

On Hypersurface of a Finsler space subjected to h -Matsumoto change

M. K. GUPTA and Suman SHARMA

Department of Mathematics
Guru Ghasidas Viswavidyalaya, Bilaspur (C.G.), India
E-mail: mkgiaps@gmail.com, sharma.suman209@gmail.com

Abstract

Recently, we have studied the Finsler space with h -Matsumoto change and found Cartan connection for the transformed space [2]. In this paper, we have discussed certain geometrical properties of the hypersurface of a Finsler space for the h -Matsumoto change.

Keywords: Finsler space, hypersurface, Matsumoto change, h -vector.

1 Introduction

In 1984, C. Shibata [11] introduced a change of Finsler metric called β -change. An important class of β -change is Matsumoto change which is given by $\bar{L}(x, y) = \frac{L^2}{L - \beta}$, where $\beta(x, y) = b_i(x)y^i$ is one form on M^n . The concept of an h -vector $b_i(x, y)$ has been introduced by H. Izumi [7], which is v -covariant constant and satisfies $LC_{ij}^h b_h = \rho h_{ij}$, where ρ is a non-zero scalar function. He showed that the scalar ρ is independent of directional arguments. M. K. Gupta and P. N. Pandey [3] proved that the scalar ρ is constant if the h -vector b_i is gradient. B. N. Prasad [8] discussed the Cartan connection of Finsler space whose metric is given by h -Randers change of a Finsler metric. In 2016, M. K. Gupta and A. K. Gupta [4] studied Finsler space subjected to h -exponential change. Recently, we have obtained [2] the relation between the Cartan connection of Finsler space $F^n = (M^n, L)$ and $\bar{F}^n = (M^n, \bar{L})$, where $\bar{L}(x, y)$ is obtained by the transformation

$$\bar{L}(x, y) = \frac{L^2(x, y)}{L(x, y) - b_i(x, y)y^i}, \quad (1.1)$$

and b_i is an h -vector in (M^n, L) .

“A Hypersurface is a generalization of the concept of hyperplane”. The theory of hypersurface in a Finsler space has been first considered by E. Cartan [1] from two points of view, *i.e.*

- (i) A hypersurface as the whole of tangent line-elements and then it is also a Finsler space.
- (ii) A hypersurface as the whole of normal line-elements and then it is a Riemannian space.

Three kinds of hyperplane has been introduced by A Rapcsák [12] while M. Matsumoto [10] has classified them and developed a systematic theory of Finslerian hypersurfaces. M. K. Gupta and P. N. Pandey [6] discussed the hypersurfaces of a Finsler space whose metric is given by Kropina change with an h -vector. The hypersurface of a Finsler space subjected to an h -exponential change of metric has been studied by Gupta and Gupta [5].

In the present paper, we discuss the geometrical properties of hypersurface of a Finsler space subjected to the h -Matsumoto change given by (1.1).

The terminologies and notations are referred to Matsumoto [9].

2 Preliminaries

Let $F^n = (M^n, L)$ be an n -dimensional Finsler space equipped with the fundamental function $L(x, y)$, satisfying the requisite conditions [9]. The normalized supporting element, angular metric tensor, metric tensor and Cartan tensor are defined by $l_i = \dot{\partial}_i L$, $h_{ij} = L\dot{\partial}_i\dot{\partial}_j L$, $g_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\partial}_i\dot{\partial}_j L^2$ and $C_{ijk} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\partial}_k g_{ij}$ respectively. The Cartan connection in F^n is given as $CT = (F_{jk}^i, G_j^i, C_{jk}^i)$.

A hypersurface M^{n-1} of the underlying smooth manifold M^n may be parametrically represented by the equation $x^i = x^i(u^\alpha)$, where u^α are Gaussian coordinates on M^{n-1} and Greek indices run from 1 to $n-1$. We assume that the matrix of projection factors $B_\alpha^i = \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial u^\alpha}$ is of rank $n-1$. If the supporting element y^i at a point $u = (u^\alpha)$ of M^{n-1} is assumed to be tangent to M^{n-1} , we may then write $y^i = B_\alpha^i(u)v^\alpha$, so that $v = (v^\alpha)$ is thought of as the supporting element of M^{n-1} at the point u^α . Since the function $\underline{L}(u, v) = L(x(u), y(u, v))$ gives rise to a Finsler metric on M^{n-1} , we get an $(n-1)$ -dimensional Finsler space $F^{n-1} = (M^{n-1}, \underline{L}(u, v))$. A unit normal vector $B^i(u, v)$ at each point u^α of F^{n-1} is defined by [10],

$$g_{ij}B_\alpha^i B^j = 0, \quad g_{ij}B^i B^j = 1. \quad (2.1)$$

If the inverse projection of B_α^i is $B_i^\alpha(u, v)$, then we have

$$B_i^\alpha = g^{\alpha\beta} g_{ij} B_\beta^j, \quad (2.2)$$

where $g^{\alpha\beta}$ is the inverse of the metric tensor $g_{\alpha\beta}$ of F^{n-1} .

