

Testing gravity with the cosmic microwave background: constraints on modified gravity with two tensorial degrees of freedom

Takashi Hiramatsu^{1,*} and Tsutomu Kobayashi^{1,†}

¹*Department of Physics, Rikkyo University, Toshima, Tokyo 171-8501, Japan*

We provide a cosmological test of modified gravity with two tensorial degrees of freedom and no extra propagating scalar mode. The theory of gravity we consider admits a cosmological model that is indistinguishable from the Λ CDM model at the level of the background evolution. The model has a single modified-gravity parameter β , the effect of which can be seen in linear perturbations, though no extra scalar mode is propagating. Using the Boltzmann code modified to incorporate the present model, we derive the constraints $-0.047 < \beta < -0.028$ at 68% confidence from Planck CMB data. Since our modified gravity model can hardly be constrained by the Solar System tests and gravitational-wave propagation, our result offers the first observational test on the model.

I. INTRODUCTION

The cause of the accelerated expansion of the current Universe is still unknown. Motivated by this mystery, numerous studies have been made on modified theories of gravity which might be responsible for the cosmic acceleration. Modified gravity also plays an essential role in testing general relativity, as having predictions in modified gravity allows us to make a comparison among different theories and confront them with observational data.

To modify general relativity (GR), new dynamical degrees of freedom are often added on top of two tensorial degrees of freedom corresponding to two polarization modes of gravitational waves. In particular, scalar-tensor theories, i.e. modified gravity with one scalar and two tensorial degrees of freedom, have been studied extensively, with emphasis on the Horndeski theory [1–3] and its extensions [4–6] in the past decade (see Ref. [7] for review). Another way of modifying GR is assuming less symmetries than full diffeomorphism invariance. Though apparently different, these two ways of modification are basically equivalent. Consider, for example, a theory of gravity (with no additional fields other than the metric) having invariance only under spatial coordinate transformation, $\vec{x} \rightarrow \vec{x}' = \vec{x}'(t, \vec{x})$. In such a theory, four-dimensional diffeomorphism invariance can be restored by means of the Stückelberg trick, resulting in a fully covariant scalar-tensor theory. Therefore, a spatially covariant theory of gravity can be regarded as a gauge-fixed version of a fully covariant scalar-tensor theory. This idea was used to develop a general framework of scalar-tensor theories [8, 9]. The same idea underlies the construction of the effective field theory of single-field inflation [10].

It is interesting to note, however, that a spatially covariant theory of gravity does not always have one scalar and two tensorial degrees of freedom. Gao and Yao explored spatially covariant theories of gravity having just two tensorial degrees of freedom and no propagating scalar mode [11]. A well-known example of this kind of theories is the cuscuton theory, i.e. a k-essence theory with an infinite sound speed [12]. The cuscuton theory was extended recently in Ref. [13] (see Refs. [14–18] for various aspects of extended cuscuton theories). A family of theories obtained in Ref. [11] may be considered as a further generalization of the cuscuton theory and its extended version. Phenomenological aspects of modified gravity of [11] were discussed in detail in Ref. [19], and there it was pointed out that a certain subset of the theories can hardly be distinguished from GR. See Ref. [20] for inflationary cosmology in the same modified gravity theory. Similar modified gravity theories with just two tensorial degrees of freedom have also been under active discussion [21–36].

In this paper, we further explore the cosmology of the cuscuton-like theories of [11]. As elaborated in Ref. [19], a family of modified gravity theories in [11] admits a model that can mimic the standard cosmic expansion history while evading basic tests of general relativity such as light bending and the propagation speed of gravitational waves. It is expected, however, that even in such a model some differences from the standard predictions emerge at the level of linear cosmological perturbations. The goal of this paper is to clarify how this occurs and derive constraints on the model parameters from the Planck CMB data. To do so, we modify the Boltzmann code for scalar-tensor cosmology developed in [37] to be able to handle “scalarless” modified gravity models.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we present the theory of modified gravity we study in this paper. The action contains three time-dependent functions which can in principle be chosen freely. In Sec. III, we introduce the specific model that mimics the background evolution of the Λ CDM model and is characterized by a

* Email: hiramatz@rikkyo.ac.jp

† Email: tsutomu@rikkyo.ac.jp

single parameter β . We then derive the equations governing linear cosmological perturbations and give some analytic results for the superhorizon and subhorizon limits in Sec. IV. Section V is devoted to the model parameter constraints using our Boltzmann solver and the Markov-Chain Monte-Carlo method with the Planck 2018 likelihood. We draw our conclusions in Sec. VI.

II. A VIABLE THEORY OF SPATIALLY COVARIANT GRAVITY WITH TWO TENSORIAL DEGREES OF FREEDOM

To write the action of spatially covariant gravity, we introduce the ADM variables and use the $(3+1)$ form of the metric,

$$ds^2 = -N^2 dt^2 + \gamma_{ij} (dx^i + N^i dt) (dx^j + N^j dt), \quad (1)$$

where N is the lapse function, N^i is the shift vector, and γ_{ij} is the spatial metric. The theory of modified gravity we consider in this paper is described by the action

$$S = \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}^2}{2} \int dt d^3x \sqrt{\gamma} N \left[K_{ij} K^{ij} - \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{2N}{\beta + N} + 1 \right) K^2 + R + \alpha_1 + \frac{\alpha_3}{N} \right] + S_{\text{m}}, \quad (2)$$

where R is the three-dimensional Ricci scalar and

$$K_{ij} := \frac{1}{2N} (\partial_t \gamma_{ij} - D_i N_j - D_j N_i) \quad (3)$$

is the extrinsic curvature with D_i being the three-dimensional covariant derivative. We have the three free functions of t characterizing the theory: β , α_1 , and α_3 . We assume that the matter fields have a diffeomorphism invariant action S_{m} and are minimally coupled to the metric.¹

A family of spatially covariant theories of gravity with two tensorial degrees of freedom and with no extra scalar mode has been developed in Ref. [11], and the gravitational part of the action (2) describes a subset of the theories in which no deviation from GR is found in weak gravitational fields on small scales and gravitational-wave propagation [19].

