

WKB approximation under Killingbeck potential and its application to double heavy meson mass spectrum

Lhamo chosto^{1,2,*}, Ya-rong Wang^{1,2,†}, Zhi-bin Gao^{1,2,‡}, Cheng-qun Pang^{1,§,¶}, Hao Chen^{1,2,3,**} and Yu-long Kang^{1,2,††}

¹College of Physics and Electronic Information Engineering, Qinghai Normal University, Xining 810000, China

²Joint Research Center for Physics, Lanzhou University and Qinghai Normal University, Xining 810000, China

³Lanzhou Center for Theoretical Physics, Key Laboratory of Theoretical Physics of Gansu Province, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, Gansu 730000, China

As we all known that non-relativistic or semi-relativistic constituent quark models can describe a large number of the meson and baryon properties with surprising accuracy. In this work, we studied Killingbeck potential by using WKB approximation in the constituent quark model. The implicit approximate solution of the energy eigenvalue of the Schrödinger equation is obtained by using appropriate quantization conditions. Under the Killingbeck potential with the WKB approximation, we studied the spectra of double heavy mesons and predict the masses of high excited states in $c\bar{c}$, $b\bar{b}$ and $b\bar{c}$ families. Finally, we discuss the application scope of double heavy mesons in Killingbeck potential.

PACS numbers: 14.40.Be, 12.38.Lg, 13.25.Jx

I. INTRODUCTION

In the past decades, many potential energy functions that play important roles in describing physical phenomena have been proposed. However, the solution of the wave equation with some potentials are exactly solvable, whereas other potentials are insoluble. In this case, numerical methods and approximate analytical techniques are required to obtain the solution of quantum system [1–3]. Wenzel-Kramers-Brillouin (WKB) approximation is a semiclassical approximation method, which can be used to analyze Schrödinger equation and successfully deals with some significant and vital problems [4–7], for example, the theory of electromagnetic waves [8]. The Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization rule, and the WKB approximation base on the Schrödinger equation, are both expected to give good results of energy eigenvalues in limit of large quantum numbers, in accordance with the Correspondence Principle [9]. In general, the above two methods give significantly different results for low-lying states, with that from WKB is usually more accurate.

The WKB approximation was developed by J. S. Kang [1, 10] and C. Quigg [11] et al. in 1970, and had been applied to particle energy spectra in high energy physics. In 2005, Z. Q. Ma et al. proposed an accurate quantization condition for one-dimensional quantum system and three-dimensional spherically symmetric quantum system [12, 13]. F. Brau reported the relationship among energy, radial quantum number and orbital angular momentum [2]. H. F. Lalus et al. used the WKB method to analyze the physical conditions that the system must satisfy in the process of tunneling [14]. E. Omugbe

et al, obtained the non-relativistic ro-vibrational energy spectra, expectation values and the thermodynamic properties of the Schiöberg potential function within the framework of the WKB approximation method. Moreover, the authors in Refs. [15–22] have used WKB method to study mass spectra under various potential energies. The bound state solution to the wave equations under the quark-antiquark interaction potential functions such as the Killingbeck or the Cornell potential have attracted much research interest in high energy physics [18]. However, there are few researches on the study of the mass spectrum via the WKB approximation directly, as well as investigating the WKB approximation under Killingbeck potential. This study set out to examine this questions.

The Killingbeck potential plus an inversely quadratic potential (KPIQP) comprises the sum of Cornell potential plus the Harmonic oscillator potentials. The main focus of this paper is to obtain the solution of Killingbeck potential by using WKB approximation in the constituent quark model, fit the the mass spectra of the two double heavy mesons in Killingbeck potential, such as charmonium($c\bar{c}$), bottomonium($b\bar{b}$) and bottom-charmed($b\bar{c}$). Besides, we predict the high excited states of the two double heavy meson systems.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, the WKB approximation and the WKB solution of KPIQP potential are introduced. In Sec. III, we analyze the mass spectra of double heavy mesons. Furthermore, we compare the WKB mass spectra under the Killingbeck potential with those obtained by other analytical methods and available experimental data. The paper is ended with a conclusion in section IV

II. WKB APPROXIMATION

F. Brau who has calculated the energy spectrum under Cornell potential in the quark model, reported the relationship among energy, radial quantum number and orbital angular momentum [2]. Base on Ref. [2], we solve the mass spectra of double mesons under KPIQP potential.

