

A review of the Separation Theorem of Chebyshev-Markov-Stieltjes for polynomial and some rational Krylov subspaces

Tobias Jawecki

Institute for Theoretical Physics, Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria

tobias.jawecki@tuwien.ac.at

December 20, 2022

Abstract

The accumulated quadrature weights of Gaussian quadrature formulae constitute bounds on the integral over the intervals between the quadrature nodes. Classical results in this concern date back to works of Chebyshev, Markov and Stieltjes and are referred to as Separation Theorem of Chebyshev-Markov-Stieltjes (CMS Theorem). Similar separation theorems hold true for some classes of rational Gaussian quadrature. The Krylov subspace for a given matrix and initial vector is closely related to orthogonal polynomials associated with the spectral distribution of the initial vector in the eigenbasis of the given matrix, and Gaussian quadrature for the Riemann-Stieltjes integral associated with this spectral distribution. Similar relations hold true for rational Krylov subspaces. In the present work, separation theorems are reviewed in the context of Krylov subspaces including rational Krylov subspaces with a single complex pole of higher multiplicity and some extended Krylov subspaces. For rational Gaussian quadrature related to some classes of rational Krylov subspaces with a single pole, the underlying separation theorems are newly introduced here.

Keywords: Separation Theorem of Chebyshev-Markov-Stieltjes, intertwining property, Gaussian quadrature, rational Gaussian quadrature, Krylov subspace techniques
2020 MSC: 15A23 15A42 15B57 26A42 42C05 65D32

Contents

1. Introduction and historical context	2
1.1. Historical context and previous works	2
1.2. Applications	6
1.3. Main contributions and overview of present work	8
2. Krylov subspace techniques and orthogonal polynomials	10
2.1. Some remarks on the Shift-and-Invert (SaI) Krylov subspace	18
3. A review on quasi-orthogonal polynomials	21
3.1. Krylov methods and quasi-orthogonal polynomials	25
3.2. Rational Krylov methods and the theory of quasi-orthogonal polynomials	28
4. The CMS Theorem for polynomial and some rational Krylov subspaces	34
4.1. Gaussian quadrature formulae and Krylov subspaces. Historical context .	34
4.2. The CMS Theorem for the polynomial case	39
4.3. The rational case with a single pole $s \in \mathbb{R}$ of higher multiplicity	45
4.4. The rational case with a single pole $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ of higher multiplicity	54
4.5. Results for an extended Krylov subspace	57
5. Numerical illustrations	60
A. Some properties of Krylov subspaces	67
B. Auxiliary functions for the CMS Theorem	70

1. Introduction and historical context

In the present work we consider an Hermitian matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and a given vector $u \in \mathbb{C}^n$. The coefficients of u in the orthonormal eigenbasis of A are referred to as spectral coefficients, see (2.3) below. These coefficients rely on an underlying inner product on \mathbb{C}^n which is specified in (2.2), and denoted as M-inner product in the sequel. Furthermore, Krylov subspaces in the sequel also rely on the M-inner product.

1.1. Historical context and previous works

For a polynomial or rational Krylov subspace of a matrix A with starting vector u , the spectral coefficients of u play a crucial role: The linear functional $f \mapsto (u, f(A)u)_M$ can be understood as a Riemann-Stieltjes integral associated with a non-decreasing step function α_n . This step function is defined by the eigenvalues of A and the spectral coefficients of u , and many results concerning the theory of polynomial Krylov subspaces

1. Introduction and historical context

have their origin in the theory of orthogonal polynomials, namely, polynomials on the real axis which are orthogonal w.r.t. the Riemann-Stieltjes integral associated with α_n ; see also [GM10] for a survey. We also refer to these polynomials as orthogonal polynomials associated with the distribution $d\alpha_n$. In a similar manner, orthogonal rational functions describe rational Krylov subspaces.

For the polynomial case, the Lanczos method [Lan50] is used in practice to generate an M -orthonormal basis of the Krylov subspace and the associated Jacobi matrix, which corresponds to the representation of A in the respective Krylov subspace, see also [Saa11]. The respective M -orthonormal basis vectors satisfy a three-term recursion which conforms to the three-term recursion of the underlying orthogonal polynomials associated with $d\alpha_n$; the Krylov basis and the orthogonal polynomials exist in an equivalent manner.

The Jacobi matrix associated with orthogonal polynomials for a given distribution plays a crucial role for Gaussian quadrature formulae for the respective Riemann-Stieltjes integral, which also goes by the name Gauss-Christoffel quadrature, cf. [Gau81]. For the Gauss-Christoffel quadrature formula with m quadrature nodes which integrates polynomials of degree $\leq 2m - 1$ exactly, the quadrature nodes are given by the zeros of the $(m + 1)$ -th orthogonal polynomial and the quadrature weights are given by so called Christoffel numbers. Early works on quadrature formulae [Wil62, GW69] (historical remarks in [Gau81] also refer to earlier works of Goertzel) show that these quadrature nodes and weights can be computed via the Jacobi matrix; the zeros of the $(m + 1)$ -th orthogonal polynomial coincide with eigenvalues of the respective Jacobi matrix, and the Christoffel numbers correspond to entries of its eigenvectors. In these works, the underlying distribution is not necessarily based on a matrix-vector pair as it is the case when considering a polynomial Krylov subspace; a reference to the Krylov setting is made later in [FF94, FH93] and also discussed in detail (including historical remarks) in [GM10, LS13]. In this context, the eigenvalues of the Jacobi matrix are also referred to as Ritz values, and m denotes the dimension of the Krylov subspace. Furthermore, the Christoffel numbers, which are given by entries of the eigenvectors of the Jacobi matrix, can be written as spectral coefficients of a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$. In particular, the vector x corresponds to the representation of the starting vector u in the Krylov subspace, i.e., the first unit vector scaled by the norm of u . Here, the spectral coefficients of $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ denote its coefficients in the ℓ^2 -orthonormal¹ eigenbasis of J_m .

The Separation Theorem of Chebyshev-Markov-Stieltjes (CMS Theorem) states that accumulated quadrature weights of a Gaussian quadrature formula (i.e., the accumulated Christoffel numbers) are bounded by Riemann-Stieltjes integrals over the interval between the left integral limit and the quadrature nodes. For details and historical remarks see [Sze85, Akh65, VA93]. In an equivalent manner, this statement can be formulated in a Krylov setting: The accumulated entries of eigenvectors of the Jacobi

¹The notation ‘ ℓ^2 -orthonormal’ refers to a basis orthonormal w.r.t. the Euclidean inner product.

1. Introduction and historical context

matrix (spectral coefficients of x) are bounded by Riemann-Stieltjes integrals associated with α_n over the interval between the left-most eigenvalue of A and the Ritz values. The step function α_n corresponds to accumulated spectral coefficients of u , and as a corollary, accumulated spectral coefficients of x yield bounds on sums of spectral coefficients of u and vice versa. Analogously, this statement can be formulated as an intertwining property of the distribution $d\alpha_n$ and a distribution $d\alpha_m$ associated with the step function α_m which is defined by Ritz values and spectral coefficients of x : Similar to $f \mapsto (u, f(A)u)_M$ and α_n , the functional $f \mapsto (x, f(J_m)x)_2$ can be understood as a Riemann-Stieltjes integral associated with the step function α_m . The underlying Gaussian quadrature formula implies $(u, p(A)u)_M = (x, p(J_m)x)_2$ for polynomials p of degree $\leq 2m - 1$, and therefore, the distributions $d\alpha_n$ and $d\alpha_m$ have the same moments up to degree $2m - 1$.

For distributions with the same moments, an intertwining property is stated in [KS53, Theorem 22.2], see also [Fis96, Theorem 2.2.5] and [LS13, Theorem 3.3.4]. Indeed, this intertwining property coincides with the result of the CMS Theorem. In the context of Krylov subspaces, the intertwining property of the distributions $d\alpha_n$ and $d\alpha_m$ already appeared earlier in [FF94, Fis96, LS13]. For further remarks (including many historical remarks) on the moment problem we particularly refer to [LS13]. The identity $(u, p(A)u)_M = (x, p(J_m)x)_2$ above corresponds to quadrature properties, and results from well-known identities for polynomials in the Krylov subspace in an equivalent manner; $p(A)u = V_m p(J_m)x$ for polynomials p of degree $\leq m - 1$ and $p(A)u - V_m p(J_m)x \perp_M V_m$ for polynomials p of degree m where $V_m \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ denotes the M -orthonormal Krylov basis written in matrix form.

The related theory in [Sze85, Akh65] applies in a slightly more general setting, namely, for Gaussian quadrature formulae which integrate polynomials of degree $\leq 2m - 2$ exactly (where m is the number of quadrature nodes). This includes Gauss-Radau quadrature formulae where one of the m quadrature nodes is preassigned. The quadrature nodes and weights of Gauss-Radau quadrature formulae can be represented by the zeros of a so-called quasi-orthogonal polynomial and the Christoffel numbers associated with this polynomial, respectively. Similar to the Jacobi matrix, the recursion of the underlying set of polynomials constitutes a tridiagonal structure in matrix form, and the respective quadrature nodes and weights correspond to the eigenvalues and entries of eigenvectors, respectively, of this tridiagonal matrix. This relation between Gauss-Radau quadrature formulae and the eigendecomposition of this Jacobi-like tridiagonal matrix goes back to [Gol73] and is reviewed in detail in [GM10, Section 6.2].

In the present work we also consider rational Krylov subspaces, namely, subspaces spanned by $\{r(A)u\}$ where $r = p/q$ for polynomials p of degree $\leq m - 1$ and a preassigned denominator polynomial q of degree $\leq m - 1$ (here, p and q are complex polynomials and m again denotes the dimension of the Krylov subspace). For early works on rational Krylov subspaces we refer to [ER80, Ruh84], and for a review we refer to [Güt10]. The zeros of the denominator q are also referred to as poles in this context. Rational Krylov

1. Introduction and historical context

techniques using a single pole of multiplicity $m - 1$ yield the most prominent cases, the resulting rational Krylov subspaces are also referred to as *Shift-and-Invert (SaI)* Krylov subspaces.

The rational Krylov subspace with preassigned denominator polynomial q and starting vector u is identical to the polynomial Krylov subspace with starting vector $q(A)^{-1}u$. The respective orthogonal polynomials (particularly, orthogonal polynomials associated with a scaled distribution $d\hat{\alpha}_n$) divided by the denominator polynomial q yield rational functions which are orthogonal w.r.t. the Riemann-Stieltjes integral associated with α_n (as given previously), cf. [DB07]. These orthogonal rational functions, evaluated at A as a matrix function and applied to u , provide an M -orthonormal basis of the rational Krylov subspace. Furthermore, results regarding Gaussian quadrature formulae carry over to the rational setting: The orthogonal rational functions which span the rational Krylov subspace of dimension m with a preassigned denominator q constitute a rational quadrature formula for the Riemann-Stieltjes integral associated with α_n , which integrates rational functions $r = p/|q|^2$ exactly for polynomials p of degree $\leq 2m - 1$. For an overview on rational Gaussian quadrature see also [Gau93], and for the relation between rational Krylov subspaces and rational Gaussian quadrature we also refer to [LLRW08, Dec09, JR11].

The relation between a rational Krylov subspace with denominator q and starting vector u , and the polynomial Krylov subspace with starting vector $q(A)^{-1}u$ is more of a theoretical nature. In practice, various algorithms, covering different settings, are relevant to construct a rational Krylov subspace, and result in different sequences of M -orthonormal basis vectors of this subspace. To keep our results general, we do not restrict ourselves to a specific algorithm or an underlying recursion for the basis vectors in that concern. Assuming an M -orthonormal basis of a rational Krylov subspace is given, we refer to the representation of A in this basis as *Rayleigh quotient* $A_m \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$. Furthermore, we reuse the notation $x \in \mathbb{C}^m$ for the representation of u in the given basis. As stated above, a rational Krylov subspace is closely related to orthogonal rational functions which constitute a rational Gaussian quadrature formula. In particular, the quadrature nodes and weights for this rational Gaussian quadrature formula correspond to the eigenvalues of the Rayleigh quotient A_m and the spectral coefficients of x , respectively. We remark that the eigenvalues of A_m (also referred to as rational Ritz values, which are real due to A_m being Hermitian) and the spectral coefficients of x , which refer to the coefficients of x in the ℓ^2 -orthonormal eigenbasis of A_m , are independent of the choice of the basis. Furthermore, the respective quadrature formula conforms to the identity $(u, r(A)u)_M = (x, r(A_m)x)_2$ for rational functions $r = p/|q|^2$ as above.

Similar to the polynomial case, the functional $f \mapsto (x, f(A_m)x)_2$ can be understood as a Riemann-Stieltjes integral associated with α_m , which is now defined by eigenvalues of A_m and the spectral coefficients of x . The rational quadrature properties imply that $d\alpha_n$ and $d\alpha_m$ have $2m - 1$ identical rational moments.

For rational Gaussian quadrature formulae, CMS type results depend on the choice

1. Introduction and historical context

of the denominator, and do not seem to be as popular as for the polynomial case. In [Li98] a separation theorem is given for a class of Laurent polynomials and an integral defined on the positive real axis. Here, Laurent polynomials correspond to rational functions with denominator $q(\lambda) = \lambda^{m/2}$ for even m . In a Krylov setting, this class of rational functions is related to some extended Krylov subspaces [DK98] for a matrix A with positive eigenvalues (i.e., the step function α_n is defined on the positive real axis). However, the results of [Li98] have not been applied in a Krylov setting yet.

More recently, [ZTK19] computes piecewise estimates on α_n based on a Shift-and-Invert Krylov subspace with a pole of multiplicity $m - 1$ at zero (i.e., $q(\lambda) = \lambda^{m-1}$), for a matrix A with positive eigenvalues. In this work, a Shift-and-Invert representation is used instead of the Rayleigh quotient (see also [Güt10, Subsection 5.4.3]). The given estimates are based on an intertwining property of $d\alpha_n$ and a distribution given by spectral properties of the Shift-and-Invert representation; the intertwining property goes back to the polynomial case, referring to [KS53, Theorem 22.2].

In the present work, we also consider Krylov techniques related to rational Gauss-Radau quadrature formulae. These quadrature formulae integrate rational function $r = p/|q|^2$ exactly, where p is a polynomial of degree $\leq 2m - 2$, q is the given denominator, and one of the m quadrature nodes is preassigned, see also [LLRW08, JR13]. For rational Gauss-Radau quadrature formulae in a more general setting see also [Gau04, DBVD10, DB12]. Analogously to the Gauss-Radau quadrature formulae in the polynomial case, this slightly generalizes the previously discussed rational quadrature properties but can be treated similarly concerning the intertwining properties of the underlying distributions $d\alpha_n$ and $d\alpha_m$.

1.2. Applications

Computable estimates on spectral coefficients of u . A direct computation of eigenvalues and spectral coefficients requires access to the eigenbasis of the given matrix A which is not practical for problems of a large problem size n in general; typically, the full spectrum of A is not available. However, information on partly accumulated spectral coefficients, namely, the step function α_n on subsets of the spectrum of A , can be sufficient for some applications. CMS type results provide suitable estimates for this purpose, which can be evaluated using Krylov techniques. In particular, this yields piecewise estimates on α_n covering the full spectrum of A . These estimates hold true independently of the convergence of individual (rational) Ritz values. However, more detailed information is provided for parts of the spectrum which are well resolved by (rational) Ritz values. We proceed to give some applications based on estimates on α_n .

The eigenvalues of A together with the spectral coefficients of u have some relevance for the approximation of the action of a matrix function $f(A)u$, e.g., the matrix exponential function or the matrix inverse. Polynomial Krylov methods yield good approximations on matrix functions without any a priori information on the spectrum of A . However,

1. Introduction and historical context

further knowledge on the spectrum of A can help to improve the quality of the approximation (here we also refer to the introduction of [FH93]). In [FF94], piecewise estimates on α_n are applied to construct a polynomial preconditioner for the conjugate gradient method. This approach is based on the intertwining property of the distributions $d\alpha_n$ and $d\alpha_m$, where the latter is computed using a small number of Lanczos iterations in the progress (thus, α_m is based on a polynomial Krylov subspace here).

In [HPS09], the authors consider iterative bidiagonalization methods to solve ill-posed linear systems. In this work, effects of a noisy right-hand side on the projected problem are discussed. The ill-posed problems therein are associated with an underlying distribution (similar to $d\alpha_n$ given previously in the present introduction), and due to problem assumptions and noise on the initial data this distribution is of a special structure which carries over to the projected problem. This process is closely related to the intertwining property of the distributions $d\alpha_n$ and $d\alpha_m$ in the Lanczos case, and results in criteria to detect the noise level on the run, as introduced in [HPS09].

In [ZTK19], an inhomogeneous differential equation, arising in applications of dynamic analysis of structure, is diagonalized using eigenvectors of a large matrix. This requires computation of a moderate number of eigenvectors, namely, eigenvectors such that the external force vector is resolved with sufficient accuracy. The spectral decomposition of this vector is associated with a distribution $d\alpha_n$, and estimates on this distribution allow to determine intervals which cover eigenvalues corresponding to the required eigenvectors. In [ZTK19], estimates on α_n are based on a Shift-and-Invert Lanczos method, and yield a pole selection strategy and stopping criteria for an eigenproblem solver based on rational Krylov methods.

In future works, estimates on α_n will be applied to design special rational approximations to the action of the exponential of skew-Hermitian matrices.

The structure of α_n roughly carries over to α_m . In [Jaw22], the authors consider a localized best approximation property of rational Krylov approximants to the action of a matrix exponential. In particular, we consider the exponential of a skew-Hermitian matrix applied to a vector which is subject to some assumptions. Namely, strict increases of α_n are, up to a small perturbation, located in an interval. For some rational Krylov subspaces we illustrate that such properties carry over to the associated step function α_m . These ideas are based on theoretical results derived in the present work; and in [Jaw22] this approach motivates a localized best approximation result which can show a mesh-independent convergence (in a setting where the matrix exponential arises from a spatial discretization of a PDE (evolution equation)). In contrast to previously mentioned applications, computable estimates on α_n are not topical for [Jaw22].

Other applications. Apart from the Krylov setting, the CMS Theorem has applications in various fields, e.g., for a work on discretization of quantum systems see [Rei79].

1. Introduction and historical context

Furthermore, bounds on distribution functions have some importance in probability theory and statistics; and various bounds are referenced to Chebyshev, Markov, Stieltjes and others. This includes variants of the CMS Theorem formulated in terms of moments, e.g., [Zel54] or more recently [Hür15]. Moment-matching methods also appear in the context of system theory [Ant05].

Krylov methods also have applications in the approximation to bilinear forms $(u, f(A)u)_M$, where f is a given function, see also [LLRW08, GM10, JR11, JR13]. Due to the relation between $(u, f(A)u)_M$ and a Riemann-Stieltjes integral associated with α_n , estimates on this bilinear form are directly related to quadrature formulae. However, these applications will not be further discussed in the present work.

1.3. Main contributions and overview of present work

We proceed to highlight the main contributions of the present work, including results or remarks which are considered to be new by the author.

- We introduce a new CMS type result for a class of rational Gaussian quadrature formulae, namely, quadrature formulae based on rational functions with a single real pole of higher multiplicity, see Theorem 4.11 in Subsection 4.3. To prove this result, we introduce rational majorants and minorants on Heaviside type functions in Proposition 4.12. In a Krylov setting, this theorem applies to the SaI Krylov subspace with a real shift. Our results include the case that the shift is located in the contour of the matrix spectrum; we consider a more general setting compared to [ZTK19]. An intertwining property of the distributions $d\alpha_n$ and $d\alpha_m$ holds true up to a constant, see Proposition 4.13.
- For the setting of rational functions with a single complex pole of higher multiplicity, we introduce a new CMS type result which yields an upper bound on the Riemann-Stieltjes integral over the interval between neighboring quadrature nodes and at the boundary, see Proposition 4.19 in Subsection 4.4. This result applies to the SaI Krylov subspace with a single *complex* shift of higher multiplicity. To prove this upper bound, we make use of polynomial majorants on Heaviside type functions on the unit circle given in [Gol02]. Furthermore, we propose the use of an isometric Arnoldi method to compute the Rayleigh quotient of the SaI Krylov subspace with complex shift in a cost efficient way (comparable to the Lanczos method which applies when the shift is real), see Remark 2.7.
- Applying a CMS type result given in [Li98], we present an intertwining property for $d\alpha_n$ and $d\alpha_m$ in the setting of an extended Krylov subspace in Subsection 4.5.

Recalling results of [GM10] and others, we also apply the theory of quasi-orthogonal polynomials in a polynomial Krylov setting. This results in an Arnoldi-like decomposition where the residual is provided by a quasi-orthogonal polynomial; we refer to the

1. Introduction and historical context

respective representation as a *quasi-orthogonal residual (qor-)* Krylov representation for which one of the eigenvalues can be preassigned.

- The CMS Theorem is known to apply to Gauss-Radau quadrature formulae. In the present work, we specify these results in a Krylov setting; results in Section 4 for the polynomial case include the qor-Krylov setting, e.g., the intertwining property of $d\alpha_n$ and $d\alpha_m$ holds true when α_m is based on the qor-Krylov representation. This potentially leads to refined estimates on α_n in practice.
- Furthermore, we introduce a qor-Krylov approximation to the action of matrix functions in Subsection 3.1, comparable to the corrected Krylov scheme for the matrix exponential function given in [Saa92].

Various results for the polynomial case carry over to the rational case, and we introduce a rational qor-Krylov representation where one of the eigenvalues is preassigned, similar to [LLRW08, JR13].

- For the rational case, we introduce an efficient procedure to compute a rational qor-Krylov representation in Subsection 3.2.
- The CMS type result given in Subsection 4.3 and further estimates in Subsection 4.4 include the rational qor-Krylov case. Considering these CMS theorems, for some cases bounds on quadrature weights related to quadrature nodes at the right boundary (of the spectrum of A) are affected by α_n at the left boundary (of the spectrum of A) and vice versa, e.g., as in Corollary 4.16; α_n affects the bounds in a cycled sense at the boundaries. This is no longer the case when one of the nodes is preassigned at the boundary of the spectrum, see also Remark 4.17, and this potentially results in refined bounds.
- We introduce a rational qor-Krylov approximation to the action of matrix functions in Subsection 3.2.

Overview of present work In Section 2 we first recall some theory of orthogonal polynomials and the relation between orthogonal polynomials and the polynomial Krylov subspace. Here, polynomials are orthogonal w.r.t. an inner product on the vector space, which can be written as a Riemann-Stieltjes integral associated with a non-decreasing step function α_n . Furthermore, we recall some known results for rational Krylov subspaces based on the polynomial case. In Subsection 2.1 we provide some remarks on the SaI Krylov subspace. This includes a new approach to compute the SaI Krylov subspace with a complex shift based on the isometric Arnoldi method – a short-term recursion. In Section 3 we recall some theory on quasi-orthogonal polynomials which results in a polynomial and rational qor-Krylov representation in Subsection 3.1 and 3.2, respectively. Here, we also include some algorithmic details.

2. Krylov subspace techniques and orthogonal polynomials

The main results of the present work concerning CMS theorems and intertwining properties of distributions are stated in Section 4. We first recall quadrature properties in Subsection 4.1 concerning polynomial and Gaussian quadrature formulae for the Riemann-Stieltjes integral associated with the step function α_n . Quadrature nodes and weights for these quadrature formulae are provided by the Jacobi matrix or the Rayleigh quotient of the respective Krylov subspace. The following results in Subsection 4.2–4.5 are stated for quadrature nodes and weights of respective quadrature formulae, and as such apply to eigenvalues and spectral coefficients for representations in the respective Krylov subspaces. In Subsection 4.2 we recall the classical CMS Theorem which applies to the polynomial Krylov setting. Besides other remarks in this subsection, we also specify the step function α_m and recall the intertwining property of the distributions $d\alpha_n$ and $d\alpha_m$. In Subsection 4.3 we introduce new results concerning rational Gaussian quadrature formulae for a class of rational functions with a single pole $s \in \mathbb{R}$ of higher multiplicity. This result applies to the SaI Krylov setting with a real shift, and the distributions $d\alpha_n$ and $d\alpha_m$ (whereat, $d\alpha_m$ is now provided by the rational Krylov subspace) satisfy an intertwining property up to a constant shift. In Subsection 4.4 we proceed with a similar upper bound for the rational case with a single pole $s \in \mathbb{C}$ of higher multiplicity, which corresponds to a SaI Krylov setting with a complex shift. In Subsection 4.5 we apply CMS theorems given in [Li98] in the setting of an extended Krylov subspace, which yields results similar to the polynomial case. Previously discussed intertwining properties which correspond to CMS theorems are verified by numerical examples in Section 5.

2. Krylov subspace techniques and orthogonal polynomials

A basis of a Krylov subspace obtained by the Lanczos method is closely related to the theory of orthogonal polynomials. This relationship is explained in [GM10] and others and is reviewed here.

In the sequel, let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be a given Hermitian matrix, and let $u \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be a given initial vector. The polynomial Krylov subspace, with $m \leq n$, is denoted by

$$\mathcal{K}_m(A, u) = \text{span}\{u, Au, \dots, A^{m-1}u\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (2.1)$$

Krylov subspace techniques rely on an inner product. Although the Euclidean inner product on the underlying vector space is practical in many cases, we consider a more general notation: For two vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$ we define the M-inner product by²

$$(x, y)_M = x^H M y, \quad (2.2)$$

²The M-inner product given in (2.2) induces a vector norm, i.e., $\|x\|_M = \sqrt{(x, x)_M}$, which is equivalent to the Euclidean norm.

2. Krylov subspace techniques and orthogonal polynomials

where $M \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is an Hermitian³ positive definite matrix which is given by the underlying problem setting. This notation includes the Euclidean inner product, namely, the case $M = I$ with⁴ $(x, y)_M = (x, y)_2$. In the current work, the motivation behind the M-inner product lies in problems which are based on discretized Hilbert spaces, e.g., for a FEM discretization of the Hilbert space L^2 (on a spatial domain) the inner product $(x, y)_M = x^H M y$ with M representing the mass matrix of the finite element space is a natural choice.

In the sequel we assume that A is Hermitian (self-adjoint) w.r.t. the M-inner product,

$$(A x, y)_M = (x, A y)_M, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$ denote the eigenvalues and $q_1, \dots, q_n \in \mathbb{C}^n$ the M-orthonormal eigenvectors of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, i.e., $A q_j = \lambda_j q_j$ with $(q_j, q_k)_M = \delta_{jk}$, and let

$$w_j = (q_j, u)_M \in \mathbb{C} \tag{2.3}$$

denote the corresponding spectral coefficients of the initial vector $u \in \mathbb{C}^n$, i.e.,

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^n w_j q_j.$$

In practice, the Lanczos method (cf. [Saa03]) delivers an M-orthonormal⁵ basis $V_m = (v_1, \dots, v_m) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ of the Krylov subspace $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u)$, i.e.,

$$\text{span}(V_m) = \mathcal{K}_m(A, u), \quad \text{and} \quad (V_m, V_m)_M = I,$$

for which the starting vector u satisfies

$$(V_m, u)_M = \beta_0 e_1, \quad \beta_0 = \|u\|_M \quad \text{and} \quad e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)^H \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

‘Full rank’ of $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u)$ means

$$\text{rank}(u, A u, \dots, A^{m-1} u) = m. \tag{2.4}$$

To proceed with the construction of $\mathcal{K}_{m+1}(A, u)$ at the m -th Lanczos iteration step we require (2.4) to hold also for $m + 1$. Otherwise $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u)$ is an invariant subspace of A , and we refer to this case as a *lucky breakdown* after m steps. We remark that only if there exist at least m coefficients $w_j \neq 0$ with distinct eigenvalues λ_j , then (2.4) holds true for a respective⁶ m . In the sequel we will assume that no lucky breakdown occurs:

³The matrix M is Hermitian w.r.t. the Euclidean inner product, i.e., $M = M^H$.

