# The spectral even cycle problem 

Sebastian Cioabă*<br>Dheer Noal Desai ${ }^{\dagger}$<br>Michael Tait ${ }^{\ddagger}$

May 3, 2022


#### Abstract

In this paper, we study the maximum adjacency spectral radii of graphs of large order that do not contain an even cycle of given length. For $n>k$, let $S_{n, k}$ be the join of a clique on $k$ vertices with an independent set of $n-k$ vertices and denote by $S_{n, k}^{+}$the graph obtained from $S_{n, k}$ by adding one edge. In 2010, Nikiforov conjectured that for $n$ large enough, the $C_{2 k+2}$-free graph of maximum spectral radius is $S_{n, k}^{+}$and that the $\left\{C_{2 k+1}, C_{2 k+2}\right\}$-free graph of maximum spectral radius is $S_{n, k}$. We solve this two-part conjecture.


## 1 Introduction

The Turán number of a graph $F$ is the maximum number of edges in a graph on $n$ vertices with no subgraph isomorphic to $F$. We use ex $(n, F)$ to denote the Turán number of $F$ and $\mathrm{EX}(n, F)$ for the set of $F$-free graphs on $n$ vertices with ex $(n, F)$ many edges. In 1941, Turán [30] determined $\operatorname{ex}\left(n, K_{r+1}\right)$, where $K_{r+1}$ is the complete graph on $r+1$ vertices, showing that the unique extremal graph is the complete $r$-partite graph with part sizes as balanced as possible (called the Turán graph and denoted by $T_{r}(n)$ ). Another celebrated theorem in extremal combinatorics is the Erdős-Stone-Simonovits theorem [11, 12] which extends Turán's theorem to all $r+1$ chromatic graphs, where $r \geq 2$. The statement is as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ex}(n, F)=\left(1-\frac{1}{\chi(F)-1}+o(1)\right) \frac{n^{2}}{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi(F)$ denotes the chromatic number of the forbidden graph $F$ and the term $o(1)$ goes to zero as $n$ goes to infinity. The Erdős-Stone-Simonovits theorem gives the exact asymptotics of the Turán numbers for any forbidden graph $F$ with chromatic number $\chi(F)>2$ and essentially says that the extremal graphs cannot do much better than the Turán graphs. However, when the forbidden graphs are bipartite, that is $\chi(F)=2$, we only get that ex $(n, F)=o\left(n^{2}\right)$. Very little is known for even the simplest examples of bipartite graphs. Determining the asymptotics of ex $\left(n, C_{2 k}\right)$ is one of the most famous Turán-type open problem and is notoriously difficult. The order of magnitude is only known for $k \in\{2,3,5\}$ [15], and determining it for other $k$ is called the even cycle problem.

In this paper, we study the spectral version of the Turán problem for even cycles. Analogous to Turán numbers, among all graphs on $n$ vertices, that do not contain $F$ as a subgraph, let $\operatorname{spex}(n, F)$ denote the maximum value of the spectral radius of their adjacency matrices. Also, let $\operatorname{SPEX}(n, F)$ denote the set of graphs with an adjacency matrix having spectral radius equal to $\operatorname{spex}(n, F)$. Nikiforov [24] was the first to systematically investigate spectral Turán-type problems, although several sporadic results appeared earlier. In particular, Nikiforov [20] proved that $\operatorname{SPEX}\left(n, K_{r+1}\right)=\left\{T_{r}(n)\right\}$. Since the average degree of a graph lower bounds the spectral radius of its adjacency matrices, Nikiforov's spectral result strengthens Turán's theorem and implies that $\mathrm{EX}\left(n, K_{r+1}\right)=\left\{T_{r}(n)\right\}$. Further, Nikiforov [23], Babai and Guiduli [1], independently obtained spectral analogues of the Kővari-Sós-Turán theorem [17], when forbidding a complete bipartite graph $K_{s, t}$. Moreover, using the average degree bound for the spectral radius gives bounds that match the best improvements to the Kővari-Sós-Turán theorem, obtained by Füredi [14].

[^0]Recently, determining spex $(n, F)$ for various graphs $F$ has become very popular (see [6, 7, 8, 18, 19, 21, 26, 32, 33, 35, 36]). This fits into a broader framework of Brualdi-Solheid problems [4] which investigate the maximum spectral radius over all graphs belonging to a specified family of graphs. Numerous results are known in this area (see [2, 3, 9, 13, 25, 27, 28]). In [24], Nikiforov conjectured the solution to the spectral Turán problem for even cycles. Let $S_{n, k}:=K_{k} \vee \bar{K}_{n-k}$ and $S_{n, k}^{+}:=K_{k} \vee\left(\bar{K}_{n-k-2} \cup K_{2}\right)$. The graph $S_{n, k}^{+}$has $n$ vertices and does not contain any $C_{2 k+2}$, while, $S_{n, k}$ has $n$ vertices and contains neither any $C_{2 k+1}$ nor $C_{2 k+2}$. Nikiforov [24] Conjecture 15] made the following two-part conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1. Let $k \geq 2$ and $G$ be a graph of sufficiently large $n$.
(a) if $\lambda(G) \geq \lambda\left(S_{n, k}\right)$ then $G$ contains $C_{2 k+1}$ or $C_{2 k+2}$ unless $G=S_{n, k}$;
(b) if $\lambda(G) \geq \lambda\left(S_{n, k}^{+}\right)$then $G$ contains $C_{2 k+2}$ unless $G=S_{n, k}^{+}$.

