

Hydrodynamic and kinetic representation of the microscopic dynamics as the transitions on the macroscopic scale of description and meaning of the self-consistent field approximation in these models

Pavel A. Andreev*

*Department of General Physics, Faculty of physics,
Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russian Federation, 119991.*

(Dated: April 26, 2022)

The open problem of derivation of the relativistic Vlasov equation for the systems of charged particles moving with the velocities up to the speed of light and creating the electromagnetic field in accordance with the full set of the Maxwell equations is considered. Moreover, the method of derivation is firstly illustrated on the nonrelativistic kinetic model. Independent derivation of the relativistic hydrodynamics is also demonstrated. Key role of these derivations of the hydrodynamic and kinetic equations includes the explicit operator of averaging on the physically infinitesimal volume suggested by L.S. Kuzmenkov.

Keywords: hydrodynamics, microscopic model, kinetic theory, mean-field approximation, relativistic plasmas

I. INTRODUCTION

The selfconsistent field approximation or the meanfield approximation is well established in plasma physics [1]. The Vlasov equation and corresponding hydrodynamics (nonrelativistic five moments, nonrelativistic thirteen moments, and relativistic five moments limits) are considered in literature in order to study collective plasma phenomena developing on the time scale, where the contribution of "collisions" is negligible.

The derivation of the Vlasov equation [2], [3], [4], [5] based on the atomic structure of matter (composition of plasmas as the number of individual electrons and ions) is developed by N.N. Bogoliubov in 1946 (let us make references on representation of this work in textbooks [4], [5]). This derivation is made for the nonrelativistic motion of charged particles interacting via the Coulomb interaction. So, the equations of field are reduced to the Poisson equation. Further generalization of this approach is made in works [6], [7], [8], where the weakly relativistic effects are considered. It includes the account of the interaction of moving charges via the magnetic field created in accordance with the Biot-Savart law. Hence, the problem of kinetic description of particles which create electromagnetic field in accordance with the full Maxwell equations is the open problem of up to date existing kinetic theory. Some important steps in the direction of solving of this problem are made in works of Y.L. Klimontovich and L.S. Kuzmenkov. Y.L. Klimontovich suggested the microscopic form of the concentration (and other hydrodynamic functions) and the distribution function, which are constructed of the Dirac delta functions (see for instance [9]). Y.L. Klimontovich also found kinetic equation for the microscopic distribution function. However, complete derivation requires the transition to the macroscopic scale. Method of the explicit transition on the

macroscopic scale via the integral operator is suggested by L.S. Kuzmenkov in the set of works, which includes [10], [11], [12] (see also an earlier work on kinetics [13]).

There are several definitions of the average value in the classical statistical physics [14]. They are the time average and the phase average. There is also the ergodic hypothesis, which states that these averages give the same result. The phase average can give different limit regimes depending on the number of controlled parameters. If we know the initial conditions of all particles in each system of the ensemble we get full dynamic description of each system. In this regime we do not need to use the ensemble of physical systems at all. We can consider the dynamical evolution of the single system.

This regime, to some extend, resembles the analysis given in this paper. However, inspite the similarity, there is the fundamental difference. If we consider any physical system it does not "know" which level of knowledge of this system we have. Our goal is to consider the evolution of this single system. This evolution is governed by the interaction between particles. Analysis is made for the structureless particles as the electrons and protons (we do not need to consider such microscopical particles as quarks on the chosen energy scale) If there is some structure which can be modified on the chosen, like the ionization of atom or ion, excitation of electron inside of ion, etc, we have additional dependence on the structure of particles. Hence, we introduce some microscopic function. For instance, the concentration if we want to study the evolution in the coordinate physical space, or, the distribution function if we want to study the evolution in the six-dimensional coordinate -momentum phase space.

The concentration is the deterministic scalar field. Being the field means that this is the distribution of the physical parameter (characteristic) in the physical space arithmetized by $\mathbf{r}$. The change of this distribution in time corresponds to the motion of particles via the dependence on coordinates of particles $\mathbf{r}_i(t)$ on time t . This function describes the exact evolution of the system, but this evolution is given via the collective variables describing the

*Electronic address: andreevpa@physics.msu.ru

whole system. The hydrodynamics itself can be used instead of the mechanical laws of motion for each particle, but the number of requires hydrodynamic functions (the concentration, the three projections of the velocity field, etc) should be equal to the number of degrees of freedom of the system.

The relativistic plasmas are actively studied for the classical and quantum regimes [13], [15], [16], [17], [18], [19], [20], [21], [22], [23], [24], [25], [26], [27], [28], [29], [30], [31], [32], [33], [34], [35], [36]. Therefore, the detailed analysis of the derivation of the classic hydrodynamics and kinetics is important for the better understanding of these physical processes. Recently, some steps in direction of analysis of the relativistic hydrodynamics are made. However, the contribution of the multipole moments of the physically infinitesimal volume is ignored in previous papers on this subject [37], [38], [39] (see also Refs. [40], [41] for the adaptation of this approach for the degenerate plasmas). The multipole moments and equations for their evolution are partially described in Ref. [10] for the nonrelativistic regime, where some nonlinear phenomena are also discussed.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II the nonrelativistic systems of charged particles are considered on the microscopic scale and corresponding hydrodynamic equations are obtained in order to make transition on the language suitable for the description of the collective phenomena. In Sec. III the selfconsistent field approximation is considered for the nonrelativistic hydrodynamic equations. In Sec. IV the nonrelativistic kinetic Vlasov equation for the systems of charged particles is derived. In Sec. V the selfconsistent field approximation is considered for the nonrelativistic kinetic equations. In Sec. VI The analysis of the selfconsistent field approximation is made for the relativistic hydrodynamic model with the average reverse gamma factor evolution. In Sec. VII relativistic kinetic Vlasov equation is derived and the selfconsistent field approximation is considered for the relativistic kinetic Vlasov equation. In Sec. VIII a brief summary of obtained results is presented.

II. DERIVATION OF HYDRODYNAMIC EQUATIONS TRACING THE MICROSCOPIC MOTION OF PARTICLES

The self-consistent field or mean-field approximation is well established in the plasmas physics. However, the derivation of the hydrodynamic and kinetic equations including the explicit operator of averaging on the physically infinitesimal volume suggested by L.S. Kuzmenkov [10], [13] allows to give a deeper look on this approximation.

Our goal in this paper is to consider exact dynamic of the arbitrary system of the charged particles and represent this dynamical evolution in terms of functions suitable for the collective processes instead of the parameters characterizes each particle in the system like the coordi-

nates and momentums.

The concentration of particles is traditionally used as one of functions describing the collective effects in the systems of many-particles. Moreover, the concentration can be introduced as the exact distribution of particles in the coordinate physical space:

$$n_m(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_{i=1}^N \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)), \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{r}_i(t)$ is the coordinate of i -th particle, subindex m in n_m refers to the fact that we consider the microscopic concentration. We do not know the value of coordinates of particles $\mathbf{r}_i(t)$. However, we do not need to know this information. We need to know equations of motion of each particle. The equations of motion of particles allow us to derive equations for the evolution of the collective variables. So, we will discuss the properties of the system in terms of the collective motion with no further references to the coordinates.

We consider the system of classic particles. We consider the elastic interactions, so we model the dynamics of all particles as the structureless objects

$$\dot{\mathbf{p}}_i(t) = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}_i(t), t), \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{p}_i(t)$ is the momentum, which is the function of time, and $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}_i(t), t)$ is the force acting on i -th particle being in point $\mathbf{r}_i(t)$. All interaction between objects happens via the fields (usually the electromagnetic field, while gravitational and nuclear fields are usually give no effects on atomic or plasmas effects). Therefore, the force is the projection of the corresponding force field on the trajectory of the i -th particle

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}_i(t), t) = \int d\mathbf{r} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}, t) \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)). \quad (3)$$

The force $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}_i(t), t)$ is the superposition of interactions with over particles in the system.

Actually we do not need to know the form of interaction to derive equation for evolution of function (1). Its time derivative gives the following relation

$$\partial_t n_m + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}_m = 0, \quad (4)$$

where

$$\mathbf{j}_m(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{v}_i(t) \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \quad (5)$$

is the microscopic current, $\mathbf{v}_i(t) = \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i(t)$ is the velocity of i -th particles, and we also assume that each particle is stable, it does not decay on other particles during evolution. The processes of creation/annihilation or ionization/recombination are not included in our analysis.

Concentration (1) is the collective variable. However, it is constructed on the microscopic scale. Since, function shows exact position of each particle in some point of space.




FIG. 1: The delta vicinity is illustrated. Vector ξ scanning Δ -vicinity is illustrated.

We can make the transition to the macroscopic scale. To this end, we need to introduce the scale giving the macroscopically infinitesimal volume. We use notation Δ for this volume.

In each moment of time t , we consider each point of space $\mathbf{r}$. We construct the Δ vicinity of each point of space $\mathbf{r}$ and calculate the concentration on the chosen scale

$$n(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{N(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\Delta}. \quad (6)$$

However, we do not know the number of particles $N(\mathbf{r}, t)$ in the Δ vicinity of any point. So, this definition is not useful for the further calculation.

Next, we make the proper generalization of definition (6) [10]:

$$n(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{\Delta} d\xi \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \delta(\mathbf{r} + \xi - \mathbf{r}_i(t)). \quad (7)$$

The Δ -vicinity presented in this formula is illustrated in Fig. 1.

The hydrodynamic model of plasmas requires the introduction of the concentration for each species. Each concentration and other hydrodynamic functions evolve under the action of all species in the system. We specify that we consider the quasi-neutral plasmas of two species: the electron-ion plasmas. We use the following numeration of particles: $i \in [1, N/2]$ for the electrons and $i \in [N/2 + 1, N]$ for the ions. We illustrate the derivation following the evolution of the concentration of electrons. The explicit contribution of ions is shown in the terms describing the interaction.

Equation (7) can be interpreted as action of operator of averaging [10], [11]:

$$\langle \dots \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{\Delta} d\xi \sum_{i=1}^N \dots \delta(\mathbf{r} + \xi - \mathbf{r}_i(t)), \quad (8)$$

which calculates the number of particles in the Δ -vicinity checking presence of each particle in the chosen vicinity scanning the vicinity by means vector ξ . Operator (8) can be replaced by symbol $\langle \dots \rangle$ to express equations in shorter form.

This method is suggested by Kuz'menkov L.S., it appears as the generalization of method suggested by Klimontovich Yu.L. [14], [?] , [1]. However, as it is mentioned in the Introduction, there is some different physical insite. Since, we introduce the space average on the physically infinitesimal volume in contrast with average on the ensemble of physical systems.

From physical point of view, there is the question on the value of the Δ -vicinity. The problem of estimation of the physically infinitesimal volume is addressed in literature. For example, Klimontovich Yu.L. in his book [9] (see also [14]) gives this estimation for two regimes: the rarefied gas of neutral atoms, and the rarefied plasmas. This estimation shows that the characteristic length for plasmas is of order of the Debye length $r_D = \sqrt{T/4\pi \sum_s n_{0s} q_s^2}$. However, this clear statement contains a contradiction for the hydrodynamics. Nevertheless, this estimation confirms below for the kinetic model. The physically infinitesimal volume has some nonzero value from microscopic point of view, but it is the zero volume on the macroscopic scale. So, it should be expressed via microscopic parameters related to the motion of individual particles. Let us specify that some authors refer to the notion "microscopic" as to the kinetic description, while the macroscopic is reserved for the hydrodynamics. In contrast to it, we call "microscopic" the scale, where we consider the motion of the individual particles, while both the kinetic and hydrodynamic models are considered as the macroscopic. Hence, the macroscopic scale is the scale, where the concentration (7) and the distribution function presented below are continuous functions (as much continuous as they can be in the world of discrete particles and atoms). The calculation of the Debye length is made on the macroscopic scale. The expression of the Debye length is found via the macroscopic parameters as well (so any attempt to find microscopic derivation does not change our conclusion). Hence, it define some macroscopical volume which does not corresponds to the macroscopically zero volume of the physically infinitesimal volume. Nevertheless, it is essential to have large number of particles in the physically infinitesimal volume to insure the continuity of hydrodynamic functions. For the low density plasmas we expect to have the following relations of the characteristic lengths: $r_B \ll a \equiv (\sqrt[3]{n})^{-1} \ll \sqrt[3]{\Delta} \ll r_D$, where $r_B = \hbar^2/m_e e^2$ is the Bohr radius. For the high density plasmas we have modified relations of the characteristic

lengths: $r_B \sim a \ll \sqrt[3]{\Delta} \ll r_D$.

