

Transfer Learning for Autonomous Chatter Detection in Machining

Melih C. Yesilli
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Michigan State University
yesillim@msu.edu

Firas A. Khasawneh*
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Michigan State University
khasawn3@egr.msu.edu

Brian P. Mann
MEMS Department
Duke University
brianmann@duke.edu

Abstract

Large-amplitude chatter vibrations are one of the most important phenomena in machining processes. It is often detrimental in cutting operations causing a poor surface finish and decreased tool life. Therefore, chatter detection using machine learning has been an active research area over the last decade. Three challenges can be identified in applying machine learning for chatter detection at large in industry: an insufficient understanding of the universality of chatter features across different processes, the need for automating feature extraction, and the existence of limited data for each specific workpiece-machine tool combination. These three challenges can be grouped under the umbrella of transfer learning. This paper studies automating chatter detection by evaluating transfer learning of prominent as well as novel chatter detection methods. We investigate chatter classification accuracy using a variety of features extracted from turning and milling experiments with different cutting configurations. The studied methods include Fast Fourier Transform (FFT), Power Spectral Density (PSD), the Auto-correlation Function (ACF), Wavelet Packet Transform (WPT), and Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition (EEMD). We also examine more recent approaches based on Topological Data Analysis (TDA) and similarity measures of time series based on Discrete Time Warping (DTW). We evaluate the transfer learning potential of each approach by training and testing both within and across the turning and milling data sets. Our results show that carefully chosen time-frequency features can lead to high classification accuracies albeit at the cost of requiring manual pre-processing and the tagging of an expert user. On the other hand, we found that the TDA and DTW approaches can provide accuracies and F1 scores on par with the time-frequency methods without the need for manual preprocessing.

Keywords: transfer learning, machine learning, chatter detection, turning, milling, topological data analysis, dynamic time warping

1 Introduction

Advancements in sensor technology have enabled researchers to investigate the underlying dynamics of complex systems with improved resolution. For example, it is now possible to measure and examine the complex vibration patterns of machining processes. The primary purpose of machining processes is to remove or subtract material through cutting and to leave behind a desired three-dimensional object. Turning and milling processes are amongst the most common types of manufacturing processes. In turning, the workpiece rotates and a non-rotating cutting tool is fed into the workpiece to achieve cutting. In milling, the opposite is true as the workpiece stays aligned with a stationary reference frame and the cutting tool rotates while being fed into the workpiece. One of the most challenging parts of these processes is chatter, which occurs due to self-excited tool vibrations, e.g. see references [1, 2, 3]. Chatter is highly undesirable as it can leave

*Corresponding author.

behind a poor surface finish and can damage the cutting tool and/or machining center. Thus the interest in predicting and detecting chatter has become an ongoing problem of interest.

In machining, natural frequencies of the system shift when cutting configuration parameters such as overhang distance is changed. The chatter frequency, where chatter takes place in the frequency domain, also changes. Since training a classifier on a data set obtained from each new configuration is cumbersome, we are interested in how a trained classifier on one cutting process can transfer knowledge to the different cutting processes. This general idea is known as transfer learning in the literature, and within the context of machining, it has the potential to provide a methodology for pooling data from different manufacturing settings to more robustly detect chatter.

This study analyzes the performance of transfer learning in each of Wavelet Packet Transform (WPT), Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition (EEMD), traditional signal processing tools, Dynamic Time Warping (DTW), and Topological Data Analysis (TDA)-based approaches. Classifiers were trained and tested from data gathered from milling and turning experiments. With the exception of DTW, four different classification algorithms were used for all methods: support Vector Machine (SVM), Logistic Regression (LR), Random Forest classifier (RF), and Gradient Boosting (GB). K-Nearest Neighbor was used for measuring the performance of the similarity measure technique DTW. We categorized the methods into three groups: frequency-based methods (WPT/EEMD, FFT/PSA/ACF (FPA)), similarity measure approach (DTW), and the TDA-based approach. Our results show that frequency-based methods give the highest accuracy in 13 out of 20 transfer learning combinations between the turning and milling data sets. When the results are compared with respect to the F1-score, it is seen that this number goes up to 16 out of 20 combinations. However, the results obtained from FPA and WPT, the methods that give the highest accuracy in most of the cases of transfer learning, provide the best accuracy with a larger standard deviation compared to the DTW and TDA-based approaches. On the other hand, DTW provides the best accuracy when we apply transfer learning across different cutting processes. The TDA-based approach provides the highest accuracy and F1-score in only two and three out of 20 combinations of transfer learning, respectively.

The work presented in this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 explains the data collection for both machining processes—milling and turning. Section 3 provides a brief description of transfer learning and its categorization. Section 4 describes the salient aspects of each feature extraction method. Section 5 presents results and also provides some concluding remarks.

1.1 Feature Extraction Approaches for Machining

There are several techniques to detect chatter from time series data. Wavelet Packet Transform (WPT) and Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition (EEMD) are the most common methods used in literature [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. Chen and Zheng used a Support Vector Machine (SVM) classifier with a Recursive Feature Elimination (RFE) algorithm to detect chatter in an end milling operation [12]. Li et al. proposed an EEMD-based feature extraction method to predict chatter in a boring process [13]. Each of these methods relies upon feature extraction from the decomposition of signals. To elaborate, experimental data has been decomposed into wavelet packets or intrinsic mode functions (IMFs). The informative parts of the decomposition were then selected based on frequency domain analysis; features were obtained from the IMFs and reconstructed signals from informative wavelet packets. However, these two widely used methods have some drawbacks. In particular, they require manual pre-processing - a step that is difficult to automate. These methods require the analyst to inspect the frequency domain and energy ratio plots (for WPT) of the time series data to identify the informative decomposition correctly.

Apart from the WPT and EEMD methods, several authors have applied a more traditional feature extraction method based on the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT), Power Spectral Density (PSD), and/or the Autocorrelation Function (ACF). Yesilli et al. studied these methods to identify chatter in measured time series from a turning process [15]. Coordinates of peaks in the FFT, PSD, and ACF plots were used as features for supervised learning classification. Determining the restriction parameters to select peaks correctly is reported as one of the primary challenges or shortcomings of this approach. Gradišek et al. used entropy and coarse-grained information rate to develop an automatic chatter detection approach for grinding [16]. Aslan and Altintas developed an on-line chatter detection approach that uses the Fourier spectrum of the spindle drive motor commands obtained from a CNC milling machine [17]. Li et al. used multiscale permutation entropy, multiscale power spectral entropy, and the Laplacian score to select features

for online chatter detection in the milling process, and these features were used in gradient tree boosting [18]. Albertelli et al. introduced a chatter detection algorithm based on cyclostationary theory, and it is tested on the data collected from spindle encoder in milling process [19]. In another recent study, Caliskan et al. introduced an energy-based chatter detection approach where a nonlinear energy operator is used to search for chatter frequency between two tooth passing frequency [20]. Wan et al. utilized Adaboost with SVM classifiers and used frequency and time domain features in addition to the ones obtained with stacked denoising autoencoder to predict chatter in milling process [21].

The challenges associated with existing chatter detection methods have continued to motivate the need to develop new approaches. For example, the similarity measure, Dynamic Time Warping (DTW) algorithm has been proposed to identify chatter in machining [22]. DTW does not require feature extraction and time series data is only used to compute pairwise distance matrix. K-Nearest Neighbor (KNN) is used as a classifier to test the performance of the proposed approach. In addition, persistent homology from Topological Data Analysis has been used in chatter detection [23, 24, 25, 26], and several authors have explored the use of deep learning to detect chatter [27, 28, 29, 30].

Feature extraction has been applied to several other machining operations. Wu et al. used sensor fusion and EEMD to extract features from signals obtained from different sensors to predict the remaining useful life of machining tools [31]. Plaza et al. compared time direct analysis and power spectral density to WPT and singular spectrum analysis (SSA) for surface finish monitoring [32]. Another study focuses on building a big data processing scheme for features extraction in electrical discharge machining [33]. Cheng et al. extracted features in the time and frequency domain and proposed a generalized multiclass support vector machine (GenSVM) for monitoring health degradation in machining tools [34].

1.2 Transfer Learning Approaches for Machining

Several studies focus on chatter detection using deep learning and transfer learning. Cherukuri et al. use synthetic data to train an artificial neural network (ANN) to predict chatter [35]. Postel et al. used a pre-trained Deep Neural Network to predict stability in milling operation [36]. A synthetic data set is used to train the network and then fine-tuning is performed using the small size of an experimental data set. Unver and Sener used a numerical simulation of milling operation to train AlexNet structure for Convolutional Neural Networks, and they test the same network on experimental milling data to detect chatter [37]. In addition to chatter detection, the majority of prior works that apply transfer learning focus on fault detection and tool/machine conditioning instead of chatter detection. Further, these works utilize deep learning algorithms that require a large number of observations [38] and do not provide insight into the signals' most informative features for chatter detection. For instance, Wu et al. used 1D Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) for fault detection in bearings and gears [39]. They applied two different transfer learning approaches: 1) training and testing a classifier on samples from different working conditions and 2) training on simulation data and testing on experimental data. Li and Liang developed a CNN-based approach to diagnosing severe tool wear, tool breakage, and spindle failure during machining processes [38]. They used two different CNC machines to train and test a classifier in an experiment that took six months to collect the data needed to train the CNN. Kim et al. used Support Vector Regressor to predict the machining power, and they transferred knowledge from machining power models of steel and aluminum to predict the power model of titanium [40]. Mamledesai et al. utilized CNN and transfer learning to monitor tool conditions to help the machinist decide whether to keep using the same tool or replace it [41]. Marei et al. used Convolution Neural Network-based transfer learning to predict tool wear of the carbide cutting tool flank [42]. Another study that includes transfer learning and deep learning is focused on the estimation of force in the milling process using simulation data and experimental data as a source and target domain, respectively [43]. Wang et al. use the pre-trained network VGG19 to identify machining fault types in rolling bearings. They modified the final fully connected layer to reduce the number of network parameters and implement the transfer learning between non-manufacturing data and manufacturing data [44]. Kim et al. proposed another approach that converts cutting force signals into images using a multi-layer recurrence plot (MRP) to estimate the machining quality in laser-assisted micro-milling operation [45]. They used a pre-trained ResNet-18 CNN structure and tested it on the images generated from cutting signals.

Traditional machine learning approaches are also adopted in transfer learning approaches for machining applications. For instance, Gao et al. implemented extreme vector machines and transfer learning to build

a prediction model for remaining tool life [46]. Yesilli et al. combined traditional signal decomposition tools and machine learning algorithms such as support vector machines, random forest classifier, and gradient boosting to detect chatter in experimental turning signals [6]. Fast Fourier Transform, Auto-correlation Function, and Power Spectral Density are also combined with similar machine learning algorithms to identify unstable time series obtained from turning experiments [15]. Shen et al. combined the TrAdaBoost transfer learning algorithm [47] and singular value decomposition-based feature extraction to identify different fault types in a bearing data set [48]. The trAdaBoost algorithm is also used in tool tip dynamics prediction [49].

1.3 Research Contribution

In this work, we present the first study on using state-of-the-art feature extraction tools to transfer chatter knowledge across turning and milling operations using experimental data. The main goal is to automate chatter detection for different cutting conditions and operations and to reduce the amount of data and time needed to train a classifier. Once a classifier is trained using a given data set, the gained information can be utilized for different operations without needing large and completely new training data sets from the target process.