In this paper, we fully resolve both parts of Conjecture 1.1 in the affirmative.
Theorem 1.2. Let $k \geq 2$ and $n$ be sufficiently large, then $\operatorname{SPEX}\left(n, C_{2 k+2}\right)=\left\{S_{n, k}^{+}\right\}$.
Theorem 1.2] was proved to be true for $k=2$ by Zhai and Lin [34]. We settle the remaining cases with $k>2$. We also prove the following theorem which resolves Part(a) of the conjecture.

Theorem 1.3. Let $k \geq 2$ and $n$ be sufficiently large, then $\operatorname{SPEX}\left(n,\left\{C_{2 k+1}, C_{2 k+2}\right\}\right)=\left\{S_{n, k}\right\}$.

## 2 Organization and Notation

For some fixed $k \geq 2$, let $H_{k} \in \operatorname{SPEX}\left(n, C_{2 k+2}\right)$ be a spectral extremal graph when forbidding the even cycle of size $2 k+2$ and let $H_{k}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{SPEX}\left(n,\left\{C_{2 k+1}, C_{2 k+2}\right)\right.$ be a spectral extremal graph when forbidding both $C_{2 k+1}$ and $C_{2 k+2}$. All of our arguments in Sections 3 and 4 apply with identical proofs to both $H_{k}$ and $H_{k}^{\prime}$ as we will only be using the fact that the graph is extremal and $C_{2 k+2}$-free, and so for brevity we will only state the results for $H_{k}$ until the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 (Section 5).

For any vertex $u$ and non-negative integer $i$, let $N_{i}(u)$ denote the set of vertices at distance $i$ from $u$, with $d_{i}(u):=$ $\left|N_{i}(u)\right|$. We use $d(u)=d_{1}(u)$ to denote the degree of $u$. For two disjoint subsets $X, Y \subset V\left(H_{k}\right)$, denote by $H_{k}[X, Y]$ the bipartite subgraph of $H_{k}$ with vertex set $X \cup Y$ that consists of all the edges with one endpoint in $X$ and the other endpoint in $Y$. Let $\mathcal{E}(X, Y)$ be the edge-set of $H_{k}[X, Y]$ and set $e(X, Y):=|\mathcal{E}(X, Y)|$. Denote by $\mathcal{E}(X)$ the set of edges with both endpoints in $X$ and set $e(X):=|\mathcal{E}(X)|$.

For a graph $G=(V, \mathcal{E})$, we denote by $A(G)$ its adjacency matrix and by $\lambda(G)$ the spectral radius of $A(G)$. Associated to the spectral radius of the adjacency matrix of any connected graph is a unique (up to scalar multiples) entrywise positive eigenvector, commonly referred to as the Perron vector of the matrix. Since adding an edge between two disconnected components of a graph does not create any cycles and increases the spectral radius, the graphs $H_{k}$ and $H_{k}^{\prime}$ must be connected. Let v be the Perron vector of the adjacency matrix of $H_{k}$ with maximum entry $\mathrm{v}_{x}=1$, where $\mathrm{v}_{u}$ denotes the coordinate of v with respect to some vertex $u$.

We will fix a small constant $\alpha$ below, and we define $L$ to be the following set of vertices of large weight, and denote by $S$ its complement:

$$
L:=\left\{u \in V\left(H_{k}\right) \mid \mathrm{v}_{u}>\alpha\right\} \text { and } S:=V\left(H_{k}\right) \backslash L=\left\{u \in V\left(H_{k}\right) \mid \mathrm{v}_{u} \leq \alpha\right\}
$$

Additionally, we will also use the following set in the proof of Lemma4.1. Let

$$
M:=\left\{u \in V\left(H_{k}\right) \mid \mathrm{v}_{u} \geq \alpha / 3\right\}
$$

Finally, we define a subset of $L$ called $L^{\prime}$ by

$$
L^{\prime}:=\left\{u \mid \mathrm{v}_{u} \geq \eta\right\}
$$

where $\eta>\alpha$ is a constant defined below. For a vertex $u$, denote

$$
L_{i}(u):=L \cap N_{i}(u), S_{i}(u):=S \cap N_{i}(u), \text { and } M_{i}(u):=M \cap N_{i}(u) .
$$

If the vertex is unambiguous from context, we will use $L_{i}, S_{i}$, and $M_{i}$ instead.

