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#### Abstract

The free metaplectic transformation (FMT) or the nonseparable linear canonical transformation (NSLCT) has gained much popularity in recent times because of its various application in signal processing, paraxial optical systems, digital algorithms, optical encryption and so on. However, the NSLCT is inadequate for localized analysis of non-transient signals, as such, it is imperative to introduce a unique localized transform coined as the short-time nonseparable linear canonical transformation (ST-NSLCT). In this paper, we investigate the ST-NSLCT. Firstly, we propose the definition of the ST-NSLCT, and provide the time-frequency analysis of the proposed transform in the NSLCT domain. Secondly, we investigate the basic properties of the proposed transform including the reconstruction formula, Moyal's formula. The emergence of the ST-NSLCT definition and its properties broadens the development of time-frequency representation of higher-dimensional signals theory to a certain extent. Finally, we extend some different uncertainty principles (UP) from quantum mechanics including Lieb's inequality, Pitt's inequality, Hausdorff-Young inequality, Heisenberg's uncertainty principle, Hardy's UP, Beurling's UP, Logarithmic UP, and Nazarov's UP.
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## 1. Introduction

The free metaplectic transformation (FMT) also known as the nonseparable linear canonical transformation (NSLCT) is an n-dimensional linear canonical transformation (LCT) first studied in [1], is widely used in many fields such as filter design, pattern recognition, optics and analyzing the propagation of electromagnetic waves [2, 3, 4, 5]. The theory of NSLCT involving a general $2 n \times 2 n$ real, symplectic matrix $\mathbf{M}=(A, B: C, D)$ with $n(2 n+1)$ degrees of freedom [6, 7]. The NSLCT embodies several signal processing tools ranging from the classical Fourier, Fresnel transform, and even the fundamental operations of quadratic phase factor multiplication [8, 9, 11]. The metaplectic operator or the NSLCT of any function $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with the real free symplectic matrix $\mathbf{M}=(A, B$ : $C, D)$ is given by 9,32

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}}[f](w)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x) \mathcal{K}_{M}(x, w) d x \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}}(x, w)$ denotes the kernel and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}}(x, w)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n / 2} \sqrt{|\operatorname{det}(B)|}} e^{\frac{i}{2}\left(w^{T} D B^{-1} w-2 w^{T} B^{-T} x+x^{T} B^{-1} A x\right)}, \quad x, w \in \mathbb{R}^{n},|\operatorname{det}(B)| \neq 0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The arbitrary real parameters involved in (1.1) are of great importance for the efficient analysis of the inescapable signals i.e. the chirp-like signals. Due to the extra degrees of freedom, the NSLCT has been successfully employed in diverse problems arising in
various branches of science and engineering, such as harmonic analysis, optical systems, reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces, quantum mechanics,image processing, sampling and so on [10, 12, 13]. However, NSLCT has a drawback. Due to its global kernel it is not suitable for processing the signals with varying frequency content [14, 15]. The short-time non-separable linear canonical transform (ST-NSLCT) with a local window function can efficiently localize the frequency spectrum of non-transient signals in the non-separable LCT domain, hence overcomes this drawback. Taking this opportunity, our goal is to introduce the notion of short-time non-separable linear canonical transform, which is a generalized version of NSLCT and endowed with higher degrees of freedom resulting in an efficient localized analysis of chirp signals.

Let us now move to the side of uncertainty inequality. Uncertainty principle was introduced by German physicists Heisenberg [16] in 1927 which is known as the heart of any ignal processing tool. With the passage of time researchers further extended the uncertainty principle to different types of new uncertainty principles associated with the Fourier transform, for instance Heisenberg's uncertainty principle, Logarithmic uncertainty principle, Hardy's uncertainty principle and Beurling's uncertainty principle[see[17]-[22]]. Later these uncertainty principles were extended to LCT and its generalized domains [23]-[31]. In [32] authors proposed uncertainty principles associated with the NSLCT and in [33] authors establish uncertainty principles for the non-separable linear canonical wavelet transform. Keeping in view the fact that the theory of ST-NSLCT and associated uncertainty principles is yet to be investigated exclusively; therefore, it is both theoretically interesting and practically useful to study the properties of ST-NSLCT and formulate some new uncertainty inequalities pertaining to it.

The highlights of the article are pointed out below:

- To introduce a novel integral transform coined as the short-time non-separable linear canonical transform.
- To study the fundamental properties of the proposed transform, including the Moyal's formula, boundedness and inversion formula.
- To establish the Pitt's inequality, Lieb inequality and Hausdorff-Young inequality associated with the ST-NSLCT.
- To formulate the Heisenberg's, Logarithmic and Nazarov's uncertainty principles.
- To formulate the Hardy's and Beurling's uncertainty principles for the ST-NSLCT.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we discuss some preliminary results and definitions which will be used in subsequent sections. In section 3, we formally introduced the definition of short-time non-separable linear canonical transform (ST-NSLCT). Then we investigated several basic properties of the ST-NSLCT which are important for signal representation in signal processing. In Section 4, we extend some different uncertainty principles (UP's) from quantum mechanics including Lieb's, Pitt's UP, Heisenberg's uncertainty principle, Hausdorff-Young, Hardy's UP, Beurling's UP, Logarithmic UP, and Nazarov's UP which have already been well studied in the NSLCT(FMT) domain.

