

Rate-Splitting Multiple Access for 6G – Part III: Interplay with Reconfigurable Intelligent Surfaces

Hongyu Li, *Student Member, IEEE*, Yijie Mao, *Member, IEEE*, Onur Dizdar, *Member, IEEE*,
and Bruno Clerckx, *Fellow, IEEE*
(Invited Paper)

Abstract—This letter is the third part of a three-part tutorial that focuses on rate-splitting multiple access (RSMA) for 6G. As Part III of the tutorial, this letter provides an overview of integrating RSMA and reconfigurable intelligent surface (RIS). We first introduce two potential PHY layer techniques, namely, RSMA and RIS, including the need for integrating RSMA with RIS and how they could help each other. Next, we provide a general model of an RIS-aided RSMA system and summarize some key performance metrics. Then, we discuss the major advantages of RIS-aided RSMA networks, and illustrate the rate region of RIS-aided RSMA for both perfect and imperfect channel conditions. Finally, we summarize the research challenges and open problems for RIS-aided RSMA systems. In conclusion, RSMA is a promising technology for next generation multiple access (NGMA) and future networks such as 6G and beyond.

Index Terms—Rate-splitting multiple access (RSMA), 6G, reconfigurable intelligent surface (RIS), next generation multiple access (NGMA).

I. INTRODUCTION

With the deployment of 5G New Radio (NR) networks, research focuses of both academia and industry have shifted to the next generation of wireless communications, namely, 6G. 6G is expected to significantly improve existing techniques and/or develop novel techniques at the infrastructure level, the spectrum level, as well as the protocol/algorithmic level to provide better services and realize full-coverage connectivity [1], [2]. Among various enabling techniques at different levels, rate-splitting multiple access (RSMA) [3], [4] at the protocol/algorithmic level and reconfigurable intelligent surface (RIS) [5] at the infrastructure level are two important techniques that have gained immense attention.

RSMA is envisioned as a promising non-orthogonal transmission strategy for beyond 5G wireless communications [3]. The main principle of RSMA is to split (and combine) user messages into common and private messages, which are independently encoded into common streams shared by multiple users and private ones intended for different users. Such scheme enables a flexible interference management by decoding part of the interference and treating part of the interference as noise [4]. Existing research has demonstrated various advantages of RSMA, including but not limited to, *i*) performance enhancement, e.g., spectral efficiency [4], *ii*)

robustness to channel state information (CSI) conditions [6] and mobility [7], and *iii*) the superiority in reducing latency [7], compared to other multiple access techniques, such as space division multiple access (SDMA), power-domain non-orthogonal multiple access (NOMA)¹, and orthogonal multiple access (OMA).

Meanwhile, RIS is deemed as another revolutionary technique for future wireless networks [5]. RIS systems benefit from two-dimensional planar surfaces, usually consisting of large numbers of passive tuneable elements, to enhance the performance of multi-antenna systems. There are twofold advantages of RIS: *i*) each element of an RIS is realized by cost- and energy-efficient passive devices, e.g., positive intrinsic negative (PIN) diodes, so that RISs can be employed in practical systems with extremely low power consumption; *ii*) each element of an RIS is reconfigurable, so that, RISs are able to adaptively shape transmission environments and enhance transmission quality. These two advantages have motivated researchers to combine RISs with various schemes, e.g., NOMA [8], wireless power transfer (WPT) [9], and integrated sensing and communication (ISAC) [10].

Inspired by the appealing advantages of RIS and RSMA as well as the performance benefits of synergizing RIS and other techniques [8]–[10], some recent works [12]–[19] have investigated the interplay between RSMA and RIS, and shown their benefits in terms of energy efficiency maximization [12], [15], outage probability analysis [13], [18], transmit power minimization [14], max-min fairness [16], and spectral efficiency maximization [17], [19]. However, none of the above works explain the fundamental motivation to integrate RSMA with RIS. Before we start working on RIS-aided RSMA, there is an important question worth discussing: Why we should consider the integration of RSMA and RIS? In the following we will answer this question from a broad perspective by discussing the similarities between RSMA and RIS, and how they can complement each other.