In view of equation (2.1) and (2.2), we get

$$B_\alpha^i B_i^\beta = \delta_\alpha^\beta, \quad B_\alpha^i B_i = 0, \quad B^i B_i^\alpha = 0, \quad B^i B_i = 1, \quad (2.3)$$

and further

$$B_\alpha^i B_j^\alpha + B^i B_j = \delta_j^i. \quad (2.4)$$

The second fundamental h -vector $H_{\alpha\beta}$ and the normal curvature vector H_α for the induced Cartan connection $\text{ICF}=(F_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha, G_\beta^\alpha, C_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha)$ on F^{n-1} are given by

$$H_{\alpha\beta} = B_i(B_{\alpha\beta}^i + F_{jk}^i B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k) + M_\alpha H_\beta, \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$H_\alpha = B_i(B_{0\alpha}^i + G_j^i B_\alpha^j), \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$M_\alpha = C_{ijk} B_\alpha^i B^j B^k, \quad B_{\alpha\beta}^i = \frac{\partial^2 x^i}{\partial u^\alpha \partial u^\beta}, \quad B_{0\alpha}^i = B_{\beta\alpha}^i v^\beta.$$

The equation (2.5) and (2.6) gives,

$$H_{0\alpha} = H_{\beta\alpha} v^\beta = H_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad H_{\alpha 0} = H_{\alpha\beta} v^\beta = H_\alpha + M_\alpha H_0. \quad (2.7)$$

The second fundamental v -tensor $M_{\alpha\beta}$ is defined as

$$M_{\alpha\beta} = C_{ijk} B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k B^i. \quad (2.8)$$

The relative h - and v -covariant derivative of B_α^i and B^i are given by

$$B_{\alpha|\beta}^i = H_{\alpha\beta} B^i, \quad B_\alpha^i|_\beta = M_{\alpha\beta} B^i, \quad B^i|_\beta = -H_{\alpha\beta} B_j^\alpha g^{ij}, \quad B^i|_\beta = -M_{\alpha\beta} B_j^\alpha g^{ij}. \quad (2.9)$$

The relative h - and v -covariant derivatives of a covariant vector field X_i are given by,

$$X_{i|\beta} = X_{i|j} B_\beta^j + X_i|_j B^j H_\beta, \quad X_i|_\beta = X_i|_j B_\beta^j. \quad (2.10)$$

Different kinds of hyperplane and their characteristic conditions are classified by Matsumoto [10] which are given in the following Lemmas

Lemma 1 *A hypersurface F^{n-1} is a hyperplane of the first kind if and only if $H_\alpha = 0$ or equivalently $H_0 = 0$.*

Lemma 2 *A hypersurface F^{n-1} is a hyperplane of the second kind if and only if $H_{\alpha\beta} = 0$.*

Lemma 3 *A hypersurface F^{n-1} is a hyperplane of the third kind if and only if $H_{\alpha\beta} = 0 = M_{\alpha\beta}$.*

3 The Finsler space $\overline{F}^n = (M^n, \overline{L})$

Let $F^n = (M^n, L)$ be the Finsler space equipped with the fundamental function $L(x, y)$ given by (1.1), where $\beta = b_i(x, y)y^i$, b_i is h -vector defined by

$$(i) \quad b_i|_k = 0, \quad (ii) \quad LC_{ij}^h b_h = \rho h_{ij}, \quad \rho \neq 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Thus from the above definition, we have

$$L\dot{\partial}_j b_i = \rho h_{ij}. \quad (3.2)$$

In this paper, the geometric objects corresponding to \overline{F}^n is denoted by bar over the quantity.

We have obtained the normalized supporting element and the angular metric tensor of \overline{F}^n as [2]

$$\bar{l}_i = \frac{\tau}{(\tau-1)}l_i + \frac{\tau^2}{(\tau-1)^2}m_i, \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$\bar{h}_{ij} = \frac{\tau^2(\tau + \rho\tau - 2)}{(\tau-1)^3}h_{ij} + \frac{2\tau^4}{(\tau-1)^4}m_im_j, \quad (3.4)$$

where $\tau = \frac{L}{\beta}$, $m_i = b_i - \frac{1}{\tau}l_i$.