## 2. Preliminary

This section give some useful definitions and lemmas about the multi-dimensional Fourier transform and non-separable linear canonical transform.
2.1. Multi-dimensional Fourier transform (FT). For any $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ the n-dimensional Fourier transform (FT) of $f(x)$ is given by [32]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}[f](w)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n / 2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x) e^{-i w^{T} x} d x \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its inversion is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n / 2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathcal{F}[f](w) e^{i w^{T} x} d w \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, w=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Based on the definition of short-time Fourier transform (STFT) 34], we can define ndimensional STFT as:

Definition 2.1. Let $\phi$ be a window function in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then for any $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ the $n$-dimensional short-time Fourier transform (STFT) of $f(x)$ with respect to the window function $\phi$ is given by [33]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}_{\phi}[f](w, u)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n / 2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)} e^{-i w^{T} x} d x \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.2. The non-separable linear canonical transform. For typographical convenience, we shall denote a $2 n \times 2 n$ matrix $\mathbf{M}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & B \\ C & D\end{array}\right)$ as $\mathbf{M}=(A, B: C, D)$, where $A, B, C$ and $D$ are real $n \times n$ sub-matrices. Moreover, we recall that the matrix $\mathbf{M}$ is said to be a free symplectic matrix if $\mathbf{M}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \mathbf{M}=\boldsymbol{\Omega}$, and $|\operatorname{det}(B)| \neq 0$, where $\boldsymbol{\Omega}=\left(0, I_{n}:-I_{n}, 0\right)$ and $I_{n}$ represents the $n \times n$ identity matrix. The sub-matrices of $\mathbf{M}$ satisfying the following constraints:

$$
A B^{T}=B A^{T}, \quad C D^{T}=D C^{T}, \quad A D^{T}-B C^{T}=I_{n}
$$

The transpose of $\mathbf{M}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & B \\ C & D\end{array}\right)$ is given by $\mathbf{M}^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}A^{T} & C^{T} \\ B^{T} & D^{T}\end{array}\right)$.
Also inverse of the free symplectic matrix is given by $\mathbf{M}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}D^{T} & -B^{T} \\ -C^{T} & A^{T}\end{array}\right)$. It is clear that $\mathbf{M M}^{-\mathbf{1}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}I_{n} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n}\end{array}\right)$.

Definition 2.2 (NSLCT). 39] The non-separable linear canonical transform or the free metaplectic transform of a function $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with respect $2 n \times 2 n$ real, free symplectic matrix $\mathbf{M}=(A, B: C, D)$ (with $|\operatorname{det}(B)| \neq 0$ ) is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}}[f](w)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x) \mathcal{K}_{M}(x, w) d x \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}}(x, w)$ denotes the kernel and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}}(x, w)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n / 2} \sqrt{|\operatorname{det}(B)|}} e^{\frac{i}{2}\left(w^{T} D B^{-1} w-2 w^{T} B^{-T} x+x^{T} B^{-1} A x\right)} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, w=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Definition 2.3. Suppose $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then the inversion of the non-separable linear canonical transform of $f$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}^{-1}}\left\{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}}[f](w)\right\}(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}}[f](w) \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}^{-1}}(w, x) d w \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{M}^{-1}=\left(D^{T},-B^{T}:-C^{T}, A^{T}\right)$.
For real, symplectic matrix $\mathbf{M}=(A, B: C, D)$, the non-separable linear canonical transform kernel (2.5) satisfies the following important properties:
(i) $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}^{-1}}(w, x)=\overline{\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}}(x, w)}$,
(ii) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}}(x, w) \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}^{-1}}(z, x) d x=\delta(z-w)$.
(iii) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}}(x, w) \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{N}}(x, z) d x=\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M N}}(w, z)$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $f, g \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then the non-separable linear canonical transform satisfies the following parseval's formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle f, g\rangle_{2}=\left\langle\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}}[f], \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}}[g]\right\rangle_{2} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $f=g$, one have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)}^{2}=\left\|\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}}[f]\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)}^{2} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The non-separable linear canonical transform (defined in (2.4)) of a function $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ can be computed via associated n-dimensional FT, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}}[f](w)=\frac{e^{\frac{i\left(w^{T} D B^{-1} w\right)}{2}}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{det}(B)}} \mathcal{F}\left\{e^{\frac{i\left(x^{T} B^{-1} A x\right)}{2}} f(x)\right\}\left(B^{-1} w\right), \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}\{f\}(w)$ represents the n-dimensional FT defined in (2.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}\{f\}(w)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n / 2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x) e^{-i w^{T} x} d x \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq.((2.9) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\frac{-i\left(w^{T} D B^{-1} w\right)}{2}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}}[f](w)=\mathcal{F}\{H\}\left(B^{-1} w\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H(x)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\operatorname{det}(B)}} e^{\frac{i\left(x^{T} B^{-1} A x\right)}{2}} f(x) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.2. Let $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $H(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\operatorname{det}(B)}} e^{\frac{i\left(x^{T} B^{-1} A x\right)}{2}} f(x)$, then we have following relationship between n-dimensional FT and NSLCT

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathcal{F}[H](w)|=\left|\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}}[f](B w)\right| . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proof follows by changing $w=B w$ and taking modulus in (2.11).

Remark 2.1. : If we change $w=B w$ and take modulus in (2.9), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{F}\left[H_{0}\right](w)\right|=\sqrt{\operatorname{det}(B)}\left|\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}}[f](B w)\right|, \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{0}(x)=e^{\frac{i\left(x^{T} B^{-1} A x\right)}{2}} f(x)$.

## 3. The Short-time Non-separable Linear Canonical Transform

In this section, we introduce the novel short-time non-separable linear canonical transform (ST-NSLCT) and discuss several basic properties of the ST-NSLCT. These properties play important roles in signal representation of multi-dimensional signals.

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathbf{M}=(A, B: C, D)$ be a real, free symplectic matrix. Let $\phi$ be a window function in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, the short-time non-separable linear canonical transform (STNSLCT) of the function $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with respect to $\phi$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathbf{M}}[f](w, u)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}}(x, w) d x \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, w=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}}(x, w)$ is given by (2.5).