- *Similarities*: There are two similarities between RSMA and RIS. On one hand, both of them are suitable for (and have benefits in) multi-antenna systems. On the other hand, both of them aim at designing (either active or passive) beamforming to achieve better performance.
- *Complementarities*: RSMA and RIS complements each other in several aspects. From the RIS side, one of the

¹In the rest of this letter, we use NOMA to represent power-domain NOMA for simplicity.

H. Li, O. Dizdar, and B. Clerckx are with Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, U.K. (email: {c.li21, o.dizdar, b.clerckx}@imperial.ac.uk).

Y. Mao is with the School of Information Science and Technology, ShanghaiTech University, Shanghai 201210, China (email: maoyj@shanghaitech.edu.cn).




Fig. 1. A paradigm of a multi-RIS-aided RSMA system.

most important issues is that RISs are essentially passive devices so that they cannot transmit signals by themselves like active architectures, e.g., base stations. Given this fact, RISs should be deployed in wireless communication networks as an “assistant” to establish a new propagation path between the transmitter and the receiver so as to enhance communication quality. This can be considered as the fundamental reason for why we need to consider the interplay between RIS and other techniques, such as RSMA. On the other hand, accurate and instantaneous CSI acquisition is difficult in RIS-aided systems since RIS elements are passive [5]. As RSMA is robust to imperfect CSI conditions [6] and high mobility scenarios [7], it is possible to ease the stringent requirement of CSI in RIS-aided RSMA networks while maintaining good performance. From the RSMA side, with the aid of RISs, low-complexity RSMA schemes such as 1-layer RSMA, are able to achieve enhanced performance [13], which can effectively avoid the use of RSMA schemes with complex architectures, such as generalized RSMA [3], and thus reduce receiver/encoding/signalling complexities.

Based on the above discussion, we can deduce that integrating RSMA and RIS is a win-win solution for both techniques. In the rest of this letter, we will discuss the modeling of RIS-aided RSMA networks and show the major advantages of integrating RSMA with RIS. In Section II, we develop a general model for RIS-aided RSMA communication systems, which covers all existing RIS-aided RSMA schemes, and summarize commonly used performance metrics. Then in Section III, we discuss the advantages of RIS-aided RSMA networks and provide simulation results to support our statements. Finally in Section IV, we give a brief outlook of possible challenges and open problems for RIS-aided RSMA systems.

Notation: Vectors and matrices are denoted by bold lower-case and uppercase letters, respectively. $(\cdot)^T$ and $(\cdot)^H$ denote the transpose and Hermitian transpose operation, respectively. $\mathcal{CN}(0, \sigma^2)$ denotes the Circularly Symmetric Complex Gaussian distribution with zero mean and variance σ^2 . $\text{diag}(\cdot)$ and $\text{blkdiag}(\cdot)$ denote the diagonal matrix and the block-diagonal matrix, respectively.

II. RIS-AIDED RSMA TRANSMISSION NETWORKS

Consider a general multi-RIS-aided cell-free downlink system with N access points (APs) as shown in Fig. 1. A central

cloud connected to all APs processes baseband signals and performs tasks, such as splitting user messages, encoding messages into signals, designing transmit precoders, and sending them to APs. Each AP equipped with N_t antennas simultaneously communicates with K single-antenna users. L RISs, each of which has M elements indexed by $\mathcal{M} = \{1, \dots, M\}$, are distributed in the network to support the communications between APs and users. The sets of the indices of APs, RISs, and users are defined as $\mathcal{N} = \{1, \dots, N\}$, $\mathcal{L} = \{1, \dots, L\}$, and $\mathcal{K} = \{1, \dots, K\}$, respectively.

