arXiv:2205.03757v1 [cs.DM] 8 May 2022

Cover time of graphs with bounded genus

Naoki Matsumoto*and Yuuki Takai†

Abstract

The cover time of a finite connected graph is the expected number of steps needed for a simple random walk on the graph to visit all vertices of the graph. It is known that the cover time of any finite connected n-vertex graph is at least $(1+o(1))n\log n$ and at most $(1+o(1))\frac{4}{27}n^3$. By Jonasson and Schramm, the cover time of any bounded-degree finite connected n-vertex planar graph is at least $cn(\log n)^2$ and at most $6n^2$, where c is a positive constant depending only on the maximal degree of the graph. In particular, the lower bound is established via the use of circle packing of planar graphs on the Riemann sphere. In this paper, we show that the cover time of any finite n-vertex graph G with maximum degree Δ on the compact Riemann surface S of given genus g is at least $cn(\log n)^2/\Delta(g+1)$ and at most $(6+o(1))n^2$, where c is an absolute constant, if n is sufficiently large and three sufficient conditions for S and a circle packing of G filling S.

1 Introduction

A *random walk* on a graph is a simple stochastic process such that whenever a random walker at a certain vertex chooses a neighbor uniformly at random and moves to this neighbor. The study of random walks ranges over many research fields, e.g., probability, graph theory, and algebra (for several major topics and well-known results, see books [AF, Woe00]). Moreover, there are many not only mathematical researches but also applications of random walks so far; for example, PageRank [BP98] is the most typical application of random walk based algorithms (see surveys [MPL17, XLN+19] and in particular, the former addresses both discrete and continuous-time random walks). The main subject in this paper is the *cover time* of a finite graph by random walks, which is the expected number of steps needed for a simple random walk on the graph to visit all vertices of the graph [Ald89]. At the same time, as being an interesting basic concept of random walks, the cover time of graphs is an important invariant for network analysis such as community detection, since it gives us an amount

*Research Institute for Digital Media and Content, Keio University, Kanagawa, Japan, e-mail: naokimatsumoto@dmc.keio.ac.jp

†Academic Foundations Programs, Kanazawa Institute of Technologym, Kanazawa Institute of Technology, Ishikawa, Japan, e-mail: takai@neptune.kanazawa-it.ac.jp

of time to cover all vertices of a community (see surveys about community detection by random walks [BS16, CDMG17]).

In what follows, all graphs are finite, simple, and undirected unless we particularly mention them. Feige estimated the lower and upper bounds of cover times of connected graphs as follows.

Theorem 1 ([Fei95a, Fei95b])**.** *Let G be a connected graph with n vertices. Then the cover time of G is at least $(1+o(1))n\log n$ and at most $(1+o(1))\frac{4}{27}n^3$.*

The bounds of Theorem 1 are the best possible, and a complete graph and a lollipop graph attain the lower and upper bound, respectively, where a *lollipop graph* is obtained from a complete graph K and a path P by identifying a vertex of K and an end-vertex of P. Since a lollipop graph contains a clique, it is worth considering the cover time of a graph with a bounded genus of compact Riemann surfaces (i.e., compact orientable topological surfaces) on which the graph can be embedded; it is well known that the order of complete graphs which can be embedded on a compact Riemann surface of genus g is bounded from above (by a function depending only on g) when g is fixed. So, we focus on the cover time of graphs G with genus g bounded.

Jonasson and Schramm estimated the cover time of planar graphs (i.e., graphs on the Riemann sphere, which is a Riemann surface of genus zero) with a bounded maximum degree, as follows.

Theorem 2 ([JS$^+$00])**.** *Let G be a connected planar graph with n vertices and maximum degree Δ. Then the cover time of G is greater than $cn(\log n)^2$ and less than $6n^2$, where c is a positive constant depending only on Δ.*

In this paper, we extend Theorem 2 to a general compact Riemann surface, as described in the following main theorem.

Main theorem. Let $\{G_k = (V_k, E_k)\}_{k\geq 0}$ be an increasing sequence of connected graphs with maximum degree Δ and minimum genus g. We assume that $\{G_k\}$ satisfies three assumptions (described at the beginning of Section 3). Then, there is an absolute constant c such that for any sufficiently large k, the following holds:

$$\frac{c|V_k|(\log|V_k|)^2}{\Delta(g+1)} < \text{cover time of } G_k \leq \left(6+\frac{12g-18}{|V_k|}-\frac{12g-12}{|V_k|^2}\right)|V_k|^2.$$

Although there is a nice affinity between random walks and circle packing of planar graphs [Nac20], there is no result about random walks using circle packing of graphs on non-spherical Riemann surfaces. In the proof of Theorem 2, Jonasson and Schramm applied such a nice relation for planar graphs to estimate the cover time of those graphs. The lower bound of our main theorem is established using circle packing of graphs on Riemann surfaces, which is a generalization of Jonasson and Schramm's proof for their lower bound. For generalization of Jonasson and Schramm's method, our proof contains several known results established in distinct research fields, e.g., topology and theory of discrete analytic functions.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we introduce the precise definitions of notions and notations used in this paper. In Section 3, we give the

precise statement of the main theorem and prove it. In Section 4, we remark on the cover time of some graphs of minimum genus g.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce bare minimum terms and lemmas concerning cover time and circle packing on surfaces to prove the main theorem.

2.1 Cover time

Let $G = (V, E)$ be an undirected finite graph and $\{X_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ be a simple random walk on G such that $X_0 = v$ where $v \in V$. We define the *first passage time* T_u^v of $\{X_t\}$ from v to u is defined by

$$T_u^v := \min\{t \geq 0 \mid X_t = u\}.$$

The *cover time* C^v of the random walk $\{X_t\}$ is defined by

$$C^v = \max\{T_u \mid u \in V\}.$$

The main object in this paper is the cover time $E_v(C^v) = E_v(C)$, which is defined by the expected number of steps that it takes for a random walk that starts at v to visit all vertices of the graph. We define the cover time $E_G(C)$ of G by $E_G(C) = \max_v E_v(C)$.