The action (2) is invariant under a spatial coordinate transformation, but we no longer have the freedom to perform a temporal coordinate transformation. Nevertheless, one can always restore the full four-dimensional diffeomorphism invariance by introducing a Stückelberg scalar field. The point is that the Stückelberg field does not propagate if the action takes the above particular form as shown in Ref. [11]. See Ref. [19] for the fully covariant form of the action with the Stückelberg field.

The t -dependent function $\alpha_1(t)$ is essentially the potential for the Stückelberg field (say, $V(\phi)$) expressed in the unitary gauge ($\phi = \phi(t)$), while the term $\alpha_3(t)/N$ comes from the square root of its kinetic term, $\sqrt{-(\partial\phi)^2} = \dot{\phi}/N$. These two terms constitute the original version of the cuscutoon theory [12]. In this paper, we are mostly interested in the novel modification arising from β , which was introduced for the first time in Ref. [11] without changing the essential property of the cuscutoon theory, i.e. the number of the dynamical degrees of freedom. Basically, the impact of β shows up only in a cosmological setup [19], and this term modifies cosmology both at the levels of the background and perturbation evolution in general. As described in the next section, however, we can tune the two of the three functions so that the background evolution is indistinguishable from that of the standard Λ CDM model, while one still retains a single modified gravity parameter to be constrained through linear cosmological perturbations.

Let us present the gravitational field equations derived from the action (2). The Hamiltonian and momentum constraints are given respectively by

$$K_{ij} K^{ij} - \frac{1}{3} \left[2 \left(\frac{N}{\beta + N} \right)^2 + 1 \right] K^2 - \alpha_1 - R = -2M_{\text{Pl}}^{-2} \sum_I E^{(I)}, \quad (4)$$

$$D_j \pi_i^j = -M_{\text{Pl}}^{-2} \sum_I J_i^{(I)}, \quad (5)$$

¹ Since the gravitational part of the action is already not diffeomorphism invariant, one might also assume that diffeomorphism invariance is not maintained in the action of the matter fields. The cosmological consequences would then be dependent on the details of the matter action. To focus on modified gravity effects, we simply assume that the matter fields have a diffeomorphism invariant action.

while the evolution equations are given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{N\sqrt{\gamma}}\partial_t(\sqrt{\gamma}\pi^{kl})\gamma_{ik}\gamma_{jl} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha_1 + \frac{\alpha_3}{N}\right)\gamma_{ij} + 2\left[K_{ik}K_j^k - \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{2N}{\beta+N} + 1\right)KK_{ij}\right] \\ & - \frac{1}{2}\left[K_{kl}K^{kl} - \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{2N}{\beta+N} + 1\right)K^2\right]\gamma_{ij} + \left(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}R\gamma_{ij}\right) + \frac{1}{N}(D^2N\gamma_{ij} - D_iD_jN) \\ & + \frac{1}{N}[D^k(\pi_{ik}N_j) + D^k(\pi_{jk}N_i) - D^k(\pi_{ij}N_k)] = M_{\text{Pl}}^{-2}\sum_I T_{ij}^{(I)}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where

$$E^{(I)} := (\rho_I + p_I)(Nu_I^0)^2 - p_I, \quad (7)$$

$$J_i^{(I)} := (\rho_I + p_I)Nu_I^0u_{iI}, \quad (8)$$

$$T_{ij}^{(I)} := (\rho_I + p_I)u_{iI}u_{jI} + p_I\gamma_{ij} + \Sigma_{ijI}, \quad (9)$$

for each matter component and we defined

$$\pi^{ij} := K^{ij} - \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{2N}{\beta+N} + 1\right)K\gamma^{ij}. \quad (10)$$

Here, ρ_I , p_I , u_I^μ , and Σ_{ijI} represent the energy density, the isotropic pressure, the four-velocity, and the anisotropic stress, respectively, and we consider baryons ($I = b$), cold dark matter ($I = c$), photons ($I = \gamma$), and neutrinos ($I = \nu$).

III. HOMOGENEOUS AND ISOTROPIC BACKGROUND

Now let us consider a homogeneous and isotropic cosmological background. For the spatially flat model, the ADM variables are given by

$$N = \bar{N}(t), \quad N_i = 0, \quad \gamma_{ij} = a^2(t)\delta_{ij}. \quad (11)$$

Substituting these variables to the Hamiltonian constraint and the evolution equations, we obtain [19]

$$\frac{3H^2}{(\beta/\bar{N} + 1)^2} + \frac{\alpha_1}{2} = \frac{\rho}{M_{\text{Pl}}^2}, \quad (12)$$

$$-\frac{3H^2}{\beta/\bar{N} + 1} - \frac{2}{\bar{N}}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{H}{\beta/\bar{N} + 1}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha_1 + \frac{\alpha_3}{\bar{N}}\right) = \frac{p}{M_{\text{Pl}}^2}, \quad (13)$$

where $H := \bar{N}^{-1}d\ln a/dt$ is the Hubble parameter and we defined $\rho = \sum_I \rho_I$ and $p = \sum_I p_I$. Since the total energy-momentum tensor is assumed to be covariantly conserved, we have

$$\bar{N}^{-1}\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) = 0, \quad (14)$$

where a dot stands for differentiation with respect to t .