Let $\mathbf{x} = [\mathbf{x}_1^T, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N^T]^T \in \mathbb{C}^{NN_t}$ be the vector of the transmit signal, where $\mathbf{x}_n \in \mathbb{C}^{N_t}$ denotes the transmit signal vector at AP- n and satisfies a power constraint $\mathbb{E}\{\mathbf{x}_n^H \mathbf{x}_n\} \leq P_n$, with P_n representing the maximum transmit power at AP- n , $\forall n \in \mathcal{N}$. Then the signal received at each user is given by

$$y_k = \mathbf{h}_{d,k}^H \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{h}_{r,k}^H \Phi \mathbf{G} \mathbf{x} + z_k, \forall k \in \mathcal{K}, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{h}_{d,k} = [\mathbf{h}_{d,1,k}^H, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{d,N,k}^H]^H \in \mathbb{C}^{NN_t}$ denotes the aggregated direct channel between APs and user- k , and $\mathbf{h}_{d,n,k} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_t}$ is the direct channel between AP- n and user- k , $\forall n \in \mathcal{N}$, $\forall k \in \mathcal{K}$. Similarly, $\mathbf{h}_{r,k} = [\mathbf{h}_{r,1,k}^H, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{r,L,k}^H]^H \in \mathbb{C}^{LM}$ denotes the aggregated channel between RISs and user- k , where $\mathbf{h}_{r,l,k} \in \mathbb{C}^M$ is the channel between RIS- l and user- k , $\forall l \in \mathcal{L}$, $\forall k \in \mathcal{K}$. $\mathbf{G} = [\mathbf{G}_1^H, \dots, \mathbf{G}_L^H]^H \in \mathbb{C}^{LM \times NN_t}$ with $\mathbf{G}_l = [\mathbf{G}_{l,1}, \dots, \mathbf{G}_{l,N}] \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times NN_t}$ denotes the aggregated channel between BSs and RISs, where $\mathbf{G}_{l,n} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N_t}$ represents the channel between BS- n and RIS- l , $\forall n \in \mathcal{N}$, $\forall l \in \mathcal{L}$. In addition, the block diagonal matrix $\Phi = \text{blkdiag}(\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_L)$ is defined as the overall RIS matrix, where $\Phi_l \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times M}$ represents the coefficients of RIS- l , $\forall l \in \mathcal{L}$. Finally, $z_k \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, \sigma_z^2)$, $\forall k \in \mathcal{K}$ is the additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) at each user. It is worth noting that both RSMA and RIS have various architectures, thereby generating different transmit signal \mathbf{x} and the problem design. In the following we will provide a brief summary of RSMA and RIS architectures.

A. Architecture for RSMA

The main idea of RSMA is to split user messages into multiple sub-messages so as to achieve more flexible interference management compared to other multiple access techniques, such as SDMA, NOMA, and OMA. Different RSMA architectures have different ways of message splitting [3]. Here we introduce two most commonly used RSMA architectures, namely, 1-layer RS [4] and 2-layer hierarchical RS (HRS) [4].

1) *1-layer RS:* When considering a simple 1-layer RSMA scheme, each AP transmits superimposed streams, which contain one common stream s_c and K private streams $s_{p,k}$, $\forall k \in \mathcal{K}$ to K users. These streams are linearly precoded by a precoding matrix $\mathbf{P} = [\mathbf{p}_c, \mathbf{p}_{p,1}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{p,K}] \in \mathbb{C}^{NN_t \times (K+1)}$ with precoders for common streams $\mathbf{p}_c = [\mathbf{p}_{c,1}^T, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{c,N}^T]^T \in \mathbb{C}^{NN_t}$, $\mathbf{p}_{c,n} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_t}$, and precoders for private streams $\mathbf{p}_{p,k} = [\mathbf{p}_{p,1,k}^T, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{p,N,k}^T]^T \in \mathbb{C}^{NN_t}$, $\mathbf{p}_{p,n,k} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_t}$, $\forall n \in \mathcal{N}$, $\forall k \in \mathcal{K}$, such that the transmit signal can be written as

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{p}_c s_c + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \mathbf{p}_{p,k} s_{p,k}. \quad (2)$$

