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#### Abstract

Multidimensional indicator after Ivanov is a generalization of the notion of indicator, that is well-known for analytic functions in one complex variable, to analytic functions in several complex variables. We prove an analogue of trigonometric convexity for it. Additionally, we show that our estimate is sharp. The proof is based on the multidimensional analogue of the sectorial Fourier inversion formula.
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## 1 Introduction.

### 1.1 Main results.

We review the notion of indicator for analytic functions in one complex variable (see section (1.2) and its multidimensional analogues for functions in several complex variables (see section 1.3); in particular, we treat the notion of multidimensional indicator after Ivanov.

As explained in remark 1.3.1 our main theorem 1.1.5 establishes an analogue of trigonometric convexity for the multidimensional indicator after Ivanov. We preface formulation of theorem 1.1 .5 by the following definitions:
Definition 1.1.1. Denote by $\Delta_{\alpha_{j}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ the open sector determined by the angle $0<\alpha_{j}<\pi / 2$ as follows:

$$
\Delta_{\alpha_{j}}=\left\{z_{j} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}:\left|\arg \left(z_{j}\right)\right|<\alpha_{j}\right\}
$$

Definition 1.1.2. Recall that a function $f$ is of finite exponential type $\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right)$ in $\Delta_{\alpha_{1}} \times \cdots \times \Delta_{\alpha_{n}}$ if for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a constant $k_{\varepsilon} \geq 0$ such that
$\left|f\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)\right| \leq k_{\varepsilon} e^{\left(h_{1}+\varepsilon\right)\left|z_{1}\right|+\cdots+\left(h_{n}+\varepsilon\right)\left|z_{n}\right|}, \quad$ for all $z_{j} \in \Delta_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq n$.
Note that in this article we tacitly assume that $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n} \geq 0$.

Definition 1.1.3. Denote by $\operatorname{Exp}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ the class of functions $f$ that are analytic and are of finite exponential type in $\Delta_{1} \times \cdots \times \Delta_{n}$.
Definition 1.1.4. Following Ivanov (1), for $f \in \operatorname{Exp}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ denote by $T_{f}(\vec{\theta})$ the following:

$$
\begin{gather*}
T_{f}(\vec{\theta})=\left\{\vec{\nu} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \ln \left|f\left(\vec{r} e^{i \vec{\theta}}\right)\right| \leq \nu_{1} r_{1}+\ldots+\nu_{n} r_{n}+C_{\vec{\nu}, \vec{\theta}},\right.  \tag{1.1.2}\\
\text { for some } \left.C_{\vec{\nu}, \vec{\theta}}, \text { for all } \vec{r} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right\},
\end{gather*}
$$

here $\vec{r} e^{i \vec{\theta}}$ denotes the vector $\left(r_{1} e^{i \theta_{1}}, \ldots, r_{n} e^{i \theta_{n}}\right)$.
Theorem 1.1.5. Let a function $f \in \operatorname{Exp}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)$ and the numbers $A_{1}^{+}, A_{2}^{+}, A_{1}^{-}, A_{2}^{-}$ satisfy:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(A_{1}^{+}, A_{2}^{+}\right) \in \bar{T}_{f}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right), \quad\left(A_{1}^{-}, A_{2}^{-}\right) \in \bar{T}_{f}\left(-\alpha_{1},-\alpha_{2}\right), \\
& \left(A_{1}^{+}, A_{2}^{-}\right) \in \bar{T}_{f}\left(\alpha_{1},-\alpha_{2}\right), \quad\left(A_{1}^{-}, A_{2}^{+}\right) \in \bar{T}_{f}\left(-\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have

$$
\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right) \in \bar{T}_{f}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right),
$$

where the constants $C_{1}, C_{2}$ are determined by the following formulas:

