

A Riccati-Lyapunov Approach to Nonfeedback Capacity of MIMO Gaussian Channels Driven by Stable and Unstable Noise

Charalambos D. Charalambous and Stelios Louka

University of Cyprus

chadcha@ucy.ac.cy, louka.stelios@ucy.ac.cy

Abstract—In this paper it is shown that the nonfeedback capacity of multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) additive Gaussian noise (AGN) channels, when the noise is nonstationary and unstable, is characterized by an asymptotic optimization problem that involves, a generalized matrix algebraic Riccati equation (ARE) of filtering theory, and a matrix Lyapunov equation of stability theory of Gaussian systems. Furthermore, conditions are identified such that, the characterization of nonfeedback capacity corresponds to the uniform asymptotic per unit time limit, over all initial distributions, of the characterization of a finite block or transmission without feedback information (FTwFI) capacity, which involves, two generalized matrix difference Riccati equations (DREs) and a matrix difference Lyapunov equation.

I. INTRODUCTION, PROBLEM, AND MAIN RESULTS

Shannon's information theoretic definition of nonfeedback capacity of additive Gaussian noise (AGN) channels with memory, is a fundamental mathematical tool for analysis and synthesis of reliable data transmission over noisy communication channels. The characterization of nonfeedback capacity in frequency-domain, for stationary or asymptotically stationary channels, i.e., when the channel noise inputs and outputs are asymptotically stationary, gave rise to the so-called water-filling solution, which is documented in [1]–[4] and in several research papers, such as Tsybakov [5]. The analysis of channel capacity for asymptotically equivalent matrices for MIMO Gaussian channels, is found in [6], [7] and more recently in [8]. For Gaussian channels with intersymbol interference in [9].

Bounds on nonfeedback capacity for single-input single-output (SISO) AGN channel with stable noise with memory, are derived in [10]–[12], as well as comparisons to feedback capacity. Recently, sequential time-domain characterizations of nonfeedback capacity for SISO AGN channels with unstable, finite-memory autoregressive noise are presented in [13]. Sequential characterization of nonfeedback capacity for SISO AGN channels with general unstable noise with memory, are obtained in [14], and lower bounds in [15, Corollary II.1]. Additional lower bounds are discussed in [16], [17], which are equivalent to the Cover and Pombra [18] nonfeedback capacity formula. Equivalent characterizations of Cover and Pombra n –finite transmission, or block length for MIMO AGN channels with memory are presented in [19].

The purpose of this paper is to derive new results on nonfeedback capacity for multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) AGN

channels, driven by unstable, nonstationary and nonergodic noise in time-domain, described by

$$Y_t = H_t X_t + V_t, \quad t = 1, \dots, n, \quad \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{E} \left\{ \sum_{t=1}^n \|X_t\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n_x}}^2 \right\} \leq \kappa \quad (\text{I.1})$$

where $\kappa \in [0, \infty)$, $X_t : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{X} \triangleq \mathbb{R}^{n_x}$, $Y_t : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Y} \triangleq \mathbb{R}^{n_y}$, and $V_t : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{V} \triangleq \mathbb{R}^{n_y}$, are the channel input, channel output and noise random variables (RVs), respectively, (n_x, n_y) are finite positive integers, $H_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n_y \times n_x}$ is nonrandom and the distribution of the sequence $V^n = \{V_1, \dots, V_n\}$, i.e., $\mathbf{P}_{V^n} \triangleq \mathbb{P}\{V_1 \leq v_1, \dots, V_n \leq v_n\}$, is jointly Gaussian.

The main fundamental difference from previous characterizations of nonfeedback capacity found in the literature, i.e., [1]–[5], is that the consideration of nonergodic, time-varying and unstable noise, gives higher achievable rates compared to stable noise (see [13], [14], [16], [17]).

Operational Nonfeedback Code. The code for the MIMO AGN channel (I.1), is denoted by $\{(n, \mathcal{M}^{(n)}, \varepsilon_n, \kappa) : n = 0, 1, \dots\}$ and consists of (a) a set of uniformly distributed messages $M : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{(n)} \triangleq \{1, \dots, M^{(n)}\}$, known to the encoder and decoder, (b) a set of encoder strategies mapping messages $M = m$ and past channel inputs into current inputs, defined by¹

$$\mathcal{E}_n(\kappa) \triangleq \left\{ g_i : \mathcal{M}^{(n)} \times \mathbb{X}^{i-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_i, x_1 = g_1(m), x_2 = g_2(m, x_1), \dots, x_n = g_n(m, x^{n-1}) \mid \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{E}^g \left\{ \sum_{t=1}^n \|X_t\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n_x}}^2 \right\} \leq \kappa \right\} \quad (\text{I.2})$$

where $g_i(\cdot)$ are measurable maps and (c) a decoder $d_n(\cdot) : \mathbb{Y}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{(n)}$, with average probability of decoding error

$$\mathbf{P}_{error}^{(n)} \triangleq \frac{1}{M^{(n)}} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}^{(n)}} \mathbf{P}^g \left\{ d_n(Y^n) \neq m \mid M = m \right\} \leq \varepsilon_n. \quad (\text{I.3})$$

Note that $\mathbf{P}_{error}^{(n)}$ depends on the distribution of V_1 , i.e., \mathbf{P}_{V_1} . The messages $M : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{(n)}$ are assumed independent of the noise sequences V^n , that is $\mathbf{P}_{V^n|M} = \mathbf{P}_{V^n}$. The code rate is defined by $r_n \triangleq \frac{1}{n} \log M^{(n)}$. A rate R is called an *achievable rate*, if there exists an encoder and decoder sequence satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0$ and $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log M^{(n)} \geq R$. The operational definition of the *nonfeedback capacity* is $C^{OP}(\kappa) \triangleq \sup\{R \mid R \text{ is achievable}\} \forall \mathbf{P}_{V_1}$, i.e., it does not depend on \mathbf{P}_{V_1} .

¹The superscript on expectation operator ' \mathbf{E}^g ' indicates that the corresponding distribution $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}^g$ depends on the encoding strategy g .

A. Main Results of the Paper

Throughout this paper, we consider the noise of Definition I.1.

