arXiv:2205.04266v1 [gr-qc] 9 May 2022

Scalar-hairy Lovelock gravity respects zeroth law

Chaoxi Fang,[1,*] Libo Xie,[1,†] Jie Jiang,[2,‡] and Ming Zhang[1,§]

[1] *Department of Physics, Jiangxi Normal University, Nanchang 330022, China*
[2] *College of Education for the Future, Beijing Normal University, Zhuhai 519087, China*

We study the zeroth law for Killing horizon in scalar-hairy Lovelock gravity, and show that the surface gravity of a general Killing horizon in the scalar-hairy Lovelock gravity is constant, provided that the dominant energy condition is obeyed by the matter field.

I. INTRODUCTION

As one of the keys to open the door of the quantum gravity, the investigation of black hole thermodynamics/mechanics have been stimulating quite a lot of attention since the seminal work [1–3] by Hawking et al., see Refs. [4, 5] for recent progresses. There is a well correspondence between black hole mechanics and black hole thermodynamics. Remarkably, the mechanical zeroth law of the black hole asserts that the surface gravity of a stationary black hole is constant over the event horizon which is also the Killing horizon. Correspondingly, the thermodynamical zeroth law of the black hole indicates that the temperature of a stationary black hole over the event horizon is invariable.

If the dominant energy condition is respected and the $t-\phi$ orthogonality property is satisfied, the zeroth law was proved in Ref. [1] for general relativity (GR). Furthermore, in Ref. [6–8], independent of the field equation, it was shown that the surface gravity is constant on the event horizon of a static or stationary-axisymmetric black hole with both 't - ϕ' and matter fields reflection isometries. Based on the rigidity theorem [9], the referred event horizon is also a Killing horizon. Recent progress on the proofs of the zeroth law in the modified gravitational theories can be seen in Refs. [10, 11] for the Lanczos-Lovelock gravity, the scalar-tensor gravity [12], and the Horndeski gravity [13]. However, a general proof of the black hole zeroth law without any additional condition is still absent, though in Ref. [8] it was claimed that the constancy of the surface gravity on a Killing horizon is equivalent to vanishing of the exterior derivative of the twist for the horizon Killing field.

In this compact paper, we aim to study the zeroth law for the Killing horizon in the diffeomorphism invariant scalar-hairy Lovelock gravity [14], which contains a real scalar field ϕ that is conformally coupled to the Lovelock gravity while the field equations keep to be second order for the metric as well as for the scalar field. Our motivation is as follows. It was shown in Refs. [15–17] that the theory with a negative, positive, or vanishing cosmological constant admits black hole solutions with the scalar field being regular everywhere outside the event horizon. Moreover, the AdS case is related to the holographic superconductors [18]. In Ref. [19], the thermodynamic first law of spherically symmetric black hole solution in the theory was constructed; meanwhile, in Ref. [20], the thermodynamic second law of the same black hole solution was proved in the first-order approximation when the perturbation matter fields satisfy the null energy condition. However, all these depend on that the surface gravity is assumed to be constant, which was not proved yet.

We organize the paper as follows. In Sec. II, we will review the geometry properties of a stationary Killing horizon. In Sec. III, we will show the equations of motion for the scalar-hairy Lovelock gravity. The constancy of the surface gravity will be proved in Sec. IV. The last section will be devoted to our conclusion.

II. GEOMETRY OF STATIONARY KILLING HORIZON

For a start, let us review preliminary knowledge about the geometric properties of the Killing horizon (also the event horizon) in a stationary spacetime. A Killing horizon as a null hypersurface $\mathscr{H}$ corresponds to a Killing vector field ξ^a which is timelike except on the horizon where it is null. The Killing horizon yields a function, known as the surface gravity κ, defined by

$$\xi^a \xi^b_{;a} = \kappa \xi^b. \tag{1}$$

After defining a Killing parameter v by $\xi^a \nabla_a v = 1$, the surface gravity can be viewed as the deviation of v with respect to the affine parameter λ along the horizon's null geodesic generators.

Checking the zeroth law in the gravity theory is equivalent to testifying whether κ is invariable along the hypersurface $\mathcal{H}$. On the Killing horizon, we can construct a basis $\{\xi^a, l^a, e^a_i\}$, where l^a is a null vector satisfying $\xi^a l_a = -1$, e^a_i are the tangent vectors on the transverse spacelike surface with induced metric $\gamma_{ab} = \gamma_{ij} \left(e^i\right)_a \left(e^j\right)_b$, which lead

$$\xi^a \left(e^i\right)_a = l^a \left(e^i\right)_a = 0 \tag{2}$$

on the hypersurface $\mathcal{H}$. With the basis, the transverse

* chaoxi.f@jxnu.edu.cn
† liboxie@jxnu.edu.cn
‡ jiejiang@mail.bnu.edu.cn
§ mingzhang@jxnu.edu.cn (corresponding author)

metric is given by

$$\gamma_{ab} = 2\xi_{(a}l_{b)} + g_{ab}, \tag{3}$$

with the first term the metric of a two-dimensional hypersurface being orthogonal to the transverse spacelike surface. Besides, the surface gravity κ can be written explicitly with the help of the basis according to Eq. (1),

