

Fermi-GBM Observation of GRB 090717034: χ^2 Test Confirms Evidence of Gravitational Lensing by a Supermassive Black Hole with Million Solar Mass

Zeinab Kalantari^{*1}, Sohrab Rahvar¹ and Alaa Ibrahim²

¹Department of Physics, Sharif University of Technology, Tehran
11365-9161, Iran

²Department of Physics, College of Science, P.O.Box 36, P.C. 123,
Muscat, Sultanate of Oman

September 7, 2022

Abstract

Gravitational lensing of gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) can provide an opportunity to probe the massive compact objects in the universe at different redshifts. We have discovered two consecutive pulses in the light curve of GRB 090717034, with the same temporal profile and different count rate, separated by a time interval, which is identified as gravitationally lensed candidate in Fermi/GBM GRB catalogue [Kalantari et al., 2021]. Here, we use the χ^2 method to investigate the similarity of the temporal profile variability of the two pulses as a gravitationally lensed candidate GRB. We find the magnification factor and the time delay between two pulses to correspond to minimising the χ^2 function. Then, we perform a Monte Carlo simulation on a sample of mock lensed GRBs and compare the χ^2 of the lensed GRB candidate with the simulation, which confirms this candidate with 1σ confidence level. Assuming that GRB 090717034 is lensed by a point-like object, the redshifted lens mass is about $M_L(1+z) = (4.220 \pm 6.615) \times 10^6 M_\odot$. The lens of this GRB is a candidate for a super-massive black hole along the line of sight to the GRB.

Keywords Gamma Ray Bursts, Gravitational lensing, Black holes

^{*}zeinab.kalantari@physics.sharif.edu

The first GRB was detected in 1967 by the Vela satellite of the United States [Klebesadel et al., 1973]. The Burst and Transient Source Experiment (BATSE) was then launched in 1991 [Paciesas et al., 1999] to study the origin of GRBs. This experiment has detected over 3000 GRBs and recognized an isotropic distribution of GRBs in sky [Briggs et al., 1996]. The spectroscopic measurements of the redshift of the host galaxies showed that GRBs are at cosmological distances from Earth [Metzger et al., 1997]. Our knowledge of GRBs has increased with the launch of the Swift and Fermi gamma-ray observatories in 2004 and 2008, respectively [Gehrels et al., 2004, Meegan et al., 2009]. Their observations revealed new populations of GRBs, such as GRBs at high redshift [Tanvir et al., 2009], ultra-long GRBs, and ultra-high-energy (GeV) GRBs [Abdo et al., 2009]. The Gamma-ray Burst Monitor (GBM) on board of the Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope (Fermi-GBM) records GRBs in a broad energy range (8-40 MeV) and with a field of view of ≥ 8 sr. This instrument has observed more than 2000 long GRBs (with $T_{90} \geq 2$ s) and is ideal for finding gravitationally lensed GRBs [Meegan et al., 2009, von Kienlin et al., 2020].

GRBs are ideal sources to probe the cosmos due to their high redshifts. Gravitational lensing of GRBs has been studied for many years. Paczynski [1986] was the first to propose the idea that the GRBs could be gravitationally lensed. Null results have been obtained in searches for strong gravitationally lensed GRBs, where the time delay between the images is greater than the duration of the burst [Marani et al., 1996, 1999, Davidson et al., 2011, Li and Li, 2014, Hurley et al., 2019, Ahlgren and Larsson, 2020]. On the other hand, some gravitationally microlensed GRB candidates have been introduced by investigating their light curves [Ougolnikov, 2003, Hirose et al., 2006, Paynter et al., 2021, Kalantari et al., 2021, Yang et al., 2021, Veres et al., 2021].

In our recent work, we applied the autocorrelation technique to the long GRB light curves within the years of 2008-2020 in the Fermi-GBM catalog and found one candidate (GRB 090717034) as a lensed GRB with a redshifted lens mass of $M_L(1+z) \simeq 10^6 M_\odot$ for a point-mass model of the lens [Kalantari et al., 2021]. This lens induced a time delay of ≈ 41.332 s and a flux ratio of about 1.782 between the two GRB images. This candidate passed two criteria which verify that the two pulses of the GRB candidates are real and not artifacts. As a first step, we select GRB candidates that show two distinct pulses in their light curves, with a faint pulse following a bright pulse in the energy range beyond which the GRB spectrum does not exhibit

overflow or cutoff effects, which usually is between 8 and 150 keV. Secondly, we choose the NaI detector that shows the brightest signal, and to avoid any instrumental error, we also checked that at least three other NaI detectors recorded the two pulses for the selected GRB in different energy bands, therefore, GRB 090717034 has been regarded as a candidate for gravitational microlensing [Kalantari et al., 2021]. Mukherjee and Nemiroff [2021, 2022] challenged this candidate, arguing that the pulse shapes are different at about the 3.1σ confidence level. But Lin et al. [2021] by repeating the same χ^2 test mentioned by Mukherjee and Nemiroff [2022] on the light curve of GRB 090717034 in a different time bin concluded the result of Mukherjee and Nemiroff [2022] χ^2 test is very sensitive to the time bin sizes of the light curve. Lin et al. [2021] confirmed GRB 090717034 as the first-class candidate of gravitational lensing based on its excellent results in the auto-correlation test, hardness test, and time-integrated/resolved spectrum test. In this paper, we revisit the light curve of GRB 090717034 and use the χ^2 test to examine the credibility of this event as a lensed GRB candidate.

