NONCOMMUTATIVE ANALYSIS OF HERMITE EXPANSIONS

BANG XU

ABSTRACT. This paper is devoted to the study of semi-commutative harmonic analysis associated to Hermite semigroups. In the first part, we establish the noncommutative maximal inequalities for Bochner-Riesz means associated with Hermite operators and then obtain the corresponding pointwise convergence theorems. In particular, we develop a noncommutative Stein's theorem of Bochner-Riesz means for Hermite operators. The second part of this paper deals with two multiplier theorems for Hermite operators. Our analysis on this part is based on a noncommutative analogue of the classical Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory associated with Hermite semigroup.

1. INTRODUCTION

The theme of this paper follows the current research direction of noncommutative harmonic analysis. Motivated by operator space theory, Pisier and Xu [38] developed a pioneering work on noncommutative martingale theory; since then, many classical theories have been successfully transferred to the noncommutative or quantum setting. For instance, it is inspired by noncommutative Burkholder-Gundy inequalities that Junge, Le Merdy and Xu [23] initiated the research of noncommutative harmonic analysis; they established the noncommutative version of Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory by using the relationship between completely bounded H^{∞} functional calculus and quantum Markov semigroups. Later on, Junge and Mei [25] introduced the Hardy and BMO spaces associated to quantum Markov semigroups. Again by quantum Markov semigroups, Junge et al [24, 26, 27] studied Hörmander-Mihlin Fourier multipliers and Riesz transforms on group von Neumann algebras (see [36, 33] for more results); Chen et al [8] developed systematically harmonic analysis on quantum tori (see also [16] regarding singular integral theory on quantum Euclidean spaces). We remark that via the transference technique (see e.g. [23, 24, 25]), harmonic analysis associated to quantum semigroups and semi-commutative harmonic analysis play an important role in these fundamental works.

Semi-commutative harmonic analysis seems to be the easiest one in noncommutative theory, but it often requires new ideas and insights. The first notable work in this

Date: May 23, 2023.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 42B20, 42B25; Secondary 46L52, 46L53.

Key words and phrases. Noncommutative L_p -spaces, Hermite semigroup, Maximal inequalities, Pointwise convergence, Multipliers.

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12071355), the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) Grant: NRF-2022R1A2C1092320 and the Samsung Science and Technology Foundation under Project Number: SSTF-BA2002-01.

direction is due to Mei [31]; the author gave a systematic study on the operatorvalued Hardy spaces and BMO spaces, which incidentally solved an open question in matrix-valued harmonic analysis arising from prediction theory. More precisely, based on the noncommutative Doob's maximal inequality [22] or Cuculescu's maximal weak type (1, 1) result for martingales [9], Mei established the operator-valued Hardy-Littlewood maximal inequalities (see [35, 19] for more approaches). Furthermore, in the same paper, Mei proved that these operator-valued Hardy spaces, which are defined by the Littlewood-Paley g-function or Lusin function associated to Poisson kernel, are norm equivalent in the sense of noncommutative L_p -norms (see [48, 20] for a general characterization of operator-valued Hardy spaces).

We remark that most of works mentioned above, including Mei's Hardy/BMO spaces, Junge-Le Merdy-Xu's Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory and Hardy/BMO spaces over quantum tori etc, belong to harmonic analysis associated to quantum Markov semigroups. So it is natural to consider semigroups beyond Markov in noncommutative harmonic analysis. The typical example in classical case is the Hermite semigroup.

The purpose of this paper is to investigate Fourier analysis associated with the Hermit semigroup acting on operator-valued L_p functions, which can be regarded as a case study of the general research program on noncommutative harmonic analysis, for instance the spectral multipliers and Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory associated to quantm semigroups beyond Markov.

We first set some notation. Let $H = -\Delta + |x|^2$ be the Hermite operator—the generator of Hermite semigroup—on \mathbb{R}^d . Recall that the Hermite functions $H_m(t)$ on \mathbb{R} are defined by

$$H_m(t) = (-1)^m \exp(-t^2) (\frac{d}{dt})^m \{\exp(-t^2)\}, \ m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

The normalised Hermite functions, denote by $\phi_m(t)$ (see (3.1)), form an orthonormal basis of $L_2(\mathbb{R})$. For any multiindex ν , the *d*-dimensional Hermite functions $\{\Phi_{\nu}\}_{\nu}$ are given by the tensor product of one dimensional normalised Hermite functions (see (3.2)), which form a complete orthonormal system in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Therefore, for any $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have the Hermite expansion

$$f(x) = \sum_{\nu} \langle f, \Phi_{\nu} \rangle \Phi_{\nu}(x).$$

Research on Hermite expansions could be traced back to 1965s. Askey and Wainger [1] proved that the Hermite expansion converges if and only if $\frac{4}{3} for all <math>f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Therefore, it is necessary to find suitable summability methods since the expansion fails to converge for p lying outside the interval $(\frac{4}{3}, 4)$. The most typical study object is the Bochner-Riesz means. For R > 0, the Bochner-Riesz means of order α is defined by

$$S_R^{\alpha}f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{2k+d}{R}\right)_+^{\alpha} P_k f(x),$$

where P_k denotes the Hermite projection operator (see (3.4)).

The boundedness theory of Bochner-Riesz means associated to Hermite expansion was originally established by Thangavelu. In one dimensional case, it is known [44] that if $\alpha > 1/6$, then for any $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$ with $1 \leq p < \infty$,

(1.1)
$$||S_R^{\alpha} f - f||_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \to 0 \text{ as } R \to \infty.$$

In higher dimensions $(d \ge 2)$, the L_p convergence of $S_R^{\alpha} f$ is not so well understood yet. When $\alpha > (d-1)/2$, Thangavelu [45] showed that for any $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $1 \le p < \infty$,

(1.2)
$$\|S_R^{\alpha}f - f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \to 0 \text{ as } R \to \infty.$$

Concerning almost everywhere convergence of $S_R^{\alpha} f$, it is known from [44, 45] that if $\alpha > 1/6$, then for any $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$ with $1 \leq p < \infty$,

(1.3)
$$S_R^{\alpha} f \to f \text{ a.e. as } R \to \infty.$$

In higher dimensions, if $\alpha > (d-1)/2$, then for any $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $1 \leq p < \infty$,

(1.4)
$$S_R^{\alpha} f \to f \text{ a.e. as } R \to \infty.$$

The work of Thangavelu has already found application in [10, 11]. For more results regarding the Bochner-Riesz means associated to Hermite operators, we refer the reader to [7, 6] and references therein.

In classical harmonic analysis, it is well-known that the convergence properties of Bochner-Riesz means associated to Fourier series are among the most important problems. Due to the noncommutativity, the study of noncommutative Bochner-Riesz means seems to be more challenging. For instance, Chen et al [8] had to found a much more technical proof when they dealt with the boundedness of the maximal Bochner-Riesz means on quantum tori. Later on, Lai [21] obtained the full L_p -bounded of Bochner-Riesz means on two-dimensional quantum tori by establishing the sharper estimates of noncommutative Kakeya maximal functions and some geometric estimates in the plain.

The first part of this paper is to study the convergence properties of noncommutative Bochner-Riesz means associated to Hermite expansion. More precisely, we establish the noncommutative analogues of (1.1)-(1.4). Let \mathcal{M} be a von Neumann algebra equipped with a normal semifinite faithful (abbrieviated as *n.s.f.*) trace τ and $\mathcal{N} = L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d) \otimes \mathcal{M}$ be a tensor von Neumann algebra equipped with a tensor trace $\varphi = \int \otimes \tau$. Let $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ and $L_p(\mathcal{N})$ be the noncommutative L_p -spaces associated to the pairs (\mathcal{M}, τ) and (\mathcal{N}, φ) , where $L_p(\mathcal{N})$ can be identified as the space of $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ -valued *p*-th integrable functions on \mathbb{R}^d , that is $L_p(\mathcal{N}) = L_p(\mathbb{R}^d; L_p(\mathcal{M}))$ whenever 0 .

In Section 3, we consider the mean convergence of $S_R^{\alpha} f$ whenever $f \in L_p(\mathcal{N})$ (see Theorem 3.3), that is to establish the noncommutative analogues of (1.1) and (1.2), whose proof is based on the kernel estimates obtained by Thangavelu [44, 45]. In the latter part of Section 3, we present the maximal inequalities of the sequence of operators $(S_R^{\alpha})_{R>0}$ (see Theorem 3.6, 3.7 and 3.9), which are crucial tools to obtain the noncommutative analogues of (1.3) and (1.4). However, compared with the L_p convergence, the study of maximal inequalities is much more complicated. An immediate difficulty is that the classical maximal function of the form $\sup_{i \in I} |f_i|$ no longer exists in general whenever $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ is a sequence of operators. Even though the definition of noncommutative weak type (1, 1) maximal inequalities exists in the early stage of noncommutative ergodic theory, the formulation of L_p maximal inequality was not proposed until the ℓ_{∞} -valued noncommutative L_p -spaces appeared (see Section 2) introduced by Pisier [37] and Junge [22] two decades ago and has been widely used since then. The second aspect of this paper is to consider certain multiplier transforms for Hermite expansion. Given a function μ on the set of positive integers, we define the operator T_{μ} by the prescription

$$T_{\mu}f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu(2n+d)P_nf(x).$$

To find a sufficient condition on the function μ such that T_{μ} is bounded on $L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (1 is one of the mian task in Thangavelu's work [43]. Motivated by theclassical Marcinkiewicz multiplier theorem for Fourier series, Thangavelu introducedthe finite difference operators, which are defined inductively as follows:

$$\delta\mu(N) = \mu(N+1) - \mu(N)$$

and for $n \geq 1$, they are defined by

$$\delta^{n+1}\mu(N) = \delta^n \mu(N+1) - \delta^n \mu(N).$$

Accordingly, Thangavelu [43] established the Marcinkiewicz multiplier theorem for Hermite expansions. More precisely, if μ satisfies the condition $|\delta^r \mu(N)| \leq CN^{-r}$ for r = 0, 1, ..., n with n > d/2, then for 1 ,

(1.5)
$$||T_{\mu}f||_{p} \leq C||f||_{p} \ \forall f \in L_{p}(\mathbb{R}^{d}).$$

In Section 4, we extend (1.5) to the operator-valued setting (see Theorem 4.1). The main ingredient is the noncommutative Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory for the g-functions associated with the Hermite semigroup H^t (see (4.2)). Since the Hermite semigroup H^t fails to satisfy the nice condition $H^{t_1} = 1$, the previous results on Markov semigroup can not be adapted here. Instead, in view of the explicit form of the associated kernel, we can make use of kernel estimates and operator-valued Calderón-Zygmund (abbrieviated as CZ) theory to overcome the difficulties. The semicommutative CZ singular integral theory was established by Mei and Parcet et al. In particular, Parcet [35] made use of the tool of noncommutative martingales theory to formulate a noncommutative version of CZ decomposition. Consequently, Parcet obtained all the L_p estimates of standard CZ operators acting on operator-valued functions, which finds an unexpected application in solving the Nazarov-Peller conjecture arising from the perturbation theory [5]. Recently, Parcet's decomposition and CZ arguments are greatly improved in a later work [4], see also [18].

In [43], Thangavelu also investigated a kind of oscillation operator related to Hermite expansion, where the function μ is given by

$$\mu(n) = (2n+d)^{-\alpha} e^{(2n+d)it}.$$

This defines the operator T_t^{α} by

$$T_t^{\alpha} f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+d)^{-\alpha} e^{(2n+d)it} P_n f(x).$$

It is known from [43] that if $\alpha = d|1/p - 1/2|$, then for 1 , $(1.6) <math>\|T_t^{\alpha} f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le C \|f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)}$.

In Section 5, we extend Thangavelu's work (1.6), whenever f is considered as an operator-valued function (see Theorem 5.1). The main difficulty lie in the fact that the kernel associated to such operator has oscillating factor $e^{ix \cdot t}$, the study of this operator does not fall into the scope of the noncommutative CZ theory. Fortunately, the associated kernel can be calculated explicitly (see Lemma 5.3); and we may use an $H_1 \to L_1$ endpoint estimate of T_t^{α} at critical point $\alpha = d/2$ by using the atom characterization of H_1 Hardy space introduced by Mei [31]. Finally, together with Stein's analytic interpolation, we obtain the desired result.

Notation: Throughout the paper we write $X \leq Y$ for nonnegative quantities X and Y to imply that there exists some inessential constant C > 0 such that $X \leq CY$ and we write $X \approx Y$ to mean that $X \leq Y$ and $Y \leq X$.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Noncommutative L_p -spaces. Throughout the paper, \mathcal{M} always denote a semifinite von Neumann algebra equipped with a normal semifinite faithful trace τ . Let \mathcal{M}_+ be the positive part of \mathcal{M} and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}+}$ be the set of all $x \in \mathcal{M}_+$ such that $\tau(\operatorname{supp}(x)) < \infty$, where $\operatorname{supp}(x)$ means the support of x. Denote by $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}$ the linear span of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}+}$. Then $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a w^* -dense *-subalgebra of \mathcal{M} . Given $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $x \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}$, we set

$$||x||_p = \left(\tau(|x|^p)\right)^{1/p}$$

where $|x| = (x^*x)^{1/2}$ is the modulus of x. Then one can check that $\|\cdot\|_p$ is a norm on $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}$. The completion of $(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}, \|\cdot\|_p)$ is the so-called noncommutative L_p -space associated with (\mathcal{M}, τ) , which is simply written as $L_p(\mathcal{M})$. As usual, we set $L_{\infty}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M}$ equipped with the operator norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}}$. Denote by $L_p(\mathcal{M})_+$ the positive part of $L_p(\mathcal{M})$.

