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#### Abstract

The paper deals with the problem under which conditions for the parameters $s_{1}, s_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq p, q_{1}, q_{2} \leq \infty$ the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}$ is a nuclear mapping from $A_{p, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ into $A_{p, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, where $A \in\{B, F\}$ stands for a space of Besov or Triebel-Lizorkin type, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It extends the recent paper [25] where the compactness of $\mathcal{F}$ acting in the same type of spaces was studied.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{F}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathcal{F} \varphi)(\xi)=(2 \pi)^{-n / 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-i x \xi} \varphi(x) \mathrm{d} x, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the classical Fourier transform, extended in the usual way to $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), n \in \mathbb{N}$. The mapping properties

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F} \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad \mathcal{F} \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}: L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow L_{p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad 1 \leq p \leq 2, \quad \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1, \quad \mathcal{F} L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

are cornerstones of Fourier analysis. These basic assertions have been complemented in [25] covering in particular the following observation. Let $A_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \in\{B, F\}, \quad 1<p, q_{1}, q_{2}<\infty \quad \text { and } \quad s_{1} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad s_{2} \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the usual function spaces of Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin type. We denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{p}^{n}=2 n\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right), \quad 1<p<\infty, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and introduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{p}^{n+}=\max \left(0, d_{p}^{n}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \tau_{p}^{n-}=\min \left(0, d_{p}^{n}\right) . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}: \quad A_{p, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow A_{p, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is compact if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { both } s_{1}>\tau_{p}^{n+} \quad \text { and } \quad s_{2}<\tau_{p}^{n-} . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (independently)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { either } s_{1}<\tau_{p}^{n+} \quad \text { or } \quad s_{2}>\tau_{p}^{n-} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there is no continuous embedding of type 1.7). We refer to Figure 1 below for some diagram. It was one of the main aims of [25] to deal with the degree of compactness of $\mathcal{F}$ in (1.7) in case of (1.8), expressed in terms of entropy numbers. In the present paper we ask for conditions ensuring that the mapping $\mathcal{F}$ in
(1.7) is nuclear. Recall that a linear continuous mapping $T: A \hookrightarrow B$ from the Banach space $A$ into the Banach space $B$ is called nuclear if it can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T f=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(f, a_{k}^{\prime}\right) b_{k}, \quad\left\{a_{k}^{\prime}\right\} \subset A^{\prime}, \quad\left\{b_{k}\right\} \subset B \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|a_{k}^{\prime}\left|A^{\prime}\|\cdot\| b_{k}\right| B\right\|$ is finite, where $A^{\prime}$ is the dual of $A$. In particular, any nuclear mapping is compact. We refer to Section 3.1 for further details and some history of the topic.

Our main result is Theorem 3.4 characterising in particular under which conditions the compact mapping 1.7 with 1.8 is nuclear. We refer to Figure 3 below for some illustration.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we collect definitions and some ingredients. This includes wavelet characterisations and weighted generalisations $A_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha}\right)$ of the above unweighted spaces $A_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, where the function $w_{\alpha}(x)=\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\alpha / 2}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, is a so-called 'admissible' weight. In Section 3 we recall first some already known properties about nuclear embeddings between these spaces and prove Theorem 3.4. This will be complemented by related assertions for some limiting cases. Finally, in Section 4 we collect some more or less immediate consequences when $\mathcal{F}$ is considered as mapping between weighted spaces of type $A_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha}\right)$.

## 2 Definitions and ingredients

### 2.1 Definitions and some basic properties

We use standard notation. Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the collection of all natural numbers and $\mathbb{N}_{0}=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. Let $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ be the Euclidean $n$-space where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Put $\mathbb{R}=\mathbb{R}^{1}$. Let $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ be the Schwartz space of all complex-valued rapidly decreasing infinitely differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and let $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ be the dual space consisting of all tempered distributions on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Furthermore, $L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $0<p \leq \infty$, is the standard complex quasi-Banach space with respect to the Lebesgue measure, quasi-normed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f \mid L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\|=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|f(x)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{1 / p} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the obvious modification if $p=\infty$. As usual, $\mathbb{Z}$ is the collection of all integers; and $\mathbb{Z}^{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, denotes the lattice of all points $m=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $m_{k} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

If $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\varphi}(\xi)=(\mathcal{F} \varphi)(\xi)=(2 \pi)^{-n / 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-i x \xi} \varphi(x) \mathrm{d} x, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

denotes the Fourier transform of $\varphi$. As usual, $\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi$ and $\varphi^{\vee}$ stand for the inverse Fourier transform, given by the right-hand side of $(2.2)$ with $i$ in place of $-i$. Here $x \xi$ stands for the scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Both $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ are extended to $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ in the standard way. Let $\varphi_{0} \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{0}(x)=1 \text { if }|x| \leq 1 \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi_{0}(x)=0 \text { if }|x| \geq 3 / 2 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{k}(x)=\varphi_{0}\left(2^{-k} x\right)-\varphi_{0}\left(2^{-k+1} x\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{j}(x)=1 \quad \text { for } \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\varphi=\left\{\varphi_{j}\right\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ forms a smooth dyadic resolution of unity. The entire analytic functions $\left(\varphi_{j} \widehat{f}\right)^{\vee}(x)$ make sense pointwise in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ for any $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

Definition 2.1. Let $\varphi=\left\{\varphi_{j}\right\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ be the above dyadic resolution of unity. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}, 0<q \leq \infty$.
(i) Let $0<p \leq \infty$. Then $B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is the collection of all $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f \mid B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\|_{\varphi}=\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j s q}\left\|\left(\varphi_{j} \widehat{f}\right)^{\vee} \mid L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\|^{q}\right)^{1 / q} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is finite (with the usual modification if $q=\infty$ ).
(ii) Let $0<p<\infty$. Then $F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is the collection of all $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f \mid F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\|_{\varphi}=\|\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j s q}\left|\left(\left.\varphi_{j} \widehat{f}^{\vee} \vee(\cdot)\right|^{q}\right)^{1 / q}\right| L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \|\right. \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is finite (with the usual modification if $q=\infty$ ).
Remark 2.2. These well-known inhomogeneous spaces are independent of the above resolution of unity $\varphi$ according to $2.3-2.5$ in the sense of equivalent quasi-norms. This justifies the omission of the subscript $\varphi$ in 2.6, 2.7) in the sequel. Let us mention here, in particular, the series of monographs [20, 21, 22, 24, where also one finds further historical references, explanations and discussions. The above restriction to $p<\infty$ in case of $F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is the usual one, though many important results could be extended to $F_{\infty, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, cf. 24] for the definition and properties of the spaces as well as historical remarks. Here we stick to the above setting.

