

New analytic solutions in $f(R)$ -Cosmology from Painlevé analysis

Genly Leon,^{1,2,*} A. Paliathanasis,^{2,3,†} and P.G.L. Leach^{2,‡}

¹*Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Católica del Norte,*

Avda. Angamos 0610, Casilla 1280 Antofagasta, Chile

²*Institute of Systems Science, Durban University of Technology,*

PO Box 1334, Durban 4000, South Africa

³*Instituto de Ciencias Físicas y Matemáticas,*

Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia 5090000, Chile

(Dated: May 4, 2022)

We investigate the integrability properties and existence of analytic solutions in $f(R)$ -cosmology by using the singularity analysis. Specifically, for some power-law $f(R)$ -theories of special interest we apply the ARS algorithm to prove if the field equations possess the Painlevé property. Constraints for the free parameters of the power-law models are constructed and new analytic solutions are derived which are expressed in terms of Laurent expansions.

PACS numbers: 98.80.-k, 95.35.+d, 95.36.+x

Keywords: Cosmology; $f(R)$ -gravity; exact solutions; integrability

1. INTRODUCTION

The determination of exact and analytic solutions for the description of dynamical systems is an essential procedure for the analysis of a given dynamical system in all areas of physics and applied mathematics. Nowadays, numerical techniques are applied widely for the analysis of dynamical systems. However, the derivation of exact and analytic solutions for a set of differential equations gives an elegant way for the analysis of the dynamical system. Arscott expressed in a very nice way in the preface of his book [1] the necessity

*Electronic address: genly.leon@ucn.cl

†Electronic address: anpaliat@phys.uoa.gr

‡Electronic address: leachp@ukzn.ac.za

for the search of analytic solutions and do not rely on numerical methods; Arscott wrote: “[...] fall back on numerical techniques savours somewhat of breaking a door with a hammer when one could, with a little trouble, find the key”.

Einstein’s General Relativity provides a set of nonlinear differential equations, known as Einstein’s field equations, which relates the physical space and the matter source. In cosmological studies, the field equations are reduced to a system of nonlinear ordinary differential equations that still in the case of vacuum can be solved easily. Some cosmological solutions describing vacuum geometries are presented in [2–5]. In the simplest cosmological scenario, for which the physical space is described by the spatially flat Friedmann–Lemaître–Robertson–Walker (FLRW) geometry, with or without the cosmological constant term, the nonlinear ordinary differential equations can be linearised under the application of a point transformation [6]. The linearisation of these field equations is ensured by the existence of the maximum number of point transformations under which the field equations are invariant. The symmetry analysis is a systematic way for the determination of invariant functions for dynamical system which has been widely applied in gravitational physics and cosmology [7–11]. Furthermore, the symmetry analysis is the main approach for the investigation of the integrability properties and the construction of analytic solutions for the field equations in modified and extended theories of gravity, see for instance [12–16] and references cited therein.

Another systematic approach for the construction of analytic solutions which has been applied in gravitational physics is the singularity analysis or as also known the Painlevé analysis. The singularity analysis of differential equations is a major tool in the determination of the integrability of differential equations. These days, singularity analysis is associated with the French school led by Painlevé in the previous century [17–20], which followed the application to the determination of the third integrable case of Euler’s equations for a spinning top by Kowalevskaya [21]. For an extended mathematical discussion of the Painlevé analysis we refer the reader to [22]. The singularity analysis has been the main mathematical tool for the debate of the integrability properties for the Mixmaster Universe (Bianchi IX), see the discussion in [23–26]. However, it has been widely applied and in other gravitational models see for instance [27–33].