A closed and densely defined operator x affiliated with \mathcal{M} is called τ -measurable if there is $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\tau\big(\chi_{(\lambda,\infty)}(|x|)\big) < \infty,$$

where $\chi_{\mathcal{I}}(x)$ is the spectral decomposition of x and \mathcal{I} is a measurable subset of \mathbb{R} . Let $L_0(\mathcal{M})$ be the *-algebra of τ -measurable operators. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, the noncommutative weak L_p -space $L_{p,\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ is defined as the set of all x in $L_0(\mathcal{M})$ for which the following quasi-norm is finite

$$||x||_{p,\infty} = \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \tau \left(\chi_{(\lambda,\infty)}(|x|)\right)^{1/p}.$$

We refer the reader to [12, 39] for more information on noncommutative L_p -spaces.

2.2. Noncommutative Hilbert valued L_p -spaces. The noncommutative Hilbert valued L_p -spaces present a suitable framework for studying the square functions in the noncommutative setting. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space. Given $v \in \mathcal{H}$ with norm one, take $p_v = v \otimes \overline{v}$ the rank one projection onto $\operatorname{span}\{v\}$. Then for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we define

$$L_p(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{H}^r) = (p_v \otimes 1_\mathcal{M}) L_p(B(\mathcal{H}) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}) \text{ and } L_p(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{H}^c) = L_p(B(\mathcal{H}) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}) (p_v \otimes 1_\mathcal{M}),$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}}$ stands for the unit elements in \mathcal{M} and $B(\mathcal{H})$ is equipped with the usual trace. The definitions of these two spaces are essentially independent of the choice of v (see [23]). Therefore, we conclude that

$$||u||_{L_p(\mathcal{M};\mathcal{H}^r)} = ||(uu^*)^{1/2}||_{L_p(\mathcal{M})}$$
 and $||u||_{L_p(\mathcal{M};\mathcal{H}^c)} = ||(u^*u)^{1/2}||_{L_p(\mathcal{M})}.$

According to [23, Chapter 2], we may apply these identities to regard $L_p(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathcal{H}$ as a dense subspace of $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{H}^r)$ and $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{H}^c)$. To be more specific, for $f = \sum_k u_k \otimes v_k \in L_p(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\|f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M};\mathcal{H}^r)} = \left\| \left(\sum_{i,j} \langle v_i, v_j \rangle \, u_i u_j^* \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})}, \\ \|f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M};\mathcal{H}^c)} = \left\| \left(\sum_{i,j} \langle v_i, v_j \rangle \, u_i^* u_j \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M})}.$$

This procedure can also be used to define

for all $n \in I$. Moreover, in this case,

 $L_{1,\infty}(\mathcal{M};\mathcal{H}^r)$ and $L_{1,\infty}(\mathcal{M};\mathcal{H}^c)$.

Finally, we define the mixture spaces $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{H}^{rc})$ as follows:

$$L_p(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{H}^{rc}) = \begin{cases} L_p(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{H}^r) + L_p(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{H}^c) & 1 \le p \le 2, \\ L_p(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{H}^r) \cap L_p(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{H}^c) & 2 \le p \le \infty. \end{cases}$$

It is obvious to see that $L_2(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{H}^r) = L_2(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{H}^c) = L_2(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{H}^{rc})$. The reader is referred to [23] for a more general description of the Hilbert valued operator spaces.

2.3. Noncommutative ℓ_{∞} -valued L_p -spaces and maximal inequalities. Let I be an index set. Given $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_{\infty}(I))$ consists of all families $(x_n)_{n \in I}$ in $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ which can be factorized as $x_n = ay_n b$ with $a, b \in L_{2p}(\mathcal{M})$ and $(y_n)_{n \in I} \subset L_{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$. The norm of $(x_n)_{n \in I}$ in $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_{\infty}(I))$ is defined as

$$\|(x_n)_{n\in I}\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M};\ell_{\infty}(I))} = \inf\left\{ \|a\|_{2p} \sup_{n\in I} \|y_n\|_{\infty} \|b\|_{2p} \right\},\$$

where the infimum is taken over all factorizations as above. Usually, the norm of $(x_n)_{n \in I}$ in $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_{\infty}(I))$ is denoted by $\|\sup_{n \in I} x_n\|_p$, that is $\|\sup_{n \in I} x_n\|_p := \|(x_n)_n\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_{\infty}(I))}$. The following property is stated in [8, Remark 4.1].

Remark 2.1. Let $(x_n)_{n \in I}$ be a sequence of selfadjoint operators in $L_p(\mathcal{M})$. Then $x = (x_n)_{n \in I}$ in $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_{\infty}(I))$ if and only if there is $a \in L_p(\mathcal{M})_+$ such that $-a \leq x_n \leq a$

$$\|x\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M};\ell_{\infty}(I))} = \inf \Big\{ \|a\|_p : a \in L_p(\mathcal{M})_+ \text{ such that } -a \le x_n \le a, \ \forall n \in I \Big\}.$$

In the rest of this paper, we will omit the index set I when it will not cause confusions.

It has already been shown in [22] that $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty)$ is a dual space for every p > 1 and its predual space is denoted by $L_{p'}(\mathcal{M}; \ell_1)$ (p' being the conjugate index of p). Let us briefly recall the latter space. Given $1 \le p \le \infty$, $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_1)$ is defined to be the space of all sequences $x = (x_n)_n$ in $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ which can be factorized as

$$x_n = \sum_k u_{kn}^* v_{kn}, \quad \forall n$$

for two families $(u_{kn})_{k,n}$ and $(v_{kn})_{k,n}$ in $L_{2p}(\mathcal{M})$ such that

$$\sum_{k,n} u_{kn}^* u_{kn} \in L_p(\mathcal{M}) \text{ and } \sum_{k,n} v_{kn}^* v_{kn} \in L_p(\mathcal{M}),$$

where all series are required to be convergent in $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ (relative to the w*-topology for $p = \infty$). The norm of x in $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_1)$ is defined by

$$\|x\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M};\ell_1)} = \inf \|\sum_{k,n} u_{kn}^* u_{kn} \|_p^{1/2} \|\sum_{k,n} v_{kn}^* v_{kn} \|_p^{1/2},$$

where the infimum runs over all possible decompositions $x_n = \sum_k u_{kn}^* v_{kn}$. The duality between $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_{\infty})$ and $L_{p'}(\mathcal{M}; \ell_1)$ is given by

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{n} \tau(x_n y_n), \quad x = (x_n)_n \in L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty), \ y = (y_n)_n \in L_{p'}(\mathcal{M}; \ell_1).$$

The following properties can be found in [28, Proposition 2.1].

Proposition 2.2. Let $1 \le p \le \infty$.

(i) A sequence $x = (x_n)_{n \in I}$ in $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ belongs to $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_{\infty}(I))$ if and only if $\sup \{ \|\sup_{n \in J} + x_n\|_p : J \subset I, J \text{ is finite} \} < \infty.$

In this case, $\|\sup_{n \in I} + x_n\|_p$ is equal to the above supremum.

(ii) Let $x = (x_n)_n$ be a positive sequence in $L_p(\mathcal{M}; \ell_\infty)$. Then

$$\left\|\sup_{n}^{+} x_{n}\right\|_{p} = \sup\left\{\left\|\sum_{n}^{+} \tau(x_{n}y_{n}) : y_{n} \in L_{p'}(\mathcal{M})_{+} \text{ and } \left\|\sum_{n}^{+} y_{n}\right\|_{p'} \le 1\right\}.$$

Based on these necessary notions, we can present the definition of the noncommutative maximal inequalities.

Definition 2.3. Let $1 \le p \le \infty$ and let $S = (S_n)_n$ be a family of maps from $L_p(\mathcal{M})_+$ to $L_0(\mathcal{M})_+$.

(i) For $p < \infty$, we say that S is of weak type (p, p) with constant C if there is a positive constant C such that for any $x \in L_p(\mathcal{M})_+$ and any $\lambda > 0$, there is a projection $e \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfying

$$\forall n \ eS_n(x)e \leq \lambda \text{ and } \tau(e^{\perp}) \leq \frac{C^p ||x||_p}{\lambda^p}.$$

(ii) For $1 \le p \le \infty$, we say that S is of strong type (p, p) with constant C if there is a positive constant C such that for any $x \in L_p(\mathcal{M})_+$ there exists $a \in L_p(\mathcal{M})_+$ satisfying

$$\forall n \ S_n(x) \le a \text{ and } \|a\|_p \le C \|x\|_p.$$

We refer the reader to [22] and [28] for more details.

2.4. Almost uniform convergence. In this subsection, we recall the noncommutative analogue of the usual almost everywhere convergence. The following definition is introduced by Lance [29].

Definition 2.4. Let \mathcal{M} be a semifinite von Neumann algebra equipped with a semifinite normal faithful trace τ . Let $x_n, x \in L_0(\mathcal{M})$.

(i) (x_n) is said to converge bilaterally almost uniformly (b.a.u. in short) to x if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a projection $e \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$\tau(e^{\perp}) < \varepsilon$$
 and $\lim_{n \to \infty} ||e(x_n - x)e||_{\infty} = 0.$

(ii) (x_n) is said to converge almost uniformly (a.u. in short) to x if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a projection $e \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$\tau(e^{\perp}) < \varepsilon$$
 and $\lim_{n \to \infty} ||(x_n - x)e||_{\infty} = 0.$

2.5. **Operator-valued Hardy spaces and** BMO **spaces.** In this subsection, let us start by introducing Mei's notion [31] of row and column Hardy spaces. According to our requirement, here we only concentrate on operator-valued H_1 space; for a general description of operator-valued Hardy spaces we refer the reader to [31]. Define

$$\mathrm{H}_{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d};\mathcal{M}) = \mathrm{H}_{1}^{r}(\mathbb{R}^{d};\mathcal{M}) + \mathrm{H}_{1}^{c}(\mathbb{R}^{d};\mathcal{M})$$

equipped with the following sum norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathrm{H}_{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d};\mathcal{M})} = \inf_{f=g+h} \|g\|_{\mathrm{H}_{1}^{r}(\mathbb{R}^{d};\mathcal{M})} + \|h\|_{\mathrm{H}_{1}^{c}(\mathbb{R}^{d};\mathcal{M})} < \infty,$$

where the row/column norms are given by

$$\begin{split} \|g\|_{\mathrm{H}_{1}^{r}(\mathbb{R}^{d};\mathcal{M})} &= \left\| \Big(\int_{\Gamma} \Big[\frac{\partial P_{g}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial P_{g}^{*}}{\partial t} + \sum_{j} \frac{\partial P_{g}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial P_{g}^{*}}{\partial x_{j}} \Big] (x + \cdot, t) \frac{dxdt}{t^{n-1}} \Big)^{1/2} \right\|_{1}, \\ \|h\|_{\mathrm{H}_{1}^{c}(\mathbb{R}^{d};\mathcal{M})} &= \left\| \Big(\int_{\Gamma} \Big[\frac{\partial P_{h}^{*}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial P_{h}}{\partial t} + \sum_{j} \frac{\partial P_{h}^{*}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial P_{h}}{\partial x_{j}} \Big] (x + \cdot, t) \frac{dxdt}{t^{n-1}} \Big)^{1/2} \right\|_{1}, \end{split}$$

with $\Gamma = \{(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}_+ | |x| < y\}$ and $P_f(x,t) = P_t f(x)$ stands for the Poisson semigroup $(P_t)_{t\geq 0}$. We say that $a \in L_1(\mathcal{M}; L_2^c(\mathbb{R}^d))$ is a *column atom* if there is a cube Q such that

(i) $\sup_{Q} \sup_{Q} a = Q;$ (ii) $\int_{Q} a(y) dy = 0;$ (iii) $\|a\|_{L_1(\mathcal{M}; L_2^c(\mathbb{R}^d))} = \tau \left[\left(\int_{Q} |a(y)|^2 dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \le |Q|^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \text{ where } |Q| \text{ is the volume of } Q.$

It is known from [31, Theorem 2.8] that

$$\|f\|_{\mathrm{H}_{1}^{c}(\mathbb{R}^{d};\mathcal{M})} \approx \inf \Big\{ \sum_{n} |\lambda_{n}| \, \big| \, f = \sum_{n} \lambda_{n} a_{n} \text{ with } a_{n} \text{ column atoms} \Big\}.$$

The operator-valued BMO spaces are also studied in [31]. Let Q be a cube in \mathbb{R}^d with sides parallel to the axes. For a function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathcal{M}$ is integrable on Q, f_Q denotes its average over Q, that is

$$f_Q = \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q f(x) dx.$$

Recall that $\mathcal{N} = L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}$ given in the introduction. The BMO space BMO(\mathcal{N}) is defined as a subspace of $L_{\infty}(\mathcal{M}; L_2^{rc}(\mathbb{R}^d; dx/(1+|x|)^{d+1}))$ with

$$\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}(\mathcal{N})} = \max\left\{\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}^{r}(\mathcal{N})}, \|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}^{c}(\mathcal{N})}\right\} < \infty,$$

where the row/column BMO norms are given by

$$\|f\|_{BMO^{r}(\mathcal{N})} = \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} (f(x) - f_{Q}) (f(x) - f_{Q})^{*} dx \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\mathcal{M}},$$

$$\|f\|_{BMO^{c}(\mathcal{N})} = \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} \left(f(x) - f_{Q} \right)^{*} \left(f(x) - f_{Q} \right) dx \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

In the sequel, we will frequently employ the following Cauchy-Schwarz type inequality (see [31, (1.13)]). Let (Ω, μ) be a measure space. Then

(2.1)
$$\left|\int_{\Omega}\phi f d\mu\right|^{2} \leq \int_{\Omega} |\phi|^{2} d\mu \int_{\Omega} |f|^{2} d\mu,$$

where $\phi : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ and $f : \Omega \to L_1(\mathcal{M}) + L_\infty(\mathcal{M})$ are operator-valued functions such that all members of the above inequality make sense.

