

Stochastic exciton-scattering theory of optical lineshapes: Renormalized many-body contributions

Eric R. Bittner,^{1, a)} Hao Li,^{1, b)} S. A. Shah,¹ Andrei Piryatinski,² and Carlos Silva-Acuña^{3, 4, 5}

¹⁾Department of Chemistry, University of Houston, Houston, Texas 77204, United States

²⁾Theoretical Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM, 87545 United States

³⁾School of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Georgia Institute of Technology, 901 Atlantic Drive, Atlanta, GA 30332, United States

⁴⁾School of Physics, Georgia Institute of Technology, 837 State Street, Atlanta, GA 30332, United States

⁵⁾School of Materials Science and Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, North Avenue, Atlanta, GA 30332, United States

(Dated: 7 April 2022)

Spectral line-shapes provide a window into the local environment coupled to a quantum transition in the condensed phase. In this paper, we build upon a stochastic model to account for non-stationary background processes produced by broad-band pulsed laser stimulation. In particular, we consider the contribution of pair-fluctuations arising from the full bosonic many-body Hamiltonian within a mean-field approximation, treating the coupling to the system as a stochastic noise term. Using the Itô transformation, we consider two limiting cases for our model which lead to a connection between the observed spectral fluctuations and the spectral density of the environment. In the first case, we consider a Brownian environment and show that this produces spectral dynamics that relax to form dressed excitonic states and recover an Anderson-Kubo-like form for the spectral correlations. In the second case, we assume that the spectrum is Anderson-Kubo like, and invert to determine the corresponding background. Using the Jensen inequality, we obtain an upper limit for the spectral density for the background. The results presented here provide the technical tools for applying the stochastic model to a broad range of problems.

I. INTRODUCTION

A spectroscopic measurement of a condensed-phase system interrogates both the system and its surrounding local environment. In the statistical sense, the background density of states coupled to the system being probed imparts an uncertainty in the energy of the transition. According to the Anderson-Kubo model (AK),^{1,2} this can be incorporated into the spectral response function by writing that the transition frequency has an intrinsic time dependence

$$\omega(t) = \omega_0 + \delta\omega(t) \quad (1)$$

where ω_0 is the central (mean) transition frequency and $\delta\omega(t)$ is some time-dependent modulation with $\langle\delta\omega(t)\rangle = 0$. Lacking detailed knowledge of the environment, it is reasonable to write the frequency auto-correlation function in terms of the deviation about the mean, Δ and a single correlation time, $\tau_c = \gamma^{-1}$, *viz.*

$$\langle\delta\omega(t)\delta\omega(0)\rangle = \Delta^2 e^{-\gamma t}. \quad (2)$$

The model has two important limits.³ First, if $\Delta/\gamma \ll 1$ absorption line shape takes a Lorentzian functional form with a homogeneous width determined by the dephasing time $T_2^{-1} = \Delta^2/\gamma$. On the other hand, if $\Delta/\gamma \gg 1$, the

absorption spectrum takes a Gaussian form with a line width independent of the correlation time. In this limit, fluctuations are slow and the system samples a broad distribution of environmental motions. Increasing the rate of the fluctuations (i.e. decreasing the correlation time) leads to the effect of motional narrowing whereby the line width becomes increasingly narrow.^{1,2}

We recently developed a stochastic model for this starting from a full many-body description of excitons and exciton/exciton interactions and showed how such effects are manifest in both the linear and non-linear/coherent spectral dynamics of a system.^{4,5} Within our model, the Heisenberg operators for the optical excitation are driven by stochastic equations representing the transient evolution of a background population of non-optical excitation which interact with the optical mode. For this, we define the exciton Hamiltonian (with $\hbar = 1$) as

$$H_0(t) = \hbar\omega_0 a_0^\dagger a_0 + \frac{V_0}{2} a_0^\dagger a_0^\dagger a_0 a_0 + 2V_0 a_0^\dagger a_0 N(t). \quad (3)$$

Where $N(t)$ is derived by assuming the optical bright state with operators $[a_0, a_0^\dagger] = 1$ are coupled to an ensemble of optically dark $q \neq 0$ excitons which in turn evolve according to a quantum Langevin equation and we assume that the dark background can be written in terms of its population.

$$N(t) = \left\langle \sum_q a_q^\dagger(t) a_q(t) \right\rangle \quad (4)$$

In deriving this model, we also assumed that an additional term corresponding to pair creation/annihilation

^{a)}Electronic mail: ebittner@central.uh.edu

^{b)}Electronic mail:

could be dropped from consideration. That term takes the form

$$H_{pair} = \sum_{q \neq 0} \gamma_q (a_0^\dagger a_0^\dagger a_q a_{-q} + a_q^\dagger a_{-q}^\dagger a_0 a_0) \quad (5)$$

However, such pair-creation/annihilation terms may give important and interesting contributions to the spectral lineshape, especially in systems in which excitons are formed near the Fermi energy. In such systems, the exciton becomes dressed by virtual electron/hole fluctuations about the Fermi sea producing spectral shifts and broadening of the spectral lineshape. Such states are best described as exciton/polarons whose wave function consists of the bare exciton/hole excitation dressed by electron/hole fluctuations.