To analyze $E_v(C^v)$, we use the following:

$$\begin{aligned} H(u,v) &= E_v T_u^v : \text{hitting time from } v \text{ to } u, \\ C(u,v) &= H(u,v) + H(v,u) : \text{commute time}, \\ D(u,v) &= H(u,v) - H(v,u) : \text{difference time}. \end{aligned}$$

It is known that the triangle equation for $D(u, v)$ holds ([CTW93, Lemma 2]):

$$D(u,v) + D(v,w) = D(u,w). \tag{1}$$

The effective resistance $R(u, v)$ is also important. This is defined as

$$R(u,v) = \sup_{f\in\mathbb{R}^V, \mathcal{D}(f)\neq 0} \frac{(f(u)-f(v))^2}{\mathcal{D}(f)},$$

where $\mathcal{D}(f) = \sum_{\{u,v\}\in E}(f(u) - f(v))^2$. Note that $R(u, v) = R(v, u)$ for any $u, v \in V$. By [CRR$^+$96, Theorem 2.1] or [Tet91, Corollary 2.1], the commute time and the effective resistance are related by the equation

$$C(u,v) = 2|E|R(u,v) \tag{2}$$

for two vertices $u, v \in V$ on a connected component. By using this relation and the triangle inequality of commute times,

$$R(u,v) \leq R(u,w) + R(w,v) \tag{3}$$

holds for any $u, v, w \in V$.

By [Tet91, Theorem 5], the following relation between hitting times and effective resistance is known:

$$H(u,v) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{w \in V} d_w (R(u,v) + R(v,w) - R(u,w)), \tag{4}$$

where d_w is the degree of w.

As a relation between the expected time of cover times and hitting times, Matthew's inequality is well-known:

Lemma 1 ([AF, Theorem 2.6]). *Let $G = (V, E)$ be an undirected finite graph and $n = |V|$. Then, for any subset $V_0 \subset V$ which is $|V_0| \geq 2$, the following holds:*

$$h_{|V_0|-1} \min\{H(u,v) \mid u, v \in V_0, u \neq v\} \leq E_G(C) \leq h_{n-1} \max\{H(u,v) \mid u, v \in V\},$$

where $h_m = \sum_{i=1}^{m} i^{-1}$.

2.2 Circle packing on Surface

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be an oriented surface with a metric. Then, a set $P = \{c_v\}$ of circles c_v on $\mathcal{G}$ is said to be a *circle packing* for a simplicial 2-complex K if

(1) P has a circle c_v associated with each vertex v of K,

(2) two c_u, c_v are externally tangent whenever $\{u, v\}$ is an edge of K,

(3) three circles c_u, c_v, c_w form a positively oriented triple in $\mathcal{G}$ whenever $\{u, v, w\}$ form a positively oriented face of K.

It is known that there is a circle packing for any triangulation of the compact orientable topological surface on a Riemann surface as follows:

Lemma 2 ([Ste05, Theorem 4.3]). *Let K be a complex that triangulates a compact orientable topological surface S. Then, there exists a Riemann surface $\mathcal{S}_K$ homeomorphic to S and a circle packing P for K in the associated intrinsic spherical, euclidean, or hyperbolic metric on $\mathcal{S}_K$ such that P is univalent and fills $\mathcal{S}_K$. The Riemann surface $\mathcal{S}_K$ is unique up to conformal equivalence, and P is unique up to conformal automorphisms of $\mathcal{S}_K$.*

2.3 Branched covering

Let S_1, S_2 be two Riemann surfaces, and $f \colon S_1 \to S_2$ a nontrivial analytic map. Then, for any $P \in S_1$ and charts $z = \varphi_i^1(P) \in \varphi_i^1(U_i^1)$ and $f(P) \in U_j^2$, by changing the charts if it is necessary, $\varphi_j^2 \circ f \circ (\varphi_i^1)^{-1}(z)$ can be approximated as

$$\varphi_j^2 \circ f \circ (\varphi_i^1)^{-1}(z) \sim z^n.$$

Then, n is called by the ramification index of f at P, denoted by $e_P = e_P(f)$. If $e_P > 1$, then the map f is called a *branced covering* and $P \in S_1$ is called a *branch point* of f.

We assume that f is nontrivial. Then, it is known that for any $Q \in S_2$, the summation

$$\sum_{P \in f^{-1}(Q)} e_P$$

is a constant independent of Q. We call this summation the *degree* of f. This is denoted by $\deg(f)$.

Let S be a compact Riemann surface of genus g. Then, as a consequence of the Riemann-Roch Theorem, we have the following:

Lemma 3 ([For12, Corollary 16.12])**.** *There is a branched covering $f\colon S \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ whose degree is at most $g+1$.*

On the other hand, let S_1, S_2 be compact Riemann surfaces of genus g_1, g_2 respectively. Then, the following is known:

Lemma 4 (Riemann-Hurwitz formula [For12, §.17.14])**.** *For any analytic map $f\colon S_1 \to S_2$, the following holds:*

$$2 - 2g_1 = \deg(f)(2 - 2g_2) - \sum_{P \in S_1} (e_P - 1).$$

By Lemma 4, an analytic map f appearing in Lemma 3 satisfies the following.

Lemma 5. *The following holds:*

$$\#\{\text{branch points of } f\} \leq \sum_{P \in S_1} (e_P - 1) = 2\deg(f) - (2 - 2g) \leq 4g = O(g).$$

3 Statement and proofs

3.1 Statement

Let $\{G_k = (V_k, E_k)\}_{k \geq 0}$ be an increasing sequence of undirected finite connected graphs with maximum degree Δ and minimum genus g. We assume that G_k satisfies the following assumptions:

(A1) There is a compact Riemann surface S_k of genus g which is filled by a circle packing $P_k = \{C_v \mid v \in V_k\}$ of G_k.

(A2) The sequence of compact Riemann surfaces $\{S_k\}$ converges to a compact Riemann surface S_∞ in the moduli of compact Riemann surfaces X_g of genus g, and there is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism $\varphi_k\colon S_\infty \to S_k$ which converges to the identity map of S_∞ in the moduli space.