Definition I.1. A time-varying partially observable state space (PO-SS) realization of the Gaussian noise V^n , is defined by

$$S_{t+1} = A_t S_t + B_t W_t, \quad t = 1, \dots, n-1 \quad (\text{I.4})$$

$$V_t = C_t S_t + N_t W_t, \quad t = 1, \dots, n, \quad (\text{I.5})$$

$$S_1 \in G(\mu_{S_1}, K_{S_1}), \quad K_{S_1} \succeq 0, \quad (\text{I.6})$$

$$W_t \in G(0, K_{W_t}), \quad K_{W_t} \succ 0, \quad t = 1, \dots, n, \quad (\text{I.7})$$

$$S_t : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_s}, \quad W_t : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_w}, \quad V_t : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_y}, \quad (\text{I.8})$$

$$R_t \triangleq N_t K_{W_t} N_t^T \succ 0, \quad t = 1, \dots, n \quad (\text{I.9})$$

where $W_t, t = 1, \dots, n$ is an independent Gaussian process, independent of S_1 , n_y, n_s, n_w are arbitrary positive integers, $(A_t, B_t, C_t, N_t, K_{S_1}, K_{W_t})$ are nonrandom, $X \in G(\mu_X, K_X)$ means X is Gaussian distributed with mean μ_X and covariance K_X and for any matrix $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. The notation $Q \succeq 0$ (resp. $Q \succ 0$) means Q is symmetric positive semidefinite (resp. definite).

Converse Coding Theorem. Suppose there exists a sequence of achievable nonfeedback codes with error probability $\mathbf{P}_{\text{error}}^{(n)} \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $R \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} C_n(\kappa, \mathbf{P}_{Y_1})$, where $C_n(\kappa, \mathbf{P}_{Y_1})$ is the sequential characterization of the n -finite block length, or transmission without feedback information (n -FTwFI) capacity formula [19, Section I, III], given as follows.

$$C_n(\kappa, \mathbf{P}_{Y_1}) = \sup_{\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{E} \left\{ \sum_{t=1}^n \|X_t\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n_x}}^2 \right\} \leq \kappa^{t=1}} \sum_{t=1}^n I(X_t, V^{t-1}; Y_t | Y^{t-1}) \quad (\text{I.10})$$

$$= \sup_{\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{E} \left\{ \sum_{t=1}^n \|X_t\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n_x}}^2 \right\} \leq \kappa} H(Y^n) - H(V^n) \in [0, \infty] \quad (\text{I.11})$$

where (I.11) follows from the channel definition (I.1) (provided the probability density functions exist) and the supremum is over $\mathbf{P}_{X_t|X^{t-1}}, t = 1, \dots, n$ induced by,

$$X_t = \Lambda_t \mathbf{X}^{t-1} + Z_t, \quad X_1 = Z_1, \quad (\text{I.12})$$

$$\mathbf{X}^n \triangleq [X_1^T \ X_2^T \ \dots \ X_n^T]^T, \quad (\text{I.13})$$

$$Z_t \in G(0, K_{Z_t}), \quad K_{Z_t} \succeq 0, \quad t = 1, \dots, n, \text{ indep. Gaussian}, \quad (\text{I.14})$$

$$Z_t \text{ independent of } (V^{t-1}, X^{t-1}, Y^{t-1}, Z^{t-1}), t = 1, \dots, n, \quad (\text{I.15})$$

$$\Lambda_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times (t-1)n_x}, \quad \forall t \text{ is nonrandom.} \quad (\text{I.16})$$

By recursive substitution of \mathbf{X}^{t-1} into the right hand side of (I.12), we obtain $X_t = \bar{Z}_t$, where $\bar{Z}_t \in G(0, K_{\bar{Z}_t}), t = 1, \dots, n$, is a correlated Gaussian noise, as given in [18]. However, for the purpose of our asymptotic analysis, we prefer (I.12)-(I.16), because it is much easier to analyse.

We emphasize that the consideration of unstable noise V^n implies Y^n is also unstable and therefore for our asymptotic analysis, we need to use the two innovations processes of V^n and Y^n , as in [13], [14], [16], [17], giving rise to the following characterization of $C_n(\kappa, \mathbf{P}_{Y_1}) \in [0, \infty]$ given by,

$$C_n(\kappa, \mathbf{P}_{Y_1}) = \sup_{(\Lambda_t, K_{\bar{Z}_t}), t=1, \dots, n, \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{E} \left\{ \sum_{t=1}^n \|X_t\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n_x}}^2 \right\} \leq \kappa^{t=1}} \sum_{t=1}^n \left\{ H(I_t) - H(\hat{I}_t) \right\} \quad (\text{I.17})$$

where I_t, \hat{I}_t are the innovations processes of Y^n, V^n ,

$$I_t \triangleq Y_t - \mathbf{E}\{Y_t | Y^{t-1}\}, \quad \hat{I}_t \triangleq V_t - \mathbf{E}\{V_t | V^{t-1}\}. \quad (\text{I.18})$$

Clearly, the convergence properties of $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} C_n(\kappa, \mathbf{P}_{Y_1})$ and its independent of all \mathbf{P}_{Y_1} , are directly related to the convergence properties of $(I_t, \hat{I}_t, X_n), t = 1, 2, \dots, n$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

State Space Realization of Channel Input. For the analysis of the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} C_n(\kappa, \mathbf{P}_{Y_1})$, we consider the alternative, state space realization of the Gaussian input X^n .

$$\Xi_{t+1} = F_t \Xi_t + G_t Z_t, \quad t = 1, \dots, n-1, \quad (\text{I.19})$$

$$X_t = \Gamma_t \Xi_t + D_t Z_t, \quad t = 1, \dots, n, \quad (\text{I.20})$$

$$\Xi_1 \in G(\mu_{\Xi_1}, K_{\Xi_1}), \quad K_{\Xi_1} \succeq 0, \quad (\text{I.21})$$

$$Z_t \in G(0, K_{Z_t}), \quad K_{Z_t} \succeq 0, \quad t = 1, \dots, n, \quad (\text{I.22})$$

$$Z^n \text{ indep. seq.}, \quad (\Xi_1, Z^n, W^n) \text{ mutually indep.} \quad (\text{I.23})$$

$$\Xi_t : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_\xi}, \quad Z_t : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_z}, \quad X_t : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_x} \quad (\text{I.24})$$

where n_ξ, n_z are arbitrary positive integers and $(F_t, G_t, \Gamma_t, D_t, K_{\Xi_1}, K_{Z_t})$ are nonrandom matrices $\forall t$.