Similarly to equations (1), (4), and (5) we can derive the continuity equation for the concentration (7). In order to get the derivation, we differentiate expression (7) with respect to time and obtain the continuity equation

$$\partial_t n + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j} = 0, \quad (9)$$

where the current $\mathbf{j}$ has the following definition

$$\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi} \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \mathbf{v}_i(t) \delta(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)). \quad (10)$$

We can also introduce the velocity field $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{j}/n$. For the current of the single species, we find that the momentum density $\mathbf{P}$ is proportional to the current $\mathbf{P} = m\mathbf{j}$.

In order to continue the derivation of hydrodynamics we consider the time evolution of the current $\mathbf{j}$. In this case we need the expression for the acceleration with the explicit form of interaction

$$\dot{\mathbf{v}}_i(t) = \frac{1}{m_i} \left(q_i \mathbf{E}_{ext}(\mathbf{r}_i(t), t) + \frac{1}{c} q_i [\mathbf{v}_i(t), \mathbf{B}_{ext}(\mathbf{r}_i(t), t)] - \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N q_i q_j \nabla_i G_{ij} \right), \quad (11)$$

where $G_{ij} = 1/r_{ij}$ is the Green function of the Coulomb interaction, $r_{ij} = |\mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_j(t)|$. The average velocity is found as arithmetic mean for all particles being in the vicinity.

In this section we consider the nonrelativistic plasmas. Therefore, we consider interaction in the quasi-static limit, which is presented by the Coulomb interaction.

The action of the time derivative on the current (10) leads to the action of the time derivative on the product of two functions under the integral $\mathbf{v}_i(t) \delta(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}_i(t))$. Hence, the result is the superposition of two terms under the integral $\dot{\mathbf{v}}_i(t) \delta(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}_i(t))$ requiring the acceleration (11), and $-\mathbf{v}_i(t) (\mathbf{v}_i(t) \cdot \nabla) \delta(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}_i(t))$ leading to the flux of the momentum.

It gives the general structure of the Euler equation or the momentum balance evolution equation

$$\partial_t j^a + \partial_b \Pi^{ab} = \frac{1}{m} (\Phi_{ext}^a + \Phi^a), \quad (12)$$

where

$$\Pi^{ab}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi} \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} v_i^a(t) v_i^b(t) \delta(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \quad (13)$$

is the momentum flux,

$$\Phi_{ext} = \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi} \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \left(q_i \mathbf{E}_{ext}(\mathbf{r}_i(t), t) \right.$$

$$\left. + \frac{1}{c} q_i [\mathbf{v}_i(t), \mathbf{B}_{ext}(\mathbf{r}_i(t), t)] \right) \delta(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \quad (14)$$

is the density of the force caused by the action of the external fields,

$$\Phi = -\frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi} \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N q_i q_j \nabla_i G_{ij} \delta(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \quad (15)$$

is the density of the force caused by the interparticle interaction, a and other Latin indexes (from beginning of alphabet) correspond to vector indexes in the Euclidian space, the Einstein rule of the summation on the repeating indexes is assumed: $\mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{E} = \sum_a j_a E^a = j_a E^a = j^a E^a$.

A. Multipole moments of physically infinitesimal volume in the external force field

We consider the external force field as two parts, one related to the electric field

$$\Phi_{ext,el} = q_s \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi} \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \mathbf{E}_{ext}(\mathbf{r}_i(t), t) \delta_i, \quad (16)$$

and the second related to the magnetic field

$$\Phi_{ext,m} = \frac{q_s}{c} \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi} \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} [\mathbf{v}_i(t), \mathbf{B}_{ext}(\mathbf{r}_i(t), t)] \delta_i, \quad (17)$$

where $\delta_i \equiv \delta(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}_i(t))$ is the short notation.

Start our discussion with the electric part of the external force field. We use the delta-function under the integral to represent the argument of the electric field

$$\Phi_{ext,el} = q_s \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi} \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \mathbf{E}_{ext}(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi}, t) \delta_i, \quad (18)$$

where we cannot place the electric field outside of the integral. However, if the electric field changes slowly over the physically infinitesimal volume (over the Δ -vicinity) we can expand function $\mathbf{E}_{ext}(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi}, t)$ on the vector $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ scanning the Δ -vicinity. Keeping few major terms of the expansion we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_{ext}(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi}, t) &\approx \mathbf{E}_{ext}(\mathbf{r}, t) \\ &+ (\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{E}_{ext}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \nabla)^2 \mathbf{E}_{ext}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

The substitution of this expression in the electric part of the external force field (18) gives corresponding expression of the electric part of the external force field

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{ext,el} &= q_s n_s \mathbf{E}_{ext}(\mathbf{r}, t) \\ &+ q_s (\mathbf{d} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{E}_{ext}(\mathbf{r}, t) + q_s Q^{ab} \partial_a \partial_b \mathbf{E}_{ext}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where

$$\mathbf{d} \equiv \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi} \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \boldsymbol{\xi} \delta(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \quad (21)$$

is the electric dipole moment of the Δ -vicinity divided by the charge q_s , and

$$Q^{ab}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi} \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \xi^a \xi^b \delta(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \quad (22)$$

is the electric quadrupole moment of the Δ -vicinity divided by the charge q_s .

B. Multipole moments of the Lorentz force field

Let us consider the magnetic part of the force field (24), where we expand the magnetic field on the vector $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ scanning the Δ -vicinity:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_{ext}(\mathbf{r}_i(t), t) &= \mathbf{B}_{ext}(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi}, t) \approx \mathbf{B}_{ext}(\mathbf{r}, t) \\ &+ (\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{B}_{ext}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \nabla)^2 \mathbf{B}_{ext}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Therefore, equation (24) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{ext,m}^a &= \frac{q_s}{c} \varepsilon^{abc} \left(j^b B_{ext}^c(\mathbf{r}, t) \right. \\ &\left. + J_D^{bd} \partial^d B_{ext}^c(\mathbf{r}, t) + J_Q^{bdf} \partial^d \partial^f B_{ext}^c(\mathbf{r}, t) \right) + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where we also use the Levi-Civita symbol ε^{abc} for the vector product in the tensor notations

$$J_D^{ab}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi} \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} v_i^a(t) \xi^b \delta(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \quad (25)$$

is the flux of the electric dipole moment of the Δ -vicinity divided by the charge q_s , and

$$J_Q^{abc}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi} \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} v_i^a(t) \xi^b \xi^c \delta(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \quad (26)$$

is the flux of the electric quadrupole moment of the Δ -vicinity divided by the charge q_s .

III. THE SELFCONSISTENT FIELD APPROXIMATION IN NON-RELATIVISTIC HYDRODYNAMICS

In the previous section we presented derivation of the general form of the Euler equation. Moreover, we considered the multipole expansion of the external force field.

Here, we consider the interparticle interaction. We have two goals too achieve in this section. The first goal is the analysis of the selfconsistent field approximation in order to understand its properties in the deterministic derivation of the hydrodynamic equations. The second goal is the multipole expansion of the interparticle interaction force field.

We repeat equation (15) with underlying some functions under the integral. Moreover, it is useful to specify number of species in the system. To get most simple presentation we chose the electron-proton plasmas (or completely ionized hydrogen plasmas). Let us numerate electrons as the particles with numbers $i \in [1, N/2]$ and ions as the particles with numbers $i \in [N/2 + 1, N]$. Let us also to point out that the set of hydrodynamic equations is obtained for each species. We focus on dynamics of electrons. Therefore, the force field acting on electrons is composed of the electron-electron interaction and the force field created by ions and acting on the electrons. The force field created by electrons, which acts on the electrons (the selfaction of the electron material field), has the following form

$$\Phi_{e-e} = -\frac{q_e^2}{\Delta} \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi} \sum_{i,j=1, j \neq i}^{N/2} \nabla_i G(|\mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_j(t)|) \delta(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)). \quad (27)$$

The action of ions on the electrons can be written in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{e-i} &= -q_e q_i \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi} \times \\ &\times \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \sum_{j=N/2+1}^N \nabla_i G(|\mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_j(t)|) \delta(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

We continue our analysis for the expression (27). This force field is not symmetric relatively i -th and j -th particles. Introducing the integral over whole scape we include the delta function containing coordinate of j -th particle

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{e-e} &= -\frac{q_e^2}{\Delta} \int_{\Delta} d\mathbf{r}' \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi} \sum_{i,j=1, j \neq i}^{N/2} \nabla_i G(|\mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}'|) \times \\ &\times \delta(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \delta(\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r}_j(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Here we see that the delta functions containing the i -th and j -th particles have different structure of arguments. We need to continue the symmetrization of the force field. To this end, we use the following mathematical relation: if we have two functions with the following relation $f(\mathbf{r}) = (1/\Delta) \int_{\Delta} g(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi}) d\boldsymbol{\xi}$ we find that their integrals over the whole space are equal to each other $\int d\mathbf{r} f(\mathbf{r}) = \int d\mathbf{r} g(\mathbf{r})$. Consequently, we can represent integral $\int d\mathbf{r}' \nabla_i G(|\mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}'|) \delta(\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r}_j(t))$ as the following structure $(1/\Delta) \int d\mathbf{r}' \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi} \nabla_i G(|\mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}' - \boldsymbol{\xi}|$




FIG. 2: The Δ -vicinity around arbitrary i -th particle is illustrated.

) $\delta(\mathbf{r}' + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}_j(t))$. It leads to the symmetric form of

$$\Phi_{e-e} = -\frac{q_e^2}{\Delta^2} \int d\mathbf{r}' \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi} \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi}' \sum_{i,j=1,j \neq i}^{N/2} \times$$

$$\times \nabla_i G(|\mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_j(t)|) \delta(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \delta(\mathbf{r}' + \boldsymbol{\xi}' - \mathbf{r}_j(t)). \quad (30)$$

A. Monopole approximation and the selfconsistent field approximation

We can use the delta function to express coordinates of particles in the Green function on $\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi}$ and $\mathbf{r}' + \boldsymbol{\xi}'$.

$$\Phi_{e-e} = -\frac{q_e^2}{\Delta^2} \int d\mathbf{r}' \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi} \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi}' \sum_{i,j=1,j \neq i}^{N/2} \times$$

$$\times \nabla_i G(|\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}' - \boldsymbol{\xi}'|) \delta(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \delta(\mathbf{r}' + \boldsymbol{\xi}' - \mathbf{r}_j(t)). \quad (31)$$

Both expressions (30) and (31) show that we cannot introduce the two-particle concentration on this stage of the derivation.

First, we consider the multipole expansion of the Green function $G(|\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}' - \boldsymbol{\xi}'|)$ assuming that it slowly changes on the scale of the Δ -vicinity. For simplicity, in this subsection, we consider the zero order expansion (the monopole limit). So, we have $G(|\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}' - \boldsymbol{\xi}'|) \approx G(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|)$. Hence, the Green function can be placed




FIG. 3: The Δ -vicinity around arbitrary point of space $\mathbf{r}$ is pictured. While some particles are around the center.

out the integral on the Δ -vicinities:

$$\Phi_{e-e} = -q_e^2 \int d\mathbf{r}' \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} G(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|) \cdot n_{2,ee}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t), \quad (32)$$

where

$$n_{2,ee}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t) = \frac{1}{\Delta^2} \times$$

$$\times \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi} \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi}' \sum_{i,j=1,j \neq i}^{N/2} \delta(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \delta(\mathbf{r}' + \boldsymbol{\xi}' - \mathbf{r}_j(t)) \quad (33)$$

is the two-particle concentration.

The Debay radius r_D is the distance, where the Coulomb field of the charge is screened. The screening is a macroscopic effect which requires the macroscopic number of particles in the Debay sphere. The average interparticle distance is $a \equiv n^{-1/3}$ and we have $r_D \gg a$.

If we consider the neutral particles we have strong decrease of the potential of interaction. Hence, the considerable changes in the state of motion of neutral particles are interpreted as the collisions since it happens at the small aiming parameter. The neutral particles move as the free particles between collisions.

In plasmas we have the long-range interaction. The interaction is screened, but it happens on the scale of the Debay radius r_D . However, the interaction of the charged particles being inside the Debay sphere is not interpreted as the collisions, at least not all of these interactions have interpretation as these collisions, but the small part of them.




FIG. 4: The interaction is represented via the two-particle functions, which includes the consideration of delta vicinities of two arbitrary points $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{r}'$. Figure illustrates the regime of overlapping delta vicinities of points $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{r}'$. Position of i -th particles belonging to both vicinities is illustrated as well.

There is the mechanism of chaotic interactions which transits the system to the equilibrium state and leads to the increase of the entropy. It corresponds to the interaction at the small interparticle distances. It can be interpreted as the scattering with the small aiming parameter. While the interaction on the large distances do not lead to the relaxation (see also [5]).

