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#### Abstract

In [J. A. Rebouças and P. A. Brandão, Phys. Rev. A 104, 063514 (2021)] the authors compute the scattering amplitude for a $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{T}$-symmetric double-delta-function potential in three dimensions by invoking the far-zone approximation and summing the resulting Born series. We show that the analysis of this paper suffers from a basic error. Therefore its results are inconclusive. We give an exact closed-form expression for the scattering amplitude of this potential.


The authors of [1] consider the scattering problem for a $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{T}$-symmetric double-delta-function potential in threedimensions,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(\mathbf{r}):=\mathfrak{z}_{1} \delta\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{0}\right)+\mathfrak{z}_{2} \delta\left(\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{r}_{0}\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{z}_{1}=\mathfrak{z}_{2}^{*}=-\alpha k^{2}(\sigma+i \gamma)$ and $\alpha, \sigma$, and $\gamma$ are real parameters, $k$ is the wavenumber, and $\pm \mathbf{r}_{0}$ are the positions of the point scatterers. They substitute the Born series $u(\mathbf{r})=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_{n}(\mathbf{r}) \alpha^{n}$ in the Lippmann-Schwinger equation to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}(\mathbf{r})=k^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \chi\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) G\left(\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right|\right) u_{n-1}\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) d^{3} r^{\prime}, \quad n \geq 1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right):=v(\mathbf{r}) / \alpha k^{2}$, and $G(x):=e^{i k x} / 4 \pi x$. Then they let $r:=|\mathbf{r}|, r^{\prime}:=\left|\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right|, \hat{s}:=\mathbf{r} / r$, denote the direction of the incident wave vector by $\hat{a}$, and use $u_{0}(\mathbf{r})=e^{i k \hat{a} \cdot \mathbf{r}}$ and the far-zone (f.z.) approximation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right|\right) \sim \frac{e^{i k r}}{4 \pi r} e^{-i k \hat{s} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{\prime}} \quad \text { for } \quad r \gg r^{\prime} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (21) to obtain the recursion relation,

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{n}(\mathbf{r})= & \frac{k^{2} e^{i k r}}{4 \pi r}\left[(\sigma+i \gamma) u_{n-1}\left(\mathbf{r}_{0}\right) e^{-i k \hat{s} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{0}}\right. \\
& \left.+(\sigma-i \gamma) u_{n-1}\left(-\mathbf{r}_{0}\right) e^{i k \hat{s} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{0}}\right], \quad n \geq 1 \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

The results of [1] rely on the authors' solution of this relation. But as we explain below, there is a basic error in their analysis. To determine $u_{1}(\mathbf{r})$, they substitute (31) in (2) and set $n=1$. Because (3) holds whenever $r \gg r^{\prime}$, this gives an approximate expression $u_{1}^{\text {f.z. }}(\mathbf{r})$ for $u_{1}(\mathbf{r})$ which is valid in the f.z., i.e., $u_{1}(\mathbf{r}) \sim u_{1}^{\text {f.z. }}(\mathbf{r})$ for $r \rightarrow \infty$. Repeating the same procedure for $n=2$, they express $u_{2}(\mathbf{r})$ in terms of $u_{1}\left( \pm \mathbf{r}_{0}\right)$ which they calculate by substituting $\pm \mathbf{r}_{0}$ for $\mathbf{r}$ in $u_{1}^{\text {f.z. }}(\mathbf{r})$, i.e., set $u_{1}\left( \pm \mathbf{r}_{0}\right) \sim$ $u_{1}^{\mathrm{f} . \mathrm{z.}}\left( \pm \mathbf{r}_{0}\right)$. This is inadmissible, because $\left|\mathbf{r}_{0}\right|$ does not tend to infinity. In general, the iterative solution of (4) given in the appendix of [1] is unacceptable, because this equation holds for $r \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, one cannot use it to determine $u_{n}\left( \pm \mathbf{r}_{0}\right)$ even approximately.