In Kalantari et al. [2021], we investigated the possibility of gravitational lensing of GRB in the Fermi/GBM data and found one candidate among 2137 long GRBs searched. We extracted light curves from the NaI detectors that record the highest signal and used the time-tagged event (TTE) file for GRB 090717034 in the energy range of 8–150 keV (where the GRB spectrum did not show overflow or cutoff effect). The light curve of GRB 090717034 is depicted in Fig.1. In our previous data analysis, the autocorrelation method gave the time delay between the two pulses and the magnification ratio after the subtraction of the background. According to the light curve of this GRB, a zeroth polynomial model (a constant) is chosen to fit the background. The two physical parameters from the autocorrelation method were reported as $\tau = 41.332 \pm 0.283$ s (time delay between the pulses) and $R = 1.782 \pm 0.239$ (as the magnification ratio).

Here we repeat our analysis using the χ^2 method. After subtracting the background, we shift back the second pulse by the amount τ and magnify it with the factor R to superimpose the two pulses. We take into account the error in count rate as a Poisson noise which is proportional to the square root of the photons count in each time bin ($\sqrt{N}$). Then we compare the two light curves using the χ^2 function [Lindsay, 1994]

$$\chi^2(\tau, R) = \frac{1}{N_I - 2} \sum_{t=t_{start}}^{t_{start}+T_{90}/2} \frac{(I_1(t) - R \times I_2(t - \tau))^2}{\sigma_1(t)^2 + R \times \sigma_2(t - \tau)^2} \quad (1)$$

Here $I_1(t)$, $\sigma_1(t)$ and $I_2(t)$, $\sigma_2(t)$ respectively are the count rate and




Figure 1: The light curve of GRB 090717034 (with Fermi-GBM) from NaI 1 detector with time bin of 256 ms. This event fulfills the two criteria we considered to be a gravitationally lensed candidate (See text above and Kalantari et al. [2021]).

the error in the count rate of the first and second pulses in the GRB light curve and N_I is number of data bin in the range of summation and χ^2 is normalized to the number of degrees of freedom. T_{90} is a measurement of the time interval in which 90% of the total observed counts have been detected and t_{start} is defined by the time at which 5% of the total counts have been detected. Since gravitational lensing does not change the time duration of two images, we assume that the duration of each pulse of the light curve (images) is about half of T_{90} . Therefore we calculate the χ^2 of the gravitationally lensed candidate in the time interval of t_{start} to $t_{start} + T_{90}/2$. We used data from the Fourth Fermi/GBM Gamma-Ray Burst Catalog [von Kienlin et al., 2020] of GRB 090717034 for the T_{90} duration and the start time of this burst.

We calculate the χ^2 of the lensed candidate for a range of parameters of R and τ around the parameters value that we found from autocorrelation method ($0.2R_{ACF} \leq R \leq 2R_{ACF}$) and ($0.9\tau_{ACF} \leq \tau \leq 1.1\tau_{ACF}$). The minimum value of χ^2 is found 1.961 ± 1.337 that is occurring at $R = 1.662 \pm 0.580$ and $\tau = 42.365 \pm 0.125s$ with 1σ error in χ^2 . Fig.2 depicts the value of χ^2 in terms of two parameters R and τ .

As a visual check of the validity of the best values the parameter of R and τ , after subtracting the background from the light curve of the lensed candidate, we magnify the second pulse and shift it with the amount of the time delay. Fig. (3) shows the overlap of the two pulses in the burst duration ($T_{90}/2$) with the time delay and the magnification factor that were




Figure 2: Normalized χ^2 of GRB 090717034 obtained from comparing the light curves of the two pulses of the GRB by using a range value for the magnification factor R and time delay τ (Eq.(1)). The minimum of χ^2 is 1.961 ± 1.337 occurs at $R = 1.662 \pm 0.580$ and $\tau = 42.365 \pm 0.125$ s considering 1σ confidence level in χ^2 depicted by the white cross sign.

found from the χ^2 minimization method.




Figure 3: Comparing the two pulses of GRB 090717034 after the fainter pulse is magnified with the amount $R = 1.662$ and shifted back by $\tau = 42.365s$.

