arXiv:2205.01857v1 [math.FA] 4 May 2022

Monomial Operators

Jim Agler and John E. McCarthy *

August 19, 2023

Abstract

We study monomial operators on $L^2[0,1]$, that is bounded linear operators that map each monomial x^n to a multiple of x^{p_n} for some p_n. We show that they are all unitarily equivalent to weighted composition operators on a Hardy space. We characterize what sequences p_n can arise. In the case that p_n is a fixed translation of n, we give a criterion for boundedness of the operator.

1 Introduction

When studying polynomial approximation in $L^2[0,1]$, the following class of operators arises naturally.

Definition 1.1. A *monomial operator* is a bounded linear operator $T : L^2[0,1] \to L^2[0,1]$ with the property that there exist constants c_n and p_n so that

$$T : x^n \mapsto c_n\, x^{p_n} \qquad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{1.2}$$

If, in addition, there is some τ so that $p_n = n + \tau$ for every n, we call it a *flat monomial operator*.

The powers p_n may be complex, but must lie in the half plane

$$\mathbb{S} := \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \mathrm{Re}(s) > -\frac{1}{2}\},$$

in order for x^{p_n} to lie in L^2. Well-known examples of monomial operators include the Hardy operator H

$$\begin{aligned} H : f &\mapsto \frac{1}{x}\int_0^x f(t)dt \\ Hx^n &= \frac{1}{n+1}x^n; \end{aligned}$$

*Partially supported by National Science Foundation Grant DMS 2054199

the operator M_x of multiplication by x; and the Volterra operator

$$\begin{aligned} V = M_x H : f &\mapsto \int_0^x f(t)dt \\ Vx^n &= \frac{1}{n+1}x^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

In [2] the authors studied flat monomial operators, and showed that they all leave invariant every subspace of the form

$$\{f \in L^2[0,1] : f = 0 \text{ on } [0,t]\}.$$

It was shown independently by Brodskii [6] and Donoghue [10] that these subspaces are exactly the invariant subspaces for the Volterra operator.

It is the purpose of this note to examine general monomial operators of the form (1.2). To describe them, it is convenient to introduce a Hardy space associated with $\mathbb{S}$. The Hardy space of the unit disk, which we denote $H^2(\mathbb{D})$, is the Hilbert space of holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{D}$ with finite norm, where the norm is given by

$$\|\phi\|^2_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} = \sup_{0<r<1} \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} |\phi(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta.$$

There are two distinct definitions for the Hardy space of a half-plane. Let λ be the linear fractional transformation give by

$$\lambda(s) = \frac{s}{s+1}, \tag{1.3}$$

that takes $\mathbb{S}$ onto $\mathbb{D}$.

Definition 1.4. *By $H^2(\mathbb{S})$ we mean $\{\phi \circ \lambda : \phi \in H^2(\mathbb{D})\}$, and the norm is defined so that $\phi \mapsto \phi \circ \lambda$ is unitary.*

An equivalent definition of $H^2(\mathbb{S})$ is the set of functions f that are holomorphic in $\mathbb{S}$ and such that $|f|^2$ has a harmonic majorant there. The norm squared is equal to the value of this harmonic majorant at 0. If $f \in H^2(\mathbb{S})$, then it has boundary values a.e. (see e.g. [11]), and the norm is given by

$$\|f\|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|f(-\frac{1}{2}+it)|^2}{t^2+\frac{1}{4}}dt. \tag{1.5}$$

The reproducing kernel for $H^2(\mathbb{S})$ is given by

$$k(s,u) = \langle k_u, k_s\rangle = \frac{(1+s)(1+\bar{u})}{1+s+\bar{u}}. \tag{1.6}$$

In light of (1.6), the map

$$\begin{aligned} U : L^2[0,1] &\to H^2(\mathbb{S}) \\ x^s &\mapsto \frac{1}{1+s}k_{\bar{s}} \end{aligned}$$

extends to a unitary from $L^2[0,1]$ onto $H^2(\mathbb{S})$. In [1] we show that for any $f \in L^2$, we have

$$Uf(s) = (1+s)\int_0^1 f(x)x^s dx.$$

If T is a monomial operator, we shall define

$$\widehat{T} \;=\; UTU^* : H^2(\mathbb{S}) \to H^2(\mathbb{S}). \tag{1.7}$$

One might wonder whether the definition of monomial operator should require that it take x^s to some multiple of a monomial for every $s \in \mathbb{S}$; our first theorem asserts that this always happens, just by assuming it on the natural numbers. It also shows that, after moving to the Hardy space as in (1.7), monomial operators correspond to the adjoints of weighted composition operators.