$$\kappa = l_b\xi^a\nabla_a\xi^b. \tag{4}$$

For a stationary spacetime background, it is evident that

$$\xi^a\nabla_a\kappa = 0 \tag{5}$$

for the surface gravity along the geodesic generators. For a stationary background on the Killing horizon, corresponding to the null generator ξ^a, on the one hand, we have vanishing expansion scalar which denotes how geodesics defocus or focus; on the other hand, we have vanishing shear, which depicts, for instance, how the geodesic's circular configurations change shape [21]. Consequently, we arrive at a set of Gauss–Codazzi equations expressing how the Riemann tensor R_{abcd} projects onto the null hypersurface characterized by the basis $\{\xi^a, l^a, e_i^a\}$ that are universal for all gravitational theories,

$$\begin{aligned} &R_{abcd}\xi^a\left(e_i\right)^b\xi^c\left(e_j\right)^d = 0,\\ &R_{abcd}\xi^a\left(e_i\right)^b\left(e_j\right)^c\left(e_k\right)^d = 0,\\ &R_{ab}\xi^a\xi^b = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where R_{ab} is the Ricci tensor.

The issue of the surface gravity being constant is mathematically equivalent to

$$\gamma_a^b\nabla_b\kappa = 0. \tag{7}$$

Substituting Eq. (4) into it, we have

$$\gamma_b^a\nabla_a\kappa = R_{aecd}\xi^a l^e\gamma_b^c\xi^d = -R_{ac}\xi^a\gamma_b^c. \tag{8}$$

As an example, we show how to prove the constancy of the surface gravity in the Einstein gravity here. The equation of motion for the spacetime background field reads

$$H_{ab} = R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ab}R = 8\pi T_{ab}. \tag{9}$$

First we contract the two sides of Eq. (9) with a dyad $\xi^a\xi^b$ and obtain

$$T_{ab}\xi^a\xi^b\big|_{\mathscr{H}} = 0 \tag{10}$$

by employing Eq. (6) and the property of the Killing vector field ξ^a being as a null vector. It means that the vector $T_{ab}\xi^a$ belongs to a linear space expanded by the Killing vector ξ^a and the tangent vectors $(e_i)^a$ on the hypersurface $\mathscr{H}$. On the other hand, we have $T_{ab}\xi^a$ being either null or timelike with the assumption that the dominant energy condition is satisfied by the matter field. Thus, $T_{ab}\xi^a$ is restricted to be parallel to ξ_a, which further yields $T_{ac}\xi^a\gamma_b^c = 0$. By contracting the two sides of Eq. (9) with $\xi^a\gamma_b^c$, we have

$$\gamma_b^a\nabla_a\kappa = -R_{ac}\xi^a\gamma_b^c = 0. \tag{11}$$

In consequence we get $\gamma_a^b\nabla_b\kappa = 0$ for the Einstein gravity and accordingly the zeroth law in the Einstein gravity is shown to be respected.

III. SCALAR-HAIRY LOVELOCK GRAVITY

To investigate the zeroth law in the scalary-hairy Lovelock gravity, let us first perform a brief review for the gravity theory, whose action reads

$$I = \frac{1}{16\pi}\int d^Dx\sqrt{g}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{k_{\max}}\mathcal{L}^{(k)} + \mathcal{L}_{\rm mat}\right), \tag{12}$$

which describes a gravitational theory in arbitrary dimensions D non-minimally conformally coupled to a real scalar field. $\mathcal{L}_{\rm mat}$ denotes the extra matter field's Lagrangian density. The Lagrangian densities, which is of $k-$order, reads

$$\mathcal{L}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{2^k}\delta^{(k)}\left(a_kR^{(k)} + b_k\phi^{D-4k}S^{(k)}\right), \tag{13}$$

with $k_{\max} = [(D-1)/2]$, where the brackets signifies to hold the integral part of $(D-1)/2$ only. Besides,

$$\delta^{(k)} = (2k)!\delta^{[a_1}_{c_1}\,\delta^{b_1}_{d_1}\cdots\delta^{a_k}_{c_k}\delta^{b_k]}_{d_k} \tag{14}$$

is the generalized Kronecker delta tensor, defined as

$$\delta^{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_p}_{\nu_1\nu_2\ldots\nu_p} = \det\begin{pmatrix} \delta^{\mu_1}_{\nu_1} & \delta^{\mu_1}_{\nu_2} & \cdots & \delta^{\mu_1}_{\nu_p}\\ \delta^{\mu_2}_{\nu_1} & \delta^{\mu_2}_{\nu_2} & \cdots & \delta^{\mu_2}_{\nu_p}\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ \delta^{\mu_p}_{\nu_1} & \delta^{\mu_p}_{\nu_2} & \cdots & \delta^{\mu_p}_{\nu_p}\end{pmatrix} \tag{15}$$

and the tensors $R^{(k)}$ and $S^{(k)}$ can be explicitly written as

$$R^{(k)} = \prod_{r=1}^{k}R^{c_rd_r}_{a_rb_r}, \quad S^{(k)} = \prod_{r=1}^{k}S^{c_rd_r}_{a_rb_r}, \tag{16}$$

