arXiv:2205.00487v2 [cs.LG] 24 Sep 2022

# On the speed of uniform convergence in Mercer's theorem

RUSTEM TAKHANOV*, School of Sciences and Humanities, Republic of Kazakhstan

The classical Mercer's theorem claims that a continuous positive definite kernel $K(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$ on a compact set can be represented as $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\lambda_i\phi_i(\mathbf{x})\phi_i(\mathbf{y})$ where $\{(\lambda_i,\phi_i)\}$ are eigenvalue-eigenvector pairs of the corresponding integral operator. This infinite representation is known to converge uniformly to the kernel $K$. We estimate the speed of this convergence in terms of the decay rate of eigenvalues and demonstrate that for $2m$ times differentiable kernels the first $N$ terms of the series approximate $K$ as $O\big((\sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty}\lambda_i)^{\frac{m}{m+n}}\big)$ or $O\big((\sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty}\lambda_i^2)^{\frac{m}{2m+n}}\big)$. Finally, we demonstrate some applications of our results to a spectral charaterization of integral operators with continuous roots and other powers.

Additional Key Words and Phrases: Mercer's theorem, Mercer kernel, uniform convergence, RKHS, Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality.

**ACM Reference Format:**
Rustem Takhanov. 2022. On the speed of uniform convergence in Mercer's theorem. 1, 1 (September 2022), 11 pages.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Mercer kernels play an important role in machine learning and is a mathematical basis of such techniques as kernel density estimation and spline models [14], Support Vector Machines [11], kernel principal components analysis [10], regularization of neural networks [13] and many others. According to Aronszajn's theorem, any Mercer kernel induces a reproducing kernel Hilbert space (RKHS) and vice versa, any RKHS corresponds to a kernel. A relationship between the latter two notions is decribed in the classical Mercer's theorem. A goal of this note is to refine this theorem and give some estimates on the speed of uniform convergence stated in it.

Let $\Omega\subseteq\mathbb{R}^n$ be a compact set, $K:\Omega\times\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$ be a continuous Mercer kernel [6] and $L_p(\Omega), p\geq 1$ be a space of real-valued functions $f$ on $\Omega$ with $\|f\|_{L_p(\Omega)}=(\int_\Omega|f(\mathbf{x})|^p d\mathbf{x})^{1/p}$. Let $\mathrm{O}_K: L_2(\Omega)\to L_2(\Omega)$ be defined by $\mathrm{O}_K[\phi](\mathbf{x})=\int_\Omega K(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\phi(\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{y}$. By $C(\Omega)$ we denote a space of continuous functions. From Mercer's theorem we have that there is an orthonormal basis $\{\psi_i(\mathbf{x})\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ in $L_2(\Omega)$ such that $\mathrm{O}_K[\psi_i]=\lambda_i'\psi_i$. Some of eigenvalues of $\mathrm{O}_K$ can be equal to zero, therefore, let us assume that natural numbers $i_1<i_2<\cdots$ are such that $\{\lambda_{i_j}'\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a set of positive eigenvalues, and we denote $\lambda_j=\lambda_{i_j}'$ and $\phi_j=\psi_{i_j}$, $j\in\mathbb{N}$. It is well-known that $\{\phi_i(\mathbf{x})\}_{i=0}^{\infty}\subseteq C(\Omega)$ and $L_K^N=\|K(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})-\sum_{i=1}^N\lambda_i\phi_i(\mathbf{x})\phi_i(\mathbf{y})\|^2_{L_2(\Omega\times\Omega)}=\sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty}\lambda_i^2$. Analogously, for diagonal elements we have $S_K^N=\|K(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})-\sum_{i=1}^N\lambda_i\phi_i(\mathbf{x})^2\|_{L_1(\Omega)}=\sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty}\lambda_i$. Thus, the behaviour of eigenvalues completely characterizes the speed of convergence of $\sum_{i=1}^N\lambda_i\phi_i(\mathbf{x})\phi_i(\mathbf{y})$ to $K$ in $L_2(\Omega\times\Omega)$ and of $\sum_{i=1}^N\lambda_i\phi_i(\mathbf{x})^2$ to $K(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})$ in $L_1(\Omega)$. For the supremum norm, Mercer's theorem implies only the uniform convergence, i.e.

$$C_K^N=\sup_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}\in\Omega}|K(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})-\sum_{i=1}^N\lambda_i\phi_i(\mathbf{x})\phi_i(\mathbf{y})|\to 0$$

as $N\to\infty$. We are interested in upper bounds on $C_K^N$.

Author's address: Rustem Takhanov, rustem.takhanov@nu.edu.kz, School of Sciences and Humanities, 53 Kabanbay Batyr Ave, Nur-Sultan city, Republic of Kazakhstan, 010000.

© 2022

For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n) \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^n$, $|\alpha|$ denotes $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$, $\partial^\alpha_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x})$ denotes $\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}$. The symbol $C^m(\Omega)$ denotes a set of functions $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\partial^\alpha_{\mathbf{x}} f \in C(\Omega)$ for $|\alpha| \le m$. We prove the following theorems.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\Omega$ have a Lipschitz boundary, $K \in C^{2m}(\Omega \times \Omega)$ and $p > \frac{n}{m}$, $p \ge 1$. Then,*

$$C_K^N \le C^m_{\Omega,p} \max_{\alpha:|\alpha|=m} \Big(\sum_{\beta \le \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} \big\| \sqrt{D_\beta D_{\alpha-\beta}} \big\|_{L_p(\Omega)}\Big)^\theta \Big( \sum_{i=N+1}^\infty \lambda_i \Big)^{1-\theta} + C^m_{\Omega,p} \sum_{i=N+1}^\infty \lambda_i \tag{1}$$

*where $D_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \partial^\alpha_{\mathbf{x}} \partial^\alpha_{\mathbf{y}} K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})|_{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{x}}$, $\theta = (1 + \frac{m}{n} - \frac{1}{p})^{-1}$ and*

$$C^m_{\Omega,p} = \sup_{u \in L_1 \cap L_p, u \ne 0} \frac{\|u\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)}}{\|u\|^{1-\theta}_{L_1(\Omega)} \cdot \|D^m u\|^\theta_{L_p(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L_1(\Omega)}}$$

*is an optimal constant in the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality for the domain $\Omega$.*

