# GENERALIZED NUMERICAL RADIUS INEQUALITIES FOR SCHATTEN P-NORMS 

JANA HAMZA AND HASSAN ISSA


#### Abstract

In this paper, we present various inequalities for generalized numerical radius of $2 \times 2$ block matrices for Schatten p-norm. Moreover, we give a refinement of the triangle inequality for the Schatten p-generalized numerical radius.


## 1. Introduction

Consider the space $B(H)$ of bounded linear operators over a Hilbert space $H$. For $A \in B(H)$, the numerical radius, the usual operator norm, and the Schatten p-norm, are denoted by $\omega(A),\|A\|$ and $\|A\|_{p}$ respectively.
A norm $\|\|\cdot\|\|$ on $B(H)$ is said to be unitarily invariant if $\|\|U A V\|\|=\| \| A \|$, where $A \in B(H)$ and $U, V \in B(H)$ being unitary, and weakly unitarily invariant if $\left\|\left\|A U^{*}\right\|\right\|=\| \| A\| \|$ where $A \in B(H)$ and $U \in B(H)$ being unitary. It is known that $\|A\|_{p}$ is unitarily invariant.
We should note that if $B(H)$ is the space of $n \times n$ complex matrices, $M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$, then for $A, B \in M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\|A \oplus A^{*}|\|=\|||A \oplus A|\right\|\right. \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\|\|A \oplus B\|\|=\| \|\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & A  \tag{1.2}\\
B & 0
\end{array}\right]\| \| \text { (see [11]). }
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A \oplus B\|_{p}=\left(\|A\|_{p}^{p}+\|B\|_{p}^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]and
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A \oplus A\|_{p}=2^{\frac{1}{p}}\|A\|_{p} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

The numerical radius $\omega(\cdot)$ is defined by $\omega(A)=\sup _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|R e\left(e^{i \theta} A\right)\right\|$, where $A \in$ $B(H)$. Due to its importance, the numerical radius has been generalized several times, and their last was given by Abu-Omar and Kittaneh [2] in 2019, in which they generalized it on $B(H)$. This generalization is denoted by $\omega_{N}(\cdot)$, and is defined by $\omega_{N}(A)=\sup _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} N\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i \theta} A\right)\right)$. It was proved by the authors in 2] that $\omega_{N}(\cdot)$ generalizes the numerical radius $\omega(\cdot)$ if $N$ is the usual operator norm.

In this paper, we are interested in studying the space of $n \times n$ complex matrices, $M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$. We should note that $\omega_{N}(\cdot)$ has the following two important properties, see [1].

Property 1.1. The following properties hold:
a) The norm $\omega_{N}(\cdot)$ is self adjoint.
b) If the norm $N(\cdot)$ is weakly unitarily invariant, then so is $\omega_{N}(\cdot)$.

In [6, Bhatia and Kittaneh where able to prove the following theorem that relates the Shatten p-norm of an $n \times n$ block matrix by that of its block entries.

Theorem 1.2. Let $T \in M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $T=\left[T_{i j}\right], 1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{2-p}\|T\|_{p}^{p} \leq \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left\|T_{i j}\right\|_{p}^{p} \leq\|T\|_{p}^{p} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $2 \leq p \leq \infty$; and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T\|_{p}^{p} \leq \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left\|T_{i j}\right\|_{p}^{p} \leq n^{2-p}\|T\|_{p}^{p} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1 \leq p \leq 2$.

Motivated by the results of Bhatia and Kittaneh in [6], and those of Aldalabih and Kittaneh in [3], we aim in this paper to prove some generalized numerical radius inequalities for partitioned general $2 \times 2$ block matrices considering the case when $N$ is taken to be the Schatten p-norm. We denote this norm by $\omega_{p}(\cdot)$ and call it the Schatten p-generalized numerical radius. We emphasize on finding such inequalities
for the off-diagonal part of block matrices. We also provide an application of this norm in which we give a refinement of the triangle inequality for the Schatten pgeneralized numerical radius. The following Lemma was proved by the authors in [1] and will be used in our work.

Lemma 1.3. Let $A, B \in M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$, then

$$
\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & B \\
B & 0
\end{array}\right]\right)=2^{\frac{1}{p}} \omega_{p}(B)
$$

for all $p$.

## 2. General $2 \times 2$ Block Matrices Inequalities

In this section we give bounds for the generalized Schatten p-numerical radius of general $2 \times 2$ block matrices. We give emphasis for $2 \times 2$ block diagonal matrices. Most of the results in this section, generalize those presented in [3].