As usual we write $A_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), A \in\{B, F\}$, if the related assertion applies equally to the $B$-spaces $B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and the $F$-spaces $F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. We deal mainly with the $B$-spaces. The $F$-spaces can often be incorporated in related assertions using the embedding

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{p, \min (p, q)}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p, \max (p, q)}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$s \in \mathbb{R}, 0<p<\infty, 0<q \leq \infty$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{\alpha}(x)=\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\alpha / 2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $I_{\alpha}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\alpha}: \quad f \mapsto\left(w_{\alpha} \widehat{f}\right)^{\vee}=\left(w_{\alpha} f^{\vee}\right)^{\wedge}, \quad f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a lift in the spaces $A_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), s \in \mathbb{R}, 0<p<\infty, 0<q \leq \infty$, mapping $A_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ isomorphically onto $A_{p, q}^{s-\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\alpha} A_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=A_{p, q}^{s-\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad\left\|\left(w_{\alpha} \widehat{f}\right)^{\vee}\left|A_{p, q}^{s-\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\|\sim\| f\right| A_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\| \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

equivalent quasi-norms, see [24, Theorem 1.22 , p.16] and the references given there. Of interest for us will be the Sobolev spaces

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{p}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=F_{p, 2}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad 1<p<\infty \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

their Littlewood-Paley characterisations and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{s} H_{p}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad\left\|\left(w_{s} \widehat{f}\right)^{\vee}\left|L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\|=\| f\right| H_{p}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\| \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.3. For our arguments below we need the weighted counterparts of the spaces $A_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ as introduced in Definition 2.1. Let $s, p, q$ be as there and let $w_{\alpha}$ be the weight according to 2.9). Then $A_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha}\right)$ is the collection of all $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that (2.6), 2.7) with $L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha}\right)$ in place of $L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is finite. Here $L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha}\right)$ is the complex quasi-Banach space quasi-normed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f\left|L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha}\right)\|=\| w_{\alpha} f\right| L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\|, \quad 0<p \leq \infty, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

These spaces have some remarkable properties which will be of some use for us later on, see also [11] and [5, Sect. 4.2]. In particular, for all spaces $f \mapsto w_{\alpha} f$ is an isomorphic mapping,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|w_{\alpha} f\left|A_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\|\sim\| f\right| A_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha}\right)\right\|, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for all spaces the lifting 2.11 can be extended from the unweighted spaces to their weighted counterparts,

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{\alpha} A_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\beta}\right) & =A_{p, q}^{s-\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\beta}\right), \\
\left\|\left(w_{\alpha} \hat{f}\right)^{\vee} \mid A_{p, q}^{s-\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\beta}\right)\right\| & \sim\left\|f \mid A_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\beta}\right)\right\|, \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

$\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Both (substantial) assertions are covered by [22, Theorem 6.5, pp. 265-266] and the references given there. Note that weights of type $w_{\alpha}$ given by 2.9 are also special Muckenhoupt weights when $\alpha>-n$, that is, $w_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}_{\infty}$ if $\alpha>-n$.

### 2.2 Wavelet characterisations

Our arguments below rely on wavelet representations for some (unweighted) $B$-spaces. We collect what we need following [24, Section 1.2 .1 , pp. 7-10]. There one finds explanations and related references. Let us, in particular, refer to the standard monographs for this topic 4, 13, 14, 26. A short summary can also be found in [22, Sect. 1.7].

As usual, $C^{u}(\mathbb{R})$ with $u \in \mathbb{N}$ collects all bounded complex-valued continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}$ having continuous bounded derivatives up to order $u$ inclusively. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{F} \in C^{u}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \psi_{M} \in C^{u}(\mathbb{R}), \quad u \in \mathbb{N} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

be real compactly supported Daubechies wavelets with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_{M}(x) x^{v} \mathrm{~d} x=0 \quad \text { for all } v \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \text { with } v<u \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\left(G_{1}, \ldots, G_{n}\right) \in G^{0}=\{F, M\}^{n} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means that $G_{r}$ is either $F$ or $M$. Furthermore, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\left(G_{1}, \ldots, G_{n}\right) \in G^{j}=\{F, M\}^{n *}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means that $G_{r}$ is either $F$ or $M$, where $*$ indicates that at least one of the components of $G$ must be an $M$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{G, m}^{j}(x)=\prod_{l=1}^{n} \psi_{G_{l}}\left(2^{j} x_{l}-m_{l}\right), \quad G \in G^{j}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, where (now) $j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Then we may assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{2^{j n / 2} \psi_{G, m}^{j}: j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, G \in G^{j}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right\} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an orthonormal basis in $L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq p, q \leq \infty \quad \text { and } \quad s \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|s|<u$. Then $f \in B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{G \in G^{j}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{j n}\left(f, \psi_{G, m}^{j}\right) \psi_{G . m}^{j} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f \mid B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\| \sim\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j\left(s-\frac{n}{p}\right) q} \sum_{G \in G^{j}}\left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{j n p}\left|\left(f, \psi_{G, m}^{j}\right)\right|^{p}\right)^{q / p}\right)^{1 / q} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the equivalence constants are independent of $f$, with the usual modification if $\max (p, q)=\infty$. In particular, the series in 2.24 converges unconditionally in $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and unconditionally even in $B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ if $\max (p, q)<\infty$. Furthermore 2.22 is a basis in $B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ if $\max (p, q)<\infty$. From 2.25 and 2.8 it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\psi_{G . m}^{j} \mid A_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\| \sim 2^{j\left(s-\frac{n}{p}\right)}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}, \quad G \in G^{j} \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

$1 \leq p, q \leq \infty, s \in \mathbb{R}, A \in\{B, F\}$ (with $p<\infty$ when $A=F$ ), where the equivalence constants can be chosen independently of $j, G, m$.

### 2.3 Mappings

We recall some mapping properties of the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}$ obtained in [25]. This covers also (more or less) what has already been said in the Introduction, $1.4-1.9$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, 1<p<\infty$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. We use the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{p}^{n}=2 n\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right), \quad 1<p<\infty, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{p}^{n+}=\max \left(0, d_{p}^{n}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \tau_{p}^{n-}=\min \left(0, d_{p}^{n}\right) \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by

$$
X_{p}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)= \begin{cases}L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) & \text { if } 2 \leq p<\infty, s=0 \\ B_{p, p}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) & \text { if } 2 \leq p<\infty, s>0 \\ B_{p, p}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) & \text { if } 1<p \leq 2, s \geq d_{p}^{n}\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
Y_{p}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)= \begin{cases}B_{p, p}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) & \text { if } 2 \leq p<\infty, s \leq d_{p}^{n} \\ B_{p, p}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) & \text { if } 1<p \leq 2, s<0 \\ L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) & \text { if } 1<p \leq 2, s=0\end{cases}
$$

For convenience, we have sketched in the usual $\left(\frac{1}{p}, s\right)$-diagram in Figure 1 below the corresponding areas for the definition of $X_{p}^{s}$ and $Y_{p}^{s}$. Here any space $B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is indicated by its parameters $s$ and $p$, neglecting $q$.