The basic quantities in the Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization

[§]Corresponding author

^{*}Electronic address: lamaoyy@163.com

[†]Electronic address: nanoshine@foxmail.com

[‡]Electronic address: GZB2807256729@163.com

[¶]Electronic address: pcq@qhnu.edu.cn

^{**}Electronic address: hchen@qhnu.edu.cn

^{††}Electronic address: kungyulong@163.com

are the action variables [2]:

$$J_s = \oint p_s dq_s, \quad (2.1)$$

where s is the index of the system's degree of freedom, p_s and q_s are coordinates and conjugate momenta. The integral is performed over one cycle of the motion. The action variables are quantized according to the prescription

$$J_s = (n_s + c_s)h, \quad (2.2)$$

where h is the Planck's constant, $n_s \geq 0$ is an integral quantum number and c_s are some real constants, which should be equal to $\frac{1}{2}$ according to Langer [2].

Under WKB approximation, the non-relativistic Hamiltonian corresponding to KPIQP potential reads in natural units ($\hbar = c = 1$):

$$H = \frac{1}{2\mu}(p_r^2 + \frac{p_\phi^2}{r^2}) - \frac{\kappa}{r} + ar + br^2 + m_1 + m_2 + c + \frac{\sigma}{m_1 m_2} \mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\langle \mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2}S(S+1) - \frac{3}{4}, \quad (2.4)$$

μ is the reduced mass, κ , a , b , c and σ are the parameters to be fitted, m_1 and m_2 are the masses of the two quarks. p_ϕ is the orbital angular momentum, which can be expressed as $p_\phi = L$. Radial momentum p_r is derived from the conservation of $E'(E' = E - m_1 - m_2 - \frac{1}{2}S(S+1) - \frac{3}{4} - c)$, and E is the total energy of the system):

$$p_r = \pm \frac{1}{r} \sqrt{-2\mu br^4 - 2\mu ar^3 + 2\mu E' r^2 + 2\mu \kappa r - L^2}. \quad (2.5)$$

The radial motion takes place between two turning points, r_+ and r_- . The four roots, $r_k (k = 1, 2, 3, 4)$, of p_r are:

$$r_1 = \frac{-y + \sqrt{\frac{D + \sqrt[3]{Z_1} + \sqrt[3]{Z_2}}{3}} + \sqrt{\frac{2D - (\sqrt[3]{Z_1} + \sqrt[3]{Z_2}) + 2\sqrt{Z}}{3}}}{4x}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$r_2 = \frac{-y + \sqrt{\frac{D + \sqrt[3]{Z_1} + \sqrt[3]{Z_2}}{3}} - \sqrt{\frac{2D - (\sqrt[3]{Z_1} + \sqrt[3]{Z_2}) + 2\sqrt{Z}}{3}}}{4x}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$r_3 = \frac{-y - \sqrt{\frac{D + \sqrt[3]{Z_1} + \sqrt[3]{Z_2}}{3}} + \sqrt{\frac{-2D + \sqrt[3]{Z_1} + \sqrt[3]{Z_2} + 2\sqrt{Z}}{3}}}{4x} + \frac{\sqrt{\frac{-2D + \sqrt[3]{Z_1} + \sqrt[3]{Z_2} + 2\sqrt{Z}}{3}}}{4x} i, \quad (2.8)$$

$$r_4 = \frac{-y - \sqrt{\frac{D + \sqrt[3]{Z_1} + \sqrt[3]{Z_2}}{3}} - \sqrt{\frac{-2D + \sqrt[3]{Z_1} + \sqrt[3]{Z_2} + 2\sqrt{Z}}{3}}}{4x} - \frac{\sqrt{\frac{-2D + \sqrt[3]{Z_1} + \sqrt[3]{Z_2} + 2\sqrt{Z}}{3}}}{4x} i, \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$x = -2\mu b, \quad (2.10)$$

$$y = -2\mu a, \quad (2.11)$$

$$D = 4\mu^2(3a^2 + 8bE'), \quad (2.12)$$

$$A = 2^8 b^2 \mu^3 (6bL^2 + \mu(E'^2 + 3a\kappa)), \quad (2.13)$$

$$B = 2^{11} b^2 \mu^5 (3a^3 \kappa \mu + 14abE' \kappa \mu + a^2(-3bL^2 + E'^2 \mu) - 2b(4bL^2 E' - 2E'^3 \mu + 9b\kappa^2 \mu)), \quad (2.14)$$

$$F = 2^8 \mu^6 (-3(3a^2 + 8bE')(a^3 + 4abE' - 8b^2 \kappa)^2 \mu^2 + (-2^5 b^3 L^2 + 3a^4 \mu + 2^4 a^2 b E' \mu + 2^4 b^2 \mu(E'^2 - a\kappa))^2), \quad (2.15)$$

$$Z_1 = AD + 3\left(\frac{-B + \sqrt{B^2 - 4AF}}{2}\right), \quad (2.16)$$

$$Z_2 = AD + 3\left(\frac{-B - \sqrt{B^2 - 4AF}}{2}\right), \quad (2.17)$$

and

$$Z = D^2 - D(\sqrt[3]{Z_1} + \sqrt[3]{Z_2}) + (\sqrt[3]{Z_1} + \sqrt[3]{Z_2})^2 - 3A. \quad (2.18)$$