Note that in the latter theorem one can set $p = +\infty$ and obtain that $C_K^N = O\big((\sum_{i=N+1}^\infty \lambda_i)^{\frac{m}{m+n}}\big)$. Thus, infinitely differentiable kernels satisfy $C_K^N = O\big((\sum_{i=N+1}^\infty \lambda_i)^{1-\varepsilon}\big)$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\Omega$ have a Lipschitz boundary, $K \in C^{2m}(\Omega \times \Omega)$ and $p > \frac{n}{m}$, $p \ge 1$. Then,*

$$C_K^N \le D^m_{\Omega,p} \Big( \sum_{i=N+1}^\infty \lambda_i^2 \Big)^{(1-\theta)/2} \cdot \max_{|\alpha|+|\beta|=m} \|\sqrt{D_\alpha}\|^\theta_{L_p} \|\sqrt{D_\beta}\|^\theta_{L_p} + D^m_{\Omega,p} \Big( \sum_{i=N+1}^\infty \lambda_i^2 \Big)^{1/2} \tag{2}$$

*where $\theta = (1 + \frac{2m}{n} - \frac{2}{p})^{-1}$ and*

$$D^m_{\Omega,p} = \sup_{u \in L_2 \cap L_p, u \ne 0} \frac{\|u\|_{L_\infty(\Omega\times\Omega)}}{\|u\|^{1-\theta}_{L_2(\Omega\times\Omega)} \cdot \|D^m u\|^\theta_{L_p(\Omega\times\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L_2(\Omega\times\Omega)}}$$

*is an optimal constant in the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality for the domain $\Omega \times \Omega$.*

For $p = +\infty$ we have $C_K^N = O\big((\sum_{i=N+1}^\infty \lambda_i^2)^{\frac{m}{2m+n}}\big)$. For infinitely differentiable kernels, the latter implies $C_K^N = O\big((\sum_{i=N+1}^\infty \lambda_i^2)^{0.5-\varepsilon}\big)$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

## 2 PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Let $\mathcal{H}_K$ be a reproducing kernel Hilbert space (RKHS) defined by $K$. This space is a completion of the span of $\{K(\mathbf{x}, \cdot) \mid \mathbf{x} \in \Omega\}$ with the inner product $\langle K(\mathbf{x}, \cdot), K(\mathbf{y}, \cdot)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_K} = K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$. Also, it can be characterized by the following proposition, which is equivalent to Theorem 4.12 from [3] and whose original version can be found in [2].

Proposition 2.1 ([2, 3]). *Let $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be the set of all positive eigenvalues of $\mathrm{O}_K$ (counting multiplicities) with corresponding orthogonal unit eigenvectors $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$. Then, $\mathcal{H}_K$ equals*

$$\mathrm{O}_K^{1/2}[L_2(\Omega)] = \{\sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i \phi_i \mid \Big[\frac{a_i}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}}\Big]_{i=1}^\infty \in l^2\} \subseteq C(\Omega)$$

*with the inner product* $\langle \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i\phi_i, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i\phi_i\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_K} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_i b_i}{\lambda_i}$. *For any* $f \in \mathcal{H}_K$,

$$\|f\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)} \le C_K \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_K},$$

*where* $C_K = \sqrt{\max_{x,y\in\Omega} K(x,y)}$.

We will use that proposition throughout our proof.

For any $f \in C(\Omega)$, an internal point $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$, $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\alpha \in (\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\})^n$, let us denote

$$\delta^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{h}}[f](\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\beta:\beta\le\alpha} (-1)^{|\alpha|-|\beta|}\binom{\alpha}{\beta} f(x_1+\beta_1 h_1, \cdots, x_n+\beta_n h_n)$$

where $\binom{\alpha}{\beta} = \prod_{i=1}^{n}\binom{\alpha_i}{\beta_i}$ and $\beta \le \alpha$ denotes $\beta_i \le \alpha_i, i = 1,\cdots,n$. For a kernel $K \in C(\Omega\times\Omega)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^{(\alpha,\alpha)}_{(\mathbf{h},\mathbf{h}')}[K](\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\beta,\beta':\beta\le\alpha,\beta'\le\alpha} (-1)^{|\beta|+|\beta'|}\binom{\alpha}{\beta}\binom{\alpha}{\beta'} K(x_1+\beta_1 h_1, \cdots,\\ x_n+\beta_n h_n, x_1+\beta'_1 h_1, \cdots, x_n+\beta'_n h_n)\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

If $\partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}}\partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{y}} K(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$ exists, let us denote

$$D_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}}\partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{y}} K(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})|_{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{x}}.$$

Note that $\delta^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{h}}$ is a finite difference operator of a higher order. Its well-known property is given below.

Proposition 2.2. *If* $f \in C^{|\alpha|}(\Omega)$, *then* $\delta^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{h}}[f](\mathbf{x}) = \partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{h}^{\alpha} + r(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{h})$ *where* $|r(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{h})| \le C(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{h})\|\mathbf{h}\|^{|\alpha|}$ *and* $\lim_{\mathbf{h}\to 0} C(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{h}) = 0$.

For symmetric functions, $\delta^{(\alpha,\alpha)}_{(\mathbf{h},\mathbf{h}')}[F](\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})$ satisfies a finer property.

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $F \in C^{2k}(\Omega\times\Omega)$ *satisfy* $F(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = F(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{x})$. *Then, for any* $\alpha \in (\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\})^n : |\alpha| = k$, *we have*

$$\delta^{(\alpha,\alpha)}_{(\mathbf{h},\mathbf{h}')}[F](\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}) = \partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}}\partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{y}} F|_{(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})}\mathbf{h}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{h}')^{\alpha} + r(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{h},\mathbf{h}'),$$

*where*

$$|r(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{h},\mathbf{h}')| \le$$
$$C_1(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{h},\mathbf{h}')\mathbf{h}^{\alpha}\|\mathbf{h}'\|^{|\alpha|} + C_2(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{h},\mathbf{h}')\|\mathbf{h}\|^{|\alpha|}\mathbf{h}'^{\alpha} + C(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{h},\mathbf{h}')\|\mathbf{h}\|^{|\alpha|}\|\mathbf{h}'\|^{|\alpha|}$$

*and* $\lim_{(\mathbf{h},\mathbf{h}')\to(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})} C_1(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{h},\mathbf{h}') = 0$, $\lim_{(\mathbf{h},\mathbf{h}')\to(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})} C_2(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{h},\mathbf{h}') = 0$,
$\lim_{(\mathbf{h},\mathbf{h}')\to(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})} C(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{h},\mathbf{h}') = 0$.