At user sides, each user first decodes the common stream by considering all private streams as noise. Therefore, the

instantaneous rate for decoding the common stream can be calculated as

$$R_{c,k} = \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{|\mathbf{h}_k^H \mathbf{p}_c|^2}{\sum_{q \in \mathcal{K}} |\mathbf{h}_k^H \mathbf{p}_{p,q}|^2 + \sigma_z^2} \right), \forall k \in \mathcal{K}, \quad (3)$$

where $\mathbf{h}_k = (\mathbf{h}_{d,k}^H + \mathbf{h}_{r,k}^H \Phi \mathbf{G})^H, \forall k \in \mathcal{K}$. In order to guarantee the successful decoding of the common message by all users, the common rate cannot exceed $R_c = \min_{k \in \mathcal{K}} R_{c,k}$. After decoding the common stream, each user performs successive interference cancellation (SIC) to remove the common stream, and then decodes its own private stream, which yields the following private rate:

$$R_{p,k} = \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{|\mathbf{h}_k^H \mathbf{p}_{p,k}|^2}{\sum_{q \in \mathcal{K}, q \neq k} |\mathbf{h}_k^H \mathbf{p}_{p,q}|^2 + \sigma_z^2} \right), \forall k \in \mathcal{K}. \quad (4)$$

After decoding the intended common and private streams, each user can reconstruct its original message by combining common and private sub-messages decoded from corresponding streams [4].

2) *2-layer HRS*: When 2-layer HRS is considered, K users are grouped into G groups indexed by $\mathcal{G} = \{1, \dots, G\}$, each of which contains K_g ($\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} K_g = K$) users with an index set $\mathcal{K}_g, \cup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \mathcal{K}_g = \mathcal{K}$. In this case, APs transmit superimposed streams containing one inter-group common stream s_{c1} , G inner-group common streams $s_{c2,g}, \forall g \in \mathcal{G}$, and K private streams $s_{p,k}, \forall k \in \mathcal{K}$, to K users. These streams are linearly precoded by $\mathbf{P} = [\mathbf{p}_{c1}, \mathbf{p}_{c2,1}, \mathbf{p}_{c2,G}, \mathbf{p}_{p,1}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{p,K}] \in \mathbb{C}^{N N_t \times (K+G+1)}$ with precoders for inter-group common streams $\mathbf{p}_{c1} = [\mathbf{p}_{c1,1}^T, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{c1,N}^T]^T \in \mathbb{C}^{N N_t}$, $\mathbf{p}_{c1,n} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_t}$, precoders for inner-group common streams $\mathbf{p}_{c2,g} = [\mathbf{p}_{c2,g,1}^T, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{c2,g,N}^T]^T \in \mathbb{C}^{N N_t}$, $\mathbf{p}_{c2,n,g} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_t}$, and precoders for private streams $\mathbf{p}_{p,k} = [\mathbf{p}_{p,1,k}^T, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{p,N,k}^T]^T \in \mathbb{C}^{N N_t}$, $\mathbf{p}_{p,n,k} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_t}, \forall n \in \mathcal{N}, \forall g \in \mathcal{G}, \forall k \in \mathcal{K}$. Therefore, the overall transmit signal vector is given as follows

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{p}_{c1} s_{c1} + \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \mathbf{p}_{c2,g} s_{c2,g} + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \mathbf{p}_{p,k} s_{p,k}. \quad (5)$$

Each user performs two layers of SIC to successively decode $s_{c1}, s_{c2,g}, \forall g \in \mathcal{G}$, and $s_{p,k}, \forall k \in \mathcal{K}$. Therefore, instantaneous rates for decoding inter-group common stream, inner-group common stream, and private stream are respectively given by $R_{c1,k} = \log_2(1 + \gamma_{c1,k})$, $R_{c2,g,k} = \log_2(1 + \gamma_{c2,g,k})$, and $R_{p,k} = \log_2(1 + \gamma_{p,k}), \forall k \in \mathcal{K}, \forall g \in \mathcal{G}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{c1,k} &= \frac{|\mathbf{h}_k^H \mathbf{p}_{c1}|^2}{\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} |\mathbf{h}_k^H \mathbf{p}_{c2,g}|^2 + \sum_{q \in \mathcal{K}} |\mathbf{h}_k^H \mathbf{p}_{p,q}|^2 + \sigma_z^2}, \\ \gamma_{c2,g,k} &= \frac{|\mathbf{h}_k^H \mathbf{p}_{c2,g}|^2}{\sum_{\substack{o \in \mathcal{G} \\ o \neq g}} |\mathbf{h}_k^H \mathbf{p}_{c2,o}|^2 + \sum_{q \in \mathcal{K}} |\mathbf{h}_k^H \mathbf{p}_{p,q}|^2 + \sigma_z^2}, \\ \gamma_{p,k} &= \frac{|\mathbf{h}_k^H \mathbf{p}_{p,k}|^2}{\sum_{\substack{o \in \mathcal{G} \\ o \neq g}} |\mathbf{h}_k^H \mathbf{p}_{c2,o}|^2 + \sum_{\substack{q \in \mathcal{K} \\ q \neq k}} |\mathbf{h}_k^H \mathbf{p}_{p,q}|^2 + \sigma_z^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$\forall k \in \mathcal{K}, \forall g \in \mathcal{G}.$