If g is a holomorphic function on some domain, let us define

$$g^{\cup}(s) \;=\; \overline{g(\overline{s})}.$$

By (1.5) we see that if $f \in H^2(\mathbb{S})$, then $\|f^{\cup}\|_{H^2(\mathbb{S})} = \|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{S})}$.

Theorem 1.8. *Let $T : L^2[0,1] \to L^2[0,1]$ be a monomial operator given by (1.2). Then there exists a holomorphic map $\beta : \mathbb{S} \to \mathbb{S}$ and a function $g \in H^2(\mathbb{S})$ so that, for every $s \in \mathbb{S}$, we have*

$$T(x^s) \;=\; \frac{1+\beta(s)}{1+s} g(s)\; x^{\beta(s)}. \tag{1.9}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\widehat{T}^* f(s) \;=\; g^{\cup}(s) f(\beta^{\cup}(s)) \qquad \forall f \in H^2(\mathbb{S}). \tag{1.10}$$

Equation (1.10) says

$$\widehat{T}^* \;=\; M_{g^{\cup}} C_{\beta^{\cup}},$$

where C_β denotes the composition operator $f \mapsto f \circ \beta$, and M_g denotes the multiplication operator $f \mapsto gf$.

Weighted composition operators have been studied for some time. See e.g. [12, 8, 15, 9, 5, 4, 7]. By Littlewood's subordination principle, the operator C_β is always bounded whenever β is a holomorphic self-map of $\mathbb{S}$ [16, Thm. 10.4.2]. A multiplication operator M_h is bounded if and only if $h \in H^\infty(\mathbb{S})$. It was observed in [14] that it is possible for the product $M_h C_\beta$ to be bounded even when M_h is not.

A consequence of Theorem 1.8 is that it allows us to specify what sequences p_n can occur in (1.2).

Corollary 1.11. *Let (p_n) be a sequence in $\mathbb{S}$. Then there exists some choice of scalars c_n, not all zero, so that $T : x^n \mapsto c_n x^{p_n}$ extends to be a bounded linear operator on $L^2[0,1]$ if and only if*

$$\left[\frac{p_m + \overline{p_n} + 1}{m+n+1}\right] \;\geq\; 0.$$

In Section 3 we study which pairs of functions β and g give rise to a bounded operator in (1.9). We answer this question only for flat monomial operators, i.e. when $\beta(s) = s + \tau$ for some constant τ. If $\Re(\tau) < 0$, it follows from Corollary 1.11 that such a T can never be bounded.

If $\Re(\tau) \geq 0$, we have:

Theorem 1.12. *Let $\Re(\tau) \geq 0$, and let T be defined by*

$$T(x^n) \;=\; \frac{1+n+\tau}{1+n} g(n)\; x^{n+\tau}$$

for some function $g \in H^2(\mathbb{S})$.

(i) If $\Re(\tau) > 0$, then T extends to be a bounded linear operator from $L^2[0,1]$ to $L^2[0,1]$ if and only if the Poisson integral of $|g|^2$ is bounded on all half-planes that are strictly contained in $\mathbb{S}$.

(ii) If $\Re(\tau) = 0$, then T is bounded if and only if g is bounded on $\mathbb{S}$.