Note that any finite-memory AR input $X_t = \sum_{j=1}^M \Lambda_{t,j} X_{t-j} + Z_t$, with arbitrary large M , approximates (I.12), and this is a special case of (I.19)-(I.24). The performance of such inputs with $M = 1$ discussed in [13, Section IV] and [16, Theorem III.3] for IID input. **Asymptotic Characterization of Capacity.** The purpose of this paper is to analyze the two problems listed below.

Problem #1. Identify conditions, such that asymptotic limit exists and does not depend on \mathbf{P}_{Y_1} ,

$$C^o(\kappa, \mathbf{P}_{Y_1}) \triangleq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} C_n(\kappa, \mathbf{P}_{Y_1}) = C(\kappa) \in [0, \infty), \quad \forall \mathbf{P}_{Y_1} \quad (\text{I.25})$$

and characterize $C(\kappa)$, which is independent of \mathbf{P}_{Y_1} .

Assumptions I.1. Considered for the asymptotic analysis are the two cases of noise and channel input realizations.

Case 1: Time-invariant,

$$(A_n, B_n, C_n, N_n, K_{W_n}) = (A, B, C, N, K_W), \quad K_W \succ 0, \quad \forall n \quad (\text{I.26})$$

$$(F_n, G_n, \Gamma_n, D_n, K_{Z_n}) = (F, G, \Gamma, D, K_Z), \quad K_Z \succeq 0, \quad \forall n. \quad (\text{I.27})$$

Case 2: Asymptotically time-invariant,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A_n, B_n, C_n, N_n, K_{W_n}) = (A, B, C, N, K_W), \quad K_W \succ 0, \quad (\text{I.28})$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (F_n, G_n, \Gamma_n, D_n, K_{Z_n}) = (F, G, \Gamma, D, K_Z), \quad K_Z \succeq 0 \quad (\text{I.29})$$

where the limits are element wise. For both Cases 1 and 2, the time-invariant realizations are assumed of minimal dimensions.

For Cases 1 and 2, we identify conditions on 1) channel model matrices (H, A, B, C, N, K_W) and 2) channel input matrices $(F, G, K_Z, \Gamma, D), K_Z \succeq 0$, such that the limit in (I.25) exists, is independent of \mathbf{P}_{Y_1} and is characterized by

$$C^o(\kappa, \mathbf{P}_{Y_1}) = C(\kappa) \triangleq \sup \frac{1}{2} \ln \left\{ \frac{\det(\Pi \Gamma \Pi^T + D K_W D^T)}{\det(C \Sigma C^T + N K_W N^T)} \right\}^+ \quad (\text{I.30})$$

the supremum is over (F, G, Γ, D, K_Z) and

$$K_Z \succeq 0, \quad \text{tr}(\Gamma \Pi \Gamma^T + D K_Z D^T) \leq \kappa, \quad (\text{I.31})$$

$$\Pi \succeq 0, \quad \Sigma \succeq 0 \text{ satisfy matrix Algebraic Riccati Eqns,} \quad (\text{I.32})$$

$$P \succeq 0 \text{ satisfies a matrix Lyapunov Equation} \quad (\text{I.33})$$

and where $\{\cdot\}^+ \triangleq \max\{1, \cdot\}$, the $(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}, K_{\overline{\mathbf{W}}})$ are specific matrices, related to the channel model and channel input matrices.

Problem #2. Under the conditions of Problem #1, we also show that the limit and the supremum can be interchanged and

$$C^\infty(\kappa, \mathbf{P}_{Y_1}) \triangleq \sup_{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{E}\left\{\sum_{t=1}^n \|X_t\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n_x}}^2\right\} \leq \kappa} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{t=1}^n H(I_t) - H(\hat{I}_t) \right) \quad (\text{I.34})$$

$$= C^o(\kappa, \mathbf{P}_{Y_1}) = C(\kappa) \in [0, \infty), \quad \forall \mathbf{P}_Y. \quad (\text{I.35})$$

Direct Coding Theorem. By (I.30) and (I.34), the convergence is uniform over all \mathbf{P}_{Y_1} . Hence, the asymptotic equipartition (AEP) and the information stability hold, from which follows directly that $C(\kappa)$ is the nonfeedback capacity, even for unstable channels, similar to the feedback capacity in [20], [21].

Notation.

$\mathbb{Z}_+ \triangleq \{1, 2, \dots\}$, $\mathbb{Z}_n^+ \triangleq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, where n is a finite positive integer. $\mathbb{R} \triangleq (-\infty, \infty)$, and \mathbb{R}^m is the vector space of tuples of the real numbers for an integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. $\mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ is the set of n by m matrices with entries from the set of real numbers for $(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+$. $I_n \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ denotes the identity matrix, $\text{tr}(A)$ denotes the trace of any matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. $\mathbb{C} \triangleq \{a + jb : (a, b) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}\}$ is the space of complex numbers. $\mathbb{D}_o \triangleq \{c \in \mathbb{C} : |c| < 1\}$ is the open unit disc of the space of complex number \mathbb{C} . $\text{spec}(A) \subset \mathbb{C}$ is the spectrum of a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times q}$, $q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ (the set of all its eigenvalues). A matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times q}$ is called exponentially stable if all its eigenvalues are within the open unit disc, that is, $\text{spec}(A) \subset \mathbb{D}_o$. $X \in G(\mu_X, K_X)$, $K_X \succeq 0$ denotes a Gaussian distributed RV X , with mean $\mu_X = \mathbf{E}\{X\}$ and covariance $K_X \succeq 0$, $K_X = \text{cov}(X, X) \triangleq \mathbf{E}\{(X - \mathbf{E}\{X\})(X - \mathbf{E}\{X\})^T\}$. Given another Gaussian RV $Y : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_y}$, which is jointly Gaussian distributed with X , i.e., with joint distribution $\mathbf{P}_{X,Y}$, the conditional covariance of X given Y is (by properties of Gaussian RVs)

$$K_{X|Y} = \text{cov}(X, X|Y) \triangleq \mathbf{E}\{(X - \mathbf{E}\{X|Y\})(X - \mathbf{E}\{X|Y\})^T\}.$$

II. ASYMPTOTIC CHARACTERIZATION OF CAPACITY

A. Sequential Characterizations of n -FTwFI Capacity

We recall the characterization of $C_n(\kappa, \mathbf{P}_{Y_1})$ of (I.17), which shows its dependence on two DREs and a Lyapunov equation.