The metric tensor and Cartan tensor of the transformed space are derived as follows [2]

$$\bar{g}_{ij} = pg_{ij} + p_1l_il_j + p_2(m_il_j + m_jl_i) + p_3m_im_j, \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\bar{C}_{ijk} = pC_{ijk} + V_{ijk}, \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$p = \frac{\tau^2(\tau + \rho\tau - 2)}{(\tau-1)^3}, \quad p_1 = \frac{\tau^2(1 - \rho\tau)}{(\tau-1)^3}, \quad p_2 = \frac{\tau^3}{(\tau-1)^3}, \quad p_3 = \frac{3\tau^4}{(\tau-1)^4},$$

$$V_{ijk} = K_1(h_{ij}m_k + h_{jk}m_i + h_{ki}m_j) + K_2m_im_jm_k,$$

$$K_1 = \frac{\tau^3(\tau + 3\rho\tau - 4)}{2L(\tau-1)^4}, \quad K_2 = \frac{6\tau^4}{\beta(\tau-1)^5}.$$

Remark 1 V_{ijk} is an indicatory tensor and satisfies;

$$(i) \quad V_{ijk}m^i = (2K_1 + K_2m^2)m_jm_k + K_1m^2h_{jk},$$

$$(ii) \quad V_{ijk}g^{ir} = K_1(h_{jk}m^r + h_k^r m_j + h_j^r m_k) + K_2m_jm_km^r,$$

where $h_k^r = h_{ik}g^{ir}$.

The inverse metric tensor of \overline{F}^n is obtained as follows [2]

$$\bar{g}^{ij} = qg^{ij} + q_1l^il^j + q_2(l^im^j + l^jm^i) + q_3m^im^j, \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$q = \frac{1}{p}, \quad q_1 = \frac{-1}{2} \left[\frac{p_1p_3 - p_2^2}{(p_1 + p)p_3 - p_2^2} + \frac{2p^2p_2^2p_3}{(3p + 2p_3m^2)\{(p_1 + p)p_3 - p_2^2\}^2} \right],$$

$$q_2 = \frac{-2p_2p_3}{(3p + 2p_3m^2)\{(p_1 + p)p_3 - p_2^2\}}, \quad q_3 = \frac{-2p_3}{p(3p + 2p_3m^2)},$$

and m is the magnitude of the vector $m^i = g^{ij}m_j$.

We have obtained the relation between the Cartan connection coefficients F_{jk}^i and \bar{F}_{jk}^i as [2]

$$\bar{F}_{jk}^i = F_{jk}^i + D_{jk}^i. \quad (3.8)$$

The difference tensor D_{jk}^i is given by

$$\begin{aligned} D_{jk}^i = \bar{g}^{is} \Big\{ & Q_j F_{sk} + Q_s E_{kj} + Q_k F_{js} + p (C_{jkm} D_s^m - C_{skm} D_j^m - C_{jsm} D_k^m) \\ & + V_{jkm} D_s^m - V_{skm} D_j^m - V_{jsm} D_k^m + B_{js} \beta_k - B_{jk} \beta_s + B_{sk} \beta_j \\ & + \frac{p_2}{2} (\rho_k h_{js} - \rho_s h_{jk} + \rho_j h_{sk}) \Big\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where

$$D_j^i = \bar{g}^{ir} \Big\{ -2D^m (pC_{mrj} + V_{mrj}) + Q_r E_{j0} + E_{00} B_{rj} + p_2 L F_{rj} + Q_j F_{r0} + \frac{1}{2} p_2 \rho_k h_{rj} y^k \Big\}, \quad (3.10)$$

$$D^i = \frac{1}{2} \bar{g}^{is} \{ Q_s E_{00} + 2p_2 L F_{s0} \}, \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Q_r &= (p_2 l_r + p_3 m_r), \quad B_{rj} = K_1 h_{rj} + K_2 m_r m_j \\ 2E_{ij} &= b_{i|j} + b_{j|i}, \quad 2F_{ij} = b_{i|j} - b_{j|i} \\ \beta_j &= \beta_{|j}, \quad \rho_k = \rho_{|k} = \partial_k \rho. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

The zero '0' in subscript is denoted for the contraction by y^i , for example, $F_{ij} y^j = F_{i0}$.

If the h -vector b_i is parallel, *i.e.* $b_{i|j} = 0$, then the Cartan connection coefficient for both spaces are equivalent. Moreover then the Berwald connection coefficient for both the spaces are also identical [2].