The turning points are $r_- = r_2$ and $r_+ = r_3$.

Quantization of J_ϕ trivially gives $L = l + c_\phi$, l is orbital angular momentum. It can be obtained by substituting p_r into (2.1):

$$\alpha_1 K(\eta) + \alpha_2 \Pi(\gamma, \frac{\pi}{2}, \eta) + \alpha_3 \Pi(\frac{r_1}{r_-} \gamma, \frac{\pi}{2}, \eta) + \alpha_4 E(\eta) - 2a\pi(n + c_r) \sqrt{r_4 - r_-} \sqrt{r_+ - r_1} = 0, \quad (2.19)$$

where

$$\eta = \frac{r_4 - r_1}{r_4 - r_-} \frac{r_+ - r_-}{r_+ - r_1}, \quad (2.20)$$

$$\gamma = \frac{r_+ - r_-}{r_+ - r_1}, \quad (2.21)$$

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{a}{2}(r_+ - r_1)(r_- - r_1)(E' + a(r_4 - r_1)), \quad (2.22)$$

$$\alpha_2 = -2(r_- - r_1)(a\kappa + 2m^2), \quad (2.23)$$

$$\alpha_3 = -2a^2 r_+ r_4 (r_- - r_1), \quad (2.24)$$

$$\alpha_4 = \frac{aE'}{2}(r_4 - r_-)(r_+ - r_1). \quad (2.25)$$

In Eq. (2.19), $K(\eta)$, $E(\eta)$ and $\Pi(\gamma, \frac{\pi}{2}, \eta)$ are the first, second and third complete elliptic integrals, respectively. The symbol n is radial quantum number, this appears to be a rather complicated equation since it cannot be solved explicitly for the energy. However, it leads to very accurate results if we choose the Langer prescription $c_r = c_\phi = \frac{1}{2}$.

III. THE MASS SPECTRA OF DOUBLE HEAVY MESONS UNDER KPIQP POTENTIAL

In this section, we compute the mass spectra of two double heavy mesons such as charmonium($c\bar{c}$), bottomonium($b\bar{b}$) and bottom-charmed($b\bar{c}$). The mass spectra obtained by WKB approximation and finite difference method was compared with the experimental value and the results obtained by other methods.

To test the WKB approximate solution under the KPIQP potential, we fit the 13 experimental values of B_c , $c\bar{c}$ and $b\bar{b}$ mesons. We define:

$$\chi^2 = \sum_i \left(\frac{T_i^{th} - E_i^{ex}}{Er_i} \right)^2, \quad (3.1)$$

where T_i^{th} , E_i^{ex} and Er_i are the theoretical value, experimental value and error, respectively. The error is at $\frac{1}{1000}$ of the experimental value of the mass. The fitted parameters are shown in Table II.

TABLE I: Experimental values of B_c , $c\bar{c}$ and $b\bar{b}$ meson masses. The unit of the mass is MeV.

Meson	State	Experimental value	This work	Er_i
B_c	1^1S_0	6273.7 ± 0.3	6271	6.3
	2^1S_0	$6872.1 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.8$	6882	6.9
	2^3S_1	$6841.2 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.8$	6904	6.8
$c\bar{c}$	1^1S_0	2983.9 ± 0.5	3020	3.0
	2^1S_0	3637.6 ± 1.2	3635	3.6
	1^3S_1	3096.9 ± 0.006	3081	3.6
	2^3S_1	3686.09 ± 0.01	3696	3.7
	1^1P_1	3525.38 ± 0.1	3483	3.5
$b\bar{b}$	1^1S_0	9399 ± 2.3	9354	9.4
	2^1S_0	9999 ± 3.5	10003	10.0
	1^3S_1	9460.3 ± 0.26	9362	9.5
	2^3S_1	10023.2 ± 0.31	10001	10.0
	1^1P_1	9899.3 ± 0.8	9899	9.9
		$\chi^2 = 41.63$		

TABLE II: The parameters fitted in this work.