Lemma 2.1. Let $A, B \in M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ then for all $p$, we have

$$
\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A \\
B & 0
\end{array}\right]\right)=2^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \sup _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|e^{i \theta} A+e^{-i \theta} B^{*}\right\|_{p}
$$

Proof. By equations (1.1), (1.2) and (1.4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A \\
B & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) & =\frac{1}{2} \sup _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & e^{i \theta} A+e^{-i \theta} B^{*} \\
e^{i \theta} B+e^{-i \theta} A^{*} & 0
\end{array}\right]\right\|_{p} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sup _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|\left[\begin{array}{cc}
e^{i \theta} A+e^{-i \theta} B^{*} & 0 \\
0 & \left(e^{i \theta} A+e^{-i \theta} B^{*}\right)^{*}
\end{array}\right]\right\|_{p} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sup _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|\left[\begin{array}{cc}
e^{i \theta} A+e^{-i \theta} B^{*} & 0 \\
0 & e^{i \theta} A+e^{-i \theta} B^{*}
\end{array}\right]\right\|_{p} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sup _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} 2^{\frac{1}{p}}\left\|e^{i \theta} A+e^{-i \theta} B^{*}\right\|_{p} \\
& =2^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \sup _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|e^{i \theta} A+e^{-i \theta} B^{*}\right\|_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 2.2. Let $A, B \in M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$, then the following inequality holds for all $p$

$$
\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & B
\end{array}\right]\right) \leq\left(\omega_{p}^{p}(A)+\omega_{p}^{p}(B)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & B
\end{array}\right]\right) & =\sup _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i \theta}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & B
\end{array}\right]\right)\right\|_{p} \\
& =\sup _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i \theta} A\right) & 0 \\
0 & \operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i \theta} B\right)
\end{array}\right]\right\|_{p} \\
& =\sup _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}}\left(\left\|\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i \theta} A\right)\right\|_{p}^{p}+\left\|\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i \theta} B\right)\right\|_{p}^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \text { (by equation (1.3)) } \\
& \leq\left(\omega_{p}^{p}(A)+\omega_{p}^{p}(B)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

as required.

Theorem 2.3. Let $A=\left[A_{i j}\right]$ be a $2 \times 2$ block matrix, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{p}^{p}(A) \leq \frac{1}{2^{p-2}} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2} \omega_{p}^{p}\left(a_{i j}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $2 \leq p \leq \infty$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{p}^{p}(A) \leq \sum_{i, j=1}^{2} \omega_{p}^{p}\left(a_{i j}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1 \leq p \leq 2$, where

$$
a_{i j}= \begin{cases}A_{i j} & i=j \\
2^{-\frac{1}{p}}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A_{i j} \\
A_{j i} & 0
\end{array}\right] & i \neq j\end{cases}
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\left\|\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i \theta} A\right)\right\|_{p}=\left\|\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i \theta} A_{11}\right) & \frac{1}{2}\left(e^{i \theta} A_{12}+e^{-i \theta} A_{21}^{*}\right) \\
\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{i \theta} A_{21}+e^{-i \theta} A_{12}^{*}\right) & \operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i \theta} A_{22}\right)
\end{array}\right]\right\|_{p},
$$

then by inequality (1.5) and Lemma 2.1 we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|R e\left(e^{i \theta} A\right)\right\|_{p}^{p} & \leq \frac{1}{2^{p-2}} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2}\left\|\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i \theta} A\right)\right)_{i j}\right\|_{p}^{p} \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{p-2}}\left(\sum_{i=j}\left\|\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i \theta} A_{i j}\right)\right\|_{p}^{p}+\sum_{i \neq j}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\|e^{i \theta} A_{i j}+e^{-i \theta} A_{j i}^{*}\right\|_{p}\right)^{p}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2^{p-2}}\left(\sum_{i=j} \omega_{p}^{p}\left(A_{i j}\right)+\sum_{i \neq j}\left(2^{-\frac{1}{p}} \omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A_{i j} \\
A_{j i} & 0
\end{array}\right]\right)\right)^{p}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{p-2}} \sum_{i=j} \omega_{p}^{p}\left(a_{i j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{p}^{p}(A) & =\sup _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i \theta} A\right)\right\|_{p}^{p} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2^{p-2}} \sum_{i=j} \omega_{p}^{p}\left(a_{i j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $2 \leq p \leq \infty$. The second inequality is proved in a similar manner, using Lemma 2.1) and inequality (1.6).

## 3. Off-Diagonal $2 \times 2$ Block Matrices Inequalities

In this section, our interest was finding inequalities for $\omega_{p}$ of the off-diagonal $2 \times 2$ block matrices. The following lemma is useful in our work.