3. Bochner-Riesz means for Hermite Operator

In this section, we are concerned with convergence properties of operator-valued Bochner-Riesz means for Hermite operator H, which is defined by

$$H = -\Delta + |x|^{2} = -\sum_{n=1}^{d} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{n}^{2}} + |x|^{2}, \quad x = (x_{1}, ..., x_{d}).$$

For nonnegative integer n, the Hermite functions $H_n(t)$ on \mathbb{R} are defined by $H_n(t) = (-1)^n \exp(-t^2) (\frac{d}{dt})^n \{\exp(-t^2)\}$, and the normalised Hermite functions $\phi_n(t)$ are then given by

(3.1)
$$\phi_n(t) = (2^n \sqrt{\pi} n!)^{-1/2} \exp(-t^2/2) H_n(t), \ n = 0, 1, 2, ...,$$

which form a complete orthonormal system in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$. For every multi-index $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, ..., \nu_d)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the *d*-dimensional Hermite functions $\Phi_{\nu}(x)$ are defined by taking the tensor product of one dimensional normalised Hermite function

(3.2)
$$\Phi_{\nu}(x) = \phi_{\nu_1}(x_1)\phi_{\nu_2}(x_2)...\phi_{\nu_d}(x_d), \quad x = (x_1,...,x_d).$$

Then the functions $\{\Phi_{\nu}\}_{\nu}$ are eigenfunctions for the Hermite operator H with eigenvalue $(2|\nu|+d)$, where $|\nu| = \nu_1 + \nu_2 + ... + \nu_d$; and form a complete orthonormal system in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Hence, for every $f \in L_2(\mathcal{N})$, we have the Hermite expansion

(3.3)
$$f(x) = \sum_{\nu} \hat{f}(\nu) \Phi_{\nu}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n f(x),$$

where $\widehat{f}(\nu)$ is defined by $\widehat{f}(\nu) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \Phi_{\nu}(x) dx$ and P_n denotes the Hermite projection given by

(3.4)
$$P_n f(x) = \sum_{|\nu|=n} \widehat{f}(\nu) \Phi_{\nu}(x).$$

For R > 0, the Bochner-Riesz means for H of order $\alpha \ge 0$ is defined by

(3.5)
$$S_R^{\alpha} f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{2n+d}{R} \right)_+^{\alpha} P_n f(x),$$

where f is a $L_1(\mathcal{M}) \cap L_{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ -valued compactly supported measurable function.

3.1. Mean convergence. In this subsection, we study the L_p convergence of Bochner-Riesz means. First of all, we list some basic estimates of Bochner-Riesz kernel obtained by Thangavelu [44, 46]. Denote by $S_R^{\alpha}(x, y)$ the Bochner-Riesz kernel associated to the operator S_R^{α} . Then it is not difficult to verify that

(3.6)
$$S_R^{\alpha}(x,y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{2n+d}{R}\right)_+^{\alpha} \Phi_n(x,y),$$

where the function $\Phi_n(x,y)$ is defined as $\Phi_n(x,y) = \sum_{|\nu|=n} \Phi_{\nu}(x) \Phi_{\nu}(y)$.

Lemma 3.1 ([44]). For d = 1 and $\alpha > 1/6$, the following estimate is valid:

$$S_R^{\alpha}(x,y)| \le CR^{1/2} \{ (1+R^{1/2}|x-y|)^{-\alpha-5/6} + (1+R^{1/2}|x+y|)^{-\alpha-5/6} \},\$$

where the constant C is independent of x, y and R.

Lemma 3.2 ([46]). If $1 \le p \le 2$, $d \ge 2$ and $\alpha > \frac{d-1}{2}$, then for any r > 0, the following estimate is valid:

$$\left(\int_{|x-y|\ge r} |S_R^{\alpha}(x,y)|^p dy\right)^{1/p} \le CR^{d/2q} (1+R^{1/2}r)^{-\alpha-1/2+d(1/p-1/2)}$$

where 1/p + 1/q = 1 and the constant C is independent of R, r and x.

Now we have all ingredients to study the mean convergence of Bochner-Riesz means.

Theorem 3.3. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $f \in L_p(\mathcal{N})$. Then

(i) for d = 1 and $\alpha > 1/6$,

$$||S_R^{\alpha}f - f||_p \to 0 \text{ as } R \to \infty;$$

(ii) for $d \ge 2$ and $\alpha > \frac{d-1}{2}$,

$$||S_R^{\alpha}f - f||_p \to 0 \text{ as } R \to \infty$$

Proof. By decomposing $f = f_1 - f_2 + i(f_3 - f_4)$ with positive f_k (k = 1, 2, 3, 4), we can assume f is positive. By Lemma 3.1,

(3.7)
$$-C\{E_R(x-y) + E_R(x+y)\} \le S_R^{\alpha}(x,y) \le C\{E_R(x-y) + E_R(x+y)\}$$

where $E_R(x) = R^{1/2}(1 + R^{1/2}|x|)^{-\alpha-5/6}$. Set $\tilde{f}(x) = f(-x)$ and $E_R f(x) = f * E_R(x)$. Then by (3.7), we deduce that

$$(3.8) - C\{E_Rf(x) + E_R\widetilde{f}(x)\} \le S_R^{\alpha}f(x) \le C\{E_Rf(x) + E_R\widetilde{f}(x)\}.$$

Note that $E_R(x)$ is an $L_1(\mathbb{R})$ function when $\alpha > 1/6$. Thus, by Young's inequality, it follows immediately that $||E_R f||_p \leq ||f||_p$ and $||E_R \tilde{f}||_p \leq ||f||_p$. Therefore, we obtain the uniform boundedness of S_R^{α} thanks to (3.8). On the other hand, $S_R^{\alpha} f$ converges to f in L_p -norm whenever $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes S_M$ (see e.g. [15, Exercise 6.2.9]). Consequently, a density argument implies $S_R^{\alpha} f$ converges to f in L_p -norm. This proves (i).

We now turn to (ii). Letting $r \to 0$ in Lemma 3.2 with p = 1, we see that $S_R^{\alpha}(x, y)$ are uniformly integrable for $\alpha > \frac{d-1}{2}$. This gives the uniform boundedness of S_R^{α} . Together with the density argument, we complete the proof.

3.2. **Pointwise convergence.** In this subsection, we study the pointwise convergence of the Bochner-Riesz means by showing the corresponding noncommutative maximal inequalities of the sequence $(S_R^{\alpha})_{R>0}$. Before it, we present some necessary lemmas. The first one is well-known to experts, its proof can be found in [8, Theorem 4.3].

Lemma 3.4 ([8]). Let ψ be an integrable function on \mathbb{R}^d such that $|\psi|$ is radial and radially decreasing. Let $\psi_t(x) = \frac{1}{t^d} \psi(\frac{x}{t})$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and t > 0.

(i) Let $f \in L_1(\mathcal{N})$. Then for any $\lambda > 0$ there exists a projection $e \in \mathcal{N}$ such that

$$\sup_{t>0} \left\| e(\psi_t * f) e \right\|_{\infty} \le \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(e^{\perp}) \le C_d \|\psi\|_1 \frac{\|f\|_1}{\lambda},$$

where $e^{\perp} = 1_{\mathcal{N}} - e$.

(ii) Let 1 . Then

$$\left\|\sup_{t>0}^{+}\psi_{t}*f\right\|_{p} \leq C_{d}\|\psi\|_{1} \frac{p^{2}}{(p-1)^{2}}\|f\|_{p}, \quad \forall f \in L_{p}(\mathcal{N}).$$

The second lemma connecting Bochner-Riesz means of different order is useful.

Lemma 3.5. Let β, δ be two complex numbers such that $\operatorname{Re}\beta > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}\delta > -1$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta + \delta) > 0$. Then

$$S_R^{\delta+\beta} = \frac{\Gamma(\delta+\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\delta+1)\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{\beta-1} t^{\delta} S_{Rt}^{\delta} dt.$$

Proof. By definition, it is sufficient to verify

$$\left(1-\frac{N}{R}\right)^{\delta+\beta} = \frac{\Gamma(\delta+\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\delta+1)\Gamma(\beta)} \int_{N/R}^{1} (1-t)^{\beta-1} t^{\delta} \left(1-\frac{N}{Rt}\right)^{\delta} dt$$

for $\operatorname{Re}\beta > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}\delta > -1$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta + \delta) > 0$, where N = 2n + d. The remaining argument is quite similar as in [41, Lemma 4].

Theorem 3.6. Let S_R^{α} be defined in (3.5) with d = 1 and $\alpha > 1/6$.

(i) Let $f \in L_1(\mathcal{N})$. Then $(S_R^{\alpha})_{R>0}$ is of weak type (1,1), that is for any $\lambda > 0$ there exists a projection $e \in \mathcal{N}$ satisfying

$$\|eS_R^{\alpha}fe\|_{\infty} \lesssim \lambda \text{ for all } R > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(e^{\perp}) \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_1}{\lambda}$$

(ii) Let 1 . Then

$$\|\sup_{R>0}^{+}S_{R}^{\alpha}f\|_{p} \lesssim \|f\|_{p}, \ \forall f \in L_{p}(\mathcal{N}).$$

(iii) For any $f \in L_p(\mathcal{N})$ with $1 \le p < \infty$,

$$S_R^{\alpha} f \xrightarrow{\text{b.a.u}} f \text{ as } R \to \infty.$$

Proof. (i) Without loss of generality, we may assume f is positive. Note that the Bochner-Riesz kernel $S_R^{\alpha}(x, y)$ is real-valued since α is positive; moreover, from (3.8) in the proof of Theorem 3.3, we know that

$$(3.9) - (E_R f + E_R f) \lesssim S_R^{\alpha} f \lesssim E_R f + E_R f.$$

If we set $E(x) = (1 + |x|)^{-\alpha - 5/6}$, then $E_R(x) = R^{1/2} E(R^{1/2}x)$. It is clear that E is an integrable function on \mathbb{R}^d . Moreover, E is radial and radially decreasing. Therefore, by Lemma 3.4 (i), there exists a projection $e_1 \in \mathcal{N}$ such that for any R > 0

$$\varphi(e_1^{\perp}) \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_1}{\lambda} \text{ and } -\frac{\lambda}{2} \leq e_1 E_R f e_1 \leq \frac{\lambda}{2}.$$

Similarly, we can find a projection $e_2 \in \mathcal{N}$ such that for any R > 0

$$\varphi(e_2^{\perp}) \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_1}{\lambda} = \frac{\|f\|_1}{\lambda} \text{ and } -\frac{\lambda}{2} \leq e_2 E_R \widetilde{f} e_2 \leq \frac{\lambda}{2}.$$

Set $e = e_1 \wedge e_2$. We then deduce that for any R > 0,

$$-\lambda \leq -(e_1 E_R f e_1 + e_2 E_R \widetilde{f} e_2) \lesssim e S_R^{\alpha} f e \lesssim e_1 E_R f e_1 + e_2 E_R \widetilde{f} e_2 \leq \lambda,$$

which implies

$$\sup_{R>0} \|eS^{\alpha}_R fe\|_{\infty} \lesssim \lambda \ \, \text{and} \ \, \varphi(e^{\perp}) \leq \varphi(e^{\perp}_1) + \varphi(e^{\perp}_2) \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_1}{\lambda}$$

This establishes (i).

To prove part (ii), just by noting (3.9), Remark 2.1, triangle's inequality in $L_p(\mathcal{N}; \ell_{\infty})$ and Lemma 3.4 (ii), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sup_{R>0}^{+} S_{R}^{\alpha} f\|_{p} &\lesssim \|\sup_{R>0}^{+} E_{R} f + E_{R} f\|_{p} \\ &\leq \|\sup_{R>0}^{+} E_{R} f\|_{p} + \|\sup_{R>0}^{+} E_{R} \widetilde{f}\|_{p} \lesssim \|f\|_{p}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) The pointwise convergence results can be obtained as a byproduct of the previous maximal inequalities through a standard verification, see e.g. [18, Section 7]. So we omit the proof.