This theorem is proved in Theorems 3.3 and 3.6.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.8

Proof of Theorem 1.8. Step 1. Let $\widehat{T}$ be given by (1.7). Define g by $g^{\cup} = \widehat{T}^* 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{T} k_n &= UT(1+n)x^n \\ &= (1+n) U c_n x^{p_n} \\ &= c_n \frac{1+n}{1+p_n} k_{\overline{p_n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $k_0 = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g^{\cup}, k_n \rangle &= \langle 1, c_n \frac{1+n}{1+p_n} k_{\overline{p_n}} \rangle \\ &= \overline{c_n} \frac{1+n}{1+\overline{p_n}}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$g(n) \;=\; c_n \frac{1+n}{1+p_n},$$

and so we can write

$$\widehat{T} k_n \;=\; g(n) k_{\overline{p_n}}. \tag{2.1}$$

For any $u \in \mathbb{S}$, let $h_u = \widehat{T}^* k_u$. We get from (2.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h_u, k_n \rangle &= g^{\cup}(n) \langle k_u, k_{\overline{p_n}} \rangle \\ \Rightarrow \quad h_u(n) &= g^{\cup}(n) \frac{(1+\bar{u})(1+\overline{p_n})}{1+\bar{u}+\overline{p_n}} \qquad (2.2) \\ \Rightarrow \quad h_u^{\cup}(n) &= g(n) \frac{(1+u)(1+p_n)}{1+u+p_n}. \qquad (2.3) \end{aligned}$$

Define β by

$$\beta(s) \;=\; \frac{(1+u)(h_u^{\cup}(s)-g(s))}{(1+u)g(s)-h_u^{\cup}(s)}. \tag{2.4}$$

As g and h_u are both in the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{S})$, a priori we know that β is in the Nevanlinna class of meromorphic functions on $\mathbb{S}$, the class of quotients of H^2 functions. Moreover, it follows from (2.3) that

$$p_n \;=\; \frac{(1+u)(h_u^{\cup}(n)-g(n))}{(1+u)g(n)-h_u^{\cup}(n)} \;=\; \beta(n).$$

Observe that $\mathbb{N}$ is not a zero set for $H^2(\mathbb{S})$—indeed, $(\lambda(n)=\frac{n}{n+1})$ is not a Blaschke sequence for $H^2(\mathbb{D})$. Therefore it is a set of uniqueness for the Nevanlinna class, and hence β is the unique function in the class that satisfies $\beta(n)=p_n$. In particular, the definition (2.4) is actually independent of u.

We can write (2.2) as

$$(\widehat{T}^* k_u)(n) \;=\; g^{\cup}(n)k_u(\beta^{\cup}(n)) \qquad \forall n\in\mathbb{N}. \tag{2.5}$$

Since $\mathbb{N}$ is a set of uniqueness, (2.5) holds everywhere

$$(\widehat{T}^* k_u)(s) \;=\; g^{\cup}(s)k_u(\beta^{\cup}(s)) \qquad \forall s\in\mathbb{S}. \tag{2.6}$$

Step 2. We must show that $\beta:\mathbb{S}\to\mathbb{S}$. Suppose there is some point $s\in\mathbb{S}$ where $g(s)\neq 0$ and $\beta^{\cup}(s)=w$ is in $\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{S}$. Then there is a sequence q_n such that each q_n is a finite linear combination of kernel functions, $\|q_n\|\le 1$, and $q_n(w)\to\infty$. By (2.6), we have

$$(\widehat{T}^* q_n)(s) \;=\; g^{\cup}(s)q_n(w). \tag{2.7}$$

The right-hand side of (2.7) tends to infinity, the left-hand side is bounded by $\|T\|\|k_s\|$, a contradiction. Since $\beta^{\cup}$ is meromorphic, we conclude that whenever $g^{\cup}(s)\neq 0$ then $\beta(s)\in\mathbb{S}$. Therefore any singularities of $\beta^{\cup}$ on the zero set of $g^{\cup}$ are removable, so we conclude that $\beta^{\cup}$, and hence also β, is a self-map of $\mathbb{S}$.

Thus we have proved (1.10) for any finite linear combination of kernel functions, and so, by a limiting argument, it is true for all $f\in H^2(\mathbb{S})$.