In general relativity, the conservation equation (14) can be derived from the Hamiltonian constraint and the evolution equations, and hence it does not yield an independent equation. In the present case, however, the conservation equation (14) is independent of Eqs. (12) and (13). Combining Eqs. (12)–(14), we obtain

$$\dot{\alpha}_1 - \sqrt{3}\left(\frac{\rho}{M_{\text{Pl}}^2} - \frac{\alpha_1}{2}\right)^{1/2}\left[\alpha_3 - \left(\alpha_1 + \frac{2p}{M_{\text{Pl}}^2}\right)\beta\right] = 0, \quad (15)$$

which we will use below instead of Eq. (13). In the covariant formulation, this equation corresponds to the equation of motion for the Stückelberg field.

In this paper, we are interested in the background solution which is identical to that in GR: $\bar{N} = 1$, $H = H_{\text{GR}}(t)$.² We therefore design the time dependence of α_1 , α_3 , and β so that the theory admits such a solution. This can be

² Note that we do not have the freedom to choose the temporal coordinate so that $\bar{N} = 1$. For given $\alpha_1(t)$, $\alpha_3(t)$, and $\beta(t)$, the lapse function is determined as a solution to Eqs. (12), (14), and (15). Having said that, for simplicity, we consider a theory that admits $\bar{N} = 1$ as a solution.

done as follows. Since $H_{\text{GR}}(t)$ (with $\bar{N} = 1$) is a solution to the Einstein equations with a cosmological constant Λ , it satisfies

$$3H_{\text{GR}}^2 = \frac{\rho}{M_{\text{Pl}}^2} + \Lambda, \quad (16)$$

$$-2\dot{H}_{\text{GR}} = \frac{\rho + p}{M_{\text{Pl}}^2}. \quad (17)$$

If $H = H_{\text{GR}}(t)$ is a solution to Eqs. (12) and (13), then α_1 and α_3 must satisfy, for any $\beta = \beta(t)$,

$$\alpha_1(t) = \frac{6\beta(2+\beta)}{(1+\beta)^2} H_{\text{GR}}^2 - 2\Lambda, \quad (18)$$

$$\alpha_3(t) = 4 \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\beta H_{\text{GR}}}{1+\beta} \right) - \frac{6\beta H_{\text{GR}}^2}{(1+\beta)^2}. \quad (19)$$

Thus, in the theory with α_1 , α_3 , and β satisfying these two equations, the solution in GR, $H = H_{\text{GR}}$, can be reproduced. In this paper, we consider the simplest case with

$$\beta = \text{const}, \quad (20)$$

and study CMB constraints on the parameter β that controls the deviation from GR at linear perturbation order.

IV. COSMOLOGICAL PERTURBATIONS

A. Basic equations

In what follows we consider the background with $\bar{N} = 1$ as in the background model introduced in the previous section. The perturbed ADM variables are given by

$$N = 1 + \delta n, \quad N_i = a \partial_i \chi, \quad \gamma_{ij} = a^2(1 - 2\psi)\delta_{ij}, \quad (21)$$

where we used the spatial gauge degrees of freedom to write γ_{ij} in the above form. The perturbed four-velocity of each matter component is written as

$$u_I^0 = 1 - \delta n, \quad u_I^i = a^{-1} \partial^i \tilde{v}_I, \quad (22)$$

while the energy density, the isotropic pressure, and the anisotropic stress are expressed as

$$\rho_I = \bar{\rho}_I(1 + \tilde{\delta}_I), \quad p_I = 0, \quad \Sigma_{ijI} = 0, \quad (23)$$

for $I = b, c$ and

$$\rho_I = \bar{\rho}_I(1 + \tilde{\delta}_I), \quad p_I = \frac{1}{3}\rho_I, \quad \Sigma_{ijI} = p_I \left(\partial_i \partial_j - \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ij} \partial^2 \right) \tilde{\delta} \Pi_I, \quad (24)$$

for $I = \gamma, \nu$. Here, we put the tilde to emphasize that $\tilde{\delta}_I$, \tilde{v}_I , and $\tilde{\delta} \Pi_I$ are defined in the unitary gauge in which the action is written as Eq. (2).

The gravitational field equations (4)–(6) in the Fourier space yield

$$\frac{3H}{(1+\beta)^2} \dot{\psi} + \frac{3H^2}{(1+\beta)^3} \delta n - \frac{H}{(1+\beta)^2} \frac{k^2}{a} \chi + \frac{k^2}{a^2} \psi = -\frac{\tilde{\delta} \rho}{2M_{\text{Pl}}^2}, \quad (25)$$

$$\frac{\dot{\psi}}{1+\beta} + \frac{H}{(1+\beta)^2} \delta n + \frac{\beta}{3(1+\beta)} \frac{k^2}{a} \chi = -\frac{\tilde{\delta} q}{2M_{\text{Pl}}^2}, \quad (26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \left(\frac{\dot{\psi}}{1+\beta} \right) + \frac{3H}{1+\beta} \dot{\psi} + \frac{H}{(1+\beta)^2} \dot{\delta n} + \left\{ \partial_t \left[\frac{(2+\beta)H}{(1+\beta)^2} \right] + \frac{3(2+\beta)H^2}{2(1+\beta)^2} \right\} \delta n \\ + \frac{k^2}{3a^2} \left[\psi - \delta n - \partial_t \left(\frac{a\chi}{1+\beta} \right) - \frac{aH\chi}{1+\beta} \right] = \frac{\tilde{\delta} p}{2M_{\text{Pl}}^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

$$\psi - \delta n - \partial_t(a\chi) - aH\chi = \frac{a^2 \tilde{\delta} \Sigma}{M_{\text{Pl}}^2}, \quad (28)$$

where k is the wave number and

$$\tilde{\delta}\rho := \sum_I \rho_I \tilde{\delta}_I, \quad (29)$$

$$\tilde{\delta}q := a \sum_I (\rho_I + p_I) (\tilde{v}_I + \chi), \quad (30)$$

$$\tilde{\delta}p := \frac{1}{3} (\rho_\gamma \tilde{\delta}_\gamma + \rho_\nu \tilde{\delta}_\nu), \quad (31)$$

$$\widetilde{\delta\Sigma} := p_\gamma \widetilde{\delta\Pi}_\gamma + p_\nu \widetilde{\delta\Pi}_\nu. \quad (32)$$