Theorem II.1. [19, Theorem III.2] *Sequential characterization of $C_n(\kappa, \mathbf{P}_{Y_1})$. Consider the MIMO AGN channel (I.1), the noise of Definition I.1 and the input (I.19)-(I.24). Define*

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_t &\triangleq (\Xi_t^T \ S_t^T)^T, \quad \overline{\mathbf{W}}_t \triangleq (Z_t^T \ W_t^T)^T, \quad \overline{\mathbf{W}}_t \in G(0, K_{\overline{\mathbf{W}}_t}), \\ \Pi_t &\triangleq \text{cov}(\Theta_t, \Theta_t|Y^{t-1}) = \mathbf{E}\left\{\left(\Theta_t - \hat{\Theta}_t\right)\left(\Theta_t - \hat{\Theta}_t\right)^T\right\}, \\ \hat{\Theta}_t &\triangleq \mathbf{E}\left\{\Theta_t|Y^{t-1}\right\}, \quad t = 2, \dots, n, \quad \hat{\Theta}_1 \triangleq \mu_{\Theta_1}, \quad \Pi_1 \triangleq K_{\Theta_1}, \\ P_t &\triangleq \text{cov}(\Xi_t, \Xi_t) = \mathbf{E}\left\{\left(\Xi_t - \mathbf{E}\{\Xi_t\}\right)\left(\Xi_t - \mathbf{E}\{\Xi_t\}\right)^T\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

(i) *The joint Gaussian process (X^n, Y^n, V^n) is represented by*

$$\Theta_{t+1} = \mathbf{A}_t \Theta_t + \mathbf{B}_t \overline{\mathbf{W}}_t, \quad t = 1, \dots, n-1, \quad (\text{II.36})$$

$$Y_t = \mathbf{C}_t \Theta_t + \mathbf{D}_t \overline{\mathbf{W}}_t, \quad t = 1, \dots, n \quad (\text{II.37})$$

$$\mathbf{A}_t \triangleq \begin{pmatrix} F_t & 0 \\ 0 & A_t \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B}_t \triangleq \begin{pmatrix} G_t & 0 \\ 0 & B_t \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{II.38})$$

$$\mathbf{C}_t \triangleq (H_t \Gamma_t \ C_t), \quad \mathbf{D}_t \triangleq (H_t D_t \ N_t). \quad (\text{II.39})$$

where $\mathbf{A}_t, \mathbf{B}_t, \mathbf{C}_t, \mathbf{D}_t$ are appropriate matrices.

(ii) *The covariance of the error, $\Pi_t \triangleq \mathbf{E}\{\hat{E}_t \hat{E}_t^T\}$, $\hat{E}_t = \Theta_t - \hat{\Theta}_t$, satisfies the generalized matrix DRE*

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{t+1} &= \mathbf{A}_t \Pi_t \mathbf{A}_t^T + \mathbf{B}_t K_{\overline{\mathbf{W}}_t} \mathbf{B}_t^T - \left(\mathbf{A}_t \Pi_t \mathbf{C}_t^T + \mathbf{B}_t K_{\overline{\mathbf{W}}_t} \mathbf{D}_t^T \right) \\ &\cdot \left(\mathbf{D}_t K_{\overline{\mathbf{W}}_t} \mathbf{D}_t^T + \mathbf{C}_t \Pi_t \mathbf{C}_t^T \right)^{-1} \left(\mathbf{A}_t \Pi_t \mathbf{C}_t^T + \mathbf{B}_t K_{\overline{\mathbf{W}}_t} \mathbf{D}_t^T \right)^T, \\ \Pi_t &\succeq 0, \quad \Pi_1 = K_{\Theta_1} \succeq 0, \quad t = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II.40})$$

Moreover, the error $\hat{E}_t \triangleq \Theta_t - \hat{\Theta}_t$ satisfies the recursion

$$\hat{E}_{t+1} = \mathbf{F}_t^{CL}(\Pi_t) \hat{E}_t + (\mathbf{B}_t - \mathbf{F}_t(\Pi_t) \mathbf{D}_t) \overline{\mathbf{W}}_t, \quad t = 1, \dots, n, \quad (\text{II.41})$$

$$\mathbf{F}_t^{CL}(\Pi_t) = \mathbf{A}_t - \mathbf{F}_t(\Pi_t) \mathbf{C}_t, \quad (\text{II.42})$$

$$\mathbf{F}_t(\Pi_t) = (\mathbf{A}_t \Pi_t \mathbf{C}_t^T + \mathbf{B}_t K_{\overline{\mathbf{W}}_t} \mathbf{D}_t^T) (\mathbf{D}_t K_{\overline{\mathbf{W}}_t} \mathbf{D}_t^T + \mathbf{C}_t \Pi_t \mathbf{C}_t^T)^{-1}.$$

(iii) *The innovations process I_t of Y^n for $t = 1, \dots, n$, is*

$$I_t \triangleq Y_t - \mathbf{E}\{Y_t|Y^{t-1}\} = \mathbf{C}_t (\Theta_t - \hat{\Theta}_t) + \mathbf{D}_t \overline{\mathbf{W}}_t, \quad (\text{II.43})$$

$$I_t \in G(0, K_{I_t}), \quad K_{I_t} = \mathbf{C}_t \Pi_t \mathbf{C}_t^T + \mathbf{D}_t K_{\overline{\mathbf{W}}_t} \mathbf{D}_t^T. \quad (\text{II.44})$$

(iv) *The matrix $P_t = \text{cov}(\Xi_t, \Xi_t)$ satisfies Lyapunov recursion,*

$$P_{t+1} = F_t P_t F_t^T + G_t K_{Z_t} G_t^T, \quad P_t \succeq 0, \quad P_1 = K_{\Xi_1}. \quad (\text{II.45})$$

(v) *The average power constraint is*

$$\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{E}\left\{\sum_{t=1}^n \|X_t\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n_x}}^2\right\} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \text{tr}\left(\Gamma_t P_t \Gamma_t^T + D_t K_{Z_t} D_t^T\right). \quad (\text{II.46})$$