Figure 1
We collect what is already known about the continuity and compactness of the map $\mathcal{F}: X_{p}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow$ $Y_{p}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
Theorem 2.4 ([25]). Let $1<p<\infty, s_{1} \in \mathbb{R}, s_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\tau_{p}^{n+}$, $\tau_{p}^{n-}$ be given by (2.28) with (2.27).
(i) Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}: \quad X_{p}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow Y_{p}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \quad \text { with } s_{1} \geq \tau_{p}^{n+} \text { and } s_{2} \leq \tau_{p}^{n-} \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

is continuous. This mapping is even compact if, and only if, both $s_{1}>\tau_{p}^{n+}$ and $s_{2}<\tau_{p}^{n-}$.
(ii) Furthermore, if there is a continuous mapping

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}: \quad B_{p, p}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p, p}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

then both $s_{1} \geq \tau_{p}^{n+}$ and $s_{2} \leq \tau_{p}^{n-}$.
Remark 2.5. These assertions are covered by [25, Theorem 3.2, Corollary 3.3]. There one also finds results about the entropy numbers $e_{k}(\mathcal{F}), k \in \mathbb{N}$, of $\mathcal{F}$ which further characterise the 'degree of compactness'.

Corollary 2.6. Let $1<p<\infty, 0<q_{1}, q_{2} \leq \infty, s_{1}, s_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $A \in\{B, F\}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}: \quad A_{p, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow A_{p, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

is compact if both $s_{1}>\tau_{p}^{n+}$ and $s_{2}<\tau_{p}^{n-}$. If $s_{1}<\tau_{p}^{n+}$ or $s_{2}>\tau_{p}^{n-}$, then there is no continuous map 2.31.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.4 together with elementary embeddings like 2.8) and $A_{p, q_{1}}^{s+\varepsilon}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p, p}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow A_{p, q_{1}}^{s-\varepsilon}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$.

The above result shows that $s_{1}=\tau_{p}^{n+}$ and $s_{2}=\tau_{p}^{n-}$ are natural barriers if one wishes to study continuous and compact mappings of type $(2.31)$. The observation justifies $(1.7)-\sqrt{1.9})$. It also implies that related restrictions for $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ in what follows are natural. We complement the above assertion in Section 3.3 where we shall also deal with the limiting cases $p=1$ and $p=\infty$.

## 3 Nuclear mappings

### 3.1 Preliminaries

A linear continuous mapping $T: A \hookrightarrow B$ from the (complex) Banach space $A$ into the (complex) Banach space $B$ is called nuclear if it can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T f=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(f, a_{k}^{\prime}\right) b_{k}, \quad\left\{a_{k}^{\prime}\right\} \subset A^{\prime}, \quad\left\{b_{k}\right\} \subset B, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|a_{k}^{\prime}\left|A^{\prime}\|\cdot\| b_{k}\right| B\right\|$ is finite. Here $A^{\prime}$ is the dual of $A$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T\left|\mathcal{N}(A, B)\left\|=\inf \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\right\| a_{k}^{\prime}\right| A^{\prime}\right\| \cdot\left\|b_{k} \mid B\right\| \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the related nuclear norm, where the infimum is taken over all representations 3.1). In particular any nuclear mapping is compact. The collection of all nuclear mappings between complex Banach spaces is a symmetric operator ideal. Here symmetric means that $T^{\prime}: B^{\prime} \hookrightarrow A^{\prime}$ is nuclear if $T: A \hookrightarrow B$ is nuclear, [16, 8.2.6, p. 108], [17, p. 280].

Remark 3.1. Grothendieck introduced the concept of nuclearity in [7 more than 60 years ago. It provided the basis for many famous developments in functional analysis afterwards, we refer to [16, and, in particular, to [17] for further historic details. In Hilbert spaces $H_{1}, H_{2}$, the nuclear operators $\mathcal{N}\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$ coincide with the trace class $S_{1}\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$, consisting of those $T$ with singular numbers $\left(s_{k}(T)\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell_{1}$. It is well known from the remarkable Enflo result [6] that there are compact operators between Banach spaces which cannot be approximated by finite-rank operators. This led to a number of - meanwhile well-established and famous - methods to circumvent this difficulty and find alternative ways to 'measure' the compactness or 'degree' of compactness of an operator, e.g. the asymptotic behaviour of its approximation or entropy numbers. In all these problems, the decomposition of a given compact operator into a series is an essential proof technique. It turns out that in many of the recent contributions [23, (2, 3, (10, 8, studying nuclearity, a key tool in the arguments are new decomposition techniques as well, adapted to the different spaces. This is also our intention now.

In addition to the tools described above we will rely on the following two observations about nuclear embeddings between function spaces.

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, (bounded interval if $n=1$ ). Then $A_{p, q}^{s}(\Omega)$ is, as usual, the restriction of the spaces $A_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ as introduced in Definition 2.1 and Remark 2.2.
Proposition 3.2. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
1<p_{1}, p_{2}, q_{1}, q_{2}<\infty \quad \text { and } \quad s_{1} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad s_{2} \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(i) The embedding

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { id : } \quad A_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow A_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}(\Omega) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is compact, if, and only if,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}-s_{2}>n \max \left(\frac{1}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p_{2}}, 0\right) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) The embedding (3.4) is nuclear if, and only if,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}-s_{2}>n-n \max \left(\frac{1}{p_{2}}-\frac{1}{p_{1}}, 0\right) . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result (ii) can be found in [23, Theorem, p.3039], clarifying some limiting cases compared with what was already known before, cf. [15, 18. In (10) we also dealt with the situations $p=1$ and $p=\infty$. Let us briefly illustrate the situation in the diagram below.


Figure 2
Secondly we need the counterpart of this result for weighted spaces $A_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha}\right)$ as introduced in Remark 2.3 with $w_{\alpha}$ as in 2.9). Let $p_{1}, p_{2}, q_{1}, q_{2}$ and $s_{1}, s_{2}$ be as in 3.3. Let $-\infty<\alpha_{2}<\alpha_{1}<\infty$. We consider the embedding

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{id}_{\alpha}: \quad A_{p_{1}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha_{1}}\right) \hookrightarrow A_{p_{2}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha_{2}}\right) \quad \text { where } \quad \alpha=\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}>0 . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 3.3. Let $1 \leq p_{1}<\infty, 1 \leq p_{2} \leq \infty$ (with $p_{2}<\infty$ for $F$-spaces), $1 \leq q_{1}, q_{2} \leq \infty, s_{1}, s_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\alpha=\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}>0$.
(i) $\operatorname{id}_{\alpha}$ given by (3.7) is compact if, and only if,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\alpha}{n}>\max \left(\frac{1}{p_{2}}-\frac{1}{p_{1}}, 0\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{s_{1}-s_{2}}{n}>\max \left(\frac{1}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p_{2}}, 0\right) . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) $\operatorname{id}_{\alpha}$ given by (3.7) is nuclear if, and only if,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\alpha}{n}>1+\min \left(\frac{1}{p_{2}}-\frac{1}{p_{1}}, 0\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{s_{1}-s_{2}}{n}>1+\min \left(\frac{1}{p_{1}}-\frac{1}{p_{2}}, 0\right) . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the compactness result (i) we refer to [11, Thm. 2.3], [5. Thm. and Rem. 4.2.3] (in the context of so-called admissible weights) and [9, Prop. 2.8] (in the context of Muckenhoupt weights). The nuclearity part (ii) is covered by [10, Theorem 3.12, p.14] combined with the lifting (2.16), see also [10, Cor. 3.15, p.22].