Parameter	Value
$m_c(\text{GeV})$	1.846
$m_b(\text{GeV})$	5.228
$a(\text{GeV}^2)$	0.2598
$b(\text{GeV}^3)$	-0.01062
$\sigma(\text{GeV}^3)$	0.2076
$c(\text{GeV})$	-0.9712
κ	0.5241

TABLE III: Mass spectra of B_c mesons at KPIQP potential. FD is the abbreviation of the masses calculated via finite difference method. The unit of the mass is MeV.

State	Expe [23]	GI [24]	Ref. [25]	WKB	FD
1^1S_0	6273	6271	6260	6263	6271
2^1S_0	6872	6855	6850	6880	6882
3^1S_0	—	7250	7240	7247	7248
4^1S_0	—	—	—	7503	7502
5^1S_0	—	—	—	7637	7611
6^1S_0	—	—	—	7723	7676
7^1S_0	—	—	—	7811	7795
8^1S_0	—	—	—	7899	7939
1^3S_1	—	6338	6340	6284	6292
2^3S_1	6841	6887	6900	6901	6904
3^3S_1	—	7272	7240	7268	7269
4^3S_1	—	—	—	7524	7523
5^3S_1	—	—	—	7658	7633
6^3S_1	—	—	—	7744	7698
7^3S_1	—	—	—	7832	7817
1^1P_1	—	6741	6730	6747	6745
2^1P_1	—	7145	7140	7147	7145
3^1P_1	—	—	—	7429	7427
4^1P_1	—	—	—	7619	7605
5^1P_1	—	—	—	7663	7636
6^1P_1	—	—	—	7755	7753
1^1D_2	—	7041	7020	7026	7025
2^1D_2	—	—	—	7339	7338
3^1D_2	—	—	—	7563	7561
4^1D_2	—	—	—	7632	7625
5^1D_2	—	—	—	7708	7713
6^1D_2	—	—	—	7795	7831
1^1F_3	—	7276	7240	7240	7239
2^1F_3	—	—	—	7549	7493
3^1F_3	—	—	—	7611	7630
4^1F_3	—	—	—	7632	7673
5^1F_3	—	—	—	7768	7787
1^1G_4	—	—	—	7417	7416
2^1G_4	—	—	—	7622	7618
3^1G_4	—	—	—	7668	7650
4^1G_4	—	—	—	7702	7753
1^1H_5	—	—	—	7566	7564
2^1H_5	—	—	—	7672	7663
3^1H_5	—	—	—	7734	7725
1^1I_6	—	—	—	7678	7680
2^1I_6	—	—	—	7705	7693

A. Mass spectrum of B_c mesons under KPIQP potential

There are three experimental values of B_c mesons shown in the Table III. The experimental masses of 1^1S_0 and 2^1S_0 states are 6273 MeV and 6872 MeV [26], respectively. The experimental mass of 1^3S_1 state is 6841 MeV from LHCb Collaboration [23]. Compared the results obtained by WKB approximation of B_c mesons with the three experimental values, one sees that the mass of 1^1S_0 state and 2^1S_0 state agree well with the experimental values. The result of 2^3S_1 state is higher than the experimental value. The masses calculated via WKB approximation are smaller than those in GI model and

the results in Ref. [25]. With the increase of radial quantum number, our approximate solution are closer to the masses in GI model and in Ref. [25]. Besides, the masses obtained by WKB approximation are in good agreement with that computed by finite difference method (numerical solution). For high excited states, the results from WKB approximation are higher than those obtained via finite difference method.

In addition, we also predict the masses of highly excited states such as G, H and I waves. The predicted masses of highly excited states $4^1G_4, 1^1H_5$ and 2^1H_5 are 7702 MeV, 7566 MeV and 7672 MeV, respectively. More details are shown in Table III.

B. Mass spectrum of heavy flavor meson $c\bar{c}$ under KPIQP potential

With the proposal of the quark model, a large number of mesons have been reported by experiments, such as Ψ and Υ mesons. In this part, we obtain the mass spectrum of the heavy meson $c\bar{c}$ and compare our results with those in Refs. [27, 28] and from MGI model [29], which take screening potential into GI model. More information of MGI model can be seen in Refs. [28–31]

The masses from experiment, from MGI model, calculated by numerical solution like Refs. [27, 28] and obtained via WKB approximation and finite difference method by us are shown in Table IV. The masses we computed by WKB approximation are in excellent agreement with the experimental values for 2^1S_0 and 2^3S_1 states. For $1^3S_1, 3^3S_1$ and 1^1P_1 states, our results with WKB approximation is lower than those of experimental values. When it comes to the masses calculated by approximate solution (WKB approximation) and numerical solution, there is an important point deserved to be pointed out here, that is, our results calculated via approximate solution are very close to those obtained by numerical solution for ground and low excited states.