(vii) *The entropy of Y^n is $H(Y^n) = \sum_{t=1}^n H(I_t)$, is given by*

$$H(Y^n) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^n \ln((2\pi e)^{n_y} \det(\mathbf{C}_t \Pi_t \mathbf{C}_t^T + \mathbf{D}_t K_{\overline{\mathbf{W}}_t} \mathbf{D}_t^T)) \quad (\text{II.47})$$

and the entropy of V^n is $H(V^n) = \sum_{t=1}^n H(\hat{I}_t)$, is given by

$$H(V^n) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^n \ln((2\pi e)^{n_y} \det(\mathbf{C}_t \Sigma_t \mathbf{C}_t^T + N_t K_{W_t} N_t^T)) \quad (\text{II.48})$$

$$\hat{I}_t \in G(0, K_{\hat{I}_t}) \text{ an orth. innov. proc. indep. of } V^{t-1}, \quad (\text{II.49})$$

$$K_{\hat{I}_t} \triangleq \text{cov}(\hat{I}_t, \hat{I}_t) = \mathbf{C}_t \Sigma_t \mathbf{C}_t^T + N_t K_{W_t} N_t^T = K_{V_t|V^{t-1}}. \quad (\text{II.50})$$

where Σ_t satisfies the generalized matrix DRE

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{t+1} &= \mathbf{A}_t \Sigma_t \mathbf{A}_t^T + \mathbf{B}_t K_{W_t} \mathbf{B}_t^T - \left(\mathbf{A}_t \Sigma_t \mathbf{C}_t^T + \mathbf{B}_t K_{W_t} N_t^T \right) \\ &\cdot \left(N_t K_{W_t} N_t^T + \mathbf{C}_t \Sigma_t \mathbf{C}_t^T \right)^{-1} \left(\mathbf{A}_t \Sigma_t \mathbf{C}_t^T + \mathbf{B}_t K_{W_t} N_t^T \right)^T, \\ \Sigma_t &\succeq 0, \quad t = 1, \dots, n, \quad \Sigma_1 = K_{S_1} \succeq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II.51})$$

(v) *An equivalent characterization of $C_n(\kappa, \mathbf{P}_{Y_1})$ is*

$$C_n(\kappa, \mathbf{P}_{Y_1}) = \sup_{\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{E}\left\{\sum_{t=1}^n \|X_t\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n_x}}^2\right\} \leq \kappa} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^n \ln \left\{ \frac{\det(K_{\hat{I}_t})}{\det(K_{I_t})} \right\}^+ \quad (\text{II.52})$$

where the supremum is over $(F_t, G_t, \Gamma_t, D_t, K_{Z_t})$, $t = 1, \dots, n$.

B. Asymptotic Analysis

To address the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} C_n(\kappa, \mathbf{P}_{Y_1})$, under Case 1 and Case 2, we investigate the convergence properties of generalized matrix DREs (II.40), (II.51) and Lyapunov matrix difference equation (II.45) to their limits. Such properties are summarized in [21, Theorem A.1] and [14, Theorem III.2].

We present sufficient conditions for convergence in Corollary II.1, Theorem II.2, Theorem II.3, irrespectively of whether the noise is stable, or unstable.

Corollary II.1. *Consider Case 1 or Case 2*

Let $\Sigma_t, t = 1, 2, \dots$ denote the solution of the matrix DRE (II.51). Let $\Sigma = \Sigma^T \succeq 0$ be a solution of the corresponding ARE

$$\Sigma = A\Sigma A^T + BK_W B^T - (A\Sigma C^T + BK_W N^T) \cdot (NK_W N^T + C\Sigma C^T)^{-1} (A\Sigma C^T + BK_W N^T)^T. \quad (\text{II.53})$$

Define the matrices

$$\begin{aligned} A^* &\triangleq A - BK_W N^T (NK_W N^T)^{-1} C, \quad G \triangleq B, \\ B^* &\triangleq K_W - K_W N^T (NK_W N^T)^{-1} (K_W N^T)^T. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II.54})$$

Suppose (see [22]–[24] for definitions)

$\{A, C\}$ is detectable, and $\{A^, GB^{*, \frac{1}{2}}\}$ is stabilizable. (II.55)*

Any solution $\Sigma_t, t = 1, 2, \dots, n$ to the generalized matrix DRE (II.51) with arbitrary initial condition $\Sigma_1 \succeq 0$, is such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Sigma_n = \Sigma$, where $\Sigma \succeq 0$ is the unique solution of the generalized matrix ARE (II.53) with $\text{spec}(M^{CL}(\Sigma)) \in \mathbb{D}_o$.

Proof. For Case 1, the convergence of $\Sigma_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$, follows from the detectability and stabilizability conditions. For Case 2, the statements of convergence of $\Sigma_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$ hold, due to continuity property of solutions of generalized difference Riccati equations, with respect to its coefficients. \square

Theorem II.2. *Consider Case 1 or Case 2.*

Let $\Pi_t, t = 1, \dots$, denote the solution of the DRE (II.40).

Let $\Pi = \Pi^T \succeq 0$ be a solution of the corresponding ARE

$$\Pi = A\Pi A^T + \mathbf{B}K_{\overline{W}}\mathbf{B}^T - (A\Pi C^T + \mathbf{B}K_{\overline{W}}\mathbf{D}^T) \cdot (\mathbf{D}K_{\overline{W}}\mathbf{D}^T + C\Pi C^T)^{-1} (A\Pi C^T + \mathbf{B}K_{\overline{W}}\mathbf{D}^T)^T. \quad (\text{II.56})$$

Define the matrices [22]–[24]

$$\begin{aligned} A^* &\triangleq A - \mathbf{B}K_{\overline{W}}\mathbf{D}^T (\mathbf{D}K_{\overline{W}}\mathbf{D}^T)^{-1} C, \quad \mathbf{G} \triangleq \mathbf{B}, \\ \mathbf{B}^* &\triangleq K_{\overline{W}} - K_{\overline{W}}\mathbf{D}^T (\mathbf{D}K_{\overline{W}}\mathbf{D}^T)^{-1} (K_{\overline{W}}\mathbf{D}^T)^T. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II.57})$$

Suppose [22]–[24]

$\{A, C\}$ is detectable and $\{A^, \mathbf{G}\mathbf{B}^{*, \frac{1}{2}}\}$ is stabilizable. (II.58)*

Any solution $\Pi_t, t = 1, 2, \dots, n$ to the generalized matrix DRE (II.40) with arbitrary initial condition $\Pi_1 \succeq 0$, is such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pi_n = \Pi$, where $\Pi \succeq 0$ is the unique solution of the generalized matrix ARE (II.56), with $\text{spec}(\mathbf{F}^{CL}(\Pi)) \in \mathbb{D}_o$.