We also checked the reliability of χ^2 fitting by performing a Monte Carlo simulation of mock lensed GRBs. For this simulation, we select 81 long GRBs from the Fermi/GBM catalog [von Kienlin et al., 2020] that have one pulse in their light curves with time bin of 64 ms. We produced mock lensed light curves of these GRBs by imposing a time delay and a magnification factor that we found from the χ^2 method ($\tau = 42.365s$ and $R = 1.602$). Then we find the χ^2 value for each of these mock lensed data in the time range of their t_{start} to $t_{start} + T_{90}$. The mean value of χ^2 in the simulation was 3.309 ± 5.436 . Therefore, the χ^2 test of our lensed candidate verified that two pulses of this GRB light curve are intrinsic and with high confidence level as due to gravitational lensing. The lens mass for a point-mass model is derived to be $M_L(1+z) = (4.220 \pm 6.615) \times 10^6 M_\odot$ for the time delay and magnification factor based on the result of the χ^2 method. Table 1 compares the best values for the parameters of the lens from the χ^2 and autocorrelation function methods. We note that gravitational lensing of GRBs can identify the compact and non-compact structure in the universe. The time delay between the pulses reaching the observer is an indication of the compactness of the lens. The diffused structures as galaxies and globular cluster may cause time delay, in the images in the order of months and days, however, for compact lenses as black holes, the time delay is in the order of the time needed to cross the horizon of the black hole (i.e. $\tau = R_s/c$).

Concluding this work, we used the χ^2 test on the light curve of GRB 090717034 from Fermi-GBM observations [Kalantari et al., 2021] to examine

Table 1: **Compering the magnification and the time delay of GRB 090717034 with two techniques**

Method	τ	R	$M_L(1 + z_L)$
Autocorrelation	41.332 ± 0.283 s	1.782 ± 0.239	$(3.615 \pm 1.763) \times 10^6 M_\odot$
Minimising χ^2	42.365 ± 0.125 s	1.662 ± 0.580	$(4.220 \pm 6.615) \times 10^6 M_\odot$

this event as a gravitationally lensed candidate. We found the best values of the magnification ratio and time separation of two local pulses in the GRB light curve to be consistent with those obtained from the autocorrelation method. Our result for magnification factor and time delay are updated to $R = 1.662 \pm 0.580$ and $\tau = 42.365 \pm 0.125$ s. They are within 7% and 3%, respectively from the values obtained from the autocorrelation technique (table 1). We also found the acceptable value for best χ^2 by performing a Monte Carlo simulation on a sample of 81 mock gravitationally lensed data with the same magnification and time delay of the gravitationally lensed candidate. The best value for χ^2 of GRB 090717034 light curve is 1.961 ± 1.337 which is well within the acceptable range of Monte Carlo simulation with the mean value of 3.309 ± 5.436 . This comparison confirms that this event has a true lensing signature and is not a result of stochastic random fluctuations in the GRB light curve.

Under assumption of point mass-model for the gravitational lens, the lens mass was derived as $M_L(1 + z) = (4.220 \pm 6.615) \times 10^6 M_\odot$, which confirmed a signature of a supermassive black hole. One of the scenarios for the formation of supermassive black holes (SMBHs) are thought to form from primordial black holes (PBHs) with masses of $10^6 M_\odot$. The PBH concept was first developed in 1974 by Carr and Hawking [1974] and they suggest that over-dense regions of the early universe could collapse into black holes. Different authors have proposed various mechanisms to explain this phenomenon[Suyama et al., 2005, Jedamzik and Niemeyer, 1999, Bullock and Primack, 1997]. One plausible hypothesis is that PBHs are formed as a result of large-scale density fluctuations in the early universe [García-Bellido et al., 1996]. A variety of observations constrained the proportion of dark matter in the form of PBHs in different mass ranges [Ali-Haïmoud and Kamionkowski, 2017, Carr et al., 2010, Chen et al., 2016, Wang et al., 2016, 2020, Aloni et al., 2017, Magee et al., 2018, Abbott et al., 2018, Graham et al., 2015, Griest et al., 2013, Nakama et al., 2018, Gaggero et al., 2017, Niikura et al., 2019, Zumalacárregui and Seljak, 2018, Barnacka et al., 2012, Chen et al., 2019, Tisserand et al., 2007, Abbott et al., 2019, Cappelluti et al., 2022,

Rahvar, 2021, Khalouei et al., 2021].

The study of gravitational lensing of GRBs is an important tool to constrain the mass fraction of PBH to the total mass of dark matter in the windows where PBHs is not t constrained. In order to achieve this goal, we need GRB data with higher accuracy in photon counting as well as better sensitivity in identifying the poisons of GRBs. Rich statistics from the lensed GRBs with control on the bias of the detectors may answer the challenging question on dark matter: whether dark matter is formed from the large mass primordial black holes?