Step 3. From (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \widehat{T}k_s, k_u\rangle &= \overline{\langle \widehat{T}^* k_u, k_s\rangle} \\
&= g(\bar{s})\overline{k_u(\beta^{\cup}(s))} \\
&= g(\bar{s})\frac{(1+u)(1+\beta(\bar{s}))}{1+u+\beta(\bar{s}))} \\
&= g(\bar{s})k_{\beta^{\cup}(s)}(u).
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\widehat{T}k_s \;=\; g(\bar{s})k_{\beta^{\cup}(s)}. \tag{2.8}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} Tx^s &= U^*\widehat{T}U[x^s] \\ &= U^*\widehat{T}[\frac{1}{1+s}k_{\bar{s}}] \\ &= U^*[\frac{1}{1+s}g(s)k_{\overline{\beta(s)}}] \\ &= \frac{1+\beta(s)}{1+s}g(s)x^{\beta(s)}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (1.9). □

Proof of Corollary 1.11. By Theorem 1.8, a necessary condition for the existence of a non-zero bounded T that maps each x^n to a multiple of x^{p_n} is that there be some holomorphic self-map β of $\mathbb{S}$ that maps n to p_n. This condition is also sufficient, since choosing

$$c_n = \frac{1+p_n}{1+n}$$

gives UTU^* is the adjoint of $C_{\beta\cup}$, which is bounded.

When is there a map $\beta : \mathbb{S} \to \mathbb{S}$ that interpolates n to p_n? Composing with the Riemann map λ from (1.3), this is equivalent to asking when there exists $\phi = \lambda\beta\lambda^{-1}$ from $\mathbb{D}$ to $\mathbb{D}$ that maps $\frac{n}{n+1}$ to $\frac{p_n}{p_n+1}$. By Pick's theorem [3, Thm. 1.81], this occurs if and only if

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 - \frac{p_m}{p_m+1}\frac{\overline{p_n}}{\overline{p_n}+1} \\ \hline 1 - \frac{m}{m+1}\frac{\bar{n}}{\bar{n}+1} \end{bmatrix} \geq 0. \tag{2.9}$$

Rearranging (2.9), we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{m+1}{p_m+1}\frac{\bar{n}+1}{\overline{p_n}+1}\frac{p_m+\overline{p_n}+1}{m+\bar{n}+1} \end{bmatrix} \geq 0.$$

As conjugating by the rank one operator

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{m+1}{p_m+1}\frac{\bar{n}+1}{\overline{p_n}+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

does not affect positivity, and $n = \bar{n}$, we get that an interpolating β exists if and only if

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{p_m+\overline{p_n}+1}{m+n+1} \end{bmatrix} \geq 0.$$

□

3 Flat Monomial Operators

In this section, we shall consider operators of the form

$$T : x^n \mapsto c_n x^{n+\tau}, \tag{3.1}$$

and in particular when they are bounded. Note first that if $\tau = \tau_0 + i\tau_1$, with τ_0 and τ_1 real, then the effect of τ_1 is to multiply everything in the range by $x^{i\tau_1}$, which is unimodular. So without loss of generality we can assume that τ is real. Moreover, by Corollary 1.11, T can only be bounded if $\tau \geq 0$.

Let us first handle the case $\tau = 0$. In the terminology of Theorem 1.8, $\beta(s) = s$, so T is bounded if and only if there is a bounded function g on $\mathbb{S}$ that satisfies $g(n) = c_n$. By Pick's theorem, this happens if and only if

$$\left[\frac{1 - c_m\overline{c_n}}{1+m+n}\right] \;\geq\; 0. \tag{3.2}$$

So we get:

Theorem 3.3. *The map $T : x^n \mapsto c_n x^n$ extends to be a bounded linear map if and only if* (3.2) *holds. Moreover, if it is non-zero, it is never compact.*

When $\tau > 0$, how do we determine whether (3.1) extends to be bounded? Let

$$\gamma(s) \;=\; s + \tau. \tag{3.4}$$

We must find $g \in H^2(\mathbb{S})$ that satisfies

$$g(n) \;=\; \overline{c_n}\,\frac{1+n}{1+n+\tau}.$$

Then T is bounded if and only if $M_g C_\gamma$ is bounded. (We have changed notation slightly from Theorem 1.8 to avoid the use of $g^\cup$ and to emphasize that we have a fixed choice of β). To investigate $M_g C_\gamma$, we turn to the Poisson kernel.