Proof. Similar to Corollary II.1. \square

Theorem II.3 identifies conditions for the average power (II.46) to converge, using $P_t = \text{cov}(\Xi_t, \Xi_t)$, which satisfies (II.45).

Theorem II.3. *Convergence of average power*

Consider the average power of Thm II.1, for Cases 1 or 2. Let $P_t, t = 1, \dots, n$ be a solution of Lyapunov recursion (II.45). Let $P \succeq 0$ be a solution of

$$P = FPF^T + GK_Z G^T. \quad (\text{II.59})$$

Suppose F is an exponentially stable matrix. Any solution $P_t, t = 1, 2, \dots, n$ to the Lyapunov recursion DRE (II.45), with arbitrary initial condition $P_1 \succeq 0$, is such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n = P$, where $P \succeq 0$ is the unique solution of (II.59). Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{E} \left\{ \sum_{t=1}^n \|X_t\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n_x}}^2 \right\} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \text{tr}(\Gamma P_t \Gamma^T + DK_Z D^T) \\ &= \text{tr}(\Gamma P \Gamma^T + DK_Z D^T), \quad \forall P_1 \succeq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II.60})$$

Proof. For Case 1, these are known [24]. For Case 2, the statements are due to continuity property of solutions of Lyapunov equations, with respect to their coefficients. \square

C. Asymptotic Characterizations of Nonfeedback Capacity

Theorem II.4. *Characterization of $C^\infty(\kappa, \mathbf{P}_{Y_1})$ for Case 1*

Consider the time-invariant noise and channel input strategies of Case 1, i.e., (I.26) and (I.27) hold.

Define the per unit time limit and supremum by²

$$C^\infty(\kappa, \mathbf{P}_{Y_1}) \triangleq \sup_{\mathcal{P}_\infty(\kappa)} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{t=1}^n \ln \left\{ \frac{\det(\mathbf{C}\Pi_t \mathbf{C}^T + \mathbf{D}K_{\overline{W}}\mathbf{D}^T)}{\det(\mathbf{C}\Sigma_t \mathbf{C}^T + NK_W N^T)} \right\}^+ \quad (\text{II.61})$$

where the average power constraint is defined by

$$\mathcal{P}_\infty(\kappa) \triangleq \left\{ (F, G, \Gamma, D, K_Z) \in \mathcal{P}^\infty \right\} \quad (\text{II.62})$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \text{tr}(\Gamma P_t \Gamma^T + DK_Z D^T) \leq \kappa, \quad (\text{II.63})$$

$$\mathcal{P}^\infty \triangleq \left\{ (F, G, \Gamma, D, K_Z), \text{ such that the following hold} \right.$$

(i) *the detectability and stabilizability of (II.55), (II.64)*

(ii) *the detectability and stabilizability of (II.58) (II.65)*

(iii) *F is exponentially stable $\left. \right\}$. (II.66)*

Then, $C^\infty(\kappa, \mathbf{P}_{Y_1})$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} C^\infty(\kappa, \mathbf{P}_{Y_1}) &= \sup_{\mathcal{P}^\infty(\kappa)} \frac{1}{2} \ln \left\{ \frac{\det(\mathbf{C}\Pi \mathbf{C}^T + \mathbf{D}K_{\overline{W}}\mathbf{D}^T)}{\det(\mathbf{C}\Sigma \mathbf{C}^T + NK_W N^T)} \right\}^+ \\ &\triangleq C^\infty(\kappa), \quad \forall \mathbf{P}_{Y_1} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II.67})$$

where $\mathcal{P}^\infty(\kappa)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}^\infty(\kappa) &\triangleq \left\{ (F, G, \Gamma, D, K_Z) \in \mathcal{P}^\infty \right. \\ &\quad \left. K_Z \succeq 0, \quad \text{tr}(\Gamma P \Gamma^T + DK_Z D^T) \leq \kappa \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II.68})$$

and $\Sigma \succeq 0$ and $\Pi \succeq 0$ are the unique and stabilizable solutions, i.e., $\text{spec}(M^{CL}(\Sigma)) \in \mathbb{D}_o$ and $\text{spec}(\mathbf{F}^{CL}(\Pi)) \in \mathbb{D}_o$ of the

²If at any time t , the information $H(Y_t|Y^{t-1}) - H(V_t|V^{t-1}) = +\infty$ then it is removed, as it is usually the case [25]. \square

generalized matrix AREs (II.53) and (II.56) respectively, $P \succeq 0$ is the unique solution of the matrix Lyapunov equation (II.59), provided there exists $\kappa \in [0, \infty)$, such that $\mathcal{P}^\infty(\kappa)$ is non-empty. Moreover, the optimal $(F, G, \Gamma, D, K_Z) \in \mathcal{P}^\infty(\kappa)$, is such that, (i) if the noise is stable, then the input and the output processes $(X_t, Y_t), t = 1, \dots$ are asymptotic stationary and (ii) if the noise is unstable, then the input and the innovations processes $(X_t, I_t), t = 1, \dots$ are asymptotic stationary.