⁴By $(\cdot, \cdot)_2$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$ we denote the Euclidean inner product and norm, respectively.

⁵For two vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^m$ an M-orthonormal basis V_m satisfies $(V_m x, V_m y)_M = (x, y)_2$.

⁶See Proposition A.1, Appendix A.

2. Krylov subspace techniques and orthogonal polynomials

I.e., without loss of generality we assume that (2.4) holds true for $m \leq n$, hence we consider $w_j \neq 0$ with distinct eigenvalues λ_j for $j = 1, \dots, n$. We further assume the ordering

$$\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_n.$$

With (2.1) there exist polynomials p_0, \dots, p_{m-1} which satisfy

$$v_\ell = p_{\ell-1}(A)u, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, m.$$

For these polynomials the orthonormal property of V_m , i.e., $(v_\ell, v_k)_M = \delta_{\ell k}$, yields

$$(p_{\ell-1}(A)u, p_{k-1}(A)u)_M = \delta_{\ell k}, \quad \ell, k = 1, \dots, m. \quad (2.5)$$

Various properties of Krylov subspaces have their origin in the theory of orthogonal polynomials for which we mainly refer to [Sze85, Akh65]. The theory therein can be formulated in terms of an integral-based inner product: Following [GM10], depending on u we consider the step function

$$\alpha_n(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0, & \lambda < \lambda_1, \\ \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} |w_j|^2, & \lambda_\ell \leq \lambda < \lambda_{\ell+1}, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ \sum_{j=1}^n |w_j|^2, & \lambda_n \leq \lambda. \end{cases} \quad (2.6a)$$

We choose an interval (a, b) which includes $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$. For $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^n |w_j|^2 f(\lambda_j) = \int_a^b f(\lambda) d\alpha_n(\lambda), \quad (2.6b)$$

where the right-hand side is to be understood as a Riemann-Stieltjes integral. For the corresponding inner product we introduce the notation

$$(f, g)_{\alpha_n} = \int_a^b \bar{f}(\lambda) g(\lambda) d\alpha_n(\lambda). \quad (2.6c)$$

In the eigenbasis of A the vector $p(A)u$, where p is a polynomial, has the representation

$$p(A)u = \sum_{j=1}^n p(\lambda_j) w_j q_j.$$

For two complex polynomials p and g the M -inner product of $p(A)u$ and $g(A)u$ reads

$$(p(A)u, g(A)u)_M = \sum_{j=1}^n |w_j|^2 \bar{p}(\lambda_j) g(\lambda_j). \quad (2.7)$$

2. Krylov subspace techniques and orthogonal polynomials

With (2.6b), (2.6c) and (2.7) we have the equivalent formulations

$$(p, g)_{\alpha_n} = \int_a^b \bar{p}(\lambda) g(\lambda) d\alpha_n(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^n |w_j|^2 \bar{p}(\lambda_j) g(\lambda_j) = (p(A)u, g(A)u)_M. \quad (2.8)$$

Thus, polynomials which satisfy (2.5) are indeed ‘ α_n -orthonormal’, i.e.,

$$(p_\ell, p_k)_{\alpha_n} = \delta_{\ell k}, \quad \ell, k = 0, \dots, m-1. \quad (2.9)$$

We remark that the normalization factor β_0 as given previously satisfies the identities

$$\beta_0 = ((u, u)_M)^{1/2} = ((1, 1)_{\alpha_n})^{1/2} = \left(\int_a^b 1 d\alpha_n(\lambda) \right)^{1/2}. \quad (2.10)$$

Three-term recursion, zeros of orthogonal polynomials, and the Jacobi matrix. Our assumption that a lucky breakdown does not occur for any $m < n$ corresponds to $w_j \neq 0$ and λ_j being distinct for $j = 1, \dots, n$ and entails that the step function α_n has n points of strict increase. Following [Sze85, Section 2.2] the respective inner product yields orthonormal polynomials p_0, \dots, p_{n-1} of degree $0, \dots, n-1$, respectively. These polynomials enjoy a three-term recursion, see also [GM10, Section 2.2] or [Akh65, Sze85]:

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\beta_0 = (\int_a^b 1 d\alpha_n)^{1/2}$ as in (2.10). With $p_0 = 1/\beta_0$, $p_{-1} = 0$ and $m < n$ there exist $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m > 0$ and α_n -orthonormal polynomials p_0, \dots, p_m for which the three-term recursion*

$$\lambda p_{j-1}(\lambda) = \beta_{j-1} p_{j-2}(\lambda) + a_j p_{j-1}(\lambda) + \beta_j p_j(\lambda), \quad j = 1, \dots, m, \quad (2.11)$$

holds. Here, $a_j = (p_{j-1}, \lambda p_{j-1})_{\alpha_n}$, and $\beta_j > 0$ is fixed such that $(p_j, p_j)_{\alpha_n} = 1$.

In the sequel the notation p_0, \dots, p_m refers to the orthonormal polynomials from Proposition 2.1, where p_j is of degree j for $j = 0, \dots, m$ due to the recursion (2.11).

Proposition 2.2 (See Section 3.3 in [Sze85]). *We recall the following well-known properties of the zeros of p_m :*

1. *The zeros $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m \in \mathbb{R}$ of p_m are distinct. Assume*

$$\theta_1 < \theta_2 < \dots < \theta_m.$$

2. *The zeros of p_m and the eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ of A are interlacing. This means $\lambda_1 < \theta_1$, $\theta_m < \lambda_n$, and for $k = 1, \dots, m-1$ there exists at least one $\lambda_{j(k)}$ with*

$$\theta_k < \lambda_{j(k)} < \theta_{k+1}.$$

2. Krylov subspace techniques and orthogonal polynomials

The three-term recursion (2.11) can be represented in terms of the so-called symmetric *Jacobi matrix* J_m , whose eigenvalues coincide with the zeros of p_m : With $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m > 0$,

$$J_m = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \beta_1 & & & \\ \beta_1 & a_2 & \beta_2 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \beta_{m-2} & a_{m-1} & \beta_{m-1} \\ & & & \beta_{m-1} & a_m \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}. \quad (2.12)$$

Denoting $P(\lambda) = (p_0(\lambda), \dots, p_{m-1}(\lambda))^H \in \mathbb{C}^m$, the recursion (2.11) can be written in matrix form,

$$\lambda P(\lambda) = J_m P(\lambda) + \beta_m p_m(\lambda) e_m. \quad (2.13)$$

From (2.13) we observe that the zeros $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$ of p_m are eigenvalues of J_m with non-normalized eigenvectors $P(\theta_j) = (p_0(\theta_j), \dots, p_{m-1}(\theta_j))^H$,

$$\theta_j P(\theta_j) = J_m P(\theta_j), \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

We conclude that the matrix J_m has m distinct eigenvalues $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m \in \mathbb{R}$ which are indeed identical to the zeros of p_m and for which the properties from Proposition 2.2 hold true. We refer to $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m \in \mathbb{R}$ as *Ritz values*.

Polynomial Krylov subspace. We recall the usual denotation $V_m = (v_1, \dots, v_m) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ for the M-orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u)$ provided by the Lanczos method. We have $\text{span}\{V_m\} = \mathcal{K}_m(A, u)$ and $(V_{m+1}, V_{m+1})_M = I$ where V_{m+1} includes the subsequent basis vector v_{m+1} . The basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_{m+1}\}$ satisfies a three-term recursion according to the Lanczos algorithm [Saa03, Section 6.6]. (The Lanczos algorithm in [Saa03, Section 6.6] relies on the Euclidean inner product but can be generalized in a direct manner.) Substituting A for λ in (2.11) and applying u yields a recursion for $p_0(A)u, \dots, p_m(A)u$ which coincides with the Lanczos three-term recursion. Hence, $v_j = p_{j-1}(A)u$ for $j = 1, \dots, m+1$ with the orthonormal polynomials p_0, \dots, p_m from Proposition 2.1. Analogously to (2.13) the three-term recursion defining V_m can be written in matrix form,

$$A V_m = V_m J_m + \beta_m v_{m+1} e_m^H. \quad (2.14)$$

We refer to $\beta_m v_{m+1}$ as a *residual*. With (2.14) and the M-orthogonality property of V_m the Jacobi matrix satisfies

$$J_m = (V_m, A V_m)_M.$$

The tridiagonal structure of J_m implies $A^j u = \beta_0 V_m J_m^j e_1$ for $j = 0, \dots, m-1$ and $\beta_0 V_m e_1 = u$ where $\beta_0 = \|u\|_M$ [DK89, Saa92]. Thus,⁷

$$p(A)u = \beta_0 V_m p(J_m) e_1, \quad p \in \Pi_{m-1}. \quad (2.15a)$$

⁷The denotation Π_j refers to the class of complex polynomials of degree $\leq j$.

2. Krylov subspace techniques and orthogonal polynomials

Furthermore, the corresponding deviation for a polynomial $p \in \Pi_m$ of exact degree m is in the span of the residual,

$$\beta_0 V_m p(J_m) e_1 - p(A) u \in \text{span}\{v_{m+1}\} \perp_{\mathbf{M}} \mathcal{K}_m(A, u). \quad (2.15b)$$

Proposition 2.3. *With respect to the \mathbf{M} -inner product the identity*

$$(u, p(A)u)_{\mathbf{M}} = \beta_0^2 (e_1, p(J_m) e_1)_2, \quad p \in \Pi_{2m-1} \quad (2.16)$$

holds true.

Proof. For $p \in \Pi_{2m-1}$ we can write $p = g_1 g_2$ with $g_1 \in \Pi_{m-1}$ and $g_2 \in \Pi_m$, and

$$(u, p(A)u)_{\mathbf{M}} = (\bar{g}_1(A)u, g_2(A)u)_{\mathbf{M}} \quad \text{and} \quad (e_1, p(J_m) e_1)_2 = (\bar{g}_1(J_m) e_1, g_2(J_m) e_1)_2. \quad (2.17)$$

For $\bar{g}_1(A)u$ and $g_2(A)u$ we apply (2.15a) and (2.15b), respectively, to conclude

$$(\bar{g}_1(A)u, g_2(A)u)_{\mathbf{M}} = \beta_0^2 (V_m \bar{g}_1(J_m) e_1, V_m g_2(J_m) e_1)_{\mathbf{M}}. \quad (2.18)$$

With $(V_m, V_m)_{\mathbf{M}} = I$ we recall

$$(V_m \bar{g}_1(J_m) e_1, V_m g_2(J_m) e_1)_{\mathbf{M}} = (\bar{g}_1(J_m) e_1, g_2(J_m) e_1)_2. \quad (2.19)$$

Combining (2.17), (2.18) and (2.19) implies (2.16). \square

Rational Krylov subspace. For rational Krylov subspaces we consider rational functions $r = p/q$ with a preassigned denominator q . The zeros of q are also referred to as the poles of r . Using the notation $s_1, s_2, \dots \in \mathbb{C} \cup \pm\infty$ for the poles of r , for which we define

$$q_{m-1}(\lambda) = \prod_{j=1, s_j \neq \pm\infty}^{m-1} (\lambda - s_j). \quad (2.20)$$

Here we admit $s_j = \pm\infty$ in order to include cases for which the denominator of r is of a smaller degree than its numerator. This can be used to constitute the so called extended Krylov subspace, see also [DK98] and will further be relevant in Subsection 3.2 below.⁸

We assume that the poles s_j are distinct from the eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ of A , such that $q_{m-1}^{-1}(A)$ is well-defined. The rational Krylov subspace $\mathcal{Q}_m(A, u)$ with poles s_1, \dots, s_{m-1} and q_{m-1} from (2.20), is defined by the span of $\{r(A)u : r = p/q_{m-1} \text{ for } p \in \Pi_{m-1}\}$, i.e.,⁹

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_m(A, u) &:= \text{span}\{q_{m-1}^{-1}(A)u, A q_{m-1}^{-1}(A)u, \dots, A^{m-1} q_{m-1}^{-1}(A)u\} \\ &= \mathcal{K}_m(A, q_{m-1}^{-1}(A)u). \end{aligned} \quad (2.21a)$$

⁸For $0 \neq s_1, s_2, \dots \in \mathbb{C}$ we can exchange the factors of q_{m-1} , i.e., $(\lambda - s_j)$, with $(1 - \lambda/s_j)$ to obtain a definition of q_{m-1} which is equivalent to (2.20). This clarifies the convention $s_j = \pm\infty$ in (2.20).

⁹In the sequel, we also use $q_{m-1}^{-1}(\lambda)$ for $q_{m-1}(\lambda)^{-1} = 1/q_{m-1}(\lambda)$ to shorten the denotation.

2. Krylov subspace techniques and orthogonal polynomials

To simplify the notation we write

$$u_q = q_{m-1}^{-1}(A)u. \quad (2.21b)$$

With (2.21), the rational Krylov subspace $\mathcal{Q}_m(A, u)$ is identical to the polynomial Krylov subspace $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u_q)$. Let w_j be the spectral coefficient of u w.r.t. the eigenvalue λ_j , then $q_{m-1}^{-1}(\lambda_j)w_j$ is the corresponding spectral coefficient of u_q . Analogously to α_n in (2.6a), we introduce the step function

$$\hat{\alpha}_n(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0, & \lambda < \lambda_1 \\ \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} |q_{m-1}^{-1}(\lambda_j)w_j|^2, & \lambda_{\ell} \leq \lambda < \lambda_{\ell+1}, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ \sum_{j=1}^n |q_{m-1}^{-1}(\lambda_j)w_j|^2, & \lambda_n \leq \lambda. \end{cases} \quad (2.22)$$

Analogously to (2.6c), the Riemann-Stieltjes integral associated with $\hat{\alpha}_n$ defines an inner product,

$$(f, g)_{\hat{\alpha}_n} = \int_a^b \bar{f}(\lambda)g(\lambda) d\hat{\alpha}_n(\lambda).$$

The $\hat{\alpha}_n$ -orthonormal polynomials given by Proposition 2.1 constitute a basis of $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u_q)$. For the existence of these orthonormal polynomials, analogously as before we assume that we have n coefficients $w_j \neq 0$ for distinct eigenvalues λ_j , together with $0 \neq q_{m-1}^{-1}(\lambda_j) \in \mathbb{C}$.

Let J_m and V_m be the Jacobi matrix and the M-orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u_q)$. For the eigenvalues $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$ of J_m the results of Proposition 2.2 remain valid.

The Jacobi matrix $J_m = (V_m, AV_m)_{\mathbf{M}}$ corresponds to a representation of A in the underlying rational Krylov subspace $\mathcal{Q}_m(A, u) = \mathcal{K}_m(A, u_q)$. However, V_m and J_m are more of a theoretical nature in this context. In practice, $u_q = q_{m-1}^{-1}(A)u$, is not directly available and the rational Krylov subspace is not constructed via its polynomial counterpart, but in an iterative manner. While the Lanczos method is by far the most prominent approach to construct a polynomial Krylov subspace, various iterative algorithms are relevant for the rational case. Choosing a proper algorithm to construct a rational Krylov subspace depends on the setting, e.g., the choice of poles. For computational details we also refer to [DB07, BG15, Güt10, BR09]. Unlike the polynomial case, where V_m refers to the orthonormal basis constructed by the Lanczos method, we choose the notation for the rational Krylov subspace independent of the underlying algorithm: We assume $U_m \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ is a given M-orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{Q}_m(A, u)$, i.e.,

$$U_m \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}, \quad \text{span}\{U_m\} = \mathcal{Q}_m(A, u) \quad \text{and} \quad (U_m, U_m)_{\mathbf{M}} = I,$$

and we let A_m refer to the respective *Rayleigh quotient*

$$A_m = (U_m, AU_m)_{\mathbf{M}} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}.$$

For instance, this notation covers rational Krylov bases and representations constructed as in Subsection 2.1. The matrix A_m is Hermitian w.r.t. the Euclidean inner product

2. Krylov subspace techniques and orthogonal polynomials

but in general not tridiagonal and does not coincide with J_m . Let us denote

$$K_m = (V_m, U_m)_M \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}. \quad (2.23a)$$

U_m and V_m represent orthonormal bases of the same subspace, thus,

$$U_m = V_m(V_m, U_m)_M = V_m K_m. \quad (2.23b)$$

By definition of the M -inner product we have $K_m^H K_m = U_m^H M V_m K_m$, and together with $V_m K_m = U_m$ (2.23b) this yields

$$K_m^H K_m = (U_m, U_m)_M = I. \quad (2.23c)$$

Furthermore, A_m and J_m are orthogonally similar matrices,

$$A_m = (U_m, A U_m)_M = K_m^H (V_m, A V_m)_M K_m = K_m^H J_m K_m, \quad (2.24)$$

therefore, the eigenvalues of A_m are equal to the Ritz values $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$ corresponding to $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u_q)$.

We proceed with some identities in the rational Krylov subspace, a rational counterpart to (2.15). Assume that $q_{m-1}^{-1}(A_m)$ is well-defined, and let

$$x := (U_m, u)_M \in \mathbb{C}^m.$$

Then,

$$r(A)u = U_m r(A_m)x \quad \text{for } r = p/q_{m-1} \text{ with } p \in \Pi_{m-1}. \quad (2.25a)$$

This result was given earlier in [Güt10, Lemma 4.6] and others. Furthermore, let $r = p/q_{m-1}$ for a polynomial $p \in \Pi_m$ of degree exactly m , then¹⁰

$$(U_m r(A_m)x - r(A)u) \perp_M \text{span}\{U_m\} = \mathcal{Q}_m(A, u). \quad (2.25b)$$

Following [Güt13, Remark 3.2] we conclude:

Proposition 2.4. *For $x = (U_m, u)_M \in \mathbb{C}^m$ and rational functions $r = p/|q_{m-1}|^2$ with $p \in \Pi_{2m-1}$,*

$$(u, r(A)u)_M = (x, r(A_m)x)_2. \quad (2.26)$$

Proof. For $r \in \Pi_{2m-1}/|q_{m-1}|^2$ we write $r = r_1 r_2$ with $r_1 \in \Pi_{m-1}/\bar{q}_{m-1}$ and $r_2 \in \Pi_m/q_{m-1}$, and

$$(u, r(A)u)_M = (\bar{r}_1(A)u, r_2(A)u)_M, \quad \text{and} \quad (x, r(A_m)x)_2 = (\bar{r}_1(A_m)x, r_2(A_m)x)_2. \quad (2.27)$$

For $\bar{r}_1 \in \Pi_{m-1}/q_{m-1}$ and $r_2 \in \Pi_m/q_{m-1}$ we apply (2.25a) and (2.25b), respectively, to conclude

$$(\bar{r}_1(A)u, r_2(A)u)_M = (U_m \bar{r}_1(A_m)x, U_m r_2(A_m)x)_M. \quad (2.28)$$

Combining (2.27) with (2.28) and making use of $(U_m, U_m)_M = I$ implies (2.26). \square

¹⁰A proof of (2.25a) and (2.25b) is also provided in Proposition A.3, Appendix A.

2. Krylov subspace techniques and orthogonal polynomials

2.1. Some remarks on the Shift-and-Invert (SaI) Krylov subspace

The poles s_j are not required to be distinct. A prominent example is the

Shift-and-Invert (SaI) Krylov subspace,

with $q_{m-1}(\lambda) = (\lambda - s)^{m-1}$ for a single pole $s \in \mathbb{C}$ of multiplicity $m - 1$.

Remark 2.5. *The rational Krylov subspace $\mathcal{Q}_m(A, u)$ with a single pole $s \in \mathbb{C}$ of multiplicity $m - 1$ is identical to the polynomial Krylov subspace $\mathcal{K}_m(X, u)$ with $X = (A - sI)^{-1}$, i.e.,*

$$\mathcal{K}_m(X, u) = \text{span}\{u, (A - sI)^{-1}u, \dots, (A - sI)^{-(m-1)}u\}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{Q}_m(A, u) \subset \mathcal{K}_m(X, u)$ via the partial fraction decomposition for rational functions with denominator $q_{m-1}(\lambda) = (\lambda - s)^{m-1}$, and $\mathcal{K}_m(X, u) \subset \mathcal{Q}_m(A, u)$ by normalizing. Thus, the rational Krylov subspace $\mathcal{Q}_m(A, u)$ can be constructed analogously as the polynomial Krylov subspace $\mathcal{K}_m(X, u)$. The matrix X is no longer Hermitian for $\text{Im } s \neq 0$, and in this case the construction of the Krylov subspace $\mathcal{K}_m(X, u)$ requires the Arnoldi method, the counterpart of the Lanczos method for general matrices. Further computational details for the case $\text{Im } s \neq 0$ are given in Remark 2.7 below. The Lanczos or Arnoldi method for $\mathcal{K}_m(X, u)$ generates an orthonormal basis U_m and an upper Hessenberg matrix $X_m = (U_m, XU_m)_{\mathbb{M}}$. With the subsequent basis vector u_{m+1} and $x_{m+1,m} = (X_{m+1})_{m+1,m}$, the Arnoldi decomposition of $\mathcal{K}_m(X, u)$ (similar to (2.14)) gives

$$(A - sI)^{-1}U_m = U_m X_m + x_{m+1,m} u_{m+1} e_m^H. \quad (2.29)$$

With (2.29) and using the notation $y_m^H = e_m^H X_m^{-1}$, we obtain

$$AU_m = U_m(X_m^{-1} + sI) - x_{m+1,m}(A - sI)u_{m+1}y_m^H. \quad (2.30)$$

For the Rayleigh quotient $A_m = (U_m, AU_m)_{\mathbb{M}}$, identity (2.30) implies

$$A_m = X_m^{-1} + sI - x_{m+1,m}(U_m, Au_{m+1})_{\mathbb{M}} y_m^H. \quad (2.31)$$

This identity can be further simplified in view of numerical efficiency and stability (similar to [DK98, eq. (5.7)] for $s = 0$ or [Gri12, eq. (5.8)] for $s \in \mathbb{R}$): With A being Hermitian and the identity (2.30) we have

$$(U_m, Au_{m+1})_{\mathbb{M}} = (AU_m, u_{m+1})_{\mathbb{M}} = -x_{m+1,m}((Au_{m+1}, u_{m+1})_{\mathbb{M}} - \bar{s})y_m. \quad (2.32)$$

Combining (2.31) and (2.32) together with $\kappa = (u_{m+1}, Au_{m+1})_{\mathbb{M}} \in \mathbb{R}$ yields

$$A_m = X_m^{-1} + sI + x_{m+1,m}^2(\kappa - \bar{s})y_m y_m^H. \quad (2.33)$$

2. Krylov subspace techniques and orthogonal polynomials

Algorithm 1: An algorithm to compute an orthonormal basis U_m and the Rayleigh quotient A_m of $\mathcal{Q}_m(A, u)$ for a single pole $s \in \mathbb{C}$ of multiplicity $m-1$, the SaI case.

$X = (A - sI)^{-1}$;
 if $s \in \mathbb{R}$ apply the Lanczos method for $\mathcal{K}_{m-1}(X, u)$;
 else apply the Arnoldi method for $\mathcal{K}_{m-1}(X, u)$;
 in both cases this returns $\beta_0, U_m, X_m = (U_m, XU_m)_{\mathbb{M}}, \beta_m, u_{m+1}$;
 $\kappa = (u_{m+1}, A u_{m+1})_{\mathbb{M}} \in \mathbb{R}$;
 $y_m^H = e_m^H X_m^{-1}$;
 $A_m = (X_m^{-1} + (X_m^{-1})^H)/2 + \operatorname{Re}(s) I + \beta_m^2 (\kappa - \operatorname{Re}(s)) y_m y_m^H$;
 set $x = \beta_0 e_1$;
 return x, U_m, A_m ;

With A_m and $y_m y_m^H \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ being Hermitian we take the Hermitian part of (2.33) to obtain

$$A_m = (X_m^{-1} + (X_m^{-1})^H)/2 + \operatorname{Re}(s) I + x_{m+1,m}^2 (\kappa - \operatorname{Re}(s)) y_m y_m^H.$$

This representation for A_m is equivalent to (2.31) but it is better suited for numerical computation. A shift of the inverse of the Hessenberg matrix X_m , i.e., $X_m^{-1} + sI$, is closely related to the Rayleigh quotient A_m , see also [Güt10, Subsection 5.4.3], but it does not conserve orthogonality. E.g., for $s \notin \mathbb{R}$ the matrix $X_m^{-1} + sI$ is not necessarily Hermitian.

Note that $x = \beta_0 e_1$ for the SaI Krylov subspace.

The procedure which is stated in Remark 2.5 is summarized in Algorithm 1.

In some works concerning the SaI Krylov subspace, the matrix $X_m^{-1} + sI$ appears in place of the Rayleigh quotient, e.g. [vdEH06, ZTK19]; for a comparison see also [Güt10, Subsection 5.4.3]. In the following remark we show that for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ the matrix $X_m^{-1} + sI$ satisfies an identity similar to (2.26).

Remark 2.6. Let $X = (A - sI)^{-1}$ for a given shift $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, X is Hermitian. Then, the matrix $X_m = (V_m, X V_m)_{\mathbb{M}}$ associated with the polynomial Krylov subspace $\mathcal{K}_m(X, u)$ satisfies $(u, p(X)u)_{\mathbb{M}} = (x, p(X_m)x)_2$ for $p \in \Pi_{2m-1}$ due to Proposition 2.3. Polynomials of X can be rewritten as rational functions of A , see also Remark B.1 in Appendix B. A polynomial in X_m can be rewritten in an analogous manner: We recall $q_{m-1}(\lambda) = (\lambda - s)^{m-1}$ for the given shift $s \in \mathbb{R}$. For a given $p \in \Pi_{2m-2}$ we have $r \in \Pi_{2m-2}/q_{m-1}^2$ with $p(X) = r(A)$ and $p(X_m) = r(X_m^{-1} + sI)$. Thus, similar to (2.26) we have the identity

$$(u, r(A)u)_{\mathbb{M}} = (x, r(X_m^{-1} + sI)x)_2, \quad r \in \Pi_{2m-2}/|q_{m-1}|^2. \quad (2.34)$$

2. Krylov subspace techniques and orthogonal polynomials

Here, we remark $|q_{m-1}| = q_{m-1}$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$. In (2.34), the numerator is of degree $2m - 2$ instead of $2m - 1$ as in (2.26).

We proceed with some additional remarks on the SaI Krylov subspace with a complex shift $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 2.7. As stated in Remark 2.5, the rational Krylov subspace with a single pole $s \in \mathbb{C}$ of multiplicity $m - 1$ corresponds to the polynomial Krylov subspace $\mathcal{K}_m(X, u)$ with $X = (A - sI)^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. Let us consider the case $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$.

In contrast to the case $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the matrix X is not Hermitian for $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, and thus, the Lanczos three-term recursion fails to construct the Krylov subspace $\mathcal{K}_m(X, u)$. The Arnoldi method can be applied in this case but results in additional computational cost compared to the Lanczos method. However, to preserve some favorable properties of the Lanczos method in the case of $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, we can construct the Krylov subspace by applying an isometric Arnoldi method on a transformed matrix, using a Cayley transform: We recall that $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is Hermitian w.r.t. the \mathbf{M} -inner product. Then, the matrix

$$Z = (A - \bar{s}I)(A - sI)^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$$

is unitary w.r.t. the \mathbf{M} -inner product, i.e., $(Zv, Zw)_{\mathbf{M}} = (v, w)_{\mathbf{M}}$ for $v, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$. We introduce the notation τ for the corresponding scalar Cayley transform

$$\tau(\lambda) = (\lambda - \bar{s})(\lambda - s)^{-1}, \quad \tau: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \setminus \{1\}, \quad (2.35)$$

where $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{C}$ denotes the unit circle. The matrix Z has eigenvalues $\tau(\lambda_j)$ and eigenvectors q_j , where λ_j and q_j denote the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of A , respectively. The function τ as given in (2.35) is bijective, which implies that A and Z have the same number of distinct eigenvalues with nonzero spectral coefficients $w_j = (q_j, u)_{\mathbf{M}}$. From remarks stated previously in the current section, and Proposition A.1 in Appendix A, we conclude that the rank of $\mathcal{Q}_m(A, u)$ and the rank of $\mathcal{K}_m(Z, u)$ are identical. For the polynomial Krylov subspace $\mathcal{K}_m(Z, u)$ we observe $\mathcal{K}_m(Z, u) \subset \mathcal{Q}_m(A, u)$ by normalizing. Due to having the same rank, the rational Krylov subspace $\mathcal{Q}_m(A, u)$ and the polynomial Krylov subspace $\mathcal{K}_m(Z, u)$ are identical.