(A3) The maximum of radii of circles in P_k converges to zero when k goes to ∞.

Under these assumptions, the main theorem in this paper is the following:

Theorem 3. *Let $\{G_k = (V_k, E_k)\}_{k\geq 0}$ be an increasing sequence of undirected finite connected graphs with maximum degree Δ and minimum genus g. We assume that $\{G_k\}$ satisfies the assumptions* (A1), (A2), *and* (A3). *Then, there is an absolute constant c such that for any sufficiently large k, the following holds:*

$$\frac{c|V_k|(\log|V_k|)^2}{\Delta(g+1)} < E_{G_k}(C) \leq \left(6 + \frac{12g-18}{|V_k|} - \frac{12g-12}{|V_k|^2}\right)|V_k|^2.$$

We show some examples satisfying the assumptions (A1), (A2), and (A3).

Example 1. The grid graph $(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})^2$ satisfies the assumptions (A1), (A2), and (A3). Indeed, we consider the lattice $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\sqrt{-1}$ in $\mathbb{C}$ and a grid graph $\Lambda_k = (1/k)\Lambda$. Then, the set of circles $\widetilde{P}_k = \{C(z) \mid z \in (1/k)\Lambda\}$, where $C(z)$ is the circle in $\mathbb{C}$ whose center is z and radius is $1/2k$. Then, the quotient $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ becomes a torus and Λ_k/Λ becomes the grid graph $(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})^2$. Then, the quotient P_k of the set of circles $\widetilde{P}_k$ can be regarded as a circle packing of the grid graph on the torus $\mathbb{T}$. Hence, by replacing $G_k = (\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})^2$, $S_k = S_\infty = \mathbb{T}$, $\varphi_k = \mathrm{id}$, the assumption holds for grid graph $(\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})^2$. We remark that the order of the lower bound of Theorem 3 is best possible in general by the results in [Zuc90] or [DPRZ04], since a grid graph has maximum degree at most 4.

Example 2. Let $G = G_0$ be a connected finite triangulation with maximum degree Δ and minimum genus g and $G_k = (V_k, E_k)$ be the graph obtained by taking k times of the hexiagonal refinement of G. Then, $\{G_k\}$ is an increasing sequence of finite graphs with maximum degree Δ and minimum genus g. As in [Kel06], we can show that the sequence $\{G_k\}$ satisfies the assumption (A1), (A2), and (A3).

Note that the order of the upper bound of Theorem 3 is best possible in general since the cover time of a path with n vertices is $\Omega(n^2)$.

3.2 The upper bound

The upper bound of Theorem 3 can be proved for any finite graph G of minimum genus g. Hence, we show this for such a graph $G = (V, E)$ with $|V| = n$.

In general, the following estimate of upper bounds has been known:

Lemma 6 ([AF, Theorem 1. Chapter 6])**.** *Let $\overline{d}$ be the average degree of G. Then, the cover time of random walk is bounded from above as follows:*

$$E_G(C) \leq \overline{d}n(n-1).$$

If the graph G is a finite graph of minimum genus g, then Euler's polyhedron formula implies

$$|V| - |E| + |F| = 2 - 2g,$$

where F is the set of faces of the simplicial complex defined by G. Because $|F| \leq 2|E|/3$ holds, we have

$$|V| - \frac{|E|}{3} \geq 2 - 2g.$$

Thus, the average $\overline{d} = 2|E|/|V|$ can be written as

$$\overline{d} \leq 6 + \frac{12(g-1)}{n}.$$

This implies the following.

Proposition 1. *The following holds:*

$$E_v(C) \leq 6n(n-1) + 12(g-1)(n-1) = n^2\left(6 + \frac{12g-18}{n} - \frac{12g-12}{n^2}\right).$$

□

3.3 The lower bound

Let $\{G_k = (V_k, E_k)\}_{k\geq 0}$ be an increasing sequence of undirected finite connected graphs with maximum degree Δ and minimum genus g. We assume that $\{G_k\}$ satisfies the assumptions (A1), (A2) and (A3). Let S_k be a compact Riemann surface which fills a circle packing $P_k = \{C_v\}_{v\in V_k}$ of G_k by Lemma 2, and $z_v \in S_k$ the center of the circle C_v.

By Lemma 3, there is a branched covering $f_\infty \colon S_\infty \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ whose degree is at most $g+1$. We define $f_k = f_\infty \circ \varphi_k^{-1} \colon S_k \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, where $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. Then, f_k is homotopic to a quasiconformal map of the same degree (denoted by the same symbol f_k). We remark that by the assumption (A2), f_k converges to f_∞. Then, we show the following inequality:

Lemma 7. *For any sufficiently large k, there is a positive constant A such that for any $w, u \in V_k$ satisfying $\{w,u\} \notin E_k$, $f_k(z_w), f_k(z_u) \notin \{\infty\}$, and $|f_k(z_w) - f_k(z_u)| \geq 3\widetilde{\delta}$,*

$$R_{G_k}(w,u) \geq \frac{A}{\Delta(g+1)} \log(|f_k(z_w) - f_k(z_u)|/r'_u)$$

holds. Here, r'_u is the maximum radius of a circle of the center $f_k(z_u)$ included in $f_k(C_u)$, i.e.,

$$r'_u = \max\{r > 0 \mid B_r(f_k(z_u)) \subset f_k(C_u)\},$$

$\widetilde{\delta}$ is the maximum of the diameters of $\{F_k(C_v)\}_{v\in V_k}$, i.e.,

$$\widetilde{\delta} = \max\{\mathrm{diam}(F_k(C_v)) \mid v \in V_k\},$$

and $B_r(z)$ for $z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is the closed disk in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ of radius r and of center z.

