arXiv:2204.03561v1 [cs.CV] 6 Apr 2022

Emotional Speech Recognition with Pre-trained Deep Visual Models

Waleed Ragheb † *,**, Mehdi Mirzapour † ***
Ali Delfardi****, Hélène Jacquenet***, Lawrence Carbon***

* LIRMM, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, Montpellier, France
waleed.ragheb@lirmm.fr
** IT Dept, Faculty of Computers and Artificial Intelligence,
Cairo University, Egypt
***ContentSide, R&D Dept, Lyon, France
{first.last}@contentside.com
**** CS Dept, Isfahan University, Isfahan, Iran
alidelfardi@eng.ui.ac.ir

Résumé. In this paper, we propose a new methodology for emotional speech recognition using visual deep neural network models. We employ the transfer learning capabilities of the pre-trained computer vision deep models to have a mandate for the emotion recognition in speech task. In order to achieve that, we propose to use a composite set of acoustic features and a procedure to convert them into images. Besides, we present a training paradigm for these models taking into consideration the different characteristics between acoustic-based images and regular ones. In our experiments, we use the pre-trained VGG-16 model and test the overall methodology on the Berlin EMO-DB dataset for speaker-independent emotion recognition. We evaluate the proposed model on the full list of the seven emotions and the results set a new state-of-the-art.

1 Introduction

With the information technology revolution, it became mandatory – not just an option – for many computer systems to express and recognize effects and emotions to attain creative and intelligent behavior. The main purpose is to understand the emotional states expressed by the human subjects so that personalized responses can be delivered accordingly. Humans are still way ahead of machines in detecting and recognizing the different types of effects including emotions (Alu et al., 2017). Therefore, Emotional Intelligence (EI) is deemed as the turning point from moving from the narrow definition of Artificial Intelligence (AI) to a more general humanized AI. Speech signals are considered one of the main channels in human communications. Naturally, humans could effectively recognize the emotional aspects of speech signals. The emotional state of the speech will not change the linguistics of the uttered speech, but it reflects many of the speaker's intents and other latent information about the mental and physical

† Authors with equal contribution to this work

state and attitude (Narayanan et Georgiou, 2013). Therefore, empowering computer systems with speech emotional recognition features can have a significant impact on personalizing the user experience in many applications and sectors such as marketing, healthcare, customer satisfaction, gaming experience improvement, social media analysis and stress monitoring. (Nassif et al., 2019; Proksch et al., 2019; Rouhi et al., 2019).

Earlier emotional speech recognition had some processes in common with automatic speech recognition. It involved many feature engineering steps that may play a substantial role in model selection and training paradigm. Acoustical speech features reported in the literature could be categorized into continuous, qualitative, spectral, and temporal features (Bandela et Kumar, 2017). At the time, most of the models were classical machine learning and statistical models. Most of these models train from scratch on a varied set of features or the original speech signal itself. Different pre-trained models have been released and become substantially available for many applications in Computer Vision and Natural Language Processing.

As for emotional speech recognition, some pre-trained transferable models such as speechVGG (Beckmann et al., 2019) have been introduced which act as feature extractor for different speech processing tasks. Although speechVGG has got its inspiration from VGG (Simonyan et Zisserman, 2014)– a well-known computer vision architecture– it is trained from scratch with the LibriSpeech dataset (Panayotov et al., 2015). We mainly focus on how an existing pre-trained computer vision model, such as VGG, can efficiently be fine-tuned in a different domain such as emotional speech recognition. This can reduce the cost of further expensive and exhaustive training for new domains and be beneficial for practical and industrial use cases.

In this work, we present an experimental study using one of the most powerful pre-trained visual models VGG to tackle the aforementioned problem. Our proposed methodology is : (i) to present a novel order of frequency-domain voice features that transform the speech acoustic signals into compound ready-to-use 3D images for existing pre-trained computer vision models ; (ii) to apply simple signal-level and frequency domain voice-level data augmentation techniques ; (iii) to introduce simple, and yet efficient mini-batch padding technique ; and finally, (iv) to fine-tune the VGG-16 (with batch-normalization) pre-trained model on classical image classification tasks. We have applied the proposed configurations and some of their variants on one of the most well-known datasets for emotional recognition (Berlin EmoDB (Burkhardt et al., 2005)) and the results are very competitive to the state-of-the-art and outperform many strong baselines. Our implementation is made available for public[1].