Note that the evolution equations can be split into the trace and traceless parts, and the former gives Eq. (27), while the latter reduces to Eq. (28). Since the total energy-momentum tensor is assumed to be covariantly conserved, we have

$$\dot{\tilde{\delta}\rho} + 3H\tilde{\delta}\rho - 3 \sum_I (\rho_I + p_I) \dot{\psi} = \frac{k^2}{a^2} \left[\tilde{\delta}q - a \sum_I (\rho_I + p_I) \chi \right], \quad (33)$$

$$\dot{\tilde{\delta}q} + 3H\tilde{\delta}q + \sum_I (\rho_I + p_I) \dot{n} + \tilde{\delta}p = \frac{2k^2}{3} \widetilde{\delta\Sigma}. \quad (34)$$

In GR, Eq. (33) is an automatic consequence of Eqs. (25), (26), and (27). In the present case, however, Eq. (33) is an independent equation. Combining Eqs. (25), (26), (27) and (33) and using the background equations, we obtain

$$M_{\text{Pl}}^2 \frac{k^2}{a} \chi = \frac{2\beta(1+\beta)H}{B_0} (\tilde{\delta}\rho + 3\tilde{\delta}p) - \frac{3}{2} \tilde{\delta}q, \quad (35)$$

where

$$B_0 := 2\beta \left[(5+3\beta)H^2 + 2(1+\beta)\dot{H} - 2\dot{\beta}H \right] - \frac{4}{3}\beta(1+\beta)^2 \frac{k^2}{a^2} + (1+\beta)^3 \alpha_3. \quad (36)$$

This equation algebraically determines χ in terms of $\tilde{\delta}_I$ and \tilde{v}_I . Note that Eq. (34) is not an independent equation.

It is more convenient to express the equations in terms of the variables in the Newtonian gauge, as they are used in the numerical code. Under a first-order change from the unitary gauge,

$$t' = t + \xi(t, \vec{x}), \quad (37)$$

the first-order perturbations transform as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta n' &= \delta n - \dot{\xi}, & \chi' &= \chi + a^{-1}\xi, & \psi' &= \psi + H\xi, \\ \delta'_I &= \tilde{\delta}_I - \frac{\dot{\rho}_I}{\rho_I}\xi, & v'_I &= \tilde{v}_I, & \delta\Pi'_I &= \widetilde{\delta\Pi}_I. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Here ξ is the fluctuation of the Stückelberg field in the new coordinate system. These transformation rules lead us to introduce the gravitational potentials in the Newtonian gauge defined as

$$\Phi := \delta n + \partial_t(a\chi), \quad \Psi := \psi - aH\chi, \quad (39)$$

and the matter quantities in the Newtonian gauge defined as

$$\delta_I := \tilde{\delta}_I + \frac{\dot{\rho}_I}{\rho_I}a\chi, \quad v_I := \tilde{v}_I, \quad \delta\Pi_I := \widetilde{\delta\Pi}_I. \quad (40)$$

Using these variables, the Hamiltonian and momentum constraints can be written, respectively, as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{3\mathcal{H}}{(1+\beta)^2}\Psi' + \frac{3\mathcal{H}^2}{(1+\beta)^3}\Phi + k^2\Psi + \frac{3\beta\mathcal{H}^2}{(1+\beta)^3}\chi' + \frac{\beta(2+\beta)}{(1+\beta)^2}k^2\mathcal{H}\chi \\ & + \frac{3\mathcal{H}}{4(1+\beta)^2}\left[\frac{2\beta(1-\beta)}{1+\beta}\mathcal{H}^2 - 4\beta\mathcal{H}' + 4\beta'\mathcal{H} - a^2(1+\beta)^2\alpha_3\right]\chi \\ & = -\frac{a^2}{2M_{\text{Pl}}^2}\sum_I\rho_I\delta_I, \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Psi'}{1+\beta} + \frac{\mathcal{H}\Phi}{(1+\beta)^2} + \frac{\beta\mathcal{H}}{(1+\beta)^2}\chi' + \frac{\beta}{3(1+\beta)}k^2\chi - \left[\frac{2\beta\mathcal{H}^2 - 4\beta'\mathcal{H} + a^2(1+\beta)^2\alpha_3}{4(1+\beta)^2}\right]\chi \\ & = -\frac{a^2}{2M_{\text{Pl}}^2}\sum_I(\rho_I + p_I)v_I, \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

while the traceless part of the evolution equations is expressed as

$$\Psi - \Phi = \frac{a^2}{M_{\text{Pl}}^2}(p_\gamma\delta\Pi_\gamma + p_\nu\delta\Pi_\nu), \quad (43)$$

where a dash stands for differentiation with respect to the conformal time defined by $d\eta = dt/a$ and $\mathcal{H} := a'/a$. It should be emphasized that the traceless part equation (43) is exactly the same as the corresponding equation in GR. These equations must be supplemented with the constraint equation (35) for χ , which can now be written as

$$M_{\text{Pl}}^2\chi = \frac{2\beta(1+\beta)^3\mathcal{H}}{B_1} \cdot a^2\sum_I(\rho_I + 3p_I)\delta_I + \frac{B_2}{B_1} \cdot a^2\sum_I(\rho_I + p_I)v_I, \quad (44)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= \frac{3}{4}\{2(2-\beta)\mathcal{H}^2 + 4\beta'\mathcal{H} - (1+\beta)[4\mathcal{H}' + a^2(1+\beta)\alpha_3]\} \\ &\quad \times \{2\beta[(1-\beta-6c_s^2(1+\beta))\mathcal{H}^2 + 2(1+\beta)\mathcal{H}' - 2\beta'\mathcal{H}] + a^2(1+\beta)^3\alpha_3\} \\ &\quad + \{2\beta(1+\beta)^2[(1+2\beta)\mathcal{H}^2 + 4(1+\beta)\mathcal{H}' - 4\beta'\mathcal{H}] + a^2(1+\beta)^4(1+2\beta)\alpha_3\}k^2 - \frac{4}{3}\beta(1+\beta)^4k^4, \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