With foresight, we choose $\eta, \epsilon$, and $\alpha$ to be any positive constants satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
\eta<\left\{\frac{1}{k+1}, 1-\frac{1}{16 k^{3}}, \frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{16 k^{2}}\right\}  \tag{2}\\
\epsilon<\min \left\{\frac{1}{16 k^{3}}, \frac{\eta}{2}, \frac{\eta}{32 k^{3}+2}\right\}  \tag{3}\\
\alpha<\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{10 k} \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

We note that many of the above inequalities are redundant, but we leave them so that it is easier to see exactly what inequalities we are using throughout the proofs. In Sections 3 and 4, we prove lemmas showing the structural properties of $H_{k}$ and $H_{k}^{\prime}$. We reiterate that every lemma applies to both $H_{k}$ and $H_{k}^{\prime}$ with identical proofs and so the proofs are only written for $H_{k}$. In Section [5, we complete the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3

## 3 Lemmas from spectral and extremal graph theory

In this section, we record several lemmas that we will use. Some calculations may only apply for $n$ large enough without being explicitly stated. We start with a standard result from linear algebra which serves as a tool to bound the spectral radius of non-negative matrices.

Lemma 3.1. For a non-negative symmetric matrix $M$, a non-negative non-zero vector y and a positive constant $c$, if $M \mathrm{y} \geq$ cy entrywise, then $\lambda(M) \geq c$.

Proof. Assume that $M \mathrm{y} \geq c y$ entrywise, with the same assumptions for $M, \mathrm{y}$ and $c$ as in the statement of the theorem. Then $\mathrm{y}^{T} M \mathrm{y} \geq \mathrm{y}^{T} c \mathrm{y}$ and $\lambda(M) \geq \frac{\mathrm{y}^{T} M \mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{y}^{T} \mathrm{y}} \geq c$.

Lemma 3.2 (Erdős-Gallai [10]). Any graph on $n$ vertices with no subgraph isomorphic to a path on $\ell$ vertices has at most $\frac{(\ell-2) n}{2}$ edges.

We will be using Part B of the following lemma which appears in [22, Lemma 1].
Lemma 3.3 (Nikiforov [22]). Suppose that $k \geq 1$ and let the vertices of a graph $G$ be partitioned into two sets $U$ and $W$.
(A) If

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 e(U)+e(U, W)>(2 k-2)|U|+k|W|, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exists a path of order $2 k$ or $2 k+1$ with both ends in $U$.
(B) If

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 e(U)+e(U, W)>(2 k-1)|U|+k|W|, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exists a path of order $2 k+1$ with both ends in $U$.
Let $u$ be any vertex in $H_{k}$. Since our graph is $C_{2 k+2}$-free, $N_{1}(u)$ may not contain a $P_{2 k+1}$. Hence, by Lemma 3.2 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e\left(N_{1}(u)\right) \leq \frac{2 k-1}{2} d(u)<k n . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly the bipartite subgraph between $N_{1}(u)$ and $N_{2}(u)$ may not contain a $P_{2 k+3}$, otherwise there is a $P_{2 k+1}$ with both endpoints in $N_{1}(u)$ and hence a $C_{2 k+2}$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.2 (forbidding $P_{2 k+3}$ in the bipartite subgraph) and Lemma3.3(forbidding $P_{2 k+1}$ with both endpoints in $N_{1}(u)$ ), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e\left(N_{1}(u), N_{2}(u)\right) \leq \min \left\{\frac{2 k+1}{2} n,(2 k-1) d(u)+k(n-d(u)-1)\right\} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spectral radius of $S_{n, k}$ gives a lower bound for $\lambda\left(H_{k}\right)$. We will modify an argument of Nikiforov (proof of [24, Theorem 3]) to obtain an upper bound for $\lambda\left(H_{k}\right)$.

Lemma 3.4. $\sqrt{k n} \leq \frac{k-1+\sqrt{(k-1)^{2}+4 k(n-k)}}{2} \leq \lambda\left(H_{k}\right) \leq \sqrt{2 k(n-1)}$.
Proof. Here the inner lower bound is precisely $\lambda\left(S_{n, k}\right)$ and the first inequality on the left follows from a straightforward calculation. To prove the upper bound, let $u \in V\left(H_{k}\right)$. We use Lemma3.3 over the graph $H_{k}\left[N_{1}(u) \cup N_{2}(u)\right]$ with $U=N_{1}(u)$ and $W=N_{2}(u)$. We know that $\left|N_{1}(u)\right|=d(u)$. Also, there cannot be any path on $2 k+1$ vertices in $H_{k}\left[N_{1}(u) \cup N_{2}(u)\right]$ with both end points in $N_{1}(u)$. So (6) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
2 e\left(N_{1}(u)\right)+e\left(N_{1}(u), N_{2}(u)\right) & \leq(2 k-1) d(u)+k d_{2}(u) \\
& \leq(2 k-1) d(u)+k(n-d(u)-1)  \tag{9}\\
& =(k-1) d(u)+k(n-1) .
\end{align*}
$$

The spectral radius of a non-negative matrix is at most the maximum of the row-sums of the matrix. Applying this result for $A^{2}\left(H_{k}\right)$ and its spectral radius $\lambda^{2}$ and using (9), we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda^{2} & \leq \max _{u \in V\left(H_{k}\right)}\left\{\sum_{w \in V\left(H_{k}\right)} A_{u, w}^{2}\right\}=\max _{u \in V\left(H_{k}\right)}\left\{\sum_{v \in N(u)} d(v)\right\} \\
& =\max _{u \in V\left(H_{k}\right)}\left\{d(u)+2 e\left(N_{1}(u)\right)+e\left(N_{1}(u), N_{2}(u)\right)\right\}  \tag{10}\\
& \leq k d(u)+k(n-1) \leq 2 k(n-1) .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $\lambda \leq \sqrt{2 k(n-1)}$.
Next we determine an upper bound for the number of vertices in $L$. We use the same technique as in the proof of [29, Lemma 8]. For this work, we use the even-circuit theorem. Note that the best current bounds for ex $\left(n, C_{2 k}\right)$ are given by He [16] (see also Bukh and Jiang [5]), but for our purposes, the dependence of the multiplicative constant on $k$ is not important. We use the following version because it makes the calculations slightly easier.