This work is different from the prior work of a subset of the authors of this paper on transfer learning both in focus and in the application domain. Specifically, in [6] Yesilli et al. used Wavelet Packet Transform (WPT) and Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition (EEMD) to detect chatter in time series data collected only from turning experiments. They utilized transfer learning to transfer knowledge in turning operations that were performed using different overhang distances [6]. The goal of that study was to compare the transfer learning capabilities of WPT and EEMD and to examine corresponding feature extraction methods. In addition, Yesilli and Khasawneh used only turning data sets to test the transfer learning performance of traditional feature extraction tools such as Fast Fourier Transform (FFT), Autocorrelation Function (AF), and Power Spectral Density (PSD) in [15].

Therefore, the work presented here is distinct from prior works, and it provides an approach to leverage existing data sets from one machining operation to make decisions about another operation. In contrast to prior works on transfer learning for chatter detection, this manuscript studies a large number of feature extraction methods including WPT, EEMD, FFT, AF, PSD as well as two other methods that have only recently been applied in the context of machining: Topological Data Analysis (TDA) methods and similarity-based methods using Dynamic Time Warping (DTW).

2 Experimental Procedure

This section describes the experimental setups and the data collected from each experiment. In the turning experiment, three accelerometers were attached to the setup, see locations in Fig. 1. The workpiece was fixed to the spindle and the cutting tool was positioned at the tip of a boring bar. The distance between the heel of the boring bar and the back of the tool holder is called the overhang distance, see the illustration of Fig. 2. The overhang distance is an important characteristic for the turning experiment since the stiffness of the boring bar can be altered by simply changing this distance. In this experiment, data is collected for four different overhang distances with varying rotational speeds and cutting depths; the overhang distances used in the experiments were 5.08, 6.35, 8.89, and 11.43 cm. The range of the rotational speeds and the depth of cuts for turning and milling experiment is provided in Tab. 1. We only used the x -axis data from the triaxial accelerometer since it had the best signal-to-noise ratio. The experimental data was oversampled at 160 kHz since we did not use an in-line analog filter. We then used a low-pass filter (Butterworth) with an order of 100, and we downsampled the data to 10 kHz. Note that the downsampling does not lead to a loss of useful information since the accelerometers used in the experiment had a 10 kHz bandwidth.

Each time series was tagged based on a time and frequency domain analysis. The label options were stable (chatter-free), intermediate (mild chatter), and unstable (chatter). Low-amplitude signals in the time and frequency domain were tagged as stable. If the time series had a low amplitude in the time domain and a large amplitude in the frequency domain, it was tagged as mild chatter. The signals with large amplitude in both domains were labeled as unstable. The remaining signals were assigned as unknown since they do not fit into these criteria.

Table 1: Cutting parameters for the turning and milling experiments.

Cutting operation	Rotational Speeds (rpm)	Depth of Cut (mm)	Feed Rate
Turning - 5.08 cm	320, 425, 570, 770	0.0254 - 1.27	0.00508 cm/rev (0.002 inch/rev)
Turning - 6.35 cm	570, 770	0.0508 - 0.381	0.00508 cm/rev (0.002 inch/rev)
Turning - 8.89 cm	570, 770, 1030	0.0381 - 0.762	0.00508 cm/rev (0.002 inch/rev)
Turning - 11.43 cm	570, 770, 1030	0.127 - 1.016	0.00508 cm/rev (0.002 inch/rev)
Milling	11206-32161	0.381 - 3.556	0.191 mm/tooth/rev




Figure 1: Picture of the turning experimental setup showing the cutting tool, workpiece, and accelerometer sensors.




Figure 2: Illustration of the turning process shown to highlight the tool overhang distance.

Figure 3: Experimental setup of milling cutting experiments.(left) Illustration of the setup (right) picture of the cutting tool and the workpiece.

An illustration showing the milling experimental system is shown in Fig. 3. An Ingersol machining center with a Fischer 40000 rpm and 40kW spindle was used to conduct experiments on an aluminum workpiece (7050-T7451). The type of milling conducted in these experiments is down milling. The depth of cut is 2.03 mm and radial immersion is kept constant at 5%. Lion precision capacitive probes were used to collect the tool displacements along the x and y axes [50]. The data were sampled at 25 kHz. As in the turning experiments, a low pass filter was used and the data was downsampled to 12.5 kHz. In addition, a laser tachometer was used to independently verify the spindle rotational speed from the machine setting. The cutting tool was a 19.05 mm end mill with two teeth and a 106 mm overhang distance. Data tagging was performed using power spectral density (PSD) plots and Poincaré sections. Tool displacement plots in the x direction, along with the corresponding Poincaré sections, are shown in Fig. 4.

The first milling example shown in Fig. 4 is a stable cut ($\Omega = 19488$ rpm, 1.524 mm cutting depth)




Figure 4: The first column represents tool displacements for two teeth and the second column provides Poincare sections for two time series obtained with three different rotational speeds and depth of cuts in milling experiments. The third column shows the PSD plots of the three-time series whose Poincare sections are shown in the first column. Red dots represent tooth passage frequency. The time series shown in the first row is stable with cutting conditions $\Omega = 11793$ rpm and depth of cut of 2.54 mm, while the one in the second row is unstable with cutting conditions $\Omega = 17746$ rpm and depth of cut of 1.524 mm. The third row represents an unstable milling signal with cutting conditions $\Omega = 27285$ rpm and depth of cut of 3.556 mm.

whereas the second example shows a Hopf bifurcation example ($\Omega = 27285$ rpm, 3.556 mm cutting depth). The first column of Fig. 4 presents the tool displacements for two teeth and the second column provides the Poincare sections of these time series. x_n and x_{n2} represent the time-delayed coordinates. In this work, we use constant time delay parameter chosen as 6. The third column of Fig. 4 shows the power spectrum or a PSD plot that helped us better see the frequency content of the time series [51]. If the spectral peaks were synchronous with the tooth passage frequency, that meant the corresponding time series was stable. However, if the spectral peaks were not aligned with the tooth passage frequency, the cutting test was unstable, as shown in the second row and third column of Fig. 4.

Stability predictions were made for the milling system using the measured modal parameters and the spectral element approach [52]; the resulting stability diagram is shown in Fig. 6. The spectral element method uses eigenvalues of a dynamic map to determine the stability of the process [51, 26]. If the magnitude of the eigenvalue is smaller than 1, the process is stable. If the eigenvalue has only a positive real part that is larger than 1, then the process is unstable. Eigenvalues with only negative real part less than -1 represent

the flip bifurcations, and Hopf bifurcation occurs when an eigenvalue has amplitude larger than 1. The illustration for the stability analysis using the real and imaginary parts of the eigenvalues is also provided in Fig. 5. Based on this stability criteria, 10000 time series for a 100×100 grid of points in the rpm vs. cutting depth parameter space were used to produce the stability diagram shown in Fig. 6. The stability of the experimental data set is decided based on the Poincare section and the PSD plots. Then we included experimental data set in the stability diagram shown with black diamonds (unstable) and triangle (stable) markers in Fig. 6. It is seen that the labels obtained using the eigenvalues and the ones obtained using frequency domain analysis and Poincare section may not match. We use the labels obtained from the analysis shown in Fig. 4 to perform classification.




Figure 5: Illustration for the stability analysis using eigenvalues of the dynamic map obtained using spectral element approach [52].




Figure 6: The stability of time series obtained using the analytical model provided in Ref. [26] with different depth of cut (b) and spindle speed (Ω) on 100×100 grid. The green color corresponds to time series with Hopf bifurcation (unstable), while the blue color represents the stable time series. The red color shows the time series with flip bifurcation. Experimental data, whose stability is defined based on the Poincare section and PSD plots, is shown with diamond (unstable) and triangle (stable) symbols.

3 Transfer Learning

In traditional machine learning, a classifier is trained and tested on a data set originating from the same source. However, real-life applications, such as chatter or fault detection in machining, can experience a shift in the parameters between the time the classifier was trained and the time the system is put into operation. This means that the data collected from these applications may no longer have the same feature space as the training set. Therefore, traditional machine learning can require data collection for each parameter combination thus leading to increased cost and low automation potential. As another motivating example, some experiments are expensive to set up and perform. This includes chatter studies which result in long downtime for production machines and personnel during the data collection phase. Besides the cost, some sensor data may be collected during machining one-off products, and therefore may be considered of limited use in traditional machine learning settings. Therefore, it is extremely beneficial to leverage extracted features related to similar phenomena across different settings and operations. In this case, *Transfer Learning* presents a useful machine learning framework that allows training and testing on data sets from different sources. As an example, Fig. 7 shows a transfer learning application where a chatter classifier was trained using a

turning process, and the gained information is then transferred for detecting chatter in a milling operation.




Figure 7: An example of transfer learning where training for chatter detection is performed using a turning process (the source), and the gained knowledge is imported via transfer learning to a milling operation (the target).

Transfer learning is categorized according to the similarity between tasks and the domain of each source and target. The source is the system we use to train a classifier, while the target is the system where the classifier is tested. There are two main terms in the definition of transfer learning, and these are domain and task. A domain can be described as the combination of a feature space \mathcal{F} and the marginal probability of the feature space $P(F)$, while the task contains a label space \mathcal{L} and the conditional probability ($P(l|f)$) [53]. \mathcal{F} represents the space of feature vectors, \mathbf{x}_i , and F is the an instance set such that $F = \{\mathbf{f} \mid \mathbf{f}_i \in \mathcal{F}, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ [54]. For a given domain, $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{F}, P(F))$, a task is defined as $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{L}, P(l|f))$. $P(l|f)$ is also considered as a predictive function f which estimates the label for a given feature space.

Based on the differences between domains and tasks of the source and the target, several transfer learning settings can be obtained (see Fig. 8). We refer the interested reader to [53, 55, 56] for more details on transfer learning. In this study, our machine learning framework is included under *inductive* transfer learning category because we use the same sets of features for the source and the target. The main purpose of inductive transfer learning is to improve the performance of target prediction function f_T using the information in domain and task of the source \mathcal{D}_S and \mathcal{T}_S , respectively [53]. There are several approaches to transfer learning. These include instance-transfer, feature representation transfer, parameter-transfer, and relational knowledge transfer [54]. In this work, we transfer the knowledge of parameters by using the same trained classifier in the testing phase. We used the same set of features for training and testing. However, the distribution of the features is different in each domain since the source and the target are represented by two different machining processes: turning and milling. More details about the application of *inductive* transfer learning are available in Sec. 5.

source	relation	target	setting
domain	is same as	domain	Traditional ML
task	is same as	task	
domain	is same as	domain	Transfer Learning (Inductive)
task	different but related	task	
domain	different but related	domain	Transfer Learning (Unsupervised)
task	different but related	task	
domain	different but related	domain	Transfer Learning (Transductive)
task	is same as	task	

Figure 8: Categorization of transfer learning.

Transfer Learning for Autonomous Chatter Detection in Machining




Figure 9: Outline of the general procedure and the featurization methods used in this paper.

4 Methods

This section provides a brief description of each feature extraction method that we used. In addition Fig. 9 provides a block diagram that explains the procedure we followed in this study. Specifically, the leftmost block shows the experimental setup and the data collection process. This is followed by the middle block which lists the featurization methods used, as well as the similarity-based approach using DTW. The rightmost block shows the pairwise distance matrices and feature matrices obtained from the similarity-based approach and the feature extraction approaches, respectively. Figure 10 provides a cartoon of the transfer learning framework whereby classifiers trained on the turning data are used to detect chatter in milling and vice versa. Each of the following subsections covers one of the methods described in the middle block as follows: Section 4.1 summarizes WPT, Section 4.2 discusses EEMD, Section 4.3 describes FFT, PSD, and ACF approaches, Section 4.4 explains DTW, while Section 4.5 deals with topological features from TDA.