with R^{cd}_{ab} the Riemann tensor of the spacetime manifold and

$$\begin{aligned} S^{cd}_{ab} = {}&\phi^2R^{cd}_{ab} - 2\delta^{[c}_{[a}\delta^{d]}_{b]}\nabla_e\phi\nabla^e\phi\\ &- 4\phi\delta^{[c}_{[a}\nabla_{b]}\nabla^{d]}\phi + 8\delta^{[c}_{[a}\nabla_{b]}\phi\nabla^{d]}\phi. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Applying the conformal transformation

$$g_{ab} \to e^{2\Omega} g_{ab}, \quad \phi \to e^{s\Omega}\phi, \tag{18}$$

we have

$$S^{kl}_{ij} \to e^{2(s-1)\Omega} S^{kl}_{ij}. \tag{19}$$

Specifically, for $s=-1$, we have $\int d^D x\sqrt{g}\phi^{D-4k}S^{(k)}$ being conformally invariant.

By standard procedure, we can individually derive the equations of motion for the gravitational field and the scalar field,

$$\begin{aligned} &H_{ab} - H^{\phi}_{ab} = 8\pi T_{ab}, \\ &\sum_{k=0}^{k_{\max}} \frac{(D-2k)b_k}{2^k}\phi^{D-4k-1}\delta^{(k)}S^{(k)} = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where

$$H^b_a = -\sum_{k=0}^{k_{\max}} \frac{a_k}{2^{k+1}} \delta^{ba_1b_1\cdots a_kb_k}_{ac_1d_1\cdots c_kd_k} R^{c_1d_1}_{a_1b_1}\cdots R^{c_kd_k}_{a_kb_k} \tag{21}$$

is the generalized Einstein tensor and H^{ϕ}_{ab} is the stress-energy tensor of the scalar field which is explicitly written as

$$\left(H^{\phi}\right)^b_a = \sum_{k=0}^{k_{\max}} \phi^{D-4k}\frac{b_k}{2^{k+1}}\delta^{ba_1b_1\cdots a_kb_k}_{ac_1d_1\cdots c_kd_k} S^{c_1d_1}_{a_1b_1}\cdots S^{c_kd_k}_{a_kb_k}. \tag{22}$$

T_{ab} is the stress-energy of the exterior matter fields whose source is denoted as φ. It was shown in Refs. [15–17] that the scalary-hairy Lovelock gravity is endowed with some black hole solution together with regularly nonvanishing scalar field outside the horizon.

IV. THE BLACK HOLE ZEROTH LAW IN SCALAR-HAIRY LOVELOCK GRAVITY

In what follows, we will explore the zeroth law for the scalar-hairy Lovelock gravity. To this end, we first prove Gauss-Codazzi-like equations for the tensor S_{abcd}. Then we individually contract the two sides of the equation of motion (20) with the tensors $\xi^a\xi^b$ and $\xi^a\gamma^b_c$. Lastly, we show that the zeroth law for the scalar-hairy Lovelock gravity is respected.

A. Gauss-Codazzi-like equations

We calculate the projection of the tensor S_{abcd} onto the null hypersurface characterized by the basis $\{\xi^a, l^a, e^a_i\}$. First, we contract S_{abcd} with $\xi^a\left(e_i\right)^b\xi^c\left(e_j\right)^d$, which reads

$$\begin{aligned} &S^{cd}_{ab}\xi^a\left(e_i\right)^b\xi_c\left(e^j\right)_d \\ &= \phi^2 R^{cd}_{ab}\xi^a\left(e_i\right)^b\xi_c\left(e^j\right)_d \\ &\quad - 2\delta^{[c}_{[a}\delta^{d]}_{b]}\nabla_e\phi\nabla^e\phi\xi^a\left(e_i\right)^b\xi_c\left(e^j\right)_d \\ &\quad - 4\phi\delta^{[c}_{[a}\nabla_{b]}\nabla^{d]}\phi\xi^a\left(e_i\right)^b\xi_c\left(e^j\right)_d \\ &\quad + 8\delta^{[c}_{[a}\nabla_{b]}\phi\nabla^{d]}\phi\xi^a\left(e_i\right)^b\xi_c\left(e^j\right)_d. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

According to the properties of the null vectors ξ^a and l^a, together with Eq. (2) and the Gauss-Codazzi equation for the Riemann tensor R_{abcd}, it is evident that