When compared with the masses obtained in MGI model and Refs. [27, 28], our results of approximate solution are lower than those except for a few states. That means, our method can depress the mass spectrum of high excited states.

We also predict the masses of $L = 5$ and 6 bound states. The masses of $3^1G_4, 4^1G_4$ and 1^1H_5 states are 4325 MeV, 4333 MeV and 4285 MeV, respectively. More information of the prediction can be seen in Table IV.

C. Mass spectrum of heavy flavor meson $b\bar{b}$ under KPIQP potential

In recent ten years, with the improvement of collision energy and detector accuracy, a large number of new $b\bar{b}$ meson states have been observed, and the quality of more $b\bar{b}$ states has been determined, which further improves the experimental information of $b\bar{b}$ meson spectroscopy. Combining a large amount of experimental information and the current theoretical framework, we systematically studied the $b\bar{b}$ meson spectroscopy. In addition, we give theoretical predictions for those

TABLE IV: Mass spectrum of $c\bar{c}$ mesons at KPIQP potential. FD is the abbreviation of the masses calculated via finite difference method. The unit of the mass is MeV.

State	Exp. [32]	Ref. [28]	Ref. [27]	MGI [29]	WKB	FD
1^1S_0	2983	2982	2990	2981	3015	3020
2^1S_0	3637	3630	3646	3642	3635	3635
3^1S_0	—	4043	4072	4013	4005	4004
4^1S_0	—	4384	4420	4260	4221	4209
5^1S_0	—	—	—	4433	4313	4270
6^1S_0	—	—	—	—	4423	4427
7^1S_0	—	—	—	—	4534	4617
8^1S_0	—	—	—	—	4649	4847
1^3S_1	3096	3090	3085	3096	3076	3081
2^3S_1	3686	3672	3682	3683	3696	3696
3^3S_1	4039	4072	4100	4035	4066	4065
4^3S_1	4230	4406	4439	4274	4282	4270
5^3S_1	—	—	—	4443	4374	4331
6^3S_1	—	—	—	—	4484	4488
7^3S_1	—	—	—	—	4595	4678
1^1P_1	3525	3516	3515	3538	3483	3481
2^1P_1	—	3934	3945	3933	3898	3895
3^1P_1	—	4279	4334	4200	4170	4165
4^1P_1	—	—	4639	4389	4258	4242
5^1P_1	—	—	—	—	4322	4368
6^1P_1	—	—	—	—	4490	4540
7^1P_1	—	—	—	—	4601	4752
1^1D_2	—	3799	3807	3848	3771	3768
2^1D_2	—	4158	4174	4137	4088	4085
3^1D_2	—	—	4560	4343	4221	4237
4^1D_2	—	—	—	4490	4316	4313
5^1D_2	—	—	—	—	4410	4469
6^1D_2	—	—	—	—	4458	4661
1^1F_3	—	4026	4041	4074	3993	3990
2^1F_3	—	4350	4372	4296	4221	4224
3^1F_3	—	—	4746	—	4282	4275
4^1F_3	—	—	—	—	4286	4412
5^1F_3	—	—	—	—	4289	4581
1^1G_4	—	4225	4247	4250	4172	4169
2^1G_4	—	—	—	—	4265	4279
3^1G_4	—	—	—	—	4325	4366
4^1G_4	—	—	—	—	4333	4514
1^1H_5	—	—	—	—	4285	4297
2^1H_5	—	—	—	—	4369	4328
3^1H_5	—	—	—	—	4382	4465
1^1I_6	—	—	—	—	4364	4339
2^1I_6	—	—	—	—	4453	4435

mesons not found in the experiments, and hope that this information can be helpful for the experiments. The specific mass spectra of $b\bar{b}$ meson family is shown in Table V.

This system has more experimental information and theoretical values from relevant literatures [30, 34, 35] than B_c and $c\bar{c}$ mesons. The authors of Refs. [29, 30] take the same experimental values with those we use, which facilitates the work of the comparison and verification. Compared our results of $b\bar{b}$ meson with the experimental values, we can see that the results of most states are in good agreement with the experimental values, except for a few states. It can be concluded

TABLE V: Mass spectrum of $b\bar{b}$ mesons at KPIQP potential. FD is the abbreviation of the masses calculated via finite difference method. The unit of the mass is MeV.