4.1 Wavelet Packet Transform (WPT)

This section describes the salient details for the Wavelet Packet Transform (WPT) method. One can refer to Ref. [6] for more information. The WPT method decomposes a signal into approximation and detail coefficients at each level of the transform. Figure 11 provides the decomposition of a time series into two levels of WPT and shows the corresponding frequency content for each wavelet packet. Detail and approximation coefficients are obtained after applying the high-pass and low-pass filters, respectively. They are denoted as D_i and A_i as shown in Fig. 11. At each level of transform, we added additional letters A or D to the left side of the previous notation, and the indices change with respect to the level of the transform. For example, in the second level of transform, the approximation coefficient A_1 passes through the high pass filter and becomes DA_2 (see Fig. 11). In addition, the number of wavelet packets at level k of the transform




Figure 10: Transfer learning approach used in this paper for feature extraction and similarity measure-based approaches.




Figure 11: Illustration of two level WPT.

is 2^k .

Milling data set: The procedure followed in this study is the same as the one described in Ref. [6]. For both the milling and turning data sets, we applied level 4 WPT to downsampled time series. The first step was to define the chatter frequency by checking the spectrum of the downsampled data. Figure 12 provides FFT plots of three different time series from the milling data set. It can be seen that the chatter frequency is around 850 Hz which is close to the resonant frequency of 728.7 Hz; this leads us to look for wavelet packets that also have a frequency content near this frequency. Time series were decomposed into wavelet packets and the energy ratio of each wavelet packet was computed. The energy ratio plots and the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) of the signals, reconstructed from the packets, have been provided in Fig. 13 and 14. Fig. 13 show that most of the energy belongs to the third wavelet packet for the unstable time series. It is also seen that the spectrum of the third wavelet packet, the unstable time series, has a frequency content of around 1000 Hz. Thus the third wavelet packet can be selected as the informative packet for feature extraction.

Turning data set: We used the same informative wavelet packets defined in Ref. [6] to extract features. The informative wavelet packet numbers are listed in Tab. 2 for four overhang distances.

After defining the informative wavelet packets for both data sets, we reconstructed the signals from the informative wavelet packets and computed time and frequency domain features, as described in Ref. [6].

4.2 Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition (EEMD)

Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition (EEMD) is a modified version of Empirical Mode Decomposition (EMD). EMD is a nonlinear transform and it is suitable for non-stationary signals [57]. The main difference




Figure 12: Spectrum of three different time series from milling experiment: (left) 13227 rpm, 2.54 mm depth of cut (doc), unstable, (mid) 16861 rpm, 1.905 mm doc, stable, (right) 27285 rpm, 1.905 doc, unstable.




Figure 13: Energy ratio of the wavelet packets obtained from decomposition of the three time series whose spectrum is provided in Fig. 12. (Blue bars) Milling - Unstable - RPM=13227 - DOC = 2.54mm. (Red bars) Milling - Stable - RPM=16861 - DOC = 0.38 mm. (Orange bars) Milling - Unstable - RPM=27285 - DOC = 3.556 mm.

between EMD and WPT is that the decomposition in EEMD does not correspond to a certain frequency region, while wavelet packets in WPT have a frequency content only in a certain frequency range. The decomposition of a signal $s(t)$ is obtained via a sifting process and the steps are as follows:

1. Find all the local minimum and maximums and obtain the upper and lower envelope of $s(t)$
2. Take mean ($m_i(t)$) of the upper and lower envelope
3. Subtract the mean from $s(t)$ and the remaining is called $h_{1i}(t)$.

$h_{1i}(t)$ is the first guess of the first IMF. However, it needs to satisfy the following two conditions, see [57], to become an IMF:

- The mean value of the upper and lower envelope should be zero at any point.
- The number of zero crossings and the number of extrema should be the same or the difference between them should be at most one.

The sifting process was thus repeated until $h_{1i}(t)$ had the aforementioned properties, and $h_{1i}(t)$ was treated as new data in each iteration. The standard deviation between the consecutive estimates of an IMF was used as a stoppage criterion and it was generally set to a value between 0.2 and 0.3 [57]. After satisfying the criterion, the IMF $c_i(t)$ was obtained and the residue was computed using

$$r_i(t) = r_{i-1}(t) - c_i(t).$$




Figure 14: Spectrum of reconstructed signals from first four wavelet packets of three different time series whose spectrum shown in Fig. 12. (First row) Milling, 13300 rpm, 2.54 mm depth of cut (doc), unstable, (second row) milling, 17300 rpm, 0.3810 mm doc, stable, and (last row) milling, 28000 rpm, 3.5560 mm doc, unstable.

Table 2: Informative wavelet packet numbers retrieved from Ref. [6].

Overhang distance (cm)	Chatter frequency range (Hz)	Informative wavelet packet
5.08	900-1000	Level 4: 3
6.35	1200-1300	Level 4: 4
8.89	1600-1700	Level 4: 6
11.43	2900-3000	Level 4: 10

Note the original signal was the first residue $r_0(t)$. The sifting process was repeated until we had a monotonic function as a residue and thus could not extract an IMF from that function anymore. Thus the original signal could be written in terms of the IMFs and the last residue as

$$s(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i + r_n.$$

One of the main drawbacks of EMD is the mode mixing problem, which is that an IMF can contain signals with totally different frequency content or the same frequency content appears in different IMFs. To solve this problem, Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition (EEMD) was proposed by Wu and Huang [58]. The following steps were used to apply an EEMD algorithm

1. White noise is added to original data
2. Obtain IMFs of the signal using EMD
3. Repeat (1) and (2) with different white noise each time. The number of repetitions is called the ensemble size.
4. Compute the mean of corresponding IMFs and residues in the ensemble.




Figure 15: Intrinsic mode functions and their spectrum for the time series with 11210 rpm and 3.556 mm depth of cut from milling experiments.

The experimental signal was decomposed into IMFs and the informative IMFs were selected to generate a feature matrix. The spectrum from the original signal and the IMFs was then compared to determine the overlap between them. The IMF with the largest overlap was selected as the informative IMF. Fig. 15 provides an example for the selection of the informative IMF. The original time series has frequency content around 1000 Hz and the first two IMF are the candidates to be informative IMF. Since the spectrum of the first IMF overlaps with the original signal’s spectrum, it is selected as an informative IMF. Ideally, the spectrum of all signals and their decomposition should be checked to determine the informative IMF. However, this is a manually intensive and time-consuming process. We thus repeated this process only for a couple of time series and chose an informative IMF to be used for all time series. Then, the selected informative IMF was used to compute the features given in Ref. [6], and a feature matrix was generated as an input for a supervised classification algorithm.

4.3 Fast Fourier Transform (FFT), Power Spectral Density (PSD) and Auto-correlation Function (ACF)

This method computes the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT), Power Spectral Density (PSD), and Auto-correlation (ACF) for each downsampled data set. The next step was to find the significant peaks in these plots and use their x and y coordinates as a feature in the classification algorithm. Since built-in functions in computing software for peak finding can result in incorrect peaks, we used two restriction parameters for peak selection that enabled us to find the true peaks. These parameters are minimum peak height (MPH) and minimum peak distance (MPD). The definition for minimum peak height is provided in Ref. [15] as

$$MPH = y_{min} + \alpha(y_{max} - y_{min}),$$

where $\alpha \in [0,1]$, y_{min} and y_{max} correspond to 5th and 95th percentile of the amplitude of FFT/PSD/ACF plots. The α parameter is defined with respect to the peak amplitudes. Since auto-correlation function has negative amplitudes, the choice for α is chosen separately, while we use the same α value for FFT and PSD plots. In this implementation, α was 0.1 and 0.5 for FFT/PSD and ACF plots, respectively.

The second parameter, MPD, was defined by visual inspection on FFT/PSD/ACF plots of several time series. An example is provided in Fig. 16. This figure shows the effect of the chosen MPD value on the




Figure 16: Effect of different MPD values on selected peaks in FFT and ACF plots of time series with RPM=13300 and DOC=2.54 mm from milling experiments. (Top) FFT plot and selected peaks with MPD=2500 (top) and MPD=500 (middle). Auto-correlation function with MPD=500 (bottom). Orange lines represent the MPH.

detection of the peaks in the FFT and ACF plots. The first two plots provide the spectrum of a time series and the peaks found by a peak detection algorithm with two MPD values. It is seen that a smaller MPD value brings the selected peaks closer to each other and results in the detection of the true peaks. Therefore, MPD was chosen for FFT and PSD plots as 500. The same value was also used in the ACF function. After defining the two constraints for peak detection, MPD and MPH, we decided how many peaks to use to generate feature matrices. In this implementation, we used coordinates of the first two peaks as features and they were given to supervised classification algorithms.

4.4 Dynamic Time Warping (DTW)

Dynamic Time Warping (DTW) is often used to measure the similarity between two time series whose lengths are different. For example, assume that we have two time series X and Y such that

$$\begin{aligned} X &= x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \\ Y &= y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, \end{aligned}$$

where m and n are the length of the time series. Berndt and Clifford [59] define a mapping between the time series called warping path. Figure 17 illustrates an example of a warping path. Warping is composed of nodes that represent the matching between elements of time series. For instance, w_k in Fig. 17 corresponds to matching between x_i and y_j . Warping paths are defined with respect to four different constraints and these are monotonicity, continuity, boundary condition, and slope constraint. For more details about these constraints, one can refer to Ref. [60]. Several warping paths can be generated based on the constraints, however, the DTW algorithm chooses the one that gives the minimum distance such that

$$D_{TW}(X, Y) = \min \left(\sum_{k=1}^L d(w_k) \right), \quad (1)$$

where $d(w_k)$ represents the distance between two matched elements of the time series, and L is the length of the warping path. DTW algorithms look for the optimal path that gives us the smaller sum of the distances between the matching elements of the time series (see Eq. (1)). Since there are numerous options for warping paths in the grid shown in Fig. 17, restriction parameters are needed to reduce the number of possible warping paths. These parameters include monotonicity, continuity, adjustment window condition, slope constraint, and boundary conditions [60].

- Monotonicity: x_i and y_j represents the matching elements in time series. Monotonicity condition says that i and j can not decrease such that $j(k) \geq j(k-1)$, and $j(k) \geq j(k-1)$, where k is the index in Eq. (1).
- Continuity: i and j can not be increased by more than 1.
- Boundary condition: The start point of the warping path should where $i = 1$ and $j = 1$, and the ending point of the path should be where $i = m$ and $j = n$.
- Adjustment window condition: The grid shown in Fig. 17 is restricted with the two dashed lines to decrease the area where we look for optimal warping path.
- Slope constraint: This condition is introduced to avoid moving significantly in one direction. Slope constraint says that the algorithm can not move more than a steps in horizontal or vertical direction without having at least b steps in diagonal direction [60]. The ratio between b and a is introduced as $P=b/a$, and it is generally chosen as 1.

In this implementation, we used [cDTW package](#) and the Manhattan distance to compute pairwise distances between the time series. The pairwise distances between all time series in the data sets were computed.




Figure 17: Illustration of a warping path between two time series with length m and n .

The pairwise distances between the time series belong to different overhang cases in the turning data and between turning data cases and milling data. Two examples of heat maps for pairwise distances are given in Fig. 18. Depending on the application of transfer learning, the corresponding distance matrix can be chosen for the training set and test set. For instance, if we train our classifier on 5.08 cm case in turning data and test it on the milling data, we use the pairwise distances between time series of 5.08 cm case for training and the distance matrix between 5.08 cm case and milling data for testing the classifier. The K-Nearest Neighbor (KNN) algorithm was used to predict the labels of the time series.