For $\mathcal{K}_m(Z, u)$ we consider the following setting: Let V_m denote an \mathbf{M} -orthonormal basis of the Krylov subspace $\mathcal{K}_m(Z, u)$ with $(V_m, u)_{\mathbf{M}} = \beta_0 e_1$ and an upper Hessenberg matrix $Z_m = (V_m, Z V_m)_{\mathbf{M}} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, and let v_{m+1} denote the subsequent basis vector with normalization factor $z_{m+1, m} = (Z_{m+1})_{m+1, m} > 0$, $\|v_{m+1}\|_{\mathbf{M}} = 1$ and $(V_m, v_{m+1})_{\mathbf{M}} = 0$, such that

$$Z V_m = V_m Z_m + z_{m+1, m} e_m^H v_{m+1}. \quad (2.36)$$

Such a representation can be generated by a short term Arnoldi method, e.g., the isometric Arnoldi method [JR94, Algorithm 3.1, eq. (3.4) and (3.5)] introduced in [Gra93, JR94]. For further details we also refer to [BGF97, Sch08, BMV18]. We also recapitulate the isometric Arnoldi method in Algorithm 2. In contrast to the standard Arnoldi

3. A review on quasi-orthogonal polynomials

method, the isometric Arnoldi method is more efficient in terms of computational cost, comparable to the Lanczos algorithm for Hermitian matrices.

Let the decomposition (2.36) be given and set $U_m := V_m$, then U_m conforms to an orthonormal basis of the rational Krylov subspace $\mathcal{Q}_m(A, u)$ with denominator $q_{m-1}(\lambda) = (\lambda - s)^{m-1}$, and $x = \beta_0 e_1$. Substituting Z and V_m in (2.36) yields

$$(A - \bar{s}I)(A - sI)^{-1}U_m = U_m Z_m + z_{m+1,m} e_m^H v_{m+1}.$$

Similar to Remark 2.5, this provides a computable formulation for the Rayleigh quotient $A_m = (U_m, AU_m)_M$. With $\kappa = (v_{m+1}, A v_{m+1})_M \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y_m^H := e_m^H (I - Z_m)^{-1}$ we have

$$A_m = (\bar{s}I - sZ_m)(I - Z_m)^{-1} + z_{m+1,m}^2 (\kappa - \bar{s}) y_m y_m^H.$$

This procedure is summarized in Algorithm 3.

As an alternative approach to compute the SaI Krylov subspace with $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, we also remark that the matrix $X = (A - sI)^{-1}$ is in the class of so called normal(1, 1) matrices (cf. [BM00]), i.e., the M -adjoint of X corresponds to a rational function $p(X)q(X)^{-1}$ with $p, q \in \Pi_1$, namely,

$$X^* = (A - \bar{s}I)^{-1} = (X^{-1} + (s - \bar{s})I)^{-1} = X(I + (s - \bar{s})X)^{-1},$$

due to $X^{-1} = A - sI$. For normal(1, 1) matrices a short Arnoldi recurrence exists, see [BM00, BMV18], but we do not further discuss this approach in the current work.

3. A review on quasi-orthogonal polynomials

The theory of quasi-orthogonal polynomials is for instance covered in [Sze85, Akh65, GM10]. We will refer to a special linear combination \hat{p}_m of p_{m-1} and p_m as a *quasi-orthogonal polynomial* of degree m , where p_0, \dots, p_m denote the orthonormal polynomials from the previous section. In the class of quasi-orthogonal polynomials we impose an additional condition, i.e., we require that

$$\text{the quasi-orthogonal polynomial } \hat{p}_m \text{ vanishes at a given } \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ i.e., } \hat{p}_m(\xi) = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Quasi-orthogonal polynomials also appear in the theory of Gauss-Radau quadrature formulae. Similar to the three-term recursion of the orthogonal polynomials, the underlying recursion of the polynomials p_1, \dots, p_{m-1} and \hat{p}_m constitutes a matrix T_m which coincides with the Jacobi matrix J_m up to one entry. It was already shown in [Wil62, GW69], that T_m provides quadrature nodes and weights of Gauss-Radau quadrature formulae associated with the underlying distribution (i.e., $d\alpha_n$ in the present setting). In the context of Gauss-Radau quadrature formulae, the preassigned zero ξ corresponds to a preassigned quadrature node, see also [Gau04, GM10].

3. A review on quasi-orthogonal polynomials

Algorithm 2: An isometric Arnoldi method to compute an orthonormal basis U_m and the $Z_m = (U_m, Z U_m)_{\mathbb{M}}$ of $\mathcal{K}_m(Z, u)$ for a unitary matrix Z , e.g., a Cayley transform $Z = (A - \bar{s} I)(A - s I)^{-1}$ where A is an Hermitian matrix and $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. See Remark 2.7 and references therein.

```

 $\beta_0 = \|u\|_{\mathbb{M}}, \quad v_1 = u/\beta_0, \quad \hat{v} = v_1, \quad Z_m = I_{m \times m};$ 
for  $k = 1 : m;$ 
     $w = Z v_k;$ 
     $\gamma = -(\hat{v}, w)_{\mathbb{M}};$ 
     $v_{\text{next}} = w + \gamma \hat{v};$ 
     $\sigma = \|v_{\text{next}}\|_{\mathbb{M}}; \quad // = (1 - |\gamma|^2)^{1/2}$  in exact arithmetic;
     $v_{k+1} = v_{\text{next}}/\sigma;$ 
    if  $k < m;$ 
         $(Z_m)_{:, [k:k+1]} \leftarrow (Z_m)_{:, [k:k+1]} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma & \sigma \\ \sigma & \bar{\gamma} \end{pmatrix};$ 
         $\hat{v} \leftarrow \sigma \hat{v} + \bar{\gamma} v_{k+1};$ 
         $\hat{v} \leftarrow \hat{v}/\|\hat{v}\|_{\mathbb{M}}; \quad //$  not required in exact arithmetic;
    else  $// k = m;$ 
         $(Z_m)_{:, k} \leftarrow -\gamma (Z_m)_{:, k};$ 
         $z_{m+1, m} = \sigma;$ 
return  $\beta_0, U_m = (v_1, \dots, v_m), v_{m+1}, Z_m, z_{m+1, m};$ 

```

Algorithm 3: An optimized algorithm to compute an orthonormal basis U_m and the Rayleigh quotient A_m of $\mathcal{Q}_m(A, u)$ for a single pole $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ of multiplicity $m - 1$, see Remark 2.7.

```

 $Z = (A - \bar{s} I)(A - s I)^{-1};$ 
apply the isometric Arnoldi method for  $\mathcal{K}_m(Z, u)$ , see Algorithm 2;
this returns  $\beta_0, U_m, Z_m = (U_m, Z U_m)_{\mathbb{M}}, z_{m+1, m}, u_{m+1};$ 
 $\kappa = (u_{m+1}, A u_{m+1})_{\mathbb{M}} \in \mathbb{R};$ 
 $y_m^H = e_m^H (I - Z_m)^{-1};$ 
 $A_m = (\bar{s} I - s Z_m)(I - Z_m)^{-1} + z_{m+1, m}^2 (\kappa - \bar{s}) y_m y_m^H;$ 
set  $x = \beta_0 e_1;$ 
return  $x, U_m, A_m;$ 

```

3. A review on quasi-orthogonal polynomials

At the beginning of the present section we recall some theory on quasi-orthogonal polynomials. In Subsection 3.1 this theory will be applied to the polynomial Krylov subspace $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u)$. While keeping the orthonormal basis V_m of $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u)$ as before, we consider the modified matrix T_m (given by the underlying recursion; see (3.4) below) as a representation of A in $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u)$. This results in the matrix decomposition (3.6), where \hat{p}_m provides the residual. Thus, we also refer to T_m as a

quasi-orthogonal residual (qor-) Krylov representation.

The zero $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ of \hat{p}_m which is preassigned constitutes an eigenvalue of the modified matrix T_m . The spectrum of T_m constitutes a step function α_m which is introduced properly in Section 4 below. Based on the CMS Theorem, the distributions $d\alpha_n$ and $d\alpha_m$ satisfy some intertwining property (in general, this result is known for the Gauss-Radau quadrature rule; in the Krylov setting we specify this result in Section 4 below). In the qor-Krylov setting, we can make use of the preassigned zero ξ to modify computable bounds on α_n , which potentially result in refined bounds. Furthermore, we consider the matrix T_m to approximate a matrix function $f(A)u$. This is referred to as qor-Krylov approximation, see (3.10) below. The qor-Krylov approximation can be understood as a corrected Krylov approximation, comparable to the corrected Krylov scheme for the matrix exponential in [Saa92]. In the context of approximating matrix functions, making use of quasi-orthogonal polynomials is a new idea.

Later on in this section the theory of quasi-orthogonal polynomials will be applied to the case of a rational Krylov subspace. We also refer to [Gau04] for rational Gauss-Radau quadrature formulae, which are also applied in a Krylov setting in [LLRW08, JR13]. As in the polynomial case, we aim to refine estimates on α_n in the sequel, and we also introduce a rational qor-Krylov approximation. In Remark 3.8 below, we introduce a new procedure to efficiently compute the rational qor-Krylov representation, i.e., we rewrite a rational Krylov subspace with arbitrary complex poles as an extended Krylov subspace with a modified initial vector, and then construct the rational qor-Krylov representation based on results for the polynomial case.

We proceed to recall some theory on quasi-orthogonal polynomials. Let p_0, \dots, p_m be the sequence of orthonormal polynomials from Proposition 2.1. Let $\beta_{m-1} > 0$ be given as in Proposition 2.1, and let $\omega_m \in \mathbb{R}$ (to be fixed in the sequel, see (3.3b)). We define

$$\hat{p}_m(\lambda) = (\lambda - \omega_m)p_{m-1}(\lambda) - \beta_{m-1}p_{m-2}(\lambda). \quad (3.2a)$$

The polynomial p_m satisfies the recursive identity (2.11) (for $j = m$). Thus, \hat{p}_m can be expressed as a linear combination of p_{m-1} and p_m ,

$$\hat{p}_m = \beta_m p_m + (a_m - \omega_m)p_{m-1}, \quad \text{hence, } \hat{p}_m \perp p_0, \dots, p_{m-2}. \quad (3.2b)$$

3. A review on quasi-orthogonal polynomials

With the orthogonality property (3.2b) we refer to \hat{p}_m as quasi-orthogonal polynomial of degree m .¹¹

According to the requirement $\hat{p}_m(\xi) = 0$ imposed above (see (3.1)) for a given $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ with $p_{m-1}(\xi) \neq 0$, definition (3.2a) implies

$$0 = \hat{p}_m(\xi) = (\xi - \omega_m)p_{m-1}(\xi) - \beta_{m-1}p_{m-2}(\xi). \quad (3.3a)$$

This fixes the value of ω_m ,

$$\omega_m = \xi - \beta_{m-1} \frac{p_{m-2}(\xi)}{p_{m-1}(\xi)}. \quad (3.3b)$$

We now reuse the denotation $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$ in a modified way: In the context of quasi-orthogonal polynomials, $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m \in \mathbb{R}$ denote the zeros of \hat{p}_m . We assume the ordering $\theta_1 < \theta_2 < \dots < \theta_m$.

Proposition 3.1 (See also Section 3.3 in [Sze85]). *Let \hat{p}_m be the quasi-orthogonal polynomial defined in (3.2a), with ω_m from (3.3b) for a given $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ with $p_{m-1}(\xi) \neq 0$.*

- (i) *The zeros $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$ of \hat{p}_m are distinct.*
- (ii) *Interlacing property of eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ and zeros of \hat{p}_m : For $k = 1, \dots, m-1$ there exists at least one $\lambda_{j(k)}$ with*

$$\theta_k < \lambda_{j(k)} < \theta_{k+1}.$$

- (iii) *At most one of the zeros $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$ is located outside of $[\lambda_1, \lambda_n]$. E.g., in the case $\xi < \lambda_1$ we have $\theta_1 < \lambda_1 < \theta_2 < \dots < \theta_m < \lambda_n$.*

As a slight modification of the Jacobi matrix J_m from (2.12) we now define the symmetric tridiagonal matrix

$$T_m = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \beta_1 & & & \\ \beta_1 & a_2 & \beta_2 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \beta_{m-2} & a_{m-1} & \beta_{m-1} \\ & & & \beta_{m-1} & \omega_m \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}, \quad \text{with } \omega_m \text{ from (3.3b)}. \quad (3.4)$$

With the recursion (2.11) and identity (3.2b) the sequence of orthonormal polynomials $P(\lambda) = (p_0(\lambda), \dots, p_{m-1}(\lambda))^H \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and \hat{p}_m satisfy

$$\lambda P(\lambda) = T_m P(\lambda) + \hat{p}_m(\lambda) e_m. \quad (3.5)$$

Thus, the eigenvalues of T_m are exactly the zeros $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$ of \hat{p}_m .

¹¹In the case $a_m = \omega_m$ the polynomial \hat{p}_m in (3.2a) is identical to $\beta_m p_m$, thus, \hat{p}_m is an orthogonal polynomial.

3. A review on quasi-orthogonal polynomials

3.1. Krylov methods and quasi-orthogonal polynomials

Let p_0, \dots, p_m be the orthonormal polynomials from Proposition 2.1, which provide the M -orthonormal Krylov basis vectors $v_j = p_{j-1}(A)u$ for $j = 1, \dots, m+1$, and let $\hat{v}_{m+1} = \hat{p}_m(A)u$ with the quasi-orthogonal polynomial \hat{p}_m from (3.2). Analogously to (3.5) we have the matrix decomposition

$$A V_m = V_m T_m + \hat{v}_{m+1} e_m^H. \quad (3.6)$$

We refer to $\hat{v}_{m+1} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ as the residual of (3.6), with

$$\hat{v}_{m+1} \in \text{span}\{v_m, v_{m+1}\} \perp_M \mathcal{K}_{m-1}(A, u).$$

Proposition 3.2. For $p \in \Pi_{m-1}$,

$$\beta_0 V_m p(T_m) e_1 = p(A)u. \quad (3.7)$$

Proof. We prove $\beta_0 V_m T_m^j e_1 = A^j u$ for $j = 0, \dots, m-1$ by induction. This holds true for $j = 0$. Assuming that it also holds true for some $j < m-1$, then

$$A^{j+1}u = A A^j u = \beta_0 A V_m T_m^j e_1.$$

Together with identity (3.6) this gives

$$A^{j+1}u = \beta_0 V_m T_m^{j+1} e_1 + \beta_0 \hat{v}_{m+1} e_m^H T_m^j e_1.$$

Due to the tridiagonal structure of T_m we have $e_m^H T_m^j e_1 = 0$ for $j = 0, \dots, m-2$. Altogether, this implies $\beta_0 V_m T_m^j e_1 = A^j u$ for $j = 0, \dots, m-1$, which completes the proof of (3.7). \square

In addition to Proposition 3.2 we note that for $p \in \Pi_m$ exactly of degree m ,

$$\beta_0 V_m p(T_m) e_1 - p(A)u \in \text{span}\{v_m, v_{m+1}\} \perp_M \mathcal{K}_{m-1}(A, u).$$

The following proposition is associated with identities of Gauss-Radau quadrature formulae, see also [GM10] or [Gau04, Subsection 3.1.4]. This relation is discussed in more detail in Section 4 below.

Proposition 3.3. For $p \in \Pi_{2m-2}$,

$$(u, p(A)u)_M = \beta_0^2 (e_1, p(T_m) e_1)_2. \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. We write $p = g_1 g_2$ with $g_1, g_2 \in \Pi_{m-1}$ and apply Proposition 3.2 to both terms,

$$(u, p(A)u)_M = (\bar{g}_1(A)u, g_2(A)u)_M = \beta_0^2 (V_m \bar{g}_1(T_m) e_1, V_m g_2(T_m) e_1)_M.$$

With $(V_m, V_m)_M = I$ this implies (3.8). \square

3. A review on quasi-orthogonal polynomials

Algorithm 4: An algorithm to compute V_m and the qor-Krylov representation T_m for a given $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ which is distinct to the eigenvalues of J_{m-1} .

apply the Lanczos method for $\mathcal{K}_{m-1}(A, u)$: this
 returns $\beta_0, V_{m-1}, J_{m-1}, \beta_{m-1}, v_m$;
 set $\omega_m = \xi + \beta_{m-1}^2 e_{m-1}^H (J_{m-1} - \xi I)^{-1} e_{m-1}$ and define T_m via (3.4);
 set $V_m = (V_{m-1}, v_m)$;
 return β_0, V_m, T_m ;

We proceed by recapitulating results from [GM10, Subsection 6.2.1] and [Gol73, Section 7] which reveal an algorithm to construct T_m .

Remark 3.4 ([GM10, Gol73]). *Let J_{m-1} be the Jacobi matrix constructed by $m - 1$ steps of the Lanczos method. After substituting ξ for λ in (2.13), the Jacobi matrix J_{m-1} and $P(\xi) = (p_0(\xi), \dots, p_{m-2}(\xi))^H \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$ satisfy*

$$(J_{m-1} - \xi I)P(\xi) = -\beta_{m-1} p_{m-1}(\xi) e_{m-1}.$$

The solution $\delta = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{m-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$ of the linear system

$$(J_{m-1} - \xi I)\delta = \beta_{m-1}^2 e_{m-1} \quad (3.9)$$

is given by

$$\delta_\ell = -\beta_{m-1} \frac{p_{\ell-1}(\xi)}{p_{m-1}(\xi)}, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, m-1.$$

The eigenvalues of J_{m-1} are identical to the zeros of p_{m-1} , hence, with $p_{m-1}(\xi) \neq 0$ the matrix $(J_{m-1} - \xi I)$ is invertible. The solution $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$ of (3.9) yields a computable formula for ω_m via (3.3b),

$$\omega_m = \xi + \delta_{m-1}.$$

Algorithm 4 represents a summary on Remark 3.4. In Figure 1 we show values of ω_m over ξ for a given example.

A quasi-orthogonal residual (qor-)Krylov approximation to matrix functions $f(A)u$.

We refer to

$$\beta_0 V_m f(T_m) e_1 \approx f(A)u \quad (3.10)$$

as quasi-orthogonal residual (qor-)Krylov approximation, based on the construction of V_m and T_m according to Algorithm 4. We recall that only $m - 1$ steps of the Lanczos iteration are required. This provides the orthonormal basis V_{m-1} , the subsequent basis vector v_m and the Jacobi matrix J_{m-1} . The qor-Krylov approximation makes use

3. A review on quasi-orthogonal polynomials




Figure 1.: This figure shows the matrix entry ω_m of the qor-Krylov representation T_m , computed for different values of $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and $m = 5$. To compute the entries ω_m we follow Algorithm 4. As an example we choose A to be a $n \times n$ diagonal matrix with $n = 50$ and diagonal entries $(1, \dots, n)$, and we choose $u = (1, \dots, 1)^H \in \mathbb{R}^n$. When the choice of ξ matches one of the eigenvalues of J_m (marked by ('o')), then the matrices T_m and J_m coincide (the matrix entry a_m of J_m is illustrated by the dashed horizontal line). On the other hand, when ξ coincides with an eigenvalue of J_{m-1} (marked by ('x')) and dotted vertical lines), then ω_m is undefined and Algorithm 4 fails. We remark that two neighboring eigenvalues of J_m enclose exactly one eigenvalue of J_{m-1} , cf. [Sze85, Theorem 3.3.2]. This property carries over to the eigenvalues of T_m via (3.2b) (indeed, the sign of \hat{p}_m corresponds to the sign of p_m at the zeros of p_{m-1} and at the boundary of \mathbb{R}). Thus, two neighboring eigenvalues of T_m enclose exactly one eigenvalue of J_{m-1} for any valid choice of ξ .

3. A review on quasi-orthogonal polynomials

of the orthonormal basis $V_m = (V_{m-1}, v_m)$, where the polynomial Krylov approximation, i.e., $\beta_0 V_{m-1} f(J_{m-1}) e_1 \approx f(A)u$, provides an approximation in the basis V_{m-1} .

The idea to ‘correct’ the Krylov approximation by including the subsequent basis vector (which is v_m at the $(m-1)$ -th step) also appears in [Saa92], namely, the corrected Krylov scheme for the matrix exponential which is widely used in the Expokit package [Sid98] and others. Compared to the corrected Krylov scheme, the qor-Krylov approximation can be favorable if spectral properties of $f(A)$ are relevant, e.g., the mass conservation of $e^{-itA}u$ carries over to the qor-Krylov approximation $\beta_0 V_m e^{-itT_m} e_1$ due to T_m being Hermitian.

3.2. Rational Krylov methods and the theory of quasi-orthogonal polynomials

A rational Krylov subspace satisfies $\mathcal{Q}_m(A, u) = \mathcal{K}_m(A, u_q)$ for $u_q = q_{m-1}^{-1}(A)u$, where q_{m-1} denotes the denominator given by preassigned poles. Let J_m and V_m denote the Jacobi matrix and M-orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u_q)$. The procedure of Subsection 3.1 applies to the polynomial Krylov subspace $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u_q)$: For a given $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ the matrix T_m is defined in (3.4) and satisfies the matrix decomposition (3.6) together with A and V_m .

In a practical setting, $u_q = q_{m-1}^{-1}(A)u$ is not directly available to construct the rational Krylov subspace via $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u_q)$. We proceed to generalize the qor-Krylov representation for the rational Krylov subspace: Let U_m be a given M-orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{Q}_m(A, u)$, i.e., $\text{span}\{U_m\} = \mathcal{Q}_m(A, u)$ and $(U_m, U_m)_M = I$. The respective Rayleigh quotient is $A_m = (U_m, A U_m)_M$. With the orthonormal transformation $K_m = (V_m, U_m)_M \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ we have $A_m = K_m^H J_m K_m$ as given in (2.24). For a representation of T_m in the basis U_m we introduce the notation

$$B_m = K_m^H T_m K_m. \quad (3.11)$$

The eigenvalues of B_m are equal to the eigenvalues $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m \in \mathbb{R}$ of T_m and satisfy Proposition 3.1. The Hermitian structure of T_m carries over to B_m .

Proposition 3.5. *With $x = (U_m, u)_M$ we have*

$$r(A)u = U_m r(B_m)x \quad \text{for } r \in \Pi_{m-1}/q_{m-1}. \quad (3.12)$$

Proof. Let $\zeta_0 = \|u_q\|_M$, let V_m be the M-orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u_q)$, and let T_m be the respective qor-Krylov representation for a given $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. Then Proposition 3.2 w.r.t. $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u_q)$ implies

$$p(A)u_q = \zeta_0 V_m p(T_m) e_1, \quad p \in \Pi_{m-1}. \quad (3.13)$$

This implies $q_{m-1}(A)u_q = \zeta_0 V_m q_{m-1}(T_m) e_1$, and with the identities $q_{m-1}(A)u_q = u$ and $(V_m, V_m)_M = I$ we arrive at

$$\zeta_0 e_1 = q_{m-1}^{-1}(T_m)(V_m, u)_M. \quad (3.14)$$

3. A review on quasi-orthogonal polynomials

Let $r = p/q_{m-1}$ for $p \in \Pi_{m-1}$ then $r(A)u = p(A)u_q$, and with (3.13) we have

$$r(A)u = \zeta_0 V_m p(T_m) e_1. \quad (3.15)$$

Inserting (3.14) into (3.15) gives

$$r(A)u = V_m p(T_m) q_{m-1}^{-1}(T_m) (V_m, u)_{\mathbf{M}} = V_m r(T_m) (V_m, u)_{\mathbf{M}}. \quad (3.16)$$

With $K_m K_m^H = I$ (see (2.23c)) the matrix B_m in (3.11) satisfies $r(T_m) = K_m r(B_m) K_m^H$, and together with $V_m K_m = U_m$ (2.23c) we have

$$V_m r(T_m) (V_m, u)_{\mathbf{M}} = U_m r(B_m) (U_m, u)_{\mathbf{M}}. \quad (3.17)$$

Combining (3.16) with (3.17) results in (3.12). \square

The following proposition is associated with identities of rational Gauss-Radau quadrature formulae, see also [Gau04, § 3.1.4.4]. For more details on this relation see Section 4 below.

Proposition 3.6. *With $x = (U_m, u)_{\mathbf{M}}$,*

$$(u, r(A)u)_{\mathbf{M}} = (x, r(B_m)x)_2 \quad \text{for } r \in \Pi_{2m-2}/|q_{m-1}|^2. \quad (3.18)$$

Proof. For rational functions $r \in \Pi_{2m-2}/|q_{m-1}|^2$ we write $r = r_1 r_2$, where $r_1 \in \Pi_{m-1}/\bar{q}_{m-1}$ and $r_2 \in \Pi_{m-1}/q_{m-1}$. With this notation we write

$$(u, r(A)u)_{\mathbf{M}} = (\bar{r}_1(A)u, r_2(A)u)_{\mathbf{M}}, \quad \text{and} \quad (x, r(B_m)x)_2 = (\bar{r}_1(B_m)x, r_2(B_m)x)_2. \quad (3.19)$$

For $r_1, r_2 \in \Pi_{m-1}/q_{m-1}$ we apply Proposition 3.5 to conclude

$$(\bar{r}_1(A)u, r_2(A)u)_{\mathbf{M}} = (U_m \bar{r}_1(B_m)x, U_m r_2(B_m)x)_{\mathbf{M}}. \quad (3.20)$$

Combining (3.19) with (3.20) and making use of $(U_m, U_m)_{\mathbf{M}} = I$ we conclude (3.18). \square

The definition of B_m in (3.11) is of a theoretical nature. We propose a setup in which B_m can be computed efficiently. Let $\mathcal{Q}_{m-2}(A, u)$ be a rational Krylov subspace with arbitrary poles $s_1, \dots, s_{m-3} \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$. The poles s_1, \dots, s_{m-3} define the denominator q_{m-3} and we write $u_q = q_{m-3}^{-1}(A)u$. We also recall the identities

$$\mathcal{Q}_{m-2}(A, u) = \mathcal{K}_{m-2}(A, u_q) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{K}_m(A, u_q) = \mathcal{K}_{m-2}(A, u_q) \oplus \text{span}\{A u, A^2 u\}.$$

We extend the rational Krylov subspace $\mathcal{Q}_{m-2}(A, u)$ by two additional polynomial Krylov steps, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{K}_m(A, u_q) = \mathcal{Q}_{m-2}(A, u) \oplus \text{span}\{A u, A^2 u\}, \quad \text{where } u_q = q_{m-3}^{-1}(A)u. \quad (3.21)$$

The Krylov subspace $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u_q)$ can be referred to as an extended Krylov subspace, and some of the following results are related to [DK98, Section 5].

