

Backward doubly stochastic differential equations and SPDEs with quadratic growth*

Ying Hu[†], Jiaqiang Wen[‡], Jie Xiong[§]

May 12, 2022

Abstract. In this paper, we initiate the study of backward doubly stochastic differential equations (BDSDEs, for short) with quadratic growth. The existence, comparison, and stability results for one-dimensional BDSDEs are proved when the generator $f(t, Y, Z)$ grows in Z quadratically and the terminal value is bounded, by introducing some new ideas. Moreover, in this framework, we use BDSDEs to give a probabilistic representation for the solutions of semilinear stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs, for short) in Sobolev spaces, and use it to prove the existence and uniqueness of such SPDEs, thus extending the nonlinear Feynman-Kac formula.

Key words: backward doubly stochastic differential equation, stochastic partial differential equation, quadratic growth, Feynman-Kac formula, Sobolev solution.

AMS subject classifications. 60H10, 60H15, 60H30.

1 Introduction

The nonlinear Feynman-Kac formula was introduced by Pardoux–Peng [25] after they proved the existence and uniqueness of nonlinear backward stochastic differential equations (BSDEs, for short) in [24]. It provides a probabilistic representation for a large class of semilinear partial differential equations (PDEs, for short). A few years later, in order to give a probabilistic representation for semilinear stochastic PDEs (SPDEs, for short), a new class of BSDEs called the backward doubly stochastic differential equations (BDSDEs, for short) were introduced by them too in [26]. In order to present the work more clearly, we describe the problem in detail.

*Ying Hu was partially supported by Lebesgue Center of Mathematics “Investissements d’avenir” program-ANR-11-LABX-0020-01, by CAESARS-ANR-15-CE05-0024 and by MFG-ANR16-CE40-0015-01. Jiaqiang Wen was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12101291), Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province of China (Grant No. 2214050003543), and SUSTech start-up fund (Grant No. Y01286233). Jie Xiong was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants No. 61873325 and 11831010), and SUSTech start-up fund (Grant No. Y01286120).

[†]Univ. Rennes, CNRS, IRMAR - UMR 6625, F-35000 Rennes, France ((Email: ying.hu@univ-rennes1.fr)).

[‡]Department of Mathematics, Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen, Guangdong, 518055, China ((Email: [wenjq@sustech.edu.cn](mailto:wenjqq@sustech.edu.cn))).

[§]Department of Mathematics and SUSTech International center for Mathematics, Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen, Guangdong, 518055, China (Email: xiongj@sustech.edu.cn).

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a complete probability space on which two standard independent Brownian motions $\{W_t; 0 \leq t < \infty\}$ and $\{B_t; 0 \leq t < \infty\}$, with values in \mathbb{R}^d and \mathbb{R}^l , respectively, are defined. Let $T > 0$ be a fixed terminal time and denote by \mathcal{N} the class of \mathbb{P} -null sets of \mathcal{F} , where

$$\mathcal{F}_t \triangleq \mathcal{F}_t^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t,T}^B, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

In the above, for any process $\{\eta_t; 0 \leq t \leq T\}$, $\mathcal{F}_{s,t}^\eta = \sigma\{\eta_r - \eta_s; s \leq r \leq t\} \vee \mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{F}_t^\eta = \mathcal{F}_{0,t}^\eta$. For each $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$, let $\{X_s^{t,x}; t \leq s \leq T\}$ be the solution of the following stochastic differential equations (SDEs, for short):

$$X_s^{t,x} = x + \int_t^s b(X_r^{t,x}) dr + \int_t^s \sigma(X_r^{t,x}) dW_r, \quad t \leq s \leq T,$$

and consider the following backward doubly stochastic differential equations: for $t \leq s \leq T$,

$$Y_s^{t,x} = h(X_T^{t,x}) + \int_s^T f(r, X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{t,x}) dr + \int_s^T g(r, X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{t,x}) d\overleftarrow{B}_r - \int_s^T Z_r^{t,x} dW_r, \quad (1.1)$$

where the dW integral is a forward Itô's integral and the $d\overleftarrow{B}$ integral is a backward one. The coefficient h is called the *terminal value* and the coefficient f is called the *generator*. The solution of (1.1) is the pair (Y, Z) of \mathcal{F} -measurable processes. For convenience, hereafter, by a *quadratic BDSDE*, or *BDSDE with quadratic growth*, we mean that in (1.1), the generator f grows in Z quadratically. Meanwhile, we call h the *bounded terminal value* if it is bounded.