In this work we are interested in the application of singularity analysis for the construction of new analytic solutions in $f(R)$ -gravity [34] within the cosmological scenario of a spatially

flat FLRW universe. $f(R)$ -gravity is a fourth-order theory of gravity in which the Einstein-Hilbert Action is modified such that the Action Integral be a function f for the Ricci scalar R [36, 37]. The main idea behind the modification of the gravitational Action Integral is that the new degrees of freedom introduced by the modification drive the cosmological dynamics so as to explain the cosmological observations [35]. The quadratic theory of gravity [38–40] $f(R) = R + qR^2$, known also as the Starobinsky model of inflation [41], is one of the most known $f(R)$ models. This specific theory fits the cosmological observations and provides a theoretical mechanism to explain the inflationary parameters [42]. The integrability properties of this specific theory were found recently with the application of the singularity analysis in [43], while with the same approach the analytic solution for the power-law theory $f(R) = R + qR^n$ was determined in [44]. In the following we consider other models of $f(R)$ theory and we seek if the field equations possess the Painlevé property and the cosmological solution can be written in terms of Laurent expansions. Such analysis provide us with important information about the $f(R)$ -cosmology and the general behaviour of the dynamics. The plan of the paper is as follows.

In Section 2 we present the field equations in the context of $f(R)$ -cosmology. The main properties and definitions for the singularity analysis are given in Section 3. The new results of this study are presented in Section 4, where we present new analytic solutions in $f(R)$ -theory for specific functional forms of the $f(R)$ function. Finally, in Section 5 we draw our conclusions.

2. $f(R)$ -COSMOLOGY

In $f(R)$ -gravity the gravitational Action Integral is [34]

$$S = \int dx^4 \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{2k} f(R) + L_m \right], \quad (1)$$

where R is the Ricci scalar for the background geometry with metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, g is the determinant of the metric tensor, L_m is the Lagrangian function for the matter source and $k \equiv 8\pi G$. Because the Ricci scalar includes second-order derivatives for a nonlinear function f , it is easy to infer that the field equations which follow from (1) are of fourth-order. In addition, for a linear function f , that is $f'' = 0$; $f' = \frac{df}{dR}$, the Einstein-Hilbert Action is recovered and the gravitational theory is reduced to General Relativity.

Variation of the Action Integral (1) with respect to the metric tensor produces the gravitational field equations, they are

$$f' R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} f g_{\mu\nu} - (\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu - g_{\mu\nu} \nabla_\sigma \nabla^\sigma) f' = k T_{\mu\nu}, \quad (2)$$

in which $R_{\mu\nu}$ is the Ricci tensor, and $T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial L_m}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}}$ is the energy-momentum tensor for the matter source.

Equations (2) can be written in the equivalent expression

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{\mu\nu} = k_{\text{eff}} (T_{\mu\nu} + T_{\mu\nu}^{f(R)}), \quad (3)$$

where $k_{\text{eff}} = \frac{k}{f'(R)}$ is the effective time-varying gravitational ‘‘constant’’.

The effective energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}^{f(R)}$ is defined as

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{f(R)} = (\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu - g_{\mu\nu} \nabla_\sigma \nabla^\sigma) f' + \frac{1}{2} (f - R f') g_{\mu\nu} \quad (4)$$

and it attributes the additional degrees of freedom provided by the modification of the Einstein-Hilbert action.

In cosmological studies we assume that in large scales the universe is homogeneous and isotropic described by the spatially flat FLRW line element

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t) (dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2), \quad (5)$$

where $a(t)$ is the scalar factor, and $H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$ is the Hubble function and $\dot{a} = \frac{da}{dt}$.

For the line element (5) we calculate

$$R = 6\dot{H} + 12H^2. \quad (6)$$

Thus by substituting in (2) we end with the following system

$$3f'H^2 = k\rho_m + \frac{f'R - f}{2} - 3Hf''\dot{R}, \quad (7)$$

$$2f'\dot{H} + 3f'H^2 = -2Hf''\dot{R} - (f'''\dot{R}^2 + f''\ddot{R}) - \frac{f - Rf'}{2} - kp_m. \quad (8)$$

Remark that $\rho_m = T_{\mu\nu} u^\mu u^\nu$ and $p_m = T_{\mu\nu} (g^{\mu\nu} + u^\mu u^\nu)$ correspond to the energy density and pressure of the matter source, where u^μ is the normalized four-velocity vector. In the following analysis we consider the vacuum case, that is $T_{\mu\nu} = 0$. At this point it is important to mention that now all equations are independent. Indeed equation (7) is a constraint equation and describes a conservation law for the dynamical system.