Proof. By the definition of the set \mathcal{P}^∞ , then Corollary II.1, Theorem II.2 and Theorem II.3 hold. Hence, the following summands converge in $[0, \infty)$ uniformly, $\forall \mathbf{P}_{Y_1}$:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \text{tr}(\Gamma P_t \Gamma^T + D K_Z D^T) = \text{tr}(\Gamma P \Gamma^T + D K_Z D^T), \quad (\text{II.69})$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2n} \left\{ \sum_{t=1}^n \ln \left(\frac{\det(\mathbf{C}_t \Pi_t \mathbf{C}_t^T + \mathbf{D}_t K_{\bar{W}_t} \mathbf{D}_t^T)}{\det(\mathbf{C}_t \Sigma_t \mathbf{C}_t^T + N_t K_{W_t} N_t^T)} \right) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{\det(\mathbf{C} \Pi \mathbf{C}^T + \mathbf{D} K_{\bar{W}} \mathbf{D}^T)}{\det(\mathbf{C} \Sigma \mathbf{C}^T + N K_W N^T)} \right), \quad \forall \mathbf{P}_{Y_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II.70})$$

The last part of the theorem follows from the asymptotic properties of the Kalman-filter, as follows. For (i). $\Xi_t, t = 1, \dots$ is asymptotically stationary, which implies $X_t = \Gamma \Xi_t + D Z_t, X_1 = \Gamma \Xi_1 + D Z_1, t = 2, \dots, n, Z_t \in G(0, K_Z), K_Z \succeq 0, I_t, t = 1, \dots$ and $Y_t = H X_t + V_t, t = 1, \dots$ are asymptotically stationary. Similarly for (ii), with the exception that $Y_t = H X_t + V_t, t = 1, \dots$ is not asymptotically stationary, because $V_t, t = 1, \dots$ is unstable. \square

Next, we show that Theorem II.4 remains valid for Case 2.

Corollary II.2. *Characterization of $C^\infty(\kappa, \mathbf{P}_{Y_1})$ for Case 2*

Consider the asymptotically time-invariant noise and channel input strategies of Case 2, i.e., (I.28) and (I.29) hold.

Define the per unit time limit and supremum by

$$C^{\infty,+}(\kappa, \mathbf{P}_{Y_1}) \triangleq \sup_{\mathcal{P}_\infty^+(\kappa)} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2n} \left\{ \sum_{t=1}^n \ln \left\{ \frac{\det(\mathbf{C}_t \Pi_t \mathbf{C}_t^T + \mathbf{D}_t K_{\bar{W}_t} \mathbf{D}_t^T)}{\det(\mathbf{C}_t \Sigma_t \mathbf{C}_t^T + N_t K_{W_t} N_t^T)} \right\}^+ \right\} \quad (\text{II.71})$$

$$\mathcal{P}_\infty^+(\kappa) \triangleq \left\{ \{(F_n, G_n, \Gamma_n, D_n, K_{Z_n}) | n = 1, 2, \dots\} \in \mathcal{P}_\infty^+ \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \text{tr}(\Gamma_t P_t \Gamma_t^T + D_t K_{Z_t} D_t^T) \leq \kappa \right\}, \quad (\text{II.72})$$

$$\mathcal{P}_\infty^+ \triangleq \left\{ \{(F_n, G_n, \Gamma_n, D_n, K_{Z_n}) | n = 1, 2, \dots\} \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (F_n, G_n, \Gamma_n, D_n, K_{Z_n}) = (F, G, \Gamma, D, K_Z) \in \mathcal{P}^\infty \right\}. \quad (\text{II.73})$$

Then,

$$C^{\infty,+}(\kappa, \mathbf{P}_{Y_1}) = C^\infty(\kappa, \mathbf{P}_{Y_1}) = C^\infty(\kappa) = (\text{II.67}), \quad \forall \mathbf{P}_{Y_1}. \quad (\text{II.74})$$

and the statements of Theorem II.4.(i), (ii), remain valid.

Proof. The solutions of the DREs and the Lyapunov equation are, $\Sigma_{n+1} = \Sigma_{n+1}(\Sigma_n, A_n, B_n, C_n, N_n, K_{W_n}), \Pi_{n+1} = \Pi_{n+1}(\Pi_n, \Sigma_n, A_n, B_n, C_n, D_n, K_{\bar{W}_n}), P_{n+1} = P_{n+1}(P_n, F_n, G_n, \Gamma_n, D_n, K_{Z_n}), n = 1, 2, \dots$ and these are

continuous with respect to their coefficients. Moreover, for all elements of the set \mathcal{P}^∞ , by (I.28), then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \text{tr}(\Gamma_t P_t \Gamma_t^T + D_t K_{Z_t} D_t^T) = \text{tr}(\Gamma P \Gamma^T + D K_Z D^T), \quad \forall \mathbf{P}_{Y_1}, \quad (\text{II.75})$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{t=1}^n \ln \left\{ \frac{\det(\mathbf{C}_t \Pi_t \mathbf{C}_t^T + \mathbf{D}_t K_{\bar{W}_t} \mathbf{D}_t^T)}{\det(\mathbf{C}_t \Sigma_t \mathbf{C}_t^T + N_t K_{W_t} N_t^T)} \right\}^+ \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{\det(\mathbf{C} \Pi \mathbf{C}^T + \mathbf{D} K_{\bar{W}} \mathbf{D}^T)}{\det(\mathbf{C} \Sigma \mathbf{C}^T + N K_W N^T)} \right), \quad \forall \mathbf{P}_{Y_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II.76})$$

The rest follows by repeating the proof of Theorem II.4. \square

Identity $C^o(\kappa, \mathbf{P}_{Y_1}) = C^\infty(\kappa, \mathbf{P}_{Y_1}) = C^\infty(\kappa), \forall \mathbf{P}_{Y_1}$ for Case 2, follows from the uniform convergence of Theorem II.4 and Corollary II.2; the derivation is omitted due to space limitation.

Theorem II.5. *Characterization of $C^o(\kappa, \mathbf{P}_{Y_1})$ for Case 2*

Consider the asymptotically time-invariant noise and channel input strategies of Case 2, i.e., (I.28) and (I.29) hold.

Define the per unit time limit and supremum by

$$C^o(\kappa, \mathbf{P}_{Y_1}) \triangleq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\mathcal{P}_\infty^{o,+}(\kappa)} \frac{1}{2n} \left\{ \sum_{t=1}^n \ln \left\{ \frac{\det(\mathbf{C}_t \Pi_t \mathbf{C}_t^T + \mathbf{D}_t K_{\bar{W}_t} \mathbf{D}_t^T)}{\det(\mathbf{C}_t \Sigma_t \mathbf{C}_t^T + N_t K_{W_t} N_t^T)} \right\}^+ \right\} \quad (\text{II.77})$$

$$\mathcal{P}_\infty^{o,+}(\kappa) \triangleq \left\{ \{(F_n, G_n, \Gamma_n, D_n, K_{Z_n}) | n = 1, 2, \dots\} \in \mathcal{P}_\infty^+ \mid \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \text{tr}(\Gamma_t P_t \Gamma_t^T + D_t K_{Z_t} D_t^T) \leq \kappa \right\}. \quad (\text{II.78})$$

Then,

$$C^o(\kappa, \mathbf{P}_{Y_1}) = C^\infty(\kappa, \mathbf{P}_{Y_1}) = C^\infty(\kappa) = (\text{II.67}), \quad \forall \mathbf{P}_{Y_1} \quad (\text{II.79})$$

and the statements of Theorem II.4.(1), (ii), remain valid.