Lemma 3.5 (Even Circuit Theorem [31]). For $k \geq 1$ and $n$ a natural number,

$$
\operatorname{ex}\left(n, C_{2 k+2}\right) \leq 8 k n^{(k+2) /(k+1)}
$$

Lemma 3.6. $|L| \leq \frac{16 k^{1 / 2} n^{(k+3) /(2 k+2)}}{\alpha}$ and $|M| \leq \frac{48 k^{1 / 2} n^{(k+3) /(2 k+2)}}{\alpha}$.
Proof. For any vertex $u \in V\left(H_{k}\right)$, we have the following equation relating the spectral radius and Perron vector entries,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \mathrm{v}_{u}=\sum_{w \sim u} \mathrm{v}_{w} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $\sqrt{k n} \leq \lambda$ and $v_{w} \leq 1$, we get that

$$
\sqrt{k n} \mathrm{v}_{u} \leq \lambda \mathrm{v}_{u} \leq d_{u}
$$

Summing up all these inequalities for $u \in L$, we obtain that

$$
\frac{|L| \sqrt{k n} \alpha}{2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in L} \lambda \mathrm{v}_{u} \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in L} d_{u} \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in V\left(H_{k}\right)} d_{u} \leq \operatorname{ex}\left(n, C_{2 k+2}\right) \leq 8 k n^{(k+2) /(k+1)}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|L| \leq \frac{16 k^{1 / 2} n^{(k+3) /(2 k+2)}}{\alpha} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The bound for $|M|$ is obtained similarly by replacing $\alpha$ by $\alpha / 3$ everywhere above.
We use the following result of Nikiforov [22, Theorem 2] to get a better upper bound for the size of $L$ in Lemma 4.1

Lemma 3.7 (Nikiforov [22]). Let $G$ be a graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges. If $G$ does not contain a $C_{2 k+2}$ then

$$
\sum_{u \in V(G)} d_{G}^{2}(u) \leq 2 k m+k(n-1) n
$$

Using Lemma 3.4 we obtain the following lower bound for entries in the Perron vector of the extremal graphs by modifying a proof of Tait and Tobin (proof of [29, Lemma 10]).

Lemma 3.8. For any vertex $u \in V\left(H_{k}\right), \mathrm{v}_{u} \geq \frac{1}{\lambda\left(H_{k}\right)} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 k(n-1)}}$.
Proof. Towards a contradiction, assume that there exists a vertex $u \in V\left(H_{k}\right)$, such that $\mathrm{v}_{u}<\frac{1}{\lambda\left(H_{k}\right)}$. Then by (11), $u$ cannot be adjacent to any vertex $x$ such that $\mathrm{v}_{x}=1$. Let $\hat{H}_{k}$ be the graph obtained by modifying $H_{k}$ by removing all the edges adjacent to $u$ and making $u$ adjacent to $x$. Then using the Rayleigh quotient, we have $\lambda\left(\hat{H}_{k}\right)>\lambda\left(H_{k}\right)$. Because adding a vertex of degree one to a graph cannot create a cycle, $\hat{H}_{k}$ does not contain any subgraph isomorphic to $C_{2 k+2}$, contradicting that $H_{k}$ is extremal.

## 4 Structural results for extremal graphs

In this section, we will assume that $k \geq 2$ is fixed. We will be working with subgraphs in $H_{k}$ and due to lack of ambiguity we will drop $H_{k}$ from some notations now onward. We will continue to use auxiliary constants $\alpha, \epsilon$, and $\eta$ and we will frequently assume that $n$ is larger than some constant depending only on $\alpha, k, \epsilon, \eta$. Every lemma in this section holds only for $n$ large enough.
Lemma 4.1. For any vertex $z \in L$, we have $d(z) \geq \frac{\alpha}{20 k} n$. Also, $|L| \leq \frac{k+1}{(\alpha / 20 k)^{2}}$.
Proof. For some vertex $z \in L$ such that $\mathrm{v}_{z}=c$, consider the following second degree eigenvalue-eigenvector equations relating $\lambda^{2}, \mathrm{v}$, and entries in the $z$-th row of $A^{2}$ :

$$
k n c \leq \lambda^{2} c=\lambda^{2} \mathrm{v}_{z}=\sum_{u \sim z} \sum_{w \sim u} \mathrm{v}_{w} \leq d(z) c+2 e\left(N_{1}(z)\right)+\sum_{u \sim z} \sum_{\substack{w \tilde{N}^{u} \\ w \in N_{2}(z)}} \mathrm{v}_{w} \leq 2 k d(z)+\sum_{u \sim z} \sum_{\substack{w \tilde{N}^{u} u \\ w \in N_{2}(z)}} \mathrm{v}_{w},
$$

where the last inequality is by (7). Now assume to the contrary that there is a vertex $z \in L$ with $d(z)<\frac{\alpha}{20 k} n$. Substituting this into the above equation and using $\alpha<c$ since $z \in L$, we have

$$
(k-0.1) n c<\sum_{u \sim z} \sum_{\substack{w \sim_{w}^{u} \\ w \in N_{2}(z)}} \mathrm{v}_{w} .
$$