TABLE I: Proposed $f(R)$ models of gravity

$\mathbf{f}(R)$	Reference
R^κ	[45]
$R + qR^2$	[41]
$R + qR^\kappa$	[46, 47]
$R + qR^\kappa + \beta R^2$	[48]
$R - qR_c \frac{(R/R_c)^{2n}}{(R/R_c)^{2n+1}}$	[49]
$(R^b - 2\Lambda)^c$	[50]

From the field equations (7), (8) we can express the energy momentum and pressure components for the effective energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}^{f(R)}$ as follow [36]

$$\rho_f = \frac{f'R - f}{2} - 3Hf''\dot{R}, \quad (9)$$

$$p_f = 2Hf''\dot{R} + \left(f'''\dot{R}^2 + f''\ddot{R}\right) + \frac{f - Rf'}{2}, \quad (10)$$

where now the equation of state (EoS) parameter, $w_f = \frac{p_f}{\rho_f}$, for the effective fluid is defined as

$$w_f = -\frac{(f - Rf') + 4Hf''\dot{R} + 2\left(f'''\dot{R}^2 + f''\ddot{R}\right)}{(f - Rf') + 6Hf''\dot{R}}. \quad (11)$$

There is a plethora of functional forms of the $f(R)$ which have been proposed in the literature. Some of these models are presented in Table I.

3. SINGULARITY ANALYSIS

The modern treatment of the singularity analysis is summarized in an algorithm proposed by Ablowitz, Ramani and Segur, known as the ARS algorithm [51–53]. In the following lines we present the three basic steps of the ARS algorithm for ordinary differential equations. For a pedagogical review we refer the reader to [54].

We assume now an ordinary differential equation $\mathcal{F}(t, x(t), \dot{x}(t), \ddot{x}(t), \dots) \equiv 0$ where t is the independent variable and $x(t)$ is the dependent variable. The first step of the ARS algorithm is to determine whether a moveable singularity exists. For that we assume that near to the singularity the solution of the differential equation is asymptotically described

by the function

$$x(t) = x_0(t - t_0)^p, \quad (12)$$

where t_0 marks the position of the singularity. Hence by substituting this functional form into the differential equation from the dominant power terms we derive the two variables p and x_0 . For the exponent p it should be negative number in order for the singularity to be a pole. However, this has since been relaxed to include fractional exponents, even positive ones as the derivative of a positive fractional exponent eventually gives a negative exponent and so a singularity.

The second step of the ARS algorithm is related with the study of the existence of conservation laws; that is, of the integration constants. A d th-order ordinary differential equation requires d integration constants, while one of the integration constants is the position of the movable singularity, that is, the parameter t_0 , we should prove the existence of $d - 1$ integration constants. To do so, we substitute

$$x(t) = a(t - t_0)^p + m(t - t_0)^{p+s} \quad (13)$$

into the dominant terms of the equation and collect the terms linear in m where the coefficient firstly enters the expansion. When the multiplier of m is zero, the value of m is arbitrary. The latter requirement provides a polynomial equation in terms of s . The zeros of the polynomial gives the values of the resonances s . One of these must be -1 which is associated with the integration constant t_0 , while the remaining s should be rational numbers.

The third step of the algorithm is known as the consistency test. Specifically we substitute an appropriate Laurent series into the full ordinary differential equation to ensure that there is consistency with the type of Series which the analysis of the dominant terms implies. Which means that we validate the existence of the solution.

When a given differential equation passes the ARS algorithm then we say that it possess the Painlevé property and is conjectured to be integrable. The analytic solution is expressed in terms of a Laurent expansion.

In order to demonstrate the application of the ARS algorithm to ordinary differential equations we consider the Painleve-Ince Equation [55]

$$\ddot{x} + 3x\dot{x} + x^3 = 0. \quad (14)$$

For the first step of the ARS algorithm we substitute expression (12) into the differential equation to obtain

$$x_0 p(p-1)(t-t_0)^{p-2} + 3x_0(t-t_0)^{2p-1} + x_0^3(t-t_0)^{3p} = 0,$$

from which it follows that the singular behaviour is for $p = -1$, and $x_0 = 1$ or $x_0 = 2$.