$$B_2 = -\frac{3}{2}a^2\alpha_3(1+\beta)^5 + \beta(1+\beta)^2[2k^2(1+\beta)^2 - 3(3+\beta)\mathcal{H}^2 - 6(1+\beta)\mathcal{H}' + 6\beta'\mathcal{H}], \quad (46)$$

with $c_s^2 := \sum_I p'_I / \sum_I \rho'_I$. Now χ may be regarded as a fluctuation of the Stückelberg field in the Newtonian gauge. However, χ is not dynamical because it is determined from Eq. (44) which is not of the form of a hyperbolic evolution equation.

B. Initial conditions

Having obtained the perturbed gravitational field equations, let us discuss the initial conditions for perturbations set deep in the radiation era, where the scale factor is given by $a \propto \eta$ and hence $\mathcal{H} = \eta^{-1}$. Since we are mainly interested in the background model introduced in the previous section, we assume that $a^2\alpha_1 \propto \mathcal{H}^2$, $a^2\alpha_3 \propto \mathcal{H}^2$, and $\beta = \text{constant}$ deep in the radiation era.

By a direct manipulation one can see that our equations admit the following solution in the early time during radiation domination:

$$\Phi = 2C_1, \quad \Psi = 2C_1\left(1 + \frac{2f_\nu}{5}\right), \quad (47)$$

$$\delta_\gamma = \delta_\nu = \frac{4}{3}\delta_b = \frac{4}{3}\delta_c = -4C_1, \quad (48)$$

$$v_\gamma = v_\nu = v_b = v_c = -\chi = -C_1\eta \approx 0, \quad (49)$$

where C_1 is a constant and $f_\nu := \rho_\nu/(\rho_\gamma + \rho_\nu)$. We have taken into account the neutrino quadrupole moment, which is reflected in the relation between Φ and Ψ . The relation can be derived by using the Boltzmann equation for neutrinos

and the traceless part of the evolution equations, which remain the same as in GR. The constant C_1 is related to the comoving curvature perturbation (which turns out to be constant and coincide with the curvature perturbation on uniform-density slices for $k\eta \ll 1$),

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta &= -\psi + \frac{H\tilde{\delta}q}{\sum_I(\rho_I + p_I)} \\ &= -\Psi + \frac{\mathcal{H}\sum_I(\rho_I + p_I)v_I}{\rho + p},\end{aligned}\tag{50}$$

as

$$C_1 = -\frac{5}{4f_\nu + 15}\zeta.\tag{51}$$

We have thus set up the initial conditions in the early time during radiation domination. Note that the initial conditions (47) and (48) are the same as those used in the standard cosmological setup in GR. This comes with surprise because the perturbed gravitational field equations except for the traceless part are apparently different from the conventional Einstein equations in the radiation era. It turns out, however, that various modifications cancel out in the early time during radiation domination.

C. Late-time behavior

We then move to study the late-time evolution of perturbation modes for which $k/\mathcal{H} \gg 1$ is satisfied. From Eq. (44), we have, for $k \gg \mathcal{H}$,

$$k^2\mathcal{H}\chi \approx -\frac{3}{2(1+\beta)} \cdot \frac{\mathcal{H}^2}{k^2} \cdot \frac{a^2}{M_{\text{Pl}}^2} \sum_I(\rho_I + 3p_I)\delta_I - \frac{3}{2\beta(1+\beta)^2} \cdot \frac{a^2\mathcal{H}}{M_{\text{Pl}}^2} \sum_I(\rho_I + p_I)v_I.\tag{52}$$

This equation, together with Eqs. (41) and (42), yields

$$k^2\Psi \approx -\frac{a^2}{M_{\text{Pl}}^2} \sum_I \rho_I \delta_I \gg \mathcal{H}^2\Phi \sim \beta k^2\mathcal{H}\chi \sim \frac{a^2\mathcal{H}}{M_{\text{Pl}}^2} \sum_I(\rho_I + p_I)v_I,\tag{53}$$

and $v_I \gg \chi$ for $k \gg \mathcal{H}$. Therefore, in the subhorizon regime at late times, the leading part of the Hamiltonian constraint reduces to the same Poisson equation as in GR. The traceless part of the gravitational field equations and the fluid equations are also the same as in GR. (Since $v_I \gg \chi$ for the subhorizon modes, the χ 's contribution to the fluid equations is negligible.) Thus, aside from the overall amplitude, we have the same subhorizon evolution of the perturbations as in GR. This fact was shown in the case of a pressureless fluid in Ref. [19], and here we have generalized their result to the case of a mixture of fluids.

Having the above arguments in mind, let us consider, for example, the evolution of the gravitational potential Φ normalized by the solution in GR: Φ/Φ_{GR} . Suppose that we impose the initial condition so that $\Phi/\Phi_{\text{GR}} = 1$ at $\eta = 0$. Modified gravity effects come into play on intermediate scales, $k \sim \mathcal{H}$, and Φ/Φ_{GR} then evolves with time, deviating from 1. At late times, $k \gg \mathcal{H}$, Φ/Φ_{GR} settles down to a constant value which in general differs from 1. This behavior will modify the predictions of CMB anisotropies, leading to constraints on the model parameter β , as elaborated in the next section.