State	Expe [33]	Ref. [34]	MGI [30]	GI [35]	WKB	FD
1^1S_0	9399	9409	9398	9394	9379	9354
2^1S_0	9999	9996	9989	9975	10000	10003
3^1S_0	—	10334	10336	10333	10356	10359
4^1S_0	—	10612	10597	10616	10616	10617
5^1S_0	—	10865	10810	10806	10815	10816
6^1S_0	—	—	10991	11079	10965	10960
7^1S_0	—	—	11149	11281	11025	10967
8^1S_0	—	—	11289	11470	11104	11056
1^3S_1	9460	9458	9463	9459	9487	9362
2^3S_1	10023	10012	10017	10004	10008	10011
3^3S_1	10355	10345	10356	10354	10364	10366
4^3S_1	10579	10623	10612	10633	10624	10625
5^3S_1	10881	10870	10822	10875	10823	10824
6^3S_1	11003	—	11001	11092	10973	10968
7^3S_1	—	—	11157	11294	11031	10974
1^1P_1	9899	9911	9894	9881	9899	9899
2^1P_1	10260	10254	10259	10250	10275	10275
3^1P_1	—	10531	10530	10530	10549	10548
4^1P_1	—	10814	10751	10790	10761	10760
5^1P_1	—	—	10938	11013	10925	10914
6^1P_1	—	—	11101	11218	11024	10963
7^1P_1	—	—	—	—	11051	11035
1^1D_2	—	10154	10163	10148	10172	10172
2^1D_2	—	10439	10450	10450	10465	10464
3^1D_2	—	—	10681	10706	10693	10692
4^1D_2	—	—	10876	10934	10872	10871
5^1D_2	—	—	11046	11143	11005	10966
6^1D_2	—	—	—	—	11024	11004
1^1F_3	—	10339	10366	10354	10373	10373
2^1F_3	—	10598	10609	10619	10618	10618
3^1F_3	—	—	10812	10853	10813	10812
4^1F_3	—	—	10988	11066	10964	10962
5^1F_3	—	—	—	—	11024	10972
1^1G_4	—	—	10534	10530	10539	10539
2^1G_4	—	—	10747	10770	10749	10749
3^1G_4	—	—	10929	10988	10916	10915
4^1G_4	—	—	—	—	11024	10977
1^1H_5	—	—	—	—	10682	10681
2^1H_5	—	—	—	—	10864	10863
3^1H_5	—	—	—	—	11031	10985
1^1I_6	—	—	—	—	10807	10807
2^1I_6	—	—	—	—	10964	10963

that the results of the approximate solution are in consonance with the results of the numerical solution.

In addition, our results are lower than those in GI and MGI model, which verified this method can also reduced the mass of highly excited states. We also predict that the masses of highly excited states 4^1G_4 , 1^1H_5 are 11024 MeV, 10682 MeV, respectively. More details can be found in Table V.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

In this section, we compare the masses obtained by WKB approximation and the numerical solution calculated via finite difference method. We can see the results are highly consistent for ground states as well as low excited states. For high excited states, the masses obtained by WKB approximation are higher than those obtained via finite difference method. Next we will discuss the potential in Eq. (2.3). Ref. [36] pointed out that the potential of a meson system meet

$$V(r)' > 0, V(r)'' < 0, V(r)''' > 0, \quad (4.1)$$

in this work, the first derivative and the third derivative are positive while the second derivative is negative. When the second derivative is less than zero, there exists a maximum of the potential energy, which is $r = 12.4 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$. That means, when the value of r is less than that of maximum, the mass spectrum of the heavy double meson increases gradually, whereas decreases gradually when the value of r exceeds the maximum value. Therefore, the mass spectra in this work are decrease when arrive at a quantitative number. This property does not hold for large power potentials, such as $V = r^5$. In addition, the Harmonic oscillator potential br^2 fitted in this paper is less than zero, which is mainly responsible for suppressing the mass spectrum of the highly excited state in the total potential energy, and its role is the same as μ that in the screening potential. Next, let's talk about the screening potential.