In terms of classic convolution, formula (3.1) can be written as

$$
\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathbf{M}}[f](w, u)=\left(f(u) \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}}(u, w)\right) * \overline{\phi(-u)}
$$

Also by applying the properties of non-separable linear canonical transform, (3.1) can be rewritten in the form of an inner product as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)=\left\langle f, \Psi_{x, w, u}^{\mathrm{M}}\right\rangle \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Psi_{x, w, u}^{\mathrm{M}}=\phi(x-u) \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}}(u, w)$.
The prolificacy of the short-time non-separable linear canonical transform given in Definition 3.1 can be ascertained from the following important deductions:
(i) When $\mathbf{M}=\left(I_{n} \cos \alpha, I_{n} \sin \alpha:-I_{n} \sin \alpha, I_{n} \cos \alpha\right)$ the ST-NSLCT (3.1) yields the n-dimensional non-separable short-time fractional Fourier transform:

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\phi}^{\alpha}[f](w, u)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n / 2}|\sin \alpha|^{n / 2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)} e^{\frac{i}{2}\left(w^{T} w+x^{T} x\right) \cot \alpha-i w^{T} x \csc \alpha} d x .
$$

(ii) For $\mathbf{M}=\left(\mathbf{0}, I_{n}-I_{n}, \mathbf{0}\right)$ the ST-NSLCT (3.1) boils to n-dimensional short-time Fourier transform:

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\phi}[f](w, u)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n / 2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)} e^{-i w^{T} x} d x .
$$

(iii) If the sub-matrices $A=\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{11}, \ldots, a_{n n}\right), B=\operatorname{diag}\left(b_{11}, \ldots, b_{n n}\right), C=\operatorname{diag}\left(c_{11}, \ldots, c_{n n}\right)$ and $D=\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{11}, \ldots, d_{n n}\right)$ of the real, symplectic matrix $\mathbf{M}$ are taken then STNSLCT (3.1) yields short-time separable linear canonical transform:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\phi}^{\mathbf{M}}[f](w, u)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n / 2}\left|\Pi_{j=1}^{n} b_{j j}\right|^{1 / 2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)} e^{i \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{d_{j j} w_{j}^{2}-2 w_{j} x_{j}+a_{j j} x_{j}^{2}}{{ }^{2 b_{j j}}}\right)} d x
$$

(iv) For $\mathbf{M}=\left(I_{n}, B: \mathbf{0}, I_{n}\right)$ the ST-NSLCT (3.1) boils down to n-dimensional shorttime Fresnel transform:

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\phi}^{\mathbf{M}}[f](w, u)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n / 2} \sqrt{|\operatorname{det}(B)|}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)} e^{i \frac{\left(w^{T} B^{-1} w-2 w^{T} B^{-T} x+x^{T} B^{-1} x\right)}{2}} d x .
$$

Now we shall establish relationsip between NSLCT and ST-NSLCT, which will be helpful in establishing some UP's in section 4.

For fixed $u$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathbf{M}}[f](w, u)=\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}}\{f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)}\}(w) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying inverse non-separable linear canonical transform (2.6), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)} & =\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}^{-1}}\left\{\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathbf{M}}[f](w, u)\right\}  \tag{3.4}\\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathbf{M}}[f](w, u) \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}^{-1}}(w, x) d w \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathbf{M}}[f](w, u) \overline{\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}}(x, w)} d w . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we discuss several basic properties of the ST-NSLCT given by (3.1). These properties play important roles in multi-dimensional signal processing.

The properties which follows directly from definition of the ST-NSLCT viz: Linearity; Anti-linearity; Translation; Modulation and Scaling are omitted. We shall focus on boundedness, Moyal's formula and inversion.

Lemma 3.1 ( Relation with n-dimensional STFT).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u) \\
& =\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n / 2} \sqrt{|\operatorname{det}(B)|}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)} e^{\frac{i\left(w^{T} D B^{-1} w+x^{T} B^{-1} A x-2 w^{T} B^{-T} x\right)}{2}} d x \\
& =\frac{e^{i \frac{i^{T} D B^{-1} w}{2}}}{(2 \pi)^{n / 2} \sqrt{|\operatorname{det}(B)|}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{\frac{i\left(x T^{T} B^{-1} A x\right)}{2}} f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)} e^{-i\left(B^{-1} w\right)^{T} x} d x \\
& =e^{i \frac{w^{T} D B^{-1} w}{2}} \mathcal{V}_{\phi}[H]\left(B^{-1} w, u\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{V}_{\phi}[f]$ represents n-dimensional STFT given in Definition 2.1.
And

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\operatorname{det}(A)|}} e^{\frac{i\left(x^{T} B^{-1} A x\right)}{2}} f(x) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we prove the lemma which is very important in establishing various uncertainty principles in Section 4

Lemma 3.2. Let $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $F(x)=e^{\frac{i\left(x^{T} B^{-1} A x\right)}{2}} f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)}$, then we have following relationship between n-dimensional FT and ST-NSLCT.

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathcal{F}[F](w)|=\sqrt{|\operatorname{det}(B)| \mid} \mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](B w, u) \mid . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u) \\
& =\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n / 2} \sqrt{|\operatorname{det}(B)|}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)} e^{\frac{i\left(w^{T} D B^{-1} w+x^{T} B^{-1} A x-2 w^{T} B^{-T} x\right)}{2}} d x \\
& =\frac{e^{i \frac{i^{T} D_{B}{ }^{-1} w}{2}}}{(2 \pi)^{n / 2} \sqrt{|\operatorname{det}(B)|}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{\frac{i\left(x T^{T} B^{-1} A x\right)}{2}} f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)} e^{-i\left(B^{-1} w\right)^{T} x} d x \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\operatorname{det}(B)|}} e^{i \frac{w^{T} D_{D B^{-1} w}^{2}}{2}} \mathcal{F}[F]\left(B^{-1} w\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