Next we show that many of the terms in the double sum come from vertices in $M_{2}(z)$ via the following claim.
Claim 4.1.1. There are at least $0.9 n c$ terms $\mathrm{v}_{w}$ with $w \in M_{2}$ in the sum

$$
\sum_{u \sim z} \sum_{\substack{w \sim_{w}^{u} \\ w \in N_{2}(z)}} \mathrm{v}_{w} .
$$

Proof. Assume to the contrary that there are less than $0.9 n c$ terms $\mathrm{v}_{w}$ where $w \in M_{2}$. As $\mathrm{v}_{w} \leq 1$ for such $w$,

$$
(k-0.1) n c<\sum_{u \sim z} \sum_{\substack{w \sim u \\ w \in N_{2}(z)}} \mathrm{v}_{w}=\sum_{u \sim z} \sum_{\substack{w \sim u \\ w \in M_{2}}} \mathrm{v}_{w}+\sum_{u \sim z} \sum_{\substack{w \sim u \\ w \in S_{2} \backslash M_{2}}} \mathrm{v}_{w}<0.9 n c+e\left(N_{1}, S_{2} \backslash M_{2}\right) \frac{\alpha}{3},
$$

and so

$$
(k-1) n c<e\left(N_{1}, S_{2} \backslash M_{2}\right) \frac{\alpha}{3}
$$

From $\alpha<c$ and (8), we have that

$$
(k-1) n<\frac{2 k+1}{2} \frac{1}{3} n,
$$

a contradiction for $k \geq 2$. This proves our claim.

Therefore, $e\left(N_{1}(z), M_{2}(z)\right) \geq 0.9 n c>0.9 n \alpha$. Because $H_{k}\left[N_{1}(z) \cup M_{2}(z)\right]$ contains no $P_{2 k+3}$, by Lemma 3.2, we deduce that $0.9 n \alpha \leq \frac{2 k+1}{2}\left|N_{1}(z) \cup M_{2}(z)\right|<\frac{2 k+1}{2}\left(\frac{n \alpha}{20 k}+\left|M_{2}(z)\right|\right)$. Thus,

$$
\left|M_{2}(z)\right|>\left(.9 \alpha-\frac{(2 k+1) \alpha}{40 k}\right)\left(\frac{2}{2 k+1}\right) n
$$

This contradicts the bound in Lemma 3.6 for $n$ sufficiently large. Thus, for $n$ sufficiently large we have that $d(z) \geq \frac{\alpha}{20 k} n$ for all $z \in L$. Combined with Lemma3.7 this gives us that $|L| \leq \frac{k+1}{(\alpha / 20 k)^{2}}$.

We now refine the lower bound on the degrees of vertices in $L^{\prime}$.
Lemma 4.2. If $z$ is a vertex of $L^{\prime}$ with $\mathrm{v}_{z}=c$, then $d(z) \geq c n-\epsilon n$.
Proof. Given $z \in L$ with $\mathrm{v}_{z}=c$, observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
k n c & \leq \lambda^{2} c=\sum_{u \sim z} \sum_{w \sim u} \mathrm{v}_{w}=d(z) c+\sum_{u \sim z} \sum_{\substack{w \sim u \\
w \neq z}} \mathrm{v}_{w} \\
& \leq d(z) c+\left(\sum_{\substack{u \sim z \\
u \in S_{1}}} \sum_{\substack{w \sim u \\
w \in L_{1} \cup L_{2}}} \mathrm{v}_{w}\right)+2 e\left(S_{1}\right) \alpha+2 e\left(L_{1}\right)+e\left(S_{1}, L_{1}\right) \alpha+e\left(N_{1}, S_{2}\right) \alpha .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $N_{1}$ is $P_{2 k+1}$-free and the bipartite graph between $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ is $P_{2 k+3}$-free,

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 e\left(S_{1}\right) & \leq 2 e\left(N_{1}\right) \leq(2 k-1) n \\
e\left(L_{1}, S_{1}\right) & \leq e\left(N_{1}\right)<k n, \\
e\left(N_{1}, S_{2}\right) & <2 k n .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using these and Lemma4.1, we have

$$
2 e\left(S_{1}\right) \alpha+2 e\left(L_{1}\right) \leq 2 e\left(N_{1}\right) \alpha+2\binom{|L|}{2} \leq(2 k-1) n \alpha+|L|(|L|-1)<2 k n \alpha
$$