Pardoux–Peng [26] introduced BDSDEs (1.1), gave the well-posedness of solutions under globally Lipschitz coefficients, and used it to prove the existence and uniqueness of the following semilinear SPDEs:

$$\begin{aligned} u(s, x) = & h(x) + \int_s^T \left\{ \mathcal{L}u(r, x) + f(r, x, u(r, x), (\sigma^\top \nabla u)(r, x)) \right\} dr \\ & + \int_s^T g(r, x, u(r, x), (\sigma^\top \nabla u)(r, x)) d\overleftarrow{B}_r, \quad t \leq s \leq T, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where σ^\top denotes the transposed of σ , and

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}, \quad (a_{ij}) = \sigma \sigma^\top.$$

This result is summarized as the nonlinear stochastic Feynman-Kac formula, which permits us to solve SPDE by BDSDE and, conversely, one can use SPDE to solve BDSDE too. Since then, this important theory has attracted a lot of attention. For example, Buckdahn–Ma [10, 11] introduced the stochastic viscosity solution to the nonlinear SPDEs, which connect BDSDEs to extend the nonlinear stochastic Feynman-Kac formula. Bally–Matoussi [3] obtained the connection between the solution of BDSDEs and the Sobolev solution of related SPDEs. Zhang–Zhao [37] studied infinite horizon BDSDEs and got the stationary solutions of related SPDEs. Xiong [33] solved a long standing open problem about the SPDE characterization of the super-Brownian motion by

making use of the BDSDE. Buckdahn–Li–Xing [9] studied mean-field BDSDEs and the associated nonlocal semilinear SPDEs. Besides, Shi–Gu–Liu [28] obtained the comparison theorem of solutions of BDSDEs. Han–Peng–Wu [16] got the maximum principle for backward doubly stochastic control systems. Hu–Matoussi–Zhang [18] studied the Wong–Zakai approximations of BDSDEs. For more recent developments of BDSDEs, we refer the readers to Boufoussi–Van Casteren–Mrhardy [6], Gomez et al. [15], Shi–Wen–Xiong [29], Wu–Zhang [31], Zhang–Zhao [38], etc.

Moreover, when the coefficient g is absent, BDSDEs (1.1) are essentially reduced to the following backward stochastic differential equations:

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad (1.3)$$

which were firstly introduced by Pardoux–Peng [24], where they obtained the existence and uniqueness under Lipschitz coefficients. Since then, BSDE stimulates some significant developments in many fields, such as partial differential equation (see Pardoux–Peng [25] and Yong [34]), mathematical finance (see El Karoui–Peng–Quenez [14]), and stochastic optimal control (see Yong–Zhou [35]), to mention a few. Meanwhile, based on the wide applications and motivated by the open problem proposed by Peng [23], many efforts have been made to relax the conditions on the generator f of BSDE (1.3) for the existence and/or uniqueness of adapted solutions. For example, Lepeltier–San Martin [21] proved the existence of adapted solutions for BSDE when the generator f is continuous and of linear growth in (Y, Z) . In 2000, Kobylanski [20] established the well-posedness for one-dimensional BSDE (1.3) with f growing in Z quadratically and with bounded terminal value. When the terminal value is unbounded, Briand–Hu [7, 8] proved the existence and uniqueness of one-dimensional BSDEs with quadratic growth. Delbaen–Hu–Bao [13] studied BSDEs with the generator f growing in Z super-quadratically. For multi-dimensional situation, Hu–Tang [19] proved the existence and uniqueness of BDSDEs with diagonally quadratic generators, and Xing–Zitkovic [32] established the existence and uniqueness for a large class of Markovian BSDEs with quadratic growth. Some other recent developments of quadratic BSDEs can be found in Bahlali–Eddahbi–Ouknine [1], Barrieu–El Karoui [5], Cheridito–Nam [12], Hu–Li–Wen [17], Richou [27], Tevzadze [30], and references cited therein.

Note that in quadratic BSDEs, in order to overcome the difficulty of quadratic growth, one always would like to distinguish whether the terminal value is bounded or not. The reason is that when the terminal value is bounded, by involving bounded mean oscillation martingales (BMO martingale, for short), some nice estimates and regularities for the solution (Y, Z) could be obtained. In other words, in this case, one could prove that Y is bounded and Z belongs to the BMO martingale space, which are useful when proving the existence and uniqueness. In addition, when proving the existence, the main idea is to use the exponential transformation, which implies that there is an essential difference between the study of the one-dimensional case and the multi-dimensional case. In summary, when studying the quadratic BSDEs, it is better to distinguish whether the terminal value is bounded or not, and the framework is a one-dimensional case or a multi-dimensional case.

On the other hand, during the past two decades, stimulated by the broad applications and the open problem of Peng [23], tremendous efforts have been made to relax the conditions on the generator f of BDSDEs and extend the nonlinear stochastic Feynman-Kac formula. However, to the best of our knowledge, there are few works concerning BDSDEs (1.1) when the generator f grows in Z quadratically. Some related studies are the works of Zhang–Zhao [39] and Bahlali et al. [2], where they obtained the existence and uniqueness of BDSDEs when the generator f is of polynomial growth in Y and is of super-linear (or sub-quadratic) in Z , respectively. For quadratic BDSDEs, the main difficulty is that due to the collection $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ is neither increasing nor decreasing, and it does not constitute a filtration. So the main technique of BMO martingale used in quadratic BSDEs is useless here, and one cannot expect to study the regularity of Z in the BMO martingale space. Besides, the backward Itô’s integral appeared in BDSDE (1.1) will bring extra troubles when proving the existence and uniqueness of the solutions.