The paper is organized as follows : in section 2 we present a literature review and the related works. In section 3, we introduce the proposed methodology including the considered acoustic features and all the variants of the used models. Section 4 addresses all the experimental setups and the results followed by brief discussions. Finally, we conclude the study and experiments in section 5.

2 Related Works

Traditional models proposed for emotional speech recognition and classification are based on the same models used for automatic speech recognition like HMM, GP, SVM ... etc (Has-

[1] https ://github.com/mehdi-mirzapour/Emotional_Speech_Recognition




FIG. 1 – *The original VGG-16 architecture (Simonyan et Zisserman, 2014)*

san et Damper, 2010; Lin et Wei, 2005; Azmy et al., 2013). These models involve extensive feature engineering steps that are sensitive and may significantly affect the structure of the entire method (Pandey et al., 2019). With the development of the deep learning models, the speech recognition systems benefited from the end-to-end learning paradigm. This enables the model to learn all the steps from the input to the final output simultaneously including feature extraction. Similarly, the emotional models have followed the same course. There is a lot of effort and research on employing these algorithms to recognize emotions from speech. More specifically, some of these models used the ability of Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) to learn features from input signals (Bertero et Fung, 2017; Mekruksavanich et al., 2020). Another type of model makes use of the sequential nature of the speech signals and utilized Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN) architectures like long short-term memory (LSTM) (Tzinis et Potamianos, 2017; Fayek et al., 2017). Some models combined both types of architectures like in ConvLSTM (Kurpukdee et al., 2017).

Recently, there has been a breakthrough improvement in the transfer learning capabilities of deep models with the powerful pre-trained visual models like AlexNet, VGG, Yolo-2 ... etc (Voulodimos et al., 2018). The main idea is to train these models for large and different image classification tasks and transfer the feature selection parts of these models to be used in the downstream tasks. Figure 1 shows an example of these models and the one used in our experiments (VGG). This complies with the fact that speech signals could be represented as visual features. For instance, the Mel frequency Cepstral coefficient (MFCC), Log Frequency Power Coefficients (LFPC), and Log Mel Spectrogram could be considered as 2D/3D images that could carry emotion-related information (Wang, 2014). This will permit us to take advantage of the pre-trained visual models to extract visual features presented in the input acoustic

features without the need for large datasets in an indirect supervision fashion. The work presented in this paper is to some extent related to a previous work in (Zhang et al., 2017). The authors use only Log Mel Spectrogram on three channels of deltas as the input features and a pre-trained AlexNet (Krizhevsky et al., 2012) as the visual model. This model extracts the visual feature representation of the input and then involves a linear SVM model for the target classification task.

3 Proposed Methodology

In this section, we present the preprocessing steps and the proposed set of acoustic features that we used. Besides, we introduce the architecture of the visual model applied in the experiments with all the considered variants.

3.1 Acoustic Features

We tried different types of acoustic features to get the proper representation of the speech signals in the form of images. The frequency-domain features reveal a promising behavior. More specifically, we used the same spectral features reported in (Issa et al., 2020) and utilized the Librosa library (McFee et al., 2015) for the features extraction process. Additionally, we added one more feature and proposed a new method for integrating all these features into an image representation of the input speech signal. The complete set of used features are :

1. Mel-frequency cepstral coefficients (MFCCs)
2. Mel-scaled spectrogram.
3. Power spectrogram or Chromagram
4. Sub-bands spectral contrast
5. Tonal centroid features (Tonnetz)
6. Average of the mel-scaled spectrogram of the harmonic and percussive components