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{1} \sin \left(2 \alpha_{1}\right)=A_{1}^{+} \sin \left(\theta_{1}+\alpha_{1}\right)+A_{1}^{-} \sin \left(\alpha_{1}-\theta_{1}\right),  \tag{1.1.3}\\
& C_{2} \sin \left(2 \alpha_{2}\right)=A_{2}^{+} \sin \left(\theta_{2}+\alpha_{2}\right)+A_{2}^{-} \sin \left(\alpha_{2}-\theta_{2}\right) \tag{1.1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 1.1.5 can be paraphrased as follows:
Remark 1.1.6. Let a function $f \in \operatorname{Exp}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)$ and the numbers $A_{1}^{+}, A_{2}^{+}, A_{1}^{-}, A_{2}^{-}$ satisfy:
$\left|f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right| \leq k_{\varepsilon} e^{\left(A_{1}^{+}+\varepsilon\right)\left|z_{1}\right|+\left(A_{2}^{+}+\varepsilon\right)\left|z_{2}\right|}, \quad$ for $\arg \left(z_{1}\right)=\alpha_{1}, \arg \left(z_{2}\right)=\alpha_{2}$,
$\left|f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right| \leq k_{\varepsilon} e^{\left(A_{1}^{+}+\varepsilon\right)\left|z_{1}\right|+\left(A_{2}^{-}+\varepsilon\right)\left|z_{2}\right|}, \quad$ for $\arg \left(z_{1}\right)=\alpha_{1}, \arg \left(z_{2}\right)=-\alpha_{2}$,
$\left|f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right| \leq k_{\varepsilon} e^{\left(A_{1}^{-}+\varepsilon\right)\left|z_{1}\right|+\left(A_{2}^{+}+\varepsilon\right)\left|z_{2}\right|}, \quad$ for $\arg \left(z_{1}\right)=-\alpha_{1}, \arg \left(z_{2}\right)=\alpha_{2}$,
$\left|f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right| \leq k_{\varepsilon} e^{\left(A_{1}^{-}+\varepsilon\right)\left|z_{1}\right|+\left(A_{2}^{-}+\varepsilon\right)\left|z_{2}\right|}, \quad$ for $\arg \left(z_{1}\right)=-\alpha_{1}, \arg \left(z_{2}\right)=-\alpha_{2}$,
where by the value of the function $f$ on the mentioned rays we mean $f$ 's non-tangential limit (see (ब), remark 2.1 for details). Then for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a constant $k_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right| \leq k_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} e^{\left(C_{1}+\varepsilon\right)\left|z_{1}\right|+\left(C_{2}+\varepsilon\right)\left|z_{2}\right|}, \quad \text { for } \arg \left(z_{1}\right)=\theta_{1}, \arg \left(z_{2}\right)=\theta_{2} \tag{1.1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constants $C_{1}, C_{2}$ are determined by the following formulas:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1} \sin \left(2 \alpha_{1}\right)=A_{1}^{+} \sin \left(\theta_{1}+\alpha_{1}\right)+A_{1}^{-} \sin \left(\alpha_{1}-\theta_{1}\right), \\
& C_{2} \sin \left(2 \alpha_{2}\right)=A_{2}^{+} \sin \left(\theta_{2}+\alpha_{2}\right)+A_{2}^{-} \sin \left(\alpha_{2}-\theta_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In section 3 we derive theorem 1.1.5 from theorem 1.1.9 that serves as a two-dimensional analogue of the Fourier inversion formula (see (2), theorem 1.2 and (3)) for functions of exponential type in a sector. We preface formulation of theorem 1.1 .9 by the following definitions:


Figure 1: The set $\Omega$

Definition 1.1.7. Let $f \in \operatorname{Exp}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)$ and let the numbers $A_{1}^{+}, A_{2}^{+}, A_{1}^{-}, A_{2}^{-}$ satisfy 1.1.5. Define the function $m$ as $f$ 's two-dimensional concatenated Laplace transform. Namely, the domain of function $m$ is the Cartesian product $\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega_{1}=\Omega_{1}^{+} \cup \Omega_{1}^{-}, \\
& \Omega_{2}=\Omega_{2}^{+} \cup \Omega_{2}^{-},
\end{aligned}
$$

and in turn

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Omega_{1}^{+}=\left\{\omega_{1}: \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{1} e^{i \alpha_{1}}\right)<-A_{1}^{+}\right\},  \tag{1.1.7}\\
& \Omega_{1}^{-}=\left\{\omega_{1}: \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{1} e^{-i \alpha_{1}}\right)<-A_{1}^{-}\right\}, \\
& \Omega_{2}^{+}=\left\{\omega_{2}: \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{2} e^{i \alpha_{2}}\right)<-A_{2}^{+}\right\}, \\
& \Omega_{2}^{-}=\left\{\omega_{2}: \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{2} e^{-i \alpha_{2}}\right)<-A_{2}^{-}\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

(see figure 1.1.7 on page (3). The function $m$ is defined on $\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}$ by


Figure 2: The curve $\Gamma_{1}$
the following four formulas:

$$
\begin{gathered}
m\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=\frac{-1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{e^{i \alpha_{2}}[0,+\infty)} \int_{e^{i \alpha_{1}}[0,+\infty)} f\left(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right) e^{\omega_{1} \zeta_{1}+\omega_{2} \zeta_{2}} d \zeta_{1} d \zeta_{2}, \\
\text { for } \omega_{1} \in \Omega_{1}^{+}, \omega_{2} \in \Omega_{2}^{+}, \\
m\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=\frac{-1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{e^{i \alpha_{2}}[0,+\infty)} \int_{e^{-i \alpha_{1}}[0,+\infty)} f\left(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right) e^{\omega_{1} \zeta_{1}+\omega_{2} \zeta_{2}} d \zeta_{1} d \zeta_{2}, \\
\text { for } \omega_{1} \in \Omega_{1}^{+}, \omega_{2} \in \Omega_{2}^{-}, \\
m\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=\frac{-1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{e^{-i \alpha_{2}}[0,+\infty)} \int_{e^{i \alpha_{1}[0,+\infty)}} f\left(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right) e^{\omega_{1} \zeta_{1}+\omega_{2} \zeta_{2}} d \zeta_{1} d \zeta_{2}, \\
\text { for } \omega_{1} \in \Omega_{1}^{-}, \omega_{2} \in \Omega_{2}^{+}, \\
m\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=\frac{-1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{e^{-i \alpha_{2}}[0,+\infty)} \int_{e^{-i \alpha_{1}[0,+\infty)}} f\left(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right) e^{\omega_{1} \zeta_{1}+\omega_{2} \zeta_{2}} d \zeta_{1} d \zeta_{2} \\
\text { for } \omega_{1} \in \Omega_{1}^{-}, \omega_{2} \in \Omega_{2}^{-}
\end{gathered}
$$