Proof. A symbol $f(\mathbf{h},\mathbf{h}') = o(g(\mathbf{h},\mathbf{h}'))$ denotes $\lim_{(\mathbf{h},\mathbf{h}')\to(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})} \frac{f(\mathbf{h},\mathbf{h}')}{g(\mathbf{h},\mathbf{h}')} = 0$. Let us denote

$$\begin{aligned} q(\mathbf{h},\mathbf{h}') = F(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h},\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h}') - \sum_{\eta,\gamma:|\eta|,|\gamma|\le k} \frac{1}{\eta!\gamma!}\partial^{\eta}_{\mathbf{x}}\partial^{\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}} F|_{(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})}\mathbf{h}^{\eta}\mathbf{h}'^{\gamma} -\\ \sum_{\eta:|\eta|\le k} \frac{(\partial^{\eta}_{\mathbf{x}} F|_{(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h}')} - \sum_{\gamma:|\gamma|\le k}\frac{1}{\gamma!}\partial^{\eta}_{\mathbf{x}}\partial^{\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}} F|_{(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})}\mathbf{h}'^{\gamma})}{\eta!}\mathbf{h}^{\eta} -\\ \sum_{\gamma:|\gamma|\le k} \frac{(\partial^{\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}} F|_{(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h},\mathbf{x})} - \sum_{\eta:|\eta|\le k}\frac{1}{\eta!}\partial^{\eta}_{\mathbf{x}}\partial^{\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}} F|_{(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})}\mathbf{h}^{\eta})}{\gamma!}\mathbf{h}'^{\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

We will prove that $q(\mathbf{h},\mathbf{h}') = o(\|\mathbf{h}'\|^k\|\mathbf{h}\|^k)$. First, note that $\partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{h}} q(\mathbf{h},\mathbf{h}')$, for $|\alpha| \leq k$, reads as

$$\partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{h}} q(\mathbf{h},\mathbf{h}') = \partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{h}} F|_{(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h},\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h}')} - \sum_{\eta,\gamma:|\eta|\leq k-|\alpha|,|\gamma|\leq k} \partial^{\alpha+\eta}_{\mathbf{x}}\partial^{\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}} F|_{(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})} \frac{\mathbf{h}^{\eta}\mathbf{h}'^{\gamma}}{\eta!\gamma!} -$$
$$\sum_{\eta:|\eta|\leq k-|\alpha|} \frac{(\partial^{\alpha+\eta}_{\mathbf{x}} F|_{(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h}')} - \sum_{\gamma:|\gamma|\leq k} \frac{1}{\gamma!}\partial^{\alpha+\eta}_{\mathbf{x}}\partial^{\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}} F|_{(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})}\mathbf{h}'^{\gamma})}{\eta!}\mathbf{h}^{\eta} -$$
$$\sum_{\gamma:|\gamma|\leq k} \frac{(\partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}}\partial^{\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}} F|_{(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h},\mathbf{x})} - \sum_{\eta:|\eta|\leq k-|\alpha|} \frac{1}{\eta!}\partial^{\eta+\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}}\partial^{\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}} F|_{(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})}\mathbf{h}^{\eta})}{\gamma!}\mathbf{h}'^{\gamma}$$

and therefore,

$$\partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{h}} q(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{h}') = \partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}} F|_{(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h}')} - \sum_{\gamma:|\gamma|\leq k} \partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}}\partial^{\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}} F|_{(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})} \frac{\mathbf{h}'^{\gamma}}{\gamma!} -$$
$$(\partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}} F|_{(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h}')} - \sum_{\gamma:|\gamma|\leq k} \frac{1}{\gamma!}\partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}}\partial^{\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}} F|_{(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})}\mathbf{h}'^{\gamma}) = 0$$

Using $q(\cdot,\mathbf{h}') \in C^k(\Omega)$ and Taylor's expansion around $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{0}$, we obtain

$$q(\mathbf{h},\mathbf{h}') = \sum_{\alpha:|\alpha|=k} \partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{h}} q(\chi\mathbf{h},\mathbf{h}')\frac{\mathbf{h}^{\alpha}}{\alpha!}$$

where $\chi \in (0,1)$.

For $|\alpha| = k$, we have

$$\partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{h}} q(\chi\mathbf{h},\mathbf{h}') = \partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}} F|_{(\mathbf{x}+\chi\mathbf{h},\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h}')} - \sum_{\gamma:|\gamma|\leq k} \partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}}\partial^{\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}} F|_{(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})} \frac{\mathbf{h}'^{\gamma}}{\gamma!} -$$
$$(\partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}} F|_{(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h}')} - \sum_{\gamma:|\gamma|\leq k} \frac{1}{\gamma!}\partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}}\partial^{\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}} F|_{(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})}\mathbf{h}'^{\gamma}) -$$
$$\sum_{\gamma:|\gamma|\leq k} \frac{(\partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}}\partial^{\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}} F|_{(\mathbf{x}+\chi\mathbf{h},\mathbf{x})} - \partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}}\partial^{\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}} F|_{(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})})}{\gamma!}\mathbf{h}'^{\gamma} =$$
$$\partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}} F|_{(\mathbf{x}+\chi\mathbf{h},\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h}')} - \partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}} F|_{(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h}')} - \sum_{\gamma:|\gamma|\leq k} \frac{(\partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}}\partial^{\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}} F|_{(\mathbf{x}+\chi\mathbf{h},\mathbf{x})} - \partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}}\partial^{\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}} F|_{(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})})}{\gamma!}\mathbf{h}'^{\gamma}$$

If we denote $R(\mathbf{h}') = \partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}} F|_{(\mathbf{x}+\chi\mathbf{h},\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h}')} - \partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}} F|_{(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h}')}$, then, by Taylor's expansion theorem, we have $R(\mathbf{h}') - \sum_{\gamma:|\gamma|\leq k} \frac{\partial^{\gamma}_{\mathbf{h}'} R(\mathbf{0})\mathbf{h}'^{\gamma}}{\gamma!} = o(\|\mathbf{h}'\|^k)$. The latter expression for $\partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{h}} q(\chi\mathbf{h},\mathbf{h}')$ exactly equals $R(\mathbf{h}') - \sum_{\gamma:|\gamma|\leq k} \frac{\partial^{\gamma}_{\mathbf{h}'} R(\mathbf{0})\mathbf{h}'^{\gamma}}{\gamma!}$ and we conclude

$$q(\mathbf{h},\mathbf{h}') = \sum_{\alpha:|\alpha|=k} \partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{h}} q(\chi\mathbf{h},\mathbf{h}')\frac{\mathbf{h}^{\alpha}}{\alpha!} = o(\|\mathbf{h}\|^k\|\mathbf{h}'\|^k).$$