Proof. We fix the vertices w and u as in the statement. Then, we define the map $F_k \colon S_k \backslash f_k^{-1}(\{\infty\} \cup f_k(z_u)) \to \mathbb{R} + (\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})i$ by $F_k(z) = \log(f_k(z) - f_k(z_u))$. Let ε be a positive constant and $K \subset S_k \backslash f_k^{-1}(\{\infty\} \cup f_k(z_u))$ a compact subset. As an argument in [Kel06, Section 5], there are positive numbers $\delta > 0$ and $N > 0$ such that for any positive number $\delta' < \delta$, any $k > N$, any $z \in K$ which is not close to any branch point of f_k,

$$H_{F_k}(z;\delta') = \frac{\max_{d_{S_k}(w,z)=\delta'} |F_k(w) - F_k(z)|}{\min_{d_{S_k}(w,z)=\delta'} |F_k(w) - F_k(z)|} \leq 1 + \varepsilon$$

holds, where $d_{S_k}(w,z)$ is the distance between w and z with respect to the metric of constant curvature on S_k.

By taking k as sufficiently large if necessary and the assumption (A3), we may assume that any radii of circles in $\{C_v\}_{v\in V_k}$ is smaller than δ by [BS04, Lemma 3.4]. Under this assumption, for any $v \in V_k \cap K$ such that $Disk(C_v) \cap \{\text{branch points}\} = \emptyset$, where $Disk(C_v)$ denotes the disk (on S_k) bounded by C_v, the diameter and the area of $F_k(C_v)$ satisfy the following relation:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{diam}(F_k(C_v))^2 &\leq (2\max_{z\in C_v}|F_k(z)-F_k(z_v)|)^2\\ &\leq 4(1+\varepsilon)^2(\min_{z\in C_v}|F_k(z)-F_k(z_v)|)^2\\ &\leq \frac{4(1+\varepsilon)^2}{\pi}\mathrm{area}(F_k(C_v)).\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

We set $a := \log r'_u$, $b = \log|f_k(z_w)-f_k(z_u)|$, and fix a constant c such that $c > a+2\widetilde{\delta}$ and $c < b$, where $\widetilde{\delta}$ is the maximum of the diameters of $\{F_k(C_v)\}_{v\in V_k}$. Using the map F_k, we define a map $g\colon V_k \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$g(v) := \begin{cases}\min\{\max\{\mathrm{Re}F_k(z_v), c\}, b\} & \text{if } v \neq u\\ a & \text{if } v = u.\end{cases}$$

We use this map $g\colon V_k \to \mathbb{R}$ to deduce a lower bound of the effective resistance

$$R_{G_k}(w,u) = \sup_{h\colon V_k\to\mathbb{R}} \frac{(h(w)-h(u))^2}{\sum_{\{v_1,v_2\}\in E_k}(h(v_1)-h(v_2))^2}. \tag{6}$$

We divide some cases to give bounds of the summands of the denominator of the right hand side of (6).

(i) If $\log|f_k(z_{v_i})-f_k(z_u)| \geq b$ for $i=1,2$ or $c \geq \log|f_k(z_{v_i})-f_k(z_u)|$ for $i=1,2$ holds, then $|g(v_1)-g(v_2)|=0$ holds.

(ii) Suppose that for $\{v_1,v_2\}\in E_k$, the assumption of (i) does not holds and there is no branch point of f_k included in $C_{v_1}\cup C_{v_2}$. Then, because there is an element $z' \in C_{v_1}\cap C_{v_2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|g(v_1)-g(v_2)| &\leq |g(v_1)-\mathrm{Re}F_k(z')| + |\mathrm{Re}F_k(z')-g(v_2)|\\ &\leq \max_{z\in C_{v_1}}\mathrm{Re}F_k(z) - \min_{z\in C_{v_1}}\mathrm{Re}F_k(z) + \max_{z\in C_{v_2}}\mathrm{Re}F_k(z) - \min_{z\in C_{v_2}}\mathrm{Re}F_k(z)\\ &\leq \mathrm{diam}(F_k(C_{v_1})) + \mathrm{diam}(F_k(C_{v_2})).\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

We remark that, $F_k(C_{v_1})$ and $F_k(C_{v_2})$ are included in the domain $[c-2\widetilde{\delta}, b+2\widetilde{\delta}]\times(\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})i$, where $\widetilde{\delta}$ is the maximum of diameters of $\{F_k(C_v)\}_{v\in V_k}$.

We set the subset $V'_k \subset V_k$ (resp. $E'_k \subset E_k$) consisting of the vertices $v \in V_k$ (resp. the edges $\{v_1,v_2\}\in E_k$) such that there is no branch point of f_k included

in C_v (resp. $C_{v_1} \cup C_{v_2}$). Then, by the inequality (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\{v_1,v_2\}\in E'_k} (g(v_1)-g(v_2))^2 \\
&\qquad \le \sum_{\substack{\{v_1,v_2\}\in E'_k \\ b+2\widetilde{\delta}>\mathrm{Re}F_k(z_{v_i})>c-2\widetilde{\delta}}} \{\mathrm{diam}(F_k(C_{v_1}))+\mathrm{diam}(F_k(C_{v_2}))\}^2 \\
&\qquad \le \sum_{\substack{\{v_1,v_2\}\in E'_k \\ b+2\widetilde{\delta}>\mathrm{Re}F_k(z_{v_i})>c-2\widetilde{\delta}}} 2\{\mathrm{diam}(F_k(C_{v_1}))^2+\mathrm{diam}(F_k(C_{v_2}))^2\} \\
&\qquad \le 2\Delta \sum_{\substack{v\in V'_k \\ b+2\widetilde{\delta}>\mathrm{Re}F_k(z_v)>c-2\widetilde{\delta}}} \mathrm{diam}(F_k(C_v))^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the inequality (5) and applying the inequality (5) for the compact subset $K=\{z\in S_k \mid b\ge \mathrm{Re}F_k(z)\ge c\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\Delta \sum_{\substack{v\in V'_k \\ b+2\widetilde{\delta}>\mathrm{Re}F_k(z_{v_i})>c-2\widetilde{\delta}}} \mathrm{diam}(F_k(C_v))^2 \\
&\qquad \le \frac{8\Delta(1+\varepsilon)^2}{\pi} \sum_{\substack{v\in V'_k \\ b+2\widetilde{\delta}>\mathrm{Re}F_k(z_{v_i})>c-2\widetilde{\delta}}} \mathrm{area}(F_k(C_v)) \\
&\qquad \le 16\Delta(1+\varepsilon)^2(g+1)(b-c+4\widetilde{\delta}) \\
&\qquad < 16\Delta(1+\varepsilon)^2(g+1)(b-a+4\widetilde{\delta}).
\end{aligned}$$

Here, the fifth inequality follows from Lemma 3.