Definition 1.1.8. For a class of functions $\operatorname{Exp}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)$, denote by $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ the curves given by the following parametrizations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma_{1}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\
& \gamma_{1}(t)=p_{1}-i e^{i \alpha_{1}}|t|, \quad \text { for } t \in(-\infty, 0] \\
& \gamma_{1}(t)=p_{1}+i e^{-i \alpha_{1}}|t|, \quad \text { for } t \in(0,+\infty] \tag{1.1.9}
\end{align*}
$$

(see figure 1.1 .8 on page [4), and the real number $p_{1}$ appearing in parametrization (1.1.9), is chosen in such a way that it satisfies inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1} \cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right)<-h_{1} \tag{1.1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and correspondingly the curve $\Gamma_{2}$ is parameterized by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma_{2}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\
& \gamma_{2}(t)=p_{2}-i e^{i \alpha_{2}}|t|, \quad \text { for } t \in(-\infty, 0] \\
& \gamma_{2}(t)=p_{2}+i e^{-i \alpha_{2}}|t|, \quad \text { for } t \in(0,+\infty] \tag{1.1.11}
\end{align*}
$$

and the real number $p_{2}$ appearing in parametrization (1.1.9), is chosen in such a way that it satisfies inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{2} \cos \left(\alpha_{2}\right)<-h_{2}, \tag{1.1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.1.9. For a function $f \in \operatorname{Exp}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)$ the following Fourier inversion formula holds:
$f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\int_{\Gamma_{1}} \int_{\Gamma_{2}} m\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right) e^{-\omega_{1} z_{1}-\omega_{2} z_{2}} d \omega_{2} d \omega, \quad$ for $z_{1} \in \Delta_{1}, z_{2} \in \Delta_{2}$.
Theorem 1.1.9 is proved in section 2,
We complement theorem 1.1.5 by the following remarks:
Remark 1.1.10. Theorem 1.1.5 is stated for functions of two complex variables. The corresponding theorem for functions of $n$ complex variables also holds.
Remark 1.1.11. Theorem 1.1 .5 is sharp: that is, there exists a function $f$ for whom: the assumptions of theorem 1.1.5 are satisfied, and the inequality (1.1.6) is an equality.

Remark 1.1.11 is proved in section 4
Remark 1.1.12. Integral representation (1.1.13) in 1.1 .9 could be compared to multivariate integrals of Mellin-Barnes type (4), (5).

### 1.2 Indicators of functions in one complex variable.

We say that an entire function $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is of exponential type if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln ^{+}|f(z)|}{|z|}<+\infty \tag{1.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The notion of indicator of an entire function of exponential type was introduced by Phragmen and Lindeleph (6),(7) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{f}(\alpha):=\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \left|f\left(r e^{i \alpha}\right)\right|}{r}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{1.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The indicator $h_{f}(\alpha)$ describes the growth of function $f$ along the ray $e^{i \alpha}[0,+\infty)$. It follows from definition (1.2.2) that the indicator $h_{f}(\alpha)$ is a real-valued $2 \pi$-periodic function. It also follows that the indicator of the product of two functions does not exceed the sum of the indicators of the factors,

$$
h_{f g}(\alpha) \leq h_{f}(\alpha)+h_{g}(\alpha),
$$

and that the indicator of the sum of two functions does not exceed the larger of the two indicators,

$$
h_{f+g}(\alpha) \leq \max \left(h_{f}(\alpha), h_{g}(\alpha)\right) .
$$

One of the main properties of the indicator $h_{\theta}(\alpha)$ is its trigonometric convexity (8),(9): if $\alpha_{1}<\alpha<\alpha_{2}$ and $\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}<\pi$, then the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{f}(\alpha) \sin \left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}\right) \leq h_{f}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \sin \left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha\right)+h_{f}\left(\alpha_{2}\right) \sin \left(\alpha-\alpha_{1}\right) . \tag{1.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following property follows from the trigonometric convexity (1.2.3) (8): if the indicator is bounded on an open interval, then it is continuous. The latter claim does not hold for a closed interval.

The notion of indicator is known to be an important tool in some methods regarding to finding analytic continuation (10), (11), (12), (13). In particular, the indicator appears in problems relating to analytic continuation of power series via interpolation of coefficients. It also plays a role in problems relating to localization of singularities of power series (14).