4 Hypersurface \bar{F}^{n-1} of the space \bar{F}^n

Let $F^{n-1} = (M^{n-1}, \underline{L}(u, v))$ be a Finslerian hypersurface of the space F^n . The functions $B_\alpha^i(u)$ may be considered as the components of $(n-1)$ linearly independent vectors tangent to F^{n-1} . Let B^i be the unit normal vector at a point of F^{n-1} . Then the unit normal vector $\bar{B}^i(u, v)$ of \bar{F}^{n-1} is uniquely determined by

$$\bar{g}_{ij} B_\alpha^i \bar{B}^j = 0, \quad \bar{g}_{ij} \bar{B}^i \bar{B}^j = 1. \quad (4.1)$$

The inverse projection factors \bar{B}_i^α are uniquely defined along \bar{F}^{n-1} by

$$\bar{B}_i^\alpha = \bar{g}^{\alpha\beta} g_{ij} B_\beta^j, \quad (4.2)$$

where $\bar{g}^{\alpha\beta}$ is the inverse of the metric tensor $\bar{g}_{\alpha\beta}$ of \bar{F}^{n-1} .

From (4.2), it follow that

$$B_\alpha^i \bar{B}_i^\beta = \delta_\alpha^\beta, \quad B_\alpha^i \bar{B}_i = 0, \quad \bar{B}^i \bar{B}_i^\alpha = 0, \quad \bar{B}^i \bar{B}_i = 1. \quad (4.3)$$

Transvecting equation (2.1) by v^α and using $B_\alpha^i v^\alpha = y^i$, we get

$$y_j B^j = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Equation (3.5) is contracting by $B^i B^j$ and using (4.1) and (4.4) we have,

$$\bar{g}_{ij} B^i B^j = p + p_3 (m_i B^i)^2, \quad (4.5)$$

which shows that $B^i / \sqrt{p + p_3 (m_i B^i)^2}$ is a unit normal vector. Again contracting (3.5) by $B_\alpha^i B^j$ and using (2.1), (4.4), we obtain

$$\bar{g}_{ij} B_\alpha^i B^j = (p_2 l_i + p_3 m_i) B_\alpha^i (B^j m_j). \quad (4.6)$$

The above equation shows that the vector B^j is normal to \bar{F}^{n-1} if and only if

$$(p_2 l_i + p_3 m_i) B_\alpha^i (B^j m_j) = 0.$$

This implies atleast one of the following holds

$$(i) \quad (p_2 l_i + p_3 m_i) B_\alpha^i = 0 \quad (ii) \quad B^j m_j = 0.$$

(i) on transvecting by v^α gives $L = 0$, which is not possible. Therefore (ii) holds, *i.e.*

$$B^j m_j = 0, \quad (4.7)$$

which, in view of (4.4), can be equivalently written as

$$B^j b_j = 0. \quad (4.8)$$

This shows that the vector B^j is normal to \bar{F}^{n-1} if and only if b_j is tangent to \bar{F}^{n-1} . In view of equation (4.5), (4.6) and (4.8) we can say that B^i / \sqrt{p} is a unit normal vector of \bar{F}^{n-1} *i.e.*

$$\bar{B}^i = \frac{B^i}{\sqrt{p}}, \quad (4.9)$$

which gives

$$\bar{B}_i = \bar{g}_{ij} \bar{B}^j = \sqrt{p} B_i. \quad (4.10)$$

Thus, we have

Theorem 4.1 *Let \bar{F}^n be the Finsler space obtained from F^n by the h-Matsumoto change (1.1). If \bar{F}^{n-1} are the hypersurface of these spaces then the vector b_i is tangential to the hypersurface F^{n-1} if and only if every vector normal to F^{n-1} is also normal to \bar{F}^{n-1} .*

As $h_{ij} = g_{ij} - l_i l_j$, in view of equation (2.1) and (4.4), we get

$$h_{ij} B_\alpha^j B^i = 0, \quad h_{ij} B^i = B_j. \quad (4.11)$$

Then the tensors B_{ij} and Q_i given by (3.12), satisfy the relations

$$B_{ij} B^i B_\alpha^j = 0, \quad B_{ij} B^i = B_j, \quad Q_j B^j = 0. \quad (4.12)$$

Transvecting (3.7) by B_i and using $l^i B_i = 0 = m^i B_i$, we get

$$\bar{g}^{is} B_i = q B^s. \quad (4.13)$$

From (2.6), (3.10) and (4.10), we get

$$\overline{H}_\alpha = \sqrt{p}(H_\alpha + B_i D_j^i B_\alpha^j).$$

Contracting the above equation by v^α and using $v^\alpha B_\alpha^k = y^k$, we get

$$\overline{H}_0 = \sqrt{p}(H_0 + B_i D^i). \quad (4.14)$$

Equation (3.11) can be rewritten as

$$D^i = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ [(q + q_1)p_2 + q_2 p_3 m^2] E_{00} + 2q_2 p_2 L F_{\beta 0} \right\} l^i + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \mu E_{00} + 2q_3 p_2 L F_{\beta 0} \right\} m^i + q p_2 L F_0^i. \quad (4.15)$$

where $\mu = (q p_3 + q_2 p_2 + q_3 p_3 m^2)$ and $F_{\beta 0} = F_{s0} m^s$.