(iii) The remaining case is that $v_1, v_2 \in E_k$ does not holds the assumption (i) and there are branch points of f_k included in $C_{v_1}\cup C_{v_2}$.
We set $\alpha_i = |f_k(z_{v_i})-f_k(z_u)|$ for $i=1,2$. We may assume that $\log\alpha_2 \ge c$ without loss of generality. Then, by the assumption (A3) and the fact that f_k converges to a map f_∞, any small constant $\epsilon>0$, $|\alpha_1-\alpha_2|<\epsilon$ holds for sufficiently large k. (More precisely, $\lim_{k\to\infty}\max|\alpha_1-\alpha_2|\to 0$ and then $\epsilon\to 0$.) Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(g(v_1)-g(v_2))^2 &= (\log|f_k(z_{v_1})-f_k(z_u)|-\log|f_k(z_{v_2})-f_k(z_u)|)^2 \\
&= (\log(\alpha_1)-\log(\alpha_2))^2 \\
&= \left(\epsilon\frac{1}{\alpha_2}+o(\epsilon)\right)^2 \le O(1)\left(\epsilon\frac{1}{e^c}\right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, the last inequality follows from $\log\alpha_2\ge c$. Because the degree of f_k is at most $g+1$, the number of branch points of f_k is at most $4g$ by Lemma 5. Hence, the contribution of the set $E_k\backslash E'_k$ for the denominator of (6) is $O(g)\epsilon^2$.

Since f_k (hence F_k) converges to a map as in [Kel06, Lemma 5.4] and the radii of circle packings goes to zero when $k\to\infty$, the constant $\widetilde{\delta}$ is $o(1)$ when $k\to\infty$. Thus,

by combining (i), (ii), (iii), and definition of $R_{G_k}(w,u)$, the required inequality

$$\begin{aligned} R_{G_k}(w,u) &\geq \frac{(b-a)^2}{16\Delta(1+\varepsilon)^2(g+1)(b-a+4\widetilde{\delta})+O(g)\epsilon^2} \\ &\geq \frac{(b-a)^2}{16\Delta(1+\varepsilon)^2(g+1)(b-a+o(1))+o(1)} \\ &\geq \frac{C}{16\Delta(1+\varepsilon)^2(g+1)}(b-a) \\ &\geq \frac{C}{16\Delta(1+\varepsilon)^2(g+1)}\log(|f_k(z_w)-f_k(z_u)|/r'_u) \end{aligned}$$

holds for a positive constant C. □

In this situation, we can guarantee an existence of a subset Z that guarantees large effective resistance.

Proposition 2. *For sufficiently large k, there are positive constants A' (same as in Lemma 7) and c such that for any subset $W \subset V_k$, there is a subset $Z \subset W$ satisfying $|Z| \geq |W|^c/(g+1)$ and for any $u, w \in Z$,*

$$R_{G_k}(u,w) \geq \frac{A'}{\Delta(g+1)} c \log|W|.$$

Proof. We set $n = |W|$. Because the map $f_k \colon S_k \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is of degree at most $g+1$, there is an open set $U \subset S_k$ such that

$$|\{v \in W \mid z_v \in U\}| \geq \frac{|W|}{g+1}.$$

We fix the open set U and set

$$W_U := \{v \in W \mid z_v \in U\}.$$

Let s be a positive number. For this s, we define

$$W_j := \{v \in W_U \mid r'_v \in (n^{s(j-1)}, n^{sj}]\} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots),$$

where r'_v is same as in the statement of Lemma 7, i.e., it is defined by

$$r'_v = \max\{r > 0 \mid B_r(f_k(z_v)) \subset f_k(C_v)\}.$$

Then, $\bigsqcup_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} W_j = W_U$ holds. If $u \in W_j$ and $v \in W_k$ for $k - j \geq 2$, then

$$\frac{r'_v}{r'_u} \geq \frac{n^{s(k-1)}}{n^{sj}} = n^{s(k-j-1)} \geq n^s$$

holds. Thus, by Lemma 7, we have

$$R_{G_k}(u,v) \geq \frac{A}{\Delta(g+1)}\log(|f_k(z_u)-f_k(z_v)|/r'_u) \geq \frac{A}{\Delta(g+1)}\log(r'_v/r'_u) \geq \frac{As}{\Delta(g+1)}\log n.$$

Here, the second inequality follows from the facts that z_u and z_v are in the same open set U, hence $f_k(z_u) \notin f_k(C_v)$.

Now, either of $|\bigsqcup_{j\colon \text{odd}} W_j| \geq |W|/(2(g+1))$ or $|\bigsqcup_{j\colon \text{even}} W_j| \geq |W|/(2(g+1))$ holds. We assume that the latter case holds.