After removing small silence (pauses) in the speech signals, we compose the images by a normalized concatenation of all the previous features in three different channels like the Red-Green-Blue (RGB) decomposition of an image. In contrast to (Issa et al., 2020), we did not aggregate the time scale features for achieving a fixed sized vector. This is a crucial decision for fine-tuning the VGG models since they are data-greedy and aggregating the time-scale information (by summing or averaging functions) will eliminate a considerable amount of useful patterns resulting in accuracy reduction of our model. Nevertheless, this strategy has a side effect for some architectures : the resulting 3D images will vary in time-dimension axis. Our case study on EMO-DB dataset shows the size (3, X, 230) in which X varies in time axis between 50 and 700 depending on audio signal sizes. This, in principle, will not affect our model since VGG models require a minimum input size of the 3x32x32 which fits well with our settings. Figures (2a)-(2b) show two examples of original speech visual features happiness and anger, respectively.

It is worth mentioning that the order of the features has an important role in getting an acceptable accuracy. To find the optimum order, we experimented with transforming our features

to vectors by averaging values in the time axis, and then we have fed all the permutations of these compact vector features to a very simple logistic regression model classifier. We finally selected only a few candidates with the same accuracy. To make the final decision on the orders of features, we fine-tuned our VGG-16 model according to the shortlisted orders of features to get the best performance. This has given us the practical ground to find the best permutations of the order of the features.

3.2 Model Training

For the visual deep model, we used the pre-trained version of 16-layer VGG architecture (VGG16) (Simonyan et Zisserman, 2014) which is proven to get the best performance in ILSVRC-2014[2] and became very popular in many image classification problems. The feature extraction layer groups are initialized from the pre-trained model weights, however, the classification layer group is fine-tuned from scratch with random weights. Moreover, we used the batch normalization variants of the VGG model for its effective regularization effect especially with training batches of smaller sizes. When creating the batches and before extracting the acoustic features, we applied signal-level padding to the maximum signal length in each training batch.

Most of the available emotional speech datasets are small-sized. Accordingly, this was the primary motivation for relying on pre-trained models. In addition to that, and as most deep visual model training, we applied data augmentation. The idea here is not as simple as regular transformation done with the images - rotation, translation, flipping, cropping ... etc. Our proposed images in the considered problem are special types of images, so standard visual augmentation techniques will not be useful. Hence, we applied *"CutMix"*; (Yun et al., 2019) a special augmentation and regularization strategy in which patches are cut and pasted among training images where the ground truth labels are also mixed proportionally in the area of the patches. *"CutMix"* efficiently uses training pixels and retains the regularization effect of regional dropout. Figure 2 shows two examples of original speech visual features (happiness and anger) representation before and after *"CutMix"*.

As a regular classification deep learning model, we used cross entropy loss function with Adam optimizer (Kingma et Ba, 2014). We employed a learning rate of 10^{-5} and a batch size of 16.

4 Experiments

In this section, we first present the used datasets. Then, we show the results of the proposed methodology before we end with some discussions.

4.1 Dataset

In these experiments, we used Berlin EMO-DB (Burkhardt et al., 2005) with its speaker-independent configuration that contains a total of 535 emotional speech German utterances. Each speech signal is classified into one of the seven classes of emotions (Fear, Sadness, Dis-

[2]ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge 2014 - https ://www.image-net.org/challenges/LSVRC/2014/




FIG. 2 – *Two examples of original speech visual features (happiness and anger) representation before and after "CutMix"*

gust, Anger, Boredom, Neutral, and Happiness). The dataset contains some examples of the same text spoken with different emotions (see figure 3). The dataset contains speech signals corresponding to different speakers.

We split the dataset randomly into training and testing sets and preserve almost the same distributions of different emotions across both datasets as summarized in table 1.