Recent advances towards a more microscopic perspective has been presented by Katsch et al., in which excitonic Heisenberg equations of motion are used to describe linear excitation line broadening in two-dimensional transition-metal dichalcogenides⁶. Their results indicate exciton-exciton scattering from a dark background as a dominant mechanism in the power-dependent broadening EID and sideband formation. Similar theoretical modelling on this class of materials and their van der Waals bilayers have yielded insight into the role of effective mass asymmetry on EID processes⁷. These modelling works highlight the need for microscopic approaches to understand nonlinear quantum dynamics of complex 2D semiconductors, but the computational expense could become considerable if other many-body details such as polaronic effects are to be included⁸. As an alternative general approach, an analytical theory of dephasing in the same vein as Anderson-Kubo lineshape theory but that includes *transient* EID and Coulomb screening effects, would be valuable to extract microscopic detail on screened exciton-exciton scattering from time-dependent nonlinear coherent ultrafast spectroscopy, via direct and unambiguous measurement of the homogeneous excitation linewidth^{9,10}.

In this work, we consider the effect of higher-order background fluctuations on the spectral lineshape for a given system. We do so by attempting to connect the transient line-narrowing and peak shifts of a spectral transition to an assumed stochastic model for the background dynamics. Our results suggest that the spectral evolution evident in time-resolved multi-dimensional non-linear spectroscopic measurements of semiconducting systems can be used to reveal otherwise dark details of background excitation processes coupled to the system.

II. THEORETICAL DEVELOPMENT

To pursue the effect of the pair-fluctuations, we start with the basic form of the Hamiltonian

$$H = \hbar\omega(a^\dagger a + 1/2) + \hbar\gamma(t)(a^\dagger a^\dagger + aa)/2 \quad (6)$$

where $\gamma(t)$ is the coupling which we take to be an unspecified stochastic process. Formally, we can write that $\gamma(t) \approx \gamma_{pair} N(t)$ where γ_{pair} is the coupling constant and $N(t)$ the background population at time t . This form of the many-body Hamiltonian follows directly from a full many-body Hamiltonian under the assumption that the coupling can be described within a long-wavelength limit (independent of k -vector) and within a mean-field theory so that the number density of the fluctuations enters as a single stochastic variable. To proceed, we diagonalize H via unitary transform (c.f. Ref. 11, Sec 9.7)

$$\tilde{H} = e^{-S} H e^{+S} \quad (7)$$

with $S = \xi(a^2 - (a^\dagger)^2)/2$. Under this transformation,

$$\tilde{a} = e^{-S} a e^{+S} = a \cosh \xi - a^\dagger \sinh \xi \quad (8)$$

$$\tilde{a}^\dagger = e^{-S} a^\dagger e^{+S} = a^\dagger \cosh \xi - a \sinh \xi, \quad (9)$$

where ξ is a variational parameter. The transformed operators can be reintroduced into the original H to produce

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}/\hbar &= (a^\dagger a + 1/2)(\omega \cosh(2\xi) - \gamma(t) \sinh(2\xi)) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}((a^\dagger)^2 + a^2)(\gamma(t) \cosh(2\xi) - \omega \sinh(2\xi)). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Under this transformation, \tilde{H} becomes diagonal

$$\tilde{H} = \hbar\tilde{\omega}(t) (\tilde{a}^\dagger \tilde{a} + 1/2). \quad (11)$$

with

$$\tanh(2\xi) = \frac{\gamma}{\omega}. \quad (12)$$

From this, we obtain a renormalized frequency

$$\tilde{\omega}(t) = \sqrt{\omega^2 - \gamma(t)^2}. \quad (13)$$

However, since $\gamma(t)$ is a stochastic process, we need to derive the underlying SDE for the renormalized harmonic frequency, $\tilde{\omega}(t)$, in order to compute correlation functions.

In the regime of weak pair-excitation interaction, $\gamma/\omega \ll 1$, the eigen-frequency can be approximated as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\omega}(t) &= \omega \sqrt{1 - (\gamma/\omega)^2} \\ &\approx \omega (1 - z(t)/2), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where $z(t) = \gamma(t)^2/\omega^2$. Therefore, \sqrt{z} represents the coupling strength of the pair-excitation relative to the excitation frequency.

After the unitary transformation we have following commutation relations

$$[\tilde{a}, \tilde{a}^\dagger] = 1, \quad (15)$$

$$[\tilde{H}, \tilde{a}] = -\hbar\tilde{\omega}\tilde{a}, \quad (16)$$

$$[\tilde{H}, \tilde{a}^\dagger] = \hbar\tilde{\omega}\tilde{a}^\dagger, \quad (17)$$

which lead to the time evolution of the operators in the interaction picture

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{a}_1(t) &= \tilde{a}_0 \exp \left[-i \int_0^t \tilde{\omega}(\tau) d\tau \right] \\ &\approx \tilde{a}_0 \exp(-i\omega t) \exp \left[\frac{i\omega}{2} \int_0^t z(\tau) d\tau \right],\end{aligned}\quad (18)$$

where $\tilde{a}_0 = \tilde{a}(0)$ is the initial condition. Because $\tilde{a}(t)$ commutes at different time, the commutation relation of the dipole operator remains unchanged under the S unitary transformation.