There is actually no need for invoking the f.z. approximation in treating this problem [2, 3]. Ref. [4] gives the
exact solution of the scattering problem for the multi-delta-function potentials,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(\mathbf{r}):=\sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathfrak{z}_{n} \delta\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{a}_{n}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

in two dimensions, where $\mathfrak{z}_{n}$ are real or complex coupling constants, and $\mathbf{a}_{n}$ are the positions of the point scatterers. The analysis of [4] has a straightforward extension to three dimensions. To see this, first we use the notation of Ref. [1] to express the Lippmann-Schwinger equation for the potential (5) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\mathbf{r})=u_{0}(\mathbf{r})-\sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathfrak{z}_{n} G\left(\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{a}_{n}\right|\right) u\left(\mathbf{a}_{n}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{a}_{m}$ in this equation, we find a system of linear equations for $u\left(\mathbf{a}_{n}\right)$. Because $G(0)=\infty$, the matrix of coefficients of this system has divergent diagonal entries. Therefore, we regularize $G(x)$ and perform a couplingconstant renormalization to remove the singularities. We can do this by a cut-off renormalization or dimensional regularization as outlined in [5] or other renormalization schemes [6]. In this way, we can set $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{a}_{m}$ in (6) to arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{N} A_{m n} X_{n}=e^{i k \mathbf{a}_{m} \cdot \hat{a}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A_{m n}:=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\tilde{\mathfrak{z}}_{n}^{-1}+\frac{i k}{4 \pi} & \text { for } m=n  \tag{8}\\
G\left(\left|\mathbf{a}_{m}-\mathbf{a}_{n}\right|\right) & \text { for } m \neq n
\end{array}\right.
$$

$\tilde{\mathfrak{z}}_{n}$ are the renormalized coupling constants, and $X_{n}:=$ $\tilde{\mathfrak{z}}_{n} u\left(\mathbf{a}_{n}\right)$. Solving (7) for $X_{n}$, substituting the result in (6), and noting that the scattering amplitude $\tilde{u}_{s}(\mathbf{r})$ is given by

$$
u(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow u_{0}(\mathbf{r})+\tilde{u}_{s}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{e^{i k r}}{r} \quad \text { for } \quad r \rightarrow \infty
$$

we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{u}_{s}(\mathbf{r})=-\frac{1}{4 \pi} \sum_{m, n=1}^{N} A_{m n}^{-1} e^{i k\left(\mathbf{a}_{n} \cdot \hat{a}-\mathbf{a}_{m} \cdot \hat{s}\right)} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{m n}^{-1}$ are the entries of the inverse of the $N \times N$ $\operatorname{matrix} \mathbf{A}=\left[A_{m n}\right]$, and we have also made use of (3).

For the $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{T}$-symmetric double-delta-function potential (11), $N=2, \tilde{\mathfrak{z}}_{1}=\tilde{\mathfrak{z}}_{2}^{*}=-k^{2} \tilde{\alpha}(\tilde{\sigma}+i \tilde{\gamma}), \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\gamma}$ are real renormalized parameters, and $\mathbf{a}_{1}=-\mathbf{a}_{2}=\mathbf{r}_{0}$. Subtituting these relations and Eq. (8) in Eq. (9), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{u}_{s}(\mathbf{r})= & \frac{1}{2 \pi D}\left[\frac{\mathfrak{s} \cos \xi_{-}-\mathfrak{g} \sin \xi_{-}}{k^{2}\left(\mathfrak{s}^{2}+\mathfrak{g}^{2}\right)}+\right. \\
& \left.\frac{e^{2 i k r_{0}} \cos \xi_{+}-2 i k r_{0} \cos \xi_{-}}{8 \pi r_{0}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
D:=\operatorname{det} \mathbf{A}=\frac{2 \pi-i k^{3} \mathfrak{s}}{2 \pi k^{4}\left(\mathfrak{s}^{2}+\mathfrak{g}^{2}\right)}-\frac{4 k^{2} r_{0}^{2}+e^{4 i k r_{0}}}{64 \pi^{2} r_{0}^{2}}
$$

$\mathfrak{s}:=\tilde{\alpha} \tilde{\sigma}, \mathfrak{g}:=\tilde{\alpha} \tilde{\gamma}, r_{0}:=\left|\mathbf{r}_{0}\right|$, and $\xi_{ \pm}:=k \mathbf{r}_{0} \cdot(\hat{a} \pm \hat{s})$. Notice that the parameters $\mathfrak{s}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ enter our calculations after we renormalize the bare coupling constants $\alpha \sigma$ and $\alpha \gamma$. Therefore, they may depend on other physical parameters of the problem.
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