Thus, we proved that

$$F(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h},\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h}') = \sum_{\eta,\gamma:|\eta|,|\gamma|\le k} A_{\eta,\gamma}\mathbf{h}^{\eta}(\mathbf{h}')^{\gamma} + \sum_{\gamma:|\gamma|\le k} a_{\gamma}(\mathbf{h})\mathbf{h}'^{\gamma} + \sum_{\eta:|\eta|\le k} a_{\eta}(\mathbf{h}')\mathbf{h}^{\eta} + q(\mathbf{h},\mathbf{h}'), \tag{4}$$

where $A_{\eta,\gamma} = \frac{1}{\eta!\gamma!}\partial_{\mathbf{x}}^{\eta}\partial_{\mathbf{y}}^{\gamma}F|_{(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})}$, $a_{\gamma}(\mathbf{h}) = \partial_{\mathbf{y}}^{\gamma}F|_{(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h},\mathbf{x})} - \sum_{\eta:|\eta|\le k}\frac{1}{\eta!}\partial_{\mathbf{x}}^{\eta}\partial_{\mathbf{y}}^{\gamma}F|_{(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})}\mathbf{h}^{\eta} = o(\|\mathbf{h}\|^k)$ and $q(\mathbf{h},\mathbf{h}') = o(\|\mathbf{h}\|^k\|\mathbf{h}'\|^k)$.

After plugging in the expression (4) into (3), we have ($\odot$ denotes the Hadamard product)

$$\delta^{(\alpha,\alpha)}_{(\mathbf{h},\mathbf{h}')}[F](\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\beta,\beta':\beta\le\alpha,\beta'\le\alpha}(-1)^{|\beta|+|\beta'|}\binom{\alpha}{\beta}\binom{\alpha}{\beta'}$$

$$\sum_{\eta,\gamma:|\eta|,|\gamma|\le k}\frac{1}{\eta!\gamma!}\partial_{\mathbf{x}}^{\eta}\partial_{\mathbf{y}}^{\gamma}F(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})|_{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{h}^{\eta}(\mathbf{h}')^{\gamma}\beta^{\eta}(\beta')^{\gamma} + r(\mathbf{x},\beta\odot\mathbf{h},\beta'\odot\mathbf{h}') =$$

$$\sum_{\eta,\gamma:|\eta|,|\gamma|\le k}\frac{1}{\eta!\gamma!}\partial_{\mathbf{x}}^{\eta}\partial_{\mathbf{y}}^{\gamma}F(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})|_{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{h}^{\eta}(\mathbf{h}')^{\gamma}\sum_{\beta,\beta':\beta\le\alpha,\beta'\le\alpha}(-1)^{|\beta|+|\beta'|}\binom{\alpha}{\beta}\binom{\alpha}{\beta'}\beta^{\eta}(\beta')^{\gamma} +$$

$$\sum_{\gamma:|\gamma|\le k}\sum_{\beta,\beta':\beta\le\alpha,\beta'\le\alpha}(-1)^{|\beta|+|\beta'|}\binom{\alpha}{\beta}\binom{\alpha}{\beta'}a_{\gamma}(\beta\odot\mathbf{h})\beta'^{\gamma}\mathbf{h}'^{\gamma} +$$

$$\sum_{\eta:|\eta|\le k}\sum_{\beta,\beta':\beta\le\alpha,\beta'\le\alpha}(-1)^{|\beta|+|\beta'|}\binom{\alpha}{\beta}\binom{\alpha}{\beta'}a_{\eta}(\beta'\odot\mathbf{h}')\beta^{\eta}\mathbf{h}^{\eta} + o(\|\mathbf{h}\|^k\|\mathbf{h}'\|^k).$$

Note that

$$\sum_{\beta,\beta':\beta\le\alpha,\beta'\le\alpha}(-1)^{|\beta|+|\beta'|}\binom{\alpha}{\beta}\binom{\alpha}{\beta'}\beta^{\eta}(\beta')^{\gamma} =$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{\beta_i=0}^{\alpha_i}\sum_{\beta_i'=0}^{\alpha_i}(-1)^{\beta_i+\beta_i'}\binom{\alpha_i}{\beta_i}\binom{\alpha_i}{\beta_i'}\beta_i^{\eta_i}(\beta_i')^{\gamma_i} =$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n}\Big(\sum_{\beta_i=0}^{\alpha_i}(-1)^{\alpha_i-\beta_i}\binom{\alpha_i}{\beta_i}\beta_i^{\eta_i}\Big)\Big(\sum_{\beta_i'=0}^{\alpha_i}(-1)^{\alpha_i-\beta_i'}\binom{\alpha_i}{\beta_i'}(\beta_i')^{\gamma_i}\Big) =$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n}\delta_h^{\alpha_i}[x^{\eta_i}](0)\delta_h^{\alpha_i}[x^{\gamma_i}](0)$$

The expression that is in the RHS is just a finite difference of order $\alpha_i$ of $f(x) = x^{\eta_i}$ (or, $f(x) = x^{\gamma_i}$) for $h = 1$, due to $\delta_h^{\alpha_i}[x^{\eta_i}](0) = \sum_{\beta_i=0}^{\alpha_i}(-1)^{\alpha_i-\beta_i}\binom{\alpha_i}{\beta_i}\beta_i^{\eta_i}$. It is well-known that $\delta_h^{\alpha_i}[x^{\eta_i}](x) = 0$, if $\eta_i < \alpha_i$ and $\delta_h^{\alpha_i}[x^{\eta_i}](x) = \eta_i!$, if $\eta_i = \alpha_i$. Thus, we have

$$\sum_{\beta,\beta':\beta\le\alpha,\beta'\le\alpha}(-1)^{|\beta|+|\beta'|}\binom{\alpha}{\beta}\binom{\alpha}{\beta'}\beta^{\eta}(\beta')^{\gamma} = (\alpha!)^2[\eta=\gamma=\alpha]$$

and

$$\sum_{\beta:\beta\le\alpha}(-1)^{|\beta|}\binom{\alpha}{\beta}\sum_{o:|o|\le k}a_o(\beta'\odot\mathbf{h}')\beta^o\mathbf{h}^o = \alpha!a_{\alpha}(\beta'\odot\mathbf{h}')\mathbf{h}^{\alpha} = o(\|\mathbf{h}'\|^k)\mathbf{h}^{\alpha}.$$