For the moment, we leave the stochastic variable unspecified and find the linear response function

$$\begin{aligned}S^{(1)}(t) &= \frac{i}{\hbar} \langle [\hat{\mu}(t), \hat{\mu}(0)] \rho(-\infty) \rangle \\ &= \frac{i}{\hbar} \mu^2 \langle [\tilde{a}^\dagger(t), \tilde{a}_0] \rho(-\infty) - \text{c.c.} \rangle \\ &= \frac{2\mu^2}{\hbar} \Im \left\langle \exp(i\omega t) \exp \left[-\frac{i\omega}{2} \int_0^t z(\tau) d\tau \right] \right\rangle\end{aligned}\quad (19)$$

$$= \frac{2\mu^2}{\hbar} \Im \left\{ \exp(i\omega t) \exp \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-i\omega/2)^n}{n!} \left\langle \left(\int_0^t z(\tau) d\tau \right)^n \right\rangle_c \right] \right\}\quad (20)$$

in the form of cumulant expansion, where $\langle x^n \rangle_c$ denotes the n -th cumulant. According to the theorem of Marcinkiewicz,^{12,13} either all but the first two cumulants vanish or there are infinite number of nonvanishing cumulants. Moreover, higher order cumulants are less significant than those of lower orders. Therefore, we truncate the cumulant expansion to the second order and write the spectral line-shape functions $g_1(t)$ and $g_2(t)$ from respectively the first cumulant and second cumulants,

$$g_1(t) = \int_0^t \langle z(\tau) \rangle d\tau\quad (21)$$

and

$$g_2(t) = \int_0^t \int_0^t \langle z(\tau), z(\tau') \rangle d\tau d\tau',\quad (22)$$

respectively.

So far we have not limited $z(t)$, equivalently speaking $\gamma(t)^2$, to any particular stochastic process. In principle, once the stochastic differential equation of $\gamma(t)$ is specified, one can find its cumulants thence the mean and covariance of $z(t)$ which determine the spectral line shape functions. With the $g_1(t)$ and $g_2(t)$ expressions in hand, one can go on to write expressions for the higher-order spectral response terms as in Ref. 4,5. Our general procedure is to first define the SDE for either the background $\gamma(t)$ process, use the Itô identity to determine the SDE for the frequency as a transformed process under $z(t) = \gamma^2(t)/\omega^2$, either analytically or numerically determine the mean and covariances, and finally compute the cumulants needed for the spectral responses.

A. Integrating the stochastic variables

In order to compute the spectral responses, we need to specify underlying SDE that gives rise to $\gamma(t)$. In the most general case, we can write the SDE for $\gamma(t)^2$ in using the Itô formula

$$\begin{aligned}d[\gamma(t)^2] &= \frac{\partial \gamma^2}{\partial t} dt + \frac{\partial \gamma^2}{\partial \gamma} d\gamma + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \gamma^2}{\partial \gamma^2} (d\gamma)^2 \\ &\approx (2\gamma A[\gamma] + B[\gamma]^2) dt + 2\gamma B[\gamma] dW_t.\end{aligned}\quad (23)$$

In terms of $z(t) = \gamma(t)^2/\omega^2$, the SDE reads

$$\begin{aligned}\omega dz &= \left\{ \frac{2\gamma}{\bar{\omega}} A[\gamma] + \left(\frac{\gamma^2}{\bar{\omega}^3} + \frac{1}{\bar{\omega}} \right) B[\gamma]^2 \right\} dt + \frac{2\gamma}{\bar{\omega}} B[\gamma] dW_t \\ &\approx \left\{ \sqrt{z} (2+z) A[\gamma] + \frac{2+3z+3z^2}{2\omega} B[\gamma]^2 \right\} dt + \sqrt{z} (2+z) B[\gamma] dW_t \\ &\approx \left\{ 2\sqrt{z} A[\gamma] + \frac{1}{\omega} B[\gamma]^2 \right\} dt + 2\sqrt{z} B[\gamma] dW_t.\end{aligned}\quad (24)$$

Here we use $(1-z)^{-1} \approx 1+z$ and $(1-z)^{-3} \approx 1+3z$, for $z(t) \ll 1$. Keeping only the \sqrt{z} terms, the equation is exactly the same as Eq.(23). Hereafter, we are going to neglect z and higher order terms but keep only $\sqrt{z(t)}$ (equivalently, γ) because $\gamma(t)/\omega \ll 1$ and determines the magnitude of pair-excitation interactions recalling $\gamma(t) = \gamma_{\text{pair}} N(t)$.