Let us now consider a deeper insight into the one-dimensional case. If α is smaller than the critical index 1/6, Theorem 3.6 (ii) usually fail even in the scalar case (see [44]). However, we can improve this result by proving Theorem 3.7 below, which is the noncommutative analogue of Stein's theorem [41] for Bochner-Riesz means associated to Hermite operator.

Theorem 3.7. Assume that d = 1 and $\alpha > 1/3 |1/2 - 1/p|$. Then for any $f \in L_p(\mathcal{N})$ with 1 , we have

- (i) $\|\sup^{+} S_{R}^{\alpha} f\|_{p} \lesssim \|f\|_{p}$, where the implicit constant depends on p, d and α .
- (ii) $\|S_R^{\alpha}f f\|_p \to 0 \text{ as } R \to \infty.$
- (iii) $S_R^{\alpha} f \xrightarrow{\text{b.a.u}} f \text{ as } R \to \infty.$

Proof. It suffices to prove the maximal inequality (i). Indeed, by Remark 2.1, part (i) implies that

$$\sup_{R>0} \|S_R^{\alpha} f\|_p \le \|\sup_{R>0} S_R^{\alpha} f\|_p \lesssim \|f\|_p.$$

Together with the density of $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d) \otimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}$ in $L_p(\mathcal{N})$, we obtain (ii). On the other hand, conclusion (iii) can be proved by (i) via a standard verification. Thus the remainder is devoted to the proof of part (i) and the idea is inspired by Stein in classical setting [41] and Chen et al on quantum tori [8].

By Proposition 2.2 (i), it suffices to show for any finite subset $J \subset (0, \infty)$,

(3.10)
$$\|\sup_{R\in J} S^{\alpha}_R f\|_p \lesssim \|f\|_p, \quad \forall f \in L_p(\mathcal{N}).$$

In the following, we fix J and $f \in L_p(\mathcal{N})$. For clarity we divide the proof of (3.10) into three steps.

Step 1. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $\operatorname{Re}\alpha > 1/6$ and 1 , we prove that

$$(3.11) \qquad \qquad \|\sup_{R\in J} S^{\alpha}_R f\|_p \lesssim \|f\|_p.$$

To see this, choose $\delta > 0$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\operatorname{Re}\alpha > \delta > 1/6$ and $\alpha = \delta + \beta$. By Lemma 3.5, we have the following equality:

(3.12)
$$S_R^{\alpha} = C_{\beta,\delta} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{\beta-1} t^{\delta} S_{Rt}^{\delta} dt,$$

where $C_{\beta,\delta} = \Gamma(\beta + \delta + 1)/\Gamma(\delta + 1)\Gamma(\beta)$. Since $\operatorname{Re}\beta = \operatorname{Re}\alpha - \delta > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, we have

(3.13)
$$\int_0^1 |(1-t)^{\beta-1}t^{\delta}| dt = \int_0^1 (1-t)^{Re\beta-1}t^{\delta} dt < \infty$$

Therefore, combining (3.13) with Theorem 3.6 (ii) and triangle's inequality in $L_p(\mathcal{N}; \ell_{\infty})$, we conclude that

$$\|\sup_{R\in J} {}^{+}S_{R}^{\alpha}f\|_{p} \le |C_{\beta,\delta}| \int_{0}^{1} (1-t)^{\operatorname{Re}\beta-1} t^{\delta} dt \|\sup_{R\in J} {}^{+}S_{R}^{\delta}(f)\|_{p} \lesssim \|f\|_{p}.$$

Step 2.For $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \alpha > 0$, we show that

(3.14)
$$\left\|\sup_{R\in J} {}^+S^{\alpha}_R(f)\right\|_2 \lesssim \|f\|_2.$$

Indeed, by choosing $\delta > 0$, $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\operatorname{Re}\alpha > \delta > 0$ with $\alpha = \delta + \beta$ and using the same argument in *Step 1*, we can reduce to proving (3.14) when $\alpha > 0$.

We first consider the case $\alpha > 1/2$. Choose $\beta > 1$ and $\delta > -1/2$ such that $\alpha = \beta + \delta$. By Lemma 3.5 and integration by parts,

$$S_R^{\beta+\delta} = C_{\beta,\delta} \int_0^1 \psi(t) M_{Rt}^{\delta} dt,$$

where $M_t^{\delta} = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t S_r^{\delta} dr$ and $\psi(t) = (\beta - 1)(1 - t)^{\beta - 2} t^{\delta + 1} - \delta(1 - t)^{\beta - 1} t^{\delta}$. A simple calculation shows that $\int_0^1 |\psi(t)| dt < \infty$. Hence, we use again triangle's inequality in $L_p(\mathcal{N}; \ell_\infty)$,

$$\left|\sup_{R\in J} {}^{+}S_{R}^{\delta}(f)\right\|_{2} \le |C_{\beta,\delta}| \int_{0}^{1} |\psi(t)| dt \left\|\sup_{R\in J} {}^{+}M_{R}^{\delta}(f)\right\|_{2} \lesssim \left\|\sup_{R\in J} {}^{+}M_{R}^{\delta}(f)\right\|_{2}.$$

Therefore, it suffices to show if $\delta > -1/2$

$$(3.15) \qquad \qquad \left\|\sup_{R\in J} M_R^{\delta}(f)\right\|_2 \lesssim \|f\|_2.$$

Let $(R_n)_n$ be any fixed sequence in J and (g_n) be a sequence of positive elements in $L_2(\mathcal{N})$ such that $\|\sum_n g_n\|_2 \leq 1$. Then by Proposition 2.2 (ii),

$$\begin{split} \left|\varphi\Big(\sum_{n}M_{R_{n}}^{\delta}(f)g_{n}\Big)\right| &\leq \left|\varphi\Big(\sum_{n}M_{R_{n}}^{\delta+1}(f)g_{n}\Big)\right| + \left|\varphi\Big(\sum_{n}[M_{R_{n}}^{\delta+1}(f) - M_{R_{n}}^{\delta}(f)]g_{n}\Big)\right| \\ &\leq \left\|\sup_{R\in J} M_{R}^{\delta+1}(f)\right\|_{2} + \left|\varphi\Big(\sum_{n}G_{R_{n}}^{\delta}(f)g_{n}\Big)\right|, \end{split}$$

where $G_{R_n}^{\delta} = M_{R_n}^{\delta+1} - M_{R_n}^{\delta}$. In the following, we will need a fundamental inequality (see e.g. [8])

(3.16)
$$|\varphi(ab)|^2 \le \varphi(|a|b)\varphi(|a^*|b), \ \forall \ a, b \in \mathcal{N} \text{ with } b \ge 0.$$

Then by above inequality (3.16) and Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we see that

$$\left|\varphi\left(\sum_{n} G_{R_{n}}^{\delta}(f)g_{n}\right)\right|^{2} \leq \left(\sum_{n} \left|\varphi\left(G_{R_{n}}^{\delta}(f)g_{n}\right)\right|\right)^{2}$$
$$\leq \left(\sum_{n} \varphi\left(|G_{R_{n}}^{\delta}(f)|g_{n}\right)^{1/2}\varphi\left(|G_{R_{n}}^{\delta}(f)^{*}|g_{n}\right)^{1/2}\right)^{2}$$
$$\leq \varphi\left(\sum_{n} |G_{R_{n}}^{\delta}(f)|g_{n}\right)\varphi\left(\sum_{n} |G_{R_{n}}^{\delta}(f)^{*}|g_{n}\right).$$

Noticing that by the definition of $M_{R_n}^{\delta}$, (2.1) and the operator monotonicity of $0 \leq x \mapsto x^t$ for 0 < t < 1, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |G_{R_n}^{\delta}(f)| &= \left|\frac{1}{R_n} \int_0^{R_n} [S_r^{\delta+1}(f) - S_r^{\delta}(f)] dr\right| \le \left(\int_0^{R_n} \left|S_r^{\delta+1}(f) - S_r^{\delta}(f)\right|^2 \frac{dr}{R_n}\right)^{1/2} \\ &\le \left(\int_0^{\infty} \left|S_r^{\delta+1}(f) - S_r^{\delta}(f)\right|^2 \frac{dr}{r}\right)^{1/2} =: G^{\delta}(f). \end{aligned}$$

It then follows from Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality that

$$\varphi\Big(\sum_{n} |G_{R_n}^{\delta}(f)|g_n\Big) \le \varphi\Big(G^{\delta}(f)\sum_{n} g_n\Big) \le \|G^{\delta}(f)\|_2$$

On the other hand, the same argument gives

$$\varphi\Big(\sum_n |G_{R_n}^{\delta}(f)^*|g_n\Big) \le ||G_*^{\delta}(f)||_2,$$

where G_*^{δ} is defined by

$$G_*^{\delta}(f) = \left(\int_0^\infty \left| \left(S_r^{\delta+1}(f) - S_r^{\delta}(f) \right)^* \right|^2 \frac{dr}{r} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Combining above observations and duality, we get

$$\left\|\sup_{R\in J}{}^{+}M_{R}^{\delta}(f)\right\|_{2} \leq \left\|\sup_{R\in J}{}^{+}M_{R}^{\delta+1}(f)\right\|_{2} + \|G^{\delta}(f)\|_{2}^{1/2}\|G_{*}^{\delta}(f)\|_{2}^{1/2}.$$

In the following, we claim that

$$\max\left\{\|G^{\delta}(f)\|_{2}, \|G^{\delta}_{*}(f)\|_{2}\right\} \lesssim \|f\|_{2}$$

Consider the term $\|G^{\delta}(f)\|_2$ firstly. Observe that Parseval's identity and Fubini's theorem imply

$$\begin{split} \|G^{\delta}(f)\|_{2}^{2} &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \varphi \left(\left| S_{r}^{\delta+1}(f) - S_{r}^{\delta}(f) \right|^{2} \right) \frac{dr}{r} \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{N \leq r} \left| \left(1 - \frac{N}{r} \right)^{\delta+1} - \left(1 - \frac{N}{r} \right)^{\delta} \right|^{2} \|\widehat{f}(n)\|_{2}^{2} \frac{dr}{r} \\ &= \sum_{n \neq 0} \|\widehat{f}(n)\|_{2}^{2} \int_{N}^{\infty} \frac{N^{2}}{r^{2}} \left(1 - \frac{N}{r} \right)^{2\delta} \frac{dr}{r}, \end{split}$$

where N = 2n + 1. Note that

$$\int_{N}^{\infty} \frac{N^{2}}{r^{2}} \left(1 - \frac{N}{r}\right)^{2\delta} \frac{dr}{r} = \int_{1}^{\infty} r^{-3} (1 - r^{-1})^{2\delta} dr < \infty,$$

since $\delta > -1/2$. Hence, $\|G^{\delta}f\|_2 \lesssim \|f\|_2$. The same argument works for the other term $\|G^{\delta}_*f\|_2$ by noting $\|G^{\delta}_*f\|_2 = \|G^{\delta}f^*\|_2$. This is precisely the claim. Consequently,

$$\left\|\sup_{R\in J} {}^{+}M_{R}^{\delta}(f)\right\|_{2} \le \left\|\sup_{R\in J} {}^{+}M_{R}^{\delta+1}(f)\right\|_{2} + \|f\|_{2} \lesssim \|f\|_{2},$$

where in the last inequality we used (3.11).