Transvecting the above equation by B_i and using $m_i B^i = 0$ and $l_i B^i = 0$, we get

$$D^i B_i = q p_2 L B_i F_0^i. \quad (4.16)$$

Let the vector b_i be gradient, i.e. $b_{i|j} = b_{j|i}$, then

$$F_{ij} = 0. \quad (4.17)$$

M. K. Gupta and P. N. Pandey [3] proved the following Lemma,

Lemma 4 *If the h-vector b_i is gradient then the scalar ρ is constant.*

From the above Lemma we get

$$\rho_i = 0. \quad (4.18)$$

In view of (4.17), the equation (4.16) becomes

$$D^i B_i = 0. \quad (4.19)$$

and then equation (4.14) reduces to

$$\overline{H}_0 = \sqrt{p} H_0. \quad (4.20)$$

Thus in view of Lemma (1), we have

Theorem 4.2 *For the h-Matsumoto change, let the h-vector $b_i(x, y)$ be gradient and tangent to the hypersurface F^{n-1} . Then the hypersurface F^{n-1} is a hyperplane of the first kind if and only if hypersurface \overline{F}^{n-1} is a hyperplane of the first kind.*

The second fundamental h-tensor $\overline{H}_{\alpha\beta}$ for hyperplane \overline{F}^{n-1} is given by

$$\overline{H}_{\alpha\beta} = \overline{B}_i (B_{\alpha\beta}^i + \overline{F}_{jk}^i B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k) + \overline{M}_\alpha \overline{H}_\beta.$$

In view of equation (3.8) and (4.10), above equation gives

$$\overline{H}_{\alpha\beta} - \overline{M}_\alpha \overline{H}_\beta = \sqrt{p}(H_{\alpha\beta} + D_{jk}^i B_i B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k) - \sqrt{p} M_\alpha H_\beta. \quad (4.21)$$

Using (4.17) and (4.18), the equation (3.9) reduces to

$$D_{jk}^i = \overline{g}^{is} \left\{ Q_s E_{kj} + p C_{jkm} D_s^m + V_{jkm} D_s^m - p C_{skm} D_j^m - V_{skm} D_j^m - p C_{j sm} D_k^m - V_{j sm} D_k^m + B_{js} \beta_k + B_{sk} \beta_j - B_{jk} \beta_s \right\}. \quad (4.22)$$

Transvecting equation (4.22) by $B_i B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k$ and using $\bar{g}^{ij} B_j = q B^i$, $B^s Q_s = 0$, $B_{sk} B^s B_\beta^k = 0$, we get

$$D_{jk}^i B_i B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k = q B^s B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k \left\{ p C_{jkm} D_s^m + V_{jkm} D_s^m - p C_{skm} D_j^m - V_{skm} D_j^m - p C_{j sm} D_k^m - V_{j sm} D_k^m - B_{jk} \beta_s \right\}. \quad (4.23)$$

In view of equation (4.15), and using the indicatory property of C_{ijk} , V_{ijk} , h_{ij} , m_i , the equation (3.10) can be rewritten as

$$D_s^m = \bar{g}^{mr} \left\{ \lambda h_{sr} + \phi m_s m_r + Q_r E_{s0} \right\}, \quad (4.24)$$

where

$$\lambda = \left[-\mu \left(\frac{p\rho}{L} + m^2 K_1 \right) + K_1 \right] E_{00} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi = \left[-\mu (2K_1 + K_2 m^2) + K_2 \right] E_{00}. \quad (4.25)$$

Transvecting equation (4.24) by C_{jkm} we get

$$C_{jkm} D_s^m = C_{jkm} \left\{ q g^{mr} + q_1 l^m l^r + q_2 (l^m m^r + l^r m^m) + q_3 m^m m^r \right\} \left\{ \lambda h_{sr} + \phi m_s m_r + Q_r E_{s0} \right\}, \quad (4.26)$$

which can be simplified as

$$C_{jkm} D_s^m = q \lambda C_{jsk} + \left[(q + q_3 m^2) \phi + q_3 \lambda \right] \frac{\rho}{L} h_{jk} m_s + \frac{\rho}{L} \mu h_{jk} E_{s0}. \quad (4.27)$$

Similarly we can write the expressions for $C_{skm} D_j^m$ and $C_{j sm} D_k^m$ as

$$C_{skm} D_j^m = q \lambda C_{jsk} + \left[(q + q_3 m^2) \phi + q_3 \lambda \right] \frac{\rho}{L} h_{sk} m_j + \frac{\rho}{L} \mu h_{sk} E_{j0}, \quad (4.28)$$

and

$$C_{j sm} D_k^m = q \lambda C_{jsk} + \left[(q + q_3 m^2) \phi + q_3 \lambda \right] \frac{\rho}{L} h_{sj} m_k + \frac{\rho}{L} \mu h_{sj} E_{k0}. \quad (4.29)$$