3. A review on quasi-orthogonal polynomials

Proposition 3.7. *Let m be fixed and $u_q = q_{m-3}^{-1}(A)u$ for a given denominator q_{m-3} . Let $U_{m-2} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m-2}$ be a given \mathbf{M} -orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{Q}_{m-2}(A, u) = \mathcal{K}_{m-2}(A, u_q)$, and $A_{m-2} = (U_{m-2}, A U_{m-2})_{\mathbf{M}}$. Let $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u_q)$ refer to the extended Krylov subspace given in (3.21). Let $V_m = (v_1, \dots, v_m) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ be the \mathbf{M} -orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u_q)$ provided by the Lanczos method. Then the following statements hold true and provide a procedure to compute the qor-Krylov representation of B_m for a given $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and the basis \tilde{U}_m (given below) of the extended Krylov subspace $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u_q)$.*

- (i) *With $\tilde{U}_m = (U_{m-2}, v_{m-1}, v_m) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ we have an \mathbf{M} -orthonormal basis of the extended Krylov subspace $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u_q)$, i.e., $\text{span}\{\tilde{U}_m\} = \mathcal{K}_m(A, u_q)$ and $(\tilde{U}_m, \tilde{U}_m)_{\mathbf{M}} = I$. Furthermore, \tilde{U}_m can be computed without reference to u_q .*
- (ii) *The Rayleigh quotient $\tilde{A}_m = (\tilde{U}_m, A \tilde{U}_m)_{\mathbf{M}}$ of the extended Krylov subspace is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_m &= \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A}_{m-1} & \beta_{m-1} e_{m-1} \\ \beta_{m-1} e_{m-1}^H & a_m \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}, \quad \text{with} \\ \tilde{A}_{m-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} A_{m-2} & \tilde{a} \\ \tilde{a}^H & a_{m-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{(m-1) \times (m-1)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{a} = (U_{m-2}, A v_{m-1})_{\mathbf{M}} \in \mathbb{C}^{m-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Furthermore, $a_m = (J_m)_{m,m}$, $a_{m-1} = (J_m)_{m-1,m-1}$ and $\beta_{m-1} = (J_m)_{m,m-1}$ for the Jacobi matrix J_m of $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u_q)$. The matrix entries a_m , a_{m-1} , β_{m-1} , and \tilde{a} are computed in course of the orthogonalization procedure in (i).

- (iii) *For the basis transformation $\tilde{K}_m = (V_m, \tilde{U}_m)_{\mathbf{M}}$ we have*

$$\tilde{K}_m = \begin{pmatrix} K_{m-2} & 0 \\ 0 & I_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{with} \quad I_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.23)$$

and $K_{m-2} = (V_{m-2}, U_{m-2})_{\mathbf{M}}$.

- (iv) *Let T_m be defined by (3.4) for $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u_q)$. Then, $\tilde{B}_m = \tilde{K}_m^H T_m \tilde{K}_m$ satisfies*

$$\tilde{B}_m = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A}_{m-1} & \beta_{m-1} e_{m-1} \\ \beta_{m-1} e_{m-1}^H & \omega_m \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.24a)$$

with

$$\omega_m = \xi + \beta_{m-1}^2 e_{m-1}^H (\tilde{A}_{m-1} - \xi I)^{-1} e_{m-1}. \quad (3.24b)$$

Proof.

- (i) We have $\text{span}\{U_{m-2}\} = \mathcal{K}_{m-2}(A, u_q) = \text{span}\{V_{m-2}\}$, and by adding v_{m-1} and v_m to the basis we have $\text{span}\{\tilde{U}_m\} = \mathcal{K}_m(A, u_q)$. With $(U_{m-2}, U_{m-2})_{\mathbf{M}} = I$ and $v_{m-1}, v_m \perp_{\mathbf{M}} \mathcal{K}_{m-2}(A, u_q)$ this also implies $(\tilde{U}_m, \tilde{U}_m)_{\mathbf{M}} = I$.

3. A review on quasi-orthogonal polynomials

The M-orthonormal basis \tilde{U}_m can be constructed without referring to u_q by the following procedure: We construct v_{m-1} by orthogonalizing $\tilde{v} = Au$ w.r.t. U_{m-2} and normalizing. In a similar manner we construct v_m via Av_{m-1} . To demonstrate that this procedure yields the correct results, we argue as follows: We recall $u_q = q_{m-3}^{-1}(A)u$, hence, $u = q_{m-3}(A)u_q$. We introduce the notation $\tilde{p}(\lambda) = \lambda q_{m-3}(\lambda)$, where $\lambda q_{m-3}(\lambda) = \lambda^{m-2} + \tilde{p}_{m-3}(\lambda)$ for a polynomial $\tilde{p}_{m-3} \in \Pi_{m-3}$. Let $\tilde{v} = Au$, then $\tilde{v} = \tilde{p}(A)u_q$. We recall $v_j = p_{j-1}(A)u_q$ for the orthonormal polynomials p_0, \dots, p_m provided by Proposition 2.1. The polynomials \tilde{p} and p_{m-2} both have a positive real-valued leading coefficient. Hence, we obtain p_{m-2} by orthogonalizing \tilde{p} w.r.t. p_0, \dots, p_{m-3} and normalizing. Analogously, we obtain v_{m-1} by orthogonalizing $\tilde{v} = Au$ w.r.t. U_{m-2} and normalizing as stated above.

- (ii) In order to specify $\tilde{A}_m = (\tilde{U}_m, A\tilde{U}_m)_M$ we recall $\tilde{U}_m = (U_{m-2}, v_{m-1}, v_m)$. The upper left submatrix of \tilde{A}_m is given by $A_{m-2} = (U_{m-2}, AU_{m-2})_M$. In a similar manner we deduce \tilde{a} , a_m , a_{m-1} and β_{m-1} . Here $a_m = (v_m, Av_m)_M$ by the structure of \tilde{U}_m and with $J_m = (V_m, AV_m)_M$ we also have $a_m = (J_m)_{m,m}$. Analogously, a_{m-1} and β_{m-1} are equal to entries of J_m . We introduce the notation $\tilde{a} = (U_{m-2}, Av_{m-1})_M \in \mathbb{C}^{m-2}$. The entries $(U_{m-2}, Av_m)_M$ are zero due to $Av_m \in \text{span}\{v_{m-1}, v_m, v_{m+1}\}$ being M-orthogonal to U_{m-2} .
- (iii) The basis transformation $\tilde{K}_m = (V_m, \tilde{U}_m)_M$ for $\tilde{U}_m = (U_{m-2}, v_{m-1}, v_m)$ and $V_m = (v_1, \dots, v_m)$, where \tilde{U}_m and V_m are M-orthonormal bases, indeed has the simple structure (3.23).
- (iv) We proceed with the matrix entry ω_m of T_m in (3.4). Following Algorithm 4, ω_m evaluates to

$$\omega_m = \xi + \beta_{m-1}^2 e_{m-1}^H (J_{m-1} - \xi I)^{-1} e_{m-1}, \quad (3.25)$$

where β_{m-1} refers to $(J_m)_{m,m-1}$ which is equal to $(\tilde{A}_m)_{m,m-1}$, see (3.22). By the matrix structure of \tilde{K}_m (see (3.23)) we have $\tilde{K}_{m-1}e_{m-1} = e_{m-1}$, thus,

$$e_{m-1}^H (J_{m-1} - \xi I)^{-1} e_{m-1} = e_{m-1}^H \tilde{K}_{m-1}^H (J_{m-1} - \xi I)^{-1} \tilde{K}_{m-1} e_{m-1} \quad (3.26)$$

Furthermore, $\tilde{K}_{m-1}^H \tilde{K}_{m-1} = I$ (2.23c) together with $\tilde{A}_{m-1} = \tilde{K}_{m-1}^H J_{m-1} \tilde{K}_{m-1}$ yield

$$e_{m-1}^H \tilde{K}_{m-1}^H (J_{m-1} - \xi I)^{-1} \tilde{K}_{m-1} e_{m-1} = e_{m-1}^H (\tilde{A}_{m-1} - \xi I)^{-1} e_{m-1}. \quad (3.27)$$

Combining (3.25) with (3.26) and (3.27) we conclude (3.24b).

Compare J_m (2.12) with T_m (3.4) to observe

$$T_m = J_m + (\omega_m - a_m) e_m e_m^H.$$

3. A review on quasi-orthogonal polynomials

With $\tilde{K}_m^H e_m = e_m$ and $\tilde{A}_m = \tilde{K}_m^H J_m \tilde{K}_m$ this implies

$$\tilde{B}_m = \tilde{K}_m^H T_m \tilde{K}_m = \tilde{A}_m + (\omega_m - a_m) e_m e_m^H. \quad (3.28)$$

With (3.22) and (3.28) we conclude (3.24a). □

Remark 3.8. The approach of Proposition 3.7 provides B_m for an extended Krylov subspace and can be slightly modified to fit for a fully rational Krylov subspace $\mathcal{Q}_m(A, u)$. Let $s_1, \dots, s_{m-1} \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$, where $s_{m-2}, s_{m-1} \in \mathbb{C}$, and let q_{m-1} be the respective denominator. We recall

$$\mathcal{Q}_m(A, u) = \mathcal{K}_m(A, u_q), \quad \text{where } u_q = q_{m-1}^{-1}(A)u. \quad (3.29)$$

We introduce the modified initial vector \hat{u} and denominator $\hat{q}_{m-3}(A)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{u} &= (A - s_{m-2}I)^{-1}(A - s_{m-1}I)^{-1}u, \quad \text{and} \\ \hat{q}_{m-3}(A) &= (A - s_1I)(A - s_2I) \cdots (A - s_{m-3}I). \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

Let $\mathcal{Q}_{m-2}(A, \hat{u})$ be the rational Krylov subspace according to the initial vector \hat{u} and poles s_1, \dots, s_{m-3} . Then

$$\mathcal{Q}_{m-2}(A, \hat{u}) = \mathcal{K}_{m-2}(A, \hat{q}_{m-3}^{-1}(A)\hat{u}).$$

Due to (3.30), this initial vector satisfies $\hat{q}_{m-3}^{-1}(A)\hat{u} = u_q$ for u_q given in (3.29). This implies

$$\mathcal{Q}_{m-2}(A, \hat{u}) = \mathcal{K}_{m-2}(A, u_q). \quad (3.31)$$

To apply Proposition 3.7 for the rational Krylov subspace $\mathcal{Q}_{m-1}(A, u)$ in (3.29), we represent $\mathcal{Q}_{m-1}(A, u)$ with poles $s_1, \dots, s_{m-1} \in \mathbb{C}$ as an extended Krylov subspace of the form (3.21). Substituting \hat{u} for the initial vector u in extended Krylov subspace in (3.21), we have

$$\mathcal{Q}_{m-2}(A, \hat{u}) \oplus \text{span}\{A\hat{u}, A^2\hat{u}\}. \quad (3.32)$$

We proceed to show that this accumulated vector space coincides with $\mathcal{Q}_m(A, u)$. Substituting

$$\mathcal{K}_m(A, u_q) = \mathcal{K}_{m-2}(A, u_q) \oplus \text{span}\{A^{m-2}u_q, A^{m-1}u_q\}$$

for $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u_q)$ in (3.29), we have

$$\mathcal{Q}_m(A, u) = \mathcal{K}_{m-2}(A, u_q) \oplus \text{span}\{A^{m-2}u_q, A^{m-1}u_q\}. \quad (3.33)$$

Substituting $\hat{u} = \hat{q}_{m-3}(A)u_q$, we rewrite the right-hand term in (3.32) to

$$\text{span}\{A\hat{u}, A^2\hat{u}\} = \text{span}\{A\hat{q}_{m-3}(A)u_q, A^2\hat{q}_{m-3}(A)u_q\}.$$

3. A review on quasi-orthogonal polynomials

Algorithm 5: An algorithm to compute the matrix B_m for the SaI Krylov subspace with a single pole $s \in \mathbb{C}$ of multiplicity $m - 1$ and a preassigned Ritz value $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. This algorithm follows Proposition 3.7 for a modified starting vector $\hat{u} = X^2 u$ with $X = (A - sI)^{-1}$.

$\hat{u} = X^2 u$ with $X = (A - sI)^{-1}$;
 run Algorithm 1 to compute U_{m-2} and A_{m-2} for the SaI Krylov subspace
 $\mathcal{K}_{m-2}(X, \hat{u})$;
 $\tilde{v} = A\hat{u}$;
 orthogonalize \tilde{v} with U_{m-2} and set $v_{m-1} = \tilde{v}/\|\tilde{v}\|_{\mathbf{M}}$;
 $\hat{v} = Av_{m-1}$;
 for $j = 1, \dots, m - 2$;
 $y_j = (u_j, \hat{v})_{\mathbf{M}}$;
 $\hat{v} \leftarrow \hat{v} - y_j u_j$;
 $a_{m-1} = (v_{m-1}, \hat{v})_{\mathbf{M}}$ and $\hat{v} \leftarrow \hat{v} - a_{m-1} v_{m-1}$;
 $\beta_{m-1} = \|\hat{v}\|_{\mathbf{M}}$ and $v_m = \hat{v}/\beta_{m-1}$;
 $A_{m-1} = [A_{m-2}, y; y^{\mathbf{H}}, a_{m-1}]$;
 $\omega_m = \xi + \beta_{m-1}^2 e_{m-1}^{\mathbf{H}} (A_{m-1} - \xi I)^{-1} e_{m-1}$;
 $B_m = [A_{m-1}, \beta_{m-1} e_{m-1}; \beta_{m-1} e_{m-1}^{\mathbf{H}}, \omega_m]$;
 $U_m = (U_{m-2}, v_{m-1}, v_m)$;
 $x = (U_m, u)_{\mathbf{M}}$;
 return x, U_m, B_m ;

The matrix polynomials $A\hat{q}_{m-3}(A)$ and $A^2\hat{q}_{m-3}(A)$ correspond to polynomials of degree $m - 2$ and $m - 1$, respectively, and this implies

$$\mathcal{K}_{m-2}(A, u_q) \oplus \text{span}\{A\hat{u}, A^2\hat{u}\} = \mathcal{K}_{m-2}(A, u_q) \oplus \text{span}\{A^{m-2}u_q, A^{m-1}u_q\}.$$

Combining (3.31) and (3.33) with this identity, we conclude

$$\mathcal{Q}_m(A, u) = \mathcal{Q}_{m-2}(A, \hat{u}) \oplus \text{span}\{A\hat{u}, A^2\hat{u}\}.$$

Thus, this rational Krylov subspace corresponds to an extended Krylov subspace with initial vector $\hat{u} = (A - s_{m-2}I)^{-1}(A - s_{m-1}I)^{-1}u$, and the approach of Proposition 3.7 provides an algorithm to compute a rational qor-Krylov representation B_m of $\mathcal{Q}_m(A, u)$ without accessing $q_{m-1}^{-1}(A)u$.

Following Remark 3.8, the approach of Proposition 3.7 provides a procedure to compute the matrix B_m for a rational Krylov subspace. For the SaI Krylov subspace with a single pole $s \in \mathbb{C}$ of multiplicity $m - 1$ and a fixed $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ this is specified in Algorithm 5.

4. The CMS Theorem for polynomial and some rational Krylov subspaces

A rational qor-Krylov approximation to matrix functions $f(A)u$.

We refer to

$$U_m f(B_m) x \approx f(A) u \quad (3.34)$$

as a rational quasi-orthogonal residual (qor-)Krylov approximation.

4. The Separation Theorem of Chebyshev-Markov-Stieltjes (CMS Theorem) for polynomial and some rational Krylov subspaces

The CMS Theorem states that the accumulated quadrature weights of Gaussian quadrature formulae are bounded by Riemann-Stieltjes integrals over the intervals between the left integral limit and the quadrature nodes. In Subsection 4.1 we first reformulate previously stated identities of the Krylov representation (namely, Proposition 2.3, 2.4, 3.3 and 3.6) as Gaussian quadrature formulae for the Riemann-Stieltjes integral associated with the step function α_n ; this allows us to present results in the following subsections (which apply in the Krylov setting) for a more general setting, i.e., for Gaussian quadrature formulae. We also recall some notation for Gaussian quadrature formulae of Riemann-Stieltjes integrals, and we link classical notations to the previously introduced setting.

In Subsection 4.2 we recapitulate the CMS Theorem for the polynomial Krylov setting, and in Subsection 4.3–4.5 we introduce CMS type results for various rational Krylov settings.

Throughout the present work, we consider integrals associated with a non-decreasing step function α_n with n points of strict increase. However, most of the results in the present section hold true for integrals associated with non-decreasing continuous functions α in a similar manner; the case of α being a continuous is not discussed in detail in the present work.

4.1. Gaussian quadrature formulae and Krylov subspaces. Historical context

The integral associated with the step function α_n is to be understood as a Riemann-Stieltjes integral. Gaussian quadrature formulae for Riemann-Stieltjes integrals are also referred to as Gauss-Christoffel quadrature formulae in the literature, for previous remarks see also Subsection 1.1. For the Gauss-Christoffel quadrature formula which integrates polynomials of degree $\leq 2m - 1$ exactly, the quadrature nodes are given by the zeros of the associated orthogonal polynomial of degree m , and the quadrature weights are given by so called Christoffel numbers. Similar results hold for Gauss-Radau formulae for which the quadrature nodes and weights coincide with zeros of quasi-orthogonal

4. The CMS Theorem for polynomial and some rational Krylov subspaces

polynomials and respective Christoffel numbers. We briefly recapitulate the relation between Gaussian quadrature formulae and the Jacobi matrix, which is also mentioned in Subsection 1.1; for further details on Gaussian quadrature formulae we refer to [Gau81] and others. Further below in the present subsection, we recall similar results for rational Gaussian quadrature formulae.

The Christoffel numbers and the eigendecomposition of the Jacobi matrix. For the orthonormal polynomials p_0, \dots, p_{m-1} associated with the distribution $d\alpha_n$, see Proposition 2.1, we define

$$\rho_{m-1}(\lambda) = 1 / \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} p_k(\lambda)^2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We recall that the Ritz values $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m \in \mathbb{R}$ correspond to the zeros of p_m . The numbers $\rho_{m-1}(\theta_1), \dots, \rho_{m-1}(\theta_m)$ are also referred to as *Christoffel numbers* in the literature.

We proceed to recall the relation between Christoffel numbers and entries of eigenvectors of the Jacobi matrix which goes back to [Wil62, GW69]. We introduce the denotation $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{R}$ for the spectral coefficients of the vector $\beta_0 e_1$ in the eigenbasis of J_m , which further correspond to the first components of the scaled eigenvectors: Let $\hat{q}_1, \dots, \hat{q}_m \in \mathbb{R}^m$ denote the ℓ^2 -orthonormal eigenvectors of J_m , i.e., $J_m \hat{q}_j = \theta_j \hat{q}_j$ for the Ritz values θ_j and $(\hat{q}_j, \hat{q}_k)_2 = \delta_{jk}$, then

$$c_j = \beta_0 (\hat{q}_j, e_1)_2 \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.1)$$

The Christoffel numbers correspond to the first components of the eigenvectors of the Jacobi matrix: We recall the following results for the eigenvectors of J_m . Following Section 2, the eigenvector for the eigenvalue θ_j is given by

$$(p_0(\theta_j), \dots, p_{m-1}(\theta_j))^T \in \mathbb{R}^m. \quad (4.2)$$

For the first component of the eigenvector we have $p_0 = 1/\beta_0$. Thus, the first component of the j -th normalized eigenvector scaled by β_0 and squared satisfies

$$c_j^2 = 1 / \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} p_k(\theta_j)^2 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m, \quad (4.3)$$

and for the Christoffel numbers we have the identity

$$c_j^2 = \rho_{m-1}(\theta_j), \quad j = 1, \dots, m. \quad (4.4)$$

The Christoffel numbers are nonzero,¹² i.e., $c_j \neq 0$. Although c_j is real-valued, we also write $|c_j|^2$ in place of c_j^2 .

¹²The result $c_j \neq 0$ is clarified in Appendix A, Proposition A.2.

4. The CMS Theorem for polynomial and some rational Krylov subspaces

Similar results hold for the spectrum of the qor-Krylov representation T_m introduced in Subsection 3.1. We reuse some notation associated with the spectrum of J_m for T_m : Corresponding to T_m the denotations $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$ and c_1, \dots, c_m refer to the eigenvalues of T_m and the spectral coefficients of $\beta_0 e_1$ in the ℓ^2 -orthonormal eigenbasis of T_m , respectively. For the qor-Krylov representation T_m we assume that the preassigned eigenvalue ξ is given such that the underlying quasi-orthogonal polynomial is well-defined, and we assume that the eigenvalues of T_m are included within the integral limits of the respective Riemann-Stieltjes integral. (See Proposition 3.1 for some details on the location of the eigenvalues of T_m .) Following (3.5), the eigenvectors of T_m conform to (4.2) when $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$ refer to the respective eigenvalues. Similar to the case of the Jacobi matrix, the representation (4.3) and the identity (4.4) also hold true for T_m .

A review on Gaussian quadrature formulae for the Riemann-Stieltjes integral. We proceed to reformulate Proposition 2.3 and 2.4 as Gaussian quadrature formulae for the Riemann-Stieltjes integral associated with the step function α_n . We recall that α_n is based on the eigenvalues of A and the spectral coefficients of u .

For a complex-valued function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where we consider polynomials or rational functions later on, the following formulations are equivalent (see also (2.8)),

$$\int_a^b f(\lambda) d\alpha_n(\lambda) = (u, f(A)u)_M = \sum_{j=1}^n f(\lambda_j) |w_j|^2. \quad (4.5a)$$

In a similar manner, the orthonormal eigendecomposition of J_m yields

$$\beta_0^2 (e_1, f(J_m) e_1)_2 = \sum_{j=1}^m f(\theta_j) |c_j|^2. \quad (4.5b)$$

Identity (4.5b) also holds true for T_m if θ_j and c_j refer to the spectrum of T_m .

The Ritz values θ_j and Christoffel numbers $\rho_{m-1}(\theta_j)$ provide quadrature nodes and weights, respectively, for the Gaussian quadrature formulae which are also referred to as Gauss-Christoffel quadrature formulae in the literature, see also [Gau81]. We recapitulate classical results on Gaussian quadrature formulae using the notation $|c_j|^2$ for the Christoffel numbers, see (4.4).

Remark 4.1 (Gaussian quadrature property, e.g., Subsection 6.2 [GM10]). *The Ritz values $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$ and the spectral coefficients c_1, \dots, c_m w.r.t. J_m constitute a Gaussian quadrature formula for the Riemann-Stieltjes integral (2.6b),*

$$\int_a^b p(\lambda) d\alpha_n(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^m p(\theta_j) |c_j|^2, \quad p \in \Pi_{2m-1}. \quad (4.6)$$

4. The CMS Theorem for polynomial and some rational Krylov subspaces

Here, the Ritz values and the spectral coefficients represent the quadrature nodes and quadrature weights, respectively. On the basis of results of the present work, identity (4.6) can be verified via the identities for the inner product in (2.6c) and (4.5),

$$\int_a^b p(\lambda) d\alpha_n(\lambda) = (u, p(A)u)_M = \beta_0^2 (e_1, p(J_m)e_1)_2 = \sum_{j=1}^m p(\theta_j) |c_j|^2, \quad p \in \Pi_{2m-1}.$$

Analogously, the qor-Krylov representation T_m provides the following quadrature formula. Let $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$ and c_1, \dots, c_m be the eigenvalues and spectral coefficients of T_m , then the identities (3.8) for $p \in \Pi_{2m-2}$ together with (4.5) imply

$$\int_a^b p(\lambda) d\alpha_n(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^m p(\theta_j) |c_j|^2, \quad p \in \Pi_{2m-2}. \quad (4.7)$$

When $\xi = a$ (thus, $\theta_1 = a$) or $\xi = b$ (thus, $\theta_m = b$) is preassigned this is also referred to as a Gauss-Radau quadrature formula.

In view of Remark (4.1) we summarize results for the Jacobi matrix J_m and the qor-Krylov representation T_m . For these results we write out the Riemann-Stieltjes integral (2.6b) in terms of its sum representation.

Corollary 4.2. *Let $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$ and c_1, \dots, c_m denote the eigenvalues and spectral coefficients, respectively, of either J_m or T_m , where the spectral coefficients c_j refer to the vector $\beta_0 e_1$. Then,*

$$\int_a^b p(\lambda) d\alpha_n(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^m p(\theta_j) |c_j|^2, \quad p \in \Pi_{2m-2}. \quad (4.8)$$

Rational Gaussian quadrature formulae and rational Krylov subspaces. For rational Krylov subspaces $\mathcal{Q}_m(A, u)$ we recall the definition of the Rayleigh quotient $A_m = (U_m, AU_m)_M$, where U_m is an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{Q}_m(A, u)$. Furthermore, the vector $x = (U_m, u)_M$ and the rational qor-Krylov representation B_m (introduced in Subsection 3.2 via (3.11)) implicitly depend on U_m . In the sequel we consider U_m to be fixed, and we assume that B_m is well-defined. For the latter we refer to the conditions concerning the definition of T_m in Section 3. We proceed to reuse the denotation $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$ for the eigenvalues of A_m ('rational' Ritz values), and c_1, \dots, c_m for the spectral coefficients of x in the orthonormal eigenbasis of A_m : Let $\hat{q}_j \in \mathbb{C}^m$ denote the ℓ^2 -orthonormal eigenvectors of A_m , i.e., $A_m \hat{q}_j = \theta_j \hat{q}_j$ and $(\hat{q}_j, \hat{q}_k)_2 = \delta_{jk}$, then

$$c_j = (\hat{q}_j, x)_2 \in \mathbb{C}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m. \quad (4.9)$$

4. The CMS Theorem for polynomial and some rational Krylov subspaces

We remark that the coefficients $|c_j|$ are independent of the explicit choice of the orthonormal basis U_m , this is clarified in Proposition A.4, Appendix A. For a function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the eigendecomposition of A_m yields

$$(x, f(A_m)x)_2 = \sum_{j=1}^m f(\theta_j) |c_j|^2. \quad (4.10)$$

In the context of the rational qor-Krylov representation B_m the denotation $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$ and c_1, \dots, c_m is reused accordingly, and an identity similar to (4.10) holds true for B_m when θ_j and c_j refer to the spectrum of B_m .

Remark 4.3. *Similar to Remark 4.1, the identity in (2.26) corresponds to the following rational Gaussian quadrature formula. Let $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$ and c_1, \dots, c_m refer to the spectrum of A_m , then*

$$\int_a^b r(\lambda) d\alpha_n(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^m r(\theta_j) |c_j|^2, \quad r \in \Pi_{2m-1}/|q_{m-1}|^2. \quad (4.11)$$

To demonstrate (4.11) we recall the identities for the inner product in (2.26), (4.5a), and (4.10),

$$\int_a^b r(\lambda) d\alpha_n(\lambda) = (u, r(A)u)_M = (x, r(A_m)x)_2 = \sum_{j=1}^m |c_j|^2 r(\theta_j), \quad r \in \Pi_{2m-1}/|q_{m-1}|^2.$$

The rational qor-Krylov representation B_m provides the following quadrature formula via Proposition 3.6,

$$\int_a^b r(\lambda) d\alpha_n(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^m r(\theta_j) |c_j|^2, \quad r \in \Pi_{2m-2}/|q_{m-1}|^2.$$

When the preassigned eigenvalue of B_m is set to one of the integral limits, i.e., $\theta_1 = a$ or $\theta_m = b$, then this formula is also referred to as rational Gauss-Radau quadrature formula.

We summarize the statements of Remark 4.3 concerning A_m and B_m .