$$S^{cd}_{ab}\xi^a\left(e_i\right)^b\xi_c\left(e^j\right)_d = 0. \tag{24}$$

Likewise, we have another two projections for the tensor S_{abcd}, which are

$$\begin{aligned} &S^{cd}_{ab}\xi^a\left(e_i\right)^b\left(e^j\right)_c\left(e^k\right)_d \\ &= \phi^2 R^{cd}_{ab}\xi^a\left(e_i\right)^b\left(e^j\right)_c\left(e^k\right)_d \\ &\quad - 2\delta^{[c}_{[a}\delta^{d]}_{b]}\nabla_e\phi\nabla^e\phi\xi^a\left(e_i\right)^b\left(e^j\right)_c\left(e^k\right)_d \\ &\quad - 4\phi\delta^{[c}_{[a}\nabla_{b]}\nabla^{d]}\phi\xi^a\left(e_i\right)^b\left(e^j\right)_c\left(e^k\right)_d \\ &\quad + 8\delta^{[c}_{[a}\nabla_{b]}\phi\nabla^{d]}\phi\xi^a\left(e_i\right)^b\left(e^j\right)_c\left(e^k\right)_d \\ &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S^{cd}_{ad}\xi^a\xi_c &= \phi^2 R^{cd}_{ad}\xi^a\xi_c - 2\delta^{[c}_{[a}\delta^{d]}_{d]}\nabla_e\phi\nabla^e\phi\xi^a\xi_c \\ &\quad - 4\phi\delta^{[\mathrm{c}}_{[\mathrm{a}}\nabla_{\mathrm{d}]}\nabla^{\mathrm{d}]}\phi\xi^{\mathrm{a}}\xi_{\mathrm{c}} + 8\delta^{[\mathrm{c}}_{[\mathrm{a}}\nabla_{\mathrm{d}]}\phi\nabla^{\mathrm{d}]}\phi\xi^{\mathrm{a}}\xi_{\mathrm{c}} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

So we can see that the projection properties of the tensor S_{abcd} are similar to those of the Riemann tensor.

B. Contraction with $\xi^a\xi^b$

Now let us contract the equation of motion Eq. (20) with the tensor $\xi^a\xi^b$. By direct calculation and using the

properties of the Riemann tensor, we first have

$$\begin{aligned}
&H_a^b\xi^a\xi_b\\
&=-\sum_{k=0}^{k_{\max}}\frac{a_k}{2^{k+1}}\delta^{ba_1b_1\ldots a_kb_k}_{ac_1d_1\cdots c_kd_k}R^{c_1d_1}_{a_1b_1}\cdots R^{c_kd_k}_{a_kb_k}\xi^a\xi_b\\
&=\sum_{k=0}^{k_{\max}}\frac{a_k}{2^{k+1}}\delta^{ba_1b_1\ldots a_kb_k}_{ac_1d_1\cdots c_kd_k}R^{c_1d_1}_{a_1b_1}\cdots R^{c_kd_k}_{a_kb_k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right)^a(du)_b\\
&=\sum_{k=0}^{k_{\max}}\frac{a_k}{2^{k+1}}\Big[\delta^{ui_1j_1\cdots vj_q\cdots i_kj_k}_{vm_1n_1\cdots un_q\cdots m_kn_k}R^{m_1n_1}_{i_1j_1}\ldots R^{un_q}_{vj_q}\ldots R^{m_kn_k}_{i_kj_k}\\
&\quad+\delta^{ui_1j_1\cdots vj_q\cdots i_kj_k}_{vm_1n_1\cdots m_qn_q\cdots m_kn_k}R^{m_1n_1}_{i_1j_1}\ldots R^{m_qn_q}_{vj_q}\ldots R^{m_kn_k}_{i_kj_k}\\
&\quad+\delta^{ui_1j_1\cdots i_qj_q\cdots i_kj_k}_{vm_1n_1\cdots un_q\cdots m_kn_k}R^{m_1n_1}_{i_1j_1}\ldots R^{un_q}_{i_qj_q}\ldots R^{m_kn_k}_{i_kj_k}+\cdots\Big]\\
&=0,
\end{aligned}\tag{27}$$

where in the third step, we have listed three kinds of representative terms and other analogous ones are neglected. Similarly, we can also obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&(H^\phi)_a^b\xi^a\xi_b\\
&=-\phi^{D-4k}\sum_{k=0}^{k_{\max}}\frac{b_k}{2^{k+1}}\delta^{ba_1b_1\ldots a_kb_k}_{ac_1d_1\cdots c_kd_k}S^{c_1d_1}_{a_1b_1}\cdots S^{c_kd_k}_{a_kb_k}\xi^a\xi_b\\
&=0.
\end{aligned}\tag{28}$$

Thus on the horizon $\mathscr{H}$ we have

$$8\pi T_{ab}\xi^a\xi^b=(H_{ab}-T^\phi_{ab})\xi^a\xi^b=0.\tag{29}$$

Assuming that the dominant energy condition is satisfied by the minimally coupled matter field, then we have $-T^a_bZ^b$ being either timelike or null for a given timelike and future-directed vector Z^a. Then once contracting with the null vector ξ^a that is futur-directed, we naturally have $T_{ab}\xi^aZ^b\geqslant 0$, which yields that $T_{ab}\xi^a$ is either timelike or null. Combing with the fact (29), we can infer that

$$T_{ab}\xi^a\gamma^b_c=0,\tag{30}$$

as $T_{ab}\xi^b\propto\xi_a$ on the horizon, just like the Einstein case.