The screening potential has a great influence on the masses of the high excited states and little influence on the ground states. The main reason for screening potential effect is the creation of quark-antiquark pairs from vacuum [37–39]. Taking GI model as an example, the linear potential ar in GI model tends to be infinite with the increase of the distance between quark and antiquark. When the distance between quark and antiquark arrive at a number, a pair of quark-antiquark will be created from vacuum, and this pair of newly created quark-antiquark will play a certain screening effect on the color charge. This causes the fact that linear potential ar cannot fully reflect the interaction between quarks, because of which it is necessary to modify it. In the MGI model, the linear confinement term ar is replaced as follows [29–31, 37, 38]:

$$ar \rightarrow V^{scr}(r) = \frac{a(1 - e^{-\mu r})}{\mu}, \quad (4.2)$$

where $V^{scr}(r)$ is screening potential that is expressed as ar in the near distance and tends to be a constant $\frac{a}{\mu}$ in the long distance. We can adjust the parameter μ to change the screening potential.

Compared the Taylor expanded Eq. (4.2) with the potential function, we can obtained $\alpha = 0.077 \text{ GeV}$. Our results are similar to those in literature [29–31], and the parameters affecting the screening potential effect in literature [29–31] are all expressed as μ . In Ref. [29], $\mu = 0.15 \text{ GeV}$, the authors used this parameter to calculate the mass spectrum of double heavy $c\bar{c}$ meson and the results are in good agreement with the experimental values. In Ref. [30] and Ref. [31], the values of

μ are 0.074 GeV and 0.1 GeV, respectively. The mass spectrums of $b\bar{b}$ and K meson are calculated with their respective parameters, and the results are in good agreement with the experimental values too. Numerically, the higher the value of μ are taken, the lower of the high excited states' mass are. The value of α in this paper is higher than the value of μ in literature [30], which leads to the fact that the high excited state energy calculated in this paper is lower than that in literature [30].

In addition, it can be seen from Fig. 1 that coulomb potential plays a major role in low excitation state, while linear potential and harmonic oscillator potential play an increasing role in high excitation states and coulomb potential can be ignored. Since the coefficient of the harmonic oscillator potential is negative and the linear potential is positive, the harmonic oscillator potential decreases as r^2 while the linear potential increase as r , so the harmonic oscillator potential decreases faster than the linear potential. This brings about the total potential energy will reaching a max value and it is unstable beyond this peak, which is contrary to the physical law. It can be seen that the KPIQP potential maybe not applicable in high excited states, nonetheless this phenomenon could predicts that there is an upper limit corresponding to a high excited states for the mass spectra of mesons.




FIG. 1: Potential function diagram.

Finally, we give a summary: we use WKB approximation method mainly to calculate the mass spectrums of double heavy meson B_c , $c\bar{c}$ and $b\bar{b}$ families under KPIQP potential with fitted parameters, and predict the mass spectra of highly excited states of three meson families. Besides, the results obtained by WKB approximation method are in good agreement with those calculated via finite difference method for low excited states. As for high excited states, the approximate solutions (by WKB approximation method) are higher than numerical solution (via finite difference method). Moreover, we also predict the masses of highly excited states of three mesons system. The masses of 3^1H_5 , 1^1I_6 and 2^1I_6 states in B_c family is 7734 MeV, 7678 MeV and 7705 MeV, respectively. For $c\bar{c}$ meson, the masses of 3^1H_5 and 1^1I_6 states are 4369 MeV, 4382 MeV as well as the 1^1I_6 and 2^1I_6 are 10807 MeV, 10964 MeV, respectively. More mass information can be seen in Table III, IV and V.

Compared with the screening potential, the fitted parameter that affects the screening potential effect is $\alpha = 0.077$ GeV, which is not significantly different from the others in the relevant literature.

We expect that our work will contribute to the understanding of the role of the quark interaction potential in highly excited states and hope that it is helpful to study and establish the mass spectrum of the double heavy meson family.

V. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants No. 11965016 and No. 12047501, the National Program for Support of Top-notch Young Professionals, and the projects funded by Science and Technology Department of Qinghai Province No. 2019-ZJ-A10 No. 2022-ZJ-939Q, No. 2020-ZJ-728, 2019-ZJ-961Q and 2021-ZJ-DY02.