In this paper, we initiate the theory of BDSDEs with quadratic growth. In particular, we consider the one-dimensional framework of quadratic BDSDEs with bounded terminal value. First, we study the existence under a general assumption on the generator f . Borrowed some ideas from Kobylanski [20], we construct an artful exponential transformation (see Example 3.1), which transforms the quadratic BDSDE into a classical one. It should be pointed out that the solution (Y, Z) we considered is in the space $L_{\mathbb{F}}^{\infty}(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \times L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ (see Section 2 for detailed definitions), since $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ is not a filtration. Moreover, in order to overcome the troubles that come from the backward Itô’s integral, we introduce the idea of the comparison theorem of classical BDSDEs, and some restricted condition (see (3.5)) on the coefficient g is made, otherwise, Y cannot be bounded (see Example 3.2). Furthermore, based on a priori estimate (see Proposition 3.5) and the monotone stability obtained (see Proposition 3.7), we prove that BDSDE with quadratic growth admits a solution $(Y, Z) \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^{\infty}(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \times L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ (see Theorem 3.10). Second, in order to prove the uniqueness, we study a comparison theorem, due to the fact that the one-dimensional framework allows us to provide a comparison theorem which directly implies the uniqueness as a by-product (see Theorem 4.4). However, a stronger assumption on the coefficients f and g than that for the existence result is used to prove the comparison theorem. In other words, we assume that the partial derivatives of the coefficients f and g with respect to Z are linear growth and bounded, respectively. Moreover, based on the comparison theorem, we obtain a stability result, i.e., the solutions (Y^n, Z^n) of BDSDE with coefficients (f^n, g, ξ^n) converge to the unique solution (Y, Z) of BDSDE with coefficients (f, g, ξ) when the sequences $\{f^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\{\xi^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converge to f and ξ , respectively (see Theorem 4.6). Finally, we use BDSDE (1.1) with quadratic growth to give a probabilistic representation for the solutions of SPDE (1.2) in Sobolev spaces, and use it to prove the existence and uniqueness of SPDE (1.2) when f grows in $\sigma^{\top} \nabla u$ quadratically (see Theorem 5.6), thus extending the nonlinear stochastic Feynman-Kac formula of Pardoux–Peng [26] to quadratic growth situation.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some preliminaries are presented. In Section 3, a priori estimate, the monotonicity stability, and the existence of the solutions are

proved. In Section 4, we focus on the comparison theorem and thus derive the uniqueness of the solutions. The stability result is obtained in this section too. In Section 5, we study the relationship between the solution of BDSDEs with quadratic growth and the Sobolev solution of related SPDEs. Section 6 concludes the results.

2 Preliminaries

Repeat that the triple $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is a complete probability space, and on which two standard independent Brownian motions $\{W_t; 0 \leq t < \infty\}$ and $\{B_t; 0 \leq t < \infty\}$ are defined with values in \mathbb{R}^d and \mathbb{R}^l , respectively. Let $T > 0$ be a fixed terminal time and denote by \mathcal{N} the class of \mathbb{P} -null sets of \mathcal{F} , where

$$\mathcal{F}_t \triangleq \mathcal{F}_t^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t,T}^B, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

In the above, for any process $\{\eta_t; 0 \leq t \leq T\}$, $\mathcal{F}_{s,t}^\eta = \sigma\{\eta_r - \eta_s; s \leq r \leq t\} \vee \mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{F}_t^\eta = \mathcal{F}_{0,t}^\eta$. Note that the collection $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ is not a filtration since it is neither increasing nor decreasing.

Let us introduce some notations that will be used below. Denote by $|\cdot|$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the Euclidean norm and dot product, respectively, throughout the paper. For any $p \geq 1$ and Euclidean space \mathbb{H} , denote by $C^p(\mathbb{H})$ the set of functions valued in \mathbb{H} and of class C^p with the partial derivations of order less than or equal to p are bounded. In addition, we introduce the following spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\mathcal{F}_T}^p(\Omega; \mathbb{H}) &= \left\{ \xi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{H} \mid \xi \text{ is } \mathcal{F}_T\text{-measurable, } \|\xi\|_{L^p} \triangleq (\mathbb{E}|\xi|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\}, \\ L_{\mathcal{F}_T}^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{H}) &= \left\{ \xi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{H} \mid \xi \text{ is } \mathcal{F}_T\text{-measurable, } \|\xi\|_\infty \triangleq \operatorname{esssup}_{\omega \in \Omega} |\xi(\omega)| < \infty \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and for any $t \in [0, T)$ and $s \in [t, T]$, define

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\mathbb{F}}^p(t, T; \mathbb{H}) &= \left\{ \varphi : [t, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{H} \mid \varphi_s \text{ is } \mathcal{F}_s\text{-measurable, } \|\varphi\|_{L_{\mathbb{F}}^p(t, T)} \triangleq \left(\mathbb{E} \int_t^T |\varphi_s|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\}, \\ L_{\mathbb{F}}^\infty(t, T; \mathbb{H}) &= \left\{ \varphi : [t, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{H} \mid \varphi_s \text{ is } \mathcal{F}_s\text{-measurable, } \|\varphi\|_{L_{\mathbb{F}}^\infty(t, T)} \triangleq \operatorname{esssup}_{(s, \omega) \in [t, T] \times \Omega} |\varphi_s(\omega)| < \infty \right\}, \\ S_{\mathbb{F}}^p(t, T; \mathbb{H}) &= \left\{ \varphi : [t, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{H} \mid \varphi_s \text{ is } \mathcal{F}_s\text{-measurable, continuous, and} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \|\varphi\|_{S_{\mathbb{F}}^p(t, T)} \triangleq \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \in [t, T]} |\varphi_s|^p \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the following backward doubly stochastic differential equations:

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s, Z_s) d\overleftarrow{B}_s - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\xi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is a random variable, $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{k \times d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ and $g : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{k \times d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k \times l}$ are two coefficients. Note that the dW integral is a forward Itô's integral and the $d\overleftarrow{B}$ integral is a backward one, and both integrals are particular cases of Itô-Skorohod integral (see Nualart [22]).