### 3.2 Main assertion

We first restrict ourselves to the non-limiting situation, that is, we assume $1<p, q<\infty$. We consider the limiting cases when $p, q \in\{1, \infty\}$ in Section 3.3 below. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)^{\prime}=A_{p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}}^{-s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}=1 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the well-known duality in the framework of the dual pairing $\left(\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)$, cf. [20, Theorem 2.11.2, p. 178]. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the Fourier transform as introduced in Section 2.1 and let $f \in A_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ be expanded according to $(2.24)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F} f & =\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{G \in G^{j}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{j n}\left(\mathcal{F} f, \psi_{G, m}^{j}\right) \psi_{G, m}^{j}  \tag{3.11}\\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{G \in G^{j}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{j n}\left(f, \mathcal{F} \psi_{G, m}^{j}\right) \psi_{G, m}^{j}
\end{align*}
$$

follows from $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}=\mathcal{F}$ in the context of the dual pairing $\left(\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{F} f, \psi_{G, m}^{j}\right)=\left(f, \mathcal{F} \psi_{G, m}^{j}\right)$ what can be justified by 3.10 and the properties of the wavelets $\psi_{G, m}^{j}$.

Our main result in this paper reads as follows.
Theorem 3.4. Let $1<p, q_{1}, q_{2}<\infty$ and let $s_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$, $s_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}: \quad A_{p, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow A_{p, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is nuclear if, and only if, both

$$
s_{1}>\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
n & \text { for } 1<p \leq 2,  \tag{3.13}\\
\frac{2 n}{p} & \text { for } 2<p<\infty,
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \quad s_{2}< \begin{cases}-2 n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) & \text { for } 1<p \leq 2 \\
-n & \text { for } 2<p<\infty\end{cases}\right.
$$

Remark 3.5. Note that 3.13 can also be written as $s_{1}>n-\tau_{p^{\prime}}^{n+}$ and $s_{2}<-n-\tau_{p^{\prime}}^{n-}$ with $\tau_{p^{\prime}}^{n+}$ and $\tau_{p^{\prime}}^{n-}$ as in 2.28 with 2.27), replacing $p$ by $p^{\prime}$ and using $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1$. We return to this observation in Remark 3.6 below. There one also finds some illustration of the corresponding parameter areas in Figure 3 . This discussion will be extended in Remark 3.14 to the limiting cases $p=1$ and $p=\infty$ where compactness and nuclearity coincide.
Proof. Step 1. First we prove that (3.13) ensures that $\mathcal{F}$ in (3.12) is nuclear. By elementary embeddings (monotonicity of the spaces $A_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with respect to $s$ ) and the ideal property of $\mathcal{N}$ it is sufficient to deal with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}: \quad H_{p}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow H_{p}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad 1<p<\infty \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{p}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ are the Sobolev spaces according to 2.12, 2.13, normed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f\left|H_{p}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\|=\|\left(w_{s} \widehat{f}\right)^{\vee}\right| L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\|, \quad 1<p<\infty, \quad s \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $w_{s}(x)=\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{s / 2}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Step 2. Let $1<p \leq 2$. We rely on (3.11. By 2.26 one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{j n}\left\|\psi_{G, m}^{j} \mid H_{p}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\| \sim 2^{j\left(s_{2}+n-\frac{n}{p}\right)}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from the duality 3.10 and 2.12 that $H_{p}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)^{\prime}=H_{p^{\prime}}^{-s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Then one obtains from (3.15) and the Hausdorff-Young inequality (1.3) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\mathcal{F} \psi_{G, m}^{j} \mid H_{p^{\prime}}^{-s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\| & \leq c\left\|\mathcal{F}\left(w_{-s_{1}} \psi_{G, m}^{j}\right) \mid L_{p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq c^{\prime}\left\|w_{-s_{1}} \psi_{G, m}^{j} \mid L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\|  \tag{3.17}\\
& \leq c^{\prime \prime}\left(1+2^{-j}|m|\right)^{-s_{1}} 2^{-j \frac{n}{p}}
\end{align*}
$$

$j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$. Then (3.11), 3.16, 3.17) applied to (3.1), (3.2) show that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\mathcal{F} \mid \mathcal{N}\left(H_{p}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), H_{p}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)\right\| & \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}\left(1+2^{-j}|m|\right)^{-s_{1}} 2^{j\left(s_{2}+n-\frac{2 n}{p}\right)} \\
& \leq c^{\prime} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j\left(s_{2}+n-\frac{2 n}{p}\right)}\left(\sum_{|m| \leq 2^{j}} 1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k s_{1}} 2^{(j+k) n}\right)  \tag{3.18}\\
& \leq c^{\prime \prime} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j s_{2}+j 2 n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k\left(s_{1}-n\right)}<\infty
\end{align*}
$$

if both $s_{1}>n$ and $s_{2}<-\frac{2 n}{p^{\prime}}$. This proves that $\mathcal{F}$ is nuclear as claimed in 3.13) for $1<p \leq 2$.
Step 3. Let $2<p<\infty$. As recalled in Section 3.1 the operator ideal $\mathcal{N}$ is symmetric. Then one obtains from the above-mentioned duality for $H_{p}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}: \quad H_{p}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow H_{p}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad 2<p<\infty \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

is nuclear if, and only if,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}: \quad H_{p^{\prime}}^{-s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow H_{p^{\prime}}^{-s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1 \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

is nuclear. So it follows from Step 2 that $\mathcal{F}$ is nuclear as claimed in 3.13 for $2<p<\infty$.
Step 4. We prove in two steps that the conditions 3.13 are also necessary to ensure that $\mathcal{F}$ in 3.12 is nuclear. Let $1<p \leq 2$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}: \quad A_{p^{\prime}, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow A_{p^{\prime}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1 \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

be nuclear. According to (3.13) with $1<p \leq 2$ replaced by $2 \leq p^{\prime}<\infty$ we wish to prove that $s_{2}<-n$ and $s_{1}>2 n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)$. By the ideal property of $\mathcal{N}$ it is sufficient for the proof of $s_{2}<-n$ to deal with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}: \quad W_{p^{\prime}}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow A_{p^{\prime}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad 2 \leq p^{\prime}<\infty, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W_{p^{\prime}}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ are the classical Sobolev spaces. Let $f \in L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{k}\right)$ according to (2.14). Then it follows from the Hausdorff-Young inequality (1.3) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}^{\alpha} \mathcal{F}^{-1} f(x)=i^{|\alpha|} \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\xi^{\alpha} f(\xi)\right)(x) \in L_{p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad|\alpha| \leq k \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

that $\mathcal{F}^{-1}: L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{k}\right) \hookrightarrow W_{p^{\prime}}^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Thus $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{F}^{-1}=\mathrm{id}$ combined with 3.21), specified by (3.22), shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { id }: \quad L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{k}\right) \hookrightarrow A_{p^{\prime}, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

is nuclear. But now $s_{2}<-n$ is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.3(ii) applied to 3.24 ).
The justification of $s_{1}>n$ for $1<p \leq 2$ in 3.13 is now a matter of duality similarly as in Step 3 .
Step 5. We deal with the remaining cases. First we prove that $\mathcal{F}$ in 3.12 cannot be a nuclear mapping if $2 \leq p<\infty$ and $s_{1} \leq 2 n / p$. It should be clear that it is sufficient to prove it for $s_{1}=2 n / p$. Theorem 2.4 in Section 2.3 implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}: \quad B_{p, q}^{\frac{2 n}{p}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p, p}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad 2 \leq p<\infty, \quad 1<q<\infty \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
s \leq d_{p}^{n}=2 n\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right)=\frac{2 n}{p}-n \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

is continuous where we benefit from the fact that $p<\infty$ and we can thus choose $t$ with $0<t<\frac{2 n}{p}$ and apply elementary embeddings such that $B_{p, q}^{\frac{2 n}{p}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p, p}^{t}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p, p}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for arbitrary $q$.