Particles mostly are located at the distances corresponding to the average interparticle distances from their neighbors. While, the particles move in the average collective field. However, incident convergence to distances $\Delta r \ll a$ gives strong scattering. Described scales allow to introduce corresponding scaling of the electromagnetic field acting on i -th particle located at point $\mathbf{r}_i(t') = \mathbf{r}'$. For instance, let us present the decomposition of the electromagnetic field vector $\mathbf{E}_i(\mathbf{r}_i(t'), t') = \mathbf{E}_{>\Delta}(\mathbf{r}', t') + \mathbf{e}_{<\Delta}(\mathbf{r}', t')$. Here, vector $\mathbf{E}_{>\Delta}$ is the electric field created by the particles being beyond the Δ -vicinity surrounding the i -th particle (see Fig. 2). Vector $\mathbf{e}_{<\Delta}$ is the electric field created by the particles being inside the Δ -vicinity surrounding the i -th particle.

However, if we consider the Δ -vicinity around the arbitrary point of space $\mathbf{r}$ and consider the evolution of particles inside (getting in or out) the Δ -vicinity we have distribution of particles in different points of the vicinity (not in its center), like it is demonstrated in Fig. 3. So, we have the following picture for the arbitrary particle in the Δ -vicinity.

As it is mentioned above ratio a/r_D is the small parameter. However, we want to specify that it corresponds to the square of the small dimensionless param-




FIG. 5: The two groups of interacting particles are illustrated. One group is illustrated via the single particle i belonging to both vicinities. The second group of particles is illustrated by j_1 , j_2 and j_3 , which belong to the delta vicinity of point $\mathbf{r}'$.

eter $a/r_D = \epsilon^2$ in order to introduce the intermediate scale $\Delta^{1/3}$. It leads to the following explicit expression for the radius of the Δ -vicinity: $\Delta^{1/3} = \sqrt{a \cdot r_D}$.

Fig. 4 shows i -th picture which belongs to the $\Delta_{\mathbf{r}}$ -vicinity of point $\mathbf{r}$ and to the $\Delta_{\mathbf{r}'}$ -vicinity of point $\mathbf{r}'$. Hence, the particle i is under action of "collisions" from the particle $j \in \Delta_{\mathbf{r}'}$ (but being beyond $\Delta_{\mathbf{r}}$, see Fig. 5). Fig. 5 shows particles $j_k \in \Delta_{\mathbf{r}'}$, but they do not belong to $\Delta_{\mathbf{r}}$, which "collide" with particle i in order to change the momentum of particles in the vicinity $\Delta_{\mathbf{r}}$. Fig. 6 shows the i -th and j -th particles which simultaneously belong to $\Delta_{\mathbf{r}}$ and $\Delta_{\mathbf{r}'}$. Their interaction do not change the momentum of all particles in the $\Delta_{\mathbf{r}}$ due to the Newton's third law. In order to neglect the contribution of the collisions of i -th and j -th particles illustrated in Fig. 6 in the evolution of the particles in the $\Delta_{\mathbf{r}}$ -vicinity we need to keep $\mathbf{r}'$ at distances larger then $2\sqrt[3]{\Delta}$ from point $\mathbf{r}$. So, the vicinities do not cross each other. Particles $i_k \in \Delta_{\mathbf{r}}$ interact with particles $j_k \in \Delta_{\mathbf{r}'}$ up to distances $|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'| \sim r_{De}$. The interaction can be neglected completely for the larger distances.

We use the selfconsistent field approximation in equation (32), so we assume $n_2(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t) = n(\mathbf{r}, t)n(\mathbf{r}', t)$, and find

$$\Phi_{e-e} = -q_e^2 n(\mathbf{r}, t) \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \int d\mathbf{r}' G(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|) n(\mathbf{r}', t). \quad (34)$$

Expression (34) allows us to introduce the electrostatic potential of the electric field created by electrons as $\varphi_e(\mathbf{r}, t) = q_e \int d\mathbf{r}' G(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|) n(\mathbf{r}', t)$, and corresponding electric field $\mathbf{E}_e = -\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \varphi_e(\mathbf{r}, t)$. Obtained electric field satisfies the following equations $\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_e = 0$, and




FIG. 6: The two interacting particles simultaneously being parts of two delta-vicinities are illustrated.

$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_e = 4\pi q_e n_e$. The complete electric field is the superposition of the electric fields created by all species of the system $\mathbf{E} = \sum_{s=e,i} \mathbf{E}_s$ which obeys the electrostatic Maxwell equations $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0$, and

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 4\pi \sum_s q_s n_s. \quad (35)$$

On this stage we can present the intermediate form of the Euler equation (12)

$$\partial_t j_s^a + \partial_b \Pi_s^{ab} = \frac{q_s}{m_s} \left(n_s (E_{ext}^a + E^a) + \varepsilon^{abc} j_s^b B_{ext}^c \right). \quad (36)$$

B. Multipole expansion

In order to consider the multipole expansion existing if the Green function $G(|\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}' - \boldsymbol{\xi}'|)$ slowly changes on the scale of the Δ -vicinity, we need to consider equation (31) in more details. First, we present the expansion of the Green function

$$\begin{aligned} G(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}' + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \boldsymbol{\xi}'|) &\approx G(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|) + (\xi^a - \xi'^a) \partial_a G(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} (\xi^a - \xi'^a) (\xi^b - \xi'^b) \partial_a \partial_b G(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

The direct substitution gives us the following huge expression

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{e-e}^a &= -\frac{q_e^2}{\Delta^2} \int d\mathbf{r}' \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^a G(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|) \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi} \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi}' \sum_{i,j=1,j \neq i}^{N/2} \delta(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \delta(\mathbf{r}' + \boldsymbol{\xi}' - \mathbf{r}_j(t)) \\ &- \frac{q_e^2}{\Delta^2} \int d\mathbf{r}' \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^a \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b G(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|) \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi} \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi}' \sum_{i,j=1,j \neq i}^{N/2} (\xi^a - \xi'^a) \delta(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \delta(\mathbf{r}' + \boldsymbol{\xi}' - \mathbf{r}_j(t)) \\ &- \frac{q_e^2}{\Delta^2} \int d\mathbf{r}' \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^a \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^c G(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|) \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi} \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi}' \sum_{i,j=1,j \neq i}^{N/2} \frac{1}{2} (\xi^a \xi^b - \xi'^a \xi'^b - \xi^a \xi'^b + \xi'^a \xi^b) \delta(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \delta(\mathbf{r}' + \boldsymbol{\xi}' - \mathbf{r}_j(t)) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Obtained expression can be rewritten via corresponding two-particle functions

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{e-e}^a &= -q_e^2 \int d\mathbf{r}' \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^a G(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|) \cdot n_2(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t) - q_e^2 \int d\mathbf{r}' \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^a \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b G(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|) \cdot \left(d_2^b(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t) - d_2^b(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}, t) \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} q_e^2 \int d\mathbf{r}' \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^a \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^b \partial_{\mathbf{r}}^c G(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|) \cdot \left(Q_2^{bc}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t) + Q_2^{bc}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}, t) - D_2^{bc}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t) - D_2^{cb}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

where we introduce three two-particles functions

$$d_2^b(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t) = \frac{1}{\Delta^2} \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi} d\boldsymbol{\xi}' \sum_{i=1,j \neq i}^{N/2} \xi^b \delta(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \delta(\mathbf{r}' + \boldsymbol{\xi}' - \mathbf{r}_j(t)), \quad (40)$$

the permutation of its arguments leads to

$$d_2^b(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{\Delta^2} \int_{\Delta} d\xi d\xi' \sum_{i=1, j \neq i}^{N/2} \xi'^b \delta(\mathbf{r} + \xi - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \delta(\mathbf{r}' + \xi' - \mathbf{r}_j(t)) \quad (41)$$

are the two forms of two-particle function of concentration-polarization (40) or polarization-concentration (41),

$$Q_2^{bc}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t) = \frac{1}{\Delta^2} \int_{\Delta} d\xi d\xi' \sum_{i=1, j \neq i}^{N/2} \xi^b \xi'^c \delta(\mathbf{r} + \xi - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \delta(\mathbf{r}' + \xi' - \mathbf{r}_j(t)) \quad (42)$$

is the two-particle function of concentration-quadrupole moment, and

$$D_2^{bc}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t) = \frac{1}{\Delta^2} \int_{\Delta} d\xi d\xi' \sum_{i=1, j \neq i}^{N/2} \xi^b \xi'^c \delta(\mathbf{r} + \xi - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \delta(\mathbf{r}' + \xi' - \mathbf{r}_j(t)) \quad (43)$$

is the two-particle polarization-polarization function.

C. Selfconsistent field approximation

Let us repeat the conclusion about meaning of the self-consistent field approximation. Our discussion presented above leads to conclusion that the Δ -vicinity has radius of order of $\sqrt{a \cdot r_d}$, where $a = 1/\sqrt[3]{n}$ is the average interparticle distance, and r_d is the Dabay radius. The self-consistent field approximation corresponds to regime of interaction of particle being in the nonoverlapping Δ -vicinities. This condition allows to split the two-particle hydrodynamic functions in the product of corresponding one-particle hydrodynamic functions.

We use the selfconsistent field approximation in equation (39), so we assume $n_2(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t) = n(\mathbf{r}, t)n(\mathbf{r}', t)$, $d_2^b(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t) = d^b(\mathbf{r}, t)n(\mathbf{r}', t)$, $d_2^b(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}, t) = n(\mathbf{r}, t)d^b(\mathbf{r}', t)$, $Q_2^{bc}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t) = Q^{bc}(\mathbf{r}, t)n(\mathbf{r}', t)$, $Q_2^{bc}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}, t) = n(\mathbf{r}, t)Q^{bc}(\mathbf{r}', t)$, and $D_2^{bc}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t) = d^b(\mathbf{r}, t)d^c(\mathbf{r}', t)$. Consequently, equation (39) transforms into

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{e-e}^a = -q_e^2 \left[n(\mathbf{r}, t) \partial^a \left(\int d\mathbf{r}' G(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|) n(\mathbf{r}', t) - \partial^b \int d\mathbf{r}' G(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|) d^b(\mathbf{r}', t) + \frac{1}{2} \partial^b \partial^c \int d\mathbf{r}' G(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|) n(\mathbf{r}', t) + \dots \right) \right. \\ \left. + d^b(\mathbf{r}, t) \partial^a \partial^b \left(\int d\mathbf{r}' G(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|) n(\mathbf{r}', t) - \partial^c \int d\mathbf{r}' G(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|) d^c(\mathbf{r}', t) + \dots \right) + Q^{bc}(\mathbf{r}, t) \partial^a \partial^b \left(\int d\mathbf{r}' G(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|) n(\mathbf{r}', t) + \dots \right) + \dots \right] \quad (44) \end{aligned}$$

Equation (44) allows to introduce the multipole expansion of the electrostatic potential

$$\varphi_e(\mathbf{r}, t) = q_e \int d\mathbf{r}' G(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|) n(\mathbf{r}', t) - \partial^b \int d\mathbf{r}' G(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|) d^b(\mathbf{r}', t) + \frac{1}{2} \partial^b \partial^c \int d\mathbf{r}' G(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|) n(\mathbf{r}', t) + \dots \quad (45)$$

Therefore, equation (44) reappears structural form

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{e-e} = q_e n_e \mathbf{E}_e \\ + (\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{E}_e + \frac{1}{2} Q^{bc}(\mathbf{r}, t) \partial^b \partial^c \mathbf{E}_e + \dots, \quad (46) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{E}_e = -\nabla \varphi_e(\mathbf{r}, t)$, and we also can introduce full electric field $\mathbf{E} = \sum_{s=e,i} \mathbf{E}_s$, which satisfy the following quasi-static Maxwell equations $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0$, and

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 4\pi \sum_s q_s \left(n_s + (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{r}, t)) + \frac{1}{2} \partial^b \partial^c Q^{bc}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \dots \right). \quad (47)$$

Full set of hydrodynamic equations requires the equations for the evolution of for functions $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{r}, t)$, $Q^{bc}(\mathbf{r}, t)$, etc. We do not present or discuss these equations. Some information can be found in Ref. [10].