Therefore,

$$\delta^{(\alpha,\alpha)}_{(\mathbf{h},\mathbf{h}')}[F](\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}) = \frac{(\alpha!)^2}{(\alpha!)^2}\partial^\alpha_{\mathbf{x}}\partial^\alpha_{\mathbf{y}}K(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})|_{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{h}^\alpha(\mathbf{h}')^\alpha + o(\|\mathbf{h}'\|^k)\mathbf{h}^\alpha + o(\|\mathbf{h}\|^k)\mathbf{h}'^\alpha + o(\|\mathbf{h}\|^k\|\mathbf{h}'\|^k)$$

From the latter, the statement of Lemma directly follows. □

The following lemma is a direct consequence of Theorem 1 from [16]. We give here its proof for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $K \in C^{2|\alpha|}(\Omega\times\Omega)$ and $\mathbf{x}\in\Omega$ be fixed. Let $\{\lambda_i\}$ be a multiset of all positive eigenvalues of $\mathrm{O}_K$ (counting multiplicities). Then, $\partial^\alpha_{\mathbf{x}}K(\mathbf{x},\cdot)\in\mathcal{H}_K$ and $\|\partial^\alpha_{\mathbf{x}}K(\mathbf{x},\cdot)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}_K} = D_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \lambda_i(\partial^\alpha_{\mathbf{x}}\phi_i(\mathbf{x}))^2$.*

Proof. Let us choose some sequence $\{h_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ such that $\lim_{i\to\infty}h_i = 0$ and let

$$f_i(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{\delta^\alpha_{(h_i,\cdots,h_i)}[K(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{y})](\mathbf{x})}{h_i^{|\alpha|}} \in \mathcal{H}_K$$

where the finite difference operator $\delta^\alpha_{\mathbf{h}}$ is applied onto the first argument. The inner product between $f_i$ and $f_j$ equals:

$$\langle f_i, f_j\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_K} = \frac{\delta^{(\alpha,\alpha)}_{(h_i,\cdots,h_i,h_j,\cdots,h_j)}[K](\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})}{h_i^{|\alpha|}h_j^{|\alpha|}}$$

Therefore,

$$\|f_i - f_j\|^2_{\mathcal{H}_K} = \frac{\delta^{(\alpha,\alpha)}_{(h_i,\cdots,h_i)}[K](\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})}{h_i^{2|\alpha|}} + \frac{\delta^{(\alpha,\alpha)}_{(h_j,\cdots,h_j)}[K](\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})}{h_j^{2|\alpha|}} - 2\frac{\delta^{(\alpha,\alpha)}_{(h_i,\cdots,h_i,h_j,\cdots,h_j)}[K](\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})}{h_i^{|\alpha|}h_j^{|\alpha|}}$$

From Lemma 2.3 we obtain that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N_\varepsilon > 0$ such that $|\frac{\delta^{(\alpha,\alpha)}_{(h_i,\cdots,h_i)}[K](\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})}{h_i^{2|\alpha|}} - D_\alpha(\mathbf{x})| < \varepsilon$ and $|\frac{\delta^{(\alpha,\alpha)}_{(h_i,\cdots,h_i,h_j,\cdots,h_j)}[K](\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})}{h_i^{|\alpha|}h_j^{|\alpha|}} - D_\alpha(\mathbf{x})| < \varepsilon$ whenever $i > N_\varepsilon$, $j > N_\varepsilon$. Therefore, $\|f_i - f_j\|^2_{\mathcal{H}_K} \le 4\varepsilon$ if $i > N_\varepsilon$, $j > N_\varepsilon$. The latter means that $\{f_i\}\subseteq\mathcal{H}_K$ is a Cauchy sequence. From the completeness of $\mathcal{H}_K$ we conclude that $f_i \to^{\mathcal{H}_K} f$ where $f\in\mathcal{H}_K$. From Proposition 2.1 we conclude that $f_i$ uniformly converges to $f$. By construction, the pointwise limit of $\{f_i = \frac{\delta^\alpha_{(h_i,\cdots,h_i)}[K(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{y})](\mathbf{x})}{h_i^{|\alpha|}}\}$ is $\partial^\alpha_{\mathbf{x}}K(\mathbf{x},\cdot)$. Therefore, $f_i\to^{\mathcal{H}_K}\partial^\alpha_{\mathbf{x}}K(\mathbf{x},\cdot)$ and $\partial^\alpha_{\mathbf{x}}K(\mathbf{x},\cdot)\in\mathcal{H}_K$.

Let $f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{y}) = \delta^\alpha_{\mathbf{h}}[K(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{y})](\mathbf{x})$ for $\mathbf{h} = (h,\cdots,h)$. In fact, we have just proved that $\lim_{h\to0}\frac{\delta^\alpha_{\mathbf{h}}[K(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{y})](\mathbf{x})}{h^{|\alpha|}} = \partial^\alpha_{\mathbf{x}}K(\mathbf{x},\cdot)$ in $\mathcal{H}_K$. According to Mercer's theorem, we have

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\sup_{\mathbf{z},\mathbf{y}\in\Omega}|K(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{y}) - \sum_{i=1}^N\lambda_i\phi_i(\mathbf{z})\phi_i(\mathbf{y})| = 0.$$

A sum of $k$ uniformly convergent function series equals a uniformly convergent series of the corresponding $k$-sums, i.e.

$$\frac{f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{y})}{h^{|\alpha|}} = \frac{\delta^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{h}}[\lim_{N\to\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\lambda_i\phi_i(\mathbf{z})\phi_i(\mathbf{y})](\mathbf{x})}{h^{|\alpha|}} = \lim_{N\to\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\lambda_i\frac{\delta^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{h}}[\phi_i](\mathbf{x})}{h^{|\alpha|}}\phi_i(\mathbf{y})$$

Therefore, $\frac{f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{y})}{h^{|\alpha|}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\lambda_i\frac{\delta^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{h}}[\phi_i](\mathbf{x})}{h^{|\alpha|}}\phi_i(\mathbf{y})$ and the latter convergence is uniform over $\mathbf{y}$.