The ideal property of $\mathcal{N}$ and the elementary embeddings $B_{p, q_{0}}^{\frac{2 n}{p}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow A_{p, q_{1}}^{\frac{2 n}{p}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $q_{0}=\min \left(p, q_{1}\right)$, and $A_{p, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p, p}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $s<s_{2}$ show that nuclearity of the operator 3.12 implies the nuclearity the operator (3.25). So it is sufficient to prove that the continuous mapping (3.25), 3.26) is not nuclear. Let $I_{\alpha}$ be the lift according to (2.10, 2.11) with $w_{\alpha}(x)=\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\alpha / 2}$ as in 2.9). Let $W_{\alpha}, W_{\alpha} f=w_{\alpha} f$, be the related multiplication operator. Based on what we already know we factorise

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\alpha}: \quad B_{p, q}^{\frac{2 n}{p}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p, p}^{d_{p}^{n}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the continuous mappings

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{p, q}^{\frac{2 n}{p}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \stackrel{\mathcal{F}}{\hookrightarrow} B_{p, p}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \stackrel{I_{\alpha}}{\hookrightarrow} B_{p, p}^{\sigma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \stackrel{\mathcal{F}^{-1}}{\hookrightarrow} B_{p, p}^{d_{p}^{n}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma>0$ and $\alpha=s-\sigma$. If we assume, in addition, that $\mathcal{F}$ in 3.25 is nuclear, then $W_{\alpha}$ is also nuclear. Under this assumption it follows from id $=W_{\alpha} \circ W_{-\alpha}$ and the isomorphism 2.15 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { id }: \quad B_{p, q}^{\frac{2 n}{p}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{-\alpha}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p, p}^{d_{p}^{n}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

is also nuclear, where $-\alpha=\sigma-s>0$. Furthermore one has by 3.26) that $\frac{2 n}{p}-d_{p}^{n}=n$. But this contradicts (3.9). This shows that $s_{1}>2 n / p$ is necessary to ensure that $\mathcal{F}$ in 3.12) is nuclear if $2 \leq p<\infty$. The corresponding assertion for $1<p \leq 2$ is again a matter of duality based on 3.10, similarly as in 3.19), (3.20).

Remark 3.6. In the figure aside we sketched in the usual $\left(\frac{1}{p}, s\right)$-diagram the parameter areas where the Fourier operator $\mathcal{F}$ is nuclear - as a proper subdomain of the compactness area, recall Figure 1. Note that, using the notation $\sqrt{2.28}$ with 2.27 , one could rewrite the condition 3.13 ) for the nuclearity of $\mathcal{F}$ in 3.12 as well as for the compactness in (2.31) as: $\mathcal{F}$ is compact, if

$$
s_{1}>\tau_{p}^{n+} \quad \text { and } \quad s_{2}<\tau_{p}^{n-}
$$

and $\mathcal{F}$ is nuclear, if, and only if,

$$
s_{1}>n-\tau_{p^{\prime}}^{n+} \quad \text { and } \quad s_{2}<-n-\tau_{p^{\prime}}^{n-}
$$

This explains somehow the reflected and shifted 'nuclear' parameter areas compared with the compactness areas, see also Figure 2.
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Remark 3.7. Let us briefly mention that the method from Step 5 of the proof of Theorem 3.4 to ensure $s_{1}>2 n / p$ for $2 \leq p<\infty$ can also be used if $1<p \leq 2$. The counterpart of 3.25, 3.26) is now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}: \quad B_{p, p}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p, q}^{2 n\left(\frac{1}{p}-1\right)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad 1<p \leq 2, \quad 1<q<\infty \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
s \geq d_{p}^{n}=2 n\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right)=2 n\left(\frac{1}{p}-1\right)+n \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

again covered by Theorem 2.4 in Section 2.3. Instead of 3.27, 3.28) one relies now on the factorisation of

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\alpha}: \quad B_{p, p}^{d_{p}^{n}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p, p}^{d_{p}^{n}-n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the continuous mappings

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{p, p}^{d_{p}^{n}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \stackrel{\mathcal{F}^{-1}}{\hookrightarrow} B_{p, q}^{\sigma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \stackrel{I_{\alpha}}{\hookrightarrow} B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \stackrel{\mathcal{F}}{\hookrightarrow} B_{p, q}^{d_{p}^{n}-n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma<0$ and $\alpha=\sigma-s$ with $s>d_{p}^{n}$. Afterwards one can argue as at the end of Step 5 . This shows directly that $s_{2}<2 n\left(\frac{1}{p}-1\right)$ is necessary to ensure that $\mathcal{F}$ in 3.12 is nuclear if $1<p \leq 2$.

### 3.3 Limiting cases

So far we excluded the values 1 and $\infty$ for the parameters $p, q_{1}, q_{2}$ in Theorem 3.4. We now collect what can be said about these limiting cases.

Proposition 3.8. Let $1<p<\infty, 1 \leq q_{1}, q_{2} \leq \infty$, and let $s_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$, $s_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}: \quad F_{p, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow F_{p, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

is nuclear if, and only if, 3.13) is satisfied.
Proof. Step 1. The sufficiency of the assumptions 3.13 for $q_{1}=1$ and $q_{2}=\infty$ follows immediately by Theorem 3.4 together with the elementary embeddings for the spaces $F_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Now assume $q_{1}=\infty$. In case of $1<p \leq 2$ we can take $\tilde{s_{1}}$ such that $s_{1}>\tilde{s_{1}}>n$. Then for any $\tilde{q_{1}}, 1<\tilde{q_{1}}<\infty$, we have

$$
F_{p, \infty}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow F_{p, \tilde{q}_{1}}^{\tilde{s_{1}}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \stackrel{\mathcal{F}}{\hookrightarrow} A_{p, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right),
$$

where Theorem 3.4 ensures the nuclearity of the latter mapping, and thus also of (3.34) with $q_{1}=\infty$. A similar argument works for $p>2$ as well as for $q_{2}=1$, where we always benefit from the strict inequalities in 3.13.

Step 2. We prove the necessity of the condition (3.13). If $q_{1}=\infty$ or $q_{2}=1$, then the necessity of the conditions follows once more by the elementary embeddings. Moreover, it is sufficient to prove the necessity of the assumption concerning $s_{2}$ if $q_{2}=\infty$, and concerning $s_{1}$ if $q_{1}=1$, since the necessity of the assumption concerning the second smoothness index, in both cases, follows once more by elementary embeddings.

