac{e^{i(\theta-\frac{\pi}{2})}A + e^{-i(\theta-\frac{\pi}{2})}A^*}{2}$$
$$= \frac{-ie^{i\theta}A + ie^{-i\theta}A^*}{2}$$
$$= \frac{e^{i\theta}A - e^{-i\theta}A^*}{2i}$$
$$= Im(e^{i\theta}A).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \omega_p(A) &= \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\alpha}A) \right\|_p \\ &= \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \operatorname{Re}(e^{i(\theta - \frac{\pi}{2})}A) \right\|_p \\ &= \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \operatorname{Im}(e^{i\theta}A) \right\|_p. \end{split}$$

See [8]

Remark 3.2. Let $X \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, and $2 \le p < \infty$ then

$$\begin{split} \omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} X & X \\ -X & -X \end{bmatrix} \right) = & \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} Re(e^{i\theta}X) & Im(e^{i\theta}X) \\ -Im(e^{i\theta}X) & -Re(e^{i\theta}X) \end{bmatrix} \right\|_p \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2^{\frac{2}{p}-1}} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left(2 \left\| Re(e^{i\theta}X) \right\|_p^p + 2 \left\| Im(e^{i\theta}X) \right\|_p^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & (by \ inequality \ (1.5)) \\ & = \frac{1}{2^{\frac{2}{p}-1}} 2^{\frac{2}{p}} \omega_p(X) \qquad (by \ Remark \ 3.1) \\ & = 2\omega_p(X). \end{split}$$

Theorem 3.4. Let $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, then

$$\omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \le 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \min(\omega_p(A), \omega_p(B)) + \min(\omega_p(A+B), \omega_p(A-B)).$$

for $2 \leq p < \infty$.

Proof. Consider $U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} I & I \\ -I & I \end{bmatrix}$, where I is the $n \times n$ identity matrix, then U is unitary, we have

$$\begin{split} \omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) &= \omega_p \left(U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^* \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} A+B & A-B \\ -(A-B) & -(A+B) \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} A+B & A+B \\ -(A+B) & -(A+B) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2B \\ 2B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} A+B & A+B \\ -(A+B) & -(A+B) \end{bmatrix} \right) + \omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2B \\ 2B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \right). \end{split}$$

(by triangle inequality)

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(2\omega_p (A+B) + 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \omega_p (2B) \right) \text{ (by Remark 3.2, Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 1.3)}$$

$$= \omega_p (A+B) + 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \omega_p (B). \tag{3.4}$$

Replacing B by -B in (3.4), we get

$$\omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ -B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad \text{(taking } \theta = \pi \text{ in Lemma 3.1)}$$
$$\leq \omega_p (A - B) + 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \omega_p (B). \quad (3.5)$$

Then by (3.4) and (3.5), we get

$$\omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \le 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \omega_p(B) + \min(\omega_p(A+B), \omega_p(A-B)).$$
(3.6)

Interchanging A and B in (3.6), we get

$$\omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$
 (by Lemma 3.1)
$$\leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \omega_p(A) + \min(\omega_p(A+B), \omega_p(A-B)).$$
(3.7)

Therefore, by (3.6) and (3.7), we have

$$\omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \le 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \min(\omega_p(A), \omega_p(B)) + \min(\omega_p(A+B), \omega_p(A-B))$$

as required.

4. AN APPLICATION

In this section we present an application, which is a refinement of the triangle inequality for the generalized Schatten p-numerical radius. The following remark is presented by "Yamazaki" in [14]

Remark 4.1. Let $T \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, we have

$$\omega_p(T) = \sup_{\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1} \left\| \alpha Re(T) + \beta Im(T) \right\|_p.$$

for all p. Then

$$\|T+T^*\|_p \le 2\omega_p(T).$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{split} \omega_p(T) &= \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| Re(e^{i\theta}T) \right\|_p \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| e^{i\theta}T + e^{-i\theta}T^* \right\|_p \\ &= \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \cos(\theta)T + i\sin(\theta)T + \cos(\theta)T^* - i\sin(\theta)T^* \right\|_p \\ &= \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \cos(\theta)Re(T) - \sin(\theta)Im(T) \right\|_p \\ &= \sup_{\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1} \left\| \alpha Re(T) + \beta Im(T) \right\|_p. \end{split}$$

Take $\theta = 2\pi$, then

$$\omega_p(T) \ge \|\cos(2\pi)Re(T) - \sin(2\pi)Im(T)\|_p$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \|T + T^*\|_p$$

so, $||T + T^*||_p \le 2\omega_p(T)$.