From now on, we extract a subset $Z_j \subset W_j$ such that for any $u, v \in Z_j$ satisfying $u \neq v$, $R_{G_k}(u,v) \geq \frac{A}{\Delta(g+1)} s \log n$ holds. We take Z_j as a maximal subset of W_j such that for any $u, v \in Z_j$ satisfying $u \neq v$,

$$|f_k(z_u) - f_k(z_v)| \geq (1+\varepsilon) n^{s(j+1)}. \tag{8}$$

Then, if $u, v \in Z_j$ and $u \neq v$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{G_k}(u,v) &\geq \frac{A}{\Delta(g+1)} \log(|f_k(z_u) - f_k(z_v)|/r'_v) \\ &\geq \frac{A}{\Delta(g+1)} \log((1+\varepsilon)n^{s(j+1)}/n^{sj}) = \frac{A}{\Delta(g+1)} \left(s \log n + \log(1+\varepsilon)\right). \end{aligned}$$

We shall show the lower bound of the order of the obtained subset Z_j. For a given $v \in W_j$, we consider the circle C'_v in U of radius $2(1+\varepsilon)n^{s(j+1)}$ of center $f_k(z_v)$. If for another vertex $u \in W_j$, $f_k(C_u) \not\subset C'_v$ holds, then z_u satisfies the inequality (8). Indeed, if $f_k(C_u) \not\subset C'_v$ holds, then

$$\begin{aligned} |f_k(z_u) - f_k(z_v)| &\geq 2(1+\varepsilon)n^{s(j+1)} - \max\{|z - f_k(z_u)| \mid z \in f_k(C_u)\} \\ &\geq 2(1+\varepsilon)n^{s(j+1)} - (1+\varepsilon)n^{sj} \geq (1+\varepsilon)n^{s(j+1)} \end{aligned}$$

holds. Here, the second inequilty follows from

$$\max\{|z - f_k(z_u)| \mid z \in f_k(C_u)\} \leq r'_v(1+\varepsilon) \leq n^{sj}(1+\varepsilon)$$

and the third inequality follows from that $n^{sj} \leq n^{s(j+1)}$. This circle C'_v includes at most $\pi(2(1+\varepsilon)n^{s(j+1)})^2/\pi n^{2s(j-1)} = 4(1+\varepsilon)^2 n^{4s}$ circles corresponding to vertices of W_j. This implies that the order of a maximal subset Z_j satisfies the inequality

$$4(1+\varepsilon)^2 n^{4s} |Z_j| \geq |W_j|.$$

We set $Z = \bigcup_{j\colon \text{even}} Z_j$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |Z| = \sum_{j\colon \text{even}} |Z_j| &\geq \frac{\sum_{j\colon \text{even}} |W_j|}{4(1+\varepsilon)^2 n^{4s}} \geq \frac{|W|/2(g+1)}{4(1+\varepsilon)^2 n^{4s}} \\ &\geq \frac{n^{1-4s}}{8(1+\varepsilon)^2(g+1)} \geq \frac{n^{1-5s}}{g+1} \end{aligned}$$

holds for sufficiently large n. Hence, if we set $s = 1/6$, then the statement holds for $c = 1/6$. □

Using these lemmas, we deduce a lower bound of the cover time of simple random walk on G_k.

Proof of the lower bound of Theorem 3. Let $a \in V_k$ be a fixed vertex and set $n_k = |V_k|$. We order $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{n_k}\}$ as if $i \leq j$,

$$D(a, v_i) \leq D(a, v_j).$$

By the triangle equation (1), we have

$$D(v_i, v_j) = D(v_i, a) + D(a, v_j) = D(a, v_j) - D(a, v_i).$$

Thus, by the definition of ordering of $\{v_i\}$, $D(v_i, v_j) \geq 0$ holds for any pair (i, j) such that $i \leq j$. This together with the definition of difference time implies that $H(v_i, v_j) \geq H(v_j, v_i)$ holds if $i \leq j$.

We set $l := \lfloor n_k/2 \rfloor$. We divide the argument as follows:

(i) There is a pair (i, j) such that $i < j$ and $H(v_j, v_i) \geq n_k(\log n_k)^2/2(g+1)$

(ii) $H(v_j, v_i) < n_k(\log n_k)^2/2(g+1)$ for any pair (i, j) such that $i < j$,

  (a) $D(v_1, v_l) \geq n_k(\log n_k)^3$,

  (b) $D(v_1, v_l) < n_k(\log n_k)^3$.

Case (i): We assume that there are i, j such that $i < j$ and $H(v_j, v_i) \geq n_k(\log n_k)^2/2(g+1)$. Then, by Lemma 1 and the fact $H(v_i, v_j) \geq H(v_j, v_i)$, we have a claimed lower bound as

$$E_v(C^v) \geq \min\{H(v_j, v_i), H(v_i, v_j)\} = H(v_j, v_i) \geq \frac{n_k(\log n_k)^2}{2(g+1)}.$$

Case (ii)-(a): We assume that $H(v_j, v_i) < n_k(\log n_k)^2/2(g+1)$ holds for any pair (i, j) such that $i < j$. Moreover, we also assume that $D(v_1, v_l) \geq n_k(\log n_k)^3$. Then, for $j \geq l$, we have

$$D(v_1, v_j) \geq D(v_1, v_j) + D(v_j, v_l) = D(v_1, v_l) \geq n_k(\log n_k)^3.$$

Here, the first inequality follows from $D(v_j, v_l) \leq 0$ and the equality follows from the triangle equation (1). Then, $H(v_{n_k}, v_1)$ can be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} H(v_{n_k}, v_1) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{w \in V_k} d_w (R(v_1, v_{n_k}) + R(v_1, w) - R(v_{n_k}, w)) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=l}^{n_k} (R(v_1, v_{n_k}) + R(v_1, v_j) - R(v_{n_k}, v_j)) \\ &= \frac{1}{4|E_k|} \sum_{j=l}^{n_k} (C(v_1, v_{n_k}) + C(v_1, v_j) - C(v_{n_k}, v_j)) \end{aligned}$$

holds. Here, the first equality follows from the equation (4), the first inequality follows from the positivity of $R(v_1, v_{n_k}) + R(v_1, w) - R(v_{n_k}, w)$ proved by the triangle inequality (3), and the second equality follows from the equation (2).