References

- Zeinab Kalantari, Alaa Ibrahim, Mohammad Reza Rahimi Tabar, and Sohrab Rahvar. Imprints of Gravitational Millilensing on the Light Curve of Gamma-Ray Bursts. *apj*, 922(1):77, November 2021. doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ac1c06.
- Ray W. Klebesadel, Ian B. Strong, and Roy A. Olson. Observations of gamma-ray bursts of cosmic origin. *apjl*, 182:L85, jun 1973. doi: 10.1086/181225.
- William S. Paciesas, Charles A. Meegan, Geoffrey N. Pendleton, Michael S. Briggs, Chryssa Kouveliotou, Thomas M. Koshut, John Patrick Lestrade, Michael L. McCollough, Jerome J. Brainerd, Jon Hakkila, William Henze, Robert D. Preece, Valerie Connaughton, R. Marc Kippen, Robert S. Mallozzi, Gerald J. Fishman, Georgia A. Richardson, and Maitrayee Sahi. The Fourth BATSE Gamma-Ray Burst Catalog (Revised). *apjs*, 122(2): 465–495, June 1999. doi: 10.1086/313224.
- Michael S. Briggs, William S. Paciesas, Geoffrey N. Pendleton, Charles A. Meegan, Gerald J. Fishman, John M. Horack, Martin N. Brock, Chryssa Kouveliotou, Dieter H. Hartmann, and Jon Hakkila. BATSE Observations of the Large-Scale Isotropy of Gamma-Ray Bursts. *apj*, 459:40, March 1996. doi: 10.1086/176867.
- M. R. Metzger, S. G. Djorgovski, S. R. Kulkarni, C. C. Steidel, K. L. Adelberger, D. A. Frail, E. Costa, and F. Frontera. Spectral constraints on the redshift of the optical counterpart to the γ -ray burst of 8 May 1997. *nat*, 387(6636):878–880, June 1997. doi: 10.1038/43132.
- N. Gehrels, G. Chincarini, P. Giommi, K. O. Mason, J. A. Nousek, A. A. Wells, N. E. White, S. D. Barthelmy, D. N. Burrows, L. R. Cominsky,

K. C. Hurley, F. E. Marshall, P. Mészáros, P. W. A. Roming, L. Angelini, L. M. Barbier, T. Belloni, S. Campana, P. A. Caraveo, M. M. Chester, O. Citterio, T. L. Cline, M. S. Cropper, J. R. Cummings, A. J. Dean, E. D. Feigelson, E. E. Fenimore, D. A. Frail, A. S. Fruchter, G. P. Garmire, K. Gendreau, G. Ghisellini, J. Greiner, J. E. Hill, S. D. Hunsberger, H. A. Krimm, S. R. Kulkarni, P. Kumar, F. Lebrun, N. M. Lloyd-Ronning, C. B. Markwardt, B. J. Mattson, R. F. Mushotzky, J. P. Norris, J. Osborne, B. Paczynski, D. M. Palmer, H. S. Park, A. M. Parsons, J. Paul, M. J. Rees, C. S. Reynolds, J. E. Rhoads, T. P. Sasseen, B. E. Schaefer, A. T. Short, A. P. Smale, I. A. Smith, L. Stella, G. Tagliaferri, T. Takahashi, M. Tashiro, L. K. Townsley, J. Tueller, M. J. L. Turner, M. Vietri, W. Voges, M. J. Ward, R. Willingale, F. M. Zerbi, and W. W. Zhang. The Swift Gamma-Ray Burst Mission. *apj*, 611(2):1005–1020, August 2004. doi: 10.1086/422091.

Charles Meegan, Giselher Lichti, P. N. Bhat, Elisabetta Bissaldi, Michael S. Briggs, Valerie Connaughton, Roland Diehl, Gerald Fishman, Jochen Greiner, Andrew S. Hoover, Alexander J. van der Horst, Andreas von Kienlin, R. Marc Kippen, Chryssa Kouveliotou, Sheila McBreen, W. S. Paciasas, Robert Preece, Helmut Steinle, Mark S. Wallace, Robert B. Wilson, and Colleen Wilson-Hodge. The Fermi Gamma-ray Burst Monitor. *apj*, 702(1):791–804, September 2009. doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/702/1/791.