In our previous work, the autocorrelation function of $N(t)$ characterizes the exciton-exciton coupling. The effect of multiple exciton interaction may be included in the model by taking into account the autocorrelation function of $\gamma(t)$ higher orders. So hereafter the pair-excitation coupling strength $\gamma(t)^2$ or the relative counterpart $\gamma(t)^2/\omega^2$ should be of our major interest in the stochastic treatment. Without loss of generality, we consider $\gamma(t)$ as a Gaussian process whose mean is zero and the covariance at any two times is known. Because $\gamma(t)$ is Gaussian, all its moments of order higher than two can be expressed in terms of those of the first and second order. Therefore, we find the properties of $z(t)$

$$\langle z(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{\omega^2} \text{Var}[\gamma(t)], \quad (25)$$

$$\langle z(t_1), z(t_2) \rangle = \frac{2}{\omega^4} \text{Cov}[\gamma(t_1), \gamma(t_2)]^2. \quad (26)$$

We now examine three special cases that can be solved exactly. First, we consider the case when the coupling $\gamma(t)$ follows a mean-reverting (Ornstein-Uhlenbeck) process. Under this assumption, the background fluctuations have a single characteristic variance and correlation time such that (for a stationary process)

$$\langle \gamma_t \gamma_0 \rangle = \frac{\sigma^2}{\theta} e^{-\theta|t|}. \quad (27)$$

This is of course the simplest model for the fluctuations. We then consider the case where the resulting frequency fluctuations themselves are mean-reverting. This latter case corresponds to the more typical Kubo-Anderson model. Lastly, we consider the case where the fluctuations arise from a multiplicative (rather than additive) processes.

1. Case 1: $d\gamma_t = -\theta\gamma_t dt + \sigma dW_t$

In the case that $\gamma(t)$ satisfies the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process,

$$d\gamma_t = -\theta\gamma_t dt + \sigma dW_t. \quad (28)$$

This case would correspond to the vacuum fluctuations about bare exciton state. We should emphasize that this is not properly in the regime of quantum fluctuations since we have not enforced the bosonic commutation relation within the background. Applying the Itô identity, we arrive at a SDE for the exciton frequency,

$$dz_t = 2\theta \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{2\theta\omega^2} - z_t \right) dt + \frac{2\sigma}{\omega} \sqrt{z_t} dW_t, \quad (29)$$

in which the relaxation rate is 2θ , and the drift term $\sigma^2/2\theta\omega^2$ corresponds to the mean value of the stationary state. The formal solution (analogous to $\gamma(t)$) is

$$\begin{aligned}z(t)^{1/2} &= [z(0)]^{1/2} e^{-\theta t} + \frac{\sigma}{\omega} \int_0^t e^{-\theta(t-s)} dW_s \\ \gamma(t) &= \gamma(0) e^{-\theta t} + \sigma \int_0^t e^{-\theta(t-s)} dW_s.\end{aligned}\quad (30)$$

Using Itô isometry we find the average

$$\langle z(t) \rangle = z_0 e^{-2\theta t} + \frac{\sigma^2}{2\omega^2\theta} (1 - e^{-2\theta t}), \quad (31)$$

and the autocorrelation function

$$\langle z(t), z(s) \rangle = \sigma_{z_0}^2 e^{-2\theta(t+s)} + \frac{\sigma^4}{2\theta^2\omega^4} \left[e^{-\theta|t-s|} - e^{-\theta(t+s)} \right]^2 + \frac{2\sigma^2}{\theta\omega^2} z_0 e^{-\theta(t+s)} \left[e^{-\theta|t-s|} - e^{-\theta(t+s)} \right] \quad (32)$$

where $z_0 = \langle z(0) \rangle$, and $\sigma_{z_0}^2 = \langle (z(0) - z_0)^2 \rangle$ is the variance of the initial condition. In the case of deterministic initial condition $z(0) = z_0$, the first term vanishes. In case of stationary state $s, t \rightarrow +\infty$, the covariance function is determined by the time interval Δt

$$\text{Cov}[z(t), z(t + \Delta t)] = \frac{\sigma^2}{2\theta^2\omega^4} e^{-2\theta|\Delta t|}. \quad (33)$$

Because $\gamma(t)$ is a Gaussian and Markovian process with covariance

$$\text{Cov}[\gamma(s), \gamma(t)] = \frac{\sigma^2}{2\theta} \left[e^{-\theta|t-s|} - e^{-\theta(t+s)} \right], \quad (34)$$

we can write the mean value and covariance of $z(t)$ according to Eqs. (25) and (26)

$$\langle z(t) \rangle_{t \rightarrow \infty} = \frac{\sigma^2}{2\theta\omega^2}, \quad (35)$$

$$\langle z(t), z(t + \Delta t) \rangle_{t \rightarrow \infty} = \frac{\sigma^4}{2\theta^2\omega^4} e^{-2\theta|\Delta t|}, \quad (36)$$

which agrees with the direct solution Eq. (33).

From these, we arrive at the following expressions for the cumulants

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(t) &= \int_0^t \langle z(\tau) \rangle d\tau \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2 t}{2\theta\omega^2} + \frac{1}{2\theta} \left(z_0 - \frac{\sigma^2}{2\theta\omega^2} \right) (1 - e^{-2\theta t}), \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

and the second cumulant

$$\begin{aligned} g_2(t) &= \int_0^t \int_0^t \langle z(\tau), z(\tau') \rangle d\tau d\tau' \\ &= \frac{\sigma_{z_0}^2}{4\theta^2} (1 - e^{-2\theta t})^2 + \frac{\sigma^4}{8\theta^4\omega^4} (e^{-4\theta t} + 8\theta t e^{-2\theta t} + 4e^{-2\theta t} + 4\theta t - 5) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2\theta^3\omega^2} z_0 (1 - 4\theta t e^{-2\theta t} - e^{-4\theta t}) \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