Now we deal with the general case $\alpha > 0$. In this case, choose $\beta > 1/2$ and $\delta > -1/2$ such that $\alpha = \beta + \delta$. Note that Lemma 3.5 and a change of variable give

$$S_R^{\beta+\delta} = C_{\beta,\delta} R^{-(\beta+\delta)} \int_0^R (R-t)^{\beta-1} t^{\delta} S_t^{\delta} dt.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$S_{R_{n}}^{\beta+\delta} - \frac{C_{\beta,\delta}}{C_{\beta,\delta+1}} S_{R_{n}}^{\beta+\delta+1} = C_{\beta,\delta} R_{n}^{-(\beta+\delta)} \int_{0}^{R_{n}} (R_{n}-t)^{\beta-1} t^{\delta} \left(S_{t}^{\delta} - S_{t}^{\delta+1}\right) dt + C_{\beta,\delta} R_{n}^{-(\beta+\delta)} \int_{0}^{R_{n}} (R_{n}-t)^{\beta-1} t^{\delta} (1 - R_{n}^{-1}t) S_{t}^{\delta+1} dt =: I_{R_{n}} + II_{R_{n}}.$$

By (2.1) and the operator monotonicity of $0 \le x \mapsto x^t$ for 0 < t < 1, we have

$$|I_{R_n}(f)| \le |C_{\beta,\delta}| R_n^{1/2} R_n^{-(\beta+\delta)} \Big(\int_0^{R_n} |(R_n - t)^{\beta-1} t^{\delta}|^2 dt \Big)^{1/2} \\ \times \Big(\int_0^{R_n} |S_t^{\delta}(f) - S_t^{\delta+1}(f)|^2 \frac{dt}{R_n} \Big)^{1/2} \lesssim G^{\delta}(f),$$

since $\beta > 1/2$ and $\delta > -1/2$, the integral

$$R_n^{1-2(\beta+\delta)} \int_0^{R_n} |(R_n-t)^{\beta-1} t^{\delta}|^2 dt = \int_0^1 (1-t)^{2\beta-2} t^{2\delta} dt < \infty.$$

Similarly, $|I_{R_n}(f)^*| \lesssim G_*^{\delta}(f)$. Hence, by (3.16) and duality, we have $\left\| \sup_{R \in J}^+ I_R(f) \right\|_2 \lesssim \|G^{\delta}(f)\|_2^{1/2} \|G_*^{\delta}(f)\|_2^{1/2} \lesssim \|f\|_2.$

To estimate II_{R_n} , using the same argument as in the case $\alpha > 1/2$, we obtain

$$II_{R_n} = C_{\beta,\delta} \int_0^1 \rho(t) M_{R_n t}^{\delta} dt,$$

where $\rho(t) = \beta(1-t)t^{\delta+1} - \delta(1-t)^{\beta}t^{\delta}$. Note that $\beta > 1/2$ and $\delta > -1/2$, $\int_0^1 |\rho(t)| dt < \infty$. Then II_{R_n} can be dealt with as in the case $\alpha > 1/2$. Therefore, we conclude that
$$\begin{split} & \left\|\sup_{R\in J} \tilde{I}_R(f)\right\|_2 \lesssim \|f\|_2. \\ & \text{Finally, combing all above observations, we get} \end{split}$$

$$\left\|\sup_{R\in J}^{+}S_{R}^{\alpha}(f)\right\|_{2} \leq \frac{|C_{\beta,\delta}|}{|C_{\beta,\delta+1}|} \left\|\sup_{R\in J}^{+}S_{R}^{\alpha+1}(f)\right\|_{2} + \left\|\sup_{R\in J}^{+}I_{R}(f)\right\|_{2} + \left\|\sup_{R\in J}^{+}II_{R}(f)\right\|_{2} \leq \|f\|_{2},$$

where in the last inequality we used Theorem 3.6 since $\alpha + 1 > 1$. This completes the argument of Step 2.

Step 3. The general case can be obtained by Stein's complex interpolation. To see this, assume that $||f||_p \leq 1$ and let $g = (g_n)$ be a finite sequence in $L_{p'}(\mathcal{N})$ with $\|g\|_{L_{n'}(\mathcal{N};\ell_1)} \leq 1$. We first consider the case $1 . For any fixed <math>\alpha > 1/3(1/p-1/2)$, we can find $p_1 > 1$, $\alpha_0 > 0$ and $\alpha_1 > 1/6$ such that

$$\alpha = (1-t)\alpha_0 + t\alpha_1$$
 and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-t}{2} + \frac{t}{p_1}$

for some 0 < t < 1. Define

$$h(z) = u|f|^{\frac{p(1-z)}{2} + \frac{pz}{p_1}} \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

where f = u|f| is the polar decomposition of f. On the other hand, by [28, Proposition 2.5], there exists a function $m = (m_n)_n$ continuous on the strip $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 \leq \text{Re}(z) \leq 1\}$ and analytic in the interior so that m(t) = g and

(3.17)
$$\sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \max\left\{ \left\| m(\mathrm{i}s) \right\|_{L_2(\mathcal{N};\ell_1)}, \left\| m(1+\mathrm{i}s) \right\|_{L_{p_1'}(\mathcal{N};\ell_1)} \right\} \le 1.$$

Fix a sequence $(R_n) \subset J$ and $\delta > 0$. We define

$$F(z) = \exp\left(\delta(z^2 - t^2)\right) \sum_{n} \varphi\left(S_{R_n}^{(1-z)\alpha_0 + z\alpha_1}[h(z)]m_n(z)\right).$$

Then F is a function analytic in the open strip $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < \operatorname{Re}(z) < 1\}$. By (3.14), for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we deduce that

$$|F(\mathrm{i}s)| \leq \exp\left(-\delta(s^2+t^2)\right) \left\| \left(S_{R_n}^{\gamma_1}(h(\mathrm{i}s))\right)_n \right\|_{L_2(\mathcal{N};\ell_\infty)} \left\| m(\mathrm{i}s) \right\|_{L_2(\mathcal{N};\ell_1)} \\ \lesssim \|h(\mathrm{i}s)\|_2 \lesssim 1,$$

where $\gamma_1 = \alpha_0 + is(\alpha_1 - \alpha_0)$. Similarly, by (3.11), we obtain

$$\begin{split} |F(1+\mathrm{i}s)| &\leq \exp\left(-\delta(s^2+t^2-1)\right) \left\| \left(S_{R_n}^{\gamma_2}(h(1+\mathrm{i}s))\right)_n \right\|_{L_{p_1}(\mathcal{N};\ell_{\infty})} \left\| m(\mathrm{i}s) \right\|_{L_{p_1'}(\mathcal{N};\ell_1)} \\ &\lesssim \|h(1+\mathrm{i}s)\|_2 \lesssim 1, \end{split}$$

where $\gamma_2 = \alpha_1 + is(\alpha_1 - \alpha_0)$. Therefore, the maximum principle implies $|F(t)| \leq 1$, that is for f satisfying $||f||_{L_p(\mathcal{N})} \leq 1$

$$\left|\varphi\left(\sum_{n}S_{R_{n}}^{\alpha}(f)m_{n}\right)\right|\lesssim1.$$

By duality and homogeneity, we then get

$$\left|\sup_{R\in J}^{+}S_{R}^{\alpha}(f)\right\|_{p} \lesssim \|f\|_{p}, \quad \forall f \in L_{p}(\mathcal{N}).$$

The argument for the case p > 2 is similar once we start by setting $p_1 = \infty$. Thus we finish the proof.

Remark 3.8. We have given a slightly more general result by allowing α to be complex. In other words, Theorem 3.7 remains true under the condition that $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 1/3 | 1/2 - 1/p |$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and 1 .

In the following, we study the maximal inequalities for Bochner-Riesz means in higher dimension $(d \ge 2)$.

Theorem 3.9. Let $d \ge 2$ and $\alpha > \frac{d-1}{2}$. Then for any $2 and <math>f \in L_p(\mathcal{N})$, we have

(i) $\|\sup_{R>0}^{+}S_{R}^{\alpha}f\|_{p} \leq C_{p}\|f\|_{p}.$ (ii) $S_{R}^{\alpha}f \xrightarrow{\text{b.a.u}} f \text{ as } R \to \infty.$

Proof. As in proving Theorem 3.7, it is enough to show conclusion (i). The idea comes from [46]. Let $f \in L_p(\mathcal{N})$. We may assume f is positive. Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, set $f_k(y) = f(y)$ whenever $2^k \leq |x - y| \leq 2^{k+1}$ and $f_k(y) = 0$ otherwise. Then

(3.18)
$$S_R^{\alpha} f(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} S_R^{\alpha} f_k(x).$$

By (2.1), we get

$$S_R^{\alpha} f_k(x) \le \left(\int_{|x-y| \ge 2^k} |S_R^{\alpha}(x,y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{2^k \le |x-y| \le 2^{k+1}} f(y)^2 dy \right)^{1/2}.$$

Exploiting Lemma 3.2 into the first term above and (3.18), we arrive at

$$S_R^{\alpha} f(x) \lesssim \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} R^{d/4} (1+R^{1/2}2^k)^{-\alpha-1/2} 2^{\frac{kd}{2}} \left(2^{-(k+1)d} \int_{|x-y| \le 2^{k+1}} f(y)^2 dy \right)^{1/2}.$$

From [46, Theorem 4.2], we have the following estimate:

(3.19)
$$G(R) := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} R^{d/4} 2^{kd/2} (1 + R^{1/2} 2^k)^{-\alpha - 1/2} \lesssim 1.$$

On the other hand, since p > 2, by applying Mei's noncommutative Hardy-Littlewood maximal type (p, p) inequality [31] to $f^2 \in L_{p/2}(\mathcal{N})$, there exists a positive operator $F \in L_{p/2}(\mathcal{N})$ such that

$$||F||_{p/2} \le ||f^2||_{p/2}$$
 and $M_B(f^2) \le F, \forall \text{ ball } B \text{ centered at } x,$

where $M_B(f)(x) = \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B f(y) dy$. As a consequence, by the monotone increasing property of $0 \le x \to x^t$ with 0 < t < 1, we infer that for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\left(2^{-(k+1)d} \int_{|x-y| \le 2^{k+1}} f(y)^2 dy\right)^{1/2} \le F(x)^{1/2} \text{ and } \|F^{1/2}\|_p = \|F\|_{p/2}^{1/2} \lesssim \|f\|_p.$$

Finally, combining with (3.19), we find

$$-F(x)^{1/2} \lesssim -G(R)F(x)^{1/2} \lesssim S_R^{\alpha} f(x) \lesssim G(R)F(x)^{1/2} \lesssim F(x)^{1/2}.$$

which implies, by Remark 2.1, that

$$\|\sup_{R>0}^{+}S_{R}^{\alpha}f\|_{p} \lesssim \|F^{1/2}\|_{p} \lesssim \|f\|_{p}.$$

This completes the proof.

As the same argument in the case d = 1, we give a slightly more general result by allowing α to be complex for $d \ge 2$. We omit the proof here.

Theorem 3.10. Let $2 and <math>f \in L_p(\mathcal{N})$. Then for any complex number α with $\operatorname{Re} \alpha > \frac{d-1}{2}$, we have

(i)
$$\|\sup_{R>0}^{+}S_{R}^{\alpha}f\|_{p} \lesssim \|f\|_{p}$$
; (ii) $\|S_{R}^{\alpha}f - f\|_{p} \to 0$ as $R \to \infty$; (iii) $S_{R}^{\alpha}f \xrightarrow{\text{b.a.u}} f$ as $R \to \infty$.

4. A MARCINKIEWICZ TYPE MULTIPLIER THEOREM

In this section, we study a Marcinkiewicz type multiplier theorem for Hermite expansion in noncommutative setting. For $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d) \otimes S_{\mathcal{M}}$, we define

(4.1)
$$T_{\mu}f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu(2n+d)P_nf(x),$$

where μ is a bounded function defined on the set of nonnegative integers. Recall that the finite difference operators are defined inductively by

$$\delta\mu(N) = \mu(N+1) - \mu(N)$$

and for $n \geq 1$,

$$\delta^{n+1}\mu(N) = \delta^n \mu(N+1) - \delta^n \mu(N).$$

The following is our main result in this section.

Theorem 4.1. Assume that the function μ satisfies the conditions

$$|\delta^r \mu(N)| \le C N^{-r}$$

for any r < d/2 + 1. Let T_{μ} be defined as (4.1). Then for $f \in L_p(\mathcal{N})$ with 1 ,

$$||T_{\mu}f||_{p} \leq C_{p,\mu}||f||_{p}$$

The proof of Theorem 4.1 depends on the mapping properties of g and g^* functions in the following subsection.

18

4.1. Littlewood-Paley g function. In this subsection, we develop a Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory for g function defined by Hermite semigroup $H^t = e^{-tH}$ for t > 0. These operators are defined by

(4.2)
$$H^{t}f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-Nt} P_{n}f(x)$$

where N = 2n + d and they have the kernel

$$k_t(x,y) = \sum_{\nu} e^{-Nt} \Phi_{\nu}(x) \Phi_{\nu}(y).$$

In view of the Mehler's formula, the kernel k_t is explicitly given by (see [43])

(4.3)
$$k_t(x,y) = (\sinh 2t)^{-d/2} e^{\Psi_t(x,y)}$$

where

$$\Psi_t(x,y) = -\frac{1}{2}(|x|^2 + |y|^2)\coth 2t + x \cdot y\frac{1}{\sinh 2t}.$$

The Littlewood-Paley g function is defined by

$$g^{c}(f)(x) = \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} |\partial_{t}H^{t}f(x)|^{2}tdt\right)^{1/2}$$

To establish the Littlewood-Paley-Stein theory for Hermite semigroup, there are many difficulties to adapt the argument in [42, 48] to the present case, since the Hermite semigroup fails to satisfy the nice condition $H^t 1 = 1$ for any t > 0. Fortunately, with the help of the explicit form of the kernel $k_t(x, y)$, we can use the noncommutative Hilbert-valued Calderón-Zygmund theory. To be more precise, for t > 0, consider $\partial_t H^t$ as a singular integral operator where its associated kernel, denoted by $\partial_t k_t(x, y)$, takes values in Hilbert space $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+; tdt)$. As this Hilbert space will appear frequently later, to simply notation, we denote $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+; tdt)$ by R_d .