Definition 2.1. A pair of measurable processes $(Y, Z) \in S_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^k) \times L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{k \times d})$ is called a solution of BDSDE (2.1), if \mathbb{P} -almost surely, it satisfies (2.1). In addition, if Y is bounded, then we call the pair (Y, Z) a bounded solution.

Now, we recall the following propositions concerning BDSDEs, which come from Pardoux–Peng [26] and Shi et al. [28]. The first one is the Itô’s formula for backward doubly stochastic differential equations, the second one is the existence and uniqueness for the classical BDSDEs, and the third one is the comparison theorem for one-dimensional classical BDSDEs.

Proposition 2.2. Let $\Phi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^k)$, $\alpha \in S_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^k)$, $\beta \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^k)$, $\gamma \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{k \times l})$, $\delta \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{k \times d})$ be such that

$$\alpha_t = \alpha_0 + \int_0^t \beta_s ds + \int_0^t \gamma_s d\overleftarrow{B}_s + \int_0^t \delta_s dW_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\alpha_t) &= \Phi(\alpha_0) + \int_0^t \langle \Phi'(\alpha_s), \beta_s \rangle ds + \int_0^t \langle \Phi'(\alpha_s), \gamma_s d\overleftarrow{B}_s \rangle + \int_0^t \langle \Phi'(\alpha_s), \delta_s dW_s \rangle \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \text{Tr}[\Phi''(\alpha_s) \gamma_s \gamma_s^\top] ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \text{Tr}[\Phi''(\alpha_s) \delta_s \delta_s^\top] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Assumption 1. The terminal value ξ comes from $L_{\mathcal{F}_T}^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^k)$, and for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$,

$$f(\cdot, y, z) \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^k) \quad \text{and} \quad g(\cdot, y, z) \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{k \times l}).$$

Moreover, there exist two positive constants C and α with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that for any $t \in [0, T]$, $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^k$, $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t, y_1, z_1) - f(t, y_2, z_2)|^2 &\leq C[|y_1 - y_2|^2 + |z_1 - z_2|^2], \\ |g(t, y_1, z_1) - g(t, y_2, z_2)|^2 &\leq C|y_1 - y_2|^2 + \alpha|z_1 - z_2|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Proposition 2.3. Under Assumption 1, BDSDEs (2.1) admit a unique solution $(Y, Z) \in S_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^k) \times L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{k \times d})$.

Proposition 2.4. Suppose that the coefficients (f^1, g, ξ^1) and (f^2, g, ξ^2) satisfy Assumption 1, and let (Y^1, Z^1) and (Y^2, Z^2) be the solutions of one-dimensional BDSDEs (2.1) with parameters (f^1, g, ξ^1) and (f^2, g, ξ^2) , respectively. If for any $(t, y, z) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\xi^1 \leq \xi^2, \quad f^1(t, y, z) \leq f^2(t, y, z), \quad \text{a.s.},$$

then we have that for any $t \in [0, T]$,

$$Y_t^1 \leq Y_t^2, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

3 Quadratic BDSDE

In this section, we study the existence of one-dimensional BDSDEs with quadratic growth, i.e., $k = 1$. Before proving the existence, we study a priori estimate and the monotone stability, which are important for us to prove existence later. In order to justify the assumptions that were used to prove the existence, we give two examples. It should be pointed out that the first example also implies the main idea to prove existence, which is the central technique throughout this section.

Example 3.1. Consider the following backward doubly stochastic differential equation,

$$Y_t = \xi + C \int_t^T |Z_s|^2 ds + \alpha \int_t^T Z_s d\overleftarrow{B}_s - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad (3.1)$$

where $C > 0$ and $\alpha \in (-1, 1)$ are two constants. Let β be a positive constant which will be given later. Applying the exponential change of variable to $y = \exp(\beta Y)$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} y_T &= y_t - C \int_t^T \beta y_s |Z_s|^2 ds - \alpha \int_t^T \beta y_s Z_s d\overleftarrow{B}_s + \int_t^T \beta y_s Z_s dW_s \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \int_t^T \beta^2 y_s |Z_s|^2 ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \beta^2 y_s |Z_s|^2 ds \\ &= y_t - \left[C - \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{2} \beta \right] \int_t^T \beta y_s |Z_s|^2 ds - \alpha \int_t^T \beta y_s Z_s d\overleftarrow{B}_s + \int_t^T \beta y_s Z_s dW_s. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\beta = \frac{2C}{1 - \alpha^2}$ and denote by $z = \beta y Z$, then the above equation can be rewritten as