Figure 18: Heatmaps for the pairwise distances between milling time series (left), and for the distances between milling data set and 5.08 cm case of turning data set (right). The numbers on x and y axes represent the number of the time series in the data set.

4.5 Topological Data Analysis (TDA)

Topological Data Analysis investigates the shape of the data. In this implementation, we mainly focused on the persistent homology tool of TDA and how to extract features using persistent homology. We briefly explain the persistent homology in this section. One can refer to Ref. [61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66] for further details. Persistence homology extracts the information from the embedded signals in Euclidean space. Taken's embedding theorem is used to embed the data higher dimensional space [67] and 1-D persistent homology is used to generate feature matrices in this study.

The embedded data is called the point cloud and persistent homology investigates connected components, loops, and voids in this point cloud. The information extracted from the point cloud is represented on a plot called the persistence diagram. Persistence diagrams can be computed with respect to the shape of interest. For example, the information related to connected components in the point cloud is provided in a 0-D persistence diagram. In addition to this, 1-D and 2-D persistence diagrams are computed for loops and voids, respectively.

Figure 19 provides an illustration on how to generate persistence diagrams. We start by placing balls with a radius ϵ centered on each point in the point cloud. The radius of the balls is then expanded and some of the balls start to intersect with each other. The intersection of two balls creates an edge between two data points as shown in Fig. 19b. As we continue to increase ϵ , three balls intersect with each other and they create a triangle (see Fig. 19c). Larger values of ϵ result in the intersection of more balls and they generate cycle. The first time when a cycle appears is named birth time and three cycles appeared in Fig. 19d. Further increase in the radius can lead to the generation of more triangles inside the cycle and a cycle may be filled with triangles. The time when a cycle is filled is called the death time. In Fig. 19e), the first cycle is filled and its death time is denoted with d_1 in the persistence diagram (see Fig. 19f). An increase in ϵ will also lead to the filling of second and third cycles, and their death time is provided in the persistence diagram. It is shown that the third cycle is the most persistent one since it has more lifetime ($d_i - b_i$) compared to others.

Persistent Homology: Persistent homology in 0-D, 1-D and 2-D is denoted as $H_0(K)$, $H_1(K)$ and $H_2(K)$, where K represents the simplicial complex. As we increase the radius of the balls around the points on the point cloud, we create n dimensional simplices. A single data point is called a 0-simplex. while an edge and a triangle are 1-simplex and 2-simplex, respectively. Since we continuously change the ϵ (see Fig. 19), each ϵ will result in a different simplicial complex. These simplicial complexes are approximated




Figure 19: Illustration for explaining the generation of a persistence diagram. a) Balls with radius ϵ are centered on each point. b) The intersections of two balls create edges. c) The intersection of three balls creates a triangle shown with green color. d) Further increase in radius of balls lead to the generation of cycles shown with 1,2 and 3, and the corresponding radius is assigned as birth time. e) When a circle is filled with balls (see cycle 1), the corresponding radius is said to be the death time of the cycle. f) Birth and death times of the cycles are summarized in a persistence diagram.

with Rips and C  ch complex, which are the most commonly used complexes. In this implementation, we use [Ripser](#) package in Python to compute the persistence diagrams, and it employs Rips complex [68]. The definition of the Rips complex is given as

$$R_\epsilon(K, d) = \{\sigma \subset K \mid \max_{(x,y) \in \sigma} d(x,y) < \epsilon\},$$

where σ is the simplicial complex and d is the distance between its vertices. For each ϵ value, there is corresponding Rips complex such that

$$R_1 \subseteq R_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq R_m. \quad (2)$$

A cycle can be born in one of those Rips complex R_i and it can disappear in another one (R_{i+k}). The corresponding birth and death time for this cycle is ϵ_i and ϵ_{i+k} , respectively. The resulting birth and death time for each cycle is plotted on a persistence diagram, and we vectorize the persistence diagrams in this implementation to extract features from the time series. We employ four methods and these are Carlsson coordinates, persistence images, persistence landscapes, and template functions.

4.5.1 Computation of persistence diagrams

Before explaining the feature extraction methods of TDA, we would like to briefly discuss how to compute the persistence diagrams from time series data. The first step is to embed the data to higher dimensional space using Taken's embedding [67]. We need two parameters to embed the data and these are embedding dimension and delay parameters. Embedding dimension is defined for each time series using False Nearest Neighbor (FNN) algorithm [69, 70]. The embedding delay parameter is found using the method that combines Least Median Square (LMS) [71] and FFT. Embedded data is then sent to the Ripser package in Python to compute persistence diagrams. One can refer to Ref. [25] for more detailed information about embedding and the methods used to find the embedding parameters.

4.5.2 Carlsson Coordinates

Carlsson Coordinates are the features that are computed using the coordinates on the persistence diagram. We use five different features where four of them are defined in Ref. [72] and one of them is obtained from Ref. [23]. These features are not dependent on the number of points in persistence diagram or the order of the points. The definition of the five features is given as

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(D) &= \sum b_i(d_i - b_i), \\ f_2(D) &= \sum (d_{\max} - d_i)(d_i - b_i), \\ f_3(D) &= \sum b_i^2(d_i - b_i)^4, \\ f_4(D) &= \sum (d_{\max} - d_i)^2(d_i - b_i)^4, \\ f_5(D) &= \max\{(d_i - b_i), \end{aligned}$$

where D represents the persistence diagram, b_i is the birth time and d_i is the death time of the points in the persistence diagram. These features are computed for each persistence diagram, and we generate feature matrices using $\sum_{i=1}^5 \binom{5}{i}$ combinations of the features. Then, we performed classification using supervised learning classification algorithms.

4.5.3 Persistence Images

Persistence diagrams can be converted into persistence images. The first step is to define a linear transformation such that [73]

$$T(b_i, d_i) = (b_i, d_i - b_i) = (b_i, l_i).$$

This transformation converts a birth time versus death time diagram into a birth time versus lifetime diagram (see Fig. 20a). Then, we place a Gaussian to each point on the transformed diagram as shown in Fig. 20b and the Gaussian distribution is defined as

$$D_k(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} e^{-[(x-b_k)^2 + (y-l_k)^2]/2\sigma^2},$$

where σ is the standard deviation and we choose it as 0.1 in this implementation. Each Gaussian is weighted with respect to weighting function defined as $W(k) = W(b_k, l_k) : (b_k, l_k) \in T(D) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The definition of the weighting function is given as [73]

$$W(k) = W(b_k, l_k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } l_k \leq 0; \\ \frac{l_k}{b} & \text{if } 0 < l_k < b; \\ 1 & \text{if } l_k \geq b. \end{cases}$$

According to this function, the points with larger persistence values have more weight and the weighted Gaussians are shown in Fig. 20c. The next step was to define the persistence surface using the weighted Gaussian such that

$$S(x, y) = \sum_{k \in T(D)} W(k) D_k(x, y).$$

Persistence image is computed on the grids defined on the domain of the persistence surface. The value of each grid or pixel is found by the integration given as

$$PI_{i,j} = \iint S dx dy.$$

After computing all pixel values, a persistence image is formed. An example is given in Fig. 20d.

In this implementation, we use the [PersistenceImages](#) package to compute the images. The resulting image is a matrix that contains the pixel values and we vectorize this matrix to generate the feature matrix. The rows of the output matrix are concatenated and the resulting vector is used as features for the corresponding persistence diagram. The number of the features depends on the selected range of the birth time and lifetime and the size of the pixel. In this implementation, we only test the features obtained using a pixel size of 0.1. This means that if we have a range from 0 to 1 for both birth time and lifetime, we will end up with a 10×10 matrix for the image. Therefore, the resulting feature vector for the image will be 100 in length. Features of all persistence diagrams are computed and then they are sent to supervised classification algorithms to predict chatter.

4.5.4 Persistence Landscapes

Another functional summary of persistence diagrams is persistence landscapes introduced by Bubenik and Dłotko [74]. Persistence landscapes are piecewise functions that are defined in the domain of the persistence diagrams. A persistence diagram is rotated 45 degrees in the clockwise direction and then the isosceles




Figure 20: The illustration that shows the steps to obtain persistence images from the persistence diagram. a) Persistence (death-birth) vs. birth time diagram. b) Gaussians are placed on each point on the persistence vs. birth time diagram. c) Gaussians are weighted with respect to their persistence value. d) 3D surface is obtained using the 3D surface of Gaussians.

triangles are drawn for each point on the diagram [75]. The expression for the persistence landscapes is described as [74]

$$g_{(b,d)}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \notin (b, d) \\ x - b & \text{if } x \in (b, \frac{b+d}{2}] \\ -x + d & \text{if } x \in (\frac{b+d}{2}, d). \end{cases}$$

An example for the persistence landscape is provided in Fig. 22, and the persistence landscapes are denoted as λ_k . The next step was to convert these landscape functions into features. We should first generate a mesh that we can use to define features from persistence landscapes functions for each diagram. The mesh generation process is summarized in Fig. 23. The first column of the plots provides all persistence landscapes of three different persistence diagrams. Then, we select a landscape number and corresponding landscape functions for each diagram. Selected landscape functions are plotted on the same figure (see the last figure in Fig. 23). We take projections of the nodes of the landscape functions, and we show them with red dots in Fig. 23. Then, these points (red dots) are sorted in increasing order and the duplicates are removed. The resulting points are called the mesh. This mesh is then used to calculate the corresponding function value on each selected persistence landscape function using linear interpolation. These function values are used as features for the persistence diagrams. Then, we implement the supervised classification algorithm to detect chatter.




Figure 21: A simple example for persistence diagram and its persistence landscapes. (left) Persistence diagram. (right) Corresponding persistence landscapes for the diagram shown in the left.




Figure 22: Persistence diagrams and persistence landscapes of four different time series from turning and milling experiments. (First row) Stable turning signal with RPM=320 and depth of cut (DOC) of 0.127 mm. (Second Row) Unstable turning signal with RPM=320 and depth of cut (DOC) of 0.127 mm. (Third Row) Stable milling signal with RPM=11206 and depth of cut (DOC) of 1.524 mm. (Fourth Row) Stable milling signal with RPM=15788 and depth of cut (DOC) of 2.54 mm.




Figure 23: The illustration that shows the mesh generation using first persistence landscapes (λ_1) for three different persistence diagrams. PL_i where $i = 1, 2, 3$ represents the persistence landscape sets of three different persistence diagrams. Red dots are the projection of the nodes of landscape functions.

4.5.5 Template Functions

Template functions are introduced in Ref. [76]. As we do in persistence images, we represent the persistence diagrams in birth time and lifetime coordinates, so we represent the points on the diagram in the upper half-plane, $\mathbb{W} := \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Then, we define *template function* on \mathbb{R}^2 . A function that has compact support in \mathbb{W} could be a template function. This function is evaluated on each point of the diagram to define a function such that

$$v_f(D) = \sum_{x \in D} f(x) \quad f : \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Template system is defined as a collection of the template functions \mathcal{T} . The function in the template system has distinct values for each persistence diagram. A true template system has an infinite number of template functions, however, it is proved that a true template system can be approximated with a finite set of template functions in Ref. [76]. In this work, we implement the interpolating polynomials as template functions to vectorize the persistence diagrams.