Lemma 3.1. Let $A, B \in M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$, then
a) $\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & A \\ e^{i \theta} B & 0\end{array}\right]\right)=\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & A \\ B & 0\end{array}\right]\right)$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.
b) $\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & A \\ B & 0\end{array}\right]\right)=\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & B \\ A & 0\end{array}\right]\right)$.
for all $p$.

Proof. Let $U=\left[\begin{array}{cc}I & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i \frac{\theta}{2}} I\end{array}\right]$, then $U$ is unitary. Then by Property 1.1 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A \\
B & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) & =\omega_{p}\left(U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A \\
B & 0
\end{array}\right] U^{*}\right) \\
& =\omega_{p}\left(e^{-i \frac{\theta}{2}}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A \\
e^{i \theta} B & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \\
& =\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A \\
e^{i \theta} B & 0
\end{array}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which ends the proof of (a). Now to prove the equality (b), consider $U=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & I \\ I & 0\end{array}\right]$, then $U$ is unitary.

Then by Property 1.1 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A \\
B & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) & =\omega_{p}\left(U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A \\
B & 0
\end{array}\right] U^{*}\right) \\
& =\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & B \\
A & 0
\end{array}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which ends the proof.

The next theorem gives upper and lower bounds for $\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & A \\ B & 0\end{array}\right]\right)$ in terms of $\omega_{p}(A+B)$ and $\omega_{p}(A-B)$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $A, B \in M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$, then

$$
\frac{\max \left(\omega_{p}(A+B), \omega_{p}(A-B)\right)}{2^{1-\frac{1}{p}}} \leq \omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A \\
B & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \leq \frac{\omega_{p}(A+B)+\omega_{p}(A-B)}{2^{1-\frac{1}{p}}}
$$

for all $p$.

Proof. By Lemma 1.3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^{\frac{1}{p}} \omega_{p}(A+B) & =\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A+B \\
A+B & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \\
& =\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & B \\
A & 0
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A \\
B & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \\
& \leq \omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & B \\
A & 0
\end{array}\right]\right)+\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A \\
B & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \quad \text { (by triangle inequality). } \\
& =2 \omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A \\
B & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \quad \quad \text { (by Lemma 3.1) }
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A  \tag{3.1}\\
B & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \geq \frac{1}{2^{1-\frac{1}{p}}} \omega_{p}(A+B)
$$

replacing $B$ by $-B$ in inequality (3.1), we get

$$
\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A \\
-B & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \geq \frac{1}{2^{1-\frac{1}{p}}} \omega_{p}(A-B)
$$

taking $\theta=\pi$ in Lemma 3.1 we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A \\
B & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) & =\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A \\
-B & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2^{1-\frac{1}{p}}} \omega_{p}(A-B) \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

therefore, by the estimations (3.1) and (3.2), we get

$$
\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & A \\
B & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \geq \frac{\max \left(\omega_{p}(A+B), \omega_{p}(A-B)\right)}{2^{1-\frac{1}{p}}}
$$

Now for the second inequality, consider $U=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\begin{array}{cc}I & -I \\ I & I\end{array}\right]$, where $I$ is the $n \times n$ identity matrix, then $U$ is unitary, and thus by Property 1.1 we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A \\
B & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) & =\omega_{p}\left(U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A \\
B & 0
\end{array}\right] U^{*}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-(A+B) & A-B \\
-(A-B) & A+B
\end{array}\right]\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-(A+B) & 0 \\
0 & A+B
\end{array}\right]\right)+\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A-B \\
-(A-B) & 0
\end{array}\right]\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(by triangle inequality)

$$
\leq \frac{1}{2}\left(2^{\frac{1}{p}} \omega_{p}(A+B)+2^{\frac{1}{p}} \omega_{p}(A-B)\right)
$$

(by Proposition 2.2, Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 1.3).
as required.

Corollary 3.3. Let $T \in M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $T=A+i B$, where $A=\operatorname{Re}(T)$ and $B=\operatorname{Im}(T)$, then

$$
\frac{\omega_{p}(T)}{2} \leq \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{p}}} \omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A \\
B & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \leq \omega_{p}(T)
$$

for all $p$.