Transvecting equations (4.27), (4.28) and (4.29) by $B^s B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k$, and using (2.8) and (4.11), we get respectively

$$B^s C_{jkm} D_s^m B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k = q \lambda M_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{\rho}{L} \mu h_{jk} B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k B^s E_{s0}, \quad (4.30)$$

$$B^s C_{skm} D_j^m B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k = q \lambda M_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (4.31)$$

$$B^s C_{j sm} D_k^m B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k = q \lambda M_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (4.32)$$

Again, transvecting Equation (4.24) by V_{jkm} , we get

$$V_{jkm} D_s^m = V_{jkm} \left\{ q g^{mr} + (q_2 l^r + q_3 m^r) m^m \right\} \left\{ \lambda h_{sr} + \phi m_s m_r + Q_r E_{s0} \right\}. \quad (4.33)$$

By using Remark 1, the above equation can be rewritten as

$$V_{jkm} D_s^m = \left[q \left\{ K_1 [h_{jk} m^r + h_j^r m_k + h_k^r m_j] + K_2 m_j m_k m^r \right\} + (q_2 l^r + q_3 m^r) \left[(2K_1 + K_2 m^2) m_j m_k + K_1 m^2 h_{jk} \right] \right] \left\{ \lambda h_{sr} + \phi m_s m_r + Q_r E_{s0} \right\},$$

which can be simplified as

$$V_{jkm}D_s^m = \left\{ \psi_1 K_1 h_{jk} + (\psi_1 K_2 + 2\psi_2 K_1) m_j m_k \right\} m_s + q\lambda K_1 (h_{js} m_k + h_{sk} m_j) + \left\{ \mu [m^2 K_1 h_{jk} + (2K_1 + K_2 m^2) m_j m_k] \right\} E_{s0}, \quad (4.34)$$

where

$$\psi_1 = (\lambda + \phi m^2) (q + q_3 m^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_2 = (\lambda + \phi m^2) q_3 + q\phi.$$

Similarly we can write the expression for $V_{skm}D_j^m$ and $V_{jkm}D_k^m$ as

$$V_{skm}D_j^m = \left\{ \psi_1 K_1 h_{sk} + (\psi_1 K_2 + 2\psi_2 K_1) m_s m_k \right\} m_j + q\lambda K_1 (h_{js} m_k + h_{jk} m_s) + \left\{ \mu [m^2 K_1 h_{sk} + (2K_1 + K_2 m^2) m_s m_k] \right\} E_{j0}, \quad (4.35)$$

and

$$V_{jkm}D_k^m = \left\{ \psi_1 K_1 h_{sj} + (\psi_1 K_2 + 2\psi_2 K_1) m_j m_s \right\} m_k + q\lambda K_1 (h_{sk} m_j + h_{jk} m_s) + \left\{ \mu [m^2 K_1 h_{sj} + (2K_1 + K_2 m^2) m_s m_j] \right\} E_{k0}. \quad (4.36)$$

Contracting equation (4.34), (4.35), (4.36) by $B^s B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k$ and using $B^i m_i = 0 = h_{ij} B^i B_\alpha^j$, we get respectively

$$B^s V_{jkm} D_s^m B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k = \mu \left\{ K_1 m^2 h_{jk} + (2K_1 + K_2 m^2) m_j m_k \right\} B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k B^s E_{s0}, \quad (4.37)$$

$$B^s V_{skm} D_j^m B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k = 0, \quad (4.38)$$

$$B^s V_{jkm} D_k^m B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k = 0. \quad (4.39)$$

putting the value of equation (4.30), (4.31), (4.32), (4.37), (4.38) and (4.39) in equation (4.23), we get

$$D_{jk}^i B_i B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k = \left[\frac{\mu \rho}{L} - q \left\{ [(2K_1 + K_2 m^2) m_j m_k] + K_1 m^2 h_{jk} \right\} + B_{jk} \right] B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k B^s E_{s0} - q\lambda M_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (4.40)$$

Now taking the relative h -covariant differentiation of $b_i B^i = 0$ with respect to the Cartan connection of F^{n-1} , we get

$$b_{i|j} B^i + b_i B_{|j}^i = 0.$$

In view of equation (2.9) and (2.10), above equation becomes

$$\left(b_{i|j} B^j H_\beta + b_{i|j} B_\beta^j \right) B^i - b_i H_{\alpha\beta} B_j^\alpha g^{ij} = 0,$$

which on contraction by v^β and using (2.7), gives

$$b_{i|0} B^i = (H_\alpha + M_\alpha H_0) B_j^\alpha b^j - b_{i|j} H_0 B^i B^j.$$