We proceed with the second step of the algorithm. We replace expression (13) into the Painleve-Ince Equation and we linearise around m . Thus the coefficient of m provides the polynomial

$$P(s; x_0) = s^2 + 3s(x_0 - 1) + 3x_0(x_0 - 2) + 2, \quad (15)$$

where $P(s; 1) = 0$ gives $s = -1$, $s = 1$ and $P(s, 2)$ gives $s = -1$ and $s = -2$. The latter means that there are two Laurent expansions that may solve the Painleve-Ince Equation.

Assume now the case for $x_0 = 1$, then we consider the Laurent expansion

$$x(t) = (t-t_0)^{-1} + x_1(t-t_0)^0 + x_2(t-t_0)^1 + x_3(t-t_0)^2 \dots,$$

we replace in the original equation and we find that it is a solution for x_1 arbitrary, which is the second integration constant of the problem, and $x_2 = -x_1^2$, $x_3 = x_1^3$ etc.

We now proceed with the application of the singularity analysis in $f(R)$ -cosmology.

4. ANALYTIC SOLUTIONS

In order to apply the singularity analysis we substitute (6) into (7). Thus we obtain a second-order equation for the Hubble function $H(t)$. In the following we consider some power-law $f(R)$ models.

4.1. Model $f(R) = R^\kappa$

As a toy model we assume the power-law model $f(R) = R^\kappa$, $\kappa \neq 0, 1$. Thus, equation (7) is

$$\kappa(\kappa-1)H\ddot{H} + (\kappa-1)\dot{H}^2 + (\kappa(7-4\kappa)-4)H^2\dot{H} + (2(\kappa-2))H^4 = 0. \quad (16)$$

We follow the ARS algorithm as described in the previous section. We find that the leading-order behaviour is

$$H(t) = \frac{H_0}{t}, \quad H_0 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad H_0 = \frac{(\kappa-1)(2\kappa-1)}{2-\kappa}, \quad (17)$$

where without loss of generality we assume that the singularity is at $t_0 = 0$.

Hence, the leading-order behaviour corresponds to the power-law scale factor $a_0 = t^{H_0}$, which describes ideal gas solution with equation of state parameter $w_{eff}(H_0) = \frac{2}{3H_0} - 1$. Specifically $w_{eff}(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{3}$, which corresponds to the radiation asymptotic solution as described by dynamical analysis [57]. Remark that for $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$ there exists only one singular behaviour, that with $H_0 = \frac{1}{2}$.

We continue with the second step of the ARS algorithm, the resonances are

$$H_0 = \frac{1}{2} : s_1^A = -1, s_2^A = \frac{5 - 4\kappa}{2(1 - \kappa)}, \quad (18)$$

$$H_0 = \frac{(\kappa - 1)(2\kappa - 1)}{2 - \kappa} : s_1^B = -1, s_2^B = \frac{(2\kappa - 1)(4\kappa - 5)}{\kappa - 2}. \quad (19)$$

Consequently, when κ is a rational number the resonances are rational numbers. From the sign of the second resonance, we can determine the direction of the Laurent expansion which describe the analytic solution. Indeed, for $s_2 \geq 0$, the analytic solution is described by a Right Laurent expansion while for $s_2 < 0$ the analytic solution is described by a Left Laurent expansion. In addition, $s_2^A > 0$ for $\kappa < 1$ and $\kappa > \frac{5}{4}$, while $s_2^B > 0$ for $\frac{1}{2} < \kappa < \frac{5}{4}$ and $\kappa > 2$. The value $s_2 = 0$ means that the second integration constant is the coefficient term of the leading-order behaviour, but from our analysis it is clear that H_0 cannot be arbitrary. Thus, the singularity analysis fails for $\kappa \neq \frac{5}{4}$.

We proceed with the final step of the ARS algorithm, the consistency test, by selecting specific values for the free parameter κ .

Assume now that $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$, then, for the leading-order behaviour with $H_0 = \frac{1}{2}$, we find the resonances $s_1^A = -1$, $s_2^A = 3$. We write the Laurent expansion

$$H(t) = \frac{1}{2}t^{-1} + H_1 + H_2t + H_3t^2 + H_4t^3 + \dots \quad (20)$$

and, by replacing in (16), it follows that $H_1 = 0$, $H_2 = 0$, $H_4 = 0$, $H_5 = 0$, $H_6 = \frac{22}{7}H_3^2$, in which H_3 is the second integration constant.