2. Comparison to the Anderson-Kubo model and our previous stochastic scattering (SS) theory

The well-known Anderson-Kubo theory describes the line shape broadening with regard to the stationary state of a random variable (usually the frequency fluctuation, z_t here) characterized by an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. The expansion of the linear optical response function leads to the first cumulant $g_1^{\text{AK}}(t) = \mu t$, in which μ is the drift term, i.e., the mean value, and the second cumulant

$$g_2^{\text{AK}}(t) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2\theta^3} (e^{-\theta t} + \theta t - 1). \quad (39)$$

In the short time limit $\theta t \ll 1$, the first cumulant in Eq. (37) turns to $g_1(t) \approx z_0 t$, which has the same linear form as $g_1^{\text{AK}}(t)$. It also agrees with the counterpart in our previous publication⁴

$$g_1^{\text{EID}}(t) = \frac{z_0}{2\theta} (1 - e^{-2\theta t}) \approx z_0 t. \quad (40)$$

It is worth noting that the relaxation rate here is 2θ instead of θ , because $\gamma(t)^2$ is the stochastic process of interest rather than $\gamma(t)$ characterized by the rate θ . For deterministic initial condition, $z_0 = \gamma_0^2/\omega^2$. The first cumulant $g_1(t)$ results in a red shift of $z_0\omega/2$ in the linear spectrum in the short time limit, which is determined by the initial average of the stochastic process $z(t)$.

When the initial fluctuation of $\gamma(t)$ obeys the same Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, we conclude $\sigma_{\gamma_0}^2 = \sigma^2/2\theta$ from the stationary state corresponding to the long-time limit where Eq. (31) turns into $\text{Var}[\gamma(t)] = \sigma^2/2\theta$. Considering Eq. (B4), we have

$$g_1(t) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2\theta\omega^2}t + \frac{\gamma_0^2}{2\theta\omega^2}(1 - e^{-2\theta t}), \quad (41)$$

in which the second term looks similar to the $g_1^{\text{EID}}(t)$ function in Eq. (40). However, $z_0 = \gamma_0^2/\omega^2$ is true only for the deterministic initial condition, which is not the case in the above equation. Eq. (40) given in our previous publication leads to a time-dependent red shift that eventually vanishes after sufficiently long time. The first term then can be considered as a correction term that accounts for the interaction of paired-excitation and leads to a constant red shift of $\sigma^2/4\theta\omega$.

Therefore, the first cumulant of the present model produces the red shift similar to but more complex than the counterpart in our previous model, where interactions between paired-excitations are neglected. The initial frequency shift $z_0\omega/2$ agrees with the Anderson-Kubo theory, but converges to $\sigma^2/4\theta\omega$ rather than decaying to zero as in our previous papers.

Regarding the second cumulant, $g_2(t)$, the result from our previous work reads

$$g_2^{\text{EID}}(t) = \frac{\sigma_{\gamma_0}^2}{\theta^2}(1 - e^{-\theta t})^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{2\theta^3}(2\theta t + 4e^{-\theta t} - e^{-2\theta t} - 3). \quad (42)$$

Compared to Eq. (38), the first term is recovered; however, the present model provides a more sophisticated description of the dependency on the initial average z_0 .

In the limiting case of stationary state where $\sigma_{\gamma_0}^2 = \sigma^2/2\theta$, the second cumulant in the present model turns into

$$g_2(t) = \frac{\sigma^4}{4\theta^4\omega^4}(e^{-2\theta t} + 2\theta t - 1) + \frac{\sigma^2\gamma_0^2}{\theta^3\omega^4}(e^{2\theta t} - 2\theta t - 1)e^{-2\theta t}, \quad (43)$$

in which the first term reproduces the Anderson-Kubo lineshape but with half correlation time $\tau_c = (2\theta)^{-1}$ compared to that of the Anderson-Kubo theory θ^{-1} . Furthermore, the second term gives the line broadening due to the initial average of the background exciton population, γ_0^2 , which only results in a frequency shift in our previous model.

3. Case 2: $dz_t = -\theta z_t dt + \sigma dW_t$

Here we consider the more usual case in which the line-shape function follows from the Anderson-Kubo model, but the underlying background process is due to the pair fluctuation. From a spectroscopic point of view, we will have the typical motional narrowing and inhomogeneous broadening limits; however, their physical origins depend upon actual coupling to the background fluctuations. For this we consider just the stationary limit with the goal of relating the spectral lineshape to the underlying spectral density of the pair fluctuations.