Let

$$
f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{k}}{k!} z^{k}
$$

be the power-series representation of the entire function $f$. Consider its Borel transform defined by the Laurent series in the following way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\omega)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} \omega^{-k-1} . \tag{1.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The interrelation between the set of singularities of $g$ and the indicator of $f$ is described by Polya's theorem (15), (16):
Theorem 1.2.1 (Polya). Let $f$ be an entire function of exponential type. Denote by $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ the convex set whose support function

$$
k(\theta)=\sup _{\omega \in K} R e\left(\omega e^{-i \theta}\right)
$$

is determined by $f$ 's indicator as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(-\theta)=h_{f}(\theta) . \tag{1.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $f$ can be restored by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} g(\omega) e^{z \omega} d \omega \tag{1.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma$ is a closed contour containing the set $K$, and $g(1.2 .4)$ is the Borel transform of $f$. Additionally, $K$ is the smallest convex set such that $g$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \backslash K$.

### 1.3 Indicators of functions in several complex variables.

The works of Ronkin (16), Lelon (17), Levin (8), Ivanov (1), Kiselman (18) and others are dedicated to exploring multidimensional analogues of
the indicator function. We say that $f(\vec{z})=f\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ is an $n$-valued entire function of exponential type if $f$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$,

$$
f(\vec{z}) \in \mathcal{O}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right),
$$

and if there exist constants $k, \sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(\vec{z})| \leq k e^{\sigma_{1}\left|z_{1}\right|+\ldots+\sigma_{n}\left|z_{n}\right|}, \quad \text { for } \vec{z} \in \mathbb{C}^{n} . \tag{1.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of several complex variables, different characteristics of an analytic function's growth in directions have been introduced. For example, introduce the radial indicator of the function $f$ as follows (16), (17):

$$
L_{r}(z, f)=\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln |f(t z)|}{t}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}
$$

and correspondingly introduce regularization of the function $L(z, f)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{*}(z, f)=\limsup _{z^{\prime} \rightarrow z} L(z, f) \tag{1.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will refer to $L^{*}(z, f)$ as regularized radial indicator of the function $f$. Just as in the on-dimensional case the function $L^{*}(z, f)$ is semi-continuous from above. Note that the regularized radial indicator is a plurisubharmonic function in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Consequently, more information about its properties may be found in works related to plurisubharmonic functions in potential theory (18) and their different generalizations (19), (20).

Another charateristic of an analytic functions growth was introduced by Ivanov; namely Ivanov (1) has introduced the set $T_{f}(\vec{\theta})$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{f}(\vec{\theta})=\left\{\vec{\nu} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \ln \left|f\left(\vec{r} e^{i \vec{\theta}}\right)\right| \leq \nu_{1} r_{1}+\ldots+\nu_{n} r_{n}+C_{\vec{\nu}, \vec{\theta}},\right. \tag{1.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $C_{\vec{\nu}, \vec{\theta}}$, for all $\left.\vec{r} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right\}$,
here $\vec{r} e^{i \vec{\theta}}$ is the vector $\left(r_{1} e^{i \theta_{1}}, \ldots, r_{n} e^{i \theta_{n}}\right)$. The set $T_{f}(\vec{\theta})$ implicitly reflects the notion of an indicator of an entire function.

For example, for the closure of the set $T_{\varphi}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ defined for the function $\varphi\left(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right)=\cos \left(\zeta_{1} \zeta_{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ of exponential type, we have the following (21):

$$
\bar{T}_{\varphi}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)=\left\{\vec{\nu} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: \nu_{1} \nu_{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left|\sin \left(\frac{\theta_{1}+\theta_{2}}{2}\right)\right|^{2}, \quad \nu_{1} \geq 0, \quad \nu_{2} \geq 0\right\} .
$$

There exist many more analogues of indicator for functions in several complex variables. However, for none of them a property resembling trigonometric convexity was obtained.

Remark 1.3.1. Following our main result 1.1 .5 , we now formulate trigonometric convexity for multidimensional indicator after Ivanov.

Let a function $f \in \operatorname{Exp}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ and the numbers $A_{1}^{+}, A_{1}^{-} \ldots, A_{n}^{+}, A_{n}^{-}$ satisfy

$$
\left(A_{1}^{l_{1}}, \ldots, A_{n}^{l_{n}}\right) \in \bar{T}_{f}\left(l_{1} \alpha_{1}, \ldots, l_{n} \alpha_{n}\right),
$$

where $l_{j}= \pm, \quad j=1, \ldots, n$. Then

$$
\left(C_{1}, \ldots, C_{n}\right) \in \bar{T}_{f}\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right)
$$

where the constants $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{n}$ determine from the following formulas:

$$
C_{j} \sin \left(2 \alpha_{j}\right)=A_{j}^{+} \sin \left(\theta_{j}+\alpha_{j}\right)+A_{j}^{-} \sin \left(\alpha_{j}-\theta_{j}\right), \quad j=1, \ldots, n .
$$