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{4|E_k|}\sum_{j=l}^{n_k}(C(v_1,v_{n_k})+C(v_1,v_j)-C(v_{n_k},v_j)) \\
&\quad\geq \frac{1}{12(n_k-2+2g)}\sum_{j=l}^{n_k}(C(v_1,v_{n_k})+C(v_1,v_j)-D(v_j,v_{n_k})-2H(v_{n_k},v_j)) \quad (9)\\
&\quad> \frac{1}{12(n_k-2+2g)}\sum_{j=l}^{n_k}\left(2D(v_1,v_j)-\frac{n_k(\log n_k)^2}{g+1}\right) \quad (10)\\
&\quad\geq \frac{1}{12(n_k-2+2g)}\sum_{j=l}^{n_k}\left(2n_k(\log n_k)^3-\frac{n_k(\log n_k)^2}{g+1}\right)\\
&\quad= \frac{1}{12(n_k-2+2g)}n_k(\log n_k)^2\left(2\log n_k-\frac{1}{g+1}\right)(n_k-l+1)\\
&\quad\geq \frac{n_k}{24(n_k-2+2g)}n_k(\log n_k)^2\left(2\log n_k-\frac{1}{g+1}\right) \quad (11)\\
&\quad\geq \frac{1}{\gamma}n_k(\log n_k)^3 \quad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

where γ is some constant with $\gamma \geq \frac{24(n_k-2+2g)}{n_k}$. Here, the first inequality (9) follows from $|E_k| = 3n_k+6g-6$ and $D(v_j,v_{n_k})-C(v_{n_k},v_j) = -2H(v_{n_k},v_j)$, the second inequality (10) follows from the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
&C(v_1,v_{n_k})+C(v_1,v_j)-D(v_j,v_{n_k})\\
&\quad= H(v_1,v_{n_k})+H(v_{n_k},v_1)+H(v_1,v_j)+H(v_j,v_1)-(D(v_j,v_1)+D(v_1,v_{n_k}))\\
&\quad= H(v_1,v_{n_k})+H(v_{n_k},v_1)+H(v_1,v_j)+H(v_j,v_1)\\
&\qquad\qquad -(H(v_j,v_1)-H(v_1,v_j)+H(v_1,v_{n_k})-H(v_{n_k},v_1))\\
&\quad= 2H(v_{n_k},v_1)+2H(v_1,v_j)\\
&\quad= 2H(v_1,v_j)-2H(v_j,v_1)+2(H(v_{n_k},v_1)+H(v_j,v_1))\\
&\quad\geq 2H(v_1,v_j)-2H(v_j,v_1)\\
&\quad= 2D(v_1,v_j)
\end{aligned}$$

and the assumption $H(v_{n_k},v_j) < n_k(\log n_k)^2/(2g+2)$, the fourth inequality (11) follows from $n_k-l+1 \geq n_k/2$, and the last inequality (12) holds for any n_k satisfying $\log n_k \geq 1/(g+1)$. Consequently, the estimate

$$H(v_{n_k},v_1) \geq \frac{1}{\gamma}n_k(\log n_k)^3$$

for any sufficiently large n_k contradicts the assumption $H(v_j,v_i) < n_k(\log n_k)^2/2(g+1)$ for any pair (i,j) such that $i<j$.

Case (ii)-(b): We assume that $H(v_j,v_i) < n_k(\log n_k)^2/2(g+1)$ for any pair (i,j) such that $i<j$ and $D(v_1,v_l) < n_k(\log n_k)^3$ hold. Let $W=\{v_1,\ldots,v_l\}$ and $Z \subset W$ be the

subset in Proposition 2. We set $m = |Z| \geq l^c/(g+1)$ and $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_m$ as $v_{i_j} \in Z$. Let $s := \lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor - 1$. Then, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{s-1} D(v_{i_{js}}, v_{i_{(j+1)s}}) = D(v_{i_s}, v_{i_{s^2}}) \leq D(v_1, v_l) < n_k (\log n_k)^3.$$

Here, the first equality follows from the triangle equality (1).

Here, the first inequality is shown as follows: By the ordering $D(a, v_1) \leq D(a, v_{i_s}) \leq D(a, v_{i_{s^2}}) \leq D(a, v_l)$, the triangle equation (1), and $D(u, v) = -D(v, u)$, we have

$$D(v_1, v_l) = D(a, v_l) - D(a, v_1) \geq D(a, v_{i_{s^2}}) - D(a, v_{i_s}) = D(v_{i_s}, v_{i_{s^2}}).$$

Thus, there is $t \in \{1, 2, \ldots, s-1\}$ such that

$$D(v_{i_{ts}}, v_{i_{(t+1)s}}) < \frac{n_k (\log n_k)^3}{s-1} = o(n_k).$$

Here, the last equality follows from $s \sim n_k^{c/2}$ for the positive number c. However, if we set $V' := \{v_{i_{ts}}, v_{i_{(ts+1)}}, \ldots, v_{i_{(t+1)s}}\} \subset W$, then

$$C(u, w) = 2|E_k| R(u, w) \geq \frac{A' n_k \log n_k}{2\Delta(g+1)}$$

holds for $u, w \in V'$ by Proposition 2 and $|E_k| \geq n_k/2$ because G_k is connected (where A' is some constant). Hence, we have

$$2H(u, w) = C(u, w) - D(w, u) \geq \frac{A' n_k \log n_k}{2\Delta(g+1)} + o(n_k).$$

By applying Lemma 1 for V', because $h_{|V'|-1} = h_s \sim \log s = (c/2) \log n_k - (c/2) \log(g+1)$, we obtain the claimed estimate. □

4 Remark on the cover time of graphs with minimum genus g

It is NP-complete to determine whether for a given graph G and a natural number k, G has genus at most k [Tho89]. Moreover, it is hard to exactly compute the cover time of a given graph G even if G is a tree [HOS10]. So it is very difficult to find a graph exactly attaining the lower bound of Theorem 3. However, it is known that both invariants of a random graph become some values *with high probability* (for short, whp), as follows. Let $\mathcal{G}(n, 1/2)$ denote the set of graphs with n vertices where each edge occurs independently with probability $1/2$.

(i) For $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, 1/2)$, the minimum genus of G is $n^2/24$ whp [AG95].

(ii) For $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, 1/2)$, $E_G(C) \sim n \log n$ whp (as a corollary of the result in [Jon98]).