Therefore, $\int\frac{f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{y})}{h^{|\alpha|}}\phi_i(\mathbf{y})d\mu(\mathbf{y}) = \lambda_i\frac{\delta^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{h}}[\phi_i](\mathbf{x})}{h^{|\alpha|}}$. A uniform convergence of $\frac{f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{y})}{h^{|\alpha|}}$ to $\partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}}K(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$ as $h\to 0$ implies

$$\lambda_i\partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}}\phi_i(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{h\to 0}\lambda_i\frac{\delta^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{h}}[\phi_i](\mathbf{x})}{h^{|\alpha|}} = \int\partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}}K(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\phi_i(\mathbf{y})d\mu(\mathbf{y}).$$

Since $\partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}}K(\mathbf{x},\cdot)\in\mathcal{H}_K$, using Proposition 2.1, we conclude:

$$\|\partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}}K(\mathbf{x},\cdot)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}_K} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\frac{\lambda_i^2(\partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}}\phi_i(\mathbf{x}))^2}{\lambda_i}$$

Since $\lim_{h\to 0}\langle\frac{f_{\mathbf{x}}}{h^{|\alpha|}},\frac{f_{\mathbf{x}}}{h^{|\alpha|}}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_K} = \lim_{h\to 0}\frac{\Delta^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{h}}[K](\mathbf{x})}{h^{2|\alpha|}} = D_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})$ we finally obtain

$$D_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) = \|\partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}}K(\mathbf{x},\cdot)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}_K} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\lambda_i(\partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}}\phi_i(\mathbf{x}))^2$$

□

Lemma 2.5. *Let $K(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\in C^{2m}(\Omega\times\Omega)$ and $\{\lambda_i\}$ be a multiset of all positive eigenvalues of $\mathrm{O}_K$ (counting multiplicities). Then,*

$$\partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}}\partial^{\beta}_{\mathbf{y}}K(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\lambda_i\partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}}\phi_i(\mathbf{x})\partial^{\beta}_{\mathbf{y}}\phi_i(\mathbf{y})$$

*for $|\alpha|\le m$ and $|\beta|\le m$.*

Proof. Again, since $\lambda_i\phi_i(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\Omega}K(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\phi_i(\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{y}$, we conclude $\lambda_i\partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}}\phi_i(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\Omega}\partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}}K(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\phi_i(\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{y}\in C(\Omega)$ for $|\alpha|\le m$. From Lemma 2.4 and Dini's theorem we conclude that the series

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\lambda_i|\partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}}\phi_i(\mathbf{x})\partial^{\beta}_{\mathbf{y}}\phi_i(\mathbf{y})| \le \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\lambda_i(\partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}}\phi_i(\mathbf{x}))^2 + \lambda_i(\partial^{\beta}_{\mathbf{y}}\phi_i(\mathbf{y}))^2$$

is absolutely and uniformly convergent. Therefore, we can differentiate the function series, and conclude

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\lambda_i\partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}}\phi_i(\mathbf{x})\partial^{\beta}_{\mathbf{y}}\phi_i(\mathbf{y}) = \partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}}\partial^{\beta}_{\mathbf{y}}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\lambda_i\phi_i(\mathbf{x})\phi_i(\mathbf{y})\Big) = \partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}}\partial^{\beta}_{\mathbf{y}}K(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}).$$

□

Let us denote

$$K_N(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = K(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) - \sum_{i=1}^{N}\lambda_i\phi_i(\mathbf{x})\phi_i(\mathbf{y})$$

and

$$K_N^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}}\partial^{\beta}_{\mathbf{y}}K(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) - \sum_{i=1}^{N}\lambda_i\partial^{\alpha}_{\mathbf{x}}\phi_i(\mathbf{x})\partial^{\beta}_{\mathbf{y}}\phi_i(\mathbf{y})$$

Lemma 2.6. *Let $K(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) \in C^{2m}(\Omega\times\Omega)$ for compact $\Omega\subseteq\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\{\lambda_i\}$ be a multiset of all positive eigenvalues of $\mathrm{O}_K$ (counting multiplicities). Then, for any $|\alpha|\leq m$, $|\beta|\leq m$, we have*

$$|K_N^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})| \leq K_N^{\alpha,\alpha}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})^{1/2} K_N^{\beta,\beta}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y})^{1/2} \leq D_\alpha(\mathbf{x})^{1/2} D_\beta(\mathbf{y})^{1/2}.$$

Proof. From Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 we have

$$\partial_{\mathbf{x}}^\alpha K_N(\mathbf{x},\cdot) = \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \partial_{\mathbf{x}}^\alpha \phi_i(\mathbf{x})\phi_i(\mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{H}_K.$$

Using Proposition 2.1, and again, Lemma 2.5, we obtain

$$\partial_{\mathbf{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\mathbf{y}}^\beta K_N(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \partial_{\mathbf{x}}^\alpha \phi_i(\mathbf{x}) \partial_{\mathbf{y}}^\beta \phi_i(\mathbf{y}) = \langle \partial_{\mathbf{x}}^\alpha K_N(\mathbf{x},\cdot), \partial_{\mathbf{y}}^\beta K_N(\mathbf{y},\cdot)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_K}.$$

Finally, the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality gives us

$$|\langle \partial_{\mathbf{x}}^\alpha K_N(\mathbf{x},\cdot), \partial_{\mathbf{y}}^\beta K_N(\mathbf{y},\cdot)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_K}| \leq \|\partial_{\mathbf{x}}^\alpha K_N(\mathbf{x},\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{H}_K} \cdot \|\partial_{\mathbf{y}}^\beta K_N(\mathbf{y},\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{H}_K} = K_N^{\alpha,\alpha}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})^{1/2} K_N^{\beta,\beta}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y})^{1/2}.$$

Note that

$$K_N^{\alpha,\alpha}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \partial_{\mathbf{x}}^\alpha \phi_i(\mathbf{x})^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \partial_{\mathbf{x}}^\alpha \phi_i(\mathbf{x})^2 = D_\alpha(\mathbf{x}).$$

Therefore, we have

$$|K_N^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})| \leq D_\alpha(\mathbf{x})^{1/2} D_\beta(\mathbf{y})^{1/2}.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The tightness of our bounds strongly depends on the constant $C_{\Omega,p}$ in the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, which reads as [1, 9]

$$\|u\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)} \leq C_{\Omega,p} \|u\|_{L_1(\Omega)}^{1-\theta} \cdot \|D^m u\|_{L_p(\Omega)}^{\theta} + C_{\Omega,p}\|u\|_{L_1(\Omega)},$$

where $\theta(\frac{n}{p}-m)+(1-\theta)n = 0$ and $\|D^m u\|_{L_p(\Omega)} = \max_{\alpha:|\alpha|=m} \|\partial_x^\alpha u(\mathbf{x})\|_{L_p(\Omega)}$. Thus, $\theta = \frac{n}{n-n/p+m} = (1+\frac{m}{n}-\frac{1}{p})^{-1}$.