V. CMB CONSTRAINTS ON MODEL PARAMETERS

A. Best-fit parameters

We determine the best-fit parameters and the confidence ranges allowed by the Planck 2018 observations using the Markov-Chain Monte-Carlo (MCMC) method with Planck 2018 TTTEEE+lowE likelihood.³ We use the Boltzmann

³ The Planck likelihood files we use here are `commander_dx12.v3.2.29.clik` for TT in $2 \leq \ell \leq 29$, `plik_rd12_HM.v22b.TTTEEE.clik` for $TT + TE + EE$ in $30 \leq \ell \leq 2508$, and `simall_100x143_offlike5.EE.Aplanck.B.clik` for EE in $2 \leq \ell \leq 29$.

	2DoF	Λ CDM
β	$-0.0388^{+0.011}_{-0.0083}$	
$10^9 A_s e^{-2\tau}$	$1.8902^{+0.0090}_{-0.0062}$	$1.8868^{+0.0066}_{-0.0056}$
n_s	$0.9748^{+0.0035}_{-0.0025}$	$0.9697^{+0.0042}_{-0.0027}$
h	$0.6853^{+0.0027}_{-0.0051}$	$0.6782^{+0.0038}_{-0.0056}$
$h^2 \Omega_c$	$0.11843^{+0.0010}_{-0.00069}$	$0.11878^{+0.0015}_{-0.00064}$
$h^2 \Omega_b$	$0.02172^{+0.00011}_{-0.00012}$	$0.02177^{+0.00014}_{-0.00013}$
τ	$0.0497^{+0.0052}_{-0.0052}$	$0.0489^{+0.0058}_{-0.0045}$
$\ln \mathcal{L}$	-1426	-1432

TABLE I. 1σ confidence ranges of the model parameters in the modified gravity model (*left*) and the Λ CDM model (*right*). In the bottom line, we show the values of the likelihood for the best-fit parameter set.




FIG. 1. Angular power spectra of the temperature fluctuations (*left*) and the E-mode polarization (*right*) with the best-fit parameters. The elongated panels below the spectra show the fractional deviation from the Λ CDM case, $\Delta^X := (C_\ell^{X(2\text{DoF})} - C_\ell^{X(\Lambda\text{CDM})})/C_\ell^{X(\Lambda\text{CDM})}$ for $X = TT, EE$. Notice that, in the right panel, the vertical scale for $\ell \geq 30$ shown in the right vertical axis is different from that for $\ell < 30$ shown in the left vertical axis.

solver developed in Ref. [37], and modify it to implement Eq. (41) in the gravity sector. We vary the parameter β characterizing the present model in addition to the standard parameters in the Λ CDM model; the amplitude of the curvature perturbation, $B_s := A_s e^{-2\tau}$, the spectral index, n_s , the reduced Hubble parameter, h , the fractional amount of the CDM, $h^2 \Omega_c$, that of the baryons, $h^2 \Omega_b$ and the optical depth, τ .

In Table I, we show the resultant confidence ranges of the seven model parameters obtained by the MCMC simulation. The superscript and subscript indicate the 68% confidence range, and we also show the maximum value of the logarithmic likelihood, $\ln \mathcal{L}$, in each model. It is thus found that the best-fit range for β is

$$-0.047 < \beta < -0.028 \quad (54)$$

at 68% confidence level, which indicates a $\sim 4\sigma$ deviation from GR.

In Fig. 1, we show the rescaled angular power spectra, $D_\ell := \ell(\ell+1)C_\ell/(2\pi)$, for the temperature fluctuations and C_ℓ for the E-mode polarization with the best-fit parameters in the present modified gravity model and the Λ CDM model. As the differences between them are too small to be seen from the power spectra, we also show the fractional change of D_ℓ^X or C_ℓ^X defined as $\Delta^X := (C_\ell^{X(2\text{DoF})} - C_\ell^{X(\Lambda\text{CDM})})/C_\ell^{X(\Lambda\text{CDM})}$ for $X = TT, EE$ in the elongated panel below the angular power spectrum. (The superscript “2DoF” is used to denote the angular power spectrum in the modified gravity model.) We thus find that the differences are suppressed within a few percent for D_ℓ^{TT} and $\sim 10\%$ for C_ℓ^{EE} .

The multivariate distribution function for the model parameters are presented in Fig. 2. There is a weak positive correlation between β and B_s and no significant correlations are found with other parameters. This indicates that the parameter β can be treated as a parameter controlling the overall amplitude of the anisotropies in a similar manner to the primordial amplitude around the best-fit parameter set. As a result, the goodness of the fitting is not significantly




FIG. 2. 68%, 95% and 99% confidence contours in the modified gravity model (*left*) and the Λ CDM model (*right*).

improved by introducing the new parameter β as shown in Table I. The impact of the parameter β on the angular power spectrum will be discussed in more detail in the next subsection.

B. Impact of the β parameter




FIG. 3. (*Left*) Angular power spectrum of temperature anisotropies, $D_\ell^{TT} := \ell(\ell+1)C_\ell^{TT}/2\pi$, for various β in the unit of $(\mu\text{K})^2$. The black solid line is D_ℓ^{TT} for $\beta = -0.0388$, the red lines are for $0.1 \leq \beta \leq 50$ and the blue lines are for $-0.4 \leq \beta \leq -0.1$. (*Right*) We also show the value of D_ℓ^{TT} at $\ell = 220$ for various β , in which the color pattern is the same used in the left panel. Note that we put together two plots for $\beta \leq 0$ (linear horizontal axis) and $\beta > 0$ (logarithmic).

In the left panel of Fig. 3, we present the angular power spectra of temperature anisotropies for various β . The black line represents the one with the best-fit parameters given in “2DoF” in Table I. Varying β from -0.1 to -0.4 , we obtain the power spectra represented by blue curves and find the monotonic enhancement of the amplitude. In contrast, varying β from 0.1 to 50 , we obtain the power spectra represented by red curves whose dependence on β is not monotonic. To clarify this, we also show the value of D_ℓ at $\ell = 220$ for various β in the right panel of Fig. 3.