Corollary 4.4. *Let $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$ and c_1, \dots, c_m denote the eigenvalues and spectral coefficients, respectively, of either A_m or B_m , where the spectral coefficients refer to the vector x . Then,*

$$\int_a^b r(\lambda) d\alpha_n(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^m r(\theta_j) |c_j|^2, \quad r \in \Pi_{2m-2}/|q_{m-1}|^2. \quad (4.12)$$

4. The CMS Theorem for polynomial and some rational Krylov subspaces

4.2. The CMS Theorem for the polynomial case

The CMS Theorem dates back to works of Chebyshev, Markov and Stieltjes in the 19th century and also goes by the name Chebyshev-Markov-Stieltjes inequalities. For further historical and technical remarks we refer to [Sze85, Section 3.41] (including an extensive survey of this theorem), [Akh65, Theorem 2.54], [VA93, Section 4], [LS13, Section 3], [Chi78] and others.

The Riemann-Stieltjes integral associated with α_n (2.6a) over a subset of (a, b) can be understood as a measure of such a subset. Namely, with $\alpha_n(a) = 0$ we consider $\alpha_n(\theta)$ to be the associated measure of the interval $(a, \theta]$ for $\theta \in (a, b)$. To simplify the notation in the sequel, we let $\mu_n(R)$ denote the measure of a subset R of (a, b) associated with α_n . More precisely, we first define

$$J(R) = \{j : \lambda_j \in R\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad \text{for a set } R \subset (a, b). \quad (4.13a)$$

The sum of the spectral coefficients w_j over the index set $J(R)$ corresponds to the measure of the set R associated with α_n , and we define

$$\mu_n(R) = \sum_{j \in J(R)} |w_j|^2. \quad (4.13b)$$

Thus, we have $\mu_n((a, \theta]) = \alpha_n(\theta)$ for $\theta \in (a, b)$. Furthermore, we proceed to use the notation μ_n and α_n for the measure of an interval $(a, \theta]$ in an equivalent manner. Similarly, we use the notation $\alpha_n(\theta-)$ for the measure of the open interval (a, θ) , i.e.,

$$\alpha_n(\theta-) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \alpha_n(\theta - \varepsilon) = \mu_n((a, \theta)).$$

We proceed to recall the CMS Theorem. This theorem is based on the Gaussian quadrature properties (4.8) as in Corollary 4.2, and thus, the following results hold true when θ_j and c_j refer to the spectrum of the Jacobi matrix J_m or the qor-Krylov representation T_m .

Theorem 4.5 (Separation Theorem of Chebyshev-Markov-Stieltjes, see also Section 3.41 in [Sze85]). *Let $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m \in (a, b)$ and $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the Gaussian quadrature property (4.8), then*

$$\alpha_n(\theta_k) < |c_1|^2 + \dots + |c_k|^2 < \alpha_n(\theta_{k+1}-), \quad k = 1, \dots, m-1. \quad (4.14)$$

We point out that for $k = m$ the bounds in (4.14) can be replaced by the following identity. The Gaussian quadrature property (4.8) for $p = 1$ implies

$$\sum_{j=1}^m |c_j|^2 = \alpha_n(b). \quad (4.15)$$

(This also results directly from $\|u\|_M = \beta_0 \|e_1\|_2$.)

To recall a classical proof of the CMS Theorem we introduce the following polynomials.

4. The CMS Theorem for polynomial and some rational Krylov subspaces




Figure 2.: This figure illustrates the polynomials $p_{\{+,k\}}$ (left) and $p_{\{-,k\}}$ (right) given in Proposition 4.6 for given nodes $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$ with $m = 5$. The identities (4.16) are illustrated for $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k$ ('o') and $\theta_{k+1}, \dots, \theta_m$ ('x') with $k = 3$, and the dashed line illustrates the bounds (4.17).

Proposition 4.6 (Eq. (3.411.1) in [Sze85], part of Theorem (2.5.4) in [Akh65] and others¹³). *Let $\theta_1 < \dots < \theta_m \in \mathbb{R}$ and let k be fixed with $1 \leq k < m$. Then there exist polynomials $p_{\{+,k\}}$ and $p_{\{-,k\}} \in \Pi_{2m-2}$ which satisfy¹⁴*

$$p_{\{\pm,k\}}(\theta_j) = \begin{cases} 1, & j = 1, \dots, k, \\ 0, & j = k+1, \dots, m, \end{cases} \quad (4.16)$$

together with

$$p_{\{+,k\}}(\lambda) \geq \begin{cases} 1, & \lambda \leq \theta_k, \\ 0, & \lambda > \theta_k, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad p_{\{-,k\}}(\lambda) \leq \begin{cases} 1, & \lambda < \theta_{k+1}, \\ 0, & \lambda \geq \theta_{k+1}. \end{cases} \quad (4.17)$$

Additionally, the inequalities in (4.17) are strict inequalities for $\lambda \notin \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m\}$.

The polynomials of Proposition 4.6 are illustrated in Figure 2 for a numerical example.

With Proposition 4.6 we proceed to prove Theorem 4.5.

Proof of Theorem 4.5. Let $p_{\{\pm,k\}} \in \Pi_{2m-2}$ be given according to Proposition 4.6 for the eigenvalues $\theta_1 < \dots < \theta_m$ and $k = 1, \dots, m-1$. The polynomials $p_{\{\pm,k\}}$ satisfy (4.16), and this implies

$$\sum_{j=1}^m p_{\{\pm,k\}}(\theta_j) |c_j|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^k |c_j|^2. \quad (4.18)$$

¹³A classical proof of Proposition 4.6 is recapitulated in Appendix B.

¹⁴In the sequel statements concerning $p_{\{\pm,k\}}$ apply to $p_{\{+,k\}}$ and $p_{\{-,k\}}$ individually.

4. The CMS Theorem for polynomial and some rational Krylov subspaces

On the other hand, identity (4.8) yields

$$\sum_{j=1}^m p_{\{\pm, k\}}(\theta_j) |c_j|^2 = \int_a^b p_{\{\pm, k\}}(\lambda) d\alpha_n(\lambda).$$

Evaluating the Riemann-Stieltjes integral in this identity, we arrive at

$$\sum_{j=1}^m p_{\{\pm, k\}}(\theta_j) |c_j|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n p_{\{\pm, k\}}(\lambda_j) |w_j|^2. \quad (4.19)$$

Let the index set $J((a, \theta_k]) \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ be given as in (4.13a). Then, the inequalities for $p_{\{+, k\}}$ in (4.17) imply

$$\sum_{j=1}^n p_{\{+, k\}}(\lambda_j) |w_j|^2 > \sum_{j \in J((a, \theta_k])} |w_j|^2 = \alpha_n(\theta_k). \quad (4.20)$$

This inequality is strict due to the interlacing property of the eigenvalues λ_j and θ_j , see Proposition 2.2 and 3.1. Combining (4.18), (4.19) and (4.20) yields the lower bound in (4.14).

Similarly to (4.20), the inequalities for $p_{\{-, k\}}$ in (4.17) imply

$$\sum_{j=1}^n p_{\{-, k\}}(\lambda_j) |w_j|^2 < \sum_{j \in J((a, \theta_{k+1}))} |w_j|^2 = \alpha_n(\theta_{k+1}-). \quad (4.21)$$

Combining (4.18), (4.19) and (4.21) yields the upper bound in (4.14). \square

The inequalities (4.14) in Theorem 4.5 yield the following bounds on the measure of the intervals located between Ritz values. In the following, we use the notation μ_n for the measure as in (4.13b).

Corollary 4.7. *In the setting of Theorem 4.5, the following inequalities hold true.*

- For indices j, k with $1 < j < k < m$,

$$\mu_n([\theta_j, \theta_k]) < |c_j|^2 + |c_{j+1}|^2 + \dots + |c_k|^2 < \mu_n((\theta_{j-1}, \theta_{k+1})) \quad (4.22a)$$

- Furthermore, the accumulated spectral coefficients satisfy

$$\mu_n([\theta_j, b)) < |c_j|^2 + \dots + |c_m|^2 < \mu_n((\theta_{j-1}, b)), \quad j = 2, \dots, m. \quad (4.22b)$$

4. The CMS Theorem for polynomial and some rational Krylov subspaces

Proof. Applying (4.14) twice (once we substitute $j - 1$ for the index k therein) and subtracting, we observe

$$\alpha_n(\theta_k) - \alpha_n(\theta_{j-}) < |c_j|^2 + |c_{j+1}|^2 + \dots + |c_k|^2 < \alpha_n(\theta_{k+1}-) - \alpha_n(\theta_{j-1}),$$

this shows (4.22a). Subtracting (4.14) for the index $j - 1$ from (4.15), we arrive at

$$\alpha_n(b) - \alpha_n(\theta_{j-}) < |c_j|^2 + \dots + |c_m|^2 < \alpha_n(b) - \alpha_n(\theta_{j-1}), \quad (4.23)$$

which entails (4.22b). \square

We proceed to specify the intertwining property of the distributions $d\alpha_n$ and $d\alpha_m$ which already appeared in the introduction of Subsection 1.1: Similarly to α_n in (2.6a), we introduce the step function

$$\alpha_m(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0, & \lambda < \theta_1, \\ \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} |c_j|^2, & \theta_\ell \leq \lambda < \theta_{\ell+1}, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, m-1, \\ \sum_{j=1}^m |c_j|^2, & \theta_m \leq \lambda. \end{cases} \quad (4.24)$$

For $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ the Riemann-Stieltjes integral associated with α_m reads

$$\int_a^b f(\lambda) d\alpha_m(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^m |c_j|^2 f(\theta_j).$$

Thus, the quadrature property in Corollary 4.2 coincides with the identity

$$\int_a^b \lambda^j d\alpha_n(\lambda) = \int_a^b \lambda^j d\alpha_m(\lambda), \quad j = 0, \dots, 2m-2. \quad (4.25)$$

The integral terms in (4.25) correspond to the moments of the distributions $d\alpha_n$ and $d\alpha_m$, and thus, the Gaussian quadrature property in Corollary 4.2 coincides with $d\alpha_n$ and $d\alpha_m$ having matching moments up to order $2m - 2$. We define the auxiliary function

$$F(\lambda) = \alpha_n(\lambda) - \alpha_m(\lambda), \quad (4.26)$$

and remark the following properties of F . The step functions $\alpha_n(\lambda)$ and $\alpha_m(\lambda)$ are both increasing in λ , whereat the step function $\alpha_m(\lambda)$ has exactly m points of increase at $\lambda = \theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$. Thus, the function $F(\lambda)$ is increasing for $\lambda \in (\theta_k, \theta_{k+1})$, $k = 1, \dots, m-1$, and away from the boundaries $\lambda < \theta_1$ and $\lambda > \theta_m$. Furthermore, Theorem 4.5 yields

$$\alpha_n(\theta_k) - (|c_1|^2 + \dots + |c_k|^2) < 0 < \alpha_n(\theta_{k+1}-) - (|c_1|^2 + \dots + |c_k|^2), \quad k = 1, \dots, m-1.$$

The accumulated coefficients c_k correspond to the step function α_m (4.24), namely,

$$|c_1|^2 + \dots + |c_k|^2 = \alpha_m(\theta_k) = \alpha_m(\theta_{k+1}-), \quad (4.27)$$

4. The CMS Theorem for polynomial and some rational Krylov subspaces

and we observe the inequalities

$$F(\theta_k) < 0 < F(\theta_{k+1}-) \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, m-1. \quad (4.28)$$

More precisely, the inequalities (4.28) are equivalent to the assertion of the CMS Theorem (Theorem 4.5).

To clarify the intertwining property of $d\alpha_n$ and $d\alpha_m$ in this context: The CMS Theorem relies on quadrature properties which correspond to (4.25), i.e., $d\alpha_n$ and $d\alpha_m$ having matching moments, and the result of the CMS Theorem corresponds to (4.28), which can be understood as an intertwining property of $d\alpha_n$ and $d\alpha_m$.

Besides these remarks, the function F is further used in the following subsection to rewrite CMS type results for rational cases, and in Section 5 below where we verify results of the present section for numerical examples.

Remark 4.8. *In the present work, the measure α_n is introduced based on eigenvalues λ_j of A and the spectral coefficients w_j of the initial vector u in the eigenbasis of A as in (2.6a). Thus, the bounds given by the CMS Theorem reveal bounds for the accumulated spectral coefficients w_j . To simplify the notation we proceed with the setting of the Jacobi matrix J_m , i.e., the eigenvalues θ_j and spectral coefficients c_j refer to the spectrum of the Jacobi matrix. In a similar manner such results also hold for the qor-Krylov representation T_m as specified below. The bounds on α_n provided by the CMS Theorem are computable, i.e., θ_j and c_j are available via an eigendecomposition of the Jacobi matrix which can be computed using the Lanczos method.*

We proceed in the setting of the Jacobi matrix. For its eigenvalues θ_j we define the index $\ell = \ell(k)$ for $k = 1, \dots, m$, such that

$$\lambda_{\ell(k)} \leq \theta_k < \lambda_{\ell(k)+1}. \quad (4.29)$$

The positioning of the eigenvalues, which is specified in Proposition 2.2, implies $\ell(k) < \ell(k+1)$ for $k = 1, \dots, m-1$ and $1 \leq \ell(k) < n$ for $k = 1, \dots, m$.

With $\ell(k)$ defined in (4.29) we have the representations

$$\alpha_n(\theta_k) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell(k)} |w_j|^2, \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_n(\theta_{k+1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell(k+1)} |w_j|^2, \quad k = 1, \dots, m-1. \quad (4.30)$$

Note that $\alpha_n(\theta_{k+1}-) \leq \alpha_n(\theta_{k+1})$; to keep the notation simple, the case $\alpha_n(\theta_{k+1}-) < \alpha_n(\theta_{k+1})$ is not treated separately here. For the remainder of the present remark we assume

$$\lambda_{\ell(k)} \neq \theta_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, m.$$

Thus, with (4.30) Theorem 4.5 reads

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\ell(k)} |w_j|^2 < \sum_{j=1}^k |c_j|^2 < \sum_{j=1}^{\ell(k+1)} |w_j|^2, \quad k = 1, \dots, m-1. \quad (4.31)$$

4. The CMS Theorem for polynomial and some rational Krylov subspaces

Furthermore, for a set of eigenvalues of A located between two Ritz values θ_j and θ_k with $j < k$ we recall

$$\lambda_{\ell(j)} < \theta_j < \lambda_{\ell(j)+1} < \dots < \lambda_{\ell(k)} < \theta_k, \quad k = 2, \dots, m,$$

and with (4.30), the sum of spectral coefficients w_j associated with these eigenvalues corresponds to

$$\sum_{\iota=\ell(j)+1}^{\ell(k)} |w_\iota|^2 = \alpha_n(\theta_k) - \alpha_n(\theta_j), \quad j < k. \quad (4.32)$$

Furthermore, combining this identity with (4.31) or (4.22), we obtain computable bounds on accumulated spectral coefficients of u . E.g., for $1 < j < k < m$ the inequality (4.22a) yields

$$|c_{j+1}|^2 + \dots + |c_{k-1}|^2 < \sum_{\iota=\ell(j)+1}^{\ell(k)} |w_\iota|^2 < |c_j|^2 + \dots + |c_k|^2,$$

where the lower bound is trivial in the case $k = j + 1$.

We remark that the results of the present subsection can be generalized to the setting of the qor-Krylov representation T_m . For the qor-Krylov representation, the cases $\theta_1 < \lambda_1$ and $\lambda_n < \theta_m$ have to be considered explicitly in the notation, namely, the indices $\ell(1)$ and $\ell(m)$ have to be adapted accordingly for these cases.

Remark 4.9. In the present work the measure α_n is based on the spectrum of A and has n points of strict increase. Thus, the identity of [Sze85, eq. (3.41.3)] which relies on a continuous measure does not hold true in the present case, i.e.,

$$\text{in general, we do not find any point } y_k \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } \alpha_n(y_k) = \sum_{j=1}^k |c_j|^2.$$

Nevertheless, the inequalities in (4.31) imply that there exist indices ν_k with $\ell(k) < \nu_k \leq \ell(k+1)$ and numbers $\xi_k \in (0, 1]$ for $k = 1, \dots, m-1$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\nu_k-1} |w_j|^2 + \xi_k |w_{\nu_k}|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^k |c_j|^2,$$

This can give further theoretical insight on the estimates provided in Remark 4.8. Nevertheless, the indices ν_j and scaling factors ξ_j are not computable in general.

The indices ν_k satisfy $\lambda_{\nu_k} \in (\theta_k, \theta_{k+1}]$, thus,

$$\lambda_1 < \theta_1 < \lambda_{\nu_1} \leq \theta_2 < \lambda_{\nu_2} < \dots \leq \theta_{m-1} < \lambda_{\nu_{m-1}} \leq \theta_m < \lambda_n.$$

4. The CMS Theorem for polynomial and some rational Krylov subspaces

For each spectral coefficient c_k , this implies

$$\begin{aligned}
|c_1|^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^{\nu_1-1} |w_j|^2 + \xi_1 |w_{\nu_1}|^2, \\
|c_k|^2 &= (1 - \xi_{k-1}) |w_{\nu_{k-1}}|^2 + \sum_{j=\nu_{k-1}+1}^{\nu_k-1} |w_j|^2 + \xi_k |w_{\nu_k}|^2, \quad k = 2, \dots, m-1, \quad \text{and} \\
|c_m|^2 &= (1 - \xi_{m-1}) |w_{\nu_{m-1}}|^2 + \sum_{j=\nu_{m-1}+1}^n |w_j|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

4.3. The rational case with a single pole $s \in \mathbb{R}$ of higher multiplicity

In the present subsection we consider CMS type results for the setting of a rational Krylov subspace $\mathcal{Q}_m(A, u)$ with a single pole $s \in \mathbb{R}$, thus, we have the denominator $q_{m-1}(\lambda) = (\lambda - s)^{m-1}$. This subspace corresponds to a SaI Krylov subspace; for previous remarks see also Subsection 2.1. Following Subsection 4.1, the eigenvalues $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m \in (a, b)$ and spectral coefficients $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{C}$ of the respective Rayleigh quotient A_m or qor-representation B_m satisfy the quadrature property (4.12) in Corollary 4.4. To provide results in a more general setting, the results in the remainder of the subsection are based on the quadrature property (4.12); we provide results for a class of rational Gaussian quadrature formulae which fit to the respective SaI Krylov setting.

Although the rational Krylov subspace corresponds to the polynomial Krylov subspace $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u_q)$ with starting vector $u_q = q_{m-1}^{-1}(A)u$, results of the previous subsection do not yield bounds associated with α_n , this is specified in the following remark.

Remark 4.10. *The rational Krylov subspace $\mathcal{Q}_m(A, u)$ with the respective denominator q_{m-1} is identical to $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u_q)$ with $u_q = q_{m-1}^{-1}(A)u$. This polynomial Krylov subspace is associated with the step function $\hat{\alpha}_n$ given in (2.22). Let J_m and V_m denote the Jacobi matrix and the M-orthonormal eigenbasis of $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u_q)$ constructed by the Lanczos method. In the setting of $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u_q)$, Theorem 4.5 yields bounds based on spectral coefficients of the vector $x_q = (V_m, u_q)_M$ in the eigenbasis of J_m and the step function $\hat{\alpha}_n$. This does not entail bounds based on spectral coefficients of $x = (V_m, u)_M$ and the step function α_n in general.*

To simplify the notation in the sequel, we first define the indices k_1 and k_m such that

$$\theta_{k_m} < s < \theta_{k_1}, \quad k_1 = k_m + 1, \quad \text{in case of } s \in (\theta_1, \theta_m), \quad (4.33a)$$

and otherwise,

$$k_1 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad k_m = m, \quad \text{in case of } s < \theta_1 \text{ or } s > \theta_m. \quad (4.33b)$$

4. The CMS Theorem for polynomial and some rational Krylov subspaces

Furthermore, we define the sets $I_k \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $R_k \subset \mathbb{R}$ for $k = 1, \dots, m$ by

$$\begin{aligned} I_k &= \begin{cases} \{k_1, \dots, k\}, & k_1 \leq k \leq m, \\ \{1, \dots, k, k_1, \dots, m\}, & 1 \leq k < k_1, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \\ R_k &= \begin{cases} (s, \theta_k], & \theta_k > s, \\ (a, \theta_k] \cup (s, b), & \theta_k < s. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

The set R_k is illustrated in Figure 3.

Let $\mu_n(R_k)$ and $\mu_n(R_k^\circ)$ as in (4.13b) denote the measure of the sets R_k and $^{15}R_k^\circ$, respectively. Thus, we have

$$\mu_n(R_k) = \begin{cases} \mu_n((s, \theta_k]) & = \alpha_n(\theta_k) - \alpha_n(s), & \theta_k > s, \\ \mu_n((a, \theta_k] \cup (s, b)) & = \alpha_n(\theta_k) + \alpha_n(b) - \alpha_n(s), & \theta_k < s, \end{cases} \quad (4.35a)$$

and

$$\mu_n(R_k^\circ) = \begin{cases} \mu_n((s, \theta_k)) & = \alpha_n(\theta_k-) - \alpha_n(s), & \theta_k > s, \\ \mu_n((a, \theta_k) \cup (s, b)) & = \alpha_n(\theta_k-) + \alpha_n(b) - \alpha_n(s), & \theta_k < s. \end{cases} \quad (4.35b)$$

In the following theorem we provide a CMS type result for a class of rational Gaussian quadrature formulae which applies to the setting of SaI Krylov subspaces with a shift $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 4.11 (A separation theorem for rational Gaussian quadrature formulae with a single pole $s \in \mathbb{R}$ of higher multiplicity). *Let $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m \in (a, b)$ and $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the rational Gaussian quadrature properties (4.12) for $q_{m-1}(\lambda) = (\lambda - s)^{m-1}$ with $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Let the index k_m be defined as in (4.33). Let the sets $I_k \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $R_k \subset \mathbb{R}$ for $k = 1, \dots, m$ be defined as in (4.34), and let μ_n be defined as in (4.13b) (analogously, (4.35)). Additionally, define $R_{m+1} := R_1$. Then,*

$$\mu_n(R_k) < \sum_{j \in I_k} |c_j|^2 < \mu_n(R_{k+1}^\circ), \quad k \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \{k_m\}. \quad (4.36)$$

The case $k = k_m$ is not discussed in Theorem 4.11. In this case we have $I_k = \{1, \dots, m\}$ and the bounds (4.36) can be replaced by the identity

$$\sum_{j=1}^m |c_j|^2 = \alpha_n(b). \quad (4.37)$$

This identity corresponds to the identity (4.12) for $r = 1$ (or directly results from $\|u\|_{\mathbf{M}} = \|x\|_2$).

To prove Theorem 4.11, we first introduce rational functions which constitute bounds on a Heaviside type step function, similar to the polynomials given in Proposition 4.6.

¹⁵In the sequel, we let R° denote the interior of a set R .

4. The CMS Theorem for polynomial and some rational Krylov subspaces




Figure 3.: In Figure **a)** and **b)** we illustrate the set $R_k \subset \mathbb{R}$ given in (4.34) for a given sequence of nodes $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$ ('o'), and a given pole s which satisfies $\theta_1 < s < \theta_m$. In Figure a) we choose the index k such that $\theta_k > s$, and in Figure b) we consider $\theta_k < s$. In each figure the set R_k is highlighted by a dashed area.

Proposition 4.12. *Let $\theta_1 < \dots < \theta_m$ be a given sequence and let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ be a given pole which is distinct to $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$. We make use of the denotations k_1 and k_m introduced in (4.33). Furthermore, let the sets $I_k \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $R_k \subset \mathbb{R}$ for $k = 1, \dots, m$ be defined as in (4.34), and we define $R_{m+1} := R_1$.*

For $k \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \{k_m\}$ and $q_{m-1}(\lambda) = (\lambda - s)^{m-1}$ there exist rational functions $r_{\{+,k\}}$ and $r_{\{-,k\}} \in \Pi_{2m-2}/|q_{m-1}|^2$ which satisfy¹⁶

$$r_{\{\pm,k\}}(\theta_j) = \begin{cases} 1, & j \in I_k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4.38)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$r_{\{+,k\}}(\lambda) \geq \begin{cases} 1, & \lambda \in R_k, \\ 0, & \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_s \setminus R_k, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad r_{\{-,k\}}(\lambda) \leq \begin{cases} 1, & \lambda \in R_{k+1}^o, \\ 0, & \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_s \setminus R_{k+1}^o, \end{cases} \quad (4.39)$$

where $\mathbb{R}_s = (a, b) \setminus \{s\}$. The inequalities in (4.39) are strict for $\lambda \notin \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m\}$. Without loss of generality, we assume $(a, b) = \mathbb{R}$ in the present proposition.

Proof. See Appendix B. □

Rational functions $r_{\{-,k\}}$ as introduced in Proposition 4.12 are illustrated in Figure 4 for a numerical example.

We proceed with the proof of Theorem 4.11.

Proof of Theorem 4.11. Let k_1 and k_m be given in (4.33), and let $k \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \{k_m\}$ be fixed. For the eigenvalues $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$ we let $r_{\{\pm,k\}}$ denote the rational functions given in Proposition 4.12. We proceed to prove the lower bound in (4.36). The identities (4.38) imply

$$\sum_{j \in I_k} |c_j|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^m r_{\{\pm,k\}}(\theta_j) |c_j|^2. \quad (4.40a)$$

¹⁶Analogously to $p_{\{\pm,k\}}$, the denotation $r_{\{\pm,k\}}$ refers to $r_{\{+,k\}}$ and $r_{\{-,k\}}$ individually.

4. The CMS Theorem for polynomial and some rational Krylov subspaces




Figure 4.: In Figure **a)**–**c)** we show $r_{\{-k\}}$ for a given sequence of nodes $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$ with $m = 8$, and a given pole s which is located between the nodes, i.e., $\theta_1 < s < \theta_m$. These figures show results for different choices of $k \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \{k_m\}$ where $k_m = 3$ (following (4.33b)). In each figure the symbols ('o') and ('x') mark $r_{\{-k\}}(\theta_j)$ for $j \in I_k$ and $j \notin I_k$, respectively. The dashed lines illustrate the upper bounds given in (4.39). Figure b) shows the special case $k = m$ for which the upper bound (4.39) relies on $R_{m+1} = R_1$. For additional illustrations considering $r_{\{\pm, k\}}$ we refer to Figure 13 and 14 in Appendix B.

4. The CMS Theorem for polynomial and some rational Krylov subspaces

Furthermore, the quadrature property (4.12) implies

$$\int_a^b r_{\{\pm, k\}}(\lambda) d\alpha_n(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^m r_{\{\pm, k\}}(\theta_j) |c_j|^2.$$

Rewriting this Riemann-Stieltjes integral as in (4.5a), we arrive at

$$\sum_{j=1}^n r_{\{\pm, k\}}(\lambda_j) |w_j|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^m r_{\{\pm, k\}}(\theta_j) |c_j|^2. \quad (4.40b)$$

The inequalities in (4.39) for $r_{\{+, k\}}$ entail

$$\sum_{j=1}^n r_{\{+, k\}}(\lambda_j) |w_j|^2 > \sum_{j \in J(R_k)} |w_j|^2. \quad (4.41)$$

This inequality is strict due to the interlacing property of the eigenvalues λ_j and θ_j , see Proposition 2.2 and 3.1. Combine (4.40) and (4.41) to conclude with the lower bound in (4.36).

In a similar manner, $r_{\{-, k\}}$ reveals the upper bound in (4.36); the inequalities in (4.39) for $r_{\{-, k\}}$ yield

$$\sum_{j=1}^n r_{\{-, k\}}(\lambda_j) |w_j|^2 < \sum_{j \in J(R_{k+1}^o)} |w_j|^2. \quad (4.42)$$

Indeed, the identities (4.40) together with (4.42) conclude the upper bound in (4.36). \square

We reformulate the result of Theorem 4.11 in the following proposition.