N. R. Tanvir, D. B. Fox, A. J. Levan, E. Berger, K. Wiersema, J. P. U. Fynbo, A. Cucchiara, T. Krühler, N. Gehrels, J. S. Bloom, J. Greiner, P. A. Evans, E. Rol, F. Olivares, J. Hjorth, P. Jakobsson, J. Farihi, R. Willingale, R. L. C. Starling, S. B. Cenko, D. Perley, J. R. Maund, J. Duke, R. A. M. J. Wijers, A. J. Adamson, A. Allan, M. N. Bremer, D. N. Burrows, A. J. Castro-Tirado, B. Cavanagh, A. de Ugarte Postigo, M. A. Dopita, T. A. Fatkhullin, A. S. Fruchter, R. J. Foley, J. Gorosabel, J. Kennea, T. Kerr, S. Klose, H. A. Krimm, V. N. Komarova, S. R. Kulkarni, A. S. Moskvitin, C. G. Mundell, T. Naylor, K. Page, B. E. Penprase, M. Perri, P. Podsiadlowski, K. Roth, R. E. Rutledge, T. Sakamoto, P. Schady, B. P. Schmidt, A. M. Soderberg, J. Sollerman, A. W. Stephens, G. Stratta, T. N. Ukwatta, D. Watson, E. Westra, T. Wold, and C. Wolf. A γ -ray burst at a redshift of $z \sim 8.2$. *nat*, 461(7268):1254–1257, October 2009. doi: 10.1038/nature08459.

A. A. Abdo, M. Ackermann, M. Arimoto, K. Asano, W. B. Atwood, M. Axelsson, L. Baldini, J. Ballet, D. L. Band, G. Barbiellini, M. G. Baring, D. Bastieri, M. Battelino, B. M. Baughman, K. Bechtol, F. Bel-

lardi, R. Bellazzini, B. Berenji, P. N. Bhat, E. Bissaldi, R. D. Blandford, E. D. Bloom, G. Bogaert, J. R. Bogart, E. Bonamente, J. Bonnell, A. W. Borgland, A. Bouvier, J. Bregeon, A. Brez, M. S. Briggs, M. Brigida, P. Bruel, T. H. Burnett, D. Burrows, G. Busetto, G. A. Caliandro, R. A. Cameron, P. A. Caraveo, J. M. Casandjian, M. Ceccanti, C. Cecchi, A. Celotti, E. Charles, A. Chekhtman, C. C. Cheung, J. Chiang, S. Ciprini, R. Claus, J. Cohen-Tanugi, L. R. Cominsky, V. Connaughton, J. Conrad, L. Costamante, S. Cutini, M. DeKlotz, C. D. Dermer, A. de Angelis, F. de Palma, S. W. Digel, B. L. Dingus, E. do Couto e Silva, P. S. Drell, R. Dubois, D. Dumora, Y. Edmonds, P. A. Evans, D. Fabiani, C. Farnier, C. Favuzzi, J. Finke, G. Fishman, W. B. Focke, M. Frailis, Y. Fukazawa, S. Funk, P. Fusco, F. Gargano, D. Gasparri, N. Gehrels, S. Germani, B. Giebels, N. Giglietto, P. Giommi, F. Giordano, T. Glanzman, G. Godfrey, A. Goldstein, J. Granot, J. Greiner, I. A. Grenier, M. H. Grondin, J. E. Grove, L. Guillemot, S. Guiriec, G. Haller, Y. Hanabata, A. K. Harding, M. Hayashida, E. Hays, J. A. Hernando Morata, A. Hoover, R. E. Hughes, G. Jóhannesson, A. S. Johnson, R. P. Johnson, T. J. Johnson, W. N. Johnson, T. Kamae, H. Katagiri, J. Kataoka, A. Kavelaars, N. Kawai, H. Kelly, J. Kennea, M. Kerr, R. M. Kippen, J. Knödlse, D. Kocevski, M. L. Kocian, N. Komin, C. Kouveliotou, F. Kuehn, M. Kuss, J. Lande, D. Landriu, S. Larsson, L. Latronico, C. Lavalley, B. Lee, S. H. Lee, M. Lemoine-Goumard, G. G. Lichti, F. Longo, F. Loparco, B. Lott, M. N. Lovellette, P. Lubrano, G. M. Madejski, A. Makeev, B. Marangelli, M. N. Mazziotta, S. McBreen, J. E. McEnery, S. McGlynn, C. Meehan, P. Mészáros, C. Meurer, P. F. Michelson, M. Minuti, N. Mirizzi, W. Mitthumsiri, T. Mizuno, A. A. Moiseev, C. Monte, M. E. Monzani, E. Moretti, A. Morselli, I. V. Moskalenko, S. Murgia, T. Nakamori, D. Nelson, P. L. Nolan, J. P. Norris, E. Nuss, M. Ohno, T. Ohsugi, A. Okumura, N. Omodei, E. Orlando, J. F. Ormes, M. Ozaki, W. S. Paciesas, D. Paneque, J. H. Panetta, D. Parent, V. Pelassa, M. Pepe, M. Perri, M. Pesce-Rollins, V. Petrosian, M. Pinchera, F. Piron, T. A. Porter, R. Preece, S. Rainò, E. Ramirez-Ruiz, R. Rando, E. Rapposelli, M. Razzano, S. Razzaque, N. Rea, A. Reimer, O. Reimer, T. Reposeur, L. C. Reyes, S. Ritz, L. S. Rochester, A. Y. Rodriguez, M. Roth, F. Ryde, H. F. W. Sadrozinski, D. Sanchez, A. Sander, P. M. Saz Parkinson, J. D. Scargle, T. L. Schalk, K. N. Segal, C. Sgrò, T. Shimokawabe, E. J. Siskind, D. A. Smith, P. D. Smith, G. Spandre, P. Spinelli, M. Stamatikos, J. L. Starck, F. W. Stecker, H. Steinle, T. E. Stephens, M. S. Strickman, D. J. Suson, G. Tagliaferri, H. Tajima, H. Takahashi, T. Takahashi, T. Tanaka, A. Tenze, J. B. Thayer, J. G. Thayer, D. J. Thompson, L. Tibaldo, D. F.