C. Contraction with $\xi^a\gamma^b_c$

To prove the zeroth law in the scalar-hairy Lovelock gravity, we should get $\gamma^b_a\nabla_b\kappa=0$ for the theory. To this end, what we can use is the equation of motion, for which we contract with the tensor $\xi^a\gamma^b_c$.

First, for the term H_{ab}, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&-H^c_a\xi^a\gamma_{bc}\\
&=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right)^a\gamma_{ij}\left(e^i\right)_b\left(e^j\right)_c\\
&\quad\times\sum_{k=2}^{k_{\max}}\frac{a_k}{2^{k+1}}\delta^{ca_1b_1\ldots a_kb_k}_{ac_1d_1\cdots c_kd_k}R^{c_1d_1}_{a_1b_1}\ldots R^{c_kd_k}_{a_kb_k}\\
&=\gamma_{ij}\left(e^i\right)_b\sum_{k=2}^{k_{\max}}\frac{a_k}{2^{k+1}}\\
&\quad\times\Big(\delta^{ji_1j_1\cdots vu\cdots i_kj_k}_{vm_1n_1\cdots j_xu\cdots m_kn_k}R^{m_1n_1}_{i_1j_1}\cdots R^{j_xu}_{vu}\cdots R^{m_kn_k}_{i_kj_k}\\
&\quad+\delta^{ji_1j_1\cdots vu\cdots i_nj_n\cdots i_kj_k}_{vm_1n_1\cdots j_xn_i\cdots m_nu\cdots m_kn_k}R^{m_1n_1}_{i_1j_1}R^{j_xn_i}_{vu}R^{m_nu}_{i_nj_n}\cdots R^{m_kn_k}_{i_kj_k}\\
&\quad+\cdots\\
&\quad+\delta^{ji_1j_1\cdots vu\cdots i_nu\cdots i_kj_k}_{vm_1n_1\cdots j_xn_i\cdots m_nu\cdots m_kn_k}\\
&\qquad\times R^{m_1n_1}_{i_1j_1}R^{j_xn_i}_{vu}R^{m_nu}_{i_nu}\cdots R^{m_kn_k}_{i_kj_k}\Big).
\end{aligned}\tag{31}$$

According to Eq. (6), the terms containing $R^{j_xn_i}_{vu}$, $R^{m_nu}_{i_nj_n}$ vanish, leaving

$$\begin{aligned}
&-H^c_a\xi^a\gamma_{bc}\\
&=\gamma_{ij}\left(e^i\right)_b\sum_{k=2}^{k_{\max}}\frac{a_k}{2^{k+1}}\\
&\quad\times\delta^{ji_1j_1\cdots vu\cdots i_kj_k}_{vm_1n_1\cdots j_xu\cdots m_kn_k}R^{m_1n_1}_{i_1j_1}\cdots R^{j_xu}_{vu}\cdots R^{m_kn_k}_{i_kj_k}\\
&=-\gamma_{ij}\left(e^i\right)_b\sum_{k=2}^{k_{\max}}\frac{a_k}{2^{k+1}}\\
&\quad\times\delta^{ji_1j_1\cdots vu\cdots i_kj_k}_{j_xm_1n_1\cdots vu\cdots m_kn_k}R^{m_1n_1}_{i_1j_1}\cdots R^{j_xu}_{vu}\cdots R^{m_kn_k}_{i_kj_k}\\
&=D^{j_x}\kappa\gamma_{ij}\left(e^i\right)_b\sum_{k=2}^{k_{\max}}\frac{4ka_k}{2^{k+1}}\delta^{ji_1j_1\cdots i_kj_k}_{j_xm_1n_1\cdots m_kn_k}R^{m_1n_1}_{i_1j_1}\cdots R^{m_kn_k}_{i_kj_k}\\
&=D^p\kappa\gamma_{ij}\left(e^i\right)_bM^j_p\\
&=\gamma^a_bM^c_aD_c\kappa,
\end{aligned}\tag{32}$$

where we have denoted

$$M^j_p=\sum_{k=2}^{k_{\max}}\frac{4ka_k}{2^{k+1}}\delta^{ji_1j_1\cdots i_kj_k}_{pm_1n_1\cdots m_kn_k}R^{m_1n_1}_{i_1j_1}\cdots R^{m_kn_k}_{i_kj_k}.\tag{33}$$