Classes	Train	Test
Fear	55	14
Sadness	50	12
Disgust	37	9
Anger	102	25
Boredom	65	16
Neutral	64	15
Happiness	56	15
Total	429	106

TAB. 1 – *Summary of the Berlin EmoDB dataset*




FIG. 3 – *Example of a same speech uttered in different emotional states by a same speaker*

4.2 Results

We tested the overall methodology described in section 3 to measure the classification performance on the test dataset. We use the accuracy metrics to enable the head-to-head comparison with the SOTA models and other reported baselines results. Moreover, we tested 6 possible variants of the model to enhance the ablation analysis of the proposed methodology components. The proposed variants and their definition are described as :

1. Model-A : The complete model as described in section 3
2. Model-B : The model without batch normalization
3. Model-C : Excluding *CutMix* augmentation strategy
4. Model-D : Excluding signal-level augmentation
5. Model-E : Excluding both signal-level and *CutMix* augmentations
6. Model-F : Applying the model excluding mini-batch padding

Table 2 presents the results of all these variants which validate that the best performing model is corresponding to the complete proposed methodology. Besides, we compare this model (Model-A) to a set of strong baseline including the SOTA best-reported results for the considered dataset. We show this comparison in table 3. Furthermore, we present the confusion matrix of our best model concerning all different classes in figure 4.

4.3 Discussions

By applying the overall methodology described in section 3, we have reached our best model (Model-A) with the 87.73 accuracy measure. Moreover, six other variants of the model (as in table 2) have been taken into consideration to enhance the ablation analysis of the proposed methodology. A quick analysis shows that mini-batch padding plays the most significant role

Model	Accuracy (%)
Model-A	**87.73**
Model-B	83.96
Model-C	83.02
Model-D	81.13
Model-E	76.42
Model-F	69.81

TAB. 2 – *Classification accuracy of the proposed model variants*

as Model-F indicates around -18 percent drop in the accuracy by excluding it. Excluding both signal-level and *CutMix* augmentations (Model-E) can reduce the performance of our model by around 11 percent. This indicates the role of two-sided augmentations of the model in the signal and image levels. Analysis of Model-C and Model-D show that stand-alone signal-level augmentation is just a slightly better component than stand-alone *CutMix* augmentation as the signal-level augmentation is only 2 percent ahead of *CutMix* augmentation in terms of accuracy measure. As discussed earlier, both augmentations can consistently strengthen each other. Model-B shows the importance of using batch-normalization to make the final model better to some extent (batch-normalization was later introduced and added to VGG architectures). Table 3 shows our model outperformed the previous state-of-the-art results and many strong base-lines. Figure 4 shows the detailed confusion matrix for our best model result. It is worth mentioning that our analysis shows the importance of applying different components altogether (and not just only fine-tuning VGG-16) to outperform the state-of-the-art results.

Model	Accuracy (%)
Badshah et. al. (Badshah et al., 2017)	52.00
Wang et. al. (Wang et al., 2015)	73.30
Lampropoulos et. at. (Lampropoulos et Tsihrintzis, 2012)	83.93
Huangb et. al. (Huang et al., 2014)	85.20
Wu et. al. (Wu et al., 2011)	85.80
Issa et. al. (Issa et al., 2020) *	86.20
Our best model (Model-A)	**87.73**

TAB. 3 – *Classification accuracy of our best performing model compared to other model including the SOTA (*) model*

5 Conclusions

Speech is one of the most preferred means in human communications. With the recent advances in speech technology and human/machine interaction, emotional speech recognition systems play an important role in bringing out emotionally intelligent behavior tasks. This study has focused on the VGG-16 (with batch normalization) pre-trained computer vision model and we have highlighted efficient components for fine-tuning VGG-16 for emotional speech




FIG. 4 – *Confusion matrix of Model-A*

recognition. This has been achieved by applying a novel order of frequency-domain voice features represented as ready-to-use 3D images ; signal-level and frequency-domain voice-level data augmentation techniques ; and finally simple, and yet efficient, mini-batch padding technique. We have outperformed the previous state-of-the-art results and many strong baselines.

The work presented in this paper could be extended to include more pre-trained computer vision deep models such as ResNet (He et al., 2016), EfficientNet(Tan et Le, 2019), ViT(Dosovitskiy et al., 2020) and Inceptionv3 (GoogLeNet) (Szegedy et al., 2016). Besides, extensive experiments can be performed on other emotional datasets like LSSED (Fan et al., 2021), IEMOCAP (Busso et al., 2008), and RAVDESS (Livingstone et Russo, 2018) . Moreover, it could be interesting to include other modalities for emotional recognition like text, images and videos.