where the last inequality holds for $n$ large enough.
Hence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
k n c<d(z) c+\left(\sum_{\substack{u \sim z \\ u \in S_{1}}} \sum_{\substack{w \sim u \\ w \in L_{1} \cup L_{2}}} \mathrm{v}_{w}\right)+5 k n \alpha \leq d(z) c+e\left(S_{1}, L_{1} \cup L_{2}\right)+5 k n \alpha<d(z) c+e\left(S_{1}, L_{1} \cup L_{2}\right)+\frac{\epsilon^{2} n}{2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the choice of $\alpha$ in (4).
If $d(z) \leq(c-\epsilon) n$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(k-c+\epsilon) n c \leq(k n-d(z)) c \leq e\left(S_{1}, L_{1} \cup L_{2}\right)+\frac{\epsilon^{2} n}{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $z \in L^{\prime}$ we have $c \geq \epsilon$. Rearranging and using $\epsilon \leq c \leq 1$, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e\left(S_{1}, L_{1} \cup L_{2}\right) \geq(k-1) n c+\frac{\epsilon^{2} n}{2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will show that $H_{k}\left[S_{1}, L_{1} \cup L_{2}\right]$ contains a $P_{2 k+1}$ with both endpoints in $S_{1}$, thus contradicting the fact that $H_{k}$ is $C_{2 k+2}$-free. To show this we prove the following claim.
Claim 4.2.1. If $\delta:=\frac{\epsilon(\alpha / 20 k)^{2}}{k+1}$, then there are at least $\delta n$ vertices inside $S_{1}$ with degree at least $k$ in $H_{k}\left[S_{1}, L_{1} \cup L_{2}\right]$.
Proof. Assume to the contrary that at most $\delta n$ vertices in $S_{1}$ have degree at least $k$ in $H_{k}\left[S_{1}, L_{1} \cup L_{2}\right]$. Then $e\left(S_{1}, L_{1} \cup\right.$ $\left.L_{2}\right)<(k-1)\left|S_{1}\right|+|L| \delta n \leq(k-1)(c-\epsilon) n+\epsilon n$, because $\left|S_{1}\right| \leq d(z)$ and by Lemma4.1 Combining this with (15) gives $(k-1) n c-(k-2) n \epsilon>e\left(S_{1}, L_{1} \cup L_{2}\right) \geq(k-1) n c+\frac{\epsilon^{2} n}{2}$, a contradiction.

Hence, there is some subset of vertices $B \subset S_{1}$ such that any vertex in $B$ has degree at least $k$ in $H_{k}\left[S_{1}, L_{1} \cup L_{2}\right]$ and $|B|=\delta n$. Since there are $\binom{|L|}{k}$ options for every vertex in $B$ to choose a set of $k$ neighbors from, we have that there is some set of $k$ vertices in $L_{1} \cup L_{2}$ with at least $\delta n /\binom{|L|}{k}$ common neighbours in $B$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 4.1, for $n$ sufficiently large we have a path on $2 k+1$ vertices with both end points in the common neighbourhood contained in $B$, a contradiction.

Thus, for the vertex $x$ such that $\mathrm{v}_{x}=1$, we have $d(x) \geq n-\epsilon n$ and $N_{1}(x)$ contains all but at most $\epsilon n$ many vertices. Since every vertex in $L^{\prime}$ has degree more than $\epsilon n$ (by the definition of $L^{\prime}$ and Lemma 4.2), this also gives that $L^{\prime} \backslash\{x\} \subset L_{1}(x) \cup L_{2}(x)$. The arguments in the proof of Lemma 4.2 also allow us to show that all vertices of $L^{\prime}$ have degrees close to $n$ and thus obtain $\left|L^{\prime}\right|=k$.
Lemma 4.3. For any vertex $z \in L^{\prime}$ with $\mathrm{v}_{z} \geq 1-\epsilon$, we have $(k-2 \epsilon) n \leq e\left(S_{1}, L\right) \leq(k+\epsilon) n$.
Proof. To obtain the lower bound we refine (13). Using $1-\epsilon \leq \mathrm{v}_{z} \leq 1$ and $d(z) \leq n$, we get

$$
k n(1-\epsilon)<d(z)+e\left(S_{1}, L_{1} \cup L_{2}\right)+\frac{\epsilon^{2} n}{2}=e\left(S_{1}, L\right)+\frac{\epsilon^{2} n}{2}
$$

Thus $e\left(S_{1}, L\right)>(1-2 \epsilon) k n$.
To obtain the upper bound, assume to the contrary that $e\left(S_{1}, L\right)>k n+\epsilon n$. We will show that $H_{k}\left[S_{1}, L \backslash\{z\}\right]$ contains a $P_{2 k+1}$ with both endpoints in $S_{1}$, thus contradicting the fact that $H_{k}$ is $C_{2 k+2}$-free. To show this we prove the following claim.
Claim 4.3.1. Let $\delta:=\frac{\epsilon(\alpha / 20 k)^{2}}{k+1}$. Then there are $\delta n$ vertices inside $S_{1}$ with degree at least $k$ in $H_{k}\left[S_{1}, L \backslash\{z\}\right]$.
Proof. Assume to the contrary that at most $\delta n$ vertices of $S_{1}$ have degree at least $k$ in $H_{k}\left[S_{1}, L \backslash\{z\}\right]$. Then, $\left.e\left(S_{1}, L \backslash\{z\}\right)<(k-1)\left|S_{1}\right|+|L| \delta n\right) \leq(k-1) n+|L| \delta n$, because $\left|S_{1}\right| \leq d(z)$. This contradicts our assumption that $e\left(S_{1}, L\right) \geq k n+\epsilon n$.