- Torres, G. Tosti, A. Tramacere, M. Turri, S. Tuvi, T. L. Usher, A. J. van der Horst, L. Vigiani, N. Vilchez, V. Vitale, A. von Kienlin, A. P. Waite, D. A. Williams, C. Wilson-Hodge, B. L. Winer, K. S. Wood, X. F. Wu, R. Yamazaki, T. Ylinen, M. Ziegler, Fermi LAT Collaboration, and Fermi GBM Collaboration. Fermi Observations of High-Energy Gamma-Ray Emission from GRB 080916C. *Science*, 323(5922):1688, March 2009. doi: 10.1126/science.1169101.
- A. von Kienlin, C. A. Meegan, W. S. Paciesas, P. N. Bhat, E. Bissaldi, M. S. Briggs, E. Burns, W. H. Cleveland, M. H. Gibby, M. M. Giles, A. Goldstein, R. Hamburg, C. M. Hui, D. Kocevski, B. Mailyan, C. Malacaria, S. Poolakkil, R. D. Preece, O. J. Roberts, P. Veres, and C. A. Wilson-Hodge. The Fourth Fermi-GBM Gamma-Ray Burst Catalog: A Decade of Data. *apj*, 893(1):46, April 2020. doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ab7a18.
- B. Paczynski. Gamma-ray bursters at cosmological distances. *apjl*, 308:L43–L46, September 1986. doi: 10.1086/184740.
- G. F. Marani, R. J. Nemiroff, J. P. Norris, and J. T. Bonnell. A new gravitational lens search for gamma ray bursts. In Chryssa Kouveliotou, Michael F. Briggs, and Gerald J. Fishman, editors, *Gamma-ray Bursts: 3rd Huntsville Symposium*, volume 384 of *American Institute of Physics Conference Series*, pages 487–491, August 1996. doi: 10.1063/1.51709.
- G. F. Marani, R. J. Nemiroff, J. P. Norris, K. Hurley, and J. T. Bonnell. Gravitationally Lensed Gamma-Ray Bursts as Probes of Dark Compact Objects. *apjl*, 512(1):L13–L16, February 1999. doi: 10.1086/311868.
- R. Davidson, P. N. Bhat, and Gang Li. Are there Gravitationally Lensed Gamma-ray Bursts detected by GBM? In J. E. McEnery, J. L. Racusin, and N. Gehrels, editors, *Gamma Ray Bursts 2010*, volume 1358 of *American Institute of Physics Conference Series*, pages 17–20, August 2011. doi: 10.1063/1.3621728.
- ChunYu Li and LiXin Li. Search for strong gravitational lensing effect in the current GRB data of BATSE. *Science China Physics, Mechanics, and Astronomy*, 57(8):1592–1599, August 2014. doi: 10.1007/s11433-014-5513-2.
- K. Hurley, A. E. Tsvetkova, D. S. Svinkin, R. L. Aptekar, D. D. Frederiks, S. V. Golenetskii, A. A. Kokomov, A. V. Kozlova, A. L. Lysenko, M. V. Ulanov, T. L. Cline, I. G. Mitrofanov, D. Golovin, M. L. Litvak, A. B. Sanin, W. Boynton, K. Harshman, C. Fellows, R. Starr, A. Rau, A. von