-
- [1] J. Kang and H. Schnitzer, *Physical. Review D* **12** (1975), 10.1103/Physical Review D.12.841.
 - [2] F. Brau, *Physical Review D* **62** (2000), 10.1103/phys-revd.62.014005.
 - [3] Sergeenko and N. M., *Physical Review A* **53**, 3798 (2012).
 - [4] Chebotarev and V. L., *American Journal of Physics* **66**, 1086 (1998).
 - [5] Robinett and R. W., *European Journal of Physics* **31**, 1 (2009).
 - [6] M. Al Masaeed, E. M. Rabei, and A. Al-Jamel, (2021), arXiv:2111.01547 [quant-ph].
 - [7] F. Brau and C. Semay, *Journal of Physics G Nuclear and Particle Physics* **28**, 2771 (2002).
 - [8] M. N. Sergeenko, *Physical Review A* **53**, 3798 (1996).
 - [9] D. J. Griffiths, *American Journal of Physics* **63** (2005).
 - [10] J. S. Kang and H. J. Schnitzer, *Physical Review D* **12**, 2791 (1975).
 - [11] C. Quigg, *AIP Conference Proceedings* **435**, 242 (1998), arXiv:hep-ph/9803326.
 - [12] Z. Q. Ma and B. W. Xu, *Europhysics Letters (EPL)* **69**, 685 (2005).
 - [13] Z. Q. Ma and B. W. Xu, *International Journal of Modern Physics E* **14**, 599 (2005).
 - [14] H. F. Lalus, H. Yudhawardana, and N. P. Aryani, *Journal of Physics Conference Series* **1918**, 022026 (2021).
 - [15] E. Omugbe, *Asian Journal of Physical and Chemical Sciences*, **26** (2020).
 - [16] D. Okon, *Molecular Physics* **119** (2020), 10.1080/00268976.2020.1818860.
 - [17] P. Amore, A. D. Pace, and J. Lopez, *Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics* **32**, 1061 (2006).

- [18] E. Omugbe, O. E. Osafire, and M. C. Onyeaju, *Adv. High Energy Physics* **2020**, 5901464 (2020), [arXiv:2007.04776 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [19] S. Bhattacharjee, D. S. Ray, and J. K. Bhattacharjee, *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry* **50**, P.819 (2012).
- [20] E. Omugbe, O. Osafire, E. Enaibe, M. Onyeaju, and A. Erhieyovwe, *Quantum Studies: Mathematics and Foundations* **8** (2021), [10.1007/s40509-021-00244-y](#).
- [21] C. Negulescu, N. B. Abdallah, E. Polizzi, and M. Mouis, *Journal of Computational Electronics* **3**, 397 (2004).
- [22] A. Suaif, D. Rahmawati, and Z. S. Ud, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* **1204**, 012052 (2019).
- [23] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb), *Physical Review Letters* **122**, 232001 (2019), [arXiv:1904.00081 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [24] S. Godfrey, *Physical Review D* **70** (2004), [10.1103/physrevd.70.054017](#).
- [25] J. Zeng, J. W. V. Orden, and W. Roberts, *Physical Review D* **52**, 5229 (1995).
- [26] P. A. Zyla et al. (Particle Data Group), *Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics* **2020**, 083C01 (2020).
- [27] L. Cao, Y. C. Yang, and H. Chen, *Few-Body Systems* **53** (2012), [10.1007/s00601-012-0478-z](#).
- [28] T. Barnes, S. Godfrey, and E. S. Swanson, *Physical Review D* **72** (2005), [10.1103/physrevd.72.054026](#).
- [29] J. Z. Wang, D. Y. Chen, X. Liu, and T. Matsuki, *Physical Review D* **99** (2019), [10.1103/physrevd.99.114003](#).
- [30] J. Z. Wang, Z. F. Sun, X. Liu, and T. Matsuki, *The European Physical Journal C* **78** (2018), [10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-6372-1](#).
- [31] C. Q. Pang, J. Z. Wang, X. Liu, and T. Matsuki, *The European Physical Journal C* **77** (2017), [10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-5434-0](#).
- [32] C. Patrignani et al. (Particle Data Group), *Chinese Physics C* **40**, 100001 (2016).
- [33] M. Tanabashi et al. (Particle Data Group), *Physical Review D* **98**, 030001 (2018).
- [34] S. Godfrey and K. Moats, *Physical Review D* **92** (2015), [10.1103/physrevd.92.054034](#).
- [35] S. Godfrey and N. Isgur, *Physical Review D* **32**, 189 (1985).
- [36] A. Martin, *Italian Phys. Soc. Proc.* **30**, 339 (1991).
- [37] Q. T. Song, D. Y. Chen, X. Liu, and T. Matsuki, *Physical Review D* **92** (2015), [10.1103/physrevd.92.074011](#).
- [38] Q. T. Song, D. Y. Chen, X. Liu, and T. Matsuki, *Physical Review D* **91** (2015), [10.1103/physrevd.91.054031](#).
- [39] Y. Sun, Q. T. Song, D. Y. Chen, X. Liu, and S. L. Zhu, *Physical Review D* **89** (2014), [10.1103/physrevd.89.054026](#).