Assume now the cosmological model with $\kappa = \frac{3}{2}$. It follows that $H_0 = \frac{1}{2} : s_1^A = -1$, $s_2^A = 1$ and $H_0 = 2 : s_1^B = -1$, $s_2^B = -4$. For the case with $H_0 = \frac{1}{2}$, we substitute the Right Laurent expansion (20) into equation (16) and it follows that H_1 is an arbitrary constant and $H_2 = -\frac{2}{9}H_1^2$, etc.

For the case with $H_0 = 2$, we write the Left expansion

$$H(t) = \dots + H_{-3}t^{-4} + H_{-2}t^{-3} + H_{-1}t^{-2} + \frac{1}{2}t^{-1}, \quad (21)$$

where it follows that H_{-1} is arbitrary and $H_{-2} = \frac{1}{2}(H_{-1})^2$, $H_{-3} = \frac{1}{4}(H_{-1})^3$, etc.

We summarize the results in the following proposition.

Proposition 1: *For the $f(R) = R^\kappa$, $\kappa \neq 0, 1$, the cosmological field equations possess the Painlevé property for $\kappa \neq \frac{5}{4}$, thus the field equations are integrable.*

Of course the model $f(R) = R^\kappa$ is a very simple cosmological scenario where according to the analysis presented in [57] it is not cosmological viable. In addition from previous analysis we know that this power-law model gives an integrable set of cosmological field equations with conservation laws provided by the symmetry analysis.

4.2. Model $f(R) = R + qR^\kappa - 2\Lambda$

We now proceed with the second model of our analysis, the $f(R) = R + qR^\kappa - 2\Lambda$, $\kappa \neq 0, 1$. For $\Lambda = 0$, the singularity analysis for this model has been applied in [44]. There it was found that the cosmological field equations possess the Painlevé property for $\kappa > 2$. The analysis has been performed for the system of second-order equations (6) and (8) for the variables (a, R) . In this attempt we proceed with the analysis for the second-order ordinary differential equation (7) for the variable H , where we assume $\Lambda \neq 0$.

Thus, for this specific $f(R)$ model, the master equation for the Hubble function reads

$$6^{\kappa-1}q \left(\dot{H}^2 \right)^{\kappa-2} \left(-(\kappa-1)\kappa H \ddot{H}^2 \dot{H} + (\kappa-1)\dot{H}^2 + 2\lambda H^4 \right) - H^2 + \frac{\Lambda}{3} = 0. \quad (22)$$

Hence, by replacing $H(t) = H_0 t^p$, we find that the dominant terms are these provided by the R^κ component for $\kappa > 2$, and $p = -1$, $H_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ or $H_0 = \frac{(\kappa-1)(2\kappa-1)}{2-\kappa}$. From the second step of the ARS algorithm we find that the resonances are those given in expressions (18) and (19) respectively.

For the consistency test, we assume the case $\kappa = 3$, for which the resonances are $s_1 = -1$ and $s_2 = 35$. We write the Laurent expansion

$$H(t) = -10t^{-1} + H_1 t^0 + H_2 t^1 + H_3 t^2 + \dots, \quad (23)$$

which corresponds to the leading-order behaviour for the solution with $H_0 = \frac{(\kappa-1)(2\kappa-1)}{2-\kappa}$. Then by replacing in (22) we find that satisfies the consistency test with nonzero coefficients the H_4, H_6, H_8 etc and second integration constant the H_{35} .

Similarly, for the leading-order behaviour with $H_0 = \frac{1}{2}$, and $\kappa = 3$, we write the Laurent expansion

$$H(t) = \frac{1}{2}t^{-1} + H_1t^{-1+\frac{1}{4}} + H_2t^{-1+\frac{2}{4}} + H_2t^{-1+\frac{2}{4}} + H_3t^{-1+\frac{3}{4}} + \dots, \quad (24)$$

where we find that for H_1 to H_{10} H_7 is arbitrary, that is, it is the second integration constant and the only nonzero coefficient is $H_9 = -\frac{2}{3861H_7q}$.