Similar to 1-layer RSMA, the inter- and inner-group common rate should satisfy $R_{c1} = \min_{k \in \mathcal{K}} R_{c1,k}$ and $R_{c2,g} = \min_{k \in \mathcal{K}_g} R_{c2,g,k}, \forall g \in \mathcal{G}$ to ensure successful decoding of the corresponding common streams.

B. Architecture for RIS

Based on the analysis in [11], an M -element RIS can be equivalent to an M -port network, where a reconfigurable impedance network is constructed to adaptively reflect signals and shape propagation environments. According to the circuit connection topology, RISs can be classified into the following three categories.

1) *Single-connected RIS*: In this category, each port (equivalently each RIS element) is connected to ground via a reconfigurable impedance without any connections with other ports. RIS coefficients are thus diagonal matrices and each non-zero element has a constant magnitude, i.e.,

$$\Phi_l = \text{diag}(\phi_{1,l}, \dots, \phi_{M,l}), |\phi_{m,l}| = 1, \forall m \in \mathcal{M}, \forall l \in \mathcal{L}. \quad (7)$$

2) *Fully-connected RIS*: In [11], the authors propose a more general RIS model, namely, fully-connected RIS. Different from single-connected RIS, each port in fully-connected RIS is connected to all other ports via reconfigurable impedances. Therefore, RIS coefficients are full matrices satisfying

$$\Phi_l^H \Phi_l = \mathbf{I}_M, \Phi_l = \Phi_l^T, \forall l \in \mathcal{L}. \quad (8)$$

3) *Group-connected RIS*: Fully-connected RIS is able to perform better and more flexible beam controlling than single-connected RIS, but at the expense of high hardware complexity. To finely balance the beam controlling accuracy and hardware complexity, the authors in [11] propose a group-connected RIS architecture. The main idea is to divide all M elements into S groups indexed by $\mathcal{S} = \{1, \dots, S\}$. Each group contains M_s elements, $\sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} M_s = M$, and forms a small-dimensional fully-connected network. Denote \mathcal{M}_s as the subset of RIS element indices for group $s, \forall s \in \mathcal{S}$. Then RIS coefficients can be modeled as block diagonal matrices, and each block is a full matrix, i.e.,

$$\Phi_l = \text{blkdiag}(\Phi_{\mathcal{M}_1,l}, \dots, \Phi_{\mathcal{M}_S,l}), \Phi_{\mathcal{M}_s,l}^H \Phi_{\mathcal{M}_s,l} = \mathbf{I}_{M_s}, \Phi_{\mathcal{M}_s,l} = \Phi_{\mathcal{M}_s,l}^T, \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \forall l \in \mathcal{L}. \quad (9)$$

Remark 1. It is worthwhile to highlight here that the multi-RIS-aided multi-AP MU-MISO transmission network described in this section can be regarded as a general system model including all of the existing RIS-aided RSMA schemes. On one hand, when the transmission network adopts a 1-layer RSMA, the illustrated general model boils down to [12], [13] with the number of APs $N = 1$, to [14], [15] with the number of RISs $L = 1$, and to [16], [17] with both $N = 1$ and $L = 1$; when the transmission network applies a 2-layer RSMA and $N = 1$, the illustrated model boils down to the model utilized in [18], [19]. This system model can also be further extended by embracing the generalized RSMA. On the other hand, most of the existing work [12]-[16], [18], [19] considers the deployment of single-connected RISs; only [17] considers designs of both single- and fully-connected RISs.