We define two meshes denoted as $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ in birth and lifetime coordinates, respectively. The Lagrange polynomial is computed on a mesh such that

$$\ell_j^{\mathcal{A}}(x) = \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{x - a_i}{a_j - a_i},$$

where $a_j \in \mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}$. The Lagrange polynomial also satisfies

$$\ell_j^{\mathcal{A}}(a_k) = \begin{cases} 1 & j = k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Template function with respect to chosen two meshes is defined as

$$f(x, y) = \beta(x, y) \cdot |\ell_i^{\mathcal{A}}(x) \ell_j^{\mathcal{B}}(y)|,$$

where β is the bump function that makes the template function have compact support. However, it does not have to be defined, since we choose the meshes which contain all points in the persistence diagram.

5 Results

This section describes the classification approach and the transfer learning details. As we mentioned in Sec. 2, the turning data set contains four different cases and the milling data does not have categorization. Therefore, the total number of combinations between the cases of turning data and the milling data is 20. We performed classification for all 20 combinations. In addition to transfer learning results, we also provide the results obtained from traditional machine learning in Section 5.1. Section 5.2 provides the results for the combination between cases of the turning data set, while Sec. 5.3 discusses the results for the combinations between turning and milling data set. In addition, we take into account the mild chatter cases in turning data set as unstable while performing the classification. This is performed since the turning data is labeled in three classes (see Sec. 2). All results provided in this section belong to two-class classification for both milling and turning data sets.

5.1 Results of Traditional Machine Learning Approach for Turning Data Set

In this section, we compare the results obtained with featurization approaches defined in Sec. 4. We only provide the results for the turning data set in this section. Traditional machine learning is the application where we train and test a classifier with data obtained from the same cutting configuration. Since we have four overhang distance cases in the turning experiment, Fig. 24 provides the results for each overhang distance case in a heat map. The colors in the heat map correspond to the accuracy shown in each box, and the deviations are not taken into account for coloring the heat map.

Fig. 24 shows us that the DTW approach provides the highest accuracy with a small amount of deviation compared to other approaches for 5.08 cm (2 inch) overhang distances. For the same case, persistence landscapes from the TDA-based approach can provide 92% accuracy, while the highest accuracy from the widely adopted approach is provided by FPA with 96%. In the 6.35 cm (2.5 inch) overhang distance case, the highest accuracy is obtained with WPT with 100%. It is worth noting that the test set size for the 6.35 cm case is smaller compared to the other overhang distance cases. This may explain 100% accuracy in the test set. On the other hand, Carlson Coordinates from the TDA-based approach provides 86% for the same case. For the 8.89 cm (3.5 inch) case, the highest accuracy is obtained with the DTW approach and it is followed by Carlsson Coordinates. The deviations for these two results are smaller compared to traditional approaches. FPA provides the largest accuracy for the last case with a larger deviation compared to novel approaches. Overall, it can be said that the novel approaches can match the accuracy obtained with traditional and widely adopted approaches. However, they provide better automation potential for chatter diagnosis since they eliminate manual preprocessing during feature extraction. Therefore, we also investigate their transfer learning performance and compare them to the widely adopted and traditional approaches in the next sections.

5.2 Results of Transfer Learning Applications Between the Overhang Distance Cases of Turning Data Set

The classification was performed with 10 realizations of training and test set for each method. 67% of the training set and 70% of the test set were used to train and test the classifier, respectively. To be fair in the comparison of methods, the same training and test sets were used; they were generated with a set of predefined random state parameters. Support vector machine (SVM) with *rbf* kernel, logistic regression, random forest classifier with 100 estimators and a maximum depth of two for the trees, and gradient boosting algorithm were used to train and test a classifier. In addition to these classifiers, similarity measure based approach, DTW can only be used with the K-Nearest Neighbor (KNN) classifier, since it uses a pairwise distance matrix between the time series (see Fig. 18). KNN is only used with the similarity-based approach. Predicted labels were used to compute the average and standard deviation of the accuracy and F1-score for training and test set separately. In addition to this, we categorize the methods in three groups: 1) Time-Frequency based approaches (WPT, EEMD and FFT/PSD/ACF (FPA)), 2) TDA-based approach and 3)




Figure 24: The highest accuracy out of four different classifiers (or out of selected numbers of nearest neighbor for DTW) for each approach used in traditional machine learning applications between overhang distance cases of turning experiments. WPT: Wavelet Packet Transform, EEMD: Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition, FPA: FFT/PSD/ACF, DTW: Dynamic Time Warping. These results are retrieved from Ref. [25, 22, 6].

Similarity measure (DTW). We compare the results of these groups. Features and classifiers used for each approach are given in Tab. 3. For more details about the features, one can refer to Refs. [25, 22, 6].

Table 3: Features and classifiers used for three main category of approaches. SVM: Support Vector Machine, LR: Logistic Regression, RF: Random Forest, GB: Gradient Boosting.

Category	Features	Classifiers
Time-Frequency-based	WPT: Mean, Standard Deviation, Root Mean Square (RMS), Peak, Skewness, Kurtosis, Crest Factor, Clearance Factor, Shape Factor, Impulse Factor, Mean Square Frequency, Standard Frequency, One Step Auto-Correlation Function, Frequency Center EEMD: Energy Ratio, Peak to Peak, Standard Deviation, RMS, Crest Factor, Skewness, Kurtosis FF/PSD/ACF (FPA): The coordinates of the peaks	SVM, LR, RF, GB
TDA-Based	Carlsson Coordinates, Persistence Landscapes, Persistence Images, Template Functions	SVM, LR, RF, GB
Similarity measure (DTW)	Pairwise Distance Matrix	K-Nearest Neighbor

For each combination of the cases between turning data sets, we have provided figures which show the accuracy of each feature extraction method for the classifiers mentioned above. These plots are provided in Figs. 33-37 in the Appendix. However, these plots can only compare the methods within the same application of transfer learning. Therefore, we have provided a summary plot in Fig. 25. It provides the highest accuracies obtained out of four classifiers for all methods except DTW, while it shows the highest score out of all KNN, where $K=(1, \dots, 5)$, for DTW. It can be seen that the time-frequency-based methods, such as WPT, EEMD, and FPA, are the methods that give the highest score when we train and test between the overhang cases of the turning data set. However, WPT outperforms other approaches in most of the applications in the group of time-frequency-based approaches. On the other hand, the TDA-based approach and DTW have the highest accuracy in a few applications. For TDA-based approaches, Carlsson Coordinates (TDA-CC) performs better than other featurization techniques within the group. It is not easy to distinguish each result in Figure 25. Therefore, we select WPT, TDA-CC, and DTW to summarize their results for different applications of transfer learning between turning data set cases and presented the results in Fig. 26.

Fig. 26 contains only the highest scores of the selected approaches and the ones that are in the error




Figure 25: The highest accuracy out of four different classifiers (or out of selected numbers of nearest neighbor for DTW) for each approach used in transfer learning applications between overhang distance cases of turning experiments.

band of the highest score. Each color represents a method; the best results and the ones in the error band are represented with two different hatches on the bar plots. The bars with ‘o’ hatch are the methods with the highest accuracy and the ‘/’ hatch shows the methods that are in the error band. Using Fig. 26, we can define how many times a group of methods is the best (BM) or the method in the error band (MIEB), and these numbers are given in Table 4. It is seen that the frequency-based approach (WPT) has the highest score in 7 out of 12 transfer learning applications between the cases of turning data set and it is not in the error band when the highest score is provided by the TDA-based approach and DTW. On the other hand, the TDA based-approach and DTW provide the highest score two times and three times, respectively. The TDA-based method is in the error band of the highest accuracy in 4 out 12 applications, while this number is three for DTW. It is also worth noting that WPT results provided in Fig.26 have a larger deviation compared to DTW and TDA-based approaches, even though WPT provides the highest accuracies in most of the applications.

Table 4: The number of times when a selected method gives the highest accuracy out of 12 different applications between the cases of turning data set is denoted with BM. The number of times when a method is in the error band of the highest accuracy is denoted with MIEB. These two numbers are provided for accuracy and F1-score.

Method	Accuracy		F1-Score	
	BM	MIEB	BM	MIEB
Time - Frequency-based (WPT)	7	0	9	1
TDA-based (TDA-CC)	2	4	3	0
Similarity Measure (DTW)	3	3	0	0

Another criterion to compare the performance of the methods is to check the F1-score. The F1-score is computed for all transfer learning applications and for each method. Then, the highest F1-scores out of all classifiers were chosen, and the summary plots are given in Fig. 27 and 28. In addition, we perform the counting the number of best methods and the methods that are in the error band as in the case of accuracy, and these numbers are reported in Tab. 4. It is seen that the performance of the time-frequency-based approach is better since it has the highest F1-score in 9 out of 12 cases of the applications. The TDA-based




Figure 26: The classification results are obtained from the selected methods when we train and test between the overhang distance cases of the turning data set. The selected methods that give the highest accuracy are represented with the 'o' bar hatch and the ones that are in the error band of the highest accuracy are shown with '/' bar hatch. One approach is selected from each category of the methods, and these are Wavelet Packet Transform (WPT), Carlsson Coordinates (TDA-CC), and Dynamic Time Warping (DTW).

	Time -Frequency-Based			TDA-Based				Similarity Measure	
	WPT	EEMD	FPA	TDA-CC	TDA-PI	TDA-TF	TDA-PL	DTW	
Train: 5.08 cm Test: 6.35 cm	0.887 ± 0.088	0.416 ± 0.011	0.886 ± 0.055	0.768 ± 0.036	0.605 ± 0.037	0.715 ± 0.120	0.727 ± 0.081	0.535 ± 0.058	
Train: 5.08 cm Test: 8.89 cm	0.824 ± 0.116	0.477 ± 0.029	0.866 ± 0.074	0.922 ± 0.051	0.610 ± 0.169	0.595 ± 0.110	0.824 ± 0.049	0.649 ± 0.101	
Train: 5.08 cm Test: 11.43 cm	0.846 ± 0.085	0.608 ± 0.022	0.747 ± 0.159	0.606 ± 0.039	0.361 ± 0.049	0.276 ± 0.091	0.534 ± 0.041	0.326 ± 0.037	
Train: 6.35 cm Test: 5.08 cm	0.902 ± 0.035	0.836 ± 0.006	0.876 ± 0.074	0.729 ± 0.030	0.397 ± 0.009	0.399 ± 0.008	0.449 ± 0.124	0.398 ± 0.012	
Train: 6.35 cm Test: 8.89 cm	0.776 ± 0.174	0.573 ± 0.032	0.740 ± 0.189	0.801 ± 0.100	0.301 ± 0.056	0.308 ± 0.052	0.352 ± 0.130	0.313 ± 0.057	
Train: 6.35 cm Test: 11.43 cm	0.846 ± 0.087	0.637 ± 0.007	0.617 ± 0.174	0.537 ± 0.029	0.528 ± 0.028	0.530 ± 0.028	0.524 ± 0.027	0.527 ± 0.026	
Train: 8.89 cm Test: 5.08 cm	0.855 ± 0.062	0.833 ± 0.006	0.788 ± 0.116	0.756 ± 0.046	0.594 ± 0.067	0.497 ± 0.131	0.762 ± 0.054	0.724 ± 0.035	
Train: 8.89 cm Test: 6.35 cm	0.685 ± 0.280	0.546 ± 0.018	0.731 ± 0.161	0.762 ± 0.033	0.661 ± 0.055	0.690 ± 0.214	0.528 ± 0.025	0.357 ± 0.073	
Train: 8.89 cm Test: 11.43 cm	0.845 ± 0.104	0.628 ± 0.010	0.664 ± 0.097	0.581 ± 0.043	0.302 ± 0.141	0.436 ± 0.058	0.541 ± 0.030	0.366 ± 0.082	
Train: 11.43 cm Test: 5.08 cm	0.851 ± 0.065	0.845 ± 0.005	0.813 ± 0.111	0.425 ± 0.050	0.410 ± 0.034	0.355 ± 0.100	0.481 ± 0.114	0.401 ± 0.036	
Train: 11.43 cm Test: 6.35 cm	0.840 ± 0.091	0.504 ± 0.050	0.730 ± 0.172	0.525 ± 0.241	0.081 ± 0.036	0.083 ± 0.043	0.242 ± 0.091	0.163 ± 0.089	
Train: 11.43 cm Test: 8.89 cm	0.907 ± 0.122	0.477 ± 0.027	0.664 ± 0.175	0.493 ± 0.236	0.148 ± 0.117	0.424 ± 0.115	0.385 ± 0.151	0.199 ± 0.152	

Figure 27: The highest F1-Score out of four different classifiers (or out of selected numbers of nearest neighbor for DTW) for each approach used in transfer learning applications between overhang distance cases of turning experiments.