IV. DERIVATION OF THE VLASOV EQUATION TRACING THE MICROSCOPIC MOTION OF PARTICLES

In order to derive the kinetic theory we need to introduce the distribution function in the six-dimensional

coordinate-momentum space. We start with the microscopic definition for the system of the point-like particles [14]

$$f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_i(t)), \quad (48)$$

where we have the coordinates of particles $\mathbf{r}_i(t)$, and their momentums $\mathbf{p}_i(t) = m_i \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i(t)$ (the nonrelativistic regime). We use notation $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)$ for the microscopic distribution function same as the notation for the macroscopic function below. However, we can give more detailed representation of arguments of the distribution function (48) via tracing the time dependence as follows $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}_i(t), \mathbf{p}_i(t))$ or $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, \{\mathbf{r}_1(t), \mathbf{p}_1(t), \dots, \mathbf{r}_{N/2}(t), \mathbf{p}_{N/2}(t)\})$. Let us repeat that the consideration of the kinetic model of plasmas requires the introduction of the distribution function for each species. Each distribution function evolves under the action of all species in the system. We specify that we consider the quasi-neutral plasmas of two species: the electron-ion plasmas. We use the following numeration of particles: $i \in [1, N/2]$ for the electrons and $i \in [N/2 + 1, N]$ for the ions. It can be easily represented to the arbitrary set of species in plasmas including neutral particles. We illustrate the derivation following the evolution of the distribution function of electrons. The explicit contribution of ions is shown in the terms describing the interaction.

Next, we make transition to the physically infinitesimal area. Moreover, we consider the physically infinitesimal areas both for the coordinate space and the momentum space. It is constructed in the similar way as the concentration (7) presented above

$$f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{1}{\Delta_p} \times \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\boldsymbol{\xi} d\boldsymbol{\eta} \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \delta(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \delta(\mathbf{p} + \boldsymbol{\eta} - \mathbf{p}_i(t)), \quad (49)$$

where $d\boldsymbol{\eta}$ is the element of volume in the momentum space, $\int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\boldsymbol{\xi} d\boldsymbol{\eta} = \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi} \int_{\Delta_p} d\boldsymbol{\eta}$, with $\int_{\Delta_p} d\boldsymbol{\eta}$ integral over Δ_p -vicinity in the momentum space, $\Delta \equiv \Delta_r$ is the delta vicinity in the coordinate space. As the notion, it is same delta vicinity which is used above at the derivation of hydrodynamics. However, its value in kinetics should be larger in order to get the continuous distribution function.

We need to derive the equations for the evolution of the distribution functions. Hence, we need to use the equations of motion of the particles. Here, we consider the nonrelativistic regime for the systems of charged particles. Consequently, we can use equation (11). First, we consider the kinetic equation for the microscopic distribution function (48). We take the derivative on time of




FIG. 7: The consideration of physical kinetics requires analysis of the six-dimensional phase space. We need to construct the delta-vicinity in the six-dimensional space. It is basically delta-vicinities in the coordinate space and in the momentum space. The delta vicinity in the coordinate space is illustrated with Fig. 1. The delta vicinity in the momentum space is illustrated here. Corresponding notations including illustration of vector $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ scanning the vicinity.

function (48) and obtain the equation for its evolution

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) + \nabla \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \mathbf{v}_i(t) \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_i(t)) \\ + \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) (\dot{\mathbf{p}}_i(t) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{p}}) \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_i(t)) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

We can replace $\nabla_{\mathbf{p}}$ to put it in front of whole term, but it would interfere with the following manipulations with this equation. We use the equations of motion for each particle. Using the delta functions we replace $\mathbf{r}_i(t) \rightarrow \mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{p}_i(t) \rightarrow \mathbf{p}$ ($\mathbf{v}_i(t) \rightarrow \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{p}/m$) in the expression for the external field acting on i -th particle.

This calculation gives the untruncated microscopic kinetic equation [14]

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \\ + \frac{q_s}{m_s} ((\mathbf{E}_{ext}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}_{ext}(\mathbf{r}, t)/c) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{p}}) f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \\ - \frac{q_s q_{s'}}{m_s} \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N (\nabla_i G_{ij}) \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_i(t)) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

The last term in this equation (51) describes the interaction. The presence of the Green function of the Coulomb interaction leads to the fact that it cannot be expressed via the distribution function, while it requires the introduction of the two-particle distribution function.

Let us derive the evolution of the distribution function based on exact microscopic motion, but considered on the scale of the Δ -vicinity (49). We calculate the time derivative of function (49) and find the following intermediate equation

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) + \nabla \cdot \frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{1}{\Delta_p} \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\xi d\eta \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i(t) \delta(\mathbf{r} + \xi - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \delta(\mathbf{p} + \eta - \mathbf{p}_i(t)) \\ + \nabla \mathbf{p} \cdot \frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{1}{\Delta_p} \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\xi d\eta \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \dot{\mathbf{p}}_i(t) \delta(\mathbf{r} + \xi - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \delta(\mathbf{p} + \eta - \mathbf{p}_i(t)) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

The second (third) term appears as the result of action of the time derivative on the delta-function depending on the coordinate (momentum).

Let us make some simple transformations of equation (52). We use the delta-function depending on the momentum in order to replace the velocity of i -th particle $\mathbf{v}_i(t) = \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i(t)$ by $(\mathbf{p} + \eta)/m_s$ ($m_i = m_s$ for all particles of the species under consideration) in the second term of equation (52). In the third term of equation (52) we use the equation of motion for i -th particle (11). Next, we replace the coordinates $\mathbf{r}_i(t)$ (velocities $\mathbf{v}_i(t)$) in the force acting on i -th particle by $\mathbf{r} + \xi$ (by $(\mathbf{p} + \eta)/m_s$). Hence, we obtain the following representation of equation (52):

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) + \frac{1}{m_s} \nabla \cdot \frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{1}{\Delta_p} \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\xi d\eta \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} (\mathbf{p} + \eta) \delta(\mathbf{r} + \xi - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \delta(\mathbf{p} + \eta - \mathbf{p}_i(t)) + \frac{q_s}{m_s} \frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{1}{\Delta_p} \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\xi d\eta \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \times \\ \times \left(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r} + \xi, t) + \frac{1}{m_s c} [(\mathbf{p} + \eta) \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r} + \xi, t)] - q_s \nabla \mathbf{r} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N G(\mathbf{r} + \xi - \mathbf{r}_j(t)) \right) \delta(\mathbf{r} + \xi - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{p} \delta(\mathbf{p} + \eta - \mathbf{p}_i(t)) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

The second term in equation (53) splits on two terms. The first of them has the well-known form $\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f_e$. However, the second part of this term has rather unusual structure $\frac{1}{m_s} \nabla \cdot \frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{1}{\Delta_p} \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\xi d\eta \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \eta \delta \mathbf{r}_i \delta \mathbf{p}_i \equiv \frac{1}{m_s} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)$ related to deviation of the average momentum of particles in the Δ_p -vicinity from value $\mathbf{p}$ being the center of the vicinity, where $\delta \mathbf{r}_i \equiv \delta(\mathbf{r} + \xi - \mathbf{r}_i(t))$, and $\delta \mathbf{p}_i \equiv \delta(\mathbf{p} + \eta - \mathbf{p}_i(t))$.

The third term in equation (53) contains three terms, while the second of them presents the Lorentz force. The Lorentz force $\frac{1}{m_s c} [(\mathbf{p} + \eta) \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r} + \xi, t)]$ splits on two terms due to the deviation of the average momentum

$\mathbf{p} + \eta$ from $\mathbf{p}$. Therefore, we have the contribution of the vector distribution function $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)$ mentioned above.

In addition to the presence of the vector distribution function $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)$ in kinetic equation (53) we see necessity to make the multipole expansion of the electric field, the magnetic field, and the Green function of the electron-electron interaction. Before we make the expansion of the Green function, we need to give symmetric form to term containing this function. Technical steps are the same as we use for the transformation of the hydrodynamic equations above. Equation (53) can be rewritten in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) + \frac{q_s}{m_s} \frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{1}{\Delta_p} \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\xi d\eta \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \left(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r} + \xi, t) + \frac{1}{m_s c} [(\mathbf{p} + \eta) \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r} + \xi, t)] \right) \delta \mathbf{r}_i \cdot \nabla \mathbf{p} \delta \mathbf{p}_i \\ - \frac{q_s}{m_s} q_s \nabla \mathbf{p} \cdot \frac{1}{\Delta^2} \frac{1}{\Delta_p^2} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\xi d\eta d\xi' d\eta' \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N \nabla \mathbf{r} G(\mathbf{r} + \xi - \mathbf{r}' - \xi') \delta \mathbf{r}_i \delta \mathbf{p}_i \delta \mathbf{r}'_j \delta \mathbf{p}'_j = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

where $\delta \mathbf{r}'_j \equiv \delta(\mathbf{r}' + \xi' - \mathbf{r}_j(t))$, and $\delta \mathbf{p}'_j \equiv \delta(\mathbf{p}' + \eta' - \mathbf{p}_j(t))$. The fourth and fifth terms describe the action of

the external fields and the field of other particle on i -th particle (with further summation on i over all particles). In this form we cannot include the distribution function in these terms due to the presence of the electric field, the magnetic field and the Green function under the integral.

The third term in equation (54) contains the vector distribution function, which has the following explicit form:

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{1}{\Delta_p} \times \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\boldsymbol{\xi} d\boldsymbol{\eta} \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \boldsymbol{\eta} \delta(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \delta(\mathbf{p} + \boldsymbol{\eta} - \mathbf{p}_i(t)). \quad (55)$$

A. Monopole approximation of the kinetic equation

The dependence of the electric and magnetic fields on $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ and dependence of the Green function on $\boldsymbol{\xi} - \boldsymbol{\xi}'$ do not allow to replace these functions outside of integrals on $d\boldsymbol{\xi}$ in order to introduce the distribution function in these terms and obtain the closed model. If functions E , B , and G slowly change on scale of the delta-vicinity we can expand these functions on $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ or $\boldsymbol{\xi} - \boldsymbol{\xi}'$, correspondingly. In this section we consider the first terms in these expansions. We call it the monopole approximation.

Monopole approximation of equation (54) in coordinate space (on $\boldsymbol{\xi}$) of the kinetic equation has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{f} + \frac{q_s}{m_s} \left(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \frac{1}{c} [\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t)] \right) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} f \\ + \frac{q_s}{m_s^2 c} (\nabla_{\mathbf{p}} \cdot [\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) \times \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)]) \\ - \frac{q_s}{m_s} q_{s'} \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' (\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} G(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')) f_2(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}', t) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

where $q_{s'} f_2 = q_e f_{2,ee} + q_i f_{2,ei}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f_{2,ee}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}', t) = \\ = \frac{1}{\Delta^2} \frac{1}{\Delta_p^2} \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\boldsymbol{\xi} d\boldsymbol{\eta} d\boldsymbol{\xi}' d\boldsymbol{\eta}' \sum_{i,j=1, j \neq i}^{N/2} \delta_{\mathbf{r}_i} \delta_{\mathbf{p}_i} \delta_{\mathbf{r}'_j} \delta_{\mathbf{p}'_j} \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

is the two-particle electron-electron distribution function.

The third and fifth terms should be dropped if we consider the monopole approximation in the momentum space.

Neglecting $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ in compare with the momentum $\mathbf{p}$ corresponds to the neglecting of the vector distribution function in equation (56).

V. THE SELFCONSISTENT FIELD APPROXIMATION IN NON-RELATIVISTIC KINETICS

A. Selfconsistent field approximation in the monopole approximation

Formally, the coordinate part of Δ -vicinity of the point in six-dimensional phase space is introduced in the same way as it is made for the hydrodynamics. Above we give an estimation of the radius of the Δ_r -vicinity as $\Delta_r^{1/3} = \sqrt[3]{a r_D}$, where $a = \sqrt[3]{n}$ is the average interparticle distance, and r_D is the Debay radius. This physical estimation reflects the mathematical requirement for the construction of the continuous functions (like the concentration, velocity field, etc) on the macroscopic scale. The Δ -vicinity of each point $\mathbf{r}$ should contain the large number of particles $N(\mathbf{r}, t) \gg 1$, so the change of this number on one or few particles gives the small change of the hydrodynamic functions. We also have same requirement for the distribution function $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)$. But there are stronger restrictions on the number of particles in the six-dimensional Δ -vicinity $N(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)$. Hence, if we have fixed interval of momentum (Δ_p -vicinity of point $\mathbf{p}$ in the momentum space) we should have $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)$ continuous in the coordinate space. This property should remain at the change of point in the momentum space. Hence, for each point in the momentum space we should have in the coordinate space same number of particles as for the hydrodynamic description $\tilde{N}$. However, the further summation over all momentum space gives the full number of particles in coordinate Δ_r -vicinity up to $\tilde{N}^2$. For the fixed concentration it increases the scale of Δ_r -vicinity in the coordinate space up to $\Delta_{r \in ph}^{1/3} = r_D$, where the subindex $r \in ph$ means that it is coordinate Δ_r -vicinity being the part of six-dimensional Δ -vicinity.