## 2 Two-dimensional sectorial Fourier inversion formula.

### 2.1 Two-dimensional concatenated Laplace transform

Due to (1.1.7) for any pair of complex numbers $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right) \subset \Omega_{1}^{+} \times \Omega_{2}^{+}$we can pick $\varepsilon>0$ so that the following inequalities are satisfied:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{1} e^{i \alpha_{1}}\right)+\varepsilon<-A_{1}^{+}  \tag{2.1.1}\\
& \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{2} e^{i \alpha_{2}}\right)+\varepsilon<-A_{2}^{+}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have the following estimate on the function $m$ defined by the first formula in (1.1.8):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1.1 .5}{\leq} \frac{k_{\varepsilon}}{4 \pi^{2}}\left[\int_{e^{i \alpha_{1}}[0,+\infty)} e^{\left(A_{1}^{+}+\varepsilon+\operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{1} e^{i \alpha_{1}}\right)\right)\left|\zeta_{1}\right|}\left|d \zeta_{1}\right|\right] .  \tag{2.1.2}\\
& \cdot\left[\int_{e^{i \alpha_{2}}}[0,+\infty)\right. \\
& \stackrel{\left.e^{\left(A_{2}^{+}+\varepsilon+\operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{2} e^{i \alpha_{2}}\right)\right)\left|\zeta_{2}\right|}\left|d \zeta_{2}\right|\right]=}{=} \frac{-1}{4 \pi^{2}} \cdot \frac{-1}{A_{1}^{+}+\varepsilon+\operatorname{Re}\left(\omega e^{i \alpha_{1}}\right)} \cdot \frac{-1}{A_{2}^{+}+\varepsilon+\operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{2} e^{i \alpha_{2}}\right)}<+\infty .
\end{align*}
$$

Due to estimate (2.1.2) the first of four double integrals in (1.1.8) is absolutely convergent. And that double integral determines a function that is analytic in two complex variables on the set $\Omega_{1}^{+} \times \Omega_{2}^{+}$. The same claims are true for the other three double integrals in the definition (1.1.8). We now address the formal ambiguity in definition (1.1.8) of function $m$, arising from the fact that some of the four domains that appear in (1.1.8) might intersect. Due to lemma 4.1 in (2) (a lemma that: uses the exponential estimate 1.1.1 on the function $f$, and that is based on application of the Phragmen-Lindeloef maximum principle), the first and the second double integrals in (1.1.8) are equal on the intersection of their corresponding domains: $\Omega_{1}^{+} \times \Omega_{2}^{+}$and $\Omega_{1}^{+} \times \Omega_{2}^{-}$. Due to (2.1.2) Fubini's theorem applies to each of the four double integrals in (1.1.8). By changing the order of integration in the first and third double integrals and referring lemma 4.1 in(2), the first and the third double integrals are equal on the intersection
of their corresponding domains. As for the first and the fourth double integrals, the intersection of their corresponding domains lies within the intersection of any two of the four domains. Hence in there, the first integral equals the second integral, and in turn, the second integral equals the fourth integral. Consequently, any two of the four definitions of the function $m$ in (1.1.8) are equivalent on the intersection of their corresponding domains. The function $m$ defined by (1.1.8) is analytic on $\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}$ in each of its variables.

Remark 2.1.1. Thanks to the estimate (2.1.2), the function $m$ is bounded on any subset of $\Omega_{1}^{+} \times \Omega_{2}^{+}$that is bounded away from its boundary $\partial\left(\Omega_{1}^{+} \times \Omega_{2}^{+}\right)$.
Similarly, one can prove that the function $m$ is bounded on any subset of $\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}$ that is bounded away from the boundary $\partial\left(\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}\right)$.

### 2.2 Verification of the sectorial Fourier inversion formula.

Due to the condition 1.1.1 for any $z_{2} \in \Delta_{2}$ the Fourier inversion formula (see (2), theorem 1.2) applies to the function $z_{1} \rightarrow f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right):$ that is, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\int_{\Gamma_{1}} g\left(\omega_{1}, z_{2}\right) e^{-\omega_{1} z_{1}} d \omega_{1}, \quad \text { for } z_{2} \in \Delta_{2} \tag{2.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the curve $\Gamma_{1}$ is defined by (1.1.9), and the function $\omega_{1} \rightarrow g\left(\omega_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ is well-defined by the following formulas:
$g\left(\omega_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{e^{-i \alpha_{1}}[0,+\infty)} f\left(\zeta_{1}, z_{2}\right) e^{\omega_{1} \zeta_{1}} d \zeta_{1}, \quad$ for $\operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{1} e^{-i \alpha_{1}}\right)<-h_{1}$,
$g\left(\omega_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{e^{i \alpha_{1}[0,+\infty)}} f\left(\zeta_{1}, z_{2}\right) e^{\omega_{1} \zeta_{1}} d \zeta_{1}, \quad$ for $\operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{1} e^{i \alpha_{1}}\right)<-h_{1}$.
We now derive the estimate (2.2.4) for function $g\left(\omega_{1}, z_{2}\right)$, that is uniform in its first variable $\omega_{1}$ for $\omega_{1} \in \Gamma_{1}$ and that depends exponentially on its second variable $z_{2}$.