Figure 28: F1-Scores obtained from the selected methods when we train and test between the overhang distance cases of the turning data set. The selected methods that give the highest accuracy are represented with the ‘o’ bar hatch and the ones that are in the error band of the highest accuracy are shown with the ‘/’ bar hatch. One approach is selected from each category of the methods, and these are Wavelet Packet Transform (WPT), Carlsson Coordinates (TDA-CC), and Dynamic Time Warping (DTW).

approach provides the best F1-score three times. WPT again provides the best results with larger deviations compared to the TDA-based approach (see Fig. 28).

5.3 Results of Transfer Learning Applications Between Turning and Milling Data Sets

There are 8 different permutations when we apply transfer learning between the turning and milling operations. The classification scores for four different classifiers are provided in Fig. 38- 40. However, these plots include detailed results within the same application of transfer learning. Therefore, we provide summary plots for these permutations in Fig. 29. It is seen that FFT/PSD/ACF (FPA) gives the highest accuracies between time-frequency-based approaches in most of the transfer learning applications. The results obtained with TDA-based approaches are similar to each other, especially for Carlsson Coordinates (TDA-CC) and Template Functions (TDA-TF). Since the TDA-CC provides the highest accuracy when we train on 6.35 cm overhang distance of turning data set and test on the milling data set, we choose TDA-CC to represent the TDA-based approaches. Accordingly, FPA, TDA-CC, and DTW are compared with respect to classification scores in Fig. 30. Similar figures for F1-Score can also be found in Fig. 41 and 42.

In Ref. [6, 15], the authors mentioned several drawbacks of the time-frequency-based approaches. One of the main drawbacks of these methods is that they require checking the frequency spectrum of each time series manually to decide informative decomposition for WPT and EEMD or the restriction parameters for FPA. In this study, we only performed this manual preprocessing for a couple of time series. This process gets cumbersome as the size of the data set increases. In a real-time application, when a new time series is introduced to a classifier, the frequency spectrum of it and its reconstructed time series obtained from the wavelet packets need to be investigated to find the decomposition whose spectrum has the largest overlap with the signal’s spectrum. On the other hand, the processes for the TDA-based approach and the DTW do not require any parameter selection, and all steps can be completed autonomously.

Based on Fig. 30 and 42, we generated Tab. 5 that shows the number of times when a selected method

gives the highest accuracy (BM) or it is in the error band of the highest accuracy (MIEB). If we only consider the accuracy as the main criterion, the DTW method provides the highest accuracy in three out of eight applications, and it is in the error band of the highest accuracy in two applications. In addition, the results of the TDA-based approach is in the error band in two out of eight applications. Considering the drawbacks of the frequency-based approach and deviations of the results of the frequency-based approach, DTW and TDA-based approaches can be preferred when we apply transfer learning between different machining operations.

Table 5: The number of times when a selected method gives the highest accuracy out of 8 different applications between the cases of turning data set and the milling data set is denoted with BM. The number of times when a method is in the error band of the highest accuracy is denoted with MIEB. These two numbers are provided for accuracy and F1-score.

Method	Accuracy		F1-Score	
	BM	MIEB	BM	MIEB
Time - Frequency-based (FPA)	4	1	6	0
TDA-based (TDA-CC)	1	2	0	4
Similarity Measure (DTW)	3	2	2	1




Figure 29: The highest accuracy out of four different classifiers (or out of selected numbers of nearest neighbor for DTW) for each approach used in transfer learning applications between overhang distance cases of turning and milling experiments.

5.4 Transfer Learning Using Deep Learning

In addition to traditional machine learning algorithms, we also utilized Artificial Neural Networks(ANNs) to test the performance of several approaches. Deep learning frameworks can learn from raw data set without the need of feature extraction. However, Zhao et. al state that inadequate size of data set, noisy raw signal, and complex machining operations make it necessary to preprocess data before feeding it into deep learning algorithms [77]. Therefore, we use some of the features extracted from TDA-based approaches to apply deep learning in transfer learning. Some of the studies in the literature (see Refs. [37, 36]) trained the deep learning algorithms using the simulation data set to eliminate the need for an extensive amount of experimental data set to train the classifier. However, in this work, we only used the existing experimental data and the features




Figure 30: The classification results obtained from the selected methods when we train and test between the overhang distance cases of the turning data set and the milling data set. The selected methods that give the highest accuracy are represented with the 'o' bar hatch and the ones that are in the error band of the highest accuracy are shown with the '/' bar hatch. One approach is selected from each category of the methods, and these are FPA, TDA-CC, and DTW.

extracted from them to train deep learning algorithms to compare the results to traditional machine learning algorithms. We are aware of the fact that we need more observation to have a fair comparison between deep learning-based transfer learning and traditional machine learning based transfer learning. Since we do not split the raw experimental signals into small pieces for Time-Frequency based approaches, we have fewer observations for these approaches. Hence, we do not utilize the features extracted using Time-Frequency based approaches to train deep learning algorithms.

The ANN structure used in this work has one input, three hidden, and one output layer. The number of inputs fed into the input layer is based on the number of features extracted from TDA-based approaches. For instance, Carlsson Coordinates can provide five features for each persistence diagram, so the number of inputs will be five for this approach. The first and last hidden layers have 25 neurons, while the second hidden layer has 12 neurons. The hyperbolic tangent function is used as an activation function in all layers except the output layer. Since the classification output is binary, we have chosen the sigmoid function as the activation function in the output layer. Adam optimization algorithm and binary cross-entropy loss functions are used to compile the ANN. Epoch number and the batch size to update the weights of the fully connected layers are selected as 100 and 5, respectively. We have 12 permutations between the overhang distance cases of the turning data set, and 8 permutations between the turning and milling data set. We used 67% of the training data set as the training set, and 70% of the test set data set to test the ANNs. Train-test split is repeated for 10 different pre-defined random state numbers, and we computed the mean accuracy and standard deviation out of these 10 realizations. The results for transfer learning applications between the overhang distance cases of turning data set is provided in Fig. 31, while Fig. 32 provides the

accuracies with error bands for the transfer learning between the milling and turning data set.




Figure 31: The classification accuracies obtained using Carlsson Coordinates and Persistence Images features with ANN algorithms for the transfer learning between the cases of turning experiments.

From Fig. 31 and 25, it is seen that traditional machine learning algorithms provide better accuracies compared to deep learning in 11 out of 12 different transfer learning applications for Carlsson Coordinates, while deep learning is outperformed in 9 out of 12 applications of transfer learning between the cases of turning data set using persistence images. When we look into Fig. 32 and 29, traditional machine learning algorithms outperform deep Learning in all applications of transfer learning for Carlsson Coordinates. However, deep learning outperforms traditional machine learning in 4 out of 8 applications of transfer learning between the milling and turning data set using persistence images. Overall, it is obvious that the amount of experimental data set fed to deep learning is insufficient, and this leads to poor performance against the traditional machine learning algorithms. In addition, we do not perform hyperparameter tuning for ANNs in this work, this could also be another reason for the poor performance of deep learning.

6 Discussion and Conclusion

The highest scores obtained from the transfer learning applications, between the cases of the turning data, was between 80% and 100%, while that drops to 60% when we train on turning data and test on the milling data. A period-doubling bifurcation was observed in 19 out of 318 time series of milling data and a Hopf bifurcation was observed in the rest of the unstable cases of milling data. On the other hand, the turning data only contains the Hopf bifurcation. When we train on the turning data set, the classification model is not trained to recognize the descriptors of period-doubling bifurcation, so it performs poorly when it is tested on milling data. On the other hand, a classifier is trained with both features of Hopf and period-doubling when the milling data is used as the training set. This explains why training on milling data and testing on turning data set performs better. In addition, the mathematical model for the milling process has time-varying coefficients, while the turning process has an autonomous system. Since the coefficients are constant in turning processes, this can lead to misclassification when the classifier is tested on milling processes with time-varying coefficients.

This study compared the performance of feature extraction methods from established methods alongside those recently proposed in the literature. Turning and milling data sets were used to evaluate the performance of each method. The size of the training sets and the test sets were kept the same for each method. Since the training set data and test data are different from each other, we used 67% and 70% of the training set




Figure 32: The classification accuracies obtained using Carlsson Coordinates and Persistence Images features with ANN algorithms for the transfer learning between the milling and turning experiments.

and test data to train and test a classifier, respectively. Ten random state numbers were used to generate training and test splits, and these were used to train and test a classifier for each method. The average and standard deviation of the 10 realizations were computed and the final results were reported. This has been repeated for all 20 combinations between the milling data and overhang cases of turning data.

To compare the results, we provided two types of figures for each comparison criterion. Fig. 25, 26, 29, and 30 were obtained when the criterion was accuracy, while Fig. 27, 28, 41, and 42 were given for the F1-score. It can be seen that the time-frequency-based approaches give the highest accuracy in most of the applications of transfer learning with larger deviations in comparison to the TDA-based approach and DTW. When we only consider the transfer learning between the milling and turning data sets, we see that the accuracies obtained from DTW can be as high as 96% while the time-frequency-based approaches can be up to 86% (see Fig. 29). For the same cases of transfer learning, the highest score obtained from TDA is 73% (see Fig. 29). For the transfer learning applications where we train and test between the cases of turning, the time-frequency-based approach has the highest accuracy of 93%; the best score for the TDA approach and DTW are 97% and 96%, respectively (see Fig. 25). We also compared the results of traditional machine learning algorithms to the ones obtained from ANNs. It is seen that insufficient experimental data set leads to poor results against traditional machine learning approaches. The small size of the experimental data set also avoid us to compare different techniques to each other using deep learning algorithms. In this work, we were only able to compare several TDA-based approaches to each other. Using synthetic data sets generated using the analytical model of milling and turning operations can allow us to further extend the comparison of more approaches using deep learning frameworks in the future.

In summary, the TDA-based and DTW approaches can provide accuracies and F1-scores as high as the time-frequency-based methods. DTW outperforms all other methods when training on the milling data set and testing on the turning data set. In addition, the TDA-based approach and DTW can be applied without needing manual preprocessing, all of the steps in their pipeline can be completed automatically. Therefore, these approaches may be preferred over the time-frequency-based approaches in either real-time or in fully automated chatter detection schemes. It is worth noting that we have not performed any optimization on hyperparameters of the traditional machine learning and deep learning algorithms. Thus future studies should also consider the effect of hyperparameter tuning.

Acknowledgement

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant Nos. CMMI-1759823 and DMS-1759824 with PI FAK.