$$y_t = \exp(\beta \xi) + \int_t^T \alpha z_s d\overleftarrow{B}_s - \int_t^T z_s dW_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad (3.2)$$

which essential is a linear backward doubly stochastic differential equation. Then the classical existence and uniqueness (see [Proposition 2.3](#)) implies that when

$$\exp(\beta \xi) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_T}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}), \quad (3.3)$$

there exists a unique solution $(y, z) \in S^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \times L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ for BDSDE (3.2). Note that, β is positive constant, so taking $\xi \in L^\infty_{\mathcal{F}_T}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ is a sufficient condition to insure that ξ satisfies (3.3). In fact, if $\xi \in L^\infty_{\mathcal{F}_T}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$, then $\exp(\xi) \in L^\infty_{\mathcal{F}_T}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ and (3.3) holds, thus Eq. (3.2) admits a unique solution $(y, z) \in S^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \times L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover, for BDSDE (3.2), by the comparison theorem (see [Proposition 2.4](#)), one has

$$\exp(-\beta \|\xi\|_\infty) \leq y_t \leq \exp(\beta \|\xi\|_\infty), \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

which implies that y_t is positive and bounded. Now, we can define (Y, Z) by

$$Y_t = \frac{\ln(y_t)}{\beta}, \quad Z_t = \frac{z_t}{\beta y_t}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Then, it is easy to check that the pair $(Y, Z) \in L^\infty_{\mathbb{F}}(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \times L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ defined above is a solution of BDSDE (3.1). Finally, the uniqueness of (3.1) in $L^\infty_{\mathbb{F}}(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \times L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ follows from the uniqueness of (3.2) and the fact that the exponential change of variable is no longer formal.

Example 3.2. Consider the following linear backward doubly stochastic differential equation,

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T (H + d_s Y_s) d\overleftarrow{B}_s - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad (3.4)$$

where H is a constant and d is a bounded deterministic function. From [Proposition 2.3](#), BDSDE (3.4) admits a unique solution (Y, Z) . Moreover, Proposition 2.1 of Bally–Matoussi [3] implies that the solution Y is given explicitly by

$$Y_t = \mathbb{E} \left[\Psi(t, T)\xi + H \int_t^T d_s \Psi(t, s) ds \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right], \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

where

$$\Psi(t, s) = \exp \left(\int_t^s d_r d\overleftarrow{B}_r + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^s |d_r|^2 dr \right), \quad t \leq s \leq T,$$

which is not bounded even if the terminal value ξ is bounded.

Remark 3.3. On the one hand, [Example 3.1](#) tells us that why we require the boundedness of the terminal value ξ . On the other hand, [Example 3.2](#) implies that even in the classical situation with bounded terminal value ξ , the normal Lipschitz condition of the coefficient g with respect to y (see (2.2)) could not guarantee that Y is bounded.

3.1 A priori estimate

In this subsection, we prove a priori estimate for the solution (Y, Z) of quadratic BDSDEs. First, we introduce the assumption.

Assumption 2. Suppose that the terminal value $\xi \in L^\infty_{\mathcal{F}_T}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$. Let $a : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $b : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be two functions, and C and α be two positive constants with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. For all $(t, y, z) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$f(t, y, z) = a_0(t, y, z)y + f_0(t, y, z)$$

with

$$a_0(t, y, z) \leq a_t, \quad |f_0(t, y, z)| \leq b_t + C|z|^2, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

and

$$|g(t, y, z)|^2 \leq \alpha|z|^2, \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (3.5)$$

Remark 3.4. We point out that the boundedness of the solution Y requires us to make the condition (3.5) concerning the coefficient g ; if not, the solution Y may not be bounded even if the terminal value ξ is bounded (see [Example 3.2](#) and [Remark 3.3](#)). Here we give an example that satisfying (3.5):

$$g(t, y, z) = \sin(y)l(z) \quad \text{with} \quad |l(z)|^2 \leq \alpha|z|^2, \quad \forall (t, y, z) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Proposition 3.5 (A priori estimate). *Let $(Y, Z) \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^{\infty}(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \times L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ be a solution of BDSDE (2.1) with parameters (f, g, ξ) , which satisfy Assumption 2 with a, b, C, α , such that*

$$a^+ = \max(a, 0), \quad b \in L^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}).$$

Then for every $t \in [0, T]$,

$$Y_t \leq \left[\sup_{\Omega} (Y_T) \right]^+ \cdot \exp \left(\int_t^T a_s ds \right) + \int_t^T b_s \exp \left(\int_t^s a_r dr \right) ds, \quad a.s. \quad (3.6)$$

$$\left(\text{resp. } Y_t \geq \left[\inf_{\Omega} (Y_T) \right]^- \cdot \exp \left(\int_t^T a_s ds \right) - \int_t^T b_s \exp \left(\int_t^s a_r dr \right) ds, \quad a.s. \right). \quad (3.7)$$