Proposition 2: *For the $f(R) = R + qR^\kappa - 2\Lambda$, $\kappa \neq 0, 1$, the cosmological field equations possess the Painlevé property for $\kappa > 2$. Thus the field equations are integrable.*

4.3. Model $f(R) = R + qR^\kappa + \beta R^2 - 2\Lambda$

we now assume the power-law extension for the quadratic inflationary model with $f(R) = R + qR^\kappa + \beta R^2 - 2\Lambda$. We apply the ARS algorithm as before and we find that the field equations possess the Painleve property for $\kappa > 2$, with leading-order behaviour and resonances as given by (18) and (19). That means that the singularity is described by the dominant terms given by the R^κ .

We now present consider the case $\kappa = 3$ and substitute the two Laurent expansions (23), (24) into the master equation for the Hubble function.

It follows that the Laurent expansion (23) solves the master equation (7) with arbitrary coefficient being H_{35} , and

$$H_1 = 0, H_2 = -\frac{\beta}{1188q}, H_3 = 0, H_4 = \frac{437\beta^2 - 4356q}{3062616480q^2}, \text{ etc.} \quad (25)$$

In a similar way, expansion (24) is a solution for the master equation with nonzero coefficients being H_7 which is an integration constant, $H_8 = -\frac{\beta}{54q}$, $H_9 = -\frac{2(3q-\beta^2)}{11583H_7q^2}$, etc. Thus the following Proposition follows.

Proposition 3: *The cosmological field equations in $f(R) = R + qR^\kappa + \beta R^2 - 2\Lambda$, $\kappa \neq 0, 1$, theory possess the Painlevé property when $\kappa > 2$, and the analytic solutions are expressed by Laurent expansions.*

4.4. Model $f(R) = (R - 2\Lambda)^\kappa$

For the cosmological model $f(R) = (R - 2\Lambda)^\kappa$, the master equation for the Hubble function is

$$0 = 9\kappa(\kappa - 1)H\ddot{H} - 12(\kappa - 2)H^4 + (\Lambda - 3\dot{H})(\Lambda + 3(\kappa - 1)\dot{H}) + 3H^2(\Lambda(\kappa - 4) + 3(4 + \kappa(4\kappa - 7))\dot{H}). \quad (26)$$

The application of the two first steps for the ARS algorithm gives that the leading-order terms and the resonances are those given by expressions (23), (24). We assume specific values for the parameter κ in order to perform the consistency test.

For $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$ and the Laurent expansion (20) from equation (26) it follows that H_3 is arbitrary and $H_1 = 0$, $H_2 = \frac{\Lambda}{9}$, $H_4 = -\frac{2}{405}\Lambda^2$, $H_5 = -\frac{4}{9}\Lambda H_3$, etc.

Similarly, for $\kappa = \frac{3}{2}$ and the Laurent expansion (21) we find that it does not solve the master equation (26) which means that the ARS algorithm fails for this branch.

We conclude that the field equations in this theory are integrable with dominant term and resonances as given by expression (23).

Proposition 4: *The cosmological field equations in the $f(R) = (R - 2\Lambda)^\kappa$, $\kappa \neq 0, 1$, possess the Painlevé property and the analytic solutions are expressed by Laurent expansions.*

5. CONCLUSIONS

The singularity analysis is a systematic way for the investigation of the integrability properties of dynamical systems and the construction of analytic solutions. The singularity analysis should be seen as complementary rather than competitive method of the symmetry analysis for the study of dynamical systems. These two approaches are powerful tools for the study of integrability. In terms of the modified theories of gravity, the symmetry analysis has been used in order to constrain the unknown functions and parameters of the theory. For instance, in $f(R)$ -gravity, the symmetry analysis provides constraints for the functional form of the $f(R)$ function. However, this important property is partially true for the singularity analysis. As we show from our analysis, only the free parameters of a specific $f(R)$ function were constrained by the requirement of the ARS algorithm.

In this study, we applied the singularity analysis in four power-law $f(R)$ models which have been proposed before in the literature. We considered the power-law $f(R) = R^\kappa$ theory

as a toy model of study. It is well-known that $f(R) = R^\kappa$ cosmology is an integrable model. However, we proved that the field equations possess the Painlevé property. Specifically, there are two possible Laurent expansions which describe the analytic solutions where the dominant terms near to the singularity describes a radiation dominated universe or an ideal gas dominated universe with equation of state parameter depending upon the parameter κ . The results for the $f(R) = R^\kappa$ model were used as the basis for the analysis of the rest of the power-law models.