Appendices




Figure 33: Classification accuracies obtained from transfer learning applications for turning experiment case with 5.08 cm overhang distance . (left) Training: 5.08 cm Test: 6.35 cm (middle) Training: 5.08 cm Test: 8.89 cm, (right) Training: 5.08 cm Test: 11.43 cm. CC: Carlsson Coordinates, PI: Persistence Images, PL: Persistence Landscapes, TF: Template Functions, WPT: Wavelet Packet Transform, EEMD: Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition, FPA: FFT/PSD/ACF, SVM: Support Vector Machine, RF: Random Forest, GB: Gradient Boosting. The results for TDA-PL implementation with Gradient Boosting classifier (GB) is not available due to large amount of time training and testing. Therefore, it represents an empty box in the figure.




Figure 34: Classification accuracies obtained from transfer learning applications for turning experiment case with 6.35 cm overhang distance . (left) Training: 6.35 cm Test: 5.08 cm (middle) Training: 6.35 cm Test: 8.89 cm, (right) Training: 6.35 cm Test: 11.43 cm. CC: Carlsson Coordinates, PI: Persistence Images, PL: Persistence Landscapes, TF: Template Functions, WPT: Wavelet Packet Transform, EEMD: Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition, FPA: FFT/PSD/ACF, SVM: Support Vector Machine, RF: Random Forest, GB: Gradient Boosting. The results for TDA-PL implementation with Gradient Boosting classifier (GB) is not available due to large amount of time training and testing. Therefore, it represents an empty box in the figure.




Figure 35: Classification accuracies obtained from transfer learning applications for turning experiment case with 8.89 cm overhang distance . (left) Training: 8.89 cm Test: 5.08 cm (middle) Training: 8.89 cm Test: 6.35 cm, (right) Training: 8.89 cm Test: 11.43 cm. CC: Carlsson Coordinates, PI: Persistence Images, PL: Persistence Landscapes, TF: Template Functions, WPT: Wavelet Packet Transform, EEMD: Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition, FPA: FFT/PSD/ACF, SVM: Support Vector Machine, RF: Random Forest, GB: Gradient Boosting. The results for TDA-PL implementation with Gradient Boosting classifier (GB) is not available due to large amount of time training and testing. Therefore, it represents an empty box in the figure.




Figure 36: Classification accuracies obtained from transfer learning applications for turning experiment case with 11.43 cm overhang distance . (left) Training: 11.43 cm Test: 5.08 cm (middle) Training: 11.43 cm Test: 6.35 cm, (right) Training: 11.43 cm Test: 8.89 cm. CC: Carlsson Coordinates, PI: Persistence Images, PL: Persistence Landscapes, TF: Template Functions, WPT: Wavelet Packet Transform, EEMD: Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition, FPA: FFT/PSD/ACF, SVM: Support Vector Machine, RF: Random Forest, GB: Gradient Boosting. The results for TDA-PL implementation with Gradient Boosting classifier (GB) is not available due to large amount of time training and testing. Therefore, it represents an empty box in the figure.




Figure 37: Classification accuracies obtained from transfer learning applications for turning data set using DTW approach with $K = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, where K represent the nearest neighbor number. Overhang distances used as training and testing data set are shown in y -axis.




Figure 38: Classification accuracies obtained from transfer learning applications when milling data set is used as training set and turning data set is used as test set. CC: Carlsson Coordinates, PI: Persistence Images, PL: Persistence Landscapes, TF: Template Functions, WPT: Wavelet Packet Transform, EEMD: Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition, FPA: FFT/PSD/ACF, SVM: Support Vector Machine, RF: Random Forest, GB: Gradient Boosting. The results for TDA-PL implementation with Gradient Boosting classifier (GB) is not available due to large amount of time training and testing. Therefore, it represents an empty box in the figure.




Figure 39: Classification accuracies obtained from transfer learning applications when milling data set is used as test set and turning data set is used as training set. CC: Carlsson Coordinates, PI: Persistence Images, PL: Persistence Landscapes, TF: Template Functions, WPT: Wavelet Packet Transform, EEMD: Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition, FPA: FFT/PSD/ACF, SVM: Support Vector Machine, RF: Random Forest, GB: Gradient Boosting. The results for TDA-PL implementation with Gradient Boosting classifier (GB) is not available due to large amount of time training and testing. Therefore, it represents an empty box in the figure.




Figure 40: Classification accuracies obtained from transfer learning applications between turning and milling data sets using DTW approach with $K = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, where K represent the nearest neighbor number. Overhang distances (OD.) used as training or testing data set are shown in y -axis.

	Time - Frequency-Based			TDA-Based				Similarity Measure
	WPT	EEMD	FPA	TDA-CC	TDA-PI	TDA-TF	TDA-PL	DTW
Train: 5.08 cm Test: Milling	0.655 ± 0.124	0.712 ± 0.009	0.698 ± 0.056	0.653 ± 0.012	0.567 ± 0.012	0.712 ± 0.009	0.544 ± 0.075	0.585 ± 0.046
Train: 6.35 cm Test: Milling	0.692 ± 0.040	0.712 ± 0.011	0.663 ± 0.111	0.710 ± 0.010	0.698 ± 0.011	0.704 ± 0.012	0.596 ± 0.049	0.710 ± 0.010
Train: 8.89 cm Test: Milling	0.697 ± 0.039	0.712 ± 0.009	0.623 ± 0.049	0.591 ± 0.182	0.258 ± 0.041	0.606 ± 0.198	0.339 ± 0.047	0.002 ± 0.005
Train: 11.43 cm Test: Milling	0.692 ± 0.040	0.712 ± 0.009	0.510 ± 0.096	0.473 ± 0.187	0.178 ± 0.125	0.284 ± 0.121	0.612 ± 0.029	0.000 ± 0.000
Train: Milling Test: 5.08 cm	0.650 ± 0.060	0.468 ± 0.154	0.729 ± 0.101	0.481 ± 0.043	0.399 ± 0.007	0.381 ± 0.009	0.449 ± 0.025	0.897 ± 0.036
Train: Milling Test: 6.35 cm	0.651 ± 0.056	0.682 ± 0.005	0.787 ± 0.058	0.700 ± 0.073	0.474 ± 0.026	0.291 ± 0.041	0.591 ± 0.061	0.719 ± 0.043
Train: Milling Test: 8.89 cm	0.666 ± 0.073	0.500 ± 0.052	0.792 ± 0.086	0.386 ± 0.102	0.311 ± 0.053	0.311 ± 0.053	0.348 ± 0.069	0.731 ± 0.113
Train: Milling Test: 11.43 cm	0.655 ± 0.060	0.566 ± 0.154	0.681 ± 0.095	0.529 ± 0.026	0.525 ± 0.028	0.525 ± 0.028	0.525 ± 0.028	0.430 ± 0.044

Figure 41: The highest F1-score out of four different classifier (or out of selected numbers of nearest neighbor for DTW) for each approach used in transfer learning applications between overhang distance cases of the turning and milling experiments.




Figure 42: F1-Scores obtained from the selected methods when we train and test between the overhang distance cases of the turning data set and the milling data set. The selected methods that give the highest accuracy are represented with 'o' bar hatch and the ones which are in the error band of the highest accuracy are shown with '/' bar hatch. One approach is selected from each category of the methods, and these are FPA, TDA-CC and DTW.

References

- [1] F. W. Taylor, *On the art of cutting metals*, vol. 23. American society of mechanical engineers, 1906.
- [2] Y. Altintas and M. Weck, “Chatter stability of metal cutting and grinding,” *CIRP Annals*, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 619–642, 2004.
- [3] G. Quintana and J. Ciurana, “Chatter in machining processes: A review,” *International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture*, vol. 51, pp. 363–376, may 2011.
- [4] T. Choi and Y. C. Shin, “On-line chatter detection using wavelet-based parameter estimation,” *Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering*, vol. 125, pp. 21–28, feb 2003.
- [5] Z. Yao, D. Mei, and Z. Chen, “On-line chatter detection and identification based on wavelet and support vector machine,” *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, vol. 210, pp. 713–719, mar 2010.
- [6] M. C. Yesilli, F. A. Khasawneh, and A. Otto, “On transfer learning for chatter detection in turning using wavelet packet transform and ensemble empirical mode decomposition,” *CIRP Journal of Manufacturing Science and Technology*, vol. 28, pp. 118–135, jan 2020.
- [7] Y. Chen, H. Li, L. Hou, J. Wang, and X. Bu, “An intelligent chatter detection method based on EEMD and feature selection with multi-channel vibration signals,” *Measurement*, vol. 127, pp. 356–365, oct 2018.
- [8] Y. Ji, X. Wang, Z. Liu, H. Wang, L. Jiao, D. Wang, and S. Leng, “Early milling chatter identification by improved empirical mode decomposition and multi-indicator synthetic evaluation,” *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 433, pp. 138–159, oct 2018.
- [9] H. Cao, Y. Lei, and Z. He, “Chatter identification in end milling process using wavelet packets and hilbert–huang transform,” *International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture*, vol. 69, pp. 11–19, jun 2013.
- [10] S. Qian, Y. Sun, and Z. Xiong, “Intelligent chatter detection based on wavelet packet node energy and LSSVM-RFE,” in *2015 IEEE International Conference on Advanced Intelligent Mechatronics (AIM)*, IEEE, jul 2015.
- [11] Z. Zhang, H. Li, G. Meng, X. Tu, and C. Cheng, “Chatter detection in milling process based on the energy entropy of VMD and WPD,” *International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture*, vol. 108, pp. 106–112, sep 2016.
- [12] G. S. Chen and Q. Z. Zheng, “Online chatter detection of the end milling based on wavelet packet transform and support vector machine recursive feature elimination,” *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, vol. 95, pp. 775–784, nov 2017.
- [13] X. Li, Z. H. Yao, and Z. C. Chen, “An effective EMD-based feature extraction method for boring chatter recognition,” *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, vol. 34-35, pp. 1058–1063, oct 2010.
- [14] O. Gonzalez-Brambila, E. Rubio, J. C. Jáuregui, and G. Herrera-Ruiz, “Chattering detection in cylindrical grinding processes using the wavelet transform,” *International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture*, vol. 46, no. 15, pp. 1934–1938, 2006.
- [15] M. C. Yesilli and F. A. Khasawneh, “On transfer learning of traditional frequency and time domain features in turning,” in *Volume 2: Manufacturing Processes; Manufacturing Systems; Nano/Micro/Meso Manufacturing; Quality and Reliability*, American Society of Mechanical Engineers, sep 2020.
- [16] J. Gradišek, A. Baus, E. Govekar, F. Klocke, and I. Grabec, “Automatic chatter detection in grinding,” *International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture*, vol. 43, no. 14, pp. 1397–1403, 2003.
- [17] D. Aslan and Y. Altintas, “On-line chatter detection in milling using drive motor current commands extracted from cnc,” *International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture*, vol. 132, pp. 64–80, 2018.