If $2 \leq p<\infty$ and $q_{2}=\infty$, then the argument that was used in Step 4 of the proof of Theorem 3.4 shows the necessity of $s_{2}<-n$ in this case. If $q_{2}<\infty$ and $1<p \leq 2$, and the mapping

$$
\mathcal{F}: \quad F_{p, 1}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow F_{p, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

is nuclear, then, by duality, the mapping

$$
\mathcal{F}: \quad F_{p^{\prime}, q_{2}^{\prime}}^{-s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow F_{p^{\prime}, \infty}^{-s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

is nuclear. So $-s_{1}<-n$ is a consequence of the last argument.

Now we consider the case $2<p<\infty$ and $q_{1}=1$. We choose $r$ with $2<r<p$ and $s_{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{3}=s_{1}+\frac{n}{r}-\frac{n}{p} \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by the Sobolev type embedding

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{r}^{s_{3}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow F_{p, 1}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \stackrel{\mathcal{F}}{\hookrightarrow} F_{p, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

this implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}: \quad H_{r}^{s_{3}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow F_{p, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

is nuclear. It follows from the Hausdorff-Young inequality that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{F} f\left|H_{r}^{s_{3}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\|\leq c\| \mathcal{F}\left(w_{s_{3}} f\right)\right| L_{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\| \leq c^{\prime}\left\|w_{s_{3}} f \mid L_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\| \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $\mathcal{F F}^{-1}=$ id combined with 3.37 shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{id}: \quad L_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{s_{3}}\right) \hookrightarrow F_{p, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

is nuclear if (3.37) was nuclear. Thus Proposition 3.3 (ii) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{3}>\frac{n}{r}+\frac{n}{p} \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}=s_{3}-\frac{n}{r}+\frac{n}{p}>\frac{n}{r}+\frac{n}{p}-\frac{n}{r}+\frac{n}{p}=\frac{2 n}{p} \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously we can prove the necessity in the case $1<p<2$ and $q_{2}=\infty$. We choose $r$ such that $p<r<2$ and $s_{3}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{3}=s_{2}+\frac{n}{r}-\frac{n}{p} \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the Sobolev embeddings implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{p, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \stackrel{\mathcal{F}}{\hookrightarrow} F_{p, \infty}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow H_{r}^{s_{3}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}: \quad F_{p, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow H_{r}^{s_{3}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

is nuclear. Thus in the same way as above, the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{F} f\left|L_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{s_{3}}\right)\|\leq c\| \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(w_{s_{3}} \mathcal{F} f\right)\right| L_{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\|=c\left\|f \mid H_{r}^{s_{3}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\| \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

combined with $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{F}^{-1}=\mathrm{id}$ and 3.37 lead to the nuclearity of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{id}: \quad F_{p, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow L_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{s_{3}}\right) \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

But the nuclearity of 3.46 is equivalent to the nuclearity of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{id}: \quad F_{p, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{-s_{3}}\right) \hookrightarrow L_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

and another application of Proposition 3.3(ii) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
-s_{3}>n+\frac{n}{r^{\prime}}-\frac{n}{p} \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{2}<-2 n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) \tag{3.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

which concludes the proof of the necessity of the conditions 3.13 in all cases.

Corollary 3.9. Let $1<p<\infty, 1 \leq q_{1}, q_{2} \leq \infty$ and let $s_{1} \in \mathbb{R}, s_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}: \quad B_{p, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{3.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

is nuclear if 3.13 is satisfied.
Conversely, the nuclearity of (3.50 implies (3.13) in all cases apart from $2<p<\infty$ and $q_{1}=1$, or $1<p<2$ and $q_{2}=\infty$. In case of $2<p<\infty$ and $q_{1}=1$ the nuclearity of 3.50 implies $s_{1} \geq \frac{2 n}{p}$, while in case of $1<p<2$ and $q_{2}=\infty$ the nuclearity of 3.50 implies $s_{2} \leq-2 n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)$.

Proof. Step 1. The sufficiency of the assumptions 3.13 for $q_{1}=1$ and $q_{2}=\infty$ can be proved in exactly the same way as in Proposition 3.8. Step 1 of its proof.

Step 2. As for the necessity in case of $q_{1}=1,1<p \leq 2$, or $q_{2}=\infty$ and $2 \leq p<\infty$, we can follow the same arguments as presented at the beginning of Step 2 in the proof of Proposition 3.8.

The remaining cases follow from the elementary embeddings $B_{p, p}^{s+\varepsilon}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p, p}^{s-\varepsilon}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), 1<$ $p<\infty, 1 \leq q \leq \infty, \varepsilon>0$, and Theorem 3.4.

Next we consider the case $p=1$. If $1 \leq q_{1}, q_{2}<\infty$, we can extend Theorem 3.4 in the desired way.
Proposition 3.10. Let $1 \leq q_{1}, q_{2}<\infty$ and let $s_{1}, s_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}: \quad A_{1, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow A_{1, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{3.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

is nuclear if, and only if,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}>n \quad \text { and } \quad s_{2}<0 \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It is sufficient to consider the Besov spaces, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}: \quad B_{1, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{1, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad 1 \leq q_{1}, q_{2}<\infty \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

By [20, Thm. 2.11.2, p.178] we have the following duality

$$
B_{1, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)^{\prime}=B_{\infty, q_{1}^{\prime}}^{-s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

and according to 2.26 the estimates for the norms of the wavelets

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{j n}\left\|\psi_{G, m}^{j} \mid B_{1, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\| \sim 2^{j s_{2}}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}, \quad G \in G^{j} \tag{3.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the lift property for Besov spaces and continuity properties of the Fourier transform acting into Besov spaces, cf. [19, Theorem 1], one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\mathcal{F} \psi_{G, m}^{j} \mid B_{\infty, q_{1}^{\prime}}^{-s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\| & \leq\left\|I_{-s_{1}} \mathcal{F} \psi_{G, m}^{j} \mid B_{\infty, q_{1}^{\prime}}^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\|  \tag{3.55}\\
& \leq\left\|\mathcal{F} w_{-s_{1}} \psi_{G, m}^{j}\left|B_{\infty, 1}^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\|\leq c\| w_{-s_{1}} \psi_{G, m}^{j}\right| L_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq c\left(1+2^{-j}|m|\right)^{-s_{1}} 2^{-j n}
\end{align*}
$$

$j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$. Then (3.11), 3.54, (3.55) applied to (3.1), 3.2) show in the same way as in 3.18 that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\mathcal{F} \mid \mathcal{N}\left(B_{1, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), B_{1, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)\right\| & \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}\left(1+2^{-j}|m|\right)^{-s_{1}} 2^{j\left(s_{2}-n\right)}  \tag{3.56}\\
& \leq c^{\prime \prime} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j s_{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k\left(s_{1}-n\right)}<\infty
\end{align*}
$$

if both $s_{1}>n$ and $s_{2}<0$. This proves that $\mathcal{F}$ is nuclear as claimed.