- Kienlin, and X. Zhang. A Search for Gravitationally Lensed Gamma-Ray Bursts in the Data of the Interplanetary Network and Konus-Wind. *apj*, 871(1):121, January 2019. doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/aaf645.
- Björn Ahlgren and Josefin Larsson. A Search for Lensed Gamma-Ray Bursts in 11 yr of Observations by Fermi GBM. *apj*, 897(2):178, July 2020. doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ab9b8a.
- O. S. Ougolnikov. A Search for Possible Mesolensing of Cosmic Gamma-Ray Bursts: II. Double and Triple Bursts in the BATSE Catalog. *Cosmic Research*, 41(2):141–146, March 2003.
- Y. Hirose, M. Umemura, A. Yonehara, and J. Sato. Imprint of Gravitational Lensing by Population III Stars in Gamma-Ray Burst Light Curves. *apj*, 650(1):252–260, October 2006. doi: 10.1086/506169.
- James Paynter, Rachel Webster, and Eric Thrane. Evidence for an intermediate-mass black hole from a gravitationally lensed gamma-ray burst. *Nature Astronomy*, 5:560–568, January 2021. doi: 10.1038/s41550-021-01307-1.
- Xing Yang, Hou-Jun Lü, Hao-Yu Yuan, Jared Rice, Zhao Zhang, Bin-Bin Zhang, and En-Wei Liang. Evidence for Gravitational Lensing of GRB 200716C. *apjl*, 921(2):L29, November 2021. doi: 10.3847/2041-8213/ac2f39.
- P. Veres, N. Bhat, N. Fraija, and S. Lesage. Fermi-GBM Observations of GRB 210812A: Signatures of a Million Solar Mass Gravitational Lens. *apjl*, 921(2):L30, November 2021. doi: 10.3847/2041-8213/ac2ee6.
- Oindabi Mukherjee and Robert J. Nemiroff. Light-curve Test of GRB 090717 as a Gravitationally Lensed Echo. *Research Notes of the American Astronomical Society*, 5(8):183, August 2021. doi: 10.3847/2515-5172/ac1a7e.
- Oindabi Mukherjee and Robert J. Nemiroff. Light Curve Test of GRB 200716C as a Gravitationally Lensed Echo. *Research Notes of the American Astronomical Society*, 6(3):42, March 2022. doi: 10.3847/2515-5172/ac5968.
- Shi-Jie Lin, An Li, He Gao, Lin Lin, Bin-Bin Zhang, Zi-Ke Liu, Jin-Hang Zou, Zhao Zhang, Huan Zhou, Zheng-Xiang Li, and Lin Lan. A Search for Millilensing Gamma-Ray Bursts in the Observations of Fermi GBM. *arXiv e-prints*, art. arXiv:2112.07288, December 2021.

- B Lindsay. Efficiency Versus Robustness: The Case for Minimum Hellinger Distance and Related Methods. *The Annals of Statistics*, 22(2):084001, April 1994. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.103.084001.
- B. J. Carr and S. W. Hawking. Black holes in the early Universe. *mnras*, 168:399–416, August 1974. doi: 10.1093/mnras/168.2.399.
- Teruaki Suyama, Takahiro Tanaka, Bruce Bassett, and Hideaki Kudoh. Are black holes overproduced during preheating? *Phys. Rev. D*, 71:063507, Mar 2005. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.71.063507. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.063507>.
- K. Jedamzik and J. C. Niemeyer. Primordial black hole formation during first-order phase transitions. *Phys. Rev. D*, 59:124014, May 1999. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.59.124014. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.59.124014>.
- James S. Bullock and Joel R. Primack. Non-Gaussian fluctuations and primordial black holes from inflation. *prd*, 55(12):7423–7439, June 1997. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.55.7423.
- Juan García-Bellido, Andrei Linde, and David Wands. Density perturbations and black hole formation in hybrid inflation. *prd*, 54(10):6040–6058, November 1996. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.54.6040.
- Yacine Ali-Haïmoud and Marc Kamionkowski. Cosmic microwave background limits on accreting primordial black holes. *prd*, 95(4):043534, February 2017. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.95.043534.
- B. J. Carr, Kazunori Kohri, Yuuiti Sendouda, and Jun’Ichi Yokoyama. New cosmological constraints on primordial black holes. *prd*, 81(10):104019, May 2010. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.81.104019.
- Lu Chen, Qing-Guo Huang, and Ke Wang. Constraint on the abundance of primordial black holes in dark matter from Planck data. *jcap*, 2016(12):044, December 2016. doi: 10.1088/1475-7516/2016/12/044.
- Sai Wang, Yi-Fan Wang, Qing-Guo Huang, and Tjonnie G. F. Li. Constraints on the Primordial Black Hole Abundance from the First Advanced LIGO Observation Run Using the Stochastic Gravitational-Wave Background. *arXiv e-prints*, art. arXiv:1610.08725, October 2016.