Proof. Replacing $B$ by $i B$ in theorem 3.2, we get

$$
\frac{\max \left(\omega_{p}(A+i B), \omega_{p}(A-i B)\right)}{2^{1-\frac{1}{p}}} \leq \omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A \\
i B & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \leq \frac{\omega_{p}(A+i B)+\omega_{p}(A-i B)}{2^{1-\frac{1}{p}}}
$$

then,

$$
\frac{\max \left(\omega_{p}(T), \omega_{p}\left(T^{*}\right)\right)}{2^{1-\frac{1}{p}}} \leq \omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A \\
i B & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \leq \frac{\omega_{p}(T)+\omega_{p}\left(T^{*}\right)}{2^{1-\frac{1}{p}}}
$$

However, $\omega_{p}(T)=\omega_{p}\left(T^{*}\right)$, then

$$
\frac{\omega_{p}(T)}{2^{1-\frac{1}{p}}} \leq \omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A \\
i B & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \leq \frac{\omega_{p}(T)}{2^{-\frac{1}{p}}}
$$

Take $\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}$ in Lemma 3.1, then

$$
\frac{\omega_{p}(T)}{2^{1-\frac{1}{p}}} \leq \omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A  \tag{3.3}\\
B & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \leq \frac{\omega_{p}(T)}{2^{-\frac{1}{p}}}
$$

Multiply (3.3) by $2^{-\frac{1}{p}}$, then

$$
\frac{\omega_{p}(T)}{2} \leq \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{p}}} \omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A \\
B & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \leq \omega_{p}(T)
$$

Remark 3.1. Let $A \in M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$, then we have

$$
e^{i\left(\theta-\frac{\pi}{2}\right)}=-i e^{i \theta}, \text { and } e^{-i\left(\theta-\frac{\pi}{2}\right)}=i e^{-i \theta}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i\left(\theta-\frac{\pi}{2}\right)} A\right) & =\frac{e^{i\left(\theta-\frac{\pi}{2}\right)} A+e^{-i\left(\theta-\frac{\pi}{2}\right)} A^{*}}{2} \\
& =\frac{-i e^{i \theta} A+i e^{-i \theta} A^{*}}{2} \\
& =\frac{e^{i \theta} A-e^{-i \theta} A^{*}}{2 i} \\
& =\operatorname{Im}\left(e^{i \theta} A\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{p}(A) & =\sup _{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i \alpha} A\right)\right\|_{p} \\
& =\sup _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i\left(\theta-\frac{\pi}{2}\right)} A\right)\right\|_{p} \\
& =\sup _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|\operatorname{Im}\left(e^{i \theta} A\right)\right\|_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

See [8]

Remark 3.2. Let $X \in M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$, and $2 \leq p<\infty$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
X & X \\
-X & -X
\end{array}\right]\right) & =\sup _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i \theta} X\right) & \operatorname{Im}\left(e^{i \theta} X\right) \\
-\operatorname{Im}\left(e^{i \theta} X\right) & -\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i \theta} X\right)
\end{array}\right]\right\|_{p} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2^{\frac{2}{p}-1}} \sup _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}}\left(2\left\|\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i \theta} X\right)\right\|_{p}^{p}+2\left\|\operatorname{Im}\left(e^{i \theta} X\right)\right\|_{p}^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(by inequality (1.5))
$=\frac{1}{2^{\frac{2}{p}-1}} 2^{\frac{2}{p}} \omega_{p}(X) \quad($ by Remark [3.1) $)$

$$
=2 \omega_{p}(X)
$$

Theorem 3.4. Let $A, B \in M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$, then

$$
\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & A \\
B & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \min \left(\omega_{p}(A), \omega_{p}(B)\right)+\min \left(\omega_{p}(A+B), \omega_{p}(A-B)\right)
$$

for $2 \leq p<\infty$.

Proof. Consider $U=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\begin{array}{cc}I & I \\ -I & I\end{array}\right]$, where $I$ is the $n \times n$ identity matrix, then $U$ is unitary, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & A \\
B & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) & =\omega_{p}\left(U\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A \\
B & 0
\end{array}\right] U^{*}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A+B & A-B \\
-(A-B) & -(A+B)
\end{array}\right]\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A+B & A+B \\
-(A+B) & -(A+B)
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -2 B \\
2 B & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A+B & A+B \\
-(A+B) & -(A+B)
\end{array}\right]\right)+\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -2 B \\
2 B & 0
\end{array}\right]\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (by triangle inequality) } \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2}\left(2 \omega_{p}(A+B)+2^{\frac{1}{p}} \omega_{p}(2 B)\right) \text { (by Remark 3.2, Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 1.3) } \\
= & \omega_{p}(A+B)+2^{\frac{1}{p}} \omega_{p}(B) . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Replacing $B$ by $-B$ in (3.4), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A \\
B & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) & =\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A \\
-B & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \quad(\text { taking } \theta=\pi \text { in Lemma 3.1) } \\
& \leq \omega_{p}(A-B)+2^{\frac{1}{p}} \omega_{p}(B) \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Then by (3.4) and (3.5), we get

$$
\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A  \tag{3.6}\\
B & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \omega_{p}(B)+\min \left(\omega_{p}(A+B), \omega_{p}(A-B)\right)
$$