Moreover, there exists a positive constant K depending only on $\|Y\|_{\infty}$, $\|a^+\|_{L^1}$, C and α such that

$$\mathbb{E} \int_0^T |Z_s|^2 ds \leq K. \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. Consider the following linear ordinary differential equation,

$$\varphi_t = \left[\sup_{\Omega} (Y_T) \right]^+ + \int_t^T (a_s \varphi_s + b_s) ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Then someone could compute that for $0 \leq t \leq T$,

$$\varphi_t = \left[\sup_{\Omega} (Y_T) \right]^+ \cdot \exp \left(\int_t^T a_s ds \right) + \int_t^T b_s \exp \left(\int_t^s a_r dr \right) ds.$$

So the result (3.6) holds if we can prove that $Y_t \leq \varphi_t$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. Applying Itô's formula (see Proposition 2.2) to the process $Y_t - \varphi_t$ and to an increasing $C^2(\mathbb{R})$ function Φ , which will be determined later, deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(Y_t - \varphi_t) &= \Phi(Y_T - \varphi_T) + \int_t^T \Phi'(Y_s - \varphi_s) [f(s, Y_s, Z_s) - (a_s \varphi_s + b_s)] ds \\ &\quad + \int_t^T \Phi'(Y_s - \varphi_s) g(s, Y_s, Z_s) d\overleftarrow{B}_s - \int_t^T \Phi'(Y_s - \varphi_s) Z_s dW_s \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \Phi''(Y_s - \varphi_s) |g(s, Y_s, Z_s)|^2 ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \Phi''(Y_s - \varphi_s) |Z_s|^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

We denote that for every $s \in [t, T]$,

$$\tilde{a}_s = a_0(s, Y_s, Z_s).$$

From Assumption 2, note that the function Φ is increasing, we have that for every $s \in [t, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi'(Y_s - \varphi_s) [f(s, Y_s, Z_s) - (a_s \varphi_s + b_s)] \\ &\leq \Phi'(Y_s - \varphi_s) [\tilde{a}_s Y_s + b_s + C|Z_s|^2 - (a_s \varphi_s + b_s)] \\ &\leq \Phi'(Y_s - \varphi_s) [\tilde{a}_s (Y_s - \varphi_s) + (\tilde{a}_s - a_s) \varphi_s + C|Z_s|^2], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Phi''(Y_s - \varphi_s)|g(s, Y_s, Z_s)|^2 \leq \alpha \Phi''(Y_s - \varphi_s)|Z_s|^2.$$

Due to that $(\tilde{a}_s - a_s)\varphi_s \leq 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(Y_t - \varphi_t) &\leq \Phi(Y_T - \varphi_T) + \int_t^T \tilde{a}_s \Phi'(Y_s - \varphi_s)(Y_s - \varphi_s) ds \\ &\quad + \int_t^T \left(C\Phi' - \frac{1-\alpha}{2}\Phi'' \right) (Y_s - \varphi_s)|Z_s|^2 ds \\ &\quad + \int_t^T \Phi'(Y_s - \varphi_s)g(s, Y_s, Z_s)d\overleftarrow{B}_s - \int_t^T \Phi'(Y_s - \varphi_s)Z_s dW_s. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Set $M = \|Y\|_\infty + \|\varphi\|_\infty$ and define the function Φ on the interval $[-M, M]$ by

$$\Phi(u) = \begin{cases} e^{\frac{2C}{1-\alpha}u} - 1 - \frac{2C}{1-\alpha}u - \frac{2C^2}{(1-\alpha)^2}u^2, & u \in [0, M], \\ 0, & u \in [-M, 0]. \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to check that for all $u \in [-M, M]$,

$$\Phi(u) \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(u) = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad u \leq 0,$$

$$\Phi'(u) \geq 0,$$

$$0 \leq u\Phi'(u) \leq (M+1)\frac{2C}{1-\alpha}\Phi(u),$$

$$C\Phi' - \frac{1-\alpha}{2}\Phi'' \leq 0.$$

Hence, if we set

$$k_t = a_t^+(M+1)\frac{2C}{1-\alpha},$$

then the function k is positive and deterministic. Note that $Y_T - \varphi_T \leq 0$ implies that $\Phi(Y_T - \varphi_T) = 0$, and thus for all $0 \leq t \leq T$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \Phi(Y_t - \varphi_t) &\leq \int_t^T k_s \Phi(Y_s - \varphi_s) ds \\ &\quad + \int_t^T \Phi'(Y_s - \varphi_s)g(s, Y_s, Z_s)d\overleftarrow{B}_s - \int_t^T \Phi'(Y_s - \varphi_s)Z_s dW_s. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Note that the term $\Phi'(Y_s - \varphi_s)$ is bounded on the interval $t \leq s \leq T$, so the terms $\Phi'(Y_s - \varphi_s)Z_s$ and $\Phi'(Y_s - \varphi_s)g(s, Y_s, Z_s)$ belong to the spaces $L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(t, T; \mathbb{R}^l)$, respectively. Taking expectations on both side of the inequality (3.10) implies that

$$0 \leq \mathbb{E}\Phi(Y_t - \varphi_t) \leq \mathbb{E} \int_t^T k_s \Phi(Y_s - \varphi_s) ds.$$

Then Gronwall's inequality yields that

$$\mathbb{E}\Phi(Y_t - \varphi_t) = 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Therefore, note that $\Phi(u) \geq 0$, for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\Phi(Y_t - \varphi_t) = 0, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Finally, again, note that $\Phi(u) = 0$ if and only if $u \leq 0$, we obtain that for every $t \in [0, T]$,

$$Y_t - \varphi_t \leq 0, \quad \text{a.s.},$$

which implies that the inequality (3.6) holds. Similarly, by using the same computation, one can prove that (3.7) holds too.