On changing $w=B w$ and taking modulus in (3.8), we get

$$
\sqrt{|\operatorname{det}(B)|}\left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathbf{M}}[f](B w, u)\right|=|\mathcal{F}[F](w)|
$$

. Which completes the proof.
Remark 3.1. : If we take $F_{0}(x)=\frac{e^{\frac{i\left(x^{T} B^{-1} A x\right)}{2}}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{det}(B)}} f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)}$, then above lemma yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{F}\left[F_{0}\right](w)\right|=\left|\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}}[f](B w)\right| \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.2 (Boundedness). Let $f, \phi \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, where $\phi$ is a non zero window function then we have

$$
\left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\right| \leq \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n / 2} \sqrt{|\operatorname{det}(B)|}}\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}
$$

Proof. By using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in Definition 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\right|^{2} \\
& =\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}}(x, w) d x\right|^{2} \\
& \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}}(x, w)\right| d x\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n / 2} \sqrt{|\operatorname{det}(B)|}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)} e^{\frac{i\left(w^{T} D_{B} 1_{w+x^{T} B_{B} 1_{A x-2 w^{T}}-T_{x x}}^{2}\right.}{2}}\right| d x\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n / 2} \sqrt{|\operatorname{det}(B)|}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)}| d x\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n}|\operatorname{det}(B)|}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)}| d x\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n}|\operatorname{det}(B)|}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|f(x)|^{2} d x\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\overline{\phi(x-u)}|^{2} d x\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n}|\operatorname{det}(B)|}\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2}\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

On further simplification, we obtain

$$
\left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathbf{M}}[f](w, u)\right| \leq \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n / 2} \sqrt{|\operatorname{det}(B)|}}\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}
$$

which completes the proof.

In the following theorem, we show that the proposed ST-NSLCT is reversible in the sense that the input signal $f$ can be recovered easily from the transformed domain.

Theorem 3.3 (Inversion). For any fixed window function $\phi \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Then, any $f \in$ $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ can be reconstructed by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\frac{1}{\|\phi(x)\|^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathbf{M}}[f](w, u) \phi(x-u) \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}^{-1}}(x, w) d w d u \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (3.4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)} & =\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}^{-1}}\left\{\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathbf{M}}[f](w, u)\right\} \\
& =f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathbf{M}}[f](w, u) \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}^{-1}}(x, w) d w
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying both sides of last equation by $\phi(x-u)$ and integrating with respect to $d u$, yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)} \phi(x-u) d u & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathbf{M}}[f](w, u) \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}^{-1}}(x, w) \phi(x-u) d w d u \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x)|\phi(x-u)|^{2} d u & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathbf{M}}[f](w, u) \phi(x-u) \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}^{-1}}(x, w) d w d u \\
f(x)\|\phi(x)\|^{2} & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathbf{M}}[f](w, u) \phi(x-u) \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}^{-1}}(x, w) d w d u
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
f(x)=\frac{1}{\|\phi(x)\|^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathbf{M}}[f](w, u) \phi(x-u) \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}^{-1}}(x, w) d w d u
$$

Theorem 3.4 (Moyal's formula). Let $\mathcal{V}_{\phi_{1}}^{\mathrm{M}}[f]\left(w, u\right.$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\phi_{2}}^{\mathrm{M}}[g](w, u)$ be the ST-NSLCT transforms of $f$ and $g$, respectively. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathcal{V}_{\phi_{1}}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u), \mathcal{V}_{\phi_{2}}^{\mathrm{M}}[g](w, u)\right\rangle=\langle f, g\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\left\langle\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\mathcal{V}_{\phi_{1}}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u), \mathcal{V}_{\phi_{2}}^{\mathrm{M}}[g](w, u)\right\rangle \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathcal{V}_{\phi_{1}}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u) \mathcal{V}_{\phi_{2}}^{\mathrm{M}}[g](w, u) d w d u \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathcal{V}_{\phi_{1}}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\left[\overline{\left.\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g(x) \overline{\phi_{2}(x-u)} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}}(x, w) d x\right] d w d u}\right. \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathcal{V}_{\phi_{1}}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u) \overline{\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}}(x, w)} \cdot \overline{g(x)} \phi_{2}(x-u) d x d u d w \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathcal{V}_{\phi_{1}}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u) \overline{\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{M}}(x, w)} d w\right] \overline{g(x)} \phi_{2}(x-u) d x d u .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now applying (3.4) in above equation, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\mathcal{V}_{\phi_{1}}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u), \mathcal{V}_{\phi_{2}}^{\mathrm{M}}[g](w, u)\right\rangle & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x) \overline{\phi_{1}(x-u)} \cdot \overline{g(x)} \phi_{2}(x-u) d x d u \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x) \overline{g(x)} d x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \overline{\phi_{1}(x-u)} \phi_{2}(x-u) d u \\
& =\langle f, g\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\left\langle\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof.
From the above theorem, we obtain the following consequences.
(i) If $\phi_{1}=\phi_{2}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u), \mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[g](w, u)\right\rangle=\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\langle f, g\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) If $f=g$ and $\phi_{1}=\phi_{2}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathbf{M}}[f](w, u), \mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathbf{M}}[f](w, u)\right\rangle=\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) If $f=g$ and $\phi_{1}=\phi_{2}=1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u), \mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\right\rangle=\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3.13) states that the proposed ST-NSLCT(3.1) becomes an isometry from $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ into $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. In other words, the total energy of a signal computed in the in the short-time non-seperable linear canonical domain is equal to the total energy computed in the spatial domain.