Hence, there is some subset of vertices $B \subset S_{1}$ such that any vertex in $B$ has degree at least $k$ in $H_{k}\left[S_{1}, L \backslash\{z\}\right]$ and $|B|=\delta n$. Since there are only $\binom{|L|}{k}$ options for every vertex in $B$ to choose a set of $k$ neighbors from, we have that there is some set of $k$ vertices in $L \backslash\{x\}$ with at least $\delta n /\binom{|L|}{k}$ common neighbours in $B$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 4.1 for $n$ sufficiently large we have a path on $2 k+1$ vertices with both end points in the common neighbourhood contained in $B$, a contradiction.
Lemma 4.4. For all vertices $z \in L^{\prime}$, we have $d(z) \geq\left(1-\frac{1}{8 k^{3}}\right) n$ and $\mathrm{v}_{z} \geq 1-\frac{1}{16 k^{3}}$. Moreover, $\left|L^{\prime}\right|=k$.
Proof. If we show that every vertex $z \in L^{\prime}$ has Perron entry $\mathrm{v}_{z} \geq 1-\frac{1}{16 k^{3}}$, then it follows from Lemma 4.2 and (3) that $d(z) \geq\left(1-\frac{1}{8 k^{3}}\right) n$. If all vertices in $L^{\prime}$ have degree at least $n-\frac{n}{8 k^{3}}$, then $\left|L^{\prime}\right| \leq k$, else there exists a $K_{k+1, k+1}$ in $H_{k}$, a contradiction. Also, if $\left|L^{\prime}\right| \leq k-1$, then by (13) and Lemma4.3 we have

$$
k n=k n \mathrm{v}_{x} \leq \lambda^{2} \leq e\left(S_{1}(x), L^{\prime}(x)\right)+e\left(S_{1}(x), L(x) \backslash L^{\prime}(x)\right) \eta+\frac{\epsilon^{2} n}{2} \leq(k-1) n+(k+\epsilon) n \cdot \eta+\frac{\epsilon^{2} n}{2}
$$

a contradiction by (2) and (3). Hence, all we need to show is that every vertex in $L^{\prime}$ has Perron entry at least $1-\frac{1}{16 k^{3}}$.
By way of contradiction, assume that $z \in L^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{v}_{z}<1-\frac{1}{16 k^{3}}$. Refining (13) applied to the vertex $x$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
k n & <e\left(S_{1}(x), L_{1}(x) \backslash\{z\}\right)+\left|N_{1}(x) \cap N_{1}(z)\right| \mathrm{v}_{z}+\frac{\epsilon^{2} n}{2} \\
& \leq(k+\epsilon) n-\left|N_{1}(x) \cap N_{1}(z)\right|+\left(1-\frac{1}{16 k^{3}}\right)\left|N_{1}(x) \cap N_{1}(z)\right|+\frac{\epsilon^{2} n}{2} \\
& =k n+\epsilon n+\frac{\epsilon^{2} n}{2}-\frac{\left|N_{1}(x) \cap N_{1}(z)\right|}{16 k^{3}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second inequality is by Lemma 4.3 and the bound on $\mathrm{v}_{z}$. Therefore, we have that

$$
\frac{\left|N_{1}(x) \cap N_{1}(z)\right|}{16 k^{3}}<2 \epsilon n .
$$

On the other hand, since $z \in L^{\prime}$ we have $\mathrm{v}_{z} \geq \eta$ and so by Lemma 4.2 we have $\left|N_{1}(x) \cap N_{1}(z)\right| \geq(\eta-2 \epsilon) n$. Combining the two inequalities is a contradiction by (3).

Now that we have $\left|L^{\prime}\right|=k$ and every vertex in $L^{\prime}$ has degree at least $\left(1-\frac{1}{8 k^{3}}\right) n$, it follows that the common neighborhood of $L^{\prime}$ has size at least $\left(1-\frac{1}{8 k^{2}}\right) n$. That is, there are at most $\frac{n}{8 k^{2}}$ vertices not adjacent to all of $L^{\prime}$. Call this set of "exceptional vertices" $E$. That is,

$$
E:=\left\{v \in V\left(H_{k}\right) \backslash L^{\prime}:\left|N_{1}(v) \cap L^{\prime}\right| \leq k-1\right\}
$$

Let $R=V\left(H_{k}\right) \backslash\left(L^{\prime} \cup E\right)$ be the remaining vertices. So we have that $V\left(H_{k}\right)$ is the disjoint union of $L^{\prime}, R$, and $E$ with $\left|L^{\prime}\right|=k$ and $|E| \leq \frac{n}{8 k^{2}}$. In the next two lemmas we will show that $E=\emptyset$ and this will allow us to prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 Note that because $R$ has size larger than $2 k+2$, adding a new vertex adjacent only to the vertices in $L^{\prime}$ cannot create a $C_{2 k+2}$, otherwise there would have already been one.