Now we assume that $\mathcal{F}$ given by 3.51 is a nuclear operator. Using the continuity of the Fourier transform defined on Besov spaces, cf. [19, Theorem 1], we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|w_{s_{2}} \mathcal{F} f \mid L_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\| & =\left\|\mathcal{F} \mathcal{F}^{-1} w_{s_{2}} \mathcal{F} f \mid L_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\|  \tag{3.57}\\
& \leq\left\|I_{s_{2}} f\left|B_{1, \infty}^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\|\leq c\| f\right| B_{1, \infty}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\|
\end{align*}
$$

We combine (3.53 and (3.57 with the identity id $=\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{F}^{-1}$ and get the following nuclear embedding

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { id }: B_{1, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \stackrel{\mathcal{F}}{\hookrightarrow} B_{1, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \stackrel{\mathcal{F}}{\hookrightarrow} L_{\infty}\left(w_{s_{2}}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{3.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the embedding

$$
\mathrm{id}: B_{1, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{-s_{2}}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{\infty, \infty}^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

is also nuclear, and another application of Proposition 3.3(ii) implies $-s_{2}>0$ and $s_{1}>n$.
Remark 3.11. We would like to mention that one can also use a more direct argument to prove the above extensions. This would be based on the modifications

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}: \quad L_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{\infty, 1}^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{3.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}: \quad B_{1, \infty}^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow L_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{3.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the Hausdorff-Young mappings. Here (3.59) follows from

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\mathcal{F} f \mid B_{\infty, 1}^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\| & \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\varphi_{j} f\right) \mid L_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left\|\varphi_{j} f \mid L_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\|  \tag{3.61}\\
& \sim\left\|f \mid L_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\|
\end{align*}
$$

and 3.60 from

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\mathcal{F} f \mid L_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\| & \sim \sup _{j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}\left\|\varphi_{j} \mathcal{F} f \mid L_{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq c \sup _{j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}\left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_{j} \mathcal{F} f \mid L_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\|  \tag{3.62}\\
& =c\left\|f \mid B_{1, \infty}^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\|
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, by duality arguments, one can cover the case $p=\infty$, when $A=B$ and $1<q_{1}, q_{2} \leq \infty$. But using Proposition 3.10, we have even a characterisation in this case.

Corollary 3.12. Let $1<q_{1}, q_{2} \leq \infty$ and $s_{1}, s_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}: \quad B_{\infty, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{\infty, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{3.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

is nuclear if, and only if,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}>0 \quad \text { and } \quad s_{2}<-n \tag{3.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The sufficiency follows from Proposition 3.10 in case of $A=B$ and duality, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}: \quad B_{\infty, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{\infty, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad 1<q_{1}, q_{2} \leq \infty \tag{3.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

is nuclear if, $s_{1}>0$ and $s_{2}<-n$.
We come to the necessity. Note first, that by Proposition 3.10

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}: \quad B_{1, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{1, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad 1 \leq q_{1}, q_{2}<\infty \tag{3.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

is nuclear if, and only if, $s_{1}>n, s_{2}<0$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is also compact. Conversely, if $\mathcal{F}$ in 3.66 is compact, then it follows from (3.8) by the same reduction as in (3.58) to weighted spaces that again $s_{1}>n, s_{2}<0$. In other words, $\mathcal{F}$ in (3.66) is nuclear if, and only if, it is compact.

Let now, conversely, $\mathcal{F}$ in 3.65 for some $s_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $s_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$, be nuclear. Then for the same $s_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $s_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ both $\mathcal{F}$ in 3.65 and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}: \quad \stackrel{\circ}{B}_{\infty, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow \stackrel{\circ}{B_{\infty, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad 1<q_{1}, q_{2} \leq \infty \tag{3.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

are compact. Here $\stackrel{\circ}{B}_{\infty, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ stands for the closure of $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ in $B_{\infty, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, which is a proper subspace of $B_{\infty, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Using the duality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\circ}{B_{\infty, q}^{s}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)^{\prime}=B_{1, q^{\prime}}^{-s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad 1 \leq q \leq \infty, \quad \frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}=1 \tag{3.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

cf. [20, Remark 2.11.2/2, p. 180], then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}: \quad B_{1, q_{2}^{\prime}}^{-s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{1, q_{1}^{\prime}}^{-s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad 1 \leq q_{1}^{\prime}, q_{2}^{\prime}<\infty \tag{3.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

is compact. This requires $-s_{2}>n$ and $-s_{1}<0$.
Remark 3.13. Note that the nuclear counterpart of the argument in (3.67) is not clear, maybe not true, as there is no projection operator from $\ell_{\infty}$ onto $c_{0}$, [1, Corollary $2.5 .6, \mathrm{p} .46$ ], on which a related proof could be based. Furthermore, according to [17, p. 343] the operator ideal $\mathcal{N}$ is not injective which would otherwise ensure the nuclear version of (3.67).

Remark 3.14. Let us remark that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}: \quad B_{1, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{1, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad 1 \leq q_{1}, q_{2}<\infty \tag{3.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

is nuclear if, and only if, it is compact. The same phenomenon can be observed for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}: \quad B_{\infty, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{\infty, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad 1<q_{1}, q_{2} \leq \infty \tag{3.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is nuclear if, and only if, it is compact. In view of Theorems 2.4 and 3.4 this is different from the situation for $1<p<\infty$, when the conditions for the nuclearity of $\mathcal{F}$ are indeed stronger than for its compactness. In other words, for $\mathcal{F}: B_{p, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ compactness and nuclearity coincide if, and only if, $p=1$ or $p=\infty$ (with appropriately chosen $q_{1}, q_{2}$ ), as can be also seen from the reformulated conditions in Remark 3.6 or in Figure 3. We always have $n-\tau_{p^{\prime}}^{n+} \geq \tau_{p}^{n+}$ and $-n-\tau_{p^{\prime}}^{n-} \leq \tau_{p}^{n-}$, with equality in case of $p=1$ or $p=\infty$. A similar phenomenon was observed in [10, Cor. 3.16, Rem. 3.18] related to the situations on domains as described in Proposition 3.2, and for weighted spaces, recall Proposition 3.3.

## 4 Weighted spaces

Let again $A_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha}\right), A \in\{B, F\}$, and $s, p, q$ as in Definition 2.1 be the weighted spaces as introduced in Remark 2.3 where we restrict ourselves to the distinguished weights

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{\alpha}(x)=\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\alpha / 2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

So far we concentrated mainly on the unweighted spaces $A_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and used their weighted generalisations as tools caused by the specific mapping properties of $\mathcal{F}$. But under these circumstances it is quite natural to ask how weighted counterparts of the main assertions obtained in the above Section 3 and in [25] may look like. Fortunately enough there is no need to extend the quite substantial machinery underlying the related theory for the spaces $A_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to the weighted spaces $A_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha}\right)$ (what might be possible), but there is an effective short-cut based on qualitative arguments which will be described below. We rely on the same
remarkable properties of the spaces $A_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha}\right)$ which we already described in Section 2.1 with a reference to [22, Theorem 6.5, pp. 265-266]. In particular, the multiplier

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\beta}: f \mapsto w_{\beta} f, \quad f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad \beta \in \mathbb{R} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is for all these spaces an isomorphic mapping,