The Poisson kernel for $\mathbb{S}$ at a point $s = -\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + it$ is given by

$$P_{-\frac{1}{2}+\sigma+it}(-\frac{1}{2} + iy) \;=\; \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{\sigma}{\sigma^2 + (y-t)^2}.$$

The Poisson integral of some function f defined on the line $\{\Re(s) = -\frac{1}{2}\}$ is given by

$$P[f](-\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + it) \;=\; \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma}{\sigma^2 + (y-t)^2} f(-\frac{1}{2} + iy)dy$$

It is convenient to introduce another Hardy space, $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{S})$.

Definition 3.5. *The space $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{S})$ consists of all functions f that are holomorphic in $\mathbb{S}$ and satisfy*

$$\sup_{x > -\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x+iy)|^2 dy < \infty.$$

Every function $f \in \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{S})$ has non-tangential boundary limits almost everywhere, and its norm is then given by (see [13]):

$$\|f\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{S})} \;=\; \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(-\frac{1}{2} + iy)|^2 dy.$$

Let us write

$$\mathbb{H}_\rho := \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \Re(s) > \rho\}.$$

So $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{H}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ in this notation.

Theorem 3.6. *The operator $M_g C_\gamma$ is bounded if and only if the Poisson integral of $|g|^2$ is bounded on some (and hence every) half-plane $\mathbb{H}_\rho$ for $\rho > -\frac{1}{2}$.*

Proof of Thm. 3.6. The map

$$W : f(s) \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{s+1} f(s)$$

is a unitary from $H^2(\mathbb{S})$ onto $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{S})$. One checks

$$W M_g C_\gamma W^* \;=\; M_{\frac{s+\tau+1}{s+1} g(s)} C_\gamma. \tag{3.7}$$

For s in $\mathbb{S}$ we have

$$1 \le |\frac{s+\tau+1}{s+1}| \le 1 + 2\tau,$$

so for boundedness purposes we can drop this factor in (3.7) and conclude that $M_g C_\gamma$ is bounded on $H^2(\mathbb{S})$ if and only if it is bounded on $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{S})$. Thus we wish to know for which g does

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(-\frac{1}{2}+iy)|^2 |f(-\frac{1}{2}+\tau+iy)|^2 dy \;\lesssim\; \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(-\frac{1}{2}+iy)|^2 dy$$

hold? This is the same as asking when integrating along the vertical line $\{\Re(s) = -\frac{1}{2}+\tau\}$ with weight $|g(-\frac{1}{2}+iy)|^2$ is a Carleson measure for $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{S})$. By [13, VI.3], this happens if and only if

$$\sup_{\sigma>0, t\in\mathbb{R}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma}{(\tau+\sigma)^2+(y-t)^2} |g(-\frac{1}{2}+iy)|^2 dy \;= C \;<\; \infty. \tag{3.8}$$

Taking $\sigma = \tau$ in (3.8), we get that $M_g C_\gamma$ is bounded implies

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tau}{(2\tau)^2+(y-t)^2} |g(-\frac{1}{2}+iy)|^2 dy \;\le\; K,$$

for some constant K, so

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tau}{(\tau)^2+(y-t)^2} |g(-\frac{1}{2}+iy)|^2 dy \;\le\; 4K.$$

If $u = -\frac{1}{2}+\tau+\sigma+it$ is any point in the half-plane $\mathbb{H}_{-\frac{1}{2}+\tau}$, we have

$$P_u(-\frac{1}{2}+iy) \;=\; \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\sigma+\tau}{(\sigma+\tau)^2+(y-t)^2}.$$

As

$$\frac{\sigma+\tau}{(\tau+\sigma)^2+(y-t)^2} \;\le \frac{\sigma}{(\tau+\sigma)^2+(y-t)^2} + \frac{\tau}{(\tau)^2+(y-t)^2},$$

we conclude that (3.8) implies that the Poisson integral of $|g|^2$ is bounded on $\mathbb{H}_{-\frac{1}{2}+\tau}$. Conversely, the boundedness of the Poisson integral of $|g|^2$ on $\mathbb{H}_{-\frac{1}{2}+\tau}$ implies (3.8).