Finally, in order to prove the estimate (3.8), we use again (3.9) with $t = 0$, $\varphi = 0$, $M = \|Y\|_\infty$, and define Φ on $[-M, M]$ by

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{1-\alpha}{2C^2} \left[\exp\left(\frac{2C}{1-\alpha}(u+M)\right) - 1 - \frac{2C}{1-\alpha}(u+M) \right].$$

It is easy to check that, for every $u \in [-M, M]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u) &\geq 0, \\ \Phi'(u) &\geq 0, \\ 0 \leq u\Phi'(u) &\leq \frac{M}{C} \left[\exp\left(\frac{4CM}{1-\alpha}\right) - 1 \right], \\ \frac{1-\alpha}{2}\Phi'' - C\Phi' &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then (3.9) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \Phi(Y_0) &\leq \Phi(Y_T) + \int_0^T a_s^+ \frac{M}{C} \left[\exp\left(\frac{4CM}{1-\alpha}\right) - 1 \right] ds - \int_0^T |Z_s|^2 ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \Phi'(Y_s) g(s, Y_s, Z_s) d\overleftarrow{B}_s - \int_0^T \Phi'(Y_s) Z_s dW_s, \end{aligned}$$

which leads that

$$\mathbb{E} \int_0^T |Z_s|^2 ds \leq \Phi(M) + \frac{M}{C} \left[\exp\left(\frac{4CM}{1-\alpha}\right) - 1 \right] \|a^+\|_{L^1([0, T]; \mathbb{R})}.$$

This completes the proof. □

An immediate consequence of this proposition is the following corollary.

Corollary 3.6. *Let $(Y, Z) \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \times L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ be a solution of BDSDE with parameters (f, g, ξ) , where ξ belongs to $L_{\mathcal{F}_T}^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$, and f and g satisfy the condition [Assumption 2](#) with $a, b, C > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that $a^+, b \in L^1(0, T; \mathbb{R})$, then*

$$\|Y\|_\infty \leq (\|\xi\|_\infty + \|b\|_{L^1([0, T]; \mathbb{R})}) \exp(\|a^+\|_{L^1([0, T]; \mathbb{R})}).$$

3.2 Monotone stability

In this subsection, we would like to study the monotone stability of BDSDEs (2.1) with quadratic growth, which is also important for us to prove the existence later.

Proposition 3.7 (Monotone stability). *Let (f, g, ξ) be a set of parameters and let (f^n, g, ξ^n) be a sequence of parameters such that:*

(i) *There exist two positive constants C and α with $0 < \alpha < 1$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} |f^n(t, y, z)| &\leq C(1 + |y| + |z|^2), & |g(t, y, z)|^2 &\leq \alpha|z|^2, & \forall t \in [0, T], y \in \mathbb{R}, z \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ |g(t, y_1, z_1) - g(t, y_2, z_2)|^2 &\leq C|y_1 - y_2|^2 + \alpha|z_1 - z_2|^2, & \forall t \in [0, T], y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}, z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

(ii) *The sequence $\{f^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges to f locally uniformly on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$, and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the sequence $\{\xi^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges to ξ in $L_{\mathcal{F}_T}^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$.*

(iii) *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the BDSDE with parameters (f^n, g, ξ^n) has a solution*

$$(Y^n, Z^n) \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \times L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d),$$

and the sequence $\{Y^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is monotonic such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|Y^n\|_\infty \leq M$, where M is a positive constant.

Then there exists a pair of processes $(Y, Z) \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \times L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y^n = Y \text{ uniform on } [0, T], \\ \{Z^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\} \text{ converges to } Z \text{ in } L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d). \end{cases}$$

Moreover, (Y, Z) is a solution of BDSDE with parameters (f, g, ξ) . In particular, if the sequence $\{Y^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ has continuous paths for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then Y has continuous paths too.

Proof. From the assumptions we see that for all $t \in [0, T]$, the sequence $\{Y_t^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is monotonic and bounded, and therefore it has a limit, which denoted by Y . Without lose of generality, we consider that the sequence $\{Y^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is monotonic increasing to Y . Moreover, from Proposition 3.5, there is a positive constant K such that,

$$\mathbb{E} \int_0^T |Z^n|^2 ds \leq K, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then there exists a process $Z \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that a subsequence of $\{Z^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges to Z weakly in $L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$. For simplicity presentation, by otherwise choosing a subsequence, we may assume that the whole sequence $\{Z^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges to Z weakly in $L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$.