Theorem 4.2. Let $1 \le p \le \infty$. The following assertions hold:

(i) for p = 1 and $f \in L_1(\mathcal{N})$,

$$\|\partial_{(\cdot)}H^{(\cdot)}f\|_{L_{1,\infty}(\mathcal{N};R^{rc}_d)} \lesssim \|f\|_{1}$$

(*ii*) for $p = \infty$ and $f \in L_{\infty}(\mathcal{N})$,

$$\|\partial_{(\cdot)}H^{(\cdot)}f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_d(\mathcal{N};R^r_d)} + \|\partial_{(\cdot)}H^{(\cdot)}f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_d(\mathcal{N};R^c_d)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\infty};$$

(iii) for $1 and <math>f \in L_p(\mathcal{N})$,

$$\|\partial_{(\cdot)}H^{(\cdot)}f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{N};R^{rc}_d)} \approx \|f\|_p.$$

In order to use the noncommutative singular integral theory (see e.g. [32, 35, 18]), we need to verify the following two lemmas.

Lemma 4.3. For $f \in L_2(\mathcal{N})$, we have

$$\|\partial_{(\cdot)}H^{(\cdot)}f\|_{L_2(\mathcal{N};R^{rc}_d)} \approx \|f\|_2.$$

Proof. The examination of the L_2 boundedness is easy. Indeed, by the definition of Hermite semigroup, it follows that

$$\|\partial_{(\cdot)}H^{(\cdot)}f\|_{L_2(\mathcal{N};R^{rc}_d)}^2 = \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^\infty |\partial_t H^t f(x)|^2 t dt dx.$$

Observe that for t > 0,

$$\partial_t H^t f(x) = -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-Nt} N P_n f(x),$$

where N = 2n + d. Thus Fubini's theorem and Parseval's identity imply

$$\|\partial_{(\cdot)}H^{(\cdot)}f\|_{L_2(\mathcal{N};R_d^{rc})}^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_0^\infty e^{-2Nt} N^2 t dt \|P_n f\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{4} \|f\|_2^2.$$

This proves the L_2 equivalence.

The following estimates of the associated kernel $\partial_t k_{t,m}(x,y)$ can be found in [47] without verification. For the sake of completeness, we will give a sketch of the proof in the Appendix.

Lemma 4.4. There exist two positive constants C and a independent of x, y and t such that

(i)
$$|\partial_t k_t(x,y)| \le Ct^{-\frac{a}{2}-1}e^{-\frac{a}{t}|x-y|^2};$$

- (ii) $|\partial_{y_j}\partial_t k_t(x,y)| \le Ct^{-\frac{d}{2}-\frac{3}{2}}e^{-\frac{a}{t}|x-y|^2};$
- (iii) $|\partial_{x_j}\partial_t k_t(x,y)| \le Ct^{-\frac{d}{2}-\frac{3}{2}}e^{-\frac{a}{t}|x-y|^2}.$

Consequently, we have

(iv)
$$\|\partial_{(\cdot)}k_{(\cdot)}(x,y)\|_{R_d} \le \frac{C}{|x-y|^d};$$

(v)
$$\|\partial_{y_j}\partial_{(\cdot)}k_{(\cdot)}(x,y)\|_{R_d} \le \frac{C}{|x-y|^{d+1}}$$

(vi)
$$\|\partial_{x_j}\partial_{(\cdot)}k_{(\cdot)}(x,y)\|_{R_d} \le \frac{C}{|x-y|^{d+1}}$$

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 4.2.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. By Lemma 4.3, Lemma 4.4 and noting R_d is separable, conclusion (i) and (ii) are the consequence of standard Hilbert-valued CZ theory (see [32, 2]).

In the following, we prove (iii). Since R_d is separable, we may find an orthonormal basis, denote by $(u_m)_{m\geq 1}$. Let $\partial_t k_{t,m}(x,y) = \langle u_m, \partial_t k_t(x,y) \rangle$, where \langle , \rangle is the inner product induced by R_d . Denote by $\partial_t H_m^t$ the CZ operator associated with the kernel $\partial_t k_{t,m}(x,y)$. Then conclusion (i) implies for $f \in L_1(\mathcal{N})$,

$$\inf_{\partial_{(\cdot)}H_m^{(\cdot)}f=g_m+h_m} \left\{ \|(g_m)\|_{L_{1,\infty}(\mathcal{N};R_d^c)} + \|(h_m)\|_{L_{1,\infty}(\mathcal{N};R_d^r)} \right\} \lesssim \|f\|_1.$$

If we set

$$Tf(x) = \sum_{m} \varepsilon_{m} \partial_{(\cdot)} H_{m}^{(\cdot)} f(x),$$

where (ε_m) is the Rademacher sequence on a probability space (Ω, P) , then by noncommutative Khintchine inequality in weak L_1 -space [3, Corollary 3.2], we obtain

$$||Tf||_{L_{1,\infty}(L_{\infty}(\Omega)\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{N})} \lesssim ||f||_{1}.$$

Now using Lemma 4.3 and real interpolation [39], we conclude that T is bounded from $L_p(\mathcal{N})$ to $L_p(L_{\infty}(\Omega) \otimes \mathcal{N})$ for $1 . Hence, <math>\partial_{(\cdot)} H^{(\cdot)}$ is bounded from $L_p(\mathcal{N})$ to $L_p(\mathcal{N}; R_d^{rc})$ for $1 . according to the noncommutative Khintchine inequality in <math>L_p$ -spaces [30].

On the other hand, if we set $T_c f = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \partial_{(\cdot)} H_m^{(\cdot)} f \otimes e_{m1}$ and $T_r f = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \partial_{(\cdot)} H_m^{(\cdot)} f \otimes e_{1m}$, then by Lemma 4.3 and conclusion (ii), T_c and T_r are bounded from $L_p(\mathcal{N})$ to $L_p(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\ell_2))$ thanks to Masut's interpolation [34] for $2 \leq p < \infty$. Therefore, $\partial_{(\cdot)} H^{(\cdot)}$ is bounded from $L_p(\mathcal{N})$ to $L_p(\mathcal{N}; R_d^{rc})$ for all 1 .

Finally, the inverse inequality can be obtained by a routine approach. Indeed, by applying the polarization identity, Lemma 4.3 and Hölder's inequality, we arrive at

$$\|f\|_p = \sup_{\|g\|_{p'} \le 1} \langle \partial_{(\cdot)} H^{(\cdot)} f, \partial_{(\cdot)} H^{(\cdot)} g \rangle \lesssim \|\partial_{(\cdot)} H^{(\cdot)} f\|_{L_p(\mathcal{N}; R_d^{rc})},$$

which finishes the proof.

Before proving Theorem 4.1, we have to introduce some more auxiliary functions. The g_k functions are defined by $g_1^c = g^c$ and for k > 1,

$$g_{k}^{c}(f)(x) = \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{2k-1} |\partial_{t}^{k} H^{t} f(x)|^{2} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By applying (2.1) and the argument in presented in [47, Page 7-8], we can conclude that for any $k \ge 1$,

(4.4)
$$g_k^c(f)(x) \le C_k g_{k+1}^c(f)(x).$$

Another family of functions we need are the $g_{*,k}^c$ $(k \ge 1)$ functions defined by

$$g_{*,k}^{c}(f)(x) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\frac{2-d}{2}} (1+t^{-1}|x-y|^{2})^{-k} |\partial_{t}H^{t}f(y)|^{2} dt dy\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Lemma 4.5. For $f \in L_p(\mathcal{N})$ with $2 and <math>k > \frac{d}{2}$, we have

 $||g_{*,k}^c(f)||_p \lesssim ||f||_p.$

Proof. Note that $\|g_{*,k}^c(f)\|_p^2 = \|(g_{*,k}^c(f))^2\|_{\frac{p}{2}}$. Denote r the conjugate number of $\frac{p}{2}$, and choose a positive function $h \in L_r(\mathcal{N})$ with norm one such that

$$\begin{split} \|g_{*,k}^{c}(f)\|_{p}^{2} &= \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} g_{*}^{c}(f)(x)^{2}h(x)dx \\ &= \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\frac{2-d}{2}}(1+t^{-1}|x-y|^{2})^{-k}|\partial_{t}H^{t}f(y)|^{2}h(x)dtdydx \\ &= \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{0}^{\infty} t|\partial_{t}H^{t}f(y)|^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} t^{-\frac{d}{2}}(1+t^{-1}|x-y|^{2})^{-k}h(x)dxdtdy. \end{split}$$

If we set $\psi(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^{-k}$, then clearly ψ is positive and satisfies the conditions stated in Lemma 3.4 when $k > \frac{d}{2}$. Hence, Lemma 3.4 (ii) gives $(\psi_{t^{1/2}} * h)_{t>0}$ is of strong type (r, r), which implies, by Remark 2.1, that there exists a positive operator $a \in L_r(\mathcal{N})$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} t^{-\frac{d}{2}} (1+t^{-1}|x-y|^2)^{-k} h(x) dx \le a(y), \ \forall \ x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ \forall \ t > 0 \ \text{ and } \ \|a\|_r \lesssim \|h\|_r = 1.$$

Finally, by above relation, Hölder's inequality and Theorem 4.2, we get

$$\|g_{*,k}^c(f)\|_p^2 \lesssim \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^\infty t |\partial_t H^t f(y)|^2 dt a(y) dy \lesssim \|f\|_p^2,$$

which finishes the proof.

4.2. **Proof of Theorem 4.1.** In this subsection, we prove Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let $F(x) = T_{\mu}f(x)$. We claim it is enough to show for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all k > d/2

(4.5)
$$g_{k+1}^c(F)(x) \le C_{k,\mu}g_{*,k}^c(f)(x).$$

Indeed, once we obtain (4.5), Theorem 4.1 for p > 2 follows from Theorem 4.2 (iii) and Lemma 4.5 in view of (4.4): $g^c(F)(x) \leq C_k g_{k+1}^c(F)(x)$; and Theorem 4.1 for 1can be obtained by duality. So we get the desired estimate.

To prove (4.5), we start by defining the following function

$$M(t, x, y) = \sum_{\nu} e^{-(2|\nu|+d)t} \mu(2|\nu|+d) \Phi_{\nu}(x) \Phi_{\nu}(y).$$

If we set $u(x,t) = H^t f(x)$ and $U(x,t) = H^t F(x)$, then it is easy to verify that

(4.6)
$$U(x,t_1+t_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} M(t_1,x,y)u(y,t_2)dy.$$

Differentiating (4.6) k times with respect to t_1 and one time with respect to t_2 and then setting $t_1 = t_2 = t/2$, we get

(4.7)
$$\partial_t^{k+1}U(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_t^k M(t/2,x,y) \partial_t u(y,t/2) dy$$

According to (4.7), we write $\partial_t^{k+1}U(x,t) = A_t(x) + B_t(x)$ with

$$A_t(x) = \int_{|x-y| \le t^{1/2}} \partial_t^k M(t/2, x, y) \partial_t u(y, t/2) dy,$$

and

$$B_t(x) = \int_{|x-y| > t^{1/2}} \partial_t^k M(t/2, x, y) \partial_t u(y, t/2) dy.$$

Now we use the operator convexity inequality of square function $x \mapsto |x|^2$ to obtain

$$|\partial_t^{k+1}U(x,t)|^2 \le 2(|A_t(x)|^2 + |B_t(x)|^2).$$

The following estimate has already been shown in [43] that

$$|A_t(x)|^2 + |B_t(x)|^2 \lesssim t^{-d/2 - 2k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 + t^{-1}|x - y|^2)^{-k} |\partial_t u(y, t)|^2 dy.$$

Therefore, we finally deduce that

$$\int_0^\infty |\partial_t^{k+1} U(x,t)|^2 t^{2k+1} dt \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^\infty t^{-d/2+1} (1+t^{-1}|x-y|^2)^{-k} |\partial_t u(y,t)|^2 dt dy,$$

which establishes (4.5) via the operator monotonicity of $x \to x^t$, $x \ge 0$ for 0 < t < 1. \Box

5. Oscillation operator related to Hermite expansion

In this section, we are going to investigate another multiplier theorem, where the function μ is defined as

(5.1)
$$\mu(n) = (2n+d)^{-\alpha} e^{(2n+d)it}.$$

For $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d) \otimes \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{M}}$, we define T_t^{α} as

(5.2)
$$T_t^{\alpha} f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+d)^{-\alpha} e^{(2n+d)it} P_n f(x).$$

Observe that these operators behave like the operator given by convolution associated with the oscillating kernels $|x|^{-\alpha}e^{i\langle x,t\rangle}$. A simple calculation shows that this function μ defined as (5.1) does not satisfy the conditions in Theorem 4.1 unless $\alpha > d$.

The following theorem is our main result in this section.

Theorem 5.1. Let $1 \le p < \infty$.

(i) For p = 1, $\alpha = d/2$ and $t \in [t_0, \pi/4]$, where t_0 is an arbitrary positive constant, T_t^{α} is bounded from $H_1(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{M})$ to $L_1(\mathcal{N})$. That is,

 $||T_t^{\alpha}f||_1 \le C_{d,t_0} ||f||_{\mathrm{H}_1(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathcal{M})}.$

(ii) For $1 , <math>\alpha = d|1/p - 1/2|$ and $t \in [t_0, \pi/4]$, the operator T_t^{α} are bounded on $L_p(\mathcal{N})$. That is for $f \in L_p(\mathcal{N})$,

$$||T_t^{\alpha}f||_p \le C_{p,d,t_0} ||f||_p.$$

Remark 5.2. (i) In the classical case, Theorem 5.1 extends the Hardy-Littlewood theorem for the Fourier transform (see for instance [17, 40]); (ii) Theorem 5.1 is some sharp estimate of Schrödinger group for Hermite operator, which is a case study of a forthcoming paper by Fan, Hong and Wang [13].