To get full the coordinate and momentum monopole approximations we drop the third and fifth terms in equation (56). In order to obtain the present the two-particle distribution function as the product of the single-particle distribution functions. Finally, we obtain the Vlasov kinetic equation in the quasi-electrostatic approximation

$$\partial_t f + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f + q_s \left(\mathbf{E}_{ext} + \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}_{ext} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{p}} = 0, \quad (58)$$

where the electric field is caused by the distribution of charges in the coordinate space: $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0$, and

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 4\pi \sum_s q_s \int f_s(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) d\mathbf{p}. \quad (59)$$

The structure of equation for two species electron-ion regime is discussed. In order to simplify the presentation we explicitly show the evolution of electrons under the interaction with electrons. The account of ions can be made in the way described above.

B. Multipole approximation of the kinetic equation

We use the expansions of the electric field (19), the magnetic field (23), and the Green function of the Coulomb interaction (37) on ξ and $\xi - \xi'$

Equation (56) appears in the zeroth order multipole

expansion in the momentum space. Here, we consider the multipole expansion of equation (54) in the coordinate space.

We consider terms up to the second order on ξ or $\xi - \xi'$ and find the following equations presented in terms of number of the one-particle distribution functions:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t f_s + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f_s + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{f}_s + q_s \left(\mathbf{E}_{ext} + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}_{ext} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial f_s}{\partial \mathbf{p}} + q_s \left(\partial^b \mathbf{E}_{ext} + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v} \times \partial^b \mathbf{B}_{ext} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial d_s^b(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \\
& + q_e \left(\partial^b \partial^c \mathbf{E}_{ext} + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v} \times \partial^b \partial^c \mathbf{B}_{ext} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial Q_s(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)}{\partial \mathbf{p}} + q_s \frac{1}{c} \varepsilon^{abc} B_{ext}^c \partial_a \mathbf{p} f_s^b + q_s \frac{1}{c} \varepsilon^{abc} \partial^d B_{ext}^c \partial_a \mathbf{p} J_{D,s}^{bd} + q_s \frac{1}{c} \varepsilon^{abc} \partial^d \partial^f B_{ext}^c \partial_a \mathbf{p} J_{Q,s}^{bdf} \\
& - \frac{q_s}{m_s} \nabla \mathbf{p} \cdot \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' (\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} G(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')) f_2(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}', t) - \frac{q_s}{m_s} \nabla \mathbf{p} \cdot \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' (\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \partial^b G(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')) [d_2^b(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}', t) - d_2^b(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}, t)] \\
& - \frac{q_s}{m_s} \nabla \mathbf{p} \cdot \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' (\nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \partial^b \partial^c G(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')) [Q_2^{bc}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}', t) + Q_2^{bc}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}, t) - D_2^{bc}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}', t) - D_2^{cb}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}', t)] = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

where

$$d^a(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{1}{\Delta_p} \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\xi d\eta \sum_{i=1}^N \xi^a \delta_{\mathbf{r}_i} \delta_{\mathbf{p}_i} \tag{61}$$

is the distribution function of dipole moment divided by the charge q_s ,

$$Q^{ab}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{1}{\Delta_p} \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} \xi^a \xi^b d\xi d\eta \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{\mathbf{r}_i} \delta_{\mathbf{p}_i} \tag{62}$$

is the distribution function of quadrupole moment divided by the charge q_s ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& d_2^a(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}', t) = \\
& = \frac{1}{\Delta^2} \frac{1}{\Delta_p^2} \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\xi d\eta d\xi' d\eta' \sum_{i,j=1, j \neq i}^N \xi^a \delta_{\mathbf{r}_i} \delta_{\mathbf{p}_i} \delta_{\mathbf{r}'_j} \delta_{\mathbf{p}'_j}
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

is the two-particle dipole-charge distribution function divided by the charge q_s ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& Q_2^{ab}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}', t) = \\
& = \frac{1}{\Delta^2} \frac{1}{\Delta_p^2} \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\xi d\eta d\xi' d\eta' \sum_{i,j=1, j \neq i}^N \xi^a \xi^b \delta_{\mathbf{r}_i} \delta_{\mathbf{p}_i} \delta_{\mathbf{r}'_j} \delta_{\mathbf{p}'_j}
\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

is the two-particle quadrupole-charge distribution function divided by the charge q_s ,

$$D_2^{ab}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}', t) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& = \frac{1}{\Delta^2} \frac{1}{\Delta_p^2} \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\xi d\eta d\xi' d\eta' \sum_{i,j=1, j \neq i}^N \xi^a \xi'^b \delta_{\mathbf{r}_i} \delta_{\mathbf{p}_i} \delta_{\mathbf{r}'_j} \delta_{\mathbf{p}'_j}
\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

is the two-particle dipole-dipole distribution function divided by the charge q_s ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& J_D^{ab}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \\
& = \frac{1}{\Delta^2} \frac{1}{\Delta_p^2} \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\xi d\eta d\xi' d\eta' \sum_{i,j=1, j \neq i}^N \eta^a \xi^b \delta_{\mathbf{r}_i} \delta_{\mathbf{p}_i} \delta_{\mathbf{r}'_j} \delta_{\mathbf{p}'_j}
\end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

is the distribution function of flux of dipole moment divided by the charge q_s , and

$$\begin{aligned}
& J_Q^{abc}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \\
& = \frac{1}{\Delta^2} \frac{1}{\Delta_p^2} \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\xi d\eta d\xi' d\eta' \sum_{i,j=1, j \neq i}^N \eta^a \xi^b \xi^c \delta_{\mathbf{r}_i} \delta_{\mathbf{p}_i} \delta_{\mathbf{r}'_j} \delta_{\mathbf{p}'_j}
\end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

is the distribution function of flux of the electric quadrupole moment divided by the charge q_s .

C. Selfconsistent field approximation in the multipole approximation

Physically, the selfconsistent field approximation in the multipole regime is the same as the selfconsistent field

approximation in the monopole regime described above. Technically, we have splitting of the two-particle distribution functions d_2^a , Q_2^{ab} , and D_2^a on the corresponding

one-particle distribution functions. It gives simplification of kinetic equation (60):

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t f_s + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f_s + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{f}_s + q_s \left(\mathbf{E}_{ext} + \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}_{ext} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial f_s}{\partial \mathbf{p}} + q_s \left(\partial^b (\mathbf{E}_{ext} + \mathbf{E}) + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v} \times \partial^b \mathbf{B}_{ext} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial d_s^b}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \\ & + q_e \left(\partial^b \partial^c (\mathbf{E}_{ext} + \mathbf{E}) + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v} \times \partial^b \partial^c \mathbf{B}_{ext} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial Q_s}{\partial \mathbf{p}} + q_s \frac{1}{c} \varepsilon^{abc} B_{ext}^c \partial_a \mathbf{p} f_s^b + q_s \frac{1}{c} \varepsilon^{abc} \partial^d B_{ext}^c \partial_a \mathbf{p} J_{D,s}^{bd} + q_s \frac{1}{c} \varepsilon^{abc} \partial^d \partial^f B_{ext}^c \partial_a \mathbf{p} J_{Q,s}^{bdf} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

Kinetic equation (68) contains the self-consistent electric field, which satisfies the following equations: $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} &= 4\pi \sum_s q_s \left(\int f_s(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) d\mathbf{p} \right. \\ & \left. + \partial^b \int d_s^b(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) d\mathbf{p} + \frac{1}{2} \partial^b \partial^c \int Q_s^{bc}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) d\mathbf{p} + \dots \right), \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

where the Coulomb interaction leads to the contribution of the charge dynamics along with the dynamics of the kinetic multipole distribution functions.

Complete analysis of equation (68) requires the kinetic equations for the additional vector and tensor distribution functions. These equations can be derived by the method demonstrated in this paper. But, we do not present these equations here. This paper is focused on the method of derivation of hydrodynamic and kinetic equations and on the ways of further generalizations of these models including account of hydrodynamic or kinetic multipole functions. Examples of closed set of hydrodynamic or kinetic equations consistently including these effects are the subject of further work.

VI. THE RELATIVISTIC HYDRODYNAMIC MODEL WITH THE AVERAGE REVERSE GAMMA FACTOR EVOLUTION AND THE SELFCONSISTENT FIELD APPROXIMATION IN THE RELATIVISTIC HYDRODYNAMICS

Nonrelativistic hydrodynamics and kinetics are considered above. Basic definitions are given. The self-consistent field approximation is discussed in terms of suggested model. Our next goal is the generalization of this method for the systems of relativistic particles. First, we consider the relativistic hydrodynamics. We chose the form of relativistic hydrodynamics in the form of hydrodynamic model with the average reverse gamma factor evolution recently suggested in Refs. [37], [38]. The hydrodynamic model with the average reverse gamma factor evolution is obtained in the monopole approximation.

Moreover, the interaction between particles and the self-consistent field approximation are not considered explicitly in the cited papers.

The equation of motion for each particle appears as the evolution of the momentum under action of the Lorentz force

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbf{p}}_i(t) &= \frac{1}{m_i} \left(q_i \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}_i(t), t) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{c} q_i [\mathbf{v}_i(t), \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}_i(t), t)] \right), \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

where $\mathbf{p}_i(t) = m_i \mathbf{v}_i(t) / \sqrt{1 - \mathbf{v}_i^2(t)/c^2}$ is the relativistic momentum, $\mathbf{E}_i = \mathbf{E}_{i,ext} + \mathbf{E}_{i,int}$, $\mathbf{B}_i = \mathbf{B}_{i,ext} + \mathbf{B}_{i,int}$, $\mathbf{E}_i = \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}_i(t), t)$, and $\mathbf{B}_i = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}_i(t), t)$. The electric $\mathbf{E}_{i,int}$ and magnetic $\mathbf{B}_{i,int}$ fields caused by particles surrounding the i -th particle are $\mathbf{E}_{i,int} = -\nabla_i \varphi(\mathbf{r}_i(t), t) - \frac{1}{c} \partial_t \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_i(t), t)$ and $\mathbf{B}_{i,int} = \nabla_i \times \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_i(t), t)$ with

$$\varphi(\mathbf{r}_i(t), t) = \sum_{j \neq i} q_j \int \frac{\delta(t - t' - \frac{1}{c} |\mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_j(t')|)}{|\mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_j(t')|} dt', \quad (71)$$

and

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_i(t), t) = \sum_{j \neq i} q_j \int \frac{\delta(t - t' - \frac{1}{c} |\mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_j(t')|)}{|\mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_j(t')|} \frac{\mathbf{v}_j(t')}{c} dt'. \quad (72)$$

Here we use the Green function of the retarding electromagnetic interaction

$$\tilde{G}_{ij} = \frac{\delta(t - t' - \frac{1}{c} |\mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_j(t')|)}{|\mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_j(t')|} \quad (73)$$

Here, we demonstrate scalar and vector potentials of electromagnetic field acting on i -th particles and, therefore, created by the surrounding particles. These potentials (71) and (72) can be represented via corresponding Maxwell equations.

Relativistic hydrodynamics is derived by the method described above in Refs. [37], [38]. However, the details

of the selfconsistent field approximation is not considered in these papers, while equations are obtained there in this approximation.

Let us consider the definition of concentration n (7) for the relativistic regime. It has same form (7) which is represented in the shorter form $n = \langle m_i \rangle / m$. We contract the Δ -vicinities in the arbitrary inertial frame. If we consider the transition to another inertial frame which moves relatively the first frame with the constant velocity $\mathbf{V} = \{V, 0, 0\}$ we use the global Lorentz transformation. All Δ -vicinities change their form due to the contraction of distance in the direction of motion of the second inertial frame: $\Delta x' = \sqrt{1 - V^2/c^2} \Delta x$. So, the Δ -vicinities in the second frame are not spherical anymore. Their volume also change. However, the number of particles in each vicinity do not change. So, we have formal change of the concentration at the change of the frame. Anyway, direct transition of the Δ -vicinities to another frame change their essential property. They are constructed in the first frame as the motionless Δ -vicinities of the points of space. While they move in the second frame, so they are vicinities of moving points. Hence, the proper formulation of hydrodynamics in the second frame requires the reconstruction of the Δ -vicinities around motionless point of space. Therefore, we are focused on the study of the relativistic effects in the fixed frame. We do not make reference to the "rest frame" since it exists for the relatively simple collective motion of plasmas, but it does not exist in the general case.