Due to inequality (1.1.10) imposed on the real number $p_{1}$, we can pick $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{1}+\varepsilon+p_{1} \cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right)<0 . \tag{2.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\omega_{1} \in \Gamma_{1}$. Then due to parameterization (1.1.9) we have $\omega_{1}=\gamma_{1}(t), t \in$ $\mathbb{R}$. Specifically, assume that $t \geq 0$, so that the second formula of the two
formulas (2.2.2) holds for $g\left(\gamma_{1}(t), z_{2}\right)$. We estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|g\left(\gamma_{1}(t), z_{2}\right)\right| \stackrel{[2.2 .2]}{\leq} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{e^{i \alpha_{1}[0,+\infty)}}\left|f\left(\zeta_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right| \cdot\left|e^{\gamma_{1}(t) \zeta_{1}}\right|\left|d \zeta_{1}\right| \leq \\
& \stackrel{[.1 .1}{\leq} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{e^{i \alpha_{1}[0,+\infty)}} k_{\varepsilon} e^{\left(h_{1}+\varepsilon\right)\left|\zeta_{1}\right|+\left(h_{2}+\varepsilon\right)\left|z_{2}\right|} \cdot e^{\operatorname{Re}\left(\gamma_{1}(t) \zeta_{1}\right)}\left|d \zeta_{1}\right|= \\
& =\frac{k_{\varepsilon} e^{\left(h_{2}+\varepsilon\right)\left|z_{2}\right|}}{2 \pi} \int_{e^{i \alpha_{1}[0,+\infty)}} e^{\left(h_{1}+\varepsilon+\operatorname{Re}\left(\gamma_{1}(t) e^{i \alpha_{1}}\right)\right)\left|\zeta_{1}\right|}\left|d \zeta_{1}\right|= \\
& \stackrel{[1.1 .9}{=} \frac{k_{\varepsilon} e^{\left(h_{2}+\varepsilon\right)\left|z_{2}\right|}}{2 \pi} \int_{e^{i \alpha_{1}[0,+\infty)}} e^{\left(h_{1}+\varepsilon+p_{1} \cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right)\right)\left|\zeta_{1}\right|}\left|d \zeta_{1}\right|= \\
& \stackrel{(2.2 .3}{=}\left[\frac{k_{\varepsilon}}{2 \pi} \cdot \frac{-1}{h_{1}+\varepsilon+p_{1} \cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right)}\right] \cdot e^{\left(h_{2}+\varepsilon\right)\left|z_{2}\right|} .
\end{aligned}
$$

And we would get the same estimate on $g\left(\gamma_{1}(t), z_{2}\right)$ if we assumed that $t<$ 0 . Due to estimate (2.2.4), for any $-\infty<t<+\infty$, the Fourier inversion formula (see (2), theorem 1.2) applies to the function $z_{2} \rightarrow g\left(\gamma_{1}(t), z_{2}\right)$, and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\gamma_{1}(t), z_{2}\right)=\int_{\Gamma_{2}} m\left(\gamma_{1}(t), \omega_{2}\right) e^{-\omega_{2} z_{2}} d \omega_{2}, \quad \text { for } z_{2} \in \Delta_{2} \tag{2.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the curve $\Gamma_{2}$ is parameterized by (1.1.11), and for any $\infty<t<+\infty$, the function $\omega_{2} \rightarrow m\left(\gamma_{1}(t), \omega_{2}\right)$ is well-defined by the formulas (1.1.8).
Remark 2.2.1. Note that due to the uniform bound (2.2.4), the curve $\Gamma_{2}$, involved in formula (2.2.5), is defined by formula (1.1.11) in such a way that it does not depend on the choice of $\omega_{1}=\gamma_{1}(t)$.

By combining the Fourier inversion formulas (2.2.1) and (2.2.5), we obtain representation (1.1.13) of function $f$ as two consecutive integrals. Due to (2.1.2) we estimate Fubini's theorem applies to the consecutive integrals in the representaion (1.1.13) of function $f$, and we can consider those consecutive integrals as a double integral.

## 3 Estimates for two-dimensional indicator after Ivanov.

### 3.1 A calculation relating to figure 3.1 on page

 11.We justify that the length on interval $|0 c|$ in figure 3.1 on page 11 indeed equals $C_{1}$. Observing the three triangles $0 q a, 0 q b, 0 q c$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Re}\left(q e^{i \alpha_{1}}\right)=-|0 a|  \tag{3.1.1}\\
& \operatorname{Re}\left(q e^{-i \alpha_{1}}\right)=-|0 b|, \\
& \operatorname{Re}\left(q e^{i \theta_{1}}\right)=-|0 c|
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 3: Construction of $C_{1}$

Denote $x=\operatorname{Re}(q), y=\operatorname{Im}(q)$. Then we can paraphrase (3.1.1) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right)-y \sin \left(\alpha_{1}\right)=-A_{1}^{+} \\
& x \cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right)+y \sin \left(\alpha_{1}\right)=-A_{1}^{-} \\
& x \cos \left(\theta_{1}\right)-y \sin \left(\theta_{1}\right)=-|[0 c]|
\end{aligned}
$$

So that

$$
|0 c|=\frac{A_{1}^{+}}{2}\left[\frac{\sin \left(\theta_{1}\right)}{\sin \left(\alpha_{1}\right)}+\frac{\cos \left(\theta_{1}\right)}{\cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right)}\right]+\frac{A_{1}^{-}}{2}\left[\frac{\cos \left(\theta_{1}\right)}{\cos \left(\alpha_{1}\right)}-\frac{\sin \left(\theta_{1}\right)}{\sin \left(\alpha_{1}\right)}\right]
$$

Consequently, due to 1.1 .3 we have $|0 c|=C_{1}$.