We considered the following models, $f(R) = R + qR^\kappa - 2\Lambda$, $f(R) = R + qR^\kappa + \beta R^2 - 2\Lambda$ and $f(R) = (R - 2\Lambda)^\kappa$, for which we found that the resulting master equations for the Hubble function possess the Painlevé property for specific values of κ , with dominant terms that of $f(R) = R^\kappa$ and the analytic solutions can be expressed by left or right Laurent expansions. Finally, we calculated the analytic solution for the field equations for specific values of κ .

From the above results we can make the conjecture that for every $f(R)$ model, where near to the movable singular behaviour, the $f(R)$ function is dominated by the R^κ term, the field equations possess the Painlevé property for specific values of κ , with leading-order behaviour the radiation solution and/or the ideal gas solution.

In a future work we plan to extend this specific analysis for the study of other higher-order gravitational theories.

Data Availability Statements: Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study

-
- [1] F.M. Arscott, *Periodic Differential Equations*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, (1964)
 - [2] E. Kasner, *Am. J. Math.* 43, 217 (1921)
 - [3] T. Christodoulakis and P.A. Terzis, *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* 68, 012039 (2007)
 - [4] T. Christodoulakis, G. Kofinas, E. Korfiatis, G.O. Papadopoulos and A. Paschos, *J.Math.Phys.* 42, 3580 (2001)
 - [5] P.A. Terzis and T. Christodoulakis, *Gen. Relat. Gravit.* 41, 469 (2009)
 - [6] A. Paliathanasis, S. Capozziello and P.G.L. Leach, *Phys. Lett. B* 755, 8 (2016)
 - [7] M.C. Kweyama, K.S. Govinder and S.D. Maharaj, *Class. Quantum Grav.* 28, 105005 (2011)

- [8] T. Christodoulakis, N. Dimakis and P.A. Terzis, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 47, 095202 (2014)
- [9] S. Cotsakis, P.G.L. Leach and H. Pantazi, *Grav. Cosmol.* 4, 314 (1998)
- [10] T. Pailas, N. Dimakis, A. Paliathanasis, P.A. Terzis and T. Christodoulakis, *Phys. Rev. D* 102, 063524 (2020)
- [11] S. Dussault and V. Faraoni, *EPJC* 80, 1002 (2020)
- [12] R. de Ritis, G. Marmo, G. Platania, C. Rubano, P. Scudellaro and C. Stornaiolo, *Phys. Rev. D.* 42, 1091 (1990)
- [13] A. Paliathanasis, M. Tsamparlis and S. Basilakos, *Phys. Rev. D* 90 103524 (2014)
- [14] Y. Zhang, Y.-G. Gong, Z.-H. Zhu, *Phys. Lett. B* 688, 13 (2010)
- [15] B. Vakili and F. Khazaie, *Class. Quantum Gravit.* 29, 035015 (2012)
- [16] K. Atazadeh and F. Darabi, *EPJC* 72, 2016 (2012)
- [17] P. Painlevé, *Leçons sur la théorie analytique des équations différentielles (Leçons de Stockholm, 1895) (Hermann, Paris, 1897). Reprinted, Œuvres de Paul Painlevé, vol. I, Éditions du CNRS, Paris, (1973).*
- [18] P. Painlevé, *Mémoire sur les équations différentielles du second ordre dont l'intégrale générale est uniforme Bulletin of the Mathematical Society of France* 28, 201 (1900)
- [19] P. Painlevé, *Sur les équations différentielles du second ordre et d'ordre supérieur dont l'intégrale générale est uniforme Acta Mathematica* 25, 1 (1902)
- [20] P. Painlevé, *Sur les équations différentielles du second ordre à points critiques fixes Comptes Rendus de la Académie des Sciences de Paris* 143, 1111 (1906)
- [21] S. Kowalevski, *Sur la problème de la rotation d'un corps solide autour d'un point fixe, Acta. Math.* 12, 177 (1889)
- [22] R. Conte, *The Painlevé Property: One Century Later, CRM Series in Mathematical Physics, Springer-Verlag, New York (1999)*
- [23] S. Cotsakis and P.G.L. Leach, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 27, 1625 (1994)
- [24] G. Contopoulos, B. Grammaticos and A. Ramani, *J. Math. Phys A Math. Gen.* 25, 5795 (1993)
- [25] J. Demaret and C. Scheen, *J. Math. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 29, 59 (1996)
- [26] F. Christiansen, H.H. Rugh and S.E. Rugh, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 28, 657 (1995)
- [27] J. Miritzis, P.G.L. Leach and S. Cotsakis, *Grav. Cosmol.* 6, 282 (2000)
- [28] A. Paliathanasis, J.D. Barrow and P.G.L. Leach, *Phys. Rev. D* 94, 023525 (2016)