In the rest of this section, we prove Theorem 5.1 when d = 1, since there is absolutely no change of the proof for the general case. For convenience, we set the operator $T_t^{1/2} = L_t$. In this case, the kernel $K_t(x, y)$ associated to L_t is given by

$$K_t(x,y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n+1)^{-1/2} e^{(2n+1)it} \varphi_n(x) \varphi_n(y).$$

If we set

$$K_t^*(x, y, \lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{(2n+1)(-\lambda+it)} \varphi_n(x) \varphi_n(y),$$

then we can express the kernel $K_t(x, y)$ as

$$K_t(x,y) = c \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-1/2} K_t^*(x,y,\lambda) d\lambda,$$

where $c = 1/\Gamma(1/2)$. By [43],

$$K_t^*(x, y, \lambda) = c(\sinh 2(\lambda - it))^{-1/2} e^{-A_t(x, y, \lambda)} e^{iB_t(x, y, \lambda)}$$

where $A_t(x, y, \lambda)$ and $B_t(x, y, \lambda)$ are given by

 $2A_t(x, y, \lambda) = (\sinh^2 2\lambda + \sin^2 2t)^{-1} (\sinh 2\lambda) \{\cos 2t(x-y)^2 + \sin^2 2t - \sin^2$

$$+(\cosh 2\lambda - \cos 2t)(x^{2} + y^{2})\}.$$

$$2B_{t}(x, y, \lambda) = -(\sinh^{2} 2\lambda + \sin^{2} 2t)^{-1}(\sinh 2t)\{\cosh 2\lambda(x - y)^{2} - (\cosh 2\lambda - \cos 2t)(x^{2} + y^{2})\}.$$

It is also shown in [43] that the following integral

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \lambda^{-1/2} K_t^*(x, y, \lambda) d\lambda$$

defines a nice L_1 kernel and hence the operator corresponding to this kernel is bounded on $L_p(\mathcal{N})$ for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. So in the following, we may consider the kernel given by

(5.3)
$$K_t(x,y) = \int_0^1 \lambda^{-1/2} K_t^*(x,y,\lambda) d\lambda.$$

To prove Theorem 5.1, we need following certain estimates of the kernel. For convenience, we write $K_t(x, y, \lambda) = {\sinh 2(\lambda - it)}^{-1/2} e^{-A_t(x, y, \lambda)}$. The proof of the following lemma can be found in the Appendix.

Lemma 5.3. Let $0 < t < \pi/4$. Then following estimates hold:

- (i) $\begin{vmatrix} \int_0^1 \lambda^{-1/2} K_t(x,y,\lambda) d\lambda \end{vmatrix} \leq C |x-y|^{-1}; \\ (ii) \quad \left| \int_0^1 \lambda^{-1/2} \partial_y K_t(x,y,\lambda) e^{iB_t(x,y,\lambda)} d\lambda \right| \leq C |x-y|^{-2}; \\ (iii) \quad \left| \int_0^1 \lambda^{-1/2} K_t(x,y,\lambda) \partial_y \{ e^{iB_t(x,y,\lambda)} \} d\lambda \right| \leq C (\sin 2t)^{-3/2}; \\ (iv) \quad \left| \int_0^1 \lambda^{-1/2} \lambda K_t(x,y,\lambda) \partial_\lambda \{ e^{iB_t(x,y,\lambda)} \} d\lambda \right| \leq C |x-y|^{-3}.$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 5.1. The idea comes from [43, Proposition 4.1].

Proof of Theorem 5.1. (i) We first prove the (H_1, L_1) estimate of L_t . The proof is based on the atomic decomposition of $\mathrm{H}^{c}_{1}(\mathbb{R};\mathcal{M})$ introduced in Section 2. Moreover, it suffices to show that for any atom a

$$\left\|L_t a\right\|_1 \lesssim 1.$$

In what follows, we always assume that the atom a is supported in Q_{δ} , where Q_{δ} denote the interval of length 2δ with center c_Q . Let $Q_{2\delta}$ be the interval with center c_Q with length 4 δ . Denote by Q_{δ}^c the complement of Q_{δ} . Set $F(x) = T_t a(x)$. Decompose F as a sum of three functions $F = F_1 + F_2 + F_3$, where $F_1 = F \chi_{Q_{2\delta}}$, $F_2 = F \chi_{Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta^{-1}}}$ and $F_3 = F \chi_{Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{s-1}^c}$. Then by Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$\left\|L_t a\right\|_1 \le \|F_1\|_1 + \|F_2\|_1 + \|F_3\|_1.$$

We first estimate F_1 . It suffices to show that L_t bounded on $L_1(\mathcal{M}; L_2^c(\mathbb{R}))$. Indeed, by this conclusion and (2.1), we deduce that

$$\begin{split} \left\| F_1 \right\|_1 &= \int_{Q_{2\delta}} \tau(|F(x)|) \, dx \le |Q_{2\delta}|^{1/2} \, \tau \Big[\Big(\int_{Q_{2\delta}} |L_t a(x)|^2 \, dx \Big)^{1/2} \Big] \\ &\lesssim \quad |Q_{2\delta}|^{1/2} \, \tau \Big[\Big(\int_{Q_{\delta}} |a(x)|^2 \, dx \Big)^{1/2} \Big] \lesssim 1, \end{split}$$

where the last inequality follows the properties of atoms stated in subsection 2.5. This gives the desired estimate.

Now we examine the $L_1(\mathcal{M}; L_2^c(\mathbb{R}))$ -boundedness of L_t . Let $f \in L_1(\mathcal{M}; L_2^c(\mathbb{R}))$. Then by anti-linear duality,

$$\|L_t f\|_{L_1(\mathcal{M}; L_2^c(\mathbb{R}))} \le \sup_{\|h\|_{L_\infty(L_2^c)} \le 1} \|L_t^* h\|_{L_\infty(\mathcal{M}; L_2^c(\mathbb{R}))} \|f\|_{L_1(\mathcal{M}; L_2^c(\mathbb{R}))}$$

Note that L_t is bounded on $L_2(\mathcal{N})$, so is L_t^* . This gives

$$\begin{split} \|L_{t}^{*}h\|_{L_{\infty}(\mathcal{M};L_{2}^{c}(\mathbb{R}))} &= \left\| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |L_{t}^{*}h(x)|^{2}dx \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\mathcal{M}} \\ &= \sup_{\|u\|_{L_{2}(\mathcal{M})\leq 1}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle |L_{t}^{*}h(x)|^{2}u, u \rangle_{L_{2}(\mathcal{M})} dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \sup_{\|u\|_{L_{2}(\mathcal{M})\leq 1}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|L_{t}^{*}(hu)(x)\|_{L_{2}(\mathcal{M})}^{2} dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{\|u\|_{L_{2}(\mathcal{M})\leq 1}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|h(x)u\|_{L_{2}(\mathcal{M})}^{2} dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left\| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |h(x)|^{2} dx \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\mathcal{M}}, \end{split}$$

which finishes the argument.

The second term F_2 can be verified by using the argument presented in [43, Proposition 4.1], Lemma 5.3 and (2.1). So we omit the proof.

We then turn to the last term F_3 . Decompose the kernel $K_t(x, y)$ as follows

$$K_t(x, y) = E_t(x, y) + G_t(x, y) + J_t(x, y),$$

where these three terms above are defined by

$$E_{t}(x,y) = \int_{0}^{1} \lambda^{-1/2} \{K_{t}(x,y,\lambda) - K_{t}(x,c_{Q},\lambda)\} e^{iB_{t}(x,y,\lambda)} d\lambda,$$

$$G_{t}(x,y) = \int_{0}^{1} \lambda^{-1/2} K_{t}(x,c_{Q},\lambda) \{e^{iB_{t}(x,y,\lambda)} - e^{iB_{t}(x,y,0)}\} d\lambda,$$

$$J_{t}(x,y) = \int_{0}^{1} \lambda^{-1/2} K_{t}(x,c_{Q},\lambda) e^{iB_{t}(x,y,0)} d\lambda.$$

Denote by the operators E_t associated to $E_t(x, y)$, G_t associated to the kernel $G_t(x, y)$ and J_t associated to the kernel $J_t(x, y)$. Then Minkowski's inequality implies that

$$||F_3||_1 \le ||E_t a \chi_{Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta^{-1}}^c}||_1 + ||G_t a \chi_{Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta^{-1}}^c}||_1 + ||J_t a \chi_{Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta^{-1}}^c}||_1.$$

Hence, it is sufficient to show

(5.4)
$$\max\left\{\|E_t a \chi_{Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta^{-1}}^c}\|_1, \|G_t a \chi_{Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta^{-1}}^c}\|_1, \|J_t a \chi_{Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta^{-1}}^c}\|_1\right\} \lesssim 1.$$

In the following, we just estimate the term that involves J_t , since the other two terms can be done by using the argument in [43, Proposition 4.1].

By Fubini's theorem, we rewrite $J_t a(x)$ as

$$J_t a(x) = F_t a(x) \int_0^1 \lambda^{-1/2} K_t(x, c_Q, \lambda) d\lambda \triangleq F_t a(x) g_t(x),$$

where the operator F_t is defined by

$$F_t a(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{iB_t(x,y,0)} a(y) dy.$$

By Lemma 5.3 (i), we know that $|g_t(x)| \leq |x - c_Q|^{-1}$. On the other hand, by the definition of $B_t(x, y, 0)$, we obtain

$$F_t a(x) = p_t(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ic_t x y} a(y) p_t(y) dy,$$

where $p_t(x) = e^{-i\frac{c_t x^2 \cos 2t}{2}}$ and $c_t = (\sin^2 2t)^{-1}(\sinh 2t)$. Since $t_0 < t < \pi/4$, we have $|c_t| \approx 1$ with the constants depend on t_0 . Therefore, noting $|p_t(\cdot)| = 1$ and using Plancherel's theorem, we get F_t is bounded on $L_2(\mathcal{N})$. As a consequence, F_t is bounded on $L_1(\mathcal{M}; L_2^c(\mathbb{R}))$ by the same argument as in estimating F_1 . Finally, using (2.1), we see that

$$\begin{split} \left\| J_t a \chi_{Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta^{-1}}^c} \right\|_1 &\leq \left(\int_{|x - c_Q| \ge \delta^{-1}} |g_t(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \tau \Big[\left(\int_{Q_{\delta}} |F_t a(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \Big] \\ &\lesssim \left(\int_{|x - c_Q| \ge \delta^{-1}} |x - c_Q|^{-2} dx \right)^{1/2} \tau \Big[\left(\int_{Q_{\delta}} |a(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \Big] \lesssim 1, \end{split}$$

where the first inequality follows the fact that if $x \in Q_{2\delta}^c \cap Q_{\delta^{-1}}^c$, then $|x - c_Q| \ge \delta^{-1}$.

Combining the estimates obtained so far, we finish the proof of (5.4), which actually gives the desired (H_1, L_1) boundedness of L_t .

(ii) The strong type (p, p) $(1 estimate of <math>T_t^{\alpha}$ follows from Fefferman-Stein's interpolation theorem [14] by considering the sequence of operator $S_z = T_t^{(1-z)/2}$; while the strong type (p, p) (2 can be obtained by duality. Hence, we finish the proof.

Appendix. Proof of Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 5.3

In this appendix, we present the proof of Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 5.3.

Proof of Lemma 4.4. (i) Since the kernel $k_t(x, y)$ is the product of one dimensional kernels $k_t(x_j, y_j)$, it suffices to consider d = 1. In this case,

$$k_t(x,y) = (\sinh 2t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\varphi(t,x,y)},$$

where $\varphi(t, x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(x - y)^2 \coth 2t + xy \tanh t$. It is not difficult to verify that $\frac{1}{4}(x - y)^2 \coth 2t + xy \tanh t$ is nonnegative. Hence we get

(5.5)
$$e^{-\varphi(t,x,y)} < e^{-\frac{1}{4}(x-y)^2 \coth 2t}.$$

A simple calculation shows that

$$\partial_t k_t(x,y) = - [(\sinh 2t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \cosh 2t e^{-\varphi(t,x,y)} + (\sinh 2t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\varphi(t,x,y)} \partial_t \varphi(t,x,y)]$$

=: - [A + B],

where

$$\partial_t \varphi(t, x, y) = -\frac{(x-y)^2}{(\sinh 2t)^2} + \frac{xy}{(\cosh t)^2}.$$

Consider 0 < t < 1 firstly. Note that $\sinh t$ behave like t and $\cosh 2t = O(1)$. Then combining with (5.5), we get

(5.6)
$$|A| \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{8} \frac{(x-y)^2}{t}}.$$

To estimate B. We decompose B as

$$B = -\left[(\sinh 2t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(x-y)^2}{(\sinh 2t)^2} e^{-\varphi(t,x,y)} - (\sinh 2t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{xy}{(\cosh t)^2} e^{-\varphi(t,x,y)} \right]$$

=: - [B₁ - B₂].