Remark 2. Given the above RIS-aided and RSMA-enabled system model, existing works have investigated joint transmit beamforming and phase design by considering various metrics, which include energy efficiency maximization [12], [15], outage probability analysis [13], [18], power minimization [14], max-min fairness [16], and sum-rate maximization




Fig. 2. Rate region comparison for a RIS-aided MU-MISO system with different CSI conditions ($N = 1$, $N_t = K = 2$, $M = 32$, $P = 30$ W). The AP applies a 1-layer RSMA and the RIS is assumed to have a single-connected architecture. Channels for direct and reflect links are both realized by Rayleigh fading models to account for small-scale fading. The large-scale fading is modeled as $\kappa_i = \sqrt{\zeta_0 d_i^{-\epsilon_i}}$, $\forall i \in \{\text{au, ar, ru}\}$, with reference signal attenuation (1 m) $\zeta_0 = -30$ dB, where subscripts “au, ar, ru” refer to the AP-user link, the AP-RIS link, and the RIS-user link, respectively. Path loss exponents are set as $\epsilon_{\text{au}} = 3$, $\epsilon_{\text{ar}} = \epsilon_{\text{ru}} = 1.9$, respectively. Distances between the AP and RIS, and between the RIS and users are $d_{\text{ar}} = 30$ m and $d_{\text{ru}} = 15$ m, respectively. The distance between the AP and two users are set as $d_{\text{bu}} = \sqrt{d_{\text{ar}}^2 - d_{\text{ru}}^2}$. The noise power at each user is $\sigma_z^2 = -80$ dBm.

[17], [19]. However, the above-mentioned works are limited to beamforming designs for RIS-aided RSMA networks. The research beyond existing works will be discussed in Section IV.

III. ADVANTAGES OF RIS-AIDED RSMA NETWORKS

In this section, we will discuss advantages of integrating RSMA with RIS from various perspectives and provide some simulation results to support our statements.

A. Higher Spectral Efficiency

It was proved in existing research that the spectral efficiency of RSMA is always higher than or at least equal to other multiple access techniques regardless of channel conditions [3], [4], [6], [7]. Recent research on RIS-aided RSMA has also indicated the spectral efficiency enhancement of RIS-aided RSMA compared to RIS-aided SDMA/NOMA [17], [19]. In Fig. 2, we plot the rate regions of RSMA/SDMA/NOMA with and without the aid of RIS under different channel conditions². It can be observed from Fig. 2 that rate regions of three multiple access techniques are all enlarged with the aid of RIS. In addition, the achievable rate region of the RIS-aided RSMA scheme is greater than that of RIS-aided SDMA and NOMA, which again, demonstrates that integrating RSMA with RIS can achieve higher spectral efficiency.

B. Coverage Extension and Beam Control Flexibility

RSMA builds a flexible “bridge” between SDMA and NOMA. By adaptively adjusting the power ratio between common and private streams, RSMA is able to achieve smart interference management, and thus, is widely suitable for

different scenarios, e.g., overloaded/underloaded cases and different user deployments. On the other hand, practical wireless communication systems inevitably suffer blockages, e.g., due to high buildings, which, to some extent, limits the communication coverage. RIS can be deployed as another “bridge”, i.e., an additional path, between the transmitter and the receiver to finely address this issue. By combining those two “bridges”, the communication coverage can be physically extended and the beam control flexibility can be further enhanced with the joint consideration of RSMA and RIS beamformer design.

C. Robust to CSI Imperfection and User Mobility

Accurate and instantaneous channel acquisition for AP-RIS-user link is difficult since there is no RF chain at the RIS. In the meanwhile, RSMA has been shown to be robust to CSI inaccuracies [6] and user mobility [7], and achieve low-latency communications [7]. Therefore, the interplay between RSMA and RIS is a potential new paradigm to compensate for the limitations of RIS and to fully utilize the benefits of both techniques to enhance system performance. In Fig. 2(b) we illustrate the rate region of RIS-aided RSMA/SDMA/NOMA under imperfect CSI³. It can be shown in Fig. 2(b) that RIS-aided RSMA still achieves a larger rate region than SDMA and NOMA.