Since $\gamma(t) = \omega\sqrt{z(t)}$ and we assume that $z(t)$ follows from an OU process, one has the SDE of $\gamma(t)$

$$d\gamma_t = -\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\gamma_t + \frac{\sigma^2\omega^4}{8}\gamma_t^{-3}\right)dt + \frac{\sigma\omega^2}{2}\gamma_t^{-1}dW_t, \quad (44)$$

with solution

$$\gamma(t)^2 = \gamma(0)^2 e^{-\theta t} + \sigma\omega^2 \int_0^t e^{-\theta(t-\tau)} d\tau. \quad (45)$$

Taking this to be a stationary process, we can integrate the SDE to find

$$\gamma(t) = \pm\omega\sigma^{1/2} \left[\int_0^t e^{-\theta(t-\tau)} dW_\tau \right]^{1/2}, \quad (46)$$

and use this to construct the spectral density of the underlying many-body dynamics.

$$S(\Omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt \langle \gamma_t \gamma_0 \rangle e^{-i\Omega t} \quad (47)$$

However, since this involves taking averages over the Wiener process, we can not directly use the Itô identity $dW_t^2 = dt$ to perform the integration. We can, however, find the upper limit of the covariance according to Jensen's inequality which relates the value of a convex function of an integral to the integral of the convex function¹⁴. Here, taking X as a random variable and φ as a convex function, Jensen's inequality gives

$$\phi(E[X]) \leq E[\phi(X)]. \quad (48)$$

This is essentially a statement that the secant line of a convex function lies above the graph of the function itself. As a corollary, the inequality is reversed for a concave function such as \sqrt{x} . In cases of stationary state or $\gamma(0) = 0$, we have

$$\langle \gamma(t) \rangle \leq \omega \sigma^{1/2} \left\langle \int_0^t e^{-\theta(t-\tau)} dW_\tau \right\rangle^{1/2} = 0, \quad (49)$$

which indicates that $\langle \gamma(t) \rangle = 0$. The difference between the left and right sides of the inequality is termed the Jensen gap. Employing the inequality over a small integration range Δt

$$\langle \gamma(t + \Delta t) \gamma(t) \rangle \leq \frac{\sigma \omega^2}{\sqrt{2\theta}} e^{-\theta|\Delta t|/2}. \quad (50)$$

This then implies a spectral density of

$$\begin{aligned} S(\Omega) &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt \langle \gamma_t \gamma_0 \rangle e^{-i\Omega t} \\ &\approx \frac{2\sqrt{2\theta}\sigma\omega^2}{\theta^2 + \Omega^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

that can be well approximated by a Lorentzian in the limit that the Jensen inequality becomes an equality. Since the Lorentzian spectral density implies an underlying OU process for γ_t , in this limit the two cases considered here become identical. The equality is only satisfied when if the convex (or concave) function is nearly linear over the entire given range of integration which implies that the $\theta\Delta t \gg 1$ in Eq. 51, corresponding to homogeneous or life-time limited broadening. We also have to conclude that the Jensen inequality can only be applied in one direction since starting from the assumption that γ_t is a mean-reverting OU process gives the results presented in the previous section. This also would imply that care must be taken in interpreting the *stationary* lineshapes since the two different models for the background process appear to give similar spectral signatures.

If we specify the initial value of the coupling $\gamma(0)$ at $t = 0$, we can use Jensen's inequality to compute an upper limit of the covariance as

$$\langle \gamma(t), \gamma(s) \rangle \leq \frac{\sigma \omega^2}{\sqrt{2\theta}} \left[e^{-\theta|t-s|} - e^{-\theta(t+s)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (52)$$

If we take both t and s at some later times such that the memory of the initial condition is lost and take $\Delta t = t - s$, we recover Eq. 50 as the stationary covariance.

In the non-stationary limit, however, the time-evolution of the mean (and hence $g_1(t)$) is very different. Using Mathematica, we were able to arrive at an analytical expression for $\langle \gamma(t) \rangle$ as given in the Appendix. Unlike its counterpart in the previous section, it does not relax exponentially to a stationary value and the resulting long-time value is far more complex. This suggests that one needs to look at both the line-shape and its temporal evolution to correctly extract the background dynamics.

III. DISCUSSION

In this paper, we further explore how the spectroscopic lineshape function reveals details of the electronic envi-

ronment of an exciton. In particular, we consider the effect of pair excitations that arise from the full many-body expansion of the Bosonic Hamiltonian. As a simplifying assumption, we make the *ansatz* that these can be

treated as a single classical variable that satisfies a known stochastic process, in this case the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck or Brownian motion, process. We find that this does produce the lineshape function given by the Anderson-Kubo model, albeit with twice the coherence time. Importantly, we show that the model captures the formation of exciton/polarons as the steady-state/long-time limit. We also consider the reversed case where we assume that the frequency fluctuation obeys the Anderson-Kubo model and derive the underlying SDE for the pair-fluctuations and their spectral density. In this latter case, we show that working backwards from the stochastic frequency dynamics one can recover the the underlying spectral density in the long-time limit using the Jensen inequality.

The results from Sec.II A 1 can be generalized for the case where the background process governing $\gamma(t)$ has a known spectral density that can be expressed as a series of exponential functions. Under this case, the $\gamma(t)$ is a sum over independent Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes and thus, $z(t)$ will be a sum over independent processes. On the other hand, inverting from an assumed process for $z(t)$ is difficult for this particular model Hamiltonian; however, we can find an upper limit for the background spectral density governing $\gamma(t)$. We also believe that the approach can be extended to account for quantum noise effects by treating the $q \neq 0$ terms in Eq.5 as quantum noise terms rather than treating them as a collective classical variable. Furthermore, while our current approach is limited to bosonic excitations, it is possible to extend this approach to fermionic systems at finite temperature.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work at the University of Houston was funded in part by the National Science Foundation (CHE-2102506) and the Robert A. Welch Foundation (E-1337). The work at Georgia Tech was funded by the National Science Foundation (DMR-1904293).