As is seen from the figure, the amplitude of the first peak of the angular power spectrum is minimum for $\beta \approx 1.5$, and the amplitude turns to be larger for $\beta > 1.5$ as β is increased. Therefore, in the vicinity of the best-fit value ($\beta = -0.0388$), a large negative value of β provides the same effect as a large value of B_s . In other words, smaller $|\beta|$ is preferred for larger B_s to compensate each other. This positive correlation can be found in the small panel for β vs B_s in the left panel of Fig. 2.




FIG. 4. Time-evolution of Φ normalized by its GR counterpart for $\beta = 0.1$ (left) and $\beta = 1$ (right). The arrows hanging on the top horizontal axis indicate the horizon re-entry time for each mode.

Let us discuss how varying β leads to the change in the angular power spectrum as described above. Figure 4 shows the time evolution of the gravitational potential Φ in the modified gravity model divided by that in GR, Φ_{GR} , for various wavenumbers. The parameters are given by the “2DoF” best-fit ones in Table I except for β , and we use $\beta = 0.1$ and $\beta = 1$ for the left and right panels, respectively, to see how the results depend on β . The gravitational potential in GR, Φ_{GR} , is computed simply by setting $\beta = 0$. (To compute Φ_{GR} we do not use the “ Λ CDM” best-fit parameters in the table.) It can be seen from these plots that the ratio Φ/Φ_{GR} is constant both in the early and late times. This is the behavior anticipated from the discussion in the previous section, which validates the argument there. Setting the initial conditions so that $\Phi/\Phi_{\text{GR}} \rightarrow 1$ as $\eta \rightarrow 0$, the gravitational potential at the present time is reduced by 1% (5%) for $\beta = 0.1$ (1) compared to the GR result (see blue, orange, cyan and magenta lines). The arrows hanging on the top horizontal axis in Fig. 4 indicate the horizon re-entry time for each mode. One can see that the potential starts to decay or oscillate around the horizon re-entry time when the deviation of the evolution equations from GR becomes prominent. Both in the cases with $\beta = 0.1$ and $\beta = 1$, the ratio Φ/Φ_{GR} with $k/H_0 = 1.2$, for which horizon re-entry occurs at a time close to the present time, decays significantly after horizon re-entry. The same feature is observed for $k/H_0 = 0.1$ and 6.6, though the decay is less significant. This is a transient behavior that occurs around horizon re-entry and the ratio would settle down to a constant value in the future.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have considered the cosmology of modified gravity with just two tensorial degrees of freedom and no propagating scalar mode [11], focusing on a particular subset of theories which started to be explored in Ref. [19]. The model is interesting because it evades Solar System tests and can reproduce exactly the same expansion history of the Λ CDM model based on general relativity (GR) [19]. Moreover, black hole solutions are the same as those in GR and gravitational waves propagate at the speed of light [19]. Linear cosmological perturbations are thus the only way considered so far to discriminate between this modified gravity model and GR.

To study the evolution of cosmological perturbations in modified gravity with just two tensorial degrees of freedom, we have modified the Boltzmann code developed originally for general scalar-tensor theories [37] to implement the present case where the scalar field obeys a constraint equation rather than a hyperbolic evolution equation. With this code we have clarified how the modified gravity parameter β , which is the only additional parameter of the present model with respect to the Λ CDM model, changes the perturbation evolution and the CMB temperature and E-mode angular power spectra. We have performed a Markov-Chain Monte-Carlo simulation to obtain the best-fit cosmological and modified-gravity parameters from Planck data. The constraints on the β parameter we have derived

read $-0.047 < \beta < -0.028$ at 68% c.l.. This is the first observational test on the cuscuton-like modified gravity model of Ref. [11] evading other major experimental constraints.

Surprisingly, our result indicates that GR ($\beta = 0$) is disfavoured at $\sim 4\sigma$. The present result, however, is based only on the CMB observation. Further observational tests need to be promoted to confirm whether the cuscuton-like modified gravity model is really favoured. For instance, the large-scale structure survey provides an independent test for the modified gravity model through the cosmological evolution of the matter density fluctuation characterized by σ_8 or $f\sigma_8$. We leave the joint analysis for future study.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work of TH was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant No. JP21K03559. The work of TK was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant No. JP20K03936 and MEXT-JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Transformative Research Areas (A) “Extreme Universe”, No. JP21H05182 and No. JP21H05189.