Using $\sup|K_N(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})| \leq \sup K_N(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})^{1/2} K_N(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y})^{1/2} = \sup K_N(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})$ and the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality we have

$$C_K^N = \|K_N(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\|_{L_\infty(\Omega\times\Omega)} = \|K_N(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)} \leq$$
$$C_{\Omega,p}\|K_N(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})\|_{L_1(\Omega)}^{1-\theta} \cdot \|D^m K_N(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})\|_{L_p(\Omega)}^{\theta} + C_{\Omega,p}\|K_N(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})\|_{L_1(\Omega)} \leq$$
$$C_{\Omega,p}\Big(\sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty}\lambda_i\Big)^{1-\theta} \cdot \|D^m K_N(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})\|_{L_p(\Omega)}^{\theta} + C_{\Omega,p}\sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty}\lambda_i.$$

Lemma 2.6 gives us

$$|\partial_{\mathbf{x}}^\alpha [K_N(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})]| = |\sum_{\beta\leq\alpha}\binom{\alpha}{\beta} K_N^{\beta,\alpha-\beta}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})| \leq \sum_{\beta\leq\alpha}\binom{\alpha}{\beta} D_\beta(\mathbf{x})^{1/2} D_{\alpha-\beta}(\mathbf{x})^{1/2}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$C_K^N \le C_{\Omega,p}\Big(\sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty}\lambda_i\Big)^{1-\theta}\cdot\max_{\alpha:|\alpha|=m}\Big(\sum_{\beta\le\alpha}\binom{\alpha}{\beta}\|\sqrt{D_\beta D_{\alpha-\beta}}\|_{L_p(\Omega)}\Big)^{\theta}+$$
$$C_{\Omega,p}\sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty}\lambda_i.$$

Theorem proved. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Another version of the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, now for the domain $\Omega\times\Omega$, is

$$\|u\|_{L_\infty(\Omega\times\Omega)} \le D_{\Omega,p}\|u\|^{1-\theta}_{L_2(\Omega\times\Omega)}\cdot\|D^m u\|^{\theta}_{L_p(\Omega\times\Omega)} + D_{\Omega,p}\|u\|_{L_2(\Omega\times\Omega)},$$

where $\theta(\frac{n}{p}-m)+(1-\theta)\frac{n}{2}=0$. Therefore, $\theta=\frac{n/2}{n/2-n/p+m}=(1+\frac{2m}{n}-\frac{2}{p})^{-1}$. For $u(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})=K_N(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$, we have

$$C_K^N = \|K_N(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\|_{L_\infty(\Omega\times\Omega)} \le$$
$$D_{\Omega,p}\|K_N\|^{1-\theta}_{L_2(\Omega\times\Omega)}\cdot\|D^m K_N\|^{\theta}_{L_p(\Omega\times\Omega)} + D_{\Omega,p}\|K_N\|_{L_2(\Omega\times\Omega)}.$$

Using Lemma 2.6 we obtain

$$\|D^m K_N\|_{L_p} = \max_{|\alpha|+|\beta|=m}\|\partial^\alpha_{\mathbf{x}}\partial^\beta_{\mathbf{y}}[K_N(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})]\|_{L_p} \le \max_{|\alpha|+|\beta|=m}\|\sqrt{D_\alpha}\|_{L_p}\|\sqrt{D_\beta}\|_{L_p}.$$

Therefore,

$$C_K^N \le$$
$$D_{\Omega,p}\Big(\sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty}\lambda_i^2\Big)^{(1-\theta)/2}\cdot\max_{|\alpha|+|\beta|=m}\|\sqrt{D_\alpha}\|^{\theta}_{L_p}\|\sqrt{D_\beta}\|^{\theta}_{L_p} + D_{\Omega,p}\Big(\sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty}\lambda_i^2\Big)^{1/2}.$$

Theorem proved. □

## 3 APPLICATIONS

**Bounding the kernel of $\mathrm{O}_K^\gamma$.** For $\gamma>0$, let us denote

$$K^\gamma(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\lambda_i^\gamma\phi_i(\mathbf{x})\phi_i(\mathbf{y}).$$

In general, checking the condition $\sup_{\mathbf{x}\in\Omega}K^\gamma(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})<\infty$ requires the study of eigenvectors $\phi_i$. For kernels that appear in applications [3, 5], a concrete form of eigenvectors is known only in few cases [4]. In the current paper we are interested in information that can be extracted from a behavior of eigenvalues $\{\lambda_i\}$. Let us formulate one example of such a sufficient condition.

Note that if $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\lambda_i^{2\gamma}<\infty$, then $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\lambda_i^\gamma\phi_i(\mathbf{x})\phi_i(\mathbf{y})\in L_2(\Omega\times\Omega)$. In a special case $\gamma=\frac12$ we have $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\lambda_i^{2\gamma}=\mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{O}_K)<\infty$. Therefore, $K^\gamma\in L_2(\Omega\times\Omega)$ for $\gamma\in[\frac12,1]$. The boundedness of $K^\gamma$ on the diagonal, i.e. $\sup_{\mathbf{x}\in\Omega}K^\gamma(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})<\infty$ is equivalent to $K^\gamma\in C(\Omega\times\Omega)$. Indeed, if $K^\gamma(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})<C$, then $f_N(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\lambda_i^\gamma\phi_i(\mathbf{x})^2$ is a monotonically increasing sequence of nonnegative continuous functions on a compact set $\Omega$, bounded by $C$. Then, by monotone convergence theorem, $\{f_N\}$ uniformly converges to a continuous function $K^\gamma(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})$. From the uniform convergence of the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\lambda_i^\gamma\phi_i(\mathbf{x})^2$ it is straightforward that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\lambda_i^\gamma\phi_i(\mathbf{x})\phi_i(\mathbf{y})<\frac12\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\lambda_i^\gamma(\phi_i(\mathbf{x})^2+\phi_i(\mathbf{y})^2)$ is also uniformly convergent to a continuous function.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $K \in C^{2m}(\Omega \times \Omega)$ and $\gamma \in (0,1)$. Then, for $\sup_{\mathbf{x}\in\Omega} K^{1-\gamma}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}) < \infty$ it is sufficient to have*

$$\sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^2 = o(\lambda_N^{\frac{(2m+n)\gamma}{m}})$$

*and*

$$\sum_{N=1}^{\infty}(\sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^2)^{\frac{m}{2m+n}}(\lambda_{N+1}^{-\gamma} - \lambda_N^{-\gamma}) < \infty.$$