Let us consider the evolution of concentration (7) in the inertial frame. We find that it expresses itself via the average velocity of particles $\mathbf{j} = \langle \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}_i \rangle \equiv n\mathbf{v}$. It manifests itself via the continuity equation:

$$\partial_t n + \nabla \cdot (n\mathbf{v}) = 0. \quad (74)$$

If we want to continue the set of hydrodynamic equations we need to consider the evolution of current $\mathbf{j}$. Since the current is the average velocity $\langle \mathbf{v}_i \rangle$ we need to consider the accelerations of all particles. Therefore, we need to rewrite the equations of motion of each particle (70) for the velocity change instead of the momentum change:

$$\dot{\mathbf{v}}_i = \frac{e_i}{m_i} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\mathbf{v}_i^2}{c^2}} \left[\mathbf{E}_i + \frac{1}{c} [\mathbf{v}_i \times \mathbf{B}_i] - \frac{1}{c^2} \mathbf{v}_i (\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{E}_i) \right]. \quad (75)$$

Some further details for derivation of general structure of hydrodynamic model with the average reverse gamma factor evolution presented below can be found in Refs. [37], [38]. However, we present the part related to the interaction.

Here, we consider the evolution of the average velocity $\mathbf{j} = \langle \mathbf{v}_i \rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t j^a &= \partial_t \left[\frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi} \sum_{i=1}^N v_i^a(t) \delta(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \right] \\ &= -\partial_b \Pi^{ab} + \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi} \sum_{i=1}^N \dot{v}_i^a(t) \delta_i, \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

where

$$\Pi^{ab} = \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi} \sum_{i=1}^N v_i^a(t) v_i^b(t) \delta(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)), \quad (77)$$

and $\delta_i = \delta(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}_i(t))$.

Usage of equation (75) in equation (76) gives the following representation of $\partial_t j^a$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t j^a &= -\partial_b \Pi^{ab} \\ &+ \frac{q_s}{m_s} \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\delta_i}{\gamma_i(t)} \left(E_{i,ext}^a + \frac{1}{c} \varepsilon^{abc} v_i^b B_{i,ext}^c - \frac{1}{c^2} v_i^a v_i^b E_{i,ext}^b \right) \\ &+ \frac{q_s}{m_s} \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\delta_i}{\gamma_i(t)} \left(-\partial_i^a \varphi_{i,int} - \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{i,int}^a \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{1}{c} \varepsilon_{abc} v_i^b \varepsilon^{cdf} \partial_i^d A_{i,int}^f - \frac{1}{c^2} v_i^a v_i^b \left(-\partial_i^b \varphi_{i,int} - \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{i,int}^b \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

For the external field we can make the following transformation using the delta function δ_i : $E_{i,ext}^a = E_{ext}^a(\mathbf{r}_i(t), t) = E_{ext}^a(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi}, t)$ and $B_{i,ext}^a = B_{ext}^a(\mathbf{r}_i(t), t) = B_{ext}^a(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi}, t)$. So, the external electromagnetic field can be expanded on $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ if the external electromagnetic field has small changes over the Δ volume. Hence, we find

$$\begin{aligned} F_{ext}^a &= \frac{q_s}{m_s} \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\delta_i}{\gamma_i(t)} \left(E_{i,ext}^a + \frac{1}{c} \varepsilon^{abc} v_i^b B_{i,ext}^c \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{1}{c^2} v_i^a v_i^b E_{i,ext}^b \right) = \frac{q_s}{m_s} \left[\Gamma E^a + \frac{1}{c} \varepsilon^{abc} \Theta^b B^c - \frac{1}{c^2} \Xi^{ab} E^b \right. \\ &\left. + \Gamma_D^b \partial_b E^a + \frac{1}{c} \varepsilon^{abc} \Theta_D^{bd} \partial_d B^c - \frac{1}{c^2} \Xi_D^{abc} \partial_c E^b \right. \\ &\left. + \Gamma_Q^{bc} \partial_b \partial_c E^a + \frac{1}{c} \varepsilon^{abc} \Theta_Q^{bdf} \partial_d \partial_f B^c - \frac{1}{c^2} \Xi_Q^{abcd} \partial_c \partial_d E^b + \dots \right], \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

where the subindex D refers to dipolar, and the subindex Q refers to quadrupole. The monopole terms found in Ref. [37] contain the following functions $\Gamma = \langle \gamma_i^{-1}(t) \rangle$, $\Theta^b = \langle \gamma_i^{-1}(t) v_i^b \rangle$, and $\Xi^{ab} = \langle \gamma_i^{-1}(t) v_i^a v_i^b \rangle$. The dipolar terms contain the following functions $\Gamma_D^b = \langle \gamma_i^{-1}(t) \xi^b \rangle$, $\Theta_D^{bd} = \langle \gamma_i^{-1}(t) v_i^b \xi^d \rangle$, and $\Xi_D^{abc} = \langle \gamma_i^{-1}(t) v_i^a v_i^b \xi^c \rangle$. While the quadrupolar terms contain the following functions $\Gamma_Q^{bc} = \langle \gamma_i^{-1}(t) \xi^b \xi^c \rangle$, $\Theta_Q^{bdf} = \langle \gamma_i^{-1}(t) v_i^b \xi^c \xi^f \rangle$, and $\Xi_Q^{abcd} = \langle \gamma_i^{-1}(t) v_i^a v_i^b \xi^c \xi^d \rangle$.

In order to consider the force field of interaction we start with single term (it is constructed of two first terms)

$$F_{int,1}^a = \frac{q_s}{m_s} \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{\Delta} d\boldsymbol{\xi} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\delta_i}{\gamma_i(t)} E_{i,int}^a, \quad (80)$$

where $E_{i,int}^a = -\partial_i^a \varphi_{i,int} - \frac{1}{c} \partial_t A_{i,int}^a$. Using potentials (71) and (72) we represent the force via the Green function G_{ij} (73):

$$F_{int,1}^a = -\frac{q_s q_j}{m_s} \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{\Delta} d\xi \int dt' \sum_{i,j=1,i \neq j}^N \frac{\delta_i}{\gamma_i(t)} \times \left(\partial_i^a G_{ij} + \frac{v_j^a(t')}{c^2} \partial_t G_{ij} \right). \quad (81)$$

Next, we include additional delta function

$$F_{int,1}^a = -\frac{q_s q_j}{m_s} \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{\Delta} d\xi \int dt' \int d\mathbf{r}' \sum_{i,j=1,i \neq j}^N \frac{1}{\gamma_i(t)} \times \delta(\mathbf{r} + \xi - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \delta(\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r}_j(t')) \times \left(\partial_i^a + \frac{v_j^a(t')}{c^2} \partial_t \right) G_{ij}(|\mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_j(t')|, t - t'). \quad (82)$$

We modify the argument of the delta function

$$F_{int,1}^a = -\frac{q_s q_j}{m_s} \frac{1}{\Delta^2} \int_{\Delta} d\xi \int_{\Delta} d\xi' \int dt' \int d\mathbf{r}' \sum_{i,j=1,i \neq j}^N \frac{1}{\gamma_i(t)} \delta(\mathbf{r} + \xi - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \delta(\mathbf{r}' + \xi' - \mathbf{r}_j(t')) \times \left(\partial_i^a + \frac{v_j^a(t')}{c^2} \partial_t \right) G_{ij}(|\mathbf{r} + \xi - \mathbf{r} - \xi'|, t - t'). \quad (83)$$

At this step we are ready to expand the Green function on $\xi - \xi'$ assuming that the Green function has small change over the Δ -vicinity scale:

$$F_{int,1}^a = -\frac{q_s q_j}{m_s} \frac{1}{\Delta^2} \int_{\Delta} d\xi \int_{\Delta} d\xi' \int dt' \int d\mathbf{r}' \sum_{i,j=1,i \neq j}^N \frac{1}{\gamma_i(t)} \delta(\mathbf{r} + \xi - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \delta(\mathbf{r}' + \xi' - \mathbf{r}_j(t')) \left(\partial_i^a + \frac{v_j^a(t')}{c^2} \partial_t \right) \left(G_{ij}(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}|, t - t') + (\xi^b - \xi'^b) \partial_r^b G_{ij}(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}|, t - t') + \frac{1}{2} (\xi^b - \xi'^b) (\xi^c - \xi'^c) \partial_r^b \partial_r^c G_{ij}(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}|, t - t') + \dots \right). \quad (84)$$

Let us to interpret the expression presented for the force field via the two-particle macroscopic functions

$$F_{int,1}^a = -\frac{q_s q_j}{m_s} \int dt' \int d\mathbf{r}' \left[\partial_r^a G \cdot \Gamma_2 + \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_t G \cdot X_1^a + \partial_r^a \partial_r^b G \cdot X_2^b + \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_r^b \partial_t G \cdot X_3^{ab} - \partial_r^a \partial_r^b G \cdot X_4^b - \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_r^b \partial_t G \cdot X_5^{ab} + \partial_r^a \partial_r^b \partial_r^c G \cdot X_6^{bc} + \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_r^b \partial_r^c \partial_t G \cdot X_7^{abc} + \partial_r^a \partial_r^b \partial_r^c G \cdot X_8^{bc} + \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_r^b \partial_r^c \partial_t G \cdot X_9^{abc} - \partial_r^a \partial_r^b \partial_r^c G \cdot X_{10}^{bc} - \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_r^b \partial_r^c \partial_t G \cdot X_{11}^{abc} \right], \quad (85)$$

where $G = G(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}', t - t')$, and we used the following two-particle macroscopic functions, which are presented together with their limits for the meanfield approximation:

$$\Gamma_2(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t, t') = \frac{1}{\Delta^2} \int_{\Delta} d\xi \int_{\Delta} d\xi' \sum_{i,j=1,i \neq j}^N \frac{1}{\gamma_i(t)} \delta(\mathbf{r} + \xi - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \delta(\mathbf{r}' + \xi' - \mathbf{r}_j(t')) \equiv \langle \langle \gamma_i^{-1}(t) \rangle \rangle \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot n(\mathbf{r}', t'), \quad (86)$$

$$X_1^a(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t, t') = \langle \langle \gamma_i^{-1}(t) \cdot v_j^a(t') \rangle \rangle \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot j^a(\mathbf{r}', t'), \quad (87)$$

$$X_2^b(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t, t') = \langle \langle \gamma_i^{-1}(t) \cdot \rangle \rangle \rightarrow \Gamma_D^b(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot n(\mathbf{r}', t'), \quad (88)$$

$$X_3^{ab}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t, t') = \langle \langle \gamma_i^{-1}(t) \cdot v_j^a(t') \rangle \rangle \rightarrow \Gamma_D^b(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot j^a(\mathbf{r}', t'), \quad (89)$$

$$X_4^b(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t, t') = \langle \langle \gamma_i^{-1}(t) \cdot \rangle \rangle \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot d^b(\mathbf{r}', t'), \quad (90)$$

$$X_5^{ab}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t, t') = \langle \langle \gamma_i^{-1}(t) \cdot v_j^a(t') \rangle \rangle \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot J_D^{ab}(\mathbf{r}', t'), \quad (91)$$

$$X_6^{bc}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t, t') = \langle \langle \gamma_i^{-1}(t) \cdot \rangle \rangle \rightarrow \Gamma_Q^{bc}(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot n(\mathbf{r}', t'), \quad (92)$$

$$X_7^{abc}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t, t') = \langle \langle \gamma_i^{-1}(t) \cdot v_j^a(t') \rangle \rangle \rightarrow \Gamma_Q^{bc}(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot j^a(\mathbf{r}', t'), \quad (93)$$

$$X_8^{bc}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t, t') = \langle \langle \gamma_i^{-1}(t) \cdot \rangle \rangle \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot Q^{bc}(\mathbf{r}', t'), \quad (94)$$

$$X_9^{abc}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t, t') = \langle \langle \gamma_i^{-1}(t) \cdot v_j^a(t') \rangle \rangle \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot J_Q^{abc}(\mathbf{r}', t'), \quad (95)$$

$$X_{10}^{bc}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t, t') = \langle \langle \gamma_i^{-1}(t) \cdot \rangle \rangle \rightarrow \Gamma_D^b(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot d^c(\mathbf{r}', t'), \quad (96)$$

and

$$X_{11}^{abc}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t, t') = \langle \langle \gamma_i^{-1}(t) \cdot v_j^a(t') \rangle \rangle \rightarrow \Gamma_D^b(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot J_D^{ac}(\mathbf{r}', t'). \quad (97)$$