Références

Alu, D., E. Zoltan, et I. C. Stoica (2017). Voice based emotion recognition with convolutional neural networks for companion robots. *Science and Technology 20*(3), 222–240.

Azmy, W. M., S. Abdou, et M. Shoman (2013). Arabic unit selection emotional speech synthesis using blending data approach. *International Journal of Computer Applications 81*(8).

Badshah, A. M., J. Ahmad, N. Rahim, et S. W. Baik (2017). Speech emotion recognition from spectrograms with deep convolutional neural network. In *2017 International Conference on*

Platform Technology and Service (PlatCon), pp. 1–5.

Bandela, S. R. et T. K. Kumar (2017). Stressed speech emotion recognition using feature fusion of teager energy operator and mfcc. In *2017 8th International Conference on Computing, Communication and Networking Technologies (ICCCNT)*, pp. 1–5. IEEE.

Beckmann, P., M. Kegler, H. Saltini, et M. Cernak (2019). Speech-vgg : A deep feature extractor for speech processing. *arXiv preprint arXiv :1910.09909*.

Bertero, D. et P. Fung (2017). A first look into a convolutional neural network for speech emotion detection. In *2017 IEEE international conference on acoustics, speech and signal processing (ICASSP)*, pp. 5115–5119. IEEE.

Burkhardt, F., A. Paeschke, M. Rolfes, W. F. Sendlmeier, B. Weiss, et al. (2005). A database of german emotional speech. In *Interspeech*, Volume 5, pp. 1517–1520.

Busso, C., M. Bulut, C.-C. Lee, A. Kazemzadeh, E. Mower, S. Kim, J. N. Chang, S. Lee, et S. S. Narayanan (2008). Iemocap : Interactive emotional dyadic motion capture database. *Language resources and evaluation 42*(4), 335–359.

Dosovitskiy, A., L. Beyer, A. Kolesnikov, D. Weissenborn, X. Zhai, T. Unterthiner, M. Dehghani, M. Minderer, G. Heigold, S. Gelly, et al. (2020). An image is worth 16x16 words : Transformers for image recognition at scale. *arXiv preprint arXiv :2010.11929*.

Fan, W., X. Xu, X. Xing, W. Chen, et D. Huang (2021). Lssed : a large-scale dataset and benchmark for speech emotion recognition. In *ICASSP 2021-2021 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pp. 641–645. IEEE.

Fayek, H. M., M. Lech, et L. Cavedon (2017). Evaluating deep learning architectures for speech emotion recognition. *Neural Networks 92*, 60–68.

Hassan, A. et R. Damper (2010). Multi-class and hierarchical svms for emotion recognition. pp. 2354–2357.

He, K., X. Zhang, S. Ren, et J. Sun (2016). Deep residual learning for image recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 770–778.

Huang, Z., M. Dong, Q. Mao, et Y. Zhan (2014). Speech emotion recognition using cnn. MM '14, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.

Issa, D., M. F. Demirci, et A. Yazici (2020). Speech emotion recognition with deep convolutional neural networks. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control 59*, 101894.

Kingma, D. P. et J. Ba (2014). Adam : A method for stochastic optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv :1412.6980*.

Krizhevsky, A., I. Sutskever, et G. E. Hinton (2012). Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. *Advances in neural information processing systems 25*, 1097–1105.

Kurpukdee, N., T. Koriyama, T. Kobayashi, S. Kasuriya, C. Wutiwiwatchai, et P. Lamsrichan (2017). Speech emotion recognition using convolutional long short-term memory neural network and support vector machines. In *2017 Asia-Pacific Signal and Information Processing Association Annual Summit and Conference (APSIPA ASC)*, pp. 1744–1749. IEEE.

Lampropoulos, A. S. et G. A. Tsihrintzis (2012). Evaluation of mpeg-7 descriptors for speech emotional recognition. In *2012 Eighth International Conference on Intelligent Information*

Hiding and Multimedia Signal Processing, pp. 98–101.

Lin, Y.-L. et G. Wei (2005). Speech emotion recognition based on hmm and svm. In *2005 international conference on machine learning and cybernetics*, Volume 8, pp. 4898–4901. IEEE.