### 3.2 An auxiliary estimate.

We now estimate the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Lambda_{1}} e^{-\operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{1} z_{1}\right)}\left|d \omega_{1}\right|, \quad \text { for } \arg \left(z_{1}\right)=\theta_{1} \tag{3.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the curve $\Lambda_{1}$ is defined by figure 3.2 on page 12. Due to the choice 1.1.3 of the constant $C_{1}$ and parametrization (1.1.9) of the curve $\Gamma_{1}$, the curve $\Lambda_{1}$ is a union of three subcurves: the finite segment $\overline{\Lambda_{1} \backslash \Gamma_{1}}$ and two infinite segments $\Lambda_{1}^{+}$and $\Lambda_{1}^{-}$correspondingly parameterized by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\gamma_{1}(t), & t \geq t_{+}>0  \tag{3.2.2}\\
\gamma_{1}(t), & t \leq t_{-}<0
\end{array}
$$

where $t_{+}$and $t_{-}$are determined by $s_{-}=\gamma_{1}\left(t_{-}\right), s_{+}=\gamma_{1}\left(t_{+}\right)$.


Figure 4: Construction of $\Lambda_{1}$

Step 1. Note that due to the choice 1.1.3 of the constant $C_{1}$,
$\operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{1} z_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{1} e^{i \theta_{1}}\right)\left|z_{1}\right|=-\left(C_{1}+\delta\right)\left|z_{1}\right|, \quad$ for $\omega_{1} \in \Lambda_{1} \bar{\backslash} \Gamma_{1}, \arg \left(z_{1}\right)=\theta_{1}$.
And consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Lambda_{1} \backslash \Gamma_{1}} e^{-R e\left(\omega_{1} z_{1}\right)}|d \omega|=e^{\left(C_{1}+\delta\right)\left|z_{1}\right|}\left|\Lambda_{1} \backslash \Gamma_{1}\right| \tag{3.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 2. We rewrite

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Lambda_{1}^{+}} e^{-R e\left(\omega_{1} z_{1}\right)}\left|d \omega_{1}\right| \stackrel{\sqrt{3.2 .2}),[1.1 .9}{=} \int_{t_{+}}^{+\infty} e^{-\operatorname{Re}\left(\gamma_{1}(t) z_{1}\right)} d t \tag{3.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\left|\theta_{1}\right|<\alpha_{1}<\pi / 2
$$

Consequently

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(i e^{-i \alpha_{1}} z_{1}\right)>0, \quad \text { for } \arg \left(z_{1}\right)=\theta_{1}
$$

So that the following integral is convergent and equals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{+}}^{+\infty} e^{-\operatorname{Re}\left(\gamma_{1}(t) z_{1}\right)} d t=\frac{e^{-\operatorname{Re}\left(\gamma_{1}\left(t_{+}\right) z_{1}\right)}}{\operatorname{Re}\left(i e^{-i \alpha_{1}} z_{1}\right)}, \quad \text { for } \arg \left(z_{1}\right)=\theta_{1} . \tag{3.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\gamma_{1}\left(t_{+}\right) \in \overline{\Lambda_{1} \backslash \Gamma_{1}}$. Consequently, due to (3.2.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\gamma_{1}\left(t_{+}\right) z_{1}\right)=-\left(C_{1}+\delta\right)\left|z_{1}\right|, \quad \text { for } \arg \left(z_{1}\right)=\theta_{1} \tag{3.2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By combining (3.2.5), (3.2.6), (3.2.7) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Lambda_{1}^{+}} e^{-\operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{1} z_{1}\right)}\left|d \omega_{1}\right| \leq \frac{e^{\left(C_{1}+\delta\right)\left|z_{1}\right|}}{\operatorname{Re}\left(i e^{-i \alpha_{1}} z_{1}\right)}, \quad \text { for } \arg \left(z_{1}\right)=\theta_{1} \tag{3.2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Lambda_{1}^{-}} e^{-R e\left(\omega_{1} z_{1}\right)}\left|d \omega_{1}\right| \leq \frac{e^{\left(C_{1}+\delta\right)\left|z_{1}\right|}}{R e\left(-i e^{i \alpha_{1}} z_{1}\right)}, \quad \text { for } \quad \arg \left(z_{1}\right)=\theta_{1} \tag{3.2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 3. By combining the estimates (3.2.4), (3.2.8), (3.2.9), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Lambda_{1}} e^{-R e\left(\omega_{1} z_{1}\right)}\left|d \omega_{1}\right| \leq k_{\alpha_{1}, \theta_{1}} \frac{e^{\left(C_{1}+\delta\right)\left|z_{1}\right|}}{\left|z_{1}\right|}, \quad \text { for } \arg \left(z_{1}\right)=\theta_{1} \tag{3.2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the number $k_{\alpha_{1}, \theta_{1}}$, while depending on $\alpha$ and $\theta$, does not depend on $|z|$. We remark that the estimate (3.2.10) that we have obtained is similar to the one in lemma 3.1 (2).