## 4. Uncertainty Principles for the Short-time Non-Seperable Linear canonical Transformation

The uncertainty principle lies at the heart of harmonic analysis, which asserts that "the position and the velocity of a particle cannot be both determined precisely at the same time". Authors in [32, 39] studied various uncertainty principles associated with non-seperable LCT, since ST-NSLCT is the generalized version of NSLCT and keeping in view the fact that the theory of UP's for the short-time non-separable linear canonical transform is yet to be explored exclusively; therefore, it is natural and interesting to study different forms of UP's in the ST-NSLCT domain.
4.1. Pitt's inequality. The Pitt's inequality in the Fourier domain expresses a fundamental relationship between a sufficiently smooth function and the corresponding Fourier transform (Beckner 1995) [27]. In [32] authors introduced the Pitt's inequality can be extended to the free metaplectic transformation domain, we here extend it to the ST-NSLCT domain as:

Lemma 4.1 (Pitt's inequality for the NSLCT [35]). Let $f \in \mathbb{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ the Schwartz class in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then we have the inequality

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|w|^{-\alpha}\left|\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}}[f](w)\right|^{2} d w \leq C_{\alpha}|\operatorname{det}(B)|^{-\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|x|^{\alpha}|f(x)|^{2} d x
$$

where $C_{\alpha}=\pi^{\alpha}\left[\Gamma\left(\frac{n-\alpha}{4}\right) / \Gamma\left(\frac{n+\alpha}{4}\right)\right]^{2}$ and $0 \leq \alpha<n$.
Based on Pitt's inequality for the NSLCT, we obtain Pitt's inequality for the STNSLCT.

Theorem 4.1 (Pitt's inequality for the ST-NSLCT). Under the assumptions of Lemma 4.1, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|w|^{-\alpha}\left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\right|^{2} d w d u  \tag{4.1}\\
& =C_{\alpha}|\operatorname{det}(B)|^{-\alpha}\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|x|^{\alpha}|f(x)|^{2} d x \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let $f_{u}^{\phi}(x)=f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)}$ then by virtue of (3.3), we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|w|^{-\alpha}\left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathbf{M}}[f](w, u)\right|^{2} d w=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|w|^{-\alpha}\left|\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}}\left[f_{u}^{\phi}(x)\right]\right|^{2} d w
$$

Now applying Lemma 4.1 to the L.H.S of above equation, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|w|^{-\alpha}\left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\right|^{2} d w \\
& \leq C_{\alpha}|\operatorname{det}(B)|^{-\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|x|^{\alpha}\left|f_{u}^{\phi}(x)\right|^{2} d x \\
& \leq C_{\alpha}|\operatorname{det}(B)|^{-\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|x|^{\alpha}|f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)}|^{2} d x \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

On integrating both sides of (4.3) with respect to $d u$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|w|^{-\alpha}\left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\right|^{2} d w d u \\
& \leq C_{\alpha}|\operatorname{det}(B)|^{-\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|x|^{\alpha}|f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)}|^{2} d x d u \\
& =C_{\alpha}|\operatorname{det}(B)|^{-\alpha}\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|x|^{\alpha}|f(x)|^{2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof.
4.2. Lieb's UP. Here we shall establish Lieb's Uncertainty Principle for the ST-NSLCT by using the relation between ST-NSLCT with the short-time Fourier transform in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
Theorem 4.2 (Lieb's). Let $\phi, f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $2 \leq p<\infty$. Then following inequality holds

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\right|^{p} d w d x \leq \frac{2}{p} \frac{|B|}{|\operatorname{det}(A)|^{p / 2}}\left(\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\right)^{p}
$$

Proof. For every $f \in \mathbb{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \subseteq L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, the Leib's inequality for the STFT states that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}[f](w, u)\right|^{p} d w d x \leq \frac{2}{p}\left(\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\right)^{p} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{V}_{\phi}[f](w, u)$ denotes the STFT of $f$ given by (2.1) and $\mathbb{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is the Schwartz class in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. To obtain an analogue of the Leib's inequality for the ST-NSLCT, we replace $f$ in above equation by $H$ [defined in 2.12, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}[H](w, u)\right|^{p} d w d x \\
& \leq \frac{2}{p}\left(\|H\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\right)^{p} \\
& =\frac{2}{p}\left(\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\operatorname{det}(A)|}} e^{\left.\frac{i\left(x^{T} B_{B}-1\right.}{2} A x\right)} f(x)\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\right)^{p} \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Setting $w=B^{-1} w$ in (4.5), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|B^{-1}\right|\left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}[H]\left(B^{-1} w, u\right)\right|^{p} d w d x \\
& \leq \frac{2}{p} \frac{1}{|\operatorname{det}(A)|^{p / 2}}\left(\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|f(x)|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\right)^{p} \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Now using Lemma 3.1 to L.H.S of (4.6), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|B^{-1}\right|\left|e^{\frac{-i w^{T} D B^{-1} w}{2}} \mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\right|^{p} d w d x \\
& \leq \frac{2}{p} \frac{1}{|\operatorname{det}(A)|^{p / 2}}\left(\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|f(x)|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\right)^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

on further simplifying, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\right|^{p} d w d x \\
& \leq \frac{2|B|}{p} \frac{1}{|\operatorname{det}(A)|^{p / 2}}\left(\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|f(x)|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\right)^{p} \\
& =\frac{2}{p} \frac{|B|}{|\operatorname{det}(A)|^{p / 2}}\left(\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\right)^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Which completes the proof.
4.3. Heisenberg's UP. In [32], authors introduced Heisenberg's UP to observe the lower bound of uncertainty corresponding to the free metaplectic transformation. We extend it to the propose Heisenberg's UP fot the ST-NSLCT.