Proof. Let us denote $K_N(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} \lambda_i \phi_i(\mathbf{x})^2$.

$$K^{1-\gamma}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{-\gamma}\lambda_i\phi_i(\mathbf{x})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{-\gamma}(K_i(\mathbf{x}) - K_{i+1}(\mathbf{x})) =$$

using summation by parts formula

$$= \lambda_1^{-\gamma}K_1(\mathbf{x}) - \lim_{N\to+\infty} \lambda_N^{-\gamma}K_{N+1}(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} K_i(\mathbf{x})(\lambda_i^{-\gamma} - \lambda_{i-1}^{-\gamma}) \leq$$

$$\lambda_1^{-\gamma}D_K^2 + \lim_{N\to+\infty} \lambda_N^{-\gamma}(C_K^N)^2 + \sum_{N=1}^{\infty}(C_K^N)^2(\lambda_{N+1}^{-\gamma} - \lambda_N^{-\gamma})$$

In Theorem 1.2 it was shown that for $K \in C^{2m}(\Omega \times \Omega)$ we have $(C_K^N)^2 \leq C(\sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^2)^{\frac{m}{2m+n}}$. Therefore, $\lim_{N\to\infty} \lambda_N^{-\gamma}(\sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^2)^{\frac{m}{2m+n}} = 0$ and

$$\sum_{N=1}^{\infty}(\sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^2)^{\frac{m}{2m+n}}(\lambda_{N+1}^{-\gamma} - \lambda_N^{-\gamma}) < \infty$$

is sufficient for $\sup_{\mathbf{x}\in\Omega} K^{1-\gamma}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}) < \infty$. □

Let us show how to apply the latter bound for infinitely differentiable kernels. In the case of an infinitely differentiable kernel, we have

$$(C_K^N)^2 \leq C(\sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^2)^{0.5-\varepsilon}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Let us additionally assume that eigenvalues of $\mathrm{O}_K$ are rapidly vanishing, i.e. $\sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^2 = O(\lambda_{N+1}^2)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{\varepsilon} < \infty$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Note that these conditions are satisfied for the Gaussian kernel on a box or a ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$, analytic kernels on a finite interval [7]. Let $\gamma \in (0,1)$. We have $\lambda_N^{-\gamma}(C_K^N)^2 \leq C\lambda_N^{-\gamma}(\sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^2)^{0.5-\varepsilon} = O(\lambda_{N+1}^{1-2\varepsilon-\gamma}) \overset{N\to\infty}{\to} 0$, since $\varepsilon$ can be chosen to satisfy $\gamma < 1-2\varepsilon$. Also, $\sum_{N=1}^{\infty}(C_K^N)^2(\lambda_{N+1}^{-\gamma} - \lambda_N^{-\gamma}) \leq C\sum_{N=1}^{\infty}(\sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^2)^{0.5-\varepsilon}\lambda_{N+1}^{-\gamma} \leq C'\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{N+1}^{1-2\varepsilon-\gamma} < \infty$. Thus, for $\gamma \in (0,1]$, $K^{\gamma}$ is bounded and continuous.

**Bounding the supremum norm of eigenvectors.** The condition

$$\sup_N \|\phi_N\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)} < \infty$$

is popular in various statements concerning Mercer kernels, though it is believed to be hard to check. Discussions of that issue can be found in [8, 12, 15].

Since $\lambda_{N+1}\phi_{N+1}(\mathbf{x})^2 \leq K(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}) - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i\phi_i(\mathbf{x})^2$, we conclude

$$\|\phi_{N+1}\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)} \leq \lambda_{N+1}^{-1/2}\sqrt{C_K^N}$$

Thus, any upper bound for $C_K^N$ leads to an upper bound of $\|\phi_{N+1}\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)}$. For a uniform boundedness of $\|\phi_{N+1}\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)}$ we need $C_K^N = O(\lambda_{N+1})$. Unfortunately, RHS of our bounds are not $O(\lambda_{N+1})$, though they can be used to show a moderate growth rate of $\|\phi_{N+1}\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)}$.

## REFERENCES


[1] Brezis, H. *Function Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations*. 01 2010.

[2] Cucker, F., and Smale, S. On the mathematical foundations of learning. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society 39* (2001), 1–49.

[3] Cucker, F., and Zhou, D. X. *Learning Theory: An Approximation Theory Viewpoint*. Cambridge Monographs on Applied and Computational Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2007.

[4] De Vito, E., Mücke, N., and Rosasco, L. Reproducing kernel hilbert spaces on manifolds: Sobolev and diffusion spaces. *Analysis and Applications 19* (08 2020).

[5] Guo, X., Li, L., and Wu, Q. Modeling interactive components by coordinate kernel polynomial models. *Mathematical Foundations of Computing 3*, 4 (2020), 263–277.

[6] König, H. *Eigenvalue Distribution of Compact Operators*. Eigenvalue Distribution of Compact Operators. Birkhäuser Basel, 1986.

[7] Little, G., and Reade, J. B. Eigenvalues of analytic kernels. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis 15*, 1 (1984), 133–136.

[8] Mendelson, S., and Neeman, J. Regularization in kernel learning. *The Annals of Statistics 38*, 1 (2010), 526 – 565.

[9] Nirenberg, L. An extended interpolation inequality. *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa - Classe di Scienze Ser. 3, 20*, 4 (1966), 733–737.

[10] Schölkopf, B., Smola, A., and Müller, K.-R. Kernel principal component analysis. In *Artificial Neural Networks — ICANN'97* (Berlin, Heidelberg, 1997), W. Gerstner, A. Germond, M. Hasler, and J.-D. Nicoud, Eds., Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 583–588.

[11] Steinwart, I., and Christmann, A. *Support Vector Machines*. Information Science and Statistics. Springer New York, 2008.

[12] Steinwart, I., and Scovel, C. Mercer's theorem on general domains: On the interaction between measures, kernels, and rkhss. *Constructive Approximation 35*, 3 (Jun 2012), 363–417.

[13] Takhanov, R. Dimension reduction as an optimization problem over a set of generalized functions, 2019.

[14] Wahba, G. *Spline Models for Observational Data*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 1990.

[15] Zhou, D.-X. The covering number in learning theory. *J. Complex. 18*, 3 (Sept. 2002), 739–767.

[16] Zhou, D.-X. Derivative reproducing properties for kernel methods in learning theory. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 220*, 1 (2008), 456–463.