Proposition 4.13. *In the setting of Theorem 4.11, the following inequality holds true,*

$$\alpha_n(\theta_k) \leq |c_1|^2 + \dots + |c_k|^2 + \gamma \leq \alpha_n(\theta_{k+1}-), \quad k = 1, \dots, m-1, \quad (4.43a)$$

with

$$\gamma = \alpha_n(s) - \alpha_m(s), \quad (4.43b)$$

where α_m is given in (4.24). The inequalities in (4.43a) are strict for $k \neq k_m$. Additionally, the case $k = m \neq k_m$ in (4.36) corresponds to

$$\alpha_n(\theta_m) \leq |c_1|^2 + \dots + |c_m|^2 + \gamma, \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma \leq \alpha_n(\theta_1-). \quad (4.44)$$

Proof of Proposition 4.13. We first prove (4.43). Here, we consider different cases for the index $k = 1, \dots, m-1$.

4. The CMS Theorem for polynomial and some rational Krylov subspaces

- $k < k_1$ with k_1 as in (4.33); this case only occurs for $k_1 > 1$ which follows from $s \in (\theta_1, \theta_m)$. This case also implies $k_m = k_1 - 1$,

$$\alpha_m(s) = |c_1|^2 + \dots + |c_{k_m}|^2, \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_m(b) - \alpha_m(s) = |c_{k_1}|^2 + \dots + |c_m|^2, \quad (4.45)$$

and with I_k as in (4.34),

$$\sum_{j \in I_k} |c_j|^2 = |c_1|^2 + \dots + |c_k|^2 + \alpha_m(b) - \alpha_m(s). \quad (4.46)$$

– Additionally, let $k < k_1 - 1 = k_m$. This case implies $\theta_k, \theta_{k+1} < s$ and as given in (4.35),

$$\mu_n(R_k) = \alpha_n(\theta_k) + \alpha_n(b) - \alpha_n(s), \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_n(R_{k+1}^o) = \alpha_n(\theta_{k+1}-) + \alpha_n(b) - \alpha_n(s). \quad (4.47)$$

Substituting (4.46) and (4.47) in the inequalities (4.36), subtracting $\alpha_n(b)$ ($= \alpha_m(b)$) and adding $\alpha_n(s)$, we conclude (4.43) for $k < k_1 - 1$.

– Let $k = k_1 - 1$. Thus, $k = k_m \neq m$, and for this case the inequalities (4.36) do not apply; we show (4.43) in a direct manner:

For $k = k_m$ we have $|c_1|^2 + \dots + |c_k|^2 = \alpha_m(s)$ as in (4.45). With this identity, the enclosed term in (4.43a) simplifies to

$$|c_1|^2 + \dots + |c_k|^2 + \gamma = \alpha_n(s). \quad (4.48)$$

Due to α_n being an increasing function and $\theta_k < s < \theta_{k+1}$ for $k = k_1 - 1$ we have

$$\alpha_n(\theta_k) \leq \alpha_n(s) \leq \alpha_n(\theta_{k+1}-), \quad \text{for } k = k_1 - 1. \quad (4.49)$$

Combining (4.48) and (4.49), we conclude (4.43) for the case $k = k_1 - 1$.

- $k \geq k_1$. For this case we further distinguish between $s < \theta_m$ and $s > \theta_m$.
 - Let $s < \theta_m$ (this includes the case $s < \theta_1$). With I_k as in (4.34), we have

$$\sum_{j \in I_k} |c_j|^2 = |c_1|^2 + \dots + |c_k|^2 - \alpha_m(s) \quad (4.50)$$

Furthermore, this case implies $\theta_k, \theta_{k+1} > s$ (we recall $k < m$), and as in (4.35),

$$\mu_n(R_k) = \alpha_n(\theta_k) - \alpha_n(s), \quad (4.51a)$$

and

$$\mu_n(R_{k+1}^o) = \alpha_n(\theta_{k+1}-) - \alpha_n(s). \quad (4.51b)$$

4. The CMS Theorem for polynomial and some rational Krylov subspaces

Substituting (4.51) and (4.50) in the inequalities (4.36), we conclude (4.43) for $k \geq k_1$ and $s < \theta_m$.

– Otherwise, for $k \geq k_1$ and $s > \theta_m$ our notation simplifies to $k_1 = 1$ and

$$\sum_{j \in I_k} |c_j|^2 = |c_1|^2 + \dots + |c_k|^2. \quad (4.52)$$

The case $s > \theta_m$ implies $\alpha_m(b) = \alpha_m(s)$, and due to $\alpha_m(b) = \alpha_n(b)$, we have

$$\alpha_n(b) = \alpha_m(s). \quad (4.53)$$

Furthermore, we have $\theta_k, \theta_{k+1} < s$, and $\mu_n(R_k)$ and $\mu_n(R_{k+1}^o)$ correspond to (4.47) further above. Making use of (4.53) in (4.47) and substituting γ , we simplify

$$\mu_n(R_k) = \alpha_n(\theta_k) - \gamma, \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_n(R_{k+1}^o) = \alpha_n(\theta_{k+1}-) - \gamma. \quad (4.54)$$

Substituting (4.52) and (4.54) in the inequalities (4.36), we conclude (4.43) for $k \geq k_1$ and $s > \theta_m$.

We proceed with the proof of (4.44). The case $k = m \neq k_m$ only occurs for $s \in (\theta_1, \theta_m)$. Thus with $s < \theta_m$, $\mu_n(R_m)$ corresponds to (4.51a). Substituting $\mu_n(R_m)$ as in (4.51a) and the sum over I_m as in (4.50) in the lower bound in (4.36), we conclude the inequality on the left-hand side of (4.44).

To prove the inequality on the right-hand side of (4.44), we first recall $\theta_1 < s$, and as in (4.47)

$$\mu_n(R_1^o) = \alpha_n(\theta_1-) + \alpha_n(b) - \alpha_n(s). \quad (4.55)$$

Substituting (4.50) and (4.55) in the upper bound in (4.36) (for the case $k = m \neq k_m$ with $\mu_n(R_{m+1}^o) = \mu_n(R_1^o)$ due to convention), we arrive at

$$|c_1|^2 + \dots + |c_m|^2 - \alpha_m(s) < \alpha_n(\theta_1-) + \alpha_n(b) - \alpha_n(s)$$

On the left-hand side we can further simplify $|c_1|^2 + \dots + |c_m|^2 = \alpha_n(b)$ and subtract this term, which entails the inequality on the right-hand side of (4.44). \square

Remark 4.14. For the case $\alpha_m(s) = \alpha_n(s)$ the constant γ in Proposition 4.13 is zero, and the inequalities (4.43a) coincide with the inequalities given by Theorem 4.5, i.e., the CMS Theorem for polynomial Gaussian quadrature formulae. Furthermore, for this case the inequalities given in Corollary 4.7 hold true. Here, we highlight the case $s \notin (\lambda_1, \lambda_n)$ for the Gaussian quadrature formulae without preassigned nodes (this implies $\theta_j \in (\lambda_1, \lambda_n)$); a prominent case for which $\alpha_m(s) = \alpha_n(s)$ holds true a priori.

4. The CMS Theorem for polynomial and some rational Krylov subspaces

Remark 4.15. Following Remark 2.6, the SaI Krylov representation $X_m^{-1} + sI$ provides a Gaussian quadrature formula. For the case of a real shift $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the respective quadrature nodes are located on the real axis, and at least one eigenvalue λ_j is located between each neighboring pair of quadrature nodes. Thus, the result of Theorem 4.11 and its corollaries hold true in this setting. However, results concerning the SaI Krylov representation $X_m^{-1} + sI$ are not discussed in further detail in the present work.

CMS type results for the SaI Krylov representation are also given in [ZTK19]. In the present work we include the case of a shift s being located inside the convex hull of the spectrum of A , which extends some results of [ZTK19].

We proceed to specify the results of Proposition 4.13 for the pole s being located in the convex hull of the rational Ritz values, i.e., $s \in (\theta_1, \theta_m)$. This case implies $k_m \neq m$, and substituting $\alpha_n(b) = |c_1|^2 + \dots + |c_m|^2$ in (4.44), we observe

$$\alpha_n(\theta_m) - \alpha_n(b) \leq \gamma \leq \alpha_n(\theta_1 -). \quad (4.56)$$

With these inequalities, we further specify the results of Proposition 4.13: The following corollary states some bounds on piecewise accumulated quadrature weights, similar to Corollary 4.7 in the previous subsection for the polynomial case.

Corollary 4.16. *Additionally to the setting of Theorem 4.11, we assume $s \in (\theta_1, \theta_m)$. Then Proposition 4.13 yields the following inequalities.*

- The accumulated quadrature weights satisfy

$$\mu_n([\theta_1, \theta_k]) \leq |c_1|^2 + \dots + |c_k|^2 \leq \mu_n((a, \theta_{k+1}) \cup (\theta_m, b)), \quad k = 1, \dots, m-1. \quad (4.57a)$$

- For indices j, k with $1 < j < k < m$, the following piecewise accumulated quadrature weights satisfy

$$\mu_n([\theta_j, \theta_k]) \leq |c_j|^2 + \dots + |c_k|^2 \leq \mu_n((\theta_{j-1}, \theta_{k+1})). \quad (4.57b)$$

- Furthermore, the accumulated quadrature weights satisfy

$$\mu_n([\theta_j, \theta_m]) \leq |c_j|^2 + \dots + |c_m|^2 \leq \mu_n((a, \theta_1) \cup (\theta_{j-1}, b)), \quad j = 2, \dots, m. \quad (4.57c)$$

Proof. The inequalities (4.43a) in Proposition 4.13 yield

$$\alpha_n(\theta_k) - \gamma \leq |c_1|^2 + \dots + |c_k|^2 \leq \alpha_n(\theta_{k+1} -) - \gamma.$$

Substituting (4.56) for γ , we arrive at

$$\alpha_n(\theta_k) - \alpha_n(\theta_1 -) \leq |c_1|^2 + \dots + |c_k|^2 \leq \alpha_n(\theta_{k+1} -) + \alpha_n(b) - \alpha_n(\theta_m).$$

4. The CMS Theorem for polynomial and some rational Krylov subspaces

This implies (4.57a).

To prove the inequalities in (4.57c), we first remark

$$|c_j|^2 + \dots + |c_k|^2 = |c_1|^2 + \dots + |c_k|^2 + \gamma - (|c_1|^2 + \dots + |c_{j-1}|^2 + \gamma).$$

Applying (4.43a) twice (once we substitute $j - 1$ for the index k therein) we observe

$$\alpha_n(\theta_k) - \alpha_n(\theta_{j-}) \leq |c_j|^2 + \dots + |c_k|^2 \leq \alpha_n(\theta_{k+1}-) - \alpha_n(\theta_j),$$

which implies (4.57b).

To show (4.57c), apply (4.57a) for the index $j - 1$ and subtract the result from $|c_1|^2 + \dots + |c_m|^2 = \mu_n((a, b))$. \square

Remark 4.17. For the case $s \in (\theta_1, \theta_m)$ as in Corollary 4.16, bounds on quadrature weights related to the leftmost or rightmost quadrature nodes potentially depend on the measure of an interval including the opposite integral limit. This relation can be avoided by preassigning one of the quadrature nodes at the integral limit, using a rational Gauss-Radau formula associated with the spectrum of a rational qor-Krylov representation B_m in the Krylov setting.

- For a preassigned node $\xi < \lambda_1$, we have $\theta_1 = \xi$ and $\alpha_n(\theta_1) = 0$. Thus, the inequalities in (4.57a) correspond to

$$\mu_n([a, \theta_k]) \leq |c_1|^2 + \dots + |c_k|^2 \leq \mu_n((a, \theta_{k+1}) \cup (\theta_m, b)), \quad (4.58a)$$

and the inequalities in (4.57c) correspond to

$$\mu_n([\theta_j, \theta_m]) \leq |c_j|^2 + \dots + |c_m|^2 \leq \mu_n((\theta_{j-1}, b)). \quad (4.58b)$$

- For a preassigned node $\xi > \lambda_n$, we have $\theta_m = \xi$ and $\alpha_n(\theta_m) = \alpha_n(b)$. Thus, the inequalities in (4.57a) correspond to

$$\mu_n([\theta_1, \theta_k]) \leq |c_1|^2 + \dots + |c_k|^2 \leq \mu_n((a, \theta_{k+1})), \quad (4.58c)$$

and the inequalities in (4.57c) correspond to

$$\mu_n([\theta_j, b]) \leq |c_j|^2 + \dots + |c_m|^2 \leq \mu_n((a, \theta_1) \cup (\theta_{j-1}, b)). \quad (4.58d)$$

We proceed to introduce a step function F_s which changes its sign at each rational Ritz value according to Proposition 4.13; with the step function F given in (4.26) we introduce

$$F_s(\lambda) = F(\lambda) - F(s). \quad (4.59)$$

Here, $F(s) = \gamma$ with γ as in Proposition 4.13. As previously stated in (4.27), we have

$$\alpha_m(\theta_k) = \alpha_m(\theta_{k+1}-) = |c_1|^2 + \dots + |c_k|^2.$$

4. The CMS Theorem for polynomial and some rational Krylov subspaces

Then the inequalities (4.43a) correspond to

$$F_s(\theta_k) \leq 0 \leq F_s(\theta_{k+1}-), \quad k = 1, \dots, m-1, \quad (4.60a)$$

whereat these inequalities are strict for $k \neq k_m$. Furthermore, the inequalities (4.44) correspond to

$$F_s(\theta_m) < 0, \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < F_s(\theta_1-), \quad (4.60b)$$

for $k_m \neq m$.

Remark 4.18. In (4.60), the special case $k = k_m$ holds true due to the identity (4.37); the case $k \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \{k_m\}$ corresponds to the result of Theorem 4.11. Namely, the result of Theorem 4.11 conforms to the following inequality in an equivalent manner,

$$F_s(\theta_k) \leq 0 \leq F_s(\theta_{k+1}-) \quad \text{for } k \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \{k_m\}, \text{ and with } \theta_{m+1} = \theta_1.$$

4.4. The rational case with a single pole $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ of higher multiplicity

In the present subsection, we consider rational Gaussian quadrature formulae which satisfy the quadrature property (4.12) with $q_{m-1}(\lambda) = (\lambda - s)^{m-1}$ for $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. To specify, these quadrature formulae are exact for rational functions with denominator $|q_{m-1}(\lambda)|^2 = ((\lambda - \operatorname{Re} s)^2 + (\operatorname{Im} s)^2)^{m-1}$ where $\operatorname{Im} s \neq 0$, i.e., rational functions with complex-conjugate poles of higher multiplicity. Considering Krylov subspaces, these quadrature formulae are related to SaI Krylov subspaces with a complex shift $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$.

As a main result of the present subsection, the following Proposition yields upper bounds on the measure of the intervals between neighboring quadrature nodes, and the measure at the boundary of the spectrum.

Proposition 4.19. Let c_1, \dots, c_m and $\theta_1 < \dots < \theta_m$ satisfy the quadrature property (4.12) with $q_{m-1}(\lambda) = (\lambda - s)^{m-1}$ for $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. Then, with μ_n given in (4.13)

$$\mu_n([\theta_k, \theta_{k+1}]) \leq |c_k|^2 + |c_{k+1}|^2, \quad k = 1, \dots, m-1, \quad (4.61a)$$

and

$$\mu_n((a, \theta_1]) + \mu_n([\theta_m, b)) \leq |c_1|^2 + |c_m|^2. \quad (4.61b)$$

Before proving Proposition 4.19, we proceed with some auxiliary results. The results of the previous subsection do not apply for the case $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. However, the present class of rational functions can be related to polynomials on the unit circle \mathbb{T} and vice versa. To specify this relation, we recall the Cayley transform as in (2.35),

$$\tau(\lambda) = (\lambda - \bar{s})(\lambda - s)^{-1}, \quad \tau: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \setminus \{1\}.$$

For a complex polynomial $p \in \Pi_{m-1}$ we consider $p(\tau(\lambda))$ as a function of λ ; normalizing shows

$$p(\tau(\lambda)) = g(\lambda)/q_{m-1}(\lambda), \quad \text{for some } g \in \Pi_{m-1},$$

4. The CMS Theorem for polynomial and some rational Krylov subspaces

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ we conclude

$$|p(\tau(\lambda))|^2 = \bar{g}(\lambda)g(\lambda)/|q_{m-1}(\lambda)|^2, \quad \text{where } \bar{g}g \in \Pi_{2m-2}. \quad (4.62)$$

In the following corollary we introduce rational majorants on a Heaviside type step function, based on interpolating polynomials on the unit circle given in [Gol02, Lemma 4].

Corollary 4.20 (A corollary of Lemma 4 in [Gol02]). *Let $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$ be a given sequence of nodes, and let $q_{m-1}(\lambda) = (\lambda - s)^{m-1}$ for a given pole $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$.*

(i) *Let $k \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$ be fixed. There exists a rational function $r_k \in \Pi_{2m-2}/|q_{m-1}|^2$ with*

$$r_k(\theta_j) = \begin{cases} 1, & j \in \{k, k+1\}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \quad (4.63a)$$

and

$$r_k(\lambda) \geq \begin{cases} 1, & \lambda \in [\theta_k, \theta_{k+1}], \\ 0, & \lambda \in (-\infty, \theta_k) \cup (\theta_{k+1}, \infty). \end{cases} \quad (4.63b)$$

(ii) *Additionally, there exists a function $r_m \in \Pi_{2m-2}/|q_{m-1}|^2$ with*

$$r_m(\theta_j) = \begin{cases} 1, & j \in \{1, m\}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \quad (4.64a)$$

and

$$r_m(\lambda) \geq \begin{cases} 1, & \lambda \in (-\infty, \theta_1] \cup [\theta_m, \infty), \\ 0, & \lambda \in (\theta_1, \theta_m). \end{cases} \quad (4.64b)$$

Proof. The Cayley transform τ as in (2.35) reads

$$\tau(\lambda) = (\lambda - \bar{s})(\lambda - s)^{-1}, \quad \tau: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \setminus \{1\}.$$

Simplifying this fraction yields

$$\tau(\lambda) = 1 + \frac{s - \bar{s}}{\lambda - s} = 1 + \frac{2i \operatorname{Im} s}{\lambda - s}.$$

Here, $\tau: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \setminus \{1\}$ is a continuous and bijective function, and with the previous representation, we observe

$$\tau(-\infty) = \begin{cases} 1 + i0+, & \operatorname{Im} s < 0, \\ 1 + i0-, & \operatorname{Im} s > 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus, τ maps \mathbb{R} to $\mathbb{T} \setminus \{1\}$ in counter-clockwise and clockwise order for $\operatorname{Im} s < 0$ and $\operatorname{Im} s > 0$, respectively.

4. The CMS Theorem for polynomial and some rational Krylov subspaces

We proceed to define distinct points $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_m \in \mathbb{T}$ by

$$\zeta_j := \begin{cases} \tau(\theta_j), & \text{Im } s < 0, \\ \tau(\theta_{m-j+1}), & \text{Im } s > 0, \end{cases} \quad j = 1, \dots, m. \quad (4.65)$$

The points $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_m \in \mathbb{T}$ are distinct, in counter-clockwise order, and the point 1 is located between ζ_1 and ζ_m on the unit circle. For the remainder of the proof we assume the case $\text{Im } s < 0$ to simplify the notation. Thus, we consider $\zeta_j = \tau(\theta_j)$.

Additionally to (4.65), we define $\zeta_{m+1} := \zeta_1$. Let $p_k \in \Pi_{m-1}$ denote the complex polynomial given by [Gol02, Lemma 4] for the points ζ_j and a fixed index $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Here, we also normalize p_k at ζ_k . Thus, p_k satisfies $|p_k(\zeta_k)| = |p_k(\zeta_{k+1})| = 1$. Following (4.62), the function $r_k(\lambda) := |p_k(\tau(\lambda))|^2$ conforms to a rational function $r_k \in \Pi_{2m-2}/|q_{m-1}|^2$.

We proceed to show (4.63) for the rational function r_k ; let $k \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$:

- With $r_k(\theta_j) = p_k(\zeta_j)$ the identities $|p_k(\zeta_k)| = |p_k(\zeta_{k+1})| = 1$ yield $r_k(\theta_k) = r_k(\theta_{k+1}) = 1$, and the identity $p_k(\zeta_j) = 0$ for $j \neq k, k+1$ yields $r_k(\theta_j) = 0$ for $j \neq k, k+1$, which shows (4.63a).
- Due to τ being a continuous and bijective function, the points ζ located on the unit circle between ζ_k and ζ_{k+1} (including ζ_k and ζ_{k+1}) are identical to the set $\{\zeta = \tau(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in [\theta_k, \theta_{k+1}]\}$. As a result of [Gol02, Lemma 4], the polynomial p_k satisfies $|p_k(\zeta)| \geq 1$ for ζ in this set of points, i.e., $|p(\tau(\lambda))| \geq 1$ for $\lambda \in [\theta_k, \theta_{k+1}]$. Thus, we have $r_k(\lambda) \geq 1$ for $\lambda \in [\theta_k, \theta_{k+1}]$; furthermore, r_k is positive for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ due to $r_k(\lambda) = |p_k(\xi(\lambda))|^2$, which implies (4.63b).

We proceed to sketch the proof of (4.64) which corresponds to the case $k = m$. The polynomial p_m satisfies $|p_m(\zeta_m)| = |p_m(\zeta_1)| = 1$. Furthermore, the points ζ located between ζ_1 and ζ_m correspond to the set $\{\zeta = \tau(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in (-\infty, \theta_1) \cup (\theta_m, \infty)\} \cup \{1\} \subset \mathbb{T}$. Similar to previous arguments, this shows (4.64).

Considering the definition of ζ_j in (4.65), similar arguments hold for the case $\text{Im } s > 0$. \square

We proceed with the proof of Proposition 4.19.

Proof of Proposition 4.19. Let $k \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$ be fixed, we prove (4.61a). For the nodes $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$ and k given, we let $r_k \in \Pi_{2m-2}/|q_{m-1}|^2$ denote the rational function given in Corollary 4.20 which satisfies (4.63). Due to (4.63a) we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^m |c_j|^2 r_k(\theta_j) = |c_k|^2 + |c_{k+1}|^2. \quad (4.66a)$$

4. The CMS Theorem for polynomial and some rational Krylov subspaces

The quadrature property (4.12) implies

$$\int_a^b r_k(\lambda) d\alpha_n(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^m |c_j|^2 r_k(\theta_j), \quad (4.66b)$$

and the inequality (4.63b) yields

$$\int_a^b r_k(\lambda) d\alpha_n(\lambda) \geq \mu_n([\theta_k, \theta_{k+1}]). \quad (4.66c)$$

Combining (4.66a)–(4.66c), we conclude (4.61a).

Analogously, making use of the rational function $r_m \in \Pi_{2m-2}/|q_{m-1}|^2$ (which satisfies the properties (4.64)) in combination with the quadrature property (4.12), we conclude (4.61b). \square

4.5. Results for an extended Krylov subspace

In the present subsection, we consider an extended Krylov subspace. Namely, the Krylov subspace of so called Laurent polynomials which also appears in [DK98] and corresponds to a rational Krylov subspace. Here, we also include a shift $s < \lambda_1$. This yields a rational Krylov subspace with denominator $q(\lambda) = (\lambda - s)^{e-1}$ for $m = 2e - 1$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{2e-1}(A, u) &= \text{span}\{(A - s)^{-e+1}u, \dots, (A - s)^{-1}u, u, Au, \dots, A^{e-1}u\} \\ &= \mathcal{K}_{2e-1}(A, (A - s)^{-e+1}u). \end{aligned} \quad (4.67)$$

Similar to previous sections, U_m denotes an M-orthonormal basis of the Krylov subspace and $A_m = (U_m, A U_m)_M$ denotes the associated Rayleigh quotient. As previously, we let $x = (U_m, u)_M$. An extended Lanczos recurrence to compute U_m and A_m in an efficient manner is given in [DK98, Section 5] and summarized in Algorithm 6.

Let $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m \in (a, b)$ and $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{C}$ denote the eigenvalues and spectral coefficients of the Rayleigh quotient A_m . Following Proposition 2.26 and Corollary 4.4, these eigenvalues and spectral coefficients satisfy the identity (4.12) for $r \in \Pi_{2m-1}/q^2$ with $q^2(\lambda) = (\lambda - s)^{2e-2} = (\lambda - s)^{m-1}$, i.e.,

$$\int_a^b r(\lambda) d\alpha_n(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^m r(\theta_j) |c_j|^2, \quad r \in \Pi_{2m-1}/(\lambda - s)^{m-1}. \quad (4.68)$$

The CMS type results given in [Li98] apply to rational quadrature formulae which satisfy (4.68). i.e., a Gaussian quadrature formulae for so called Laurent polynomials.

We proceed to recapitulate results given in [Li98] for the setting of the extended Krylov subspace (4.67). To this end, we first recall the following rational functions introduced [Li98] which yield majorants and minorants on a Heaviside step function similar to the polynomials in Proposition 4.6.

4. The CMS Theorem for polynomial and some rational Krylov subspaces

Algorithm 6: A summary of the extended Lanczos recurrence in [DK98, Section 5]; an algorithm to compute the M-orthogonal basis U_m and the Rayleigh quotient $A_m = (U_m, A U_m)_M$ of the extended Krylov subspace given in (4.67). Here, $m = 2\varrho - 1$.

run Algorithm 1 to compute $\beta_0 = \|u\|_M$, U_ϱ^{SaI} and $A_\varrho^{\text{SaI}} = (U_\varrho^{\text{SaI}}, A U_\varrho^{\text{SaI}})_M$ for the SaI Krylov subspace $\mathcal{K}_\varrho(X, u)$ with $X = (A - sI)^{-1}$;
 $\tilde{v} = Au$;
orthogonalize \tilde{v} with U_ϱ^{SaI} and set $U_\varrho = U_\varrho^{\text{SaI}}$ and $u_{\varrho+1} = \tilde{v}/\|\tilde{v}\|_M$;
 $\hat{v} = A u_{\varrho+1}$;
for $j = 1, \dots, \varrho$;
 $y_j = (u_j, \hat{v})_M$;
 $\hat{v} \leftarrow \hat{v} - y_j u_j$;
 $a_1 = (u_{\varrho+1}, \hat{v})_M$ and $\hat{v} \leftarrow \hat{v} - a_1 u_{\varrho+1}$;
 $\beta_1 = \|\hat{v}\|_M$ and $u_{\varrho+2} = \hat{v}/\beta_1$;
consider $u_{\varrho+1}, u_{\varrho+2}$, and a_1 and β_1 to be the result of two initial Lanczos steps, and continue the Lanczos procedure to compute $u_{\varrho+3}, \dots, u_{2\varrho-1}$ and the Jacobi matrix $J_{\varrho-1}$ (using a total of $\varrho - 1$ Lanczos steps);
 $A_m = [A_\varrho^{\text{SaI}}, y e_1^H; e_1 y^H, J_{\varrho-1}]$, where $y e_1^H \in \mathbb{C}^{\varrho \times \varrho-1}$;
 $x = \beta_0 e_1$;
return x, U_m, A_m ;

4. The CMS Theorem for polynomial and some rational Krylov subspaces

Proposition 4.21 (Theorem 4 and 5 in [Li98]). *Let $\nu_1 < \dots < \nu_m \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\nu_1 > 0$ and let k be fixed with $1 \leq k < m$. Then there exist rational functions $\hat{r}_{\{+,k\}}$ and $\hat{r}_{\{-,k\}} \in \Pi_{2m-2}/\lambda^{m-1}$ which satisfy*

$$\hat{r}_{\{\pm,k\}}(\nu_j) = \begin{cases} 1, & j = 1, \dots, k, \\ 0, & j = k+1, \dots, m, \end{cases} \quad (4.69)$$

together with

$$\hat{r}_{\{+,k\}}(\lambda) \geq \begin{cases} 1, & \lambda \leq \nu_k, \\ 0, & \lambda > \nu_k, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{r}_{\{-,k\}}(\lambda) \leq \begin{cases} 1, & \lambda < \nu_{k+1}, \\ 0, & \lambda \geq \nu_{k+1}. \end{cases} \quad (4.70)$$

Additionally, the inequalities in (4.17) are strict inequalities for $\lambda \notin \{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_m\}$.