Theorem 4.3 (Heisenberg's UP). Let $\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f]$ be the short time non-separable linear canonical transform of any non-trivial function $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with respect to a real free symplectic matrix $\mathbf{M}=(A, B: C, D)$, then following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|w|^{2}\left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\right|^{2} d w\right\}^{1 / 2}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} x^{2}|f(x)|^{2} d x\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& \geq \frac{n \sigma_{\min }(B)}{4 \pi}\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2}\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} . \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. For any $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ the Heisenberg-Pauli-Weyl uncertainty inequality for NSLCT domain is given by [32]:

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} x^{2}|f(x)|^{2} d x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|w|^{2}\left|\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}}[f](w)\right|^{2} d w \geq \frac{n^{2} \sigma_{\min }^{2}(B)}{16 \pi^{2}}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|f(x)|^{2} d x\right\}^{2}
$$

Using the inversion of NSLCT (2.6) into LHS and (2.8) to the RHS, above inequality becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} x^{2}\left|\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}^{-1}}\left\{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}}[f](w)\right\}\right|^{2} d x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|w|^{2}\left|\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}}[f](w)\right|^{2} d w \\
& \geq \frac{n^{2} \sigma_{\min }^{2}(B)}{16 \pi^{2}}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}}[f](w)\right|^{2} d w\right\}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f] \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, therefore replacing $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{M}}[f](w)$ by $\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f] \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} x^{2}\left|\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}^{-1}}\left\{\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\right\}\right|^{2} d x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|w|^{2}\left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\right|^{2} d w \\
& \geq \frac{n^{2} \sigma_{\min }^{2}(B)}{16 \pi^{2}}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\right|^{2} d w\right\}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

First taking square of above equation and then integrating both sides with respect $d u$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} x^{2}\left|\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}^{-1}}\left\{\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\right\}\right|^{2} d x\right\}^{1 / 2}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|w|^{2}\left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\right|^{2} d w\right\}^{1 / 2} d u \\
& \geq \frac{n \sigma_{\min }(B)}{4 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\right|^{2} d w d u
\end{aligned}
$$

As a consequence of the Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality and Fubini theorem, above inequality yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} x^{2}\left|\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}^{-1}}\left\{\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\right\}\right|^{2} d x d u\right\}^{1 / 2}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|w|^{2}\left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\right|^{2} d w\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& \geq \frac{n \sigma_{\min }(B)}{4 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\right|^{2} d w d u
\end{aligned}
$$

Now using (3.4) in L.H.S and (3.12) in R.H.S of above equation, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} x^{2}|f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)}|^{2} d x d u\right\}^{1 / 2}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|w|^{2}\left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\right|^{2} d w\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& \geq \frac{n \sigma_{\min }(B)}{4 \pi}\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2}\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Simplifying above, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} x^{2}|f(x)|^{2} d x\right\}^{1 / 2}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|w|^{2}\left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\right|^{2} d w\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& \geq \frac{n \sigma_{\min }(B)}{4 \pi}\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2}\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

dividing both sides by $\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$, we will get the desired result.
Theorem 4.4 (Hausdorff-Young). For Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and $q$ be such that $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$. Then for $\phi \in L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, following inequality holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq\|\phi\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The definition of NSLCT 2.2 and procedure defined in [36] [Theorem 5.1] together led to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}}[f]\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $p=1$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}}[f]\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq\|f\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now using (4.10) and taking $\|\phi\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}=1$, equation (3.3) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} & =\left\|\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}}\{f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)}\}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \\
& \leq\|\{f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)}\}\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \\
& \leq\|f\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\|\phi\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \\
& =\|f\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now for $p=2$, we obtain

$$
\left\|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}
$$

By Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem, above yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now setting $\quad g=\frac{\phi}{\|\phi\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}}$, where $\phi$ is a window function in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, we have by antilinearity property of NSLCT

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}_{g}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)=\frac{1}{\|\phi\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right.}} \mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

on taking $\phi=g$ in (4.11), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{V}_{g}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which on simplification becomes

$$
\left\|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq\|\phi\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}
$$

Hence completes the proof.
4.4. Logarithmic UP. In 1995 37 W. Beckner introduced Logarithmic uncertainty principle. In this subsection we obtain the concept of Logarithmic uncertainty principle for the Short-time non-separable linear canonical transform as follows:

Theorem 4.5 (Logarithmic uncertainty principle ). Let $\phi$ be a window function in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and let $\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathbf{M}}[f](w, u) \in \mathbb{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then the short-time non-separable linear canonical transform satisfies the following logarithmic uncertainty inequality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \ln \left|w B^{-T}\right|\left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathbf{M}}[f](w, u)\right|^{2} d w d u+\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \ln |x \| f(x)|^{2} d x \\
& \geq\left(\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}(n / 2)}{\Gamma(n / 2)}-\ln \pi\right)\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2}\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. For any $f \in \mathbb{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ the logarithmic uncertainty principle for the non-separable linear canonical transform domain is given by [32]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \ln |x||f(x)|^{2} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \ln \left|w B^{-T}\right|\left|\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}}[f](w)\right|^{2} d w \\
& \geq\left(\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}(n / 2)}{\Gamma(n / 2)}-\ln \pi\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|f(x)|^{2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Now invoking the inversion formula of the non-separable linear canonical transform on the L.H.S and Parseval's formula on R.H.S, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \ln |x|\left|\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}^{-1}}\left\{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}}[f](w)\right\}\right|^{2} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \ln \left|w B^{-T}\right|\left|\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}}[f](w)\right|^{2} d w \\
& \geq\left(\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}(n / 2)}{\Gamma(n / 2)}-\ln \pi\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}}[f](w)\right|^{2} d w
\end{aligned}
$$