Livingstone, S. R. et F. A. Russo (2018). The ryerson audio-visual database of emotional speech and song (ravdess) : A dynamic, multimodal set of facial and vocal expressions in north american english. *PloS one 13*(5), e0196391.

McFee, B., C. Raffel, D. Liang, D. P. Ellis, M. McVicar, E. Battenberg, et O. Nieto (2015). librosa : Audio and music signal analysis in python. In *Proceedings of the 14th python in science conference*, Volume 8, pp. 18–25. Citeseer.

Mekruksavanich, S., A. Jitpattanakul, et N. Hnoohom (2020). Negative emotion recognition using deep learning for thai language. In *2020 Joint International Conference on Digital Arts, Media and Technology with ECTI Northern Section Conference on Electrical, Electronics, Computer and Telecommunications Engineering (ECTI DAMT & NCON)*, pp. 71–74. IEEE.

Narayanan, S. et P. G. Georgiou (2013). Behavioral signal processing : Deriving human behavioral informatics from speech and language. *Proceedings of the IEEE 101*(5), 1203–1233.

Nassif, A. B., I. Shahin, I. Attili, M. Azzeh, et K. Shaalan (2019). Speech recognition using deep neural networks : A systematic review. *IEEE access 7*, 19143–19165.

Panayotov, V., G. Chen, D. Povey, et S. Khudanpur (2015). Librispeech : an asr corpus based on public domain audio books. In *2015 IEEE international conference on acoustics, speech and signal processing (ICASSP)*, pp. 5206–5210. IEEE.

Pandey, S. K., H. Shekhawat, et S. Prasanna (2019). Deep learning techniques for speech emotion recognition : A review. In *2019 29th International Conference Radioelektronika (RADIOELEKTRONIKA)*, pp. 1–6. IEEE.

Proksch, S.-O., C. Wratil, et J. Wäckerle (2019). Testing the validity of automatic speech recognition for political text analysis. *Political Analysis 27*(3), 339–359.

Rouhi, A., M. Spitale, F. Catania, G. Cosentino, M. Gelsomini, et F. Garzotto (2019). Emotify : emotional game for children with autism spectrum disorder based-on machine learning. In *Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces : Companion*, pp. 31–32.

Simonyan, K. et A. Zisserman (2014). Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition. *arXiv preprint arXiv :1409.1556*.

Szegedy, C., V. Vanhoucke, S. Ioffe, J. Shlens, et Z. Wojna (2016). Rethinking the inception architecture for computer vision. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 2818–2826.

Tan, M. et Q. Le (2019). Efficientnet : Rethinking model scaling for convolutional neural networks. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 6105–6114. PMLR.

Tzinis, E. et A. Potamianos (2017). Segment-based speech emotion recognition using recurrent neural networks. In *2017 Seventh International Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction (ACII)*, pp. 190–195. IEEE.

Voulodimos, A., N. Doulamis, A. Doulamis, et E. Protopapadakis (2018). Deep learning for

computer vision : A brief review. In *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, Volume 2018, pp. 1–13.

Wang, K., N. An, B. N. Li, Y. Zhang, et L. Li (2015). Speech emotion recognition using fourier parameters. *IEEE Transactions on affective computing 6*(1), 69–75.

Wang, K.-C. (2014). The feature extraction based on texture image information for emotion sensing in speech. *Sensors 14*(9), 16692–16714.

Wu, S., T. H. Falk, et W.-Y. Chan (2011). Automatic speech emotion recognition using modulation spectral features. *Speech Communication 53*(5), 768–785. Perceptual and Statistical Audition.

Yun, S., D. Han, S. J. Oh, S. Chun, J. Choe, et Y. Yoo (2019). Cutmix : Regularization strategy to train strong classifiers with localizable features. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 6023–6032.

Zhang, S., S. Zhang, T. Huang, et W. Gao (2017). Speech emotion recognition using deep convolutional neural network and discriminant temporal pyramid matching. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia 20*(6), 1576–1590.

Summary

Donner la traduction anglaise du résumé dans le préambule avec la commande `\summary{Your abstract ...}`