In the proof of Proposition 4.22 below, we apply these results for the shifted case with $s \leq a < \lambda_1$.

We proceed to recapitulate [Li98, eq. (4) in Theorem 1]. For the following proposition, we recall that $\lambda_1 < \theta_1$ holds true when θ_j refers to the eigenvalues of the Rayleigh quotient A_m , thus, for a pole $s < \lambda_1$ the condition $s < \theta_1$ is satisfied.

Proposition 4.22 (Eq. (4) in Theorem 1 in [Li98]). *Let $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m \in (a, b)$ and $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy (4.68) for $r \in \Pi_{2m-2}/(\lambda - s)^{m-1}$ and a pole $s < \lambda_1, \theta_1$. Then,*

$$\alpha_n(\theta_k) < |c_1|^2 + \dots + |c_k|^2 < \alpha_n(\theta_{k+1}-), \quad k = 1, \dots, m-1. \quad (4.71)$$

Proof. The proof of this proposition is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.5, and is also provided in [Li98]. We proceed with a sketch of the proof.

We first introduce $\nu_j = \theta_j - s$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$. The nodes ν_j are positive due to $s < \theta_1$ and for a fixed $k = 1, \dots, m-1$ we let $\hat{r}_{\pm,k} \in \Pi_{2m-2}/\lambda^{m-1}$ denote the rational functions given in Proposition 4.21. Based on these rational functions, we consider the rational functions $r_{\pm,k}(\lambda) = \hat{r}_{\pm,k}(\lambda - s)$ in the class $\Pi_{2m-2}/(\lambda - s)^{m-1}$; and based on properties of $\hat{r}_{\pm,k}$ given in Proposition 4.21 the functions $r_{\pm,k}$ satisfy

$$r_{\{\pm,k\}}(\theta_j) = \begin{cases} 1, & j = 1, \dots, k, \\ 0, & j = k+1, \dots, m, \end{cases} \quad (4.72a)$$

together with

$$r_{\{+,k\}}(\lambda) \geq \begin{cases} 1, & \lambda \leq \theta_k, \\ 0, & \lambda > \theta_k, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad r_{\{-,k\}}(\lambda) \leq \begin{cases} 1, & \lambda < \theta_{k+1}, \\ 0, & \lambda \geq \theta_{k+1}. \end{cases} \quad (4.72b)$$

The identity (4.72a) implies

$$\sum_{j=1}^m |c_j|^2 r_{\{\pm,k\}}(\theta_j) = |c_1|^2 + \dots + |c_k|^2, \quad (4.73a)$$

5. Numerical illustrations

Analogously, the inequalities in (4.72b) imply

$$\alpha_n(\theta_k) = \sum_{\{j: \lambda_j \leq \theta_k\}} |w_j|^2 < \sum_{j=1}^n |w_j|^2 r_{\{+,k\}}(\lambda_j), \quad (4.73b)$$

and

$$\alpha_n(\theta_{k+1}-) = \sum_{\{j: \lambda_j < \theta_{k+1}\}} |w_j|^2 > \sum_{j=1}^n |w_j|^2 r_{\{-,k\}}(\lambda_j). \quad (4.73c)$$

The right-hand sides of (4.73b) and (4.73c) can be understood as a Riemann-Stieltjes integral (4.5a), for which the quadrature property (4.68) yields

$$\sum_{j=1}^n |w_j|^2 r_{\{\pm,k\}}(\lambda_j) = \sum_{j=1}^m |c_j|^2 r_{\{\pm,k\}}(\theta_j), \quad (4.73d)$$

Combining the identities and inequalities in (4.73), we conclude (4.71); for further details we also refer to the proof of Theorem 4.5. \square

As previously discussed in Remark 4.9; in the Krylov setting the measure α_n is not continuous and a property as in [Li98, eq. (3) in Theorem 1] does not hold in general.

The spectrum of the Rayleigh quotient A_m for the extended Krylov subspace given in (4.67) defines a measure α_m , as in (4.24). The result of Proposition 4.22 can be understood as an intertwining property of the distributions $d\alpha_n$ and $d\alpha_m$, similar as in the polynomial case in Subsection 4.1. This property is illustrated for a numerical example in Section 5 below.

Considering a rational qor-Krylov setting, previous results for the qor-Krylov representation B_m also apply to the extended Krylov subspace (which does correspond to the rational Krylov subspace $\mathcal{Q}_{2\varrho-1}(A, u)$ with denominator $q(\lambda) = (\lambda - s)^{\varrho-1}$ as previously mentioned). Thus, Proposition 4.22 holds true for the qor-Krylov representation B_m (assuming $s \leq a < \theta_1$). However, these results are not specified here.

5. Numerical illustrations

In the present section we verify the results of Theorem 4.5 (Subsection 4.2) and 4.11 (Subsection 4.3), and Proposition 4.19 (Subsection 4.4) and 4.22 (Subsection 4.5) by numerical experiments.

For the present numerical examples, the notation θ_j and c_j refers to the quadrature nodes and weights, respectively, satisfying different polynomial and rational Gaussian quadrature formulae which originate from polynomial Krylov subspaces $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u)$ and rational Krylov subspaces $\mathcal{Q}_m(A, u)$ with different choices of poles. Here, the matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ corresponds to the finite-difference discretization of the negative 1D

5. Numerical illustrations

Laplace operator with $n = 1200$, and u is a random starting vector which is normalized. The M-inner product corresponds to the Euclidian inner product.

For the polynomial case, the quadrature nodes and weights are based on the spectrum of the Jacobi matrix J_m which is computed using the Lanczos method. Considering the rational case, we show results for SaI Krylov subspaces with real and complex shifts. For the case of a real shift, we consider the Rayleigh quotient A_m as in Algorithm 1 and the rational qor-Krylov representation B_m as in Algorithm 5. For a complex shift we show an example using the Rayleigh quotient A_m . Furthermore, we show results for an extended Krylov subspace, for which the Rayleigh quotient A_m is computed using Algorithm 6.

The step functions α_n (2.6a) and α_m (4.24) are illustrated for numerical examples in Figure 5. The step function α_m is shown for the polynomial Krylov subspace and a SaI Krylov subspace with a shift $s \in \mathbb{R}$ located outside of the convex hull of the matrix spectrum, namely, $s < \lambda_1$. In both cases the distributions $d\alpha_n$ and $d\alpha_m$ satisfy an intertwining property. To provide a clear illustration of the results of the previous section we also show the function $F(\lambda)$ given in (4.26) for a numerical example concerning the polynomial case in Figure 6 a). In this figure, we observe that $F(\lambda)$ changes its sign at the Ritz values, and following (4.28), this verifies the result of Theorem 4.5. For the SaI Krylov subspace with a shift $s < \lambda_1$ we have $F(s) = 0$ which implies $F_s(\lambda) = F(\lambda)$ for the function $F_s(\lambda)$ as given in (4.59). Considering this example, the function $F = F_s$ is illustrated in Figure 6 b), and following Remark 4.18, the change of the sign of F_s at rational Ritz values verifies Theorem 4.11.

The case of a SaI Krylov subspace with a shift $s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\theta_1 < s < \theta_m$ is illustrated in Figure 7. As for the previous example, the change of the sign of F_s at rational Ritz values verifies Theorem 4.11 as stated in Remark 4.18. Here, Figure 7 a) illustrates F_s for the Rayleigh quotient A_m and Figure 7 b) illustrates F_s for a rational qor-Krylov representation with a preassigned eigenvalue $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$; this verifies the result of Theorem 4.11 for these cases.

In Figure 8 we consider a SaI Krylov subspace with a complex shift $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. For this example, we illustrate $|c_k|^2 + |c_{k+1}|^2$ for $k = 1, \dots, m-1$ and $|c_m|^2 + |c_1|^2$, which yield upper bounds on $\mu_n([\theta_k, \theta_{k+1}])$ for $k = 1, \dots, m-1$ and $\mu_n((-\infty, \theta_1] \cup [\theta_m, \infty))$, respectively. This verifies the result of Proposition 4.19.

For the extended Krylov subspace as in Subsection 4.5, Proposition 4.22 yields an intertwining property for the distributions $d\alpha_n$ and $d\alpha_m$ as in the polynomial case; the changing sign of F as illustrated in Figure 9 verifies the result of Proposition 4.22.

5. Numerical illustrations




Figure 5.: The matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is given by the finite-difference discretization of the negative 1D Laplace operator with $n = 1200$, and u is a random starting vector which is normalized. The continuous line without additional symbols illustrates the step function α_n associated with the eigenvalues and spectral coefficients of u in the eigenbasis of A . The symbols ('o') mark $\alpha_m(\theta_j)$ where θ_j are the Ritz values of the polynomial Krylov subspace $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u)$ with $m = 10$, and α_m is the respective step function given in (4.24). Similarly, the symbols ('x') mark $\alpha_m(\theta_j)$ where θ_j refer to the rational Krylov subspace $\mathcal{Q}_m(A, u)$ with $m = 10$ and a single pole $s = -10^2$ of multiplicity $m - 1$.

5. Numerical illustrations




Figure 6.: In Figure **a)** and **b)** the matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is given by the finite-difference discretization of the negative 1D Laplace operator with $n = 1200$. The starting vector u is chosen at random and is normalized. In these figures we show the function $F = \alpha_n - \alpha_m$ where α_m originates from different settings as stated below. The symbols ('o') and ('x') mark $F(\theta_k -)$ and $F(\theta_k)$, respectively.

- Figure **a)** shows F with α_m given by spectral weights and Ritz values of the Jacobi matrix J_m for the polynomial Krylov subspace $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u)$ with $m = 10$. The y -axis is scaled logarithmically in positive and negative direction, namely, with range $(-10^0, -10^{-6}) \cup (10^{-6}, 10^0)$.
- Figure **b)** shows F where α_m refers to the spectrum of the Rayleigh quotient A_m for the rational Krylov subspace $\mathcal{Q}_m(A, u)$ with $m = 10$ and a single pole $s = -10^2$ of multiplicity $m - 1$, thus, $s < \lambda_1$. Similar to Figure a) the y -axis is scaled logarithmically and covers $(-10^0, -10^{-5}) \cup (10^{-5}, 10^0)$. Additionally, the x -axis is scaled logarithmically in a classical sense.

5. Numerical illustrations




Figure 7.: In Figure **a)** and **b)** the matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is given by the finite-difference discretization of the negative 1D Laplace operator with $n = 1200$. The starting vector u is chosen at random and is normalized. These figures show $F_s(\lambda) = F(\lambda) - F(s)$ for different settings, and the symbols ('o') and ('x') mark $F_s(\theta_k^-)$ and $F_s(\theta_k)$, respectively. Similar to Figure 6 the y -axis is scaled logarithmically and covers $(-10^0, -10^{-12}) \cup (10^{-12}, 10^0)$. With λ being the argument of the function $F_s(\lambda)$ as illustrated in the y -axis, the x -axis shows $\lambda - s$, i.e. the distance from the argument λ to the pole $s = 10^4$. Furthermore, the x -axis is scaled logarithmically with a range of approximately $(-10^4, -10^1) \cup (10^1, 10^4)$.

– Figure **a)** shows $F_s(\lambda)$ corresponding to the spectrum of A_m , where A_m is the Rayleigh quotient in the rational Krylov subspace $\mathcal{Q}_m(A, u)$ with $m = 10$ and a single pole $s = 10^4$ of multiplicity $m - 1$. Here, the pole s is enclosed by the eigenvalues of A_m .

– Figure **b)** shows $F_s(\lambda)$ where α_m corresponds to the spectrum of B_m , which is the rational qor-Krylov representation for which the eigenvalue $\theta_1 = -10$ is preassigned. For the underlying rational Krylov subspace $\mathcal{Q}_m(A, u)$ we have $m = 10$ and a single pole $s = 10^4$ of multiplicity $m - 1$. The pole s is enclosed by the eigenvalues of B_m .

5. Numerical illustrations




Figure 8.: The matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is given by the finite-difference discretization of the negative 1D Laplace operator with $n = 1200$. The starting vector u is chosen at random and is normalized. For the present figure we consider the SaI Krylov subspace $\mathcal{Q}_m(A, u)$ with $m = 10$ and a complex shift $s = 10^4 - 10^2i$. In the present caption, c_j and θ_k refer to the entries of eigenvectors and the eigenvalues of the respective Rayleigh quotient A_m . The symbols ('x') mark $|c_j|^2$ over θ_j . The symbols ('+') show $|c_k|^2 + |c_{k+1}|^2$ over the midpoint of the interval $[\theta_k, \theta_{k+1}]$ for $k = 1, \dots, m - 1$. Furthermore, the symbol ('+') located at the right boundary of the spectrum shows $|c_m|^2 + |c_1|^2$. The line marked by ('o') shows the measure $\mu_n([\theta_k, \theta_{k+1}])$ over each interval $[\theta_k, \theta_{k+1}]$ for $k = 1, \dots, m - 1$, and the measure $\mu_n((-\infty, \theta_1] \cup [\theta_m, \infty))$ at the boundary. The y -axis is scaled logarithmically in a classical sense, and the x -axis shows $\lambda - \operatorname{Re} s$, i.e. the distance from the argument λ to the real part of the shift, i.e., $\operatorname{Re} s = 10^4$. Furthermore, the x -axis is scaled logarithmically with a range of approximately $(-10^4, -10^1) \cup (10^1, 10^4)$.

5. Numerical illustrations




Figure 9.: The matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is given by the finite-difference discretization of the negative 1D Laplace operator with $n = 1200$. The starting vector u is chosen at random and is normalized. In this figure we show the function $F = \alpha_n - \alpha_m$ where α_m corresponds to the spectrum of the Rayleigh quotient A_m given by the extended Krylov subspace (4.67) with $m = 11$ (thus, $\varrho = 6$) and the shift $s = -10 < \lambda_1$. The symbols ('o') and ('x') mark $F(\theta_k -)$ and $F(\theta_k)$, respectively, where θ_k refers to the eigenvalues of A_m . The y -axis is scaled logarithmically in positive and negative direction, namely, with range $(-10^0, -10^{-6}) \cup (10^{-6}, 10^0)$. Additionally, the x -axis is scaled logarithmically in a classical sense.

A. Some properties of Krylov subspaces

Proposition A.1. *Let $(q_1, \dots, q_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be an orthogonal eigenbasis of the matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. Here, orthogonal is to be understood w.r.t. a given positive definite inner product. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$ be the corresponding eigenvalues, and $w_j = (q_j, u)_{\mathbb{M}} \in \mathbb{C}$ be the spectral coefficients of a given vector u . Then*

$$\text{rank}\{u, Au, \dots, A^{m-1}u\} = m, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

if and only if there exist at least m coefficients $w_j \neq 0$ with distinct λ_j .

Proof. According to the eigendecomposition of A we have

$$A^\ell u = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j^\ell w_j q_j \quad \text{for } \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

The matrix corresponding to the left-hand side of (A.1) takes the form of a Vandermonde matrix,

$$(u, Au, \dots, A^{m-1}u) = (q_1 w_1, q_2 w_2, \dots, q_n w_n) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda_1 & \lambda_1^2 & \dots & \lambda_1^{m-1} \\ 1 & \lambda_2 & \lambda_2^2 & \dots & \lambda_2^{m-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 1 & \lambda_n & \lambda_n^2 & \dots & \lambda_n^{m-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Let $n_1 \leq n$ be the number of nonzero coefficients w_j , thus, there exist indices $j(1), \dots, j(n_1)$ with $w_{j(1)}, \dots, w_{j(n_1)} \neq 0$. We define

$$\Theta_1 = (q_{j(1)} w_{j(1)}, q_{j(2)} w_{j(2)}, \dots, q_{j(n_1)} w_{j(n_1)}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n_1}.$$

The orthogonality properties of q_1, \dots, q_n imply $\text{rank}(\Theta_1) = n_1$. For the corresponding rows of the Vandermonde matrix we introduce the notation

$$\Theta_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda_{j(1)} & \lambda_{j(1)}^2 & \dots & \lambda_{j(1)}^{m-1} \\ 1 & \lambda_{j(2)} & \lambda_{j(2)}^2 & \dots & \lambda_{j(2)}^{m-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 1 & \lambda_{j(n_1)} & \lambda_{j(n_1)}^2 & \dots & \lambda_{j(n_1)}^{m-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{n_1 \times m}.$$

The identity in (A.2) can now be written as

$$(u, Au, \dots, A^{m-1}u) = \Theta_1 \Theta_2. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

With $\Theta_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n_1}$ and $\text{rank}(\Theta_1) = n_1$ we have $\text{rank}(\Theta_1 \Theta_2) = \text{rank}(\Theta_2)$. Let $n_2 \leq n_1$ be the number of distinct eigenvalues within $\lambda_{j(1)}, \dots, \lambda_{j(n_1)}$, hence, we have indices

A. Some properties of Krylov subspaces

$\ell(1), \dots, \ell(n_2)$ for which $\lambda_{j(\ell(1))}, \dots, \lambda_{j(\ell(n_2))}$ are distinct. Then the Vandermonde matrix Θ_2 satisfies $\text{rank}(\Theta_2) = \min\{m, n_2\}$, hence,

$$\text{rank}(\Theta_1 \Theta_2) = \text{rank}(\Theta_2) = \min\{m, n_2\}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Combining (A.3) with (A.4) we conclude

$$\text{rank}\{u, Au, \dots, A^{m-1}u\} = \min\{m, n_2\}.$$

We recall that n_2 is number of nonzero coefficients w_j with distinct λ_j , and (A.1) holds if and only if $n_2 \geq m$ which completes the proof. \square

Proposition A.2. *Let $w_1, \dots, w_n \in \mathbb{C}$ with $w_j \neq 0$ and $\lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n$ be given. Let $m < n$, and let $\theta_1 < \dots < \theta_m$ and $|c_1|^2, \dots, |c_m|^2$ denote quadrature nodes and quadrature weights, respectively, and assume*

$$\sum_{j=1}^n |w_j|^2 p(\lambda_j) = \sum_{j=1}^m |c_j|^2 p(\theta_j), \quad p \in \Pi_{2m-2}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Then $c_j \neq 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Proof. We define $g_\ell(\lambda) = \prod_{j=1, j \neq \ell}^m (\lambda - \theta_j)^2 \in \Pi_{2m-2}$. The polynomial g_ℓ is zero only at the nodes $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{\ell-1}, \theta_{\ell+1}, \dots, \theta_m$ and positive otherwise. Due to $n > m$ at least one λ_j is distinct to $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$ and this yields

$$\sum_{j=1}^n |w_j|^2 g_\ell(\lambda_j) > 0.$$

Making use of the identity (A.5) and evaluating the right-hand side therein we conclude

$$\sum_{j=1}^m |c_j|^2 g_\ell(\theta_j) = g_\ell(\theta_\ell) |c_\ell|^2 > 0.$$

With $g_\ell(\theta_\ell) > 0$ this concludes $|c_\ell|^2 > 0$. \square

Proposition A.3 *(Identities for rational functions in the rational Krylov subspace). Let $U_m \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ with $(U_m, U_m)_M = I$ and $\text{span}\{U_m\} = \mathcal{Q}_m(A, u)$ for the rational Krylov subspace $\mathcal{Q}_m(A, u)$ with denominator q_{m-1} . Let $A_m = (U_m, A U_m)_M$ and $x = (U_m, u)_M$.*

(i) *The following identities hold true,*

$$r(A)u = U_m r(A_m) x, \quad r \in \Pi_{m-1}/q_{m-1}.$$

A. Some properties of Krylov subspaces

(ii) Let $r = p/q_{m-1}$ with $p \in \Pi_m$ being a polynomial of degree exactly m , then

$$(U_m r(A_m)x - r(A)u) \perp_{\mathbb{M}} \text{span}\{U_m\}. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Proof. We proceed similar to the proof of Proposition 3.5 in Subsection 3.2. We recall the identity $\mathcal{Q}_m(A, u) = \mathcal{K}_m(A, u_q)$ with $u_q = q_{m-1}^{-1}(A)u$. Let $\zeta_0 = \|u_q\|_{\mathbb{M}}$, let V_m be the \mathbb{M} -orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u_q)$, and let J_m be the respective Jacobi matrix. Then the identity (2.15a) w.r.t. $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u_q)$ implies

$$p(A)u_q = \zeta_0 V_m p(J_m)e_1, \quad p \in \Pi_{m-1}. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

This implies $q_{m-1}(A)u_q = \zeta_0 V_m q_{m-1}(J_m)e_1$, and with the identities $q_{m-1}(A)u_q = u$ and $(V_m, V_m)_{\mathbb{M}} = I$ we arrive at

$$\zeta_0 e_1 = q_{m-1}^{-1}(J_m)(V_m, u)_{\mathbb{M}}. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Let $r = p/q_{m-1}$ with $p \in \Pi_{m-1}$ then $r(A)u = p(A)u_q$, and with (A.7) we have

$$r(A)u = \zeta_0 V_m p(J_m)e_1. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Inserting (A.8) into (A.9) gives

$$r(A)u = V_m p(J_m)q_{m-1}^{-1}(J_m)(V_m, u)_{\mathbb{M}} = V_m r(J_m)(V_m, u)_{\mathbb{M}}. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

With the identity $K_m K_m^H = I$ (see (2.23c)) and (2.24) the matrix A_m satisfies $r(J_m) = K_m r(A_m)K_m^H$, and together with $V_m K_m = U_m$ (2.23c) we have

$$V_m r(J_m)(V_m, u)_{\mathbb{M}} = U_m r(A_m)(U_m, u)_{\mathbb{M}}. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Combining (A.10) with (A.11) completes the proof of (i).

For a polynomial p of degree exactly m and w.r.t. $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u_q)$ the property (2.15b) writes

$$p(A)u_q - \zeta_0 V_m p(J_m)e_1 \perp_{\mathbb{M}} \text{span}\{V_m\}, \quad p \in \Pi_m. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

Let $r = p/q_{m-1}$, then the identities in (A.8) and (A.11) with $x = (U_m, u)_{\mathbb{M}}$ entail

$$\zeta_0 V_m p(J_m)e_1 = V_m r(J_m)(V_m, u)_{\mathbb{M}} = U_m r(A_m)x.$$

With $r(A)u = p(A)u_q$ this yields

$$p(A)u_q - \zeta_0 V_m p(J_m)e_1 = r(A)u - U_m r(A_m)x. \quad (\text{A.13})$$

Making use of $\text{span}\{V_m\} = \text{span}\{U_m\}$ in (A.12) and substituting (A.13), we conclude (A.6). \square

B. Auxiliary functions for the CMS Theorem

Proposition A.4 (*The spectral coefficients c_j for the rational Krylov subspace and the choice of U_m). The spectral coefficients $|c_j|$ of $x = (U_m, u)_M \in \mathbb{C}^m$ in the orthonormal eigenbasis of $A_m = (U_m, A U_m)_M \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ are independent of the explicit choice of the underlying orthonormal basis U_m of $\mathcal{Q}_m(A, u)$.*)

Proof. We recall the representation of the spectral coefficients c_j given in (4.9),

$$c_j = (\hat{q}_j, x)_2, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Here $\hat{q}_j \in \mathbb{C}^m$ refer to the orthonormal eigenvectors of A_m . We further recall that the rational Krylov subspace $\mathcal{Q}_m(A, u)$ corresponds to the polynomial Krylov subspace $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u_q)$ with $u_q = q_{m-1}^{-1}(A)u$ for the denominator q_{m-1} . Let us recall the notation J_m and V_m for the Jacobi matrix and Krylov basis of $\mathcal{K}_m(A, u_q)$. Furthermore, we recall the orthonormal transformation $K_m = (V_m, U_m)_M \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ given in (2.23a). With $U_m = V_m K_m$ (2.23b) the vector $x = (U_m, u)_M$ corresponds to

$$x = K_m^H (V_m, u)_M =: K_m^H \xi, \quad \text{and thus,} \quad c_j = (K_m \hat{q}_j, \xi)_2. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

With the identity $A_m = K_m^H J_m K_m$ (2.24) and \hat{q}_j being eigenvectors of A_m , the vectors $K_m \hat{q}_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$ correspond to the orthonormal eigenvectors of J_m (up to a complex phase). Thus, (A.14) implies that the coefficients c_j correspond to spectral coefficients of $\xi = (V_m, u)_M$ in the orthonormal eigenbasis of J_m , and furthermore, the coefficients c_j are independent of the explicit choice of U_m . More precisely, this applies to the absolute value $|c_j|$ due to a potential complex phase on orthonormal eigenvectors. \square

B. Auxiliary functions for the CMS Theorem

Proof of Proposition 4.6. We recapitulate arguments of [Sze85, Akh65] and others. Let $\theta_1 < \dots < \theta_m$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$ be given. We first prove the existence of a polynomial $p_{\{+,k\}}$ of degree $2m-2$ which satisfies (4.16) and (4.17). Let p be a polynomial of degree $2m-2$ subject to the conditions

B. Auxiliary functions for the CMS Theorem




Figure 10.: A numerical illustration of the polynomial p subject to the conditions (B.1); duplicated from Figure 2.

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(\theta_1) &= 1, & p'(\theta_1) &= 0, \\
 \vdots & & \vdots & \\
 p(\theta_{k-1}) &= 1, & p'(\theta_{k-1}) &= 0, \\
 p(\theta_k) &= 1, & & \\
 p(\theta_{k+1}) &= 0, & p'(\theta_{k+1}) &= 0, \\
 \vdots & & \vdots & \\
 p(\theta_m) &= 0, & p'(\theta_m) &= 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

Thus, we have m many conditions for the polynomial p and $m - 1$ many conditions for its derivative, and such a polynomial uniquely exists. By the conditions (B.1) the polynomial p satisfies the identities (4.16).

To show that p satisfies the inequalities (4.17) considering $p_{\{+,k\}}$, we proceed to locate the zeros of p' which correspond to points of extreme values of p : The derivative p' is a polynomial of degree $2m - 3$, and thus, has $2m - 3$ zeros. By the conditions (B.1), we have $m - 1$ many zeros of p' located at nodes. For each pair of neighboring nodes in $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\}$ and $\{\theta_{k+1}, \dots, \theta_m\}$ the conditions (B.1) and Rolle's Theorem imply the existence of a zero of p' between the respective nodes. Thus, the derivative p' has $m - 1$ many simple zeros located at nodes and $m - 2$ many simple zeros located between nodes. With $p(\theta_k) > p(\theta_{k+1})$ and with the respective changes of sign for p' we conclude that p satisfies the inequalities for $p_{\{+,k\}}$ in (4.17).

Furthermore, we have $p(\lambda) > 1$ for $\lambda \in (\theta_j, \theta_{j+1})$ with $j = 1, \dots, k - 1$ and $\lambda < \theta_1$, and we have $p(\lambda) > 0$ for $\lambda \in (\theta_j, \theta_{j+1})$ with $j = k, \dots, m$ and $\lambda > \theta_m$. Thus, the inequalities for $p_{\{+,k\}}$ in (4.17) are strict for $\lambda \notin \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m\}$.

In a similar manner we conclude results for $p_{\{-,k\}}$.

B. Auxiliary functions for the CMS Theorem




Figure 11.: A numerical illustration of the polynomial p subject to the conditions (B.2); duplicated from Figure 2.