- ¹P. W. Anderson, "A mathematical model for the narrowing of spectral lines by exchange or motion," *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan* **9**, 316–339 (1954), <https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.9.316>.
- ²R. Kubo, "Note on the stochastic theory of resonance absorption," *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan* **9**, 935–944 (1954), <https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.9.935>.
- ³P. Hamm and M. Zanni, *Concepts and Methods of 2D Infrared Spectroscopy* (Cambridge University Press, 2011).
- ⁴H. Li, A. R. Srimath Kandada, C. Silva, and E. R. Bittner, "Stochastic scattering theory for excitation-induced dephasing: Comparison to the anderson-kubo lineshape," *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **153**, 154115 (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0026467>.
- ⁵A. R. Srimath Kandada, H. Li, F. Thouin, E. R. Bittner, and C. Silva, "Stochastic scattering theory for excitation-induced dephasing: Time-dependent nonlinear coherent exciton lineshapes," *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **153**, 164706 (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0026351>.
- ⁶F. Katsch, M. Selig, and A. Knorr, "Exciton-scattering-induced dephasing in two-dimensional semiconductors," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 257402 (2020).
- ⁷D. Erckensten, S. Brem, and E. Malic, "Excitation-induced dephasing in 2D materials and van der Waals heterostructures," *ArXiv:2006.08392* [cond-mat.mtrl-sci].
- ⁸A. R. Srimath Kandada and C. Silva, "Exciton polarons in two-dimensional hybrid metal-halide perovskites," *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **11**, 3173–3184 (2020).
- ⁹M. E. Siemens, G. Moody, H. Li, A. D. Bristow, and S. T. Cundiff, "Resonance lineshapes in two-dimensional Fourier transform spectroscopy," *Optics Express* **18**, 17699–17708 (2010).
- ¹⁰A. D. Bristow, T. Zhang, M. E. Siemens, S. T. Cundiff, and R. Mirin, "Separating homogeneous and inhomogeneous line widths of heavy- and light-hole excitons in weakly disordered semiconductor quantum wells," *J. Phys. Chem. B* **115**, 5365–5371 (2011).
- ¹¹M. Wagner, *Unitary Transformations in Solid State Physics*, Modern problems in condensed matter sciences (North-Holland, 1986).
- ¹²J. Marcinkiewicz, "Sur l'interpolation d'operations," *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **208** (1939).
- ¹³R. A. Hunt and G. Weiss, "The marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem," *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **15**, 996–998 (1964).
- ¹⁴J. L. W. V. Jensen, "Sur les fonctions convexes et les inégalités entre les valeurs moyennes," *Acta. Mathematica* **30**, 175–193 (1906).

Appendix A: Changing variables using the Itô identity

We briefly review the change of variable procedure under Itô calculus. In general, we write a stochastic process as

$$dx = A[x]dt + B[x]dW \quad (\text{A1})$$

where $A[x]$ and $B[x]$ are both independent functions of the stochastic variable x and time t and W_t is the Wiener process with $dW_t^2 = dt$. Often, we need to cast $f[x]$ in the form of an Itô stochastic equation. For this we need to

perform a change of variables

$$\begin{aligned}
df[x] &= f[x + dx] - f[x] \\
&= f' dx + \frac{1}{2} f'' d^2 \gamma + \dots \\
&= f' (A[x] dt + B[x] dW) + \frac{1}{2} f'' (A[x] dt + B[x] dW)^2 \\
&= (f' A[x] + \frac{1}{2} f'' B[x]^2) dt + f' B[x] dW_t
\end{aligned} \tag{A2}$$

where f' and f'' denote partial derivatives of $f[x]$ respect to x .

Appendix B: An alternative way to characterize $z(t)$

In Sec.IIA 1 we defined a transformed statistical process $z(t) = \gamma(t)^2/\omega^2$ to describe the relative frequency shift, which is attributed to the bi-excitation interaction characterized by $\gamma(t)$. Therefore, in principle, the statistical property of $z(t)$ can be derived from that of $\gamma(t)$. In this section, we will illustrate this approach by taking $\gamma(t)$ as an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, which is both Gaussian and Markovian. In the text we took the advantage of the Wiener process, using the Itô calculus, to solve $z(t)$ and its statistical property from the SDE. Here, we will make use of the statistical property of $\gamma(t)$ to find the mean value and autocorrelation function of $z(t)$, which are higher moments of $\gamma(t)$, using the property of the multivariate Gaussian distribution, without solving the SDE.

Let $\mathbf{X} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}^T$ denote a set of Gaussian random variables with mean value vector $\bar{\mathbf{X}} = \{\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_N\}^T$. The k -th moments read

$$\left\langle \prod_{j=1}^N (x_j - \bar{x}_j)^{r_j} \right\rangle = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } k \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{(2\lambda)!}{\lambda! 2^\lambda} \{\sigma_{jk} \sigma_{mn} \dots\}_{\text{sym}}, & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \tag{B1}$$

where $k = \sum_j r_j = 2\lambda$. $\{\dots\}_{\text{sym}}$ denotes the symmetric bilinear form on the Gaussian vector space. Being specific, it is the sum of the product of σ 's allocated into λ pairs. The set of $\{\sigma_{jk} = \langle x_j, x_k \rangle\}$ forms the covariance matrix.