Lemma 4.5. For any vertex $u \in V\left(H_{k}\right)$, the Perron weight in the neighborhood of $u$ satisfies $\sum_{w \sim u} \mathrm{v}_{w} \geq k-\frac{1}{16 k^{2}}$.
Proof. Assume to the contrary that there exists a vertex $u$ with $\sum_{w \sim u} \mathrm{v}_{w}<k-\frac{1}{16 k^{2}}$. Note that because $\sum_{w \sim u} \mathrm{v}_{w}=$ $\lambda \mathrm{v}_{u} \geq \sqrt{k n} \mathrm{v}_{u}$, we have that $u \notin L^{\prime}$. Now modify the neighborhood of $u$ by deleting all the edges adjacent to $u$ and joining $u$ to all the vertices of $L^{\prime}$. Call the resultant graph, $H_{k}^{*}$. The neighborhood of $u$ in $H_{k}^{*}$ has Perron weight at least $k-\frac{1}{16 k^{2}}$ by Lemma 4.4 thus, $\lambda\left(H_{k}^{*}\right)>\lambda\left(H_{k}\right)$ by the Rayleigh quotient. Moreover, $H_{k}^{*}$ does not contain any $C_{2 k+2}$, a contradiction.

With this we may show that $E$ is empty.
Lemma 4.6. The set $E$ is empty and $H_{k}$ contains the complete bipartite graph $K_{k, n-k}$.
Proof. Assume to the contrary that $E \neq \emptyset$. Note that $e(R) \leq 1$ and every vertex in $E$ has at most one neighbor in $R$, else we can embed a $C_{2 k+2}$ in $H_{k}$. Any vertex $r \in R$, satisfies $\mathrm{v}_{r}<\eta$. Therefore, for any vertex $u \in E$ we have that

$$
\sum_{u \sim w} \mathrm{v}_{w}=\lambda \mathrm{v}_{u}=\sum_{\substack{w \sim u \\ w \in L^{\prime} \cup R}} \mathrm{v}_{w}+\sum_{\substack{w \sim u \\ w \in E}} \mathrm{v}_{w} .
$$

By Lemma 4.5 and using that vertices in $E$ have at most $k-1$ neighbors in $L^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{w \sim u \\ w \in E}} \mathrm{v}_{w} \geq 1-\frac{1}{16 k^{2}}-\eta \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the Perron weight in $E$ is at least $1-\frac{1}{16 k^{2}}-\eta>\frac{3}{4}$, the Perron weight outside $E$ is at most $k-1+\eta$, and the total Perron weight is $\lambda \mathrm{v}_{u}$, we have that

$$
\sum_{\substack{w \sim u \\ w \in E}} \mathrm{v}_{w} \geq \frac{3}{4 k} \lambda \mathrm{v}_{u}
$$

Now, applying Lemma 3.1, with $M=A\left(H_{k}[E]\right)$, and $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{v}_{\left.\right|_{E}}$ (the restriction of v to the set $E$ ), we have that for any $u \in E$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \mathrm{y}_{u}=\sum_{\substack{w \widetilde{u} \\ w \in E}} \mathrm{v}_{w} \geq \frac{3}{4 k} \lambda \mathrm{v}_{u}=\frac{3}{4 k} \lambda \mathrm{y}_{u} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by Lemma 3.1, $\lambda(M) \geq \frac{3}{4 k} \lambda \geq \frac{3}{4} \sqrt{\frac{n}{k}}$. This contradicts Lemma 3.4 because $\lambda(M) \leq \sqrt{2 k(|E|-1)}<$ $\sqrt{\frac{n}{4 k}}$ as $E$ induces a $C_{2 k+2}$-free graph. Thus, $E=\emptyset$. Therefore $R=V\left(H_{k}\right) \backslash L^{\prime}$ and $H_{k}$ must contain a $K_{k, n-k}$.

## 5 Proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3

With Lemma 4.6 in hand we may complete the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 Lemma 4.6 gives us that $K_{k, n-k}$ is a subgraph of both $H_{k}$ and $H_{k}^{\prime}$ and the results follow quickly from this.

Proof of Theorem 1.2, We have shown that $K_{k, n-k} \subset H_{k}$, where the part with $k$ vertices is $L^{\prime}$ and the other part with $n-k$ vertices is $R$. Thus $\mathcal{E}\left(L^{\prime}, R\right)=\left\{l r \mid l \in L^{\prime}, r \in R\right\}$. Now we know that $e(R) \leq 1$ and $H_{k}\left[L^{\prime}\right]$ is isomorphic to
some subgraph of $K_{k}$. Thus, $H_{k}$ is a subgraph of $S_{n, k}^{+}$and by the monotonicity of the spectral radius over subgraphs, we have that $H_{k} \cong S_{n, k}^{+}$.
Proof of Theorem 1.3, We have $\mathcal{E}\left(L^{\prime}, R\right)=\left\{l r \mid l \in L^{\prime}, r \in R\right\}$. In addition, $e(R)=0$, otherwise we can embed a $C_{2 k+1}$. Also, $H_{k}\left[L^{\prime}\right]$ is isomorphic to some subgraph of $K_{k}$. Thus, $H_{k}$ is a subgraph of $S_{n, k}$ and by the monotonicity of the spectral radius over subgraphs, we have that $H_{k} \cong S_{n, k}$.
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