The force field (85) being represented in the selfconsistent field (meanfield) approximation can be reconstructed as six group of terms

$$\begin{aligned} F_{int,1}^a = & -\frac{q_s q_j}{m_s} \left[-\Gamma \partial^a \left(\int dt' \int d\mathbf{r}' G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t, t') n(\mathbf{r}', t') - \partial^b \int dt' \int d\mathbf{r}' G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t, t') d^b(\mathbf{r}', t') \right. \right. \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \partial^b \partial^c \int dt' \int d\mathbf{r}' G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t, t') Q^{bc}(\mathbf{r}', t') + \dots \Big) - \frac{1}{c} \Gamma \cdot \frac{1}{c} \partial_t \left(\int dt' \int d\mathbf{r}' G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t, t') j^a(\mathbf{r}', t') \right. \\ & - \partial^b \int dt' \int d\mathbf{r}' G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t, t') J_D^{ab}(\mathbf{r}', t') + \frac{1}{2} \partial^b \partial^c \int dt' \int d\mathbf{r}' G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t, t') J_Q^{abc}(\mathbf{r}', t') + \dots \Big) \\ & - \Gamma_D^b \partial^a \partial^b \left(\int dt' \int d\mathbf{r}' G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t, t') n(\mathbf{r}', t') - \partial^b \int dt' \int d\mathbf{r}' G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t, t') d^c(\mathbf{r}', t') + \dots \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{c} \Gamma_D^b \cdot \frac{1}{c} \partial^b \partial_t \left(\int dt' \int d\mathbf{r}' G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t, t') j^a(\mathbf{r}', t') - \partial^c \int dt' \int d\mathbf{r}' G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t, t') J_D^{ac}(\mathbf{r}', t') + \dots \right) \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_Q^{bc} \partial^a \partial^b \partial^c \left(\int dt' \int d\mathbf{r}' G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t, t') n(\mathbf{r}', t') + \dots \right) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{c} \Gamma_Q^{bc} \cdot \frac{1}{c} \partial_t \partial^b \partial^c \left(\int dt' \int d\mathbf{r}' G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t, t') j^a(\mathbf{r}', t') + \dots \right) \right]. \quad (98) \end{aligned}$$

These structures allows to introduce the macroscopic scalar and vector potentials

$$\varphi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int dt' \int d\mathbf{r}' G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t, t') n(\mathbf{r}', t') - \partial^b \int dt' \int d\mathbf{r}' G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t, t') d^b(\mathbf{r}', t') + \frac{1}{2} \partial^b \partial^c \int dt' \int d\mathbf{r}' G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t, t') Q^{bc}(\mathbf{r}', t') + \dots, \quad (99)$$

and

$$A^a(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int dt' \left(\int d\mathbf{r}' G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t, t') j^a(\mathbf{r}', t') - \partial^b \int d\mathbf{r}' G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t, t') J_D^{ab}(\mathbf{r}', t') + \frac{1}{2} \partial^b \partial^c \int d\mathbf{r}' G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t, t') J_Q^{abc}(\mathbf{r}', t') + \dots \right). \quad (100)$$

These potentials allow to introduce the macroscopic electric and magnetic fields $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{r}, t) - (1/c)\partial_t\mathbf{A}$ and

$\mathbf{B} = \text{curl} \mathbf{A}$. Considered part of the force field is expressed via the electric field only

$$F_{int,1}^a = -\frac{q_s q_j}{m_s} (\Gamma E^a + \Gamma_D^b \partial^b E^a + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_Q^{bc} \partial^b \partial^c E^a). \quad (101)$$

While electromagnetic this field, in accordance with the explicit form of the Green function (73), satisfies the Maxwell equations

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0, \quad (102)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{c} \partial_t \mathbf{B}, \quad (103)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \sum_s 4\pi q_s \left(n_s - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{d}_s + \frac{1}{2} \partial_a \partial_b Q_s^{ab} + \dots \right), \quad (104)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla \times \mathbf{B})^a &= \frac{1}{c} \partial_t E^a \\ &+ \sum_s \frac{4\pi q_s}{c} \left(n_s v_s^a - \partial_b J_{D,s}^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_b \partial_c J_{Q,s}^{abc} + \dots \right), \end{aligned} \quad (105)$$

where the Lorentz Gauge is used $\partial_t \varphi/c + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0$.

Other group of terms in equation (78) can be presented via the macroscopic scalar and vector potentials in similar way.

In the monopole approximation of the hydrodynamic model with the average reverse gamma factor evolution we have the following equation of evolution of the velocity field

$$\begin{aligned} n \partial_t v^a + n(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) v^a + \partial^a \tilde{p} &= \frac{q}{m} \Gamma E^a + \frac{q}{mc} \varepsilon^{abc} (\Gamma v^b + t^b) B^c \\ &- \frac{q}{mc^2} (\Gamma v^a v^b + v^a t^b + v^b t^a) E^b - \frac{e}{mc^2} \tilde{t} E^a, \end{aligned} \quad (106)$$

where $\Gamma = \langle \frac{1}{\gamma_i} \rangle$, $t^a = \langle \frac{1}{\gamma_i} v_i^a \rangle - \Gamma v^a$, $p^{ab} = \langle v_i^a v_i^b \rangle - n v^a v^b$, $t^{ab} = \langle \frac{1}{\gamma_i} v_i^a v_i^b \rangle - \Gamma v^a v^b - t^a v^b - v^a t^b$, $\gamma_i = 1/\sqrt{1 - \mathbf{v}_i(t)^2/c^2}$. Two equations of state should be applied for functions $\tilde{p}$ ($p^{ab} = \tilde{p} \delta^{ab}$) and $\tilde{t}$ ($t^{ab} = \tilde{t} \delta^{ab}$) (see Ref. [37]). We also have corresponding simplification of the Maxwell equations (102), (103), (104), (105): $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$,

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{c} \partial_t \mathbf{B}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 4\pi(en_i - en_e), \quad (107)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{c} \partial_t \mathbf{E} + \sum_s \frac{4\pi q_s}{c} n_s \mathbf{v}_s. \quad (108)$$

Next, the hydrodynamic model with the average reverse gamma factor evolution suggests the derivation of

the equation for evolution of the average reverse gamma factor Γ . This derivation is similar to the derivation presented above for the particle current evolution. It also includes the contribution of the multipole moments. We do not show this derivation assuming that the illustration made for the particle current evolution is enough for the purpose of this paper. The equation for evolution of the average reverse gamma factor Γ has the following form in accordance with Refs. [37], [38]

$$\partial_t \Gamma + \partial_b (\Gamma v^b + t^b) = -\frac{q}{mc^2} n \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{E} \left(1 - \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\mathbf{v}^2 + \frac{5p}{n} \right) \right). \quad (109)$$

The forth and final equation for the evolution of the material field in the hydrodynamic model with the average reverse gamma factor evolution is the evolution of the flux of the average reverse gamma factor [37], [38]:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\partial_t + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) t^a + \partial_a \tilde{t} + (\mathbf{t} \cdot \nabla) v^a + t^a (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) \\ &+ \Gamma (\partial_t + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) v^a = \frac{q}{m} n E^a \left[1 - \frac{\mathbf{v}^2}{c^2} - \frac{3p}{nc^2} \right] \\ &+ \frac{q}{mc} \varepsilon^{abc} n v^b B^c \left[1 - \frac{\mathbf{v}^2}{c^2} - \frac{5p}{nc^2} \right] - \frac{2q}{mc^2} E^a p \left[1 - \frac{\mathbf{v}^2}{c^2} \right] \\ &- \frac{q}{mc^2} n v^a v^b E^b \left[1 - \frac{\mathbf{v}^2}{c^2} - \frac{9p}{nc^2} \right] + \frac{10q}{3mc^4} \tilde{M} E^a. \end{aligned} \quad (110)$$

Function $\tilde{M}$ appears as the simplification for the fourth rank tensor $M^{abcd} = \frac{\tilde{M}}{3} (\delta^{ab} \delta^{cd} + \delta^{ac} \delta^{bd} + \delta^{ad} \delta^{bc})$ see equation (17) of Ref. [37]. Equation (110) shows that we need to include the third equation of state for the function $\tilde{M}$. It is found in Ref. [37].

VII. THE SELFCONSISTENT FIELD APPROXIMATION IN THE RELATIVISTIC VLASOV EQUATION

Above we present the derivation of the kinetic equation in the nonrelativistic regime, where the interaction is restricted by the Coulomb interaction. However, the contribution of the multipole moments is considered as well. Here, we consider the kinetic model for the relativistic motion particles (70). Moreover, we assume that the interaction between particles is the full electromagnetic interaction, so the field acting on i -th particle is created by surrounding particles in accordance with the microscopic Maxwell equations (see equations (70), (71), (72)). We use the definition (49) for the distribution function. Hence, its time derivative has form of equation (52). Usage of equation (70) for the time derivative of the momentum of i -th particle gives the following equation

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) + \frac{q_s}{m_s} \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{1}{\Delta_p} \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\xi d\eta \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \left(\mathbf{E}_{ext}(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi}, t) + \frac{1}{c} [\mathbf{v}_i(t) \times \mathbf{B}_{ext}(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi}, t)] \right) \delta_{\mathbf{r}i} \delta_{\mathbf{p}i} \\
& - \frac{q_s}{m_s} q_{s'} \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \frac{1}{\Delta^2} \frac{1}{\Delta_p^2} \int dt' \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\xi d\eta d\xi' d\eta' \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N \delta_{\mathbf{r}i} \delta_{\mathbf{p}i} \delta_{\mathbf{r}'j} \delta_{\mathbf{p}'j} \times \\
& \times \left(\left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{v}_i(t) \cdot \mathbf{v}_j(t')}{c^2} \right) \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} G(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}' - \boldsymbol{\xi}') + \frac{\mathbf{v}_j(t')}{c^2} (\partial_t + (\mathbf{v}_i(t) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{r}})) G(\mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{r}' - \boldsymbol{\xi}') \right) = 0, \quad (111)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_{\mathbf{r}'j} \equiv \delta(\mathbf{r}' + \boldsymbol{\xi}' - \mathbf{r}_j(t))$, and $\delta_{\mathbf{p}'j} \equiv \delta(\mathbf{p}' + \boldsymbol{\eta}' - \mathbf{p}_j(t))$. Equation (111) contains function

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{1}{\Delta_p} \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\xi d\eta \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \mathbf{v}_i(t) \delta_{\mathbf{r}i} \delta_{\mathbf{p}i}. \quad (112)$$

We can use relativistic expression for the velocity via momentum $\mathbf{v}_i(t) = \mathbf{p}_i(t)c / \sqrt{\mathbf{p}_i^2(t) + m_i^2 c^2}$ with further replacement of the momentum $\mathbf{p}_i(t)$ on $\mathbf{p} + \boldsymbol{\eta}$. Hence function $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)$ contains nonpolinomic dependence on $\boldsymbol{\eta}$:

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{1}{\Delta_p} \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\xi d\eta \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \frac{(\mathbf{p} + \boldsymbol{\eta})c}{\sqrt{(\mathbf{p} + \boldsymbol{\eta})^2 + m_i^2 c^2}} \delta_{\mathbf{r}i} \delta_{\mathbf{p}i}. \quad (113)$$

Same replacement should be made in for the velocities in other terms in equation (111).

Let us consider expansion on $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ as the small value in compare with $\mathbf{p}$ and $m_i c$:

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \mathbf{v} \cdot f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) + \frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{1}{\Delta_p} \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\xi d\eta \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\eta} c}{\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m_i^2 c^2}} - \frac{\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta})c}{(\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m_i^2 c^2})^3} \right) \delta_{\mathbf{r}i} \delta_{\mathbf{p}i}. \quad (114)$$

If we consider the monopole regime in the momentum space we neglect $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ and find $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \mathbf{v} \cdot f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)$. Here, we also use $\mathbf{p} = m_s \mathbf{v} / \sqrt{1 - \mathbf{v}^2/c^2}$ and $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{p}c / \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m_s^2 c^2}$.