- Yi-Fan Wang, Qing-Guo Huang, Tjonnie G. F. Li, and Shihong Liao. Searching for primordial black holes with stochastic gravitational-wave background in the space-based detector frequency band. *prd*, 101(6):063019, March 2020. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.101.063019.
- Daniel Aloni, Kfir Blum, and Raphael Flauger. Cosmic microwave background constraints on primordial black hole dark matter. *jcap*, 2017(5):017, May 2017. doi: 10.1088/1475-7516/2017/05/017.
- Ryan Magee, Anne-Sylvie Deutsch, Phoebe McClincy, Chad Hanna, Christian Horst, Duncan Meacher, Cody Messick, Sarah Shandera, and Madeline Wade. Methods for the detection of gravitational waves from sub-solar mass ultracompact binaries. *Phys. Rev. D*, 98:103024, Nov 2018. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.98.103024. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.103024>.
- B. P. Abbott, R. Abbott, T. D. Abbott, F. Acernese, K. Ackley, C. Adams, T. Adams, P. Addesso, R. X. Adhikari, V. B. Adya, C. Affeldt, B. Agarwal, M. Agathos, K. Agatsuma, N. Aggarwal, O. D. Aguiar, L. Aiello, A. Ain, P. Ajith, B. Allen, G. Allen, and Allocca. Search for subsolar-mass ultracompact binaries in advanced ligo’s first observing run. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 121:231103, Dec 2018. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.231103. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.231103>.
- Peter W. Graham, Surjeet Rajendran, and Jaime Varela. Dark matter triggers of supernovae. *prd*, 92(6):063007, September 2015. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.92.063007.
- Kim Griest, Agnieszka M. Cieplak, and Matthew J. Lehner. New limits on primordial black hole dark matter from an analysis of kepler source microlensing data. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 111:181302, Oct 2013. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.181302. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.181302>.
- Tomohiro Nakama, Teruaki Suyama, Kazunori Kohri, and Nagisa Hiroshima. Constraints on small-scale primordial power by annihilation signals from extragalactic dark matter minihalos. *Phys. Rev. D*, 97:023539, Jan 2018. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.97.023539. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.023539>.
- Daniele Gaggero, Gianfranco Bertone, Francesca Calore, Riley M. T. Connors, Mark Lovell, Sera Markoff, and Emma Storm. Searching for Primor-

dial Black Holes in the Radio and X-Ray Sky. *prl*, 118(24):241101, June 2017. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.241101.

Hiroko Niikura, Masahiro Takada, Naoki Yasuda, Robert H. Lupton, Takahiro Sumi, Surhud More, Toshiki Kurita, Sunao Sugiyama, Anupreeta More, Masamune Oguri, and Masashi Chiba. Microlensing constraints on primordial black holes with Subaru/HSC Andromeda observations. *Nature Astronomy*, 3:524–534, April 2019. doi: 10.1038/s41550-019-0723-1.

Miguel Zumalacárregui and Uroš Seljak. Limits on Stellar-Mass Compact Objects as Dark Matter from Gravitational Lensing of Type Ia Supernovae. *prl*, 121(14):141101, October 2018. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.141101.

A. Barnacka, J. F. Glicenstein, and R. Moderski. New constraints on primordial black holes abundance from femtolensing of gamma-ray bursts. *prd*, 86(4):043001, August 2012. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.86.043001.

Zu-Cheng Chen, Fan Huang, and Qing-Guo Huang. Stochastic Gravitational-wave Background from Binary Black Holes and Binary Neutron Stars and Implications for LISA. *apj*, 871(1):97, January 2019. doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/aaf581.

P. Tisserand, L. Le Guillou, C. Afonso, J. N. Albert, J. Andersen, R. Ansari, É. Aubourg, P. Bareyre, J. P. Beaulieu, X. Charlot, C. Coutures, R. Ferlet, P. Fouqué, J. F. Glicenstein, B. Goldman, A. Gould, D. Graff, M. Gros, J. Haissinski, C. Hamadache, J. de Kat, T. Lasserre, É. Lesquoy, C. Loup, C. Magneville, J. B. Marquette, É. Maurice, A. Maury, A. Milsztajn, M. Moniez, N. Palanque-Delabrouille, O. Perdureau, Y. R. Rahal, J. Rich, M. Spiro, A. Vidal-Madjar, L. Vigroux, S. Zylberajch, and EROS-2 Collaboration. Limits on the Macho content of the Galactic Halo from the EROS-2 Survey of the Magellanic Clouds. *aap*, 469(2):387–404, July 2007. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361:20066017.

B. P. Abbott, R. Abbott, T. D. Abbott, S. Abraham, F. Acernese, K. Ackley, C. Adams, R. X. Adhikari, V. B. Adya, and C. Affeldt. All-sky search for short gravitational-wave bursts in the second Advanced LIGO and Advanced Virgo run. *prd*, 100(2):024017, July 2019. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.100.024017.

Nico Cappelluti, Günther Hasinger, and Priyamvada Natarajan. Exploring the High-redshift PBH- Λ CDM Universe: Early Black Hole Seeding, the

First Stars and Cosmic Radiation Backgrounds. *apj*, 926(2):205, February 2022. doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ac332d.

Sohrab Rahvar. Possibility of primordial black holes collision with Earth and the consequences of this collision. *mnras*, 507(1):914–918, October 2021. doi: 10.1093/mnras/stab2239.

E. Khalouei, H. Ghodsi, S. Rahvar, and J. Abedi. Possibility of primordial black holes as the source of gravitational wave events in the advanced LIGO detector. *prd*, 103(8):084001, April 2021. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.103.084001.