-
- [1] G. W. Horndeski, *Second-order scalar-tensor field equations in a four-dimensional space*, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **10** (1974) 363.
 - [2] C. Deffayet, X. Gao, D. A. Steer and G. Zahariade, *From k-essence to generalised Galileons*, *Phys. Rev. D* **84** (2011) 064039 [[1103.3260](#)].
 - [3] T. Kobayashi, M. Yamaguchi and J. Yokoyama, *Generalized G-inflation: Inflation with the most general second-order field equations*, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **126** (2011) 511 [[1105.5723](#)].
 - [4] D. Langlois and K. Noui, *Degenerate higher derivative theories beyond Horndeski: evading the Ostrogradski instability*, *JCAP* **02** (2016) 034 [[1510.06930](#)].
 - [5] M. Crisostomi, K. Koyama and G. Tasinato, *Extended Scalar-Tensor Theories of Gravity*, *JCAP* **04** (2016) 044 [[1602.03119](#)].
 - [6] J. Ben Achour, M. Crisostomi, K. Koyama, D. Langlois, K. Noui and G. Tasinato, *Degenerate higher order scalar-tensor theories beyond Horndeski up to cubic order*, *JHEP* **12** (2016) 100 [[1608.08135](#)].
 - [7] T. Kobayashi, *Effective scalar-tensor description of regularized Lovelock gravity in four dimensions*, *JCAP* **07** (2020) 013 [[2003.12771](#)].
 - [8] X. Gao, *Unifying framework for scalar-tensor theories of gravity*, *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014) 081501 [[1406.0822](#)].
 - [9] X. Gao, *Hamiltonian analysis of spatially covariant gravity*, *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014) 104033 [[1409.6708](#)].
 - [10] C. Cheung, P. Creminelli, A. L. Fitzpatrick, J. Kaplan and L. Senatore, *The Effective Field Theory of Inflation*, *JHEP* **03** (2008) 014 [[0709.0293](#)].
 - [11] X. Gao and Z.-B. Yao, *Spatially covariant gravity theories with two tensorial degrees of freedom: the formalism*, *Phys. Rev. D* **101** (2020) 064018 [[1910.13995](#)].
 - [12] N. Afshordi, D. J. H. Chung and G. Geshnizjani, *Cuscuton: A Causal Field Theory with an Infinite Speed of Sound*, *Phys. Rev. D* **75** (2007) 083513 [[hep-th/0609150](#)].
 - [13] A. Iyonaga, K. Takahashi and T. Kobayashi, *Extended Cuscuton: Formulation*, *JCAP* **12** (2018) 002 [[1809.10935](#)].
 - [14] N. Afshordi, M. Fontanini and D. C. Guariento, *Horndeski meets McVittie: A scalar field theory for accretion onto cosmological black holes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014) 084012 [[1408.5538](#)].
 - [15] A. Iyonaga, K. Takahashi and T. Kobayashi, *Extended Cuscuton as Dark Energy*, *JCAP* **07** (2020) 004 [[2003.01934](#)].
 - [16] S. Panpanich and K.-i. Maeda, *Cosmological Dynamics of Cuscuta-Galileon Gravity*, [2109.12288](#).
 - [17] K.-i. Maeda and S. Panpanich, *Cuscuta-Galileon Cosmology: Dynamics, Gravitational “Constant”s and Hubble Constant*, [2202.04908](#).
 - [18] M. Miranda, D. Vernieri, S. Capozziello and V. Faraoni, *Generalized McVittie geometry in Horndeski gravity with matter*, [2204.09693](#).
 - [19] A. Iyonaga and T. Kobayashi, *Distinguishing modified gravity with just two tensorial degrees of freedom from general relativity: Black holes, cosmology, and matter coupling*, [2109.10615](#).
 - [20] N. Bartolo, A. Ganz and S. Matarrese, *Cuscuton Inflation*, [2111.06794](#).
 - [21] C. Lin and S. Mukohyama, *A Class of Minimally Modified Gravity Theories*, *JCAP* **10** (2017) 033 [[1708.03757](#)].
 - [22] R. Carballo-Rubio, F. Di Filippo and S. Liberati, *Minimally modified theories of gravity: a playground for testing the uniqueness of general relativity*, *JCAP* **06** (2018) 026 [[1802.02537](#)].
 - [23] K. Aoki, C. Lin and S. Mukohyama, *Novel matter coupling in general relativity via canonical transformation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018) 044022 [[1804.03902](#)].
 - [24] K. Aoki, A. De Felice, C. Lin, S. Mukohyama and M. Oliosi, *Phenomenology in type-I minimally modified gravity*, *JCAP* **01** (2019) 017 [[1810.01047](#)].
 - [25] S. Mukohyama and K. Noui, *Minimally Modified Gravity: a Hamiltonian Construction*, *JCAP* **07** (2019) 049 [[1905.02000](#)].
 - [26] J. C. Feng and S. Carloni, *New class of generalized coupling theories*, *Phys. Rev. D* **101** (2020) 064002 [[1910.06978](#)].

- [27] A. De Felice, A. Doll and S. Mukohyama, *A theory of type-II minimally modified gravity*, *JCAP* **09** (2020) 034 [[2004.12549](#)].
- [28] K. Aoki, A. De Felice, S. Mukohyama, K. Noui, M. Oliosi and M. C. Pookkillath, *Minimally modified gravity fitting Planck data better than Λ CDM*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** (2020) 708 [[2005.13972](#)].
- [29] G. Tasinato, *Symmetries for scalarless scalar theories*, *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020) 084009 [[2009.02157](#)].
- [30] A. De Felice, A. Doll, F. Larrouturou and S. Mukohyama, *Black holes in a type-II minimally modified gravity*, *JCAP* **03** (2021) 004 [[2010.13067](#)].
- [31] Z.-B. Yao, M. Oliosi, X. Gao and S. Mukohyama, *Minimally modified gravity with an auxiliary constraint: A Hamiltonian construction*, *Phys. Rev. D* **103** (2021) 024032 [[2011.00805](#)].
- [32] A. De Felice and S. Mukohyama, *Weakening gravity for dark matter in a type-II minimally modified gravity*, *JCAP* **04** (2021) 018 [[2011.04188](#)].
- [33] J. Sangtawe and K. Karwan, *Inflationary model in minimally modified gravity theories*, *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021) 023511 [[2103.11463](#)].
- [34] A. De Felice, S. Mukohyama and M. C. Pookkillath, *Static, spherically symmetric objects in Type-II minimally modified gravity*, [2110.14496](#).
- [35] A. Ganz, *Dynamical Dark Energy in Minimally Modified Gravity*, [2203.12358](#).
- [36] A. De Felice, K.-i. Maeda, S. Mukohyama and M. C. Pookkillath, *VCDM and Cuscuton*, [2204.08294](#).
- [37] T. Hiramatsu and D. Yamauchi, *Testing gravity theories with cosmic microwave background in the degenerate higher-order scalar-tensor theory*, *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020) 083525 [[2004.09520](#)].