We consider this equation in the monopole approximation. Hence, equation (111) simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f + \frac{q_s}{m_s} \frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{1}{\Delta_p} \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\xi d\eta \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \left(\mathbf{E}_{ext}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \frac{1}{c} [(\mathbf{v}) \times \mathbf{B}_{ext}(\mathbf{r}, t)] \right) \delta_{\mathbf{r}i} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} \delta_{\mathbf{p}i} \\
& - \frac{q_s}{m_s} q_{s'} \cdot \frac{1}{\Delta^2} \frac{1}{\Delta_p^2} \int dt' \int d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{p}' \times \\
& \times \left(\left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}'}{c^2} \right) \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} G(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}', t - t') + \frac{\mathbf{v}'}{c^2} (\partial_t + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{r}})) G(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}', t - t') \right) \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} f_2(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t, \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t') = 0, \quad (115)
\end{aligned}$$

where two-particle distribution function is presented in accordance with definition (57), but including dependence on t' :

$$f_2(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t, \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{p}', t') = \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\xi d\eta d\xi' d\eta' \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N \delta_{\mathbf{r}i} \delta_{\mathbf{p}i} \delta_{\mathbf{r}'j} \delta_{\mathbf{p}'j}. \quad (116)$$

Here, we make transition to the electromagnetic field instead of integral form of the kinetic equation

$$\partial_t f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f + \frac{q_s}{m_s} \frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{1}{\Delta_p} \int_{\Delta, \Delta_p} d\xi d\eta \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} \left(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \frac{1}{c} [\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t)] \right) \delta_{\mathbf{r}i} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} \delta_{\mathbf{p}i} = 0, \quad (117)$$

where $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ are the full fields composed of the external fields (like $\mathbf{E}_{ext}(\mathbf{r}, t)$) and the fields of inter-

particle interaction (like $\mathbf{E}_{int}(\mathbf{r}, t)$) $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mathbf{E}_{ext}(\mathbf{r}, t) +$

$\mathbf{E}_{int}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mathbf{B}_{ext}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \mathbf{B}_{int}(\mathbf{r}, t)$. While the field of interaction satisfies the Maxwell equations: $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}_{int} = 0$,

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_{int} = -\frac{1}{c} \partial_t \mathbf{B}_{int}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_{int} = 4\pi \sum_s q_s \int f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) d\mathbf{p}, \quad (118)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B}_{int} = \frac{1}{c} \partial_t \mathbf{E}_{int} + \frac{4\pi}{c} \sum_s q_s \int \mathbf{v} f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) d\mathbf{p}. \quad (119)$$

Finally, the full set of the Vlasov-Maxwell equations is obtained in the selfconsistent field approximation for the relativistic plasmas.

VIII. CONCLUSION

There has been an open problem of derivation of the Vlasov kinetic equation for the full relativistic regime, where the electromagnetic field created by each particle satisfies the full set of Maxwell equations along with the possibility of high temperatures. Similar problem has been considered for the relativistic hydrodynamics. Both problems have been addressed in this paper and the method of solving of these problems has been demonstrated explicitly, including all essential technical details.

In order to simplify the presentation, the paper has been splitted on several sections. Firstly, the nonrelativistic hydrodynamics has been considered. So, the interparticle interaction has been reduced to the Coulomb interaction. It has been derived from the microscopic motion of classic particles. All paper has been focused on the classic systems with no discussion of quantum effects. The presented method of derivation includes the transition on the macroscopic scale from the microscopic level of description. Hence, the physically infinitesimal volume has been presented analytically. Dealing with the microscopic description we consider the finite elements of volume as the macroscopically point-like objects. However, these volumes (which are called Δ -vicinity) are characterized by density of the dipole moment, the density of quadrupole moment, etc, in addition to the charge density. The contribution of the multipole moments in the Euler equation and the Poisson equation has been illustrated. The equations for the multipole moments evolution are not presented since our goal in this paper is to give background for the further derivation of the relativistic hydrodynamic and kinetic models. Hence, the features related to the multipole moments have been mentioned, but no stress has been made on this item. The selfconsistent field approximation (the mean field approximation) has been discussed for the nonrelativistic plasmas as well.

The second part of this paper has been focused to the derivation of the Vlasov kinetic equation, in the nonrelativistic regime, where particles interact via the Coulomb interaction. The derivation includes the transition on the macroscopic scale both in the coordinate and momentum space. Hence, the distribution function for the

multipole moments have been found. No additional kinetic equations have been considered for these functions, but their presence in the Vlasov equation and the Poisson equation has been highlighted. Neglecting the multipole expansion of the Coulomb interaction we still have additional vector distribution function. It can be characterized as the "dipole moment of the vicinity in the momentum space". If we neglect the contribution of all additional functions we find the kinetic equation with the two-particle distribution function. Further application of the self-consistent field approximation leads to the well-known Vlasov equation in the Coulomb approximation.

The third item is one of two major items in this paper. It is the derivation of the relativistic hydrodynamics. Let us repeat that the suggested method of derivation of hydrodynamic and kinetic models shows the method of explicit transition to the macroscopic scale. It has been called the method of averaging, but it has no statistical or probabilistic meaning. While it gives transition of deterministic behavior on another scale of space parameters. Suggested method allows to derive the relativistic hydrodynamics in the well-known form of set of continuity equation and four momentum evolution equation (see for instance Ref. [38]). So, this model will include the multipole expansion demonstrated in this paper. However, another form relativistic hydrodynamic model is chosen for the analysis. It is the relativistic hydrodynamic model with the average reverse gamma factor evolution. The continuity equation and the equation for the evolution of the current of particles, which transforms to the velocity field evolution equation are derived in full details. While the evolution of the average reverse gamma factor and its current demonstrate similar structures, so they have not been demonstrated. The derivation includes two essential elements. First, it is the relativistic temperatures of the plasmas. Second, it is full relativistic interaction between particles, so the electromagnetic field created by each particle satisfies the full set of Maxwell equations.

The fourth item is the full relativistic derivation of the Vlasov kinetic equation. This element of the paper is major part from the fundamental point of view. The derivation itself has been presented via few equations. From technical point of view it is similar to the derivation of the nonrelativistic version. But, it is essential that described method allows to give derivation at the relativistically large temperatures while the interparticle interaction happens in accordance with the full set of Maxwell equations at the microscopic level. So, the selfconsistent field macroscopic field also satisfies the full set of Maxwell equations. Moreover, this derivation is rather simple and straightforward. So, it is easy for understanding.

Described derivation includes some open problems for the hydrodynamics and kinetics. Major problem is the complete analysis of the multipole moments and their dynamics. Corresponding equations for the evolution of these functions are not discussed. Moreover, the closed set of equations consistently describing these effects es-

pecially in kinetics is to be found.

purely theoretical one.

IX. DATA AVAILABILITY

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this study, which is a

-
- [1] S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology* (John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1972).
 - [2] A. A. Vlasov, *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* **8**, 291 (1938); A. A. Vlasov *Sov. Phys. Usp.* **10**, 721 (1968).
 - [3] A. F. Aleksandrov, L. S. Bogdankevich, and A. A. Rukhadze, *Principles of Plasma Electrodynamics*, Berlin; New York: Springer-Verlag, 1984.
 - [4] I. A. Akhiezer, *Plasma electrodynamics*, (Pergamon Press, 1975).
 - [5] L. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Statistical Physics, Part II* (Pergamon, New York, 1980).
 - [6] G. M. Zaslavskii, *J. Appl. Mech. Tech. Phys.* **7**, 54 (1966).
 - [7] I. P. Pavlotskii, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. USSR* **213**, 812 (1973), (in Russian).
 - [8] Yu. N. Orlov and I. P. Pavlotsky, *Matem. Mod.* **1**, 31 (1989).
 - [9] Yu. L. Klimontovich, *Statistical Physics* Harwood, New York (1986).
 - [10] M. A. Drofa, L. S. Kuz'menkov, "Continual approach to multiparticle systems with long-range interaction. Hierarchy of macroscopic fields and physical consequences", *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics* **108**, 849 (1996).
 - [11] L. S. Kuz'menkov and P. A. Andreev, "Microscopic Classic Hydrodynamic and Methods of Averaging", presented in PIERS Proceedings, p. 158, August 19-23, Moscow, Russia 2012.
 - [12] L. S. Kuzmenkov, *Theoretical Physics: Classical Mechanics* (Nauka, Moscow, 2015) [in Russian].
 - [13] L. S. Kuz'menkov, "Field form of dynamics and statistics of systems of particles with electromagnetic interaction", *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics* **86**, 159 (1991).
 - [14] Yu. L. Klimontovich, "The Statistical Theory Non-Equilibrium Processes in a Plasma", Pergamon Press, (1967).
 - [15] R. Hakim and H. Sivak, "Covariant Wigner function approach to the relativistic quantum electron gas in a strong magnetic field", *Ann. Phys.* **139**, 230 (1982).
 - [16] R. Hakim, L. Mornas, P. Peter, and H. D. Sivak, "Relaxation time approximation for relativistic dense matter", *Phys. Rev. D* **46**, 4603 (1992).
 - [17] N. L. Shatashvili, J. I. Javakhishvili, H. Kaya, "Nonlinear wave dynamics in two-temperature electron-positron-ion plasma", *Astrophys Space Sci.* **250**, 109 (1997).
 - [18] N. L. Shatashvili and N. N. Rao, "Localized nonlinear structures of intense electromagnetic waves in two-electron-temperature electron-positron-ion plasmas", *Phys. Plasmas* **6**, 66 (1999).
 - [19] R. D. Hazeltine, S. M. Mahajan, "Fluid description of relativistic, magnetized plasma", *The Astrophysical Journal* **567**, 1262 (2002).
 - [20] S. M. Mahajan, R. D. Hazeltine, "Fluid description of a magnetized plasma", *Phys. Plasmas* **9**, 1882 (2002).
 - [21] P. Romatschke, "New Developments in Relativistic Viscous Hydrodynamics", *Int. J. Mod. Phys. E*, **19**, 1 (2010).
 - [22] S. M. Mahajan, Z. Yoshida, "Relativistic generation of vortex and magnetic field", *Phys. Plasmas* **18**, 055701 (2011).
 - [23] L. Comisso, F. A. Asenjo, "Thermal-Inertial Effects on Magnetic Reconnection in Relativistic Pair Plasmas", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 045001 (2014).
 - [24] N. L. Shatashvili, S. M. Mahajan, and V. I. Berezhiani, "Nonlinear coupling of electromagnetic and electron acoustic waves in multi-species degenerate astrophysical plasma", *Phys. Plasmas* **27**, 012903 (2020).
 - [25] Remi Hakim, *Introduction to Relativistic Statistical Mechanics Classical and Quantum*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., 2011.
 - [26] F. A. Asenjo, V. Munoz, J. A. Valdivia, and S. M. Mahajan, "A hydrodynamical model for relativistic spin quantum plasmas", *Phys. Plasmas* **18**, 012107 (2011).
 - [27] D. B. Melrose, editor. "Quantum Plasmadynamics", Volume 735 of *Lecture Notes in Physics*, Berlin Springer Verlag. Springer Verlag, 2008.
 - [28] D. B. Melrose and J. I. Weise, "Response of a relativistic quantum magnetized electron gas", *J. Physics A* **42**, H5502 (2009).
 - [29] A. Bret and F. Haas, "Quantum kinetic theory of the filamentation instability", *Phys. Plasmas* **18**, 072108 (2011).
 - [30] A. Yu. Ivanov, P. A. Andreev, L. S. Kuz'menkov, "Langmuir waves in semi-relativistic spinless quantum plasmas", *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* 2015, 063I02 (2015).
 - [31] F. A. Asenjo, J. Zamanian, M. Marklund, G. Brodin, and P. Johansson, " ", *New J. Phys.* **14**, 073042 (2012).
 - [32] D. B. Melrose, J. I. Weise, "Spin-dependent relativistic quantum magnetized electron gas", *J. Phys. A* **45**, 5501 (2012).
 - [33] A. Yu. Ivanov, P. A. Andreev, L. S. Kuz'menkov, "Balance equations in semi-relativistic quantum hydrodynamics", *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* **28**, 1450132 (2014).
 - [34] D. E. Ruiz, I. Y. Dodin, "First-principle variational formulation of polarization effects in geometrical optics", *Phys. Rev. A* **92**, 043805 (2015).
 - [35] J. T. Mendonca, "Wave kinetics of relativistic quantum plasmas", *Phys. Plasmas* **18**, 062101 (2011).
 - [36] J. Zhu, P. Ji, "Dispersion relation and Landau damping of waves in high-energy density plasmas", *Plasma Phys. Contr. Fusion* **54**, 065004 (2012).
 - [37] P. A. Andreev, "On the structure of relativistic hydrodynamics for hot plasmas", arXiv:2105.10999.
 - [38] P. A. Andreev, "Microscopic model for relativistic hydrodynamics of ideal plasmas", arXiv:2109.14050.
 - [39] P. A. Andreev, "Anisotropic pressure effects in hydrodynamic description of waves propagating parallel to the magnetic field in relativistically hot plasmas",

- arXiv:2110.14749.
- [40] P. A. Andreev, "Spin-electron-acoustic waves and solitons in high-density degenerate relativistic plasmas", arXiv:2112.13880.
- [41] P. A. Andreev, "Nonlinear Coupling of Electromagnetic and Spin-Electron-Acoustic Waves in Spin-polarized Degenerate Relativistic Astrophysical Plasma", arXiv:2202.11814.