Finally, to see that boundedness of the Poisson integral of $|g|^2$ on $\mathbb{H}_{-\frac{1}{2}+\sigma}$ implies boundedness on $\mathbb{H}_{-\frac{1}{2}+\rho}$ for any $0 < \rho < \sigma$, we just observe that

$$\frac{1}{\pi}\frac{\rho}{\rho^2+(y-t)^2} \;\leq\; (\frac{\sigma^2}{\rho^2})\frac{1}{\pi}\frac{\sigma}{\sigma^2+(y-t)^2}.$$

□

Example 3.9 Let $\tau > 0$, and take $g(s) = \frac{1}{(1+s)(s+\frac{1}{2})^c}$ for some $0 < c < \frac{1}{2}$. Then g is not bounded on $\mathbb{S}$, so M_g is not bounded. But

$$\begin{aligned} P[|g|^2](-\frac{1}{2}+\sigma+it) &= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\sigma}{\sigma^2+(y-t)^2}\frac{1}{|y|^{2c}}\frac{1}{\frac{1}{4}+y^2}dy \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi\sigma}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{1}{|y|^{2c}}\frac{1}{\frac{1}{4}+y^2}dy \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi\sigma}\left[\int_{-1}^{1}\frac{4}{|y|^{2c}}dy+\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\frac{1}{4}+y^2}dy\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi\sigma}\left[\frac{8}{1-2c}+2\pi\right] \end{aligned}$$

This is bounded in each half-plane $\mathbb{H}_\rho$, so by Theorem 3.6 M_gC_γ is bounded.

4 Unitary Monomial Operators

Bourdon and Narayan characterized unitary weighted composition operators [5]. Their theorem (translated to $\mathbb{S}$) is:

Theorem 4.1. *(Bourdon-Narayan) The operator M_gC_β is unitary on $H^2(\mathbb{S})$ if and only if β is an automorphism of $\mathbb{S}$ and $g(s) = e^{i\theta}k_{s_0}/\|k_{s_0}\|$, where $s_0 = \beta^{-1}(0)$.*

We shall give a proof of their theorem directly in the context of monomial operators. First, let us describe the automorphisms of $\mathbb{S}$ in a convenient way.

Lemma 4.2. *The function $\beta : \mathbb{S} \to \mathbb{C}$ is an automorphism of $\mathbb{S}$ if and only if there is a function $\phi : \mathbb{S} \to \mathbb{C}$ so that*

$$\frac{1+\beta(s)+\overline{\beta(t)}}{1+s+\overline{t}} \;=\; \phi(s)\overline{\phi(t)}. \tag{4.3}$$

Proof: Notice that β is an automorphism of $\mathbb{S}$ if and only if $b := \lambda \circ \beta \circ \lambda^{-1}$ is an automorphism of $\mathbb{D}$. By Pick's theorem (see [3, Sec. 2.6]) the latter occurs if and only if

$$\frac{1-\overline{b(w)}b(z)}{1-\bar{w}z} \;=\; \overline{\psi(w)}\psi(z) \tag{4.4}$$

for some function ψ on $\mathbb{D}$. Doing some algebra, (4.4) becomes (4.3) with

$$\phi(s) \;=\; \frac{1+\beta(s)}{1+s}\psi(\frac{s}{1+s}).$$

□

Consequently, we have the following characterization of unitary monomial operators (which can also be derived from Bourdon-Narayan and Theorem 1.8).