Next, we contract the term $(H^{\phi})^{c}_{a}$ with $\xi^{a}\gamma_{bc}$ and obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(H^{\phi})^{c}_{a}\xi^{a}\gamma_{bc}\\
&=-\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right)^{a}\gamma_{ij}\left(e^{i}\right)_{b}\left(e^{j}\right)_{c}\\
&\quad\times\sum_{k=2}^{k_{\max}}\phi^{D-4k}\frac{b_{k}}{2^{k+1}}\delta^{ca_{1}b_{1}\ldots a_{k}b_{k}}_{ac_{1}d_{1}\cdots c_{k}d_{k}}S^{c_{1}d_{1}}_{a_{1}b_{1}}\cdots S^{c_{k}d_{k}}_{a_{k}b_{k}}\\
&=-\gamma_{ij}\left(e^{i}\right)_{b}\sum_{k=2}^{k_{\max}}\phi^{D-4k}\frac{b_{k}}{2^{k+1}}\\
&\quad\times\Big(\delta^{ji_{1}j_{1}\cdots vu\cdots i_{k}j_{k}}_{vm_{1}n_{1}\cdots j_{x}u\cdots m_{k}n_{k}}S^{m_{1}n_{1}}_{i_{1}j_{1}}\cdots S^{j_{x}u}_{vu}\cdots S^{m_{k}n_{k}}_{i_{k}j_{k}}\\
&\quad+\delta^{ji_{1}j_{1}\cdots vu\cdots i_{n}j_{n}\cdots i_{k}j_{k}}_{vm_{1}n_{1}\cdots j_{x}n_{i}\cdots m_{n}u\cdots m_{k}n_{k}}S^{m_{1}n_{1}}_{i_{1}j_{1}}S^{j_{x}n_{i}}_{vu}S^{m_{n}u}_{i_{n}j_{n}}\cdots S^{m_{k}n_{k}}_{i_{k}j_{k}}\\
&\quad+\cdots\\
&\quad+\delta^{ji_{1}j_{1}\cdots vu\cdots i_{n}u\cdots i_{k}j_{k}}_{vm_{1}n_{1}\cdots j_{x}n_{i}\cdots m_{n}u\cdots m_{k}n_{k}}\\
&\qquad\times S^{m_{1}n_{1}}_{i_{1}j_{1}}S^{j_{x}n_{i}}_{vu}S^{m_{n}u}_{i_{n}u}\cdots S^{m_{k}n_{k}}_{i_{k}j_{k}}\Big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{34}
$$

Likewise, by employing Eqs. (24)–(26), we can reduce Eq. (34) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(H^{\phi})^{c}_{a}\xi^{a}\gamma_{bc}\\
&=-\gamma_{ij}\left(e^{i}\right)_{b}\sum_{k=2}^{k_{\max}}\phi^{D-4k}\frac{b_{k}}{2^{k+1}}\\
&\quad\times\delta^{ji_{1}j_{1}\cdots vu\cdots i_{k}j_{k}}_{vm_{1}n_{1}\cdots j_{x}u\cdots m_{k}n_{k}}S^{m_{1}n_{1}}_{i_{1}j_{1}}\cdots S^{j_{x}u}_{vu}\cdots S^{m_{k}n_{k}}_{i_{k}j_{k}}\\
&=\gamma_{ij}\left(e^{i}\right)_{b}\sum_{k=2}^{k_{\max}}\phi^{D-4k}\frac{b_{k}}{2^{k+1}}\\
&\quad\times\delta^{ji_{1}j_{1}\cdots vu\cdots i_{k}j_{k}}_{j_{x}m_{1}n_{1}\cdots vu\cdots m_{k}n_{k}}S^{m_{1}n_{1}}_{i_{1}j_{1}}\cdots S^{j_{x}u}_{vu}\cdots S^{m_{k}n_{k}}_{i_{k}j_{k}}\\
&=-D^{j_{x}}\kappa\sum_{k=2}^{k_{\max}}\phi^{D-4k}\frac{4kb_{k}}{2^{k+1}}\delta^{ji_{1}j_{1}\cdots i_{k}j_{k}}_{j_{x}m_{1}n_{1}\cdots m_{k}n_{k}}S^{m_{1}n_{1}}_{i_{1}j_{1}}\cdots S^{m_{k}n_{k}}_{i_{k}j_{k}}\\
&=-D^{p}\kappa\gamma_{ij}\left(e^{i}\right)_{b}N^{j}_{p}\\
&=-\gamma^{a}_{b}N^{c}_{a}D_{c}\kappa,
\end{aligned}
\tag{35}
$$

where we have denoted

$$
N^{j}_{p}=\sum_{k=2}^{k_{\max}}\phi^{D-4k}\frac{4kb_{k}}{2^{k+1}}\delta^{ji_{1}j_{1}\cdots i_{k}j_{k}}_{pm_{1}n_{1}\cdots m_{k}n_{k}}S^{m_{1}n_{1}}_{i_{1}j_{1}}\cdots S^{m_{k}n_{k}}_{i_{k}j_{k}}. \tag{36}
$$

Thus, we can obtain the identity

$$
\left[H^{c}_{a}\xi^{a}+(H^{\phi})^{c}_{a}\xi^{a}\right]\gamma_{bc}=\gamma^{a}_{b}M^{c}_{a}D_{c}\kappa-\gamma^{a}_{b}N^{c}_{a}D_{c}\kappa. \tag{37}
$$

Combining Eq. (30), we immediately have

$$
\gamma^{a}_{b}M^{c}_{a}D_{c}\kappa-\gamma^{a}_{b}N^{c}_{a}D_{c}\kappa=0. \tag{38}
$$