- [18] K. Li, S. He, B. Li, H. Liu, X. Mao, and C. Shi, "A novel online chatter detection method in milling process based on multiscale entropy and gradient tree boosting," *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 135, p. 106385, 2020.
- [19] P. Albertelli, L. Braghieri, M. Torta, and M. Monno, "Development of a generalized chatter detection methodology for variable speed machining," *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 123, pp. 26–42, 2019.
- [20] H. Caliskan, Z. M. Kilic, and Y. Altintas, "On-line energy-based milling chatter detection," *Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering*, vol. 140, no. 11, p. 111012, 2018.
- [21] S. Wan, X. Li, Y. Yin, and J. Hong, "Milling chatter detection by multi-feature fusion and adaboost-svm," *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 156, p. 107671, 2021.
- [22] M. C. Yesilli, F. A. Khasawneh, and A. Otto, "Chatter detection in turning using machine learning and similarity measures of time series via dynamic time warping," Unpublished results.
- [23] F. A. Khasawneh, E. Munch, and J. A. Perea, "Chatter classification in turning using machine learning and topological data analysis," *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, vol. 51, no. 14, pp. 195–200, 2018.
- [24] F. A. Khasawneh and E. Munch, "Chatter detection in turning using persistent homology," *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 70–71, pp. 527–541, mar 2016.
- [25] M. C. Yesilli, F. A. Khasawneh, and A. Otto, "Topological feature vectors for chatter detection in turning processes," *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, pp. 1–27, 2022.
- [26] M. C. Yesilli, S. Tymochko, F. A. Khasawneh, and E. Munch, "Chatter diagnosis in milling using supervised learning and topological features vector," in *2019 18th IEEE International Conference On Machine Learning And Applications (ICMLA)*, pp. 1211–1218, IEEE, dec 2019.
- [27] I. Tansel, A. Wagiman, and A. Tziranis, "Recognition of chatter with neural networks," *International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture*, vol. 31, pp. 539–552, jan 1991.
- [28] M. Lamraoui, M. Barakat, M. Thomas, and M. E. Badaoui, "Chatter detection in milling machines by neural network classification and feature selection," *Journal of Vibration and Control*, vol. 21, pp. 1251–1266, aug 2013.
- [29] Y. Fu, Y. Zhang, H. Qiao, D. Li, H. Zhou, and J. Leopold, "Analysis of feature extracting ability for cutting state monitoring using deep belief networks," *Procedia CIRP*, vol. 31, pp. 29–34, 2015.
- [30] M.-Q. Tran, M.-K. Liu, and Q.-V. Tran, "Milling chatter detection using scalogram and deep convolutional neural network," *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, vol. 107, pp. 1505–1516, mar 2020.
- [31] J. Wu, Y. Su, Y. Cheng, X. Shao, C. Deng, and C. Liu, "Multi-sensor information fusion for remaining useful life prediction of machining tools by adaptive network based fuzzy inference system," *Applied Soft Computing*, vol. 68, pp. 13–23, 2018.
- [32] E. G. Plaza, P. N. López, and E. B. González, "Efficiency of vibration signal feature extraction for surface finish monitoring in cnc machining," *Journal of Manufacturing Processes*, vol. 44, pp. 145–157, 2019.
- [33] C.-C. Chen, M.-H. Hung, B. Suryajaya, Y.-C. Lin, H.-C. Yang, H.-C. Huang, and F.-T. Cheng, "A novel efficient big data processing scheme for feature extraction in electrical discharge machining," *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 910–917, 2019.
- [34] Y. Cheng, H. Zhu, K. Hu, J. Wu, X. Shao, and Y. Wang, "Multisensory data-driven health degradation monitoring of machining tools by generalized multiclass support vector machine," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 47102–47113, 2019.

- [35] Cherukuri, Perez-Bernabeu, Selles, and Schmitz, “Machining chatter prediction using a data learning model,” *Journal of Manufacturing and Materials Processing*, vol. 3, p. 45, jun 2019.
- [36] M. Postel, B. Bugdayci, and K. Wegener, “Ensemble transfer learning for refining stability predictions in milling using experimental stability states,” *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, vol. 107, no. 9, pp. 4123–4139, 2020.
- [37] H. O. Unver and B. Sener, “A novel transfer learning framework for chatter detection using convolutional neural networks,” *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, pp. 1–20, 2021.
- [38] W. Li and Y. Liang, “Deep transfer learning based diagnosis for machining process lifecycle,” *Procedia CIRP*, vol. 90, pp. 642–647, 2020.
- [39] J. Wu, Z. Zhao, C. Sun, R. Yan, and X. Chen, “Few-shot transfer learning for intelligent fault diagnosis of machine,” *Measurement*, vol. 166, p. 108202, dec 2020.
- [40] Y.-M. Kim, S.-J. Shin, and H.-W. Cho, “Predictive modeling for machining power based on multi-source transfer learning in metal cutting,” *International Journal of Precision Engineering and Manufacturing-Green Technology*, mar 2021.
- [41] H. Mamledesai, M. A. Soriano, and R. Ahmad, “A qualitative tool condition monitoring framework using convolution neural network and transfer learning,” *Applied Sciences*, vol. 10, p. 7298, oct 2020.
- [42] M. Marei, S. El Zaatari, and W. Li, “Transfer learning enabled convolutional neural networks for estimating health state of cutting tools,” *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, vol. 71, p. 102145, 2021.
- [43] J. Wang, B. Zou, M. Liu, Y. Li, H. Ding, and K. Xue, “Milling force prediction model based on transfer learning and neural network,” *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, vol. 32, pp. 947–956, 2021.
- [44] P. Wang and R. X. Gao, “Transfer learning for enhanced machine fault diagnosis in manufacturing,” *CIRP Annals*, vol. 69, no. 1, pp. 413–416, 2020.
- [45] Y. Kim, T. Kim, B. D. Youn, and S.-H. Ahn, “Machining quality monitoring (mqm) in laser-assisted micro-milling of glass using cutting force signals: An image-based deep transfer learning,” *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, pp. 1–16, 2021.
- [46] Z. Gao, Q. Hu, and X. Xu, “Condition monitoring and life prediction of the turning tool based on extreme learning machine and transfer learning,” *Neural Computing and Applications*, pp. 1–12, 2021.
- [47] W. Dai, Q. Yang, G.-R. Xue, and Y. Yu, “Boosting for transfer learning,” in *Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML ’07*, (New York, NY, USA), p. 193–200, Association for Computing Machinery, 2007.
- [48] F. Shen, C. Chen, R. Yan, and R. X. Gao, “Bearing fault diagnosis based on svd feature extraction and transfer learning classification,” in *2015 Prognostics and System Health Management Conference (PHM)*, pp. 1–6, IEEE, 2015.
- [49] G. Chen, Y. Li, and X. Liu, “Pose-dependent tool tip dynamics prediction using transfer learning,” *International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture*, vol. 137, pp. 30–41, 2019.
- [50] B. P. Mann, N. K. Garg, K. A. Young, and A. M. Helvey, “Milling bifurcations from structural asymmetry and nonlinear regeneration,” *Nonlinear Dynamics*, vol. 42, pp. 319–337, dec 2005.
- [51] B. P. Mann, K. A. Young, T. L. Schmitz, and D. N. Dille, “Simultaneous stability and surface location error predictions in milling,” *Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering*, vol. 127, pp. 446–453, jul 2004.
- [52] F. A. Khasawneh and B. P. Mann, “A spectral element approach for the stability of delay systems,” *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, vol. 87, no. 6, pp. 566–592, 2011.

- [53] S. J. Pan and Q. Yang, “A survey on transfer learning,” *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, vol. 22, pp. 1345–1359, oct 2010.
- [54] F. Zhuang, Z. Qi, K. Duan, D. Xi, Y. Zhu, H. Zhu, H. Xiong, and Q. He, “A comprehensive survey on transfer learning,” *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 109, no. 1, pp. 43–76, 2020.
- [55] K. Weiss, T. M. Khoshgoftaar, and D. Wang, “A survey of transfer learning,” *Journal of Big Data*, vol. 3, may 2016.
- [56] J. Lu, V. Behbood, P. Hao, H. Zuo, S. Xue, and G. Zhang, “Transfer learning using computational intelligence: A survey,” *Knowledge-Based Systems*, vol. 80, pp. 14–23, may 2015.
- [57] N. E. Huang, Z. Shen, S. R. Long, M. C. Wu, H. H. Shih, Q. Zheng, N.-C. Yen, C. C. Tung, and H. H. Liu, “The empirical mode decomposition and the hilbert spectrum for nonlinear and non-stationary time series analysis,” *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, vol. 454, pp. 903–995, mar 1998.
- [58] Z. WU and N. E. HUANG, “ENSEMBLE EMPIRICAL MODE DECOMPOSITION: A NOISE-ASSISTED DATA ANALYSIS METHOD,” *Advances in Adaptive Data Analysis*, vol. 01, pp. 1–41, jan 2009.
- [59] D. J. Berndt and J. Clifford, “Using dynamic time warping to find patterns in time series.,” in *KDD workshop*, vol. 10, pp. 359–370, Seattle, WA, 1994.
- [60] H. Sakoe and S. Chiba, “Dynamic programming algorithm optimization for spoken word recognition,” *IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing*, vol. 26, pp. 43–49, feb 1978.
- [61] R. Ghrist, “Barcodes: The persistent topology of data,” *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 45, pp. 61–76, oct 2007.
- [62] G. Carlsson, “Topology and data,” *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 46, pp. 255–308, jan 2009.
- [63] H. Edelsbrunner and J. Harer, *Computational topology: an introduction*. American Mathematical Soc., 2010.
- [64] S. Y. Oudot, *Persistence theory: from quiver representations to data analysis*, vol. 209. American Mathematical Society Providence, 2015.
- [65] J. R. Munkres, *Elements of algebraic topology*. CRC press, 2018.
- [66] E. Munch, “A user’s guide to topological data analysis,” *Journal of Learning Analytics*, vol. 4, jul 2017.
- [67] F. Takens, “Detecting strange attractors in turbulence,” in *Dynamical systems and turbulence, Warwick 1980*, pp. 366–381, Springer, 1981.
- [68] C. Tralie, N. Saul, and R. Bar-On, “Ripser.py: A lean persistent homology library for python,” *The Journal of Open Source Software*, vol. 3, p. 925, Sep 2018.
- [69] H. D. Abarbanel, T. Carroll, L. Pecora, J. Sidorowich, and L. S. Tsimring, “Predicting physical variables in time-delay embedding,” *Physical Review E*, vol. 49, no. 3, p. 1840, 1994.
- [70] M. B. Kennel, R. Brown, and H. D. Abarbanel, “Determining embedding dimension for phase-space reconstruction using a geometrical construction,” *Physical review A*, vol. 45, no. 6, p. 3403, 1992.
- [71] P. J. Rousseeuw, “Least median of squares regression,” *Journal of the American statistical association*, vol. 79, no. 388, pp. 871–880, 1984.
- [72] A. Adcock, E. Carlsson, and G. Carlsson, “The ring of algebraic functions on persistence bar codes,” *Homology, Homotopy and Applications*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 381–402, 2016.

- [73] H. Adams, T. Emerson, M. Kirby, R. Neville, C. Peterson, P. Shipman, S. Chepushtanova, E. Hanson, F. Motta, and L. Ziegelmeier, “Persistence images: A stable vector representation of persistent homology,” *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, vol. 18, 2017.
- [74] P. Bubenik and P. Dłotko, “A persistence landscapes toolbox for topological statistics,” *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, vol. 78, pp. 91–114, jan 2017.
- [75] E. Berry, Y.-C. Chen, J. Cisewski-Kehe, and B. T. Fasy, “Functional summaries of persistence diagrams,” *Journal of Applied and Computational Topology*, vol. 4, pp. 211–262, mar 2020.
- [76] J. A. Perea, E. Munch, and F. A. Khasawneh, “Approximating continuous functions on persistence diagrams using template functions,” Unpublished results.
- [77] R. Zhao, R. Yan, Z. Chen, K. Mao, P. Wang, and R. X. Gao, “Deep learning and its applications to machine health monitoring,” *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 115, pp. 213–237, 2019.