For term B_1 , we have

(5.7)
$$|B_1| \lesssim t^{-\frac{5}{2}} (x-y)^2 e^{-\frac{1}{8} \frac{(x-y)^2}{t}} \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{16} \frac{(x-y)^2}{t}}.$$

Now we deal with B_2 . Note that when $xy \ge 0$, it is easy to see $xye^{-xy\tanh t}$ is bounded by a constant times t^{-1} and hence

(5.8)
$$|B_2| \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} t^{-1} e^{-\frac{1}{16} \frac{(x-y)^2}{t}} = t^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{16} \frac{(x-y)^2}{t}}$$

On the other hand, when xy < 0, then $|xy| = -xy \le (x - y)^2$ and whence

(5.9)
$$|B_2| \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} (x-y)^2 e^{-\frac{1}{8} \frac{(x-y)^2}{t}} \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{16} \frac{(x-y)^2}{t}}$$

Therefore, by (5.7), (5.8) and (5.9), we find

(5.10)
$$|B| \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{16} \frac{(x-y)^2}{t}}$$

Finally, (5.6) and (5.10) give

$$|\partial_t k_t(x,y)| \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{16} \frac{(x-y)^2}{t}}.$$

Thus we get the desired estimate when 0 < t < 1. The case of $t \ge 1$ is quite similar as previous, just noting that for $t \ge 1$ both $\sinh 2t$ and $\cosh 2t$ behave like e^{2t} , while this condition is stronger than before. The details are omitted.

(ii) Let us verify the smoothness condition. Note that Φ can be rewritten as

$$\Phi_t(x,y) = -\frac{(x-y)^2}{2\sinh 2t} - \frac{(x^2+y^2)\tanh t}{2}$$

By symmetry, we just need to estimate $\partial_y \partial_t k_t(x, y)$. However, $\partial_y \partial_t k_t(x, y)$ has many terms we indicate how to estimate one typical term:

$$J = (x - y)^{3} (\sinh 2t)^{-\frac{7}{2}} \cosh 2t e^{\Phi(t)}.$$

For 0 < t < 1, using the similar argument as in (i), we deduce

$$|J| \lesssim t^{-\frac{7}{2}} |x - y|^3 e^{-\frac{|x - y|^2}{8t}} \lesssim t^{-2} e^{-\frac{|x - y|^2}{16t}}.$$

The other terms can be proved in a similar fashion. The case $t \ge 1$ can also be obtained without much difficulty. The details are omitted. This completes the proof. \Box

Proof of Lemma 5.3. We only verify conclusion (i). The other three estimates we refer to [43, Lemma 4.1]. Consider $0 < t \le \pi/8$ firstly. We claim that

(5.11)
$$|K_t(x, y, \lambda)| \lesssim \lambda^{-1/2} e^{-c\lambda^{-1}(x-y)^2} \le \lambda^{-1} e^{-c\lambda^{-1}(x-y)^2}.$$

Indeed, a simple calculation shows

$$|\sinh 2(\lambda - it)|^2 = c(\sinh^2 2\lambda + \sin^2 2t).$$

Since $0 < t \le \pi/8$ and $0 \le \lambda \le 1$, $\cos 2t \ge 2^{-1/2}$, $\sinh 2\lambda$ behaves like λ and $\cosh 2\lambda = O(1)$. Assume further $t \le \lambda$. Then $(\sinh^2 2\lambda + \sin^2 2t)$ behaves like λ^2 . Combining with the observation $\cosh 2\lambda - \cos 2t \ge 0$, we deduce that

$$|K_t(x, y, \lambda)| \lesssim \lambda^{-1/2} e^{-c\lambda^{-1}(x-y)^2} \le \lambda^{-1} e^{-c\lambda^{-1}(x-y)^2}.$$

Integrating (5.11) against $\lambda^{-1/2}$, we have

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{0}^{1} \lambda^{-1/2} K_{t}(x, y, \lambda) d\lambda \right| &\lesssim \int_{0}^{1} \lambda^{-3/2} e^{-c\lambda^{-1}(x-y)^{2}} d\lambda \\ &= \int_{1}^{\infty} \lambda^{-1/2} e^{-c\lambda(x-y)^{2}} d\lambda \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-1/2} e^{-c\lambda(x-y)^{2}} d\lambda \lesssim |x-y|^{-1}. \end{split}$$

Under the assumption $t > \lambda$, $(\sinh^2 2\lambda + \sin^2 2t)$ behaves like t^2 . Hence,

$$|K_t(x, y, \lambda)| \lesssim t^{-1} e^{-c\lambda t^{-2}(x-y)^2}$$

Then integrating this against $\lambda^{-1/2}$, we get

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_0^1 \lambda^{-1/2} K_t(x,y,\lambda) d\lambda \right| &\lesssim t^{-1} \int_0^1 \lambda^{-1/2} e^{-c\lambda t^{-2} (x-y)^2} d\lambda \\ &\leq t^{-1} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-1/2} e^{-c\lambda t^{-2} (x-y)^2} d\lambda \lesssim |x-y|^{-1}. \end{split}$$

This proves (i) when $0 < t \le \pi/8$.

We now turn to estimate (i) in the case of $\pi/8 < t \le \pi/4$. Observe that if t is in the neighbourhood of $\pi/4$ we can use

$$(\sinh^2 2\lambda + \sin^2 2t)^{-1}(\sinh 2\lambda)(\cosh 2\lambda - \cos 2t)(x^2 + y^2)$$

in place of

$$(\sinh^2 2\lambda + \sin^2 2t)^{-1}(\sinh 2\lambda)\cos 2t(x-y)^2$$

since $(\cosh 2\lambda - \cos 2t) \ge 1 - \cos 2t \ge C$. The following argument is the same as the case of $0 < t \le \pi/8$. The completes the proof.

Acknowledgements

I am very grateful to my supervisor Guixiang Hong for many valuable suggestions on this topic, his guidance throughout the making of this paper, Dr. Liang Wang for the useful discussion. I would like to thank the referees for their very careful reading and valuable comments.

References

- Askey R, Wainger S. Mean convergence of expansions in Laguerre and Hermite series. Amer J Math, 1965, 87: 675-708
- [2] Cadilhac L. Weak boundedness of Calderón-Zygmund operators on noncommutative L₁-spaces. J Funct Anal, 2018, 274: 769-796
- [3] Cadilhac L. Noncommutative Khintchine inequalities in interpolation spaces of L_p -spaces. Adv Math, 2019, 352: 265-296
- [4] Cadilhac L, Conde-Alonso J.M, Parcet J, Spectral multipliers in group algebras and noncommutative Calderón-Zygmund theory. J Math Pures Appl, 2022, 163: 450-472
- [5] Caspers M, Potapov D, Sukochev F, Zanin D. Weak type commutator and Lipschitz estimates resolution of the Nazarov-Peller conjecture. Amer J Math, 2019, 141: 593-610
- [6] Chen P, Duong X, He D, Lee S, Yan L. Almost everywhere convergence of Bochner-Riesz means for the Hermite operators. Adv Math, 2021, 392: 42pp
- [7] Chen P, Lee S, Sikora A, Yan L. Bounds on the maximal Bochner-Riesz means for elliptic operators. Trans Amer Math Soc, 2020,373: 3793-3828
- [8] Chen Z, Xu Q, Yin Z. Harmonic analysis on quantum tori. Comm Math Phys, 2013, 322: 755-805
- [9] Cuculescu I. Martingales on von Neumann algebras. J Multivariate Anal, 1971, 1: 17-27
- [10] Escauriaza L. Carleman inequalities and the heat operator. Duke Math J, 2000, 104: 113-127
- [11] Escauriaza L, Vega L. Carleman inequalities and the heat operator. II. Indiana Univ math J, 2001,50: 1149-1169
- [12] Fack T, Kosaki H. Generalized s-numbers of $\tau\text{-measurable}$ operators. Pacific J Math, 1986, 123: 269-300
- [13] Fan Z, Hong G, Wang L. Sharp endpoint L_p estimate of Schrödinger groups under noncommutative algebraic framework. arXiv:2302.00435, 2023
- [14] Fefferman C, Stein E M. H^p spaces of serveral variables. Acta Math, 1972, 129: 137-193
- [15] Grafakos L. Classical Fourier analysis, 3rd ed. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 249. Springer, 2014
- [16] Gonzalez-Pérez A, Junge M, Parcet J. Singular integrals in quantum Euclidean spaces. Mem Amer Math Soc, 2021, 272: 90pp
- [17] Hardy G, Littlewood J. Some new properties of Fourier constants. Math Ann, 1927, 97: 159-209
- [18] Hong G, Lai X, Xu B. Maximal singular integral operators acting on noncommutative L_p -spaces. Math Ann, 2023, 386: 375-414
- [19] Hong G, Xu B. A noncommutative weak (1,1) type estimate for a square function from ergodic theory. J Funct Anal, 2021, 280: 29pp
- [20] Hong G, Zhi Y. Wavelet approach to operator-valued Hardy spaces. Rev Mat Iberoam, 2013, 29: 293-313
- [21] Lai X. Sharp estimates of noncommutative Bochner-Riesz means on two-dimensional quantum tori. Comm Math Phys, 2022, 390: 193-230
- [22] Junge M. Doob's inequality for non-commutative martingales. J Reine Angew Math, 2002, 549: 149-190
- [23] Junge M, Le Merdy C, Xu Q. H^{∞} -functional calculus and square functions on noncommutative L_{p} -spaces. Astérisque, 2006, 305: 138pp
- [24] Junge M, Mei T. Noncommutative Riesz transforms-a probabilistic approach. Amer J Math, 2010, 132: 611-681
- [25] Junge M, Mei T. BMO spaces associated with semigroups of operators. Math Ann, 2012, 352: 691-743
- [26] Junge M, Mei T, Parcet J. Smooth Fourier multipliers on group von Neumann algebras. Geom Funct Anal, 2014, 24: 1913-1980
- [27] Junge M, Mei T, Parcet J. Noncommutative Riesz transforms-dimension free bounds and Fourier multipliers. J Eur Math Soc, 2018, 20: 529-595
- [28] Junge M, Xu Q. Noncommutative maximal ergodic theorems. J Amer Math Soc, 2007, 20: 385-439
- [29] Lance E C. Ergodic theorems for convex sets and operator algebras. Invent Math, 1976, 37: 201-214
- [30] Lust-Piquard F, Pisier G. Noncommutative Khintchine and Paley inequalities. Ark Mat, 1991, 29: 241-260

- [31] Mei T. Operator valued Hardy spaces. Mem Amer Math Soc, 2007, 188: 64pp
- [32] Mei T, Parcet J. Pseudo-localization of singular integrals and noncommutative Littlewood-Paley inequalities. Int Math Res Not, 2009, 8: 1433-1487
- [33] Mei T, Ricard E, Xu Q. A Mikhlin multiplier theory for free groups and amalgamated free products of von Neumann algebras. Adv. Math. 2022, 403: 32pp
- [34] Musat M. Interpolation between non-commutative BMO and non-commutative L_p -spaces. J Funct Anal, 2003, 202: 195-225
- [35] Parcet J. Pseudo-localization of singular integrals and noncommutative Calderón-Zygmund theory. J Funct Anal, 2009, 256: 509-593
- [36] Parcet J, Ricard E, de la Salle M. Fourier multipliers in $SL_n(R)$. Duke Math J, 2022, 171: 1235-1297
- [37] Pisier G. Non-commutative vector valued L_p -spaces and completely *p*-summing maps. Astérisque, 1998, 247: 131pp.
- [38] Pisier G, Xu Q. Non-commutative martingale inequalities. Comm Math Phys, 1997, 189: 667-698
- [39] Pisier G, Xu Q. Noncommutative L^p spaces. Handbook of geometry of Banach spaces, 2003, 1459-1517
- [40] Sadosky C. Interpolation of operators and singular integrals. Marcel Dekker, New York, Basel, 1979
- [41] Stein E M. Localization and summability of multiple Fourier series. Acta Math, 1958, 100: 93-147
- [42] Stein E M. Topics in harmonic analysis related to Littlewood-Paley theory. Princeton Univ Press, 1971
- [43] Thangavelu S. Multipliers for Hermite expansions. Rev Mat Iberoamericana, 1987, 3: 1-24
- [44] Thangavelu S. Summability of Hermite expansions.I. Tran Amer Math Soc, 1989, 314: 119-142
- [45] Thangavelu S. Summability of Hermite expansions.II. Tran Amer Math Soc, 1989, 314: 143-170
- [46] Thangavelu S. On almost everywhere and mean convergence of Hermite and Laguerre expansions. Colloq Math, 1990, 1: 21-34
- [47] Thangavelu S. Lectures on Hermite and Laguerre expansions. Pinceton University Press, 1993
- [48] Xia R, Xiong X, Xu Q. Characterizations of operator-valued Hardy spaces and applications to harmonic analysis on quantum tori. Adv Math, 2016, 291: 183-227

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON, HOUSTON TX 77204, USA; DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 08826, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Email address: bangxu@whu.edu.cn