Theorem 4.5. *The operator*

$$T : x^s \mapsto c(s)x^{\beta(s)}$$

is unitary on $L^2[0,1]$ *if and only if* β *is an automorphism of* $\mathbb{S}$ *and* $c(s)$ *is defined by*

$$c(s) \;=\; \frac{e^{i\theta}}{\sqrt{1+2\Re\beta(0)}}\frac{1+\overline{\beta(0)}+\beta(s)}{1+s}. \tag{4.6}$$

Proof: Since β is holomorphic by Theorem 1.8 and has to be non-constant for T to be bounded, the range of T must be dense. Therefore it is unitary if and only if it is isometric. It is isometric if and only if for every s,t we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle x^s, x^t\rangle &=& \langle c(s)x^{\beta(s)}, c(t)x^{\beta(t)}\rangle \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad & \frac{1}{1+s+\bar{t}} &=& \frac{c(s)\overline{c(t)}}{1+\beta(s)+\overline{\beta(t)}}. \end{aligned}$$

That means (4.4) holds, so β is an automorphism. Letting $t=0$ we get

$$c(0) \;=\; e^{i\theta}\sqrt{1+2\Re\beta(0)},$$

and solving for $c(s)$ we get (4.6).

Conversely, suppose (4.6) holds and β is an automorphism. By Lemma 4.2, we have (4.3) for some ϕ. Letting $t=0$ and solving, we get that ϕ is given by (4.6). □

5 Questions

Question 5.1. *If* M_gC_γ *is bounded, with* γ *as in* (3.4)*, can one approximate it in norm by operators of the form* $M_{g_n}C_\gamma$ *where* $g_n \in H^\infty(\mathbb{S})$*?*

Question 5.2. *A generalization of the previous question is for non-flat monomial operators. Can every bounded operator of the form* M_gC_β *be approximated by operators* $M_{g_n}C_\beta$ *with bounded* g_n*?*

Question 5.3. *What are necessary and sufficient conditions for the functions* β *and* g *so that the operator* T *defined by* (1.9) *is bounded? Compact?*

On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

References

[1] Jim Agler and John E. McCarthy. The asymptotic Müntz-Szász theorem. Preprint, 2022.

[2] Jim Agler and John E. McCarthy. A generalization of Hardy's operator and an asymptotic Müntz-Szász theorem. Preprint, 2022.

[3] Jim Agler, John E. McCarthy, and N. J. Young. *Operator Analysis: Hilbert Space Methods in Complex Analysis*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2020.

[4] Wolfgang Arendt, Isabelle Chalendar, Mahesh Kumar, and Sachi Srivastava. Asymptotic behaviour of the powers of composition operators on Banach spaces of holomorphic functions. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 67(4):1571–1595, 2018.

[5] Paul S. Bourdon and Sivaram K. Narayan. Normal weighted composition operators on the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{U})$. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 367(1):278–286, 2010.

[6] M. S. Brodskii. On a problem of I. M. Gelfand. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk (N.S.)*, 12(2(74)):129–132, 1957.

[7] Isabelle Chalendar and Jonathan R. Partington. Weighted composition operators: isometries and asymptotic behaviour. *J. Operator Theory*, 86(1):189–201, 2021.

[8] Carl C. Cowen and Eva A. Gallardo-Gutiérrez. A new class of operators and a description of adjoints of composition operators. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 238(2):447–462, 2006.

[9] Carl C. Cowen and Eungil Ko. Hermitian weighted composition operators on H^2. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 362(11):5771–5801, 2010.

[10] W. F. Donoghue, Jr. The lattice of invariant subspaces of a completely continuous quasi-nilpotent transformation. *Pacific J. Math.*, 7:1031–1035, 1957.

[11] Peter L. Duren. *Theory of H^p Spaces*. Academic Press, New York, 1970.

[12] Frank Forelli. The isometries of H^p. *Canadian J. Math.*, 16:721–728, 1964.

[13] John B. Garnett. *Bounded Analytic Functions*. Academic Press, New York, 1981.

[14] Gajath Gunatillake. Compact weighted composition operators on the Hardy space. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 136(8):2895–2899, 2008.

[15] Thomas Kriete and Jennifer Moorhouse. Linear relations in the Calkin algebra for composition operators. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 359(6):2915–2944, 2007.

[16] K. Zhu. *Operator Theory in Function Spaces*, volume 139 of *Monographs and textbooks in pure and applied mathematics*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1990.