Proof. The derivation uses the uniform limits (II.75) and (II.76), Theorem II.4 and Corollary II.2. \square

Remark II.1. *If the stabilizability condition is replaced by the unit circle controllability (see [22] for definition and [14]–[17] for specific examples), then Theorem II.4 and Theorem II.5 remain valid with the fundamental difference that all limits are not uniform for all \mathbf{P}_{Y_1} . For such a relaxation, the limits depend on $\mathbf{P}_{Y_1}, \Sigma_1, P_1, \Pi_1$ and the asymptotic optimization problem $C^\infty(\kappa)$ may not be convex. Specific examples are found in [16].*

III. CONCLUSION

This paper presents new asymptotic characterizations of non-feedback capacity of MIMO additive Gaussian noise (AGN) channels, when the noise is nonstationary and unstable. The asymptotic characterizations of nonfeedback capacity, involve two generalized matrix algebraic Riccati equations (AREs) of filtering theory and a Lyapunov matrix equation of stability theory of Gaussian systems. Identified, are conditions for uniform convergence of the asymptotic limits, which imply that the nonfeedback capacity is independent of the initial states.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. T. Gallager, *Information Theory and Reliable Communication*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1968.
- [2] S. Ihara, *Information theory for Continuous Systems*. World Scientific, 1993.
- [3] T. M. Cover and J. A. Thomas, *Elements of Information Theory*, 2nd ed. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey, 2006.
- [4] R. W. Yeung, *Information Theory and Network Coding*, 1st ed. Springer Publishing Company, Incorporated, 2008.
- [5] B. S. Tsybakov, "A different approach to finding the capacity of a Gaussian vector channel," *Problems of Information Transmission*, vol. 42, no. 3.
- [6] L. H. Brandenburg and A. D. Wyner, "Capacity of the Gaussian channel with memory: The multivariate case," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 53, no. 5, pp. 745–778, May 1974.
- [7] J. Gutierrez-Gutierrez and P. M. Crespo, "Asymptotically equivalent sequences of matrices and Hermitian block Toeplitz matrices with continuous symbols: Applications to MIMO systems," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 54, no. 5, pp. 5671–5680, December 2008.
- [8] J. Gutierrez-Gutierrez, P. M. Crespo, M. Zarraga-Rodriguez, and B. O. Hogstad, "Asymptotically equivalent sequences of matrices and capacity of a discrete-time Gaussian MIMO channel with memory," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 9, no. 63, pp. 6000–6003, September 2017.
- [9] W. Hirt and J. L. Massey, "Capacity of the discrete-time Gaussian channel with intersymbol interference," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 56, no. 34, pp. 380–388, May 1998.
- [10] K. Yanaki, "Necessary and sufficient conditions for the capacity of the discrete-time Gaussian channel to be increased by feedback," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 38, pp. 1788–1791, May 1992.
- [11] —, "An upper bound on the discrete-time Gaussian channel with feedback-II," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 40, pp. 588–593, May 1994.
- [12] H. W. Chen and K. Yanaki, "Refinements of the half-bit and factor-of-two bounds for capacity in Gaussian channels with feedback," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 45, pp. 316–325, January 1999.
- [13] C. Kourtellis, C. D. Charalambous, and S. Loyka, "From feedback capacity to tight achievable bounds without feedback for AGN channels with stable and unstable autoregressive noise," in *IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT)*, July 21–26 2020, pp. 2091–2096.
- [14] C. D. Charalambous, C. Kourtellis, and S. Louka, "New formulas of feedback capacity for AGN channels with memory: A time-domain sufficient statistic approach," submitted for publication, August 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.06226>
- [15] C. D. Charalambous, C. Kourtellis, and S. Loyka, "Time-invariant feedback strategies do not increase capacity of AGN channels driven by stable and certain unstable autoregressive noise," 13 August 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.10991v3>
- [16] S. Louka, C. Kourtellis, and C. D. Charalambous, "Qualitative analysis of feedback capacity of AGN channels driven by unstable versus stable autoregressive moving average noise," in *2021 IEEE Information Theory Workshop (ITW)*, Kanazawa, Japan, October 17–21 2021.
- [17] C. Kourtellis, C. D. Charalambous, and S. Loyka, "New formulas of ergodic feedback capacity of AGN channels driven by stable and unstable autoregressive noise," in *IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT)*, July 21–26 2020, pp. 2073–2078.
- [18] T. Cover and S. Pombra, "Gaussian feedback capacity," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 37–43, Jan. 1989.
- [19] C. D. Charalambous, C. Kourtellis, and S. Louka, "Sequential characterizations of Cover and Pombra Gaussian feedback capacity: Generalizations to MIMO channels via sufficient statistic," in *2021 IEEE Information Theory Workshop (ITW)*, Kanazawa, Japan, October 17–21 2021.
- [20] C. Kourtellis and C. D. Charalambous, "Information structures of capacity achieving distributions for feedback channels with memory and transmission cost: Stochastic optimal control & variational equalities," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 64, no. 7, pp. 4962–4992, July 2018.
- [21] C. D. Charalambous, C. Kourtellis, and S. Loyka, "Capacity achieving distributions and separation principle for feedback Gaussian channels with memory: The LQG theory of directed information," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 64, no. 9, pp. 6384–6418, September 2018.
- [22] T. Kailath, A. Sayed, and B. Hassibi, *Linear Estimation*. Prentice Hall, 2000.
- [23] P. E. Caines, *Linear Stochastic Systems*, ser. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1988.
- [24] J. H. van Schuppen, *Control and System Theory of Discrete-Time Stochastic Systems*. Springer, 2021.
- [25] M. Pinsker, *Information and Information Stability of Random Variables and Processes*. Holden-Day Inc, San Francisco, 1964, translated by Amiel Feinstein.