Moreover, it is well-known that for $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, 1/2)$, the maximum degree of G is about $\log n$ whp. By combining the above values and Theorem 3, we can calculate a lower bound, but it is far from the estimation in (ii). (Similarly, for random geometric graphs with n vertices, its cover time becomes about $n \log n$ whp [CF11]. However, we suspect that this is also far from the lower bound of Theorem 3.)

Although it is hard to exactly compute the cover time of a given graph, there are infinitely many n-vertex graphs G with minimum genus g and small maximum degree such that its cover time can be bounded by a function $f(n)$ not depending on g. Here we give one example of such graphs below.

Prepare an $(n-4g)$-vertex tree T with maximum degree 3 and the number of leaves is at least g. (Note that such a tree exists by considering a subgraph of complete binary tree of height $\log n$.) Let $l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_g$ be distinct g leaves of T and let $H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_g$ be g copies of the complete graph of order 5. Then, for each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, g\}$, we identify l_i and a vertex of H_i. The resulting graph G has minimum genus g since the graph G' obtained from G by contracting all edges of T can be also obtained from $H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_g$ by selecting one vertex for each H_i and identifying them, then by a well-known result in [BHK62], we have

$$\gamma(G') = \sum_{B \,:\, \text{block of } G'} \gamma(B) = \sum_{j=1}^{g} \gamma(H_j) = g = \gamma(G)$$

where a *block* is a maximal subgraph without a cut vertex and $\gamma(H)$ is the minimum genus of a graph H. (Note that the minimum genus of the complete graph of order 5 is one.) Moreover, G has n vertices and maximum degree 5, and hence, by Lemma 6, we have

$$E_G(C) \leq \overline{d} n(n-1) < 5n(n-1),$$

where $\overline{d}$ is the average degree of G.

References

[AF] David Aldous and Jim Fill. Reversible markov chains and random walks on graphs.

[AG95] Dan Archdeacon and David A Grable. The genus of a random graph. Discrete mathematics, 142(1-3):21–37, 1995.

[Ald89] David Aldous. An introduction to covering problems for random walks on graphs. Journal of Theoretical Probability, 2(1):87–89, 1989.

[BHK62] Joseph Battle, Frank Harary, and Yukihiro Kodama. Additivity of the genus of a graph. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, 68(6):565–568, 1962.

[BP98] Sergey Brin and Lawrence Page. The anatomy of a large-scale hypertextual web search engine. Computer networks and ISDN systems, 30(1-7):107–117, 1998.

[BS04] Philip L Bowers and Kenneth Stephenson. Uniformizing Dessins and BelyiMaps via Circle Packing. American Mathematical Soc., 2004.

[BS16] Punam Bedi and Chhavi Sharma. Community detection in social networks. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery, 6(3):115–135, 2016.

[CDMG17] Tanmoy Chakraborty, Ayushi Dalmia, Animesh Mukherjee, and Niloy Ganguly. Metrics for community analysis: A survey. ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR), 50(4):1–37, 2017.

[CF11] Colin Cooper and Alan Frieze. The cover time of random geometric graphs. Random Structures & Algorithms, 38(3):324–349, 2011.

[CRR+96] Ashok K Chandra, Prabhakar Raghavan, Walter L Ruzzo, Roman Smolensky, and Prasoon Tiwari. The electrical resistance of a graph captures its commute and cover times. computational complexity, 6(4):312–340, 1996.

[CTW93] Don Coppersmith, Prasad Tetali, and Peter Winkler. Collisions among random walks on a graph. SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics, 6(3):363–374, 1993.

[DPRZ04] Amir Dembo, Yuval Peres, Jay Rosen, and Ofer Zeitouni. Cover times for brownian motion and random walks in two dimensions. Annals of mathematics, pages 433–464, 2004.

[Fei95a] Uriel Feige. A tight lower bound on the cover time for random walks on graphs. Random structures and algorithms, 6(4):433–438, 1995.

[Fei95b] Uriel Feige. A tight upper bound on the cover time for random walks on graphs. Random structures and algorithms, 6(1):51–54, 1995.

[For12] Otto Forster. Lectures on Riemann surfaces, volume 81. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.

[HOS10] Yusuke Higuchi, Takuya Ohwa, and Tomoyuki Shirai. Exact computation for the cover times of certain classes of trees. Journal of Math-for-industry, 2(9):93–98, 2010.

[Jon98] Johan Jonasson. On the cover time for random walks on random graphs. Combinatorics, Probability and Computing, 7(3):265–279, 1998.

[JS+00] Johan Jonasson, Oded Schramm, et al. On the cover time of planar graphs. Electronic Communications in Probability, 5:85–90, 2000.

[Kel06] Jonathan A Kelner. Spectral partitioning, eigenvalue bounds, and circle packings for graphs of bounded genus. SIAM Journal on Computing, 35(4):882–902, 2006.

[MPL17] Naoki Masuda, Mason A Porter, and Renaud Lambiotte. Random walks and diffusion on networks. Physics reports, 716:1–58, 2017.

[Nac20] Asaf Nachmias. Planar Maps, Random Walks and Circle Packing: École D'Été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XLVIII-2018. Springer Nature, 2020.

[Ste05] Kenneth Stephenson. Introduction to circle packing: The theory of discrete analytic functions. Cambridge University Press, 2005.

[Tet91] Prasad Tetali. Random walks and the effective resistance of networks. Journal of Theoretical Probability, 4(1):101–109, 1991.

[Tho89] Carsten Thomassen. The graph genus problem is np-complete. Journal of Algorithms, 10(4):568–576, 1989.

[Woe00] Wolfgang Woess. Random walks on infinite graphs and groups. Cambridge university press, 2000.

[XLN+19] Feng Xia, Jiaying Liu, Hansong Nie, Yonghao Fu, Liangtian Wan, and Xiangjie Kong. Random walks: A review of algorithms and applications. IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in Computational Intelligence, 4(2):95–107, 2019.

[Zuc90] David Zuckerman. A technique for lower bounding the cover time. In Proceedings of the twenty-second annual ACM symposium on Theory of Computing, pages 254–259, 1990.