Since both $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}}[f](w)$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathbf{M}}[f](w, u)$ are in $\mathbb{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ thus we can replace $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}}[f](w)$ by $\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)$ on the both sides of above, to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \ln |x|\left|\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}^{-1}}\left\{\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\right\}\right|^{2} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \ln \left|w B^{-T}\right|\left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\right|^{2} d w \\
& \geq\left(\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}(n / 2)}{\Gamma(n / 2)}-\ln \pi\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\right|^{2} d w
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating above inequality with respect $d u$ on both sides and then by virtue of Fubini's theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \ln |x|\left|\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}^{-1}}\left\{\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\right\}\right|^{2} d x d u+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \ln \left|w B^{-T}\right|\left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\right|^{2} d w d u \\
& \geq\left(\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}(n / 2)}{\Gamma(n / 2)}-\ln \pi\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathbf{M}}[f](w, u)\right|^{2} d w d u .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now using (3.4) in L.H.S and (3.12) in R.H.S of above inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \ln \left|w B^{-T}\right|\left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\right|^{2} d w d u+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \ln |x||f(x) \phi(x-u)|^{2} d x d u \\
& \geq\left(\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}(n / 2)}{\Gamma(n / 2)}-\ln \pi\right)\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2}\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Further simplifying left-hand side, we obtain the desired result as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \ln \left|w B^{-T}\right|\left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathbf{M}}[f](w, u)\right|^{2} d w d u+\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \ln |x||f(x)|^{2} d x \\
& \geq\left(\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}(n / 2)}{\Gamma(n / 2)}-\ln \pi\right)\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2}\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

4.5. Hardy's UP. G.H. Hardy first introduced the Hardy's uncertainty principle in 1933 [40]. Hardy's uncertainty principle says that it is impossible for a function and its Fourier transform to decrease very rapidly simultaneously. Hardy's UP in the Fourier transform domain [41] was given as follows.

Lemma 4.2 (Hardy's UP in the Fourier transform [41]). If $f(x)=\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-|x|^{2} / \beta^{2}}\right), \mathcal{F}[f](w)=$ $\mathcal{O}\left((2 \pi)^{n / 2} e^{-16 \pi^{2}|w|^{2} / \alpha^{2}}\right)$ and $1 / \alpha \beta>1 / 4$, then $f \equiv 0$. If $1 / \alpha \beta=1 / 4$, then

$$
f=C e^{-|x|^{2} / \beta^{2}}
$$

Where $C$ is a constant in $\mathbb{C}$
Based on Lemma 4.2, we derive the corresponding Hardy's UP for the ST-NSLCT.
Theorem 4.6 (Hardy's UP in the ST-NSLCT). If $f(x)=\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-|x|^{2} / \beta^{2}}\right), \mathcal{F}[f](w)=$ $\mathcal{O}\left((2 \pi)^{n / 2} e^{-16 \pi^{2}\left|B^{-1} w\right|^{2} / \alpha^{2}}\right)$ and $1 / \alpha \beta>1 / 4$, then $f \equiv 0$. If $1 / \alpha \beta=1 / 4$, then

$$
f=C e^{-|x|^{2} / \beta^{2}-\frac{i\left(x^{T} B^{-1} A x\right)}{2}} .
$$

Where $C$ is a constant in $\mathbb{C}$
Proof. Consider then function $F(x)=e^{\frac{i\left(x^{T} B^{-1} A x\right)}{2}} f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)}$.
On setting $u=x$ then we have

$$
|F(x)|=|f(x)||\overline{\phi(0)}|=\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-|x|^{2} / \beta^{2}}\right)
$$

Also by Lemma 3.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{F}[F](w)| & =\sqrt{\operatorname{det}(B)}\left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](B w, u)\right| \\
& =\mathcal{O}\left((2 \pi)^{n / 2} e^{-16 \pi^{2}\left|B^{-1} w\right|^{2} / \alpha^{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Following from Lemma 4.2,
If $1 / \alpha \beta>1 / 4$, we have $F \equiv 0$ which implies $f \equiv 0$.
Also, if $1 / \alpha \beta=1 / 4$, then

$$
F(x)=C e^{-|x|^{2} / \beta^{2}}
$$

implies

$$
f(x)=C e^{-|x|^{2} / \beta^{2}-\frac{i\left(x^{T} B^{-1} A x\right)}{2}} .
$$

This completes the proof.
4.6. Beurling's UP. Beurling's uncertainty principle is a more general version of Hardy's uncertainty principle, which is given by A.Beurling. It implies the weak form of Hardy's UP immediately. Beurling's UP in the Fourier transform domain is as follows
Lemma 4.3 (Beurling's UP in the Fourier transform domain[25]). Let $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $d \geq 0$ satisfy

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{|f(x)||\mathcal{F}[f](w)|}{(1+\|x\|+\|w\|)^{d}} e^{2 \pi|\langle x, w\rangle|} d x d w \leq \infty
$$

then

$$
f(x)=P(x) e^{-\pi\langle A x, x\rangle}
$$

where $A$ is a real positive definite symmetric matrix and $P(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $<\frac{d-n}{2}$.

According to Lemma4.3, we derive Beurling's uncertainty principle for the ST-NSLCT.
Theorem 4.7 (Beurling's UP ). Let $f, \phi \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ where $\phi$ is a nonzero window function and $\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f] \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ satisfy

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{|f(x)||\overline{\phi(x-u) \mid}| \mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u) \mid}{\sqrt{|\operatorname{det}(B)|}\left(1+\|x\|+\left\|B^{-1} w\right\|\right)^{d}} e^{2 \pi\left|\left\langle x, B^{-1} w\right\rangle\right|} d x d w<\infty, \quad \text { where } \quad d \geq 0
$$

Then

$$
f(x)=P(x) / \overline{\phi(x-u)} e^{\frac{-i\left(x^{T} B^{-1} A x\right)}{2}-\pi\langle A x, x\rangle}
$$

where $A$ is a real positive definite symmetric matrix and $P(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $<\frac{d-n}{2}$.
Proof. Consider then function $F(x)=e^{\frac{i\left(x^{T} B^{-1} A x\right)}{2}} f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{|F(x)||\mathcal{F}[F](w)|}{(1+\|x\|+\|w\|)^{d}} e^{2 \pi|\langle x, w\rangle|} d x d w \\
& \left.=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{|f(x)||\phi(x-u)|}{(1+\| \operatorname{det}(B)| |} \mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](B w, u) \right\rvert\, \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{|f(x)| \mid \overline{\phi(x-u)| | \mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u) \mid}}{\sqrt{|\operatorname{det}(B)|}(1+\|x\|)^{d}} e^{2 \pi\left|\left\langle x, B^{-1} w\right\rangle\right|} d x d w<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore by Lemma 4.3, we have

$$
F(x)=P(x) e^{-\pi\langle A x, x\rangle}
$$

where $A$ is a real positive definite symmetric matrix and $P(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $<\frac{d-n}{2}$. Furthermore $f(x)=P(x) / \overline{\phi(x-u)} e^{\frac{-i\left(x^{T} B^{-1} A x\right)}{2}-\pi\langle A x, x\rangle}$.