In view of Lemma 1, if the hypersurface to be first kind then $H_0 = 0 = H_\alpha$. Thus the above equation reduces to $b_{i|0} B^i = 0$. The vector b_i is gradient, *i.e.* $b_{i|j} = b_{j|i}$, then we get

$$E_{i0} B^i = b_{i|0} B^i = 0. \quad (4.41)$$

Therefore equation (4.40) reduces to

$$D_{jk}^i B_i B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k = -q\lambda M_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (4.42)$$

In view of the above equation and (4.21), we get

$$\overline{H}_{\alpha\beta} - \overline{M}_\alpha \overline{H}_\beta = \sqrt{p}(H_{\alpha\beta} - q\lambda M_{\alpha\beta}) - \sqrt{p}M_\alpha H_\beta. \quad (4.43)$$

Now transvecting (3.6) by $B_\alpha^i B_\beta^j B^k$ and in view of equation (4.8) and (4.11), we obtain

$$\overline{C}_{ijk} B_\alpha^i B_\beta^j B^k = p C_{ijk} B_\alpha^i B_\beta^j B^k. \quad (4.44)$$

From (2.8) and (4.9), equation (4.44) may be written as

$$\overline{M}_{\alpha\beta} = \sqrt{p} M_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (4.45)$$

Thus from (4.43) and (4.45), we have

Theorem 4.3 *For the h -Matsumoto change, let the h -vector b_i be a gradient and tangential to hypersurface F^{n-1} and satisfies condition (4.41). Then*

1. \overline{F}^{n-1} is a hyperplane of second kind if F^{n-1} is hyperplane of second kind and $M_{\alpha\beta} = 0$.
2. \overline{F}^{n-1} is a hyperplane of third kind if F^{n-1} is hyperplane of third kind.

For the h -Matsumoto change, let the vector b_i be parallel with respect to the Cartan connection of F^n . Then Cartan connection coefficient and Berwald connection coefficient for both the spaces are identical [2], i.e.

$$\overline{F}_{jk}^i = F_{jk}^i, \quad (4.46)$$

and

$$\overline{G}_{jk}^i = G_{jk}^i. \quad (4.47)$$

Thus we have

Theorem 4.4 *For the h -Matsumoto change, let the vector $b_i(x, y)$ be parallel with respect to the Cartan connection of F^n and tangent to the hypersurface F^{n-1} . Then \overline{F}^{n-1} is a hyperplane of the second(third) kind if and only if F^{n-1} is also a hyperplane of the second(third) kind.*

The $(v)hv$ -torsion tensor for the hyperplane of first kind is given by [10]

$$P_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = B_\alpha^i K_{\beta\gamma}^i, \quad (4.48)$$

where $K_{\beta\gamma}^i = P_{jk}^i B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k$. Now contracting equation (2.4) by $K_{\beta\gamma}^j$ and using the above equation, we get

$$K_{\beta\gamma}^i = B_\delta^i P_{\beta\gamma}^\delta + B^i B_h K_{\beta\gamma}^h. \quad (4.49)$$

Since the $(v)hv$ -torsion tensor P_{jk}^i is given by

$$P_{jk}^i = G_{jk}^i - F_{jk}^i, \quad (4.50)$$

In view of (4.46) and (4.47), the above equation gives

$$\overline{P}_{jk}^i = P_{jk}^i. \quad (4.51)$$

Thus in view of (4.51) and $K_{\beta\gamma}^i = P_{jk}^i B_\alpha^j B_\beta^k$, we get $\overline{K}_{\beta\gamma}^i = K_{\beta\gamma}^i$.

From the above relation and using equation (4.49) we get

$$\overline{P}_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = \overline{B}_i^\alpha [P_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha B_\alpha^i + K_{\beta\gamma}^j B^i B_j]. \quad (4.52)$$

In view of equation (2.3) and (4.48), the above equation gives us

$$\overline{P}_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = P_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha. \quad (4.53)$$

We know that if the $(v)hv$ -torsion tensor P_{jk}^i vanishes then a Finsler space F^n is called Landsberg space. Thus we have

Theorem 4.5 *For the h-Matsumoto, let the h-vector $b_i(x, y)$ be parallel with respect to the Cartan connection of F^n and tangent to the hypersurface F^{n-1} . Then a hyperplane F^{n-1} of first kind is Landsberg space if and only if the hyperplane \overline{F}^{n-1} of first kind is a Landsberg space.*

For the hyperplane of first kind, the Berwald connection coefficients $G_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$ are given by [10]

$$G_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = B_i^\alpha A_{\beta\gamma}^i, \quad (4.54)$$

where $A_{\beta\gamma}^i = B_{\beta\gamma}^i + G_{jk}^i B_\beta^j B_\gamma^k$. Now contracting equation (2.4) by $A_{\beta\gamma}^j$ and using the above equation, we get

$$A_{\beta\gamma}^i = B_\delta^i G_{\beta\gamma}^\delta + B^i B_h A_{\beta\gamma}^h. \quad (4.55)$$

Thus in view of equation (4.46), (4.47), and from the above equation we get $\overline{A}_{\beta\gamma}^i = A_{\beta\gamma}^i$.