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{\beta} A_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha+\beta}\right) & =A_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha}\right),  \tag{4.3}\\
\left\|w_{\beta} f \mid A_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha+\beta}\right)\right\| & \sim\left\|f \mid A_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha}\right)\right\|, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \beta \in \mathbb{R}
\end{align*}
$$

and the lift $I_{\gamma}, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\gamma}: \quad f \mapsto\left(w_{\gamma} \widehat{f}\right)^{\vee}=\left(w_{\gamma} f^{\vee}\right)^{\wedge}, \quad f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{R} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the spaces $A_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ according to 2.11 generates also the isomorphic mappings

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{\gamma} A_{p, q}^{s+\gamma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha}\right) & =A_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha}\right), \\
\left\|\left(w_{\gamma} \widehat{f}\right)^{\vee} \mid A_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha}\right)\right\| & \sim\left\|f \mid A_{p, q}^{s+\gamma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha}\right)\right\|, \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

$\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}, s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0<p, q \leq \infty(p<\infty$ for $F$-spaces $)$.
Note that by the definitions of $W_{\beta}$ in 4.2) and $I_{\gamma}$ in 4.4,

$$
\mathcal{F} \circ W_{\beta} \circ I_{\gamma}=I_{\beta} \circ W_{\gamma} \circ \mathcal{F} \quad \text { on } \quad \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

which directly leads to the decomposition of $\mathcal{F}$ into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}=W_{-\gamma} \circ I_{-\beta} \circ \mathcal{F} \circ W_{\beta} \circ I_{\gamma} \quad \text { on } \quad \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall benefit from this observation below, see also Remark 4.2 .
Although not needed, it might illuminate what is going on that any $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ belongs to a suitable weighted space of the above type. More precisely, one has for fixed $0<p, q \leq \infty$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, s \in \mathbb{R}} B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, s \in \mathbb{R}} B_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha}\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is more or less known and may be found in [24, (2.281), p.74] with a reference to [12] for a detailed proof.

In what follows we are not interested in generality. This may explain why we suppose as in Theorem 3.4 that $1<p, q_{1}, q_{2}<\infty$, whereas it is quite clear that at least some of the arguments below apply also to a wider range of these parameters.

Proposition 4.1. Let $1<p, q_{1}, q_{2}<\infty$ and $s_{1} \in \mathbb{R}, s_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $-\infty<\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \beta, \gamma<\infty$ and $A \in\{B, F\}$. Then there is a continuous mapping

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}: \quad A_{p, q_{1}}^{s_{1}+\gamma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha_{1}+\beta}\right) \hookrightarrow A_{p, q_{2}}^{s_{2}+\beta}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha_{2}+\gamma}\right) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

if, and only if, there is a continuous mapping

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}: \quad A_{p, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha_{1}}\right) \hookrightarrow A_{p, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha_{2}}\right) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, $\mathcal{F}$ in 4.8 is compact if, and only if, $\mathcal{F}$ in 4.9 is compact, and $\mathcal{F}$ in 4.8 is nuclear if, and only if, $\mathcal{F}$ in 4.9) is nuclear.

Proof. Step 1. Let $\mathcal{F}$ in 4.9) be continuous and let $f \in A_{p, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha_{1}+\beta}\right)$. Then it follows from 4.3 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{F}\left(w_{\beta} f\right)\left|A_{p, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha_{2}}\right)\|\leq c\| w_{\beta} f\right| A_{p, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha_{1}}\right)\right\| \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.4) one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F} \circ W_{\beta}=I_{\beta} \circ \mathcal{F} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserted in 4.10 one obtains by 4.3 and 4.5 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{F} f\left|A_{p, q_{2}}^{s_{2}+\beta}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha_{2}}\right)\|\leq c\| f\right| A_{p, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha_{1}+\beta}\right)\right\| \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proves the continuity of $\mathcal{F}$ in 4.8 with $\gamma=0$. Let again $\mathcal{F}$ in 4.9 be continuous and let $f \in$ $A_{p, q_{1}}^{s_{1}+\gamma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha_{1}}\right)$. Then it follows from 4.5 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{F}\left(I_{\gamma} f\right)\left|A_{p, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha_{2}}\right)\|\leq c\| I_{\gamma} f\right| A_{p, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha_{1}}\right)\right\| \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.4) one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F} \circ I_{\gamma}=W_{\gamma} \circ \mathcal{F} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserted in 4.13 one obtains by 4.3 and 4.5 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{F} f\left|A_{p, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha_{2}+\gamma}\right)\|\leq c\| f\right| A_{p, q_{1}}^{s_{1}+\gamma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\alpha_{1}}\right)\right\| \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proves the continuity of $\mathcal{F}$ in 4.8 with $\beta=0$. A combination of the above arguments for $\gamma=0$ and $\beta=0$ shows that $\mathcal{F}$ in 4.8 is continuous for all $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ if $\mathcal{F}$ in 4.9 is continuous. But this covers also the reverse step from (4.8) to (4.9) and proves the above proposition as far as the continuity is concerned.

Step 2. The above arguments combine supposed mapping properties for $\mathcal{F}$ with isomorphisms of type (4.3) and 4.5. But then not only continuity is inherited, but also compactness and nuclearity.

Remark 4.2. The strategy of the above proof can be illustrated by the following commutative diagram:


Here the mappings 4.8 and 4.9 can be found on the left-hand and right-hand side of the diagram, while travelling around in the diagram is based on 4.6.

Now one can extend assertions about continuity, compactness and nuclearity for the unweighted spaces $A_{p, q}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to their weighted counterparts.
Theorem 4.3. Let $1<p, q_{1}, q_{2}<\infty$ and $s_{1} \in \mathbb{R}, s_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\beta \in \mathbb{R}, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A \in\{B, F\}$.
(i) Let $d_{p}^{n}$ and $\tau_{p}^{n+}, \tau_{p}^{n-}$ be as in 2.27, 2.28. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}: \quad A_{p, q_{1}}^{s_{1}+\gamma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\beta}\right) \hookrightarrow A_{p, q_{2}}^{s_{2}+\beta}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, w_{\gamma}\right) \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

is compact if both $s_{1}>\tau_{p}^{n+}$ and $s_{2}<\tau_{p}^{n-}$.
(ii) Then $\mathcal{F}$ in 4.16 is nuclear if, and only if, both

$$
s_{1}>\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
n & \text { for } 1<p \leq 2,  \tag{4.17}\\
\frac{2 n}{p} & \text { for } 2<p<\infty,
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \quad s_{2}< \begin{cases}-2 n\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) & \text { for } 1<p \leq 2 \\
-n & \text { for } 2<p<\infty\end{cases}\right.
$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Proposition 4.1 with $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=0$ combined with Corollary 2.6 and Theorem 3.4

Remark 4.4. It was one of the main aims of [25] to measure the degree of compactness of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}: \quad B_{p, q_{1}}^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{p, q_{2}}^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$1<p, q_{1}, q_{2}<\infty$ and $s_{1}>\tau_{p}^{n+}, s_{2}<\tau_{p}^{n-}$ in terms of entropy numbers. Proposition 4.1 and its proof show that these assertions can also be extended to the compact mappings in 4.16).

Remark 4.5. It is quite obvious that one can relax the assumptions $1<q_{1}, q_{2}<\infty$ for the compact mappings in 4.16 by $0<q_{1}, q_{2} \leq \infty$. This applies also to related entropy numbers as mentioned in Remark 4.4
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