This completes the proof.
4.7. Nazarov's UP for the ST-NSLCT. Nazarov's UP was first proposed by F.L. Nazarov in 1993 [23]. It measures the localization of a nonzero function by taking into consideration the notion of support of the function instead of the dispersion. In other words it argues what happens if a non-trival function and its Fourier transform are only small outside a compact set.Let us start with Nazarov's UP for the Fourier transform.

Lemma 4.4 (Nazarov's UP for the Fourier transform[24]). There exists a constant $K$, such that for finite Lebesgue measurable sets $S, E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and for every $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, we have

$$
K e^{K(S, E)}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash S}|f(x)|^{2} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash E}|\mathcal{F}[f](w)|^{2} d w\right) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|f(x)|^{2} d x
$$

where $K(S, E)=K \min \left(|S||E|,|S|^{1 / n}, \mu(E), \mu(S)|E|^{1 / n}\right), \mu(S)$ is the mean width of $S$ and $|S|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of $S$.

Now we shall establish Nazarov's UP to the short-time non-sperable linear canonical transform domain.

Theorem 4.8 (Nazarov's UP). Let $\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f]$ be the short-stime NSLCT, then under the assumptions of Lemma 4.4 for every $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|f(x)|^{2} d x \\
& \leq K e^{K(S, E)}\left(\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash S}|f(x)|^{2} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}(\backslash E B)}\left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\right|^{2} d w d u\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 4.4 to the function $F(x) \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ defined in Lemma 3.2, we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|F(x)|^{2} d x \leq K e^{K(S, E)}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash S}|F(x)|^{2} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash E}|\mathcal{F}[F](w)|^{2} d w\right)
$$

Now with the help of Lemma 3.7, above yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)}|^{2} d x \\
& \leq K e^{K(S, E)}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash S}|f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)}|^{2} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash E}\left|\sqrt{|\operatorname{det}(B)|} \mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}(B w, u)\right|^{2} d w\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating above equation both sides with respect to $u$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)}|^{2} d x d u \\
& \leq K e^{K(S, E)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash S}|f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)}|^{2} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash E}\left|\sqrt{|\operatorname{det}(B)|} \mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}(B w, u)\right|^{2} d w\right) d u .
\end{aligned}
$$

Implementing Fubini's theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|f(x)|^{2} d x \\
& \leq K e^{K(S, E)}\left(\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash S}|f(x)|^{2} d x+|\operatorname{det}(B)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash E}\left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathbf{M}}(B w, u)\right|^{2} d w d u\right) \\
& =K e^{K(S, E)}\left(\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash S}|f(x)|^{2} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}(\backslash E B)}\left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathbf{M}}(w, u)\right|^{2} d w d u\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof.

Alternative proof: For any function $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and a pair of finite measurable subsets $S$ and $E$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, Nazarov's uncertainty principle in the linear canonical domain reads 32 ]

$$
\begin{equation*}
K e^{K(S, E)}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash S}|f(x)|^{2} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash E B}\left|\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}}[f](w)\right|^{2} d w\right) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|f(x)|^{2} d x \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K(S, E)=K \min \left(|S||E|,|S|^{1 / n}, \mu(E), \mu(S)|E|^{1 / n}\right), \mu($.$) is the mean width of mea-$ surable subset, and $|$.$| denotes the Lebesgue measure. Moreover, the relationship between$ the ST-NSLCT and the NSLCT is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)=\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{M}}\{f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)}\}(w) . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ then by virtue of (4.14), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)}|^{2} d x \\
& \leq K e^{K(S, E)}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash S}|f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)}|^{2} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash E B}\left|\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}}[f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)}](w)\right|^{2} d w\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (4.15), above yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)}|^{2} d x \\
& \leq K e^{K(S, E)}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash S}|f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)}|^{2} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash E B}\left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}[f](w, u)\right|^{2} d w\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating above equation both sides with respect to $u$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)}|^{2} d x d u \\
& \leq K e^{K(S, E)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash S}|f(x) \overline{\phi(x-u)}|^{2} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash E B}\left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathbf{M}}[f](w, u)\right|^{2} d w\right) d u
\end{aligned}
$$

On implementing the well known Fubini theorem in above equation, we obtain the desired result as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|f(x)|^{2} d x \\
& \leq K e^{K(S, E)}\left(\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash S}|f(x)|^{2} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash E B}\left|\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{\mathrm{M}}(w, u)\right|^{2} d w d u\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Which completes the proof.

## 5. Conclusion

In this paper we presented a novel concept of short-time non-separable linear canonical transform. Based on the properties of ST-NSLCT and NSLCT, the relationship between these two notations are presented. Important properties such as boundedness, reconstruction formula, Moyals formula and are derived. Finally, we extend some different uncertainty principles (UP) from quantum mechanics including Lieb's, Pitt's UP, Heisenberg's uncertainty principle, Hausdorff-Young, Hardy's UP, Beurling's UP, Logarithmic UP, and Nazarov's UP which have already been well studied in the ST-NSLC domain.
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