Let p be a polynomial of degree $2m - 2$ subject to the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} p(\theta_1) &= 1, & p'(\theta_1) &= 0, \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \\ p(\theta_k) &= 1, & p'(\theta_k) &= 0, \\ p(\theta_{k+1}) &= 0, & & \\ p(\theta_{k+2}) &= 0, & p'(\theta_{k+2}) &= 0, \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \\ p(\theta_m) &= 0, & p'(\theta_m) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

Then similar arguments as previously show that such a polynomial p satisfies the identities (4.16) and inequalities (4.17) associated with $p_{\{-,k\}}$.

Thus, the polynomials subject to the conditions (B.1) and (B.2) satisfy the desired properties of $p_{\{+,k\}}$ and $p_{\{-,k\}}$, respectively, which completes the proof. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.12. Let $a = -\infty$ and $b = \infty$ to simplify the notation.

For the given pole $s \in \mathbb{R}$ we define the transformation

$$x: \mathbb{R} \setminus \{s\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \quad x(\lambda) := (\lambda - s)^{-1}. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

For the case $\theta_1 < s < \theta_m$ the indices $k_1 > 1$ and $k_m = k_1 - 1$ are given in (4.33a) and the values $x(\theta_j)$ satisfy the ordering

$$x(\theta_{k_m}) < x(\theta_{k_m-1}) < \dots < x(\theta_1) < 0 < x(\theta_m) < x(\theta_{m-1}) < \dots < x(\theta_{k_1}). \quad (\text{B.4a})$$

Otherwise, for $s < \theta_1$ (and $s > \theta_m$) we have $k_1 = 1$ and $k_m = m$ as in (4.33b), and

$$x(\theta_{k_m}) < \dots < x(\theta_{k_1}) < 0, \quad s < \theta_1 \quad (0 < x(\theta_{k_m}) < \dots < x(\theta_{k_1}), \quad s > \theta_m). \quad (\text{B.4b})$$

For the index k_m we recall and highlight

$$k_m = k_1 - 1, \quad \text{for } \theta_1 < s < \theta_m, \quad \text{and} \quad k_m = m, \quad \text{otherwise.} \quad (\text{B.5})$$

For any of these cases we define the index mapping

$$\iota: \{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, m\}, \quad \iota(j) := \begin{cases} k_1 - j, & 1 \leq j < k_1, \\ m + k_1 - j, & k_1 \leq j \leq m. \end{cases}$$

The action of ι is illustrated in the following table,

j	1	2	\dots	$k_1 - 2$	$k_1 - 1$	k_1	$k_1 + 1$	\dots	$m - 1$	m
$\iota(j)$	$k_1 - 1$	$k_1 - 2$	\dots	2	1	m	$m - 1$	\dots	$k_1 + 1$	k_1

(B.6)

B. Auxiliary functions for the CMS Theorem

where $k_m = k_1 - 1$ or $k_m = m$ as specified in (B.5). (Thus, in the case of $s < \theta_1$ or $s > \theta_m$ this gives

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline j & 1 = k_1 & 2 & \cdots & m-1 & m = k_m \\ \hline \iota(j) & m & m-1 & \cdots & 2 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \cdot) \quad (\text{B.7})$$

We remark that ι is involutory with $\iota(1) = k_m$ ($\iota(k_m) = 1$), and $\iota(m) = k_1$ ($\iota(k_1) = m$). Thus, this mapping is bijective and with $\iota(k_m) = 1$ we have

$$\iota(k) - 1 \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}, \quad \text{for } k \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \{k_m\}. \quad (\text{B.8})$$

Let ξ_1, \dots, ξ_m denote the sequence of $x(\theta_j)$ arranged as in (B.4), i.e.,

$$\xi_j := x(\theta_{\iota(j)}), \quad \text{thus, } \xi_1 = x(\theta_{k_m}) < \dots < \xi_m = x(\theta_{k_1}).$$

We remark that ι being involutory implies

$$\xi_{\iota(j)} = x(\theta_j). \quad (\text{B.9})$$

We recall the definition of the index set I_k given in (4.34), i.e.,

$$I_k = \begin{cases} \{1, \dots, k, k_1, \dots, m\}, & 1 \leq k < k_1, \\ \{k_1, \dots, k\}, & k_1 \leq k \leq m. \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.10})$$

The set $\{x(\theta_j) : j \in I_k\}$ can be rewritten as follows: With $\xi_{\iota(j)} = x(\theta_j)$ (B.9) we have

$$\{x(\theta_j) : j \in I_k\} = \begin{cases} \{\xi_{\iota(1)}, \dots, \xi_{\iota(k)}\} \cup \{\xi_{\iota(k_1)}, \dots, \xi_{\iota(m)}\}, & 1 \leq k < k_1, \quad \text{and} \\ \{\xi_{\iota(k_1)}, \dots, \xi_{\iota(k)}\}, & k_1 \leq k \leq m. \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.11})$$

We proceed to rewrite the indices of the sets on the right-hand side of this equation using (B.6). In particular, the identities $\iota(1) = k_1 - 1$, $\iota(k_1) = m$ and $\iota(m) = k_1$ imply

$$\begin{aligned} (\iota(1), \dots, \iota(k)) &= (k_1 - 1, k_1 - 2, \dots, \iota(k)) \quad \text{for } k < k_1 \text{ and} \\ (\iota(k_1), \dots, \iota(m)) &= (m, m-1, \dots, k_1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\{\xi_{\iota(1)}, \dots, \xi_{\iota(k)}\} \cup \{\xi_{\iota(k_1)}, \dots, \xi_{\iota(m)}\} = \{\xi_{\iota(k)}, \xi_{m-1}, \dots, \xi_m\}, \quad 1 \leq k < k_1. \quad (\text{B.12a})$$

In a similar manner, identity (B.6) yields

$$(\iota(k_1), \dots, \iota(k)) = (m, m-1, \dots, \iota(k)), \quad \text{for } k \geq k_1,$$

which implies

$$\{\xi_{\iota(k_1)}, \dots, \xi_{\iota(k)}\} = \{\xi_{\iota(k)}, \dots, \xi_m\}, \quad k_1 \leq k \leq m. \quad (\text{B.12b})$$

B. Auxiliary functions for the CMS Theorem

The identity (B.11) together with (B.12), under consideration of the different cases for k , show

$$\{x(\theta_j) : j \in I_k\} = \{\xi_{\iota(k)}, \dots, \xi_m\}, \quad k = 1, \dots, m. \quad (\text{B.13})$$

In a similar manner the set $R_k \subset \mathbb{R}$ in (4.34) for $k = 1, \dots, m$ satisfies

$$x(R_k) = [\xi_{\iota(k)}, +\infty) \setminus \{0\}, \quad \text{and} \quad x(\mathbb{R}_s \setminus R_k) = (-\infty, \xi_{\iota(k)}) \setminus \{0\}, \quad (\text{B.14a})$$

where $\mathbb{R}_s = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{s\}$. The first identity in (B.14a) is illustrated in Figure 12. Analogously, the interior of R_k satisfies

$$x(R_k^\circ) = (\xi_{\iota(k)}, +\infty) \setminus \{0\}, \quad \text{and} \quad x(\mathbb{R}_s \setminus R_k^\circ) = (-\infty, \xi_{\iota(k)}) \setminus \{0\}. \quad (\text{B.14b})$$

In the current setting we assume k satisfies $k \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \{k_m\}$, thus, with (B.8) we have $\iota(k) - 1 \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$. For the sequence $\xi_1 < \dots < \xi_m$ and the index $\iota(k) - 1$ we let $p_{\{+, \iota(k)-1\}}$ and $p_{\{-, \iota(k)-1\}}$ refer to the polynomials introduced in Proposition 4.6. Additionally, we define $g_{\{\pm, k\}}$ by

$$g_{\{\pm, k\}}(y) := 1 - p_{\{\mp, \iota(k)-1\}}(y), \quad k \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \{k_m\}. \quad (\text{B.15})$$

The identities (4.16) for $p_{\{\pm, \iota(k)-1\}}$ write

$$p_{\{\pm, \iota(k)-1\}}(\xi_j) = \begin{cases} 1, & j = 1, \dots, \iota(k) - 1, \\ 0, & j = \iota(k), \dots, m, \end{cases}$$

and this entails the following identities for $g_{\{\pm, k\}}$,

$$g_{\{\pm, k\}}(\xi_j) = \begin{cases} 0, & j = 1, \dots, \iota(k) - 1, \\ 1, & j = \iota(k), \dots, m. \end{cases}$$

With (B.13) this conforms to the following identities for the nodes θ_j ,

$$g_{\{\pm, k\}}(x(\theta_j)) = \begin{cases} 1, & j \in I_k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.16})$$

In a similar manner the inequalities (4.17) for $p_{\{\pm, \iota(k)-1\}}$ read

$$p_{\{+, \iota(k)-1\}}(y) \geq \begin{cases} 1, & y \leq \xi_{\iota(k)-1}, \\ 0, & \xi_{\iota(k)-1} < y, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad p_{\{-, \iota(k)-1\}}(y) \leq \begin{cases} 1, & y < \xi_{\iota(k)}, \\ 0, & \xi_{\iota(k)} \leq y, \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.17})$$

and this entails

$$g_{\{+, k\}}(y) \geq \begin{cases} 0, & y < \xi_{\iota(k)}, \\ 1, & \xi_{\iota(k)} \leq y, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad g_{\{-, k\}}(y) \leq \begin{cases} 0, & y \leq \xi_{\iota(k)-1}, \\ 1, & \xi_{\iota(k)-1} < y. \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.18})$$

B. Auxiliary functions for the CMS Theorem

With (B.14a) the inequalities (B.18) for $g_{\{+,k\}}$ yield inequalities on the domain of x ,

$$g_{\{+,k\}}(x(\lambda)) \geq \begin{cases} 0, & \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_s \setminus R_k, \\ 1, & \lambda \in R_k, \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.19})$$

To rewrite the inequalities (B.18) for $g_{\{-,k\}}$ we proceed in a similar manner: We first consider the cases $s < \theta_1$ and $s > \theta_m$. For these cases the action of the mapping ι is illustrated in (B.7) and we observe

$$\iota(k) - 1 = \iota(k+1), \quad k = 1, \dots, m-1, \quad \text{and } s < \theta_1 \text{ or } s > \theta_m.$$

Thus, we have $\xi_{\iota(k)-1} = \xi_{\iota(k+1)}$ for these cases and the identities (B.14b) imply

$$x(R_{k+1}^\circ) = (\xi_{\iota(k)-1}, +\infty) \setminus \{0\}, \quad \text{and } x(\mathbb{R}_s \setminus R_{k+1}^\circ) = (-\infty, \xi_{\iota(k)-1}] \setminus \{0\}.$$

Together with the inequalities for $g_{\{-,k\}}$ in (B.18), this shows the following inequalities in the domain of x ,

$$g_{\{-,k\}}(x(\lambda)) \leq \begin{cases} 0, & \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_s \setminus R_{k+1}^\circ, \\ 1, & \lambda \in R_{k+1}^\circ, \end{cases} \quad \text{for } s < \lambda_1 \text{ or } s > \lambda_m, \text{ and } k = 1, \dots, m-1. \quad (\text{B.20})$$

Similar results hold for the case $\theta_1 < s < \theta_m$ (thus, $k_m < m$): The illustration in (B.6) reveals

$$\iota(k) - 1 = \iota(k+1), \quad k \in \{1, \dots, m-1\} \setminus \{k_m\}, \quad \text{and } \iota(m) - 1 = \iota(1).$$

Thus, with (B.14b) and the denotation $R_{m+1} = R_1$ we have

$$x(R_{k+1}^\circ) = (\xi_{\iota(k)-1}, +\infty) \setminus \{0\}, \quad k \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \{k_m\},$$

with similar results considering $x(\mathbb{R}_s \setminus R_{k+1}^\circ)$. With this identity, the inequalities for $g_{\{-,k\}}$ in (B.18) reveal inequalities similar to (B.20) for the case $\theta_1 < s < \theta_m$. Together with (B.20) for the case $s < \lambda_1$ or $s > \lambda_m$, we conclude with

$$g_{\{-,k\}}(x(\lambda)) \leq \begin{cases} 0, & \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_s \setminus R_{k+1}^\circ, \\ 1, & \lambda \in R_{k+1}^\circ, \end{cases} \quad k \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \{k_m\}, \quad (\text{B.21})$$

We define the rational function $r_{\{\pm,k\}} \in \Pi_{2m-2}/q_{m-1}^2$ by

$$r_{\{\pm,k\}}(\lambda) := g_{\{\pm,k\}}(x(\lambda)).$$

Indeed, as demonstrated in Remark B.1 further below, such a function is rational. In Figure 13 and 14 we plot the rational function $r_{\{\pm,m\}}$ and the respective auxiliary polynomial function $g_{\{\pm,m\}}$ for numerical examples. For further illustrations of $r_{\{-,m\}}$ we refer to Figure 4 in Subsection 4.3.

B. Auxiliary functions for the CMS Theorem




Figure 12.: In these figures we illustrate the identity $x(R_k) = [\xi_{\iota(k)}, +\infty) \setminus \{0\}$ (B.14a) for given nodes $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$. The pole s is given and satisfies $\theta_1 < s < \theta_m$. For the index k we consider two different cases, namely, we choose k such that $\theta_k > s$ in Figure a) and b), and we choose k such that $\theta_k < s$ in Figure c) and d).

- Figure a) and c) show the real axis with the nodes $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$ ('o'). Furthermore, the set $R_k \subset \mathbb{R}$ given in (4.34) is highlighted by a dashed area.
- Figure a) and c) show the real axis with ξ_1, \dots, ξ_m ('x'), i.e., the image of $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$ under the transformation x (B.3) with $x(\theta_k) = \xi_{\iota(k)}$ (B.9). Furthermore, the dashed area highlights $x(R_k)$ which satisfies the identity (B.14a).

The rational functions $r_{\{\pm, k\}}$ satisfy the identities (4.38) and inequalities (4.39) which concludes the proof of Proposition 4.12: The identities (B.16) conclude the identities (4.38) for $r_{\{\pm, k\}}(\theta_j) = g_{\{\pm, k\}}(x(\theta_j))$. Analogously, (B.19) and (B.21) entail the inequalities (4.39).

Furthermore, we consider the inequalities (B.19) and (B.21) to be strict for $\lambda \neq \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m\}$. Indeed, for a given λ with $\lambda \neq \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m\}$ we have $y = x(\lambda) \neq \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\}$ and the underlying inequalities for $p_{\pm, \iota(k)-1}$ in (B.17) are strict, which carries over to the inequalities (B.19) and (B.21). \square

Remark B.1. Let $g \in \Pi_{2m-2}$ and let $x(\lambda) = (\lambda - s)^{-1}$, then

$$r(\lambda) = g(x(\lambda)) \tag{B.22}$$

defines a rational function in λ , namely, $r \in \Pi_{2m-2}/q_{m-1}^2$ for $q_{m-1}(\lambda) = (\lambda - s)^{m-1}$. To demonstrate this result we define

$$\widehat{g}(\lambda) = g((\lambda - s)^{-1})(\lambda - s)^{2m-2}. \tag{B.23}$$




Figure 13.: – In Figure **a**) we plot the rational function $r_{\{-,k\}}$ (introduced in Proposition 4.12) for a numerical example; we show $r_{\{-,k\}}(\lambda)$ over λ for a given pole $s = -3$, and given nodes $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$ with $m = 8$. We have $\theta_{k_m} < s < \theta_{k_1}$ with $k_m = 3$ and $k_1 = 4$. For $j \in I_k$ we mark $r_{\{-,k\}}(\theta_j)$ by ('o'), and for $j \notin I_k$ we mark $r_{\{-,k\}}(\theta_j)$ by ('x'). The dashed lines illustrate the upper bounds of $r_{\{-,k\}}$ given in (4.39).

– In Figure **b**) we show the auxiliary polynomial function $g_{\{-,k\}}$ which appears in the proof of Proposition 4.12, namely, (B.15) therein. The nodes ξ_1, \dots, ξ_m correspond to the image of the nodes θ_j under x , namely, $\xi_{\iota(j)} = x(\theta_j)$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$. For $k = 8$ we have $\iota(k) = 4$. The symbols ('x') and ('o') mark $g_{\{-,m\}}(\xi_j)$ for $j = \iota(k) - 1, \dots, m$ and $j = \iota(k), \dots, m$, respectively. The dashed lines illustrate the upper bounds of $g_{\{-,k\}}$ given in (B.18).

Expanding the right-hand side of (B.23) shows $\hat{g} \in \Pi_{2m-2}$. Substituting $x(\lambda)$ and $q_{m-1}(\lambda)$ in (B.23), and dividing by $q_{m-1}(\lambda)^2$ reveals the representation

$$\hat{g}(\lambda)/q_{m-1}(\lambda)^2 = g(x(\lambda)),$$

and thus, with (B.22) we have $r(\lambda) = \hat{g}(\lambda)/q_{m-1}(\lambda)^2$. This shows $r \in \Pi_{2m-2}/q_{m-1}^2$.

References

- [Akh65] N.I. Akhiezer. *The Classical Moment Problem and Some Related Questions in Analysis*. Oliver & Boyd, Edinburgh, first english edition, 1965.
- [Ant05] A.C. Antoulas. *Approximation of large-scale dynamical systems*, volume 6 of *Advances in Design and Control*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, PA, USA, 2005.




Figure 14.: – In Figure **a)** and **c)** we plot the rational function $r_{\{+,k\}}$ (introduced in Proposition 4.12) for numerical examples. The nodes $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$ with $m = 8$ and the pole s are given as in Figure 13 a). For the index k we choose $k = 7$ in Figure a) and $k = 1$ in Figure c). For $j \in I_k$ we mark $r_{\{+,k\}}(\theta_j)$ by ('o'), and for $j \notin I_k$ we mark $r_{\{+,k\}}(\theta_j)$ by ('x'). The dashed lines illustrate the upper bounds of $r_{\{+,k\}}$ given in (4.39).

– In Figure **b)** and **c)** we show the auxiliary polynomial function $g_{\{+,k\}}$ which appears in the proof of Proposition 4.12, namely, (B.15) therein. The function $g_{\{+,k\}}$ with $k = 7$ plotted in Figure b) is associated with the function $r_{\{+,k\}}$ in Figure a), and analogously, such a relation is given for Figure d) and Figure c). For the index $\iota(k)$ which is relevant in the proof of Proposition 4.12 we remark $\iota(k) = 5$ for $k = 7$ and $\iota(k) = 3$ for $k = 1$. As in Figure 13 b), the nodes ξ_1, \dots, ξ_m correspond to the image of the nodes θ_j under x , namely, $\xi_{\iota(j)} = x(\theta_j)$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$. The symbols ('x') and ('o') mark $g_{\{+,m\}}(\xi_j)$ for $j = \iota(k) - 1, \dots, m$ and $j = \iota(k), \dots, m$, respectively. The dashed lines illustrate the upper bounds of $g_{\{+,k\}}$ given in (B.18).

References

- [BG15] M. Berljafa and S. Güttel. [Generalized rational Krylov decompositions with an application to rational approximation](#). *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 36(2):894–916, 2015.
- [BGF97] A. Bunse-Gerstner and H. Faßbender. [Error bounds in the isometric Arnoldi process](#). *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 86(1):53–72, 1997.
- [BM00] T. Barth and T. Manteuffel. [Multiple recursion conjugate gradient algorithms part I: Sufficient conditions](#). *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 21(3):768–796, 2000.
- [BMV18] B. Beckermann, C. Mertens, and R. Vandebril. [On an economic arnoldi method for *bml*-matrices](#). *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 39(2):737–768, 2018.
- [BR09] B. Beckermann and L. Reichel. [Error estimates and evaluation of matrix functions via the Faber transform](#). *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 47(5):3849–3883, 2009.
- [Chi78] T.S. Chihara. *An Introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials*. Dover, 1978.
- [DB07] K. Deckers and A. Bultheel. Rational Krylov sequences and orthogonal rational functions. Technical report, Department of Computer Science, K.U.Leuvene, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium, 01 2007.
- [DB12] K. Deckers and A. Bultheel. [The existence and construction of rational Gauss-type quadrature rules](#). *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 218(20):10299–10320, 2012.
- [DBVD10] K. Deckers, A. Bultheel, and J. Van Deun. A generalized eigenvalue problem for quasi-orthogonal rational functions. Technical report, Department of Computer Science, K.U.Leuvene, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium, August 2010. Report TW 571.
- [Dec09] K. Deckers. *orthogonal rational functions: quadrature, recurrence and rational Krylov*. PhD thesis, Department of Computer Science, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Leuven, Belgium, February 2009.
- [DK89] V. Druskin and L. Knizhnerman. [Two polynomial methods of calculating functions of symmetric matrices](#). *USSR Comput. Math. Math. Phys.*, 29(6):112–121, 1989.
- [DK98] V. Druskin and L. Knizhnerman. [Extended Krylov subspaces: Approximation of the matrix square root and related functions](#). *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 19(3):755–771, 1998.

References

- [ER80] T. Ericsson and A. Ruhe. [The spectral transformation Lanczos method for the numerical solution of large sparse generalized symmetric eigenvalue problems](#). *Math. Comp.*, 35(152):1251–1268, 1980.
- [FF94] B. Fischer and R.W. Freund. [On adaptive weighted polynomial preconditioning for Hermitian positive definite matrices](#). *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, 15(2):408–426, 1994.
- [FH93] R.W. Freund and M. Hochbruck. [Gauss quadratures associated with the Arnoldi process and the Lanczos algorithm](#). In *Linear Algebra for Large Scale and Real-Time Applications*, pages 377–380. Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [Fis96] B. Fischer. [Polynomial Based Iteration Methods for Symmetric Linear Systems](#). Vieweg+Teubner Verlag, Wiesbaden, 1996.
- [Gau81] W. Gautschi. [A survey of Gauss-Christoffel quadrature formulae](#). In P.L. Butzer and F. Feher, editors, *E.B. Christoffel: The Influence of His Work on Mathematics and Physics*, pages 72–147. Birkhäuser, Basel, Switzerland, 1981.
- [Gau93] W. Gautschi. [Gauss-type quadrature rules for rational functions](#). In *Numerical integration, IV (Oberwolfach, 1992)*, volume 112 of *Internat. Ser. Numer. Math.*, pages 111–130. Birkhäuser, Basel, Switzerland, 1993.
- [Gau04] W. Gautschi. [Orthogonal polynomials: computation and approximation](#). Numerical Mathematics and Scientific Computation. Oxford University Press, New York, 2004. Oxford Science Publications.
- [GM10] G.H. Golub and G. Meurant. [Matrices, Moments and Quadrature with Applications](#). Princeton series in applied mathematics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, USA, 2010.
- [Gol73] G.H. Golub. [Some modified matrix eigenvalue problems](#). *SIAM Rev.*, 15(2):318–334, 1973.
- [Gol02] L. Golinskii. [Quadrature formula and zeros of para-orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle](#). *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 96(3):169–186, 2002.
- [Gra93] W.B. Gragg. [Positive definite Toeplitz matrices, the Arnoldi process for isometric operators, and Gaussian quadrature on the unit circle](#). *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 46(1):183–198, 1993.
- [Gri12] V. Grimm. [Resolvent Krylov subspace approximation to operator functions](#). *BIT*, 52(3):639–659, 2012.

References

- [Güt10] S. Güttel. *Rational Krylov Methods for Operator Functions*. PhD thesis, Technische Universität Bergakademie Freiberg, Germany, 2010. Thesis available as MIMS Eprint 2017.39.
- [Güt13] S. Güttel. Rational Krylov approximation of matrix functions: Numerical methods and optimal pole selection. *GAMM-Mitt.*, 36(1):8–31, 2013.
- [GW69] G.H. Golub and J.H. Welsch. Calculation of Gauss quadrature rules. *Math. Comp.*, 23(106):221–221, 1969.
- [HPS09] I. Hnětynková, M. Plešinger, and Z. Strakoš. The regularizing effect of the Golub-Kahan iterative bidiagonalization and revealing the noise level in the data. *BIT*, 49(4):669–696, 2009.
- [Hür15] W. Hürlimann. An explicit version of the Chebyshev-Markov-Stieltjes inequalities and its applications. *J. Inequal. Appl.*, 2015(192), 2015.
- [Jaw22] T. Jawecki. A localized near-best approximation property for rational Krylov approximations to exponentials of skew-hermitian matrices. to be published, 2022.
- [JR94] C. Jagels and L. Reichel. A fast minimal residual algorithm for shifted unitary matrices. *Numer. Linear Algebra Appl.*, 1(6):555–570, 1994.
- [JR11] C. Jagels and L. Reichel. Recursion relations for the extended Krylov subspace method. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 434(7):1716–1732, 2011. Special Issue: NIU.
- [JR13] C. Jagels and L. Reichel. The structure of matrices in rational Gauss quadrature. *Math. Comp.*, 82(284):2035–2060, 2013.
- [KS53] S. Karlin and L.S. Shapley. Geometry of moment spaces. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 12:93, 1953.
- [Lan50] C. Lanczos. An iteration method for the solution of the eigenvalue problem of linear differential and integral operators. *Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards*, 45(4):255, 1950.
- [Li98] X. Li. Separation theorem of Chebyshev–Markov–Stieltjes type for Laurent polynomials orthogonal on $(0, \infty)$. In A. Sri Ranga W.B. Jones, editor, *Orthogonal Functions: Moment Theory and Continued Fractions*, volume 199, pages 327–341. CRC Press, 1998.
- [LLRW08] G. López Lagomasino, L. Reichel, and L. Wunderlich. Matrices, moments, and rational quadrature. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 429(10):2540–2554, 2008.

References

- [LS13] J. Liesen and Z. Strakoš. *Krylov subspace methods: Principles and Analysis*. Numerical Mathematics and Scientific Computation. Oxford University Press, 2013.
- [Rei79] W.P. Reinhardt. *l^2 discretization of atomic and molecular electronic continua: Moment, quadrature and J-matrix techniques*. *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, 17(1):1–21, 1979.
- [Ruh84] A. Ruhe. *Rational Krylov sequence methods for eigenvalue computation*. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 58:391–405, 1984.
- [Saa92] Y. Saad. *Analysis of some Krylov subspace approximations to the matrix exponential operator*. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 29(1):209–228, 1992.
- [Saa03] Y. Saad. *Iterative methods for sparse linear systems*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, PA, USA, second edition, 2003.
- [Saa11] Y. Saad. *Numerical methods for large eigenvalue problems*. Classics in applied mathematics ; 66. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, PA, USA, second edition, 2011.
- [Sch08] K. Schäfer. *Krylov subspace methods for shifted unitary matrices and eigenvalue deflation applied to the Neuberger Operator and the matrix sign function*. PhD thesis, Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Germany, 2008.
- [Sid98] R. Sidje. *Expokit: A software package for computing matrix exponentials*. *ACM Trans. Math. Software*, 24(1):130–156, 1998.
- [Sze85] G. Szegő. *Orthogonal Polynomials*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, reprint., fourth edition, 1985.
- [VA93] W. Van Assche. *The impact of Stieltjes’ work on continued fractions and orthogonal polynomials*. In G. van Dijk, editor, *Stieltjes Oeuvres Complètes - Collected Papers*, pages 5–37. Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [vdEH06] J. van den Eshof and M. Hochbruck. *Preconditioning Lanczos approximations to the matrix exponential*. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, 27(4):1438–1457, 2006.
- [Wil62] H.S. Wilf. *Mathematics for the Physical Sciences*. Dover, 1962.
- [Zel54] M. Zelen. *Bounds on a distribution function that are functions of moments to order four*. *J. Res. Nat. Bur. Standards*, 53(6):377–381, 1954.
- [ZTK19] M. Zemaityte, F. Tisseur, and R. Kannan. *Filtering frequencies in a shift-and-invert Lanczos algorithm for the dynamic analysis of structures*. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, 41(3):B601–B624, 2019.