By using this relation and equation (4.54) and (4.55), we obtain

$$\overline{G}_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = \overline{B}_i^\alpha (B_\delta^i G_{\beta\gamma}^\delta + B^i B_h A_{\beta\gamma}^h), \quad (4.56)$$

In view of (2.3), the above equation gives as

$$\overline{G}_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = G_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha. \quad (4.57)$$

If the Berwald connection coefficients G_{jk}^i are function of position only then a Finsler space F^n is called Berwald space. Thus from (4.57), we obtain

Theorem 4.6 *For the h-Matsumoto change, let the h-vector $b_i(x, y)$ be parallel with respect to the Cartan connection of F^n and tangent to the hypersurface F^{n-1} . Then a hyperplane F^{n-1} of first kind is Berwald space if and only if the hyperplane \overline{F}^{n-1} of first kind is a Berwald space.*

Discussion

“ \overline{F}^{n-1} is a hyperplane of third kind if F^{n-1} is hyperplane of third kind.”

This result has been proved by Gupta and Gupta [5] for h -exponential change (which is infinite in nature) with the h -vector b_i be gradient and tangential to hypersurface \overline{F}^{n-1} and satisfies the condition

$$\beta_r C_{ij}^r = 0. \quad (4.58)$$

While, Gupta and Pandey [6] have also obtained the same result with same condition for Kropina change (which is finite in nature) with an h -vector.

In the present paper we have proved the same result for h -Matsumoto change (which is also infinite in nature) without using the condition (4.58).

Notice that the above result holds

- (i) For both the changes *i.e.* h -exponential change [5] (infinite nature) and Kropina change [6] (finite nature) with h -vector by using condition (4.58).
- (ii) For h -Matsumoto change (infinite nature) without using (4.58).

The question is that, Is there any specific change with h -vector (without using the condition (4.58)) for which \overline{F}^{n-1} is a hyperplane of third kind if F^{n-1} is hyperplane of third kind?

References

- [1] E. CARTAN. Les espaces de Finsler. *Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles*, 79, Herman, Paris, 1934.
- [2] M. K. GUPTA, ABHA SAHU, SUMAN SHARMA. Cartan Connection for h -Matsumoto change. *arXiv:submit/4262354 [math.DG]*, 15 Apr 2022.
- [3] M. K. GUPTA and P. N. PANDEY. Finsler space subjected to a Kropina change with an h -vector. *Facta universitatis-series: Mathematics and Informatics*, 30(4):513–525, 2015.
- [4] M. K. GUPTA and A. K. GUPTA. h -exponential change of Finsler metric. *Facta Universitatis, Series: Mathematics and Informatics*, 31(5):1029–1039, 2016.
- [5] M. K. GUPTA and A. K. GUPTA. Hypersurface of a Finsler space subjected to an h -exponential change of metric. *International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics*, 13(10):1650129, 2016.
- [6] M. K. GUPTA AND P. N. PANDEY. Hypersurface of a Finsler Space Subjected to a Kropina Change with an h -Vector. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, India Section A: Physical Sciences*, 88(2):241–246, 2018.

- [7] H. IZUMI. Conformal transformations of Finsler spaces II. *Tensor, N.S.*, 33:337–359, 1980.
- [8] B. N. PRASAD. On the torsion tensors R_{hjk} and P_{hjk} of Finsler spaces with a metric $ds = \sqrt{g_{ij}(dx)dx^i dx^j} + b_i(x, y)dx^i$. *Indian J. pure appl. Math*, 21(1):27-39, 1990.
- [9] M. MATSUMOTO. *Foundations of Finsler geometry and special Finsler spaces*. Kaiseisha, 1986.
- [10] M. MATSUMOTO. The induced and intrinsic Finsler connections of a hypersurface and Finslerian projective geometry. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ*, 25(3):107–144, 1985.
- [11] C. SHIBATA. On invariant tensors of β -changes of Finsler metrics. *Journal of Mathematics of Kyoto University*, 24(1):163–188, 1984.
- [12] A. RAPCSÁK. Eine neue Charakterisierung Finslerscher Rume Skalarer und konstanter Krmmung und projektiv-ebene Rume. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar* 8(3):1–8, 1957 .