The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process $\gamma(t)$ has the expected value

$$\langle \gamma(t) \rangle = \gamma_0 e^{-\Gamma t}, \tag{B2}$$

where the initial average is $\gamma_0 = \langle \gamma(0) \rangle$. We consider the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process with indeterministic initial condition, therefore the covariance reads

$$\langle \gamma(t), \gamma(s) \rangle = \sigma_{\gamma_0}^2 e^{-\Gamma(t+s)} + \frac{\sigma^2}{2\Gamma} \left[e^{-\Gamma|t-s|} - e^{-\Gamma(t+s)} \right], \tag{B3}$$

where $\sigma_{\gamma_0}^2$ describes the fluctuation at time zero.

Before proceeding to the property of $z(t)$, we take a careful consideration about its initial condition. Since the average $z_0 = \langle z(0) \rangle = \langle \gamma(0)^2 \rangle / \omega^2$, one has

$$\omega^2 z_0 = \sigma_{\gamma_0}^2 + \gamma_0^2. \tag{B4}$$

Assuming the initial distribution of $\gamma(0)$ is Gaussian, one can use its fourth moment to find the fluctuation of $z(0)$

$$\omega^4 \sigma_{z_0}^2 = 2\sigma_{\gamma_0}^4 + 4\sigma_{\gamma_0}^2 \gamma_0^2. \tag{B5}$$

The average of $z(t)$ can be found as

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle z(t) \rangle &= \frac{1}{\omega^2} \langle \gamma(t)^2 \rangle \\
&= \frac{\sigma_{\gamma_0}^2 + \gamma_0^2}{\omega^2} e^{-2\Gamma t} + \frac{\sigma^2}{2\Gamma \omega^2} (1 - e^{-2\Gamma t}),
\end{aligned} \tag{B6}$$

which is exactly same as in Eq. (31) upon substitution of Eq. (B4). Similarly, one finds the covariance

$$\langle z(t), z(s) \rangle = \frac{2\sigma_{\gamma_0}^4 + 4\sigma_{\gamma_0}^2 \gamma_0^2}{\omega^4} e^{-2\Gamma(t+s)} + \frac{\sigma^4}{2\Gamma^2 \omega^4} \left[e^{-\Gamma|t-s|} - e^{-\Gamma(t+s)} \right]^2 + \frac{2\sigma^2}{\Gamma \omega^4} (\sigma_{\gamma_0}^2 + \gamma_0^2) e^{-\Gamma(t+s)} \left[e^{-\Gamma|t-s|} - e^{-\Gamma(t+s)} \right]. \tag{B7}$$

Substituting Eqs. (B4) and (B5), one finds the the covariance given here to be identical as in Eq.(32).

Appendix C: Expression for $\langle \gamma(t) \rangle$ from Sec.II A 3

We give here the expression derived for the expectation value of

$$\gamma(t) = \pm \omega \sigma^{1/2} \left[\int_0^t e^{-\theta(t-\tau)} dW_\tau \right]^{1/2} \quad (\text{C1})$$

which is the solution of the Itô SDE

$$d\gamma_t = - \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \gamma_t + \frac{\sigma^2 \omega^4}{8} \gamma_t^{-3} \right) dt + \frac{\sigma \omega^2}{2} \gamma_t^{-1} dW_t. \quad (\text{C2})$$

Recall, that this is a transformed process in which we assumed that the observed frequency fluctuations were from an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process with $\gamma(t) = \omega \sqrt{z(t)}$. Taking the initial value to be $z(0) = 0$, one finds the average as

$$\langle \gamma(t); z(0) = 0 \rangle = \gamma_{eq} \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-2\theta t})^{1/2} (\coth(\theta t) + 1)^{3/4}} \quad (\text{C3})$$

with

$$\gamma_{eq} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2}\right) \omega}{\sqrt[4]{\theta}} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) \quad (\text{C4})$$

which gives the red-shift of the exciton/polaron energy due to the pair-fluctuations. The $z(0) = 0$ initial condition is of course a special case. Using *Mathematica*, one can arrive at a general expression for $\langle \gamma(t) \rangle$; however, as the expression is long and complicated we will not reproduce it here. The fact that γ_{eq} can be complex-valued poses no difficulties since, formally, we can equivalently write the Hamiltonian in Eq.2 as

$$H = \hbar \omega a^\dagger a + \gamma(t) a^\dagger a^\dagger + \gamma^*(t) a a. \quad (\text{C5})$$

with eigenvalues

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle \tilde{\omega} \rangle \approx \sqrt{\omega^2 - |\gamma_{eq}/\hbar|^2}. \quad (\text{C6})$$

It is important to point out that that while the eigenvalue $\tilde{\omega}(t)$ depends upon $\gamma(t)$, we have already specified its evolution via the linearization expansion in Eq.14 and by specifying $z(t)$ to be an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. What we find instead is that $\langle \gamma(t) \rangle$ relates to the physical evolution of the background fluctuation that can be inferred by assuming a specific spectral model for the line-shape.