D. Generalized zeroth law for the scalar-hairy Lovelock gravity

We can rewrite Eq. (38) in form of

$$
Q^{b}_{a}Z_{b}=0, \tag{39}
$$

where

$$
Q^{b}_{a}\equiv\gamma^{c}_{a}M^{b}_{c}-\gamma^{c}_{a}N^{b}_{c}, \tag{40}
$$

$$
Z_{b}\equiv D_{b}\kappa. \tag{41}
$$

As all quantities can be reduced to the Einstein case in the limits $a_{k}\to 0, b_{k'}\to 0$, where $k\geqslant 2, k'\geqslant 0$, we can expand both Q^{c}_{b} and Z_{a} in terms of $\mathbb{A}\to 0$,

$$
Q^{b}_{a}=(Q_{0})^{b}_{a}+\mathbb{A}\,(Q_{1})^{b}_{a}+\mathbb{A}^{2}\,(Q_{2})^{b}_{a}+\cdots, \tag{42}
$$

$$
Z_{a}=(Z_{0})_{a}+\mathbb{A}\,(Z_{1})_{a}+\mathbb{A}^{2}\,(Z_{2})_{a}+\cdots, \tag{43}
$$

with $(Q_{0})^{b}_{a}$ and $(Z_{0})_{a}$ the Einstein counterparts for Q^{b}_{a} and Z_{a}, respectively. Thus the Eq. (39) can be reorganized as

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=&(Q_{0})^{b}_{a}(Z_{0})_{b}+\mathbb{A}\left[(Q_{0})^{b}_{a}(Z_{1})_{b}+(Q_{1})^{b}_{a}(Z_{0})_{b}\right]\\
&+\mathbb{A}^{2}\left[(Q_{0})^{b}_{a}(Z_{2})_{b}+(Q_{1})^{b}_{a}(Z_{1})_{b}+(Q_{2})^{b}_{a}(Z_{0})_{b}\right]\\
&+\cdots.
\end{aligned}
\tag{44}
$$

To make the last identity hold for any $\mathbb{A}$, we just need that every order of $\mathbb{A}$ vanishes on the right side. Since we already have $(Z_{0})_{b}=0$, the first order of $\mathbb{A}$ can be reduced as $(Q_{0})^{b}_{a}(Z_{1})_{b}+(Q_{1})^{b}_{a}(Z_{0})_{b}=(Q_{0})^{b}_{a}(Z_{1})_{b}$. As $(Q_{0})^{b}_{a}\neq 0$, we just get $(Z_{1})_{b}=0$. Then we have $(Q_{0})^{b}_{a}(Z_{2})_{b}+(Q_{1})^{b}_{a}(Z_{1})_{b}+(Q_{2})^{b}_{a}(Z_{0})_{b}=(Q_{0})^{b}_{a}(Z_{2})_{b}=0$ for the second order of $\mathbb{A}$, which yields $(Z_{2})_{b}=0$. Similarly, we have $(Q_{0})^{b}_{a}(Z_{k})_{b}=0$ for the kth order of $\mathbb{A}$, which indicates that $(Z_{k})_{a}=0$. Eventually, we get $Z_{a}=0$. Therefore, we prove that the zeroth law is respected by the scalar-hairy Lovelock gravity, with the assumption that the gravity theory can be smoothly reduced to the Einstein gravity.

V. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

The zeroth law in the scalar-hairy Lovelock gravity was investigated. To this end, we first gave a brief review of the proof for the zeroth law in the Einstein gravity. Then applying the equations of motion in the scalar-hairy Lovelock gravity, we calculated the derivative of the surface gravity κ for the stationary black hole in each direction along the event horizon. We obtain an identity Eq.

(38) adapting to the surface gravity along the transverse directions, as long as the dominant energy condition is assumed to be satisfied. Furthermore, on the basis that all the quantities can be reduced to the ones in the Einstein gravity, we showed that

$$\gamma_b^a \nabla_a \kappa = 0 \tag{45}$$

for the scalar-hairy black hole. Thus, we proved that the surface gravity is constant and the zeroth law is respected.

As pointed out in Ref. [10], the extension of the zeroth law from the Einstein gravity to the scalar-hairy Lovelock gravity must be assured by two facts. The first step is that the surface gravity for the Killing horizon of the scalar-hairy Lovelock gravity should be proved and the second step is that the rigidity theorem should also be proved for this theory to ensure that the event horizon is also a Killing horizon. We have finished the first proof in this paper and have to leave the second one as an open issue for future work.

Furthermore, the extension of the zeroth law for the scalar-hairy Lovelock gravity from a branch which can reduce to the Einstein gravity smoothly to one that is characterized with $k-$fold degeneration is a subtle issue. For an Nth order Lovelock gravity, there can be as many as k ($k \leqslant N$) effective cosmological constants in the field equation taking a same value, resulting in a $k-$fold degenerate AdS vacuum. Then a critical point in the parameter space obstructing the theory to be linearized emerges [22, 23]. The generalization of the zeroth law to the so-called Lovelock Unique Vacuum [24, 25], which contains Born-Infeld AdS gravity and Chern-Simons AdS gravity in the maximal multiplicity case for even dimensions and odd dimensions respectively, is thus one other challenging topic to be studied.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

M. Z. is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12005080) and Young Talents Foundation of Jiangxi Normal University (Grant No. 12020779). J. J. is supported by the Talents Introduction Foundation of Beijing Normal University (Grant No. 310432102) and the GuangDong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation with Grant No. 217200003.