Interchanging $A$ and $B$ in (3.6), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A \\
B & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) & =\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & B \\
A & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \quad \text { (by Lemma 3.1) } \\
& \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \omega_{p}(A)+\min \left(\omega_{p}(A+B), \omega_{p}(A-B)\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, by (3.6) and (3.7), we have

$$
\omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A \\
B & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \min \left(\omega_{p}(A), \omega_{p}(B)\right)+\min \left(\omega_{p}(A+B), \omega_{p}(A-B)\right)
$$

as required.

## 4. An Application

In this section we present an application, which is a refinement of the triangle inequality for the generalized Schatten p-numerical radius. The following remark is presented by "Yamazaki" in 14

Remark 4.1. Let $T \in M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$, we have

$$
\omega_{p}(T)=\sup _{\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}=1}\|\alpha \operatorname{Re}(T)+\beta \operatorname{Im}(T)\|_{p}
$$

for all $p$. Then

$$
\left\|T+T^{*}\right\|_{p} \leq 2 \omega_{p}(T)
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{p}(T) & =\sup _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i \theta} T\right)\right\|_{p} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sup _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|e^{i \theta} T+e^{-i \theta} T^{*}\right\|_{p} \\
& =\sup _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|\cos (\theta) T+i \sin (\theta) T+\cos (\theta) T^{*}-i \sin (\theta) T^{*}\right\|_{p} \\
& =\sup _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}}\|\cos (\theta) \operatorname{Re}(T)-\sin (\theta) \operatorname{Im}(T)\|_{p} \\
& =\sup _{\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}=1}\|\alpha \operatorname{Re}(T)+\beta \operatorname{Im}(T)\|_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Take $\theta=2 \pi$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{p}(T) & \geq\|\cos (2 \pi) \operatorname{Re}(T)-\sin (2 \pi) \operatorname{Im}(T)\|_{p} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left\|T+T^{*}\right\|_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

so, $\left\|T+T^{*}\right\|_{p} \leq 2 \omega_{p}(T)$.

The next theorem is a refinement of the triangle inequality for the generalized Schatten p-numerical radius.

Theorem 4.1. Let $A, B \in M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$, then

$$
\|A+B\|_{p} \leq 2^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A \\
B^{*} & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \leq\|A\|_{p}+\|B\|_{p}
$$

for all $p$.
Proof. Let $T=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & A \\ B^{*} & 0\end{array}\right]$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A+B \\
A^{*}+B^{*} & 0
\end{array}\right]\right\|_{p}^{p} & =\left\|(A+B) \oplus\left(A^{*}+B^{*}\right)\right\|_{p}^{p} \quad \quad \text { (by equation (1.2) } \\
& =\|(A+B) \oplus(A+B)\|_{p}^{p} \quad(\text { by equation (1.1) }) \\
& =2\|A+B\|_{p}^{p} . \quad(\text { by equation (1.4) }) \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\|A+B\|_{p}^{p} & =\left\|\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A+B \\
A^{*}+B^{*} & 0
\end{array}\right]\right\|_{p}^{p} \\
& =\left\|T+T^{*}\right\|_{p}^{p} \\
& \leq 2^{p} \omega_{p}^{p}(T) \quad(\text { by Remark 4.1) } \\
& =2^{p} \omega_{p}^{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A \\
B^{*} & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\|A+B\|_{p} \leq 2^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A \\
B^{*} & 0
\end{array}\right]\right)
$$

For the second inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{p}(T) & =\sup _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i \theta} T\right)\right\|_{p} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sup _{\theta \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & e^{i \theta} A+e^{-i \theta} B \\
e^{-i \theta} A^{*}+e^{i \theta} B^{*} & 0
\end{array}\right]\right\|_{p} \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{1-\frac{1}{p}}} \sup \left\|e^{i \theta} A+e^{-i \theta} B\right\|_{p} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2^{1-\frac{1}{p}}}\left(\|A\|_{p}+\|B\|_{p}\right) .
\end{aligned} \quad \text { (by same argument as (4.1)) }
$$

Therefore,

$$
\|A+B\|_{p} \leq 2^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \omega_{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A \\
B^{*} & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \leq\|A\|_{p}+\|B\|_{p}
$$
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