The next theorem is a refinement of the triangle inequality for the generalized Schatten p-numerical radius.

Theorem 4.1. Let $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, then

$$||A + B||_p \le 2^{1 - \frac{1}{p}} \omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \le ||A||_p + ||B||_p.$$

for all p.

Proof. Let
$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B^* & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
. We have
$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A+B \\ A^*+B^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_p^p = \|(A+B) \oplus (A^*+B^*)\|_p^p \qquad \text{(by equation (1.2)}$$
$$= \|(A+B) \oplus (A+B)\|_p^p \qquad \text{(by equation (1.1))}$$
$$= 2 \|A+B\|_p^p. \qquad \text{(by equation (1.4))} \qquad (4.1)$$

12

Then,

$$2 \|A + B\|_p^p = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A + B \\ A^* + B^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_p^p$$
$$= \|T + T^*\|_p^p$$
$$\leq 2^p \omega_p^p(T) \qquad \text{(by Remark 4.1)}$$
$$= 2^p \omega_p^p \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

Thus,

$$\left\|A+B\right\|_{p} \le 2^{1-\frac{1}{p}}\omega_{p}\left(\begin{bmatrix}0 & A\\B^{*} & 0\end{bmatrix}\right).$$

For the second inequality, we have

$$\begin{split} \omega_p(T) = & \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| Re(e^{i\theta}T) \right\|_p \\ = & \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 & e^{i\theta}A + e^{-i\theta}B \\ e^{-i\theta}A^* + e^{i\theta}B^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_p \\ = & \frac{1}{2^{1-\frac{1}{p}}} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| e^{i\theta}A + e^{-i\theta}B \right\|_p \quad \text{(by same argument as (4.1))} \\ \leq & \frac{1}{2^{1-\frac{1}{p}}} \left(\left\| A \right\|_p + \left\| B \right\|_p \right). \quad \text{(by triangle inequality)} \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$||A + B||_p \le 2^{1 - \frac{1}{p}} \omega_p \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \le ||A||_p + ||B||_p.$$

References

- H. Abbas, S. Harb, and H. Issa. Inequalities for the generalized numerical radius, arXiv: 2004.09955 (2020).
- [2] A. Abu-Omar and F. Kittaneh. A generalization of the numerical radius. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 569 (2019), pp. 323-334.
- [3] A. Aldalabih and F.Kittaneh. Hilbert-Schmidt numerical radius inequalities for operator matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 581 (2019), pp. 72-84.
- [4] D. AL-Saafin, Spectral Radius, Numerical Radius and Unitarily Invariant Norm Inequalities in Hilbert Space, Master's dissertation, Zarqa University, Jordan, 2016.
- [5] R. Bhatia. Matrix Analysis, Grad. Texts in Math., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.

- [6] R. Bhatia and F. Kittaneh. Norm inequalities for partitioned operators and an application. Math. Ann. 287 (1990), pp. 719-726.
- [7] T. Bottazzi and C. Conde. Generalized numerical radius and related inequalities, arXiv: 1909.09243 (2019).
- [8] R. Horn and C. Johnson. *Matrix Analysis.* 2nd ed. Cambradge: Cambradge University Press, 2013.
- F. Hiai and D. Petz. Introduction to Matrix Analysis and Applications, Universitext, Springer, Switzerland, 2014.
- [10] G.J.O. Jameson. Some inequalities for $(a + b)^p$ and $(a + b)^p + (a b)^p$. Math. Gazette 98 (2014), pp. 96-103.
- F. Kittaneh. Norm inequalities for sums and differences of positive operators. *Linear Algebra* and its Applications 383 (2004), pp. 85-91.
- [12] F. Kittaneh. Norm inequalities for certain operator sums. Journal of Functional Analysis 143 (1997), pp. 337-348.
- [13] F. Kittaneh. A numerical radius inequality and an estimate for the numerical radius of the Frobenius companion matrix, *Studia Math.* 158(1) (2003), pp. 11–17.
- [14] T. Yamazaki. Upper and lower bounds of numerical radius and equality condition. 2007.
- [15] F. Zhang. Matrix Theory, Springer Science and Business Media, 2011.

JANA HAMZA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF ARTS AND SCIENCES, LEBANESE INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY, BEKAA, LEBANON

Email address: 21610074@students.liu.edu.lb

HASSAN ISSA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, LEBANESE UNIVERSITY, BEIRUT, LEBANON Email address: hassan.issa@mathematik.uni-goettingen.de