D. Lower Computational and Hardware Complexity

Thanks to the newly introduced reflect link with the aid of RIS in wireless communication systems, it is possible to employ the simplest 1-layer RSMA scheme to achieve similar performance to (or even better performance than) multi-layer RSMA without RIS [13]. It was shown in [3] that 1-layer RSMA outperforms existing multiple access schemes, e.g., multi-antenna NOMA, in terms of sum-rate but with lower receiver complexity. Moreover, Fig. 2 demonstrates the

²The joint transmit beamformer and phase design of Fig. 2 is based on the equivalence between sum-rate maximization and mean square error minimization similar to [4]. Specifically, the optimization for transmit precoder is a convex problem, which can be solved by interior-point methods [4]. Based on the RIS modeling in [11], the optimization for RIS can be transformed into an unconstrained problem, which can be solved by quasi-Newton methods.

³The channel estimation errors for AP-user, AP-RIS, and RIS-user channels for imperfect CSI conditions are modeled as statistical complex Gaussian entries in accordance with [6]. The error component is set as $\alpha = 0.8$.

advantage of RIS-aided 1-layer RSMA compared to RIS-aided NOMA. The abovementioned results indicate that integrating RSMA with RIS helps to reduce hardware and computational complexity of RSMA. Recent work in [17] shows that 1-layer RSMA with single-connected RIS can achieves almost the same (or even better) performance than SDMA with fully-connected RIS. This observation highlights the advantage of integrating RSMA with RIS on reducing RIS hardware complexity and computational complexity of the phase design.

IV. CONCLUSION AND OPEN PROBLEMS

In this letter, we provide an overview of the integration of two state-of-the-art techniques: RSMA and RIS. We first introduce the principle of these two techniques and explain the motivation/need for integrating RSMA with RIS. We develop a general RIS-aided RSMA model which could include all of the existing works on RIS-aided RSMA, and compare different RSMA/RIS architectures. We then summarize the major advantages of RIS-aided RSMA networks, and for the first time illustrate the rate region comparison of RIS-aided RSMA, NOMA, and SDMA for both perfect and imperfect CSI settings. The study of RIS-aided RSMA is still in its infancy. There are many open problems worth being studied in the near future, which include, but are not limited to:

1) *RIS-Aided Uplink RSMA*: Uplink RSMA is deemed as an efficient way to achieve the capacity region of Gaussian multiple access channels without time sharing, which induces heavy overhead and synchronization issues, among users [3]. All of the existing research on RIS-aided RSMA [12]-[19] is restricted to downlink RSMA. When it comes to RIS-aided uplink RSMA, research issues such as beamforming design, and RIS deployments, e.g., how to choose the number and locations of RISs, are worth being considered and investigated.

2) *Joint Transmit Beamforming and Phase Design with Different RIS Constraints*: Existing research on RIS-aided RSMA mainly focused on joint transmit beamforming and single-connected RIS design [12]-[16], [18], [19] and only the recent work [17] considered both single- and fully-connected RIS design. It is interesting to consider the joint RSMA and RIS design when RIS has a group-connected architecture. In addition, optimization for practical RIS with discrete values is another important and meaningful topic. Quantization for single-connected RIS is straightforward and has been considered in existing research on RIS. However, when it comes to group/fully-connected cases, how to quantize RIS coefficients is not an easy problem. Existing work in [20] has investigated group/fully-connected RIS with discrete values but in a simple single-input single-output (SISO) system. It is interesting to consider the joint optimization of transmit precoder and RIS beamformer with discrete values for RIS-aided RSMA networks.

3) *Interplay With Other Techniques*: Previous works are limited to the integration of RIS and RSMA in wireless communication systems [12]-[19]. In the future, it is interesting to integrate RSMA, RIS, as well as other techniques, e.g., sensing/radar detection, WPT, visible light communication, to establish multi-functional systems, which highly matches with one of the most important aims of future networks: develop