- [29] W. Khylllep, A. Paliathanasis and J. Dutta, *Phys. Rev. D* 103, 103521 (2021)
- [30] S. Cotsakis, G. Kolionis and A. Tsokaros, *Phys. Lett. B* 721, 1 (2013)
- [31] S. Cotsakis, S. Kadry, G. Kolionis and A. Tsokaros, *Phys. Lett. B* 755, 387 (2016)
- [32] S. Cotsakis, J. Demaret, Y. De Rop and L. Querella, *Phys. Rev. D* 48, 4595 (1993)
- [33] S. Basilakos, A. Paliathanasis, J.D. Barrow and G. Papagiannopoulos, *EPJC* 78, 684 (2018)
- [34] H.A. Buchdahl, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* 150 1 (1970)
- [35] S. Capozziello, *Int. J Mod. Phys. D* 11 483 (2002)
- [36] T.P. Sotiriou and V. Faraoni *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 82 451 (2010)
- [37] S. Nojiri and S.D. Odintsov, *Phys. Rep.* 505 59 (2011)
- [38] H. Nariai and K. Tomita, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* 46, 776 (1971)
- [39] G.V. Bicknell, *J. Phys. A.: Math. Nucl. Gen.* 7, 1061 (1974)
- [40] J.D. Barrow, *Nucl. Phys. B* 296, 679 (1988)
- [41] A.A. Starobinsky, *Phys. Lett. B* 91, 99 (1980)
- [42] P.A.R. Ade et al. (Planck 2015 Collaboration), *A.&A.* 594, A20 (2016)
- [43] A. Paliathanasis, *EPJC* 77, 027 (2017)
- [44] A. Paliathanasis and P.G.L. Leach, *Phys. Lett. A* 380, 2815 (2016)
- [45] N. Goheer, J. Larena and P.K.S. Dunsby, *Phys. Rev. D* 80, 061301 (2009)
- [46] S. M. Carrol, V. Duvvuri, M. Trodden and M. S. Turner, *Phys. Rev. D.*, 70, 043528 (2004);
- [47] S. Nojiri and S, D. Odintsov, *Phys. Rev. D.*, 73, 124038 (2006)
- [48] D.Y. Cheng, H.M. Lee and S.C. Park, *Phys. Lett. B* 805, 135456 (2020)
- [49] W. Hu and I. Sawicki, *Phys. Rev. D.*, 76, 064004 (2007)
- [50] L. Amendola and S. Tsujikawa, *Phys. Lett. B.*, 660, 125 (2008)
- [51] M.J. Ablowitz, A. Ramani and H. Segur, *Lettere al Nuovo Cimento* 23, 333 (1978)
- [52] M.J. Ablowitz, A. Ramani and H. Segur, *J. Math. Phys.* 21, 715 (1980)
- [53] M.J. Ablowitz, A. Ramani and H. Segur, *J. Math. Phys.* 21, 1006 (1980)
- [54] A. Ramani, B. Grammaticos and T. Bountis, *Physics Reports*, 180 159 (1989)
- [55] F.M. Mahomed and P.G.L. Leach, *Quaestiones Mathematicaë* 8, 241 (1985)
- [56] P.G.L. Leach and A. Paliathanasis, *Quaestiones Mathematicaë* 44, 1 (2019)
- [57] L. Amendola, D. Polarski and S. Tsujikawa, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* 16, 1555 (2007)