### 3.3 Proof of trigonometric convexity.

As the function $m$ is analytic on the set $\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}$, by consecutive applications of the Cauchy integral theorem in variables $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$, we can rewrite (1.1.13) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\int_{\Lambda_{1}} \int_{\Lambda_{2}} m\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right) e^{-\omega_{1} z_{1}-\omega_{2} z_{2}} d \omega_{2} d \omega_{1} \tag{3.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the curve $\Lambda_{1}$ is constructed by figure 3.2 on page 12 Due to construction of the curve $\Lambda_{1}$, it is bounded away from the boundary $\partial \Omega_{1}$. Similarly, the curve $\Lambda_{2}$ is bounded away from the boundary $\partial \Omega_{2}$. Consequently, due remark 2.1.1 the function $m$ is uniformly bounded (by some constant $k_{\delta}(m)$ ) on the Cartesian product $\Lambda_{1} \times \Lambda_{2}$. We estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right| \stackrel{\sqrt{1.1 .13}}{\leq} \int_{\Lambda_{1}} \int_{\Lambda_{2}}\left|m\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)\right| e^{-\operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{1} z_{1}\right)-\operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{2} z_{2}\right)}\left|d \omega_{2}\right|\left|d \omega_{1}\right| \leq  \tag{3.3.2}\\
& \frac{\sqrt{2.1 .2}}{\leq} k_{\delta}(m)\left[\int_{\Lambda_{1}} e^{-\operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{1} z_{1}\right)}\left|d \omega_{1}\right|\right] \cdot\left[\int_{\Lambda_{2}} e^{-\operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{2} z_{2}\right)}\left|d \omega_{2}\right|\right] \leq \\
& \frac{\sqrt{3.2 .10}}{\leq} k_{\delta}(m) k_{\alpha_{1}, \theta_{1}} k_{\alpha_{2}, \theta_{2}} \frac{e^{\left(C_{1}+\delta\right)\left|z_{1}\right|}}{\left|z_{1}\right|} \frac{e^{\left(C_{2}+\delta\right)\left|z_{2}\right|}}{\left|z_{2}\right|}, \\
& \text { for } \arg \left(z_{1}\right)=\theta_{1}, \arg \left(z_{2}\right)=\theta_{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Additionally, due to the estimate (1.1.5) the function $f$ is bounded in: the intersection of $\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}$, and a vicinity of 0 . By combining this fact with the estimate (3.3.2), we obtain the following estimate on the function $f$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right| \leq k_{\delta, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}} e^{\left(C_{1}+\delta\right)\left|z_{1}\right|+\left(C_{2}+\delta\right)\left|z_{2}\right|} \\
& \text { for } \arg \left(z_{1}\right)=\theta_{1}, \arg \left(z_{2}\right)=\theta_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the constant $k_{\delta, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}}$, while depending on $\delta, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$, does not depend on $\left|z_{1}\right|$ or $\left|z_{2}\right|$.


Figure 5: $T_{f}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$

## 4 Proof of sharpness.

Consider the entire function $f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=e^{z_{1}+z_{2}}$. The function $f$ is of exponential type,

$$
\left|f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right| \leq e^{\left|z_{1}\right| \cos \theta_{1}+\left|z_{2}\right| \cos \theta_{2}}, \quad \text { for } z_{1}, z_{2} \in \mathbb{C}
$$

For our choice of the function $f$, the set $T_{f}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ defined by (1.1.2) equals

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{f}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)=\left\{\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}\right): \nu_{1} \geq \cos \theta_{1}, \nu_{2} \geq \cos \theta_{2}\right\} \tag{4.0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see figure 4 on page [14). In particular, for $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}= \pm \frac{\pi}{4}$ we have

$$
T_{f}\left( \pm \frac{\pi}{4}, \pm \frac{\pi}{4}\right)=\left\{\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}\right): \nu_{1} \geq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \nu_{2} \geq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right\} .
$$

Thus

$$
A_{1}^{+}=A_{2}^{+}=A_{1}^{-}=A_{2}^{-}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}
$$

Take $\theta_{1}=\theta_{2}=0$. By (1.1.3) we evaluate

$$
C_{1}=C_{2}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}=1 .
$$

Thus, according to theorem 1.1.5 the following inequality holds:

$$
f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \leq k_{\varepsilon} e^{(1+\varepsilon)\left|z_{1}\right|+(1+\varepsilon)\left|z_{2}\right|}, \quad \text { for } \arg z_{1}=\arg z_{2}=0
$$

On the other hand, by (4.0.1)

$$
T_{f}(0,0)=\left\{\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}\right): \nu_{1} \geq 1, \nu_{2} \geq 1\right\} .
$$

That is, in this case, theorem 1.1.5 is sharp.
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