smart and unified systems that can access any standard and provide seamless service.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Tataria, M. Shafi, A. F. Molisch, M. Dohler, H. Sjöland, and F. Tufvesson, "6G wireless systems: Vision, requirements, challenges, insights, and opportunities," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 109, no. 7, pp. 1166–1199, July 2021.
- [2] N. Rajatheva *et al.* "White paper on broadband connectivity in 6G," 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.14247>
- [3] Y. Mao, O. Dizdar, B. Clerckx, R. Scholar, P. Popovski, and H. V. Poor, "Rate-splitting multiple access: Fundamentals, survey, and future research trends," Jan. 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.03192>
- [4] Y. Mao, B. Clerckx, and V. O. K. Li, "Rate-splitting multiple access for downlink communication systems: Bridging, generalizing, and outperforming SDMA and NOMA," *EURASIP J. Wireless Commun. Netw.*, vol. 2018, no. 1, p. 133, May 2018.
- [5] M. Di Renzo, A. Zappone, M. Debbah, M.-S. Alouini, C. Yuen, J. de Rosny, and S. Tretjakov, "Smart radio environments empowered by reconfigurable intelligent surfaces: How it works, state of research, and road ahead," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 38, no. 11, pp. 2450–2525, Nov. 2020.
- [6] A. Mishra, Y. Mao, O. Dizdar, and B. Clerckx, "Rate-splitting multiple access for downlink multiuser MIMO: Precoder optimization and PHY-layer design," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 70, no. 2, pp. 874–890, Feb. 2022.
- [7] O. Dizdar, Y. Mao, and B. Clerckx, "Rate-splitting multiple access to mitigate the curse of mobility in (massive) MIMO networks," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 69, no. 10, pp. 6765–6780, Oct. 2021.
- [8] B. Zheng, Q. Wu, and R. Zhang, "Intelligent reflecting surface-assisted multiple access with user pairing: NOMA or OMA?" *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 753–757, April 2020.
- [9] Z. Feng, B. Clerckx, and Y. Zhao, "Waveform and beamforming design for intelligent reflecting surface aided wireless power transfer: Single-user and multi-user solutions," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, to appear.
- [10] R. S. P. Sankar and S. P. Chepur, "Beamforming in hybrid RIS assisted integrated sensing and communication systems," Mar. 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.05902>
- [11] S. Shen, B. Clerckx, and R. Murch, "Modeling and architecture design of reconfigurable intelligent surfaces using scattering parameter network analysis," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 1229–1243, Feb. 2022.
- [12] Z. Yang, J. Shi, Z. Li, M. Chen, W. Xu, and M. Shikh-Bahaei, "Energy efficient rate splitting multiple access (RSMA) with reconfigurable intelligent surface, in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Commun. Workshops (ICC Workshops)*, Virtual Conference, June 2020, pp. 1–6.
- [13] A. Bansal, K. Singh, B. Clerckx, C.-P. Li, and M.-S. Alouini, "Rate-splitting multiple access for intelligent reflecting surface aided multiuser communications," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 70, no. 9, pp. 9217–9229, Sept. 2021.
- [14] K. Weinberger, A. A. Ahmad, and A. Sezgin, "On synergistic benefits of rate splitting in IRS-assisted cloud radio access networks," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Commun. (ICC)*, Virtual Conference, June 2021, pp. 1–6.
- [15] K. Weinberger, A. A. Ahmad, A. Sezgin, and A. Zappone, "Synergistic benefits in IRS- and RS-enabled C-RAN with energy-efficient clustering," May 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.05619>
- [16] H. Fu, S. Feng, and D. W. Kwan Ng, "Resource allocation design for IRS-aided downlink MU-MISO RSMA systems," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Commun. Workshops (ICC Workshops)*, Virtual Conference, June 2021, pp. 1–6.
- [17] T. Fang, Y. Mao, S. Shen, Z. Zhu, and B. Clerckx, "Fully connected reconfigurable intelligent surface aided rate-splitting multiple access for multi-user multi-antenna transmission," Jan. 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.07048>
- [18] A. Bansal, K. Singh, and C.-P. Li, "Analysis of hierarchical rate splitting for intelligent reflecting surfaces-aided downlink multiuser MISO communications," *IEEE open J. Commun. Soc.*, vol. 2, pp. 785–798, April 2021.
- [19] A. Jolly, S. Biswas, and K. Singh, "An analysis on rate-splitting multiple access for IRS aided 6G communication," June 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.04418>
- [20] M. Nerini and B. Clerckx, "Reconfigurable intelligent surfaces based on single, group, and fully connected discrete-value impedance networks," Sept. 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.00077>