[1] J. M. Bardeen, B. Carter, and S. W. Hawking, The Four laws of black hole mechanics, Commun. Math. Phys. **31**, 161 (1973).
[2] S. W. Hawking, Black Holes and Thermodynamics, Phys. Rev. D **13**, 191 (1976).
[3] J. D. Bekenstein, Black holes and entropy, Phys. Rev. D **7**, 2333 (1973).
[4] D. Kubiznak, R. B. Mann, and M. Teo, Black hole chemistry: thermodynamics with Lambda, Class. Quant. Grav. **34**, 063001 (2017), arXiv:1608.06147 [hep-th].
[5] W. Cong, D. Kubiznak, and R. B. Mann, Thermodynamics of AdS Black Holes: Critical Behavior of the Central Charge, Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**, 091301 (2021), arXiv:2105.02223 [hep-th].
[6] I. Racz and R. M. Wald, Extension of space-times with Killing horizon, Class. Quant. Grav. **9**, 2643 (1992).
[7] B. S. Kay and R. M. Wald, Theorems on the Uniqueness and Thermal Properties of Stationary, Nonsingular, Quasifree States on Space-Times with a Bifurcate Killing Horizon, Phys. Rept. **207**, 49 (1991).
[8] I. Racz and R. M. Wald, Global extensions of space-times describing asymptotic final states of black holes, Class. Quant. Grav. **13**, 539 (1996), arXiv:gr-qc/9507055.
[9] S. W. Hawking, Black holes in general relativity, Commun. Math. Phys. **25**, 152 (1972).
[10] S. Sarkar and S. Bhattacharya, Issue of zeroth law for Killing horizons in Lanczos-Lovelock gravity, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 044023 (2013), arXiv:1205.2042 [gr-qc].
[11] R. Ghosh and S. Sarkar, Black Hole Zeroth Law in Higher Curvature Gravity, Phys. Rev. D **102**, 101503 (2020), arXiv:2009.01543 [gr-qc].
[12] S. Dey, K. Bhattacharya, and B. R. Majhi, Thermodynamic structure of a generic null surface and the zeroth law in scalar-tensor theory, Phys. Rev. D **104**, 124038 (2021), arXiv:2105.07787 [gr-qc].
[13] A. Sang and J. Jiang, Black hole zeroth law in the Horndeski gravity, Phys. Rev. D **104**, 084092 (2021), arXiv:2110.00903 [gr-qc].
[14] J. Oliva and S. Ray, Conformal couplings of a scalar field to higher curvature terms, Class. Quant. Grav. **29**, 205008 (2012), arXiv:1112.4112 [gr-qc].
[15] G. Giribet, M. Leoni, J. Oliva, and S. Ray, Hairy black holes sourced by a conformally coupled scalar field in D dimensions, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 085040 (2014), arXiv:1401.4987 [hep-th].
[16] G. Giribet, A. Goya, and J. Oliva, Different phases of hairy black holes in AdS5 space, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 045031 (2015), arXiv:1501.00184 [hep-th].
[17] M. Galante, G. Giribet, A. Goya, and J. Oliva, Chemical potential driven phase transition of black holes in anti–de Sitter space, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 104039 (2015), arXiv:1508.03780 [hep-th].
[18] S. A. Hartnoll, C. P. Herzog, and G. T. Horowitz, Building a Holographic Superconductor, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 031601 (2008), arXiv:0803.3295 [hep-th].
[19] R. A. Hennigar, E. Tjoa, and R. B. Mann, Thermodynamics of hairy black holes in Lovelock gravity, JHEP **02**, 070, arXiv:1612.06852 [hep-th].

[20] J. Jiang and M. Zhang, Entropy increases at linear order in scalar-hairy Lovelock gravity, JHEP **04**, 148, arXiv:2003.02616 [hep-th].

[21] K. Blanchette, S. Das, S. Hergott, and S. Rastgoo, Effective black hole interior and the Raychadhuri equation, in *16th Marcel Grossmann Meeting on Recent Developments in Theoretical and Experimental General Relativity, Astrophysics and Relativistic Field Theories* (2021) arXiv:2110.05397 [gr-qc].

[22] Z.-Y. Fan, B. Chen, and H. Lu, Criticality in Einstein–Gauss–Bonnet gravity: gravity without graviton, Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, 542 (2016), arXiv:1606.02728 [hep-th].

[23] X. O. Camanho, J. D. Edelstein, and J. M. Sánchez De Santos, Lovelock theory and the AdS/CFT correspondence, Gen. Rel. Grav. **46**, 1637 (2014), arXiv:1309.6483 [hep-th].

[24] G. Arenas-Henriquez, O. Miskovic, and R. Olea, Vacuum Degeneracy and Conformal Mass in Lovelock AdS Gravity, JHEP **11**, 128, arXiv:1710.08512 [hep-th].

[25] G. Arenas-Henriquez, R. B. Mann, O. Miskovic, and R. Olea, Mass in Lovelock Unique Vacuum gravity theories, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 064038 (2019), arXiv:1905.10840 [hep-th].