Next, we would like to divide the proof into two steps, and denote the following notations:

$$\Delta Y^n \triangleq Y - Y^n, \quad \Delta Y^{m,n} \triangleq Y^m - Y^n,$$

$$\Delta Z^n \triangleq Z - Z^n, \quad \Delta Z^{m,n} \triangleq Z^m - Z^n.$$

Step 1. The sequence $\{Z^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ strongly converges to Z in $L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$, i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T |\Delta Z_t^n|^2 dt = 0.$$

The main arguments of this step come from Zhang [36] and Kobylanski [20]. It is easy to see that the pair $(\Delta Y^{m,n}, \Delta Z^{m,n})$ satisfies the following equation,

$$\begin{cases} -d\Delta Y_t^{m,n} = [f^m(t, Y_t^m, Z_t^m) - f^n(t, Y_t^n, Z_t^n)]dt \\ \quad + [g(t, Y_t^m, Z_t^m) - g(t, Y_t^n, Z_t^n)]d\overleftarrow{B}_t - \Delta Z_t^{m,n}dW_t, \quad t \in [0, T], \\ \Delta Y_T^{m,n} = \xi^m - \xi^n. \end{cases}$$

By assumptions, there exists a constant C_0 depending on C and M , such that for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} |f^m(t, Y_t^m, Z_t^m) - f^n(t, Y_t^n, Z_t^n)| &\leq C_0[1 + |\Delta Z_t^{m,n}|^2 + |\Delta Z_t^n|^2 + |Z_t|^2], \\ |g(t, Y_t^m, Z_t^m) - g(t, Y_t^n, Z_t^n)|^2 &\leq C|\Delta Y_t^{m,n}|^2 + \alpha|\Delta Z_t^{m,n}|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a smooth increasing function which will be specified later. For $m \geq n$, using Itô's formula (see Proposition 2.2) to $\Phi(\Delta Y^{m,n})$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} d\Phi(\Delta Y_t^{m,n}) &= -\Phi'(\Delta Y_t^{m,n})[f^m(t, Y_t^m, Z_t^m) - f^n(t, Y_t^n, Z_t^n)]dt \\ &\quad - \Phi'(\Delta Y_t^{m,n})[g(t, Y_t^m, Z_t^m) - g(t, Y_t^n, Z_t^n)]d\overleftarrow{B}_t + \Phi'(\Delta Y_t^{m,n})\Delta Z_t^{m,n}dW_t \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}\Phi''(\Delta Y_t^{m,n})|g(t, Y_t^m, Z_t^m) - g(t, Y_t^n, Z_t^n)|^2dt + \frac{1}{2}\Phi''(\Delta Y_t^{m,n})|\Delta Z_t^{m,n}|^2dt \\ &\geq -C_0\Phi'(\Delta Y_t^{m,n})[1 + |\Delta Z_t^{m,n}|^2 + |\Delta Z_t^n|^2 + |Z_t|^2]dt \\ &\quad - \Phi'(\Delta Y_t^{m,n})[g(t, Y_t^m, Z_t^m) - g(t, Y_t^n, Z_t^n)]d\overleftarrow{B}_t + \Phi'(\Delta Y_t^{m,n})\Delta Z_t^{m,n}dW_t \\ &\quad - \frac{C}{2}\Phi''(\Delta Y_t^{m,n})|\Delta Y_t^{m,n}|^2dt - \frac{\alpha}{2}\Phi''(\Delta Y_t^{m,n})|\Delta Z_t^{m,n}|^2dt + \frac{1}{2}\Phi''(\Delta Y_t^{m,n})|\Delta Z_t^{m,n}|^2dt, \end{aligned}$$

where we have use the inequality (3.12).

In the following, we expect $\Delta Z^{m,n}$ and ΔZ^n to be closed. For this purpose, define

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{1-\alpha}{8C_0^2} \left[\exp\left(\frac{4C_0}{1-\alpha}u\right) - \frac{4C_0}{1-\alpha}u - 1 \right].$$

It is straightforward to check that for $u \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u) &\geq 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \Phi(0) = 0, \\ \Phi'(u) &\geq 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \Phi'(0) = 0, \\ \frac{1-\alpha}{2}\Phi''(u) &= 4C_0\Phi'(u) + 2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $Y^m \geq Y^n$ when $m \geq n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
d\Phi(\Delta Y_t^{m,n}) &\geq -C_0\Phi'(\Delta Y_t^{m,n})[1 + |\Delta Z_t^{m,n}|^2 + |\Delta Z_t^n|^2 + |Z_t|^2]dt \\
&\quad - \Phi'(\Delta Y_t^{m,n})[g(t, Y_t^m, Z_t^m) - g(t, Y_t^n, Z_t^n)]d\overleftarrow{B}_t + \Phi'(\Delta Y_t^{m,n})\Delta Z_t^{m,n}dW_t \\
&\quad + [4C_0\Phi'(\Delta Y_t^{m,n}) + 2]|\Delta Z_t^{m,n}|^2dt - \frac{C}{2}\Phi''(\Delta Y_t^{m,n})|\Delta Y_t^{m,n}|^2dt \\
&= -C_0\Phi'(\Delta Y_t^{m,n})[1 + |\Delta Z_t^n|^2 + |Z_t|^2]dt - \frac{C}{2}\Phi''(\Delta Y_t^{m,n})|\Delta Y_t^{m,n}|^2dt \\
&\quad - \Phi'(\Delta Y_t^{m,n})[g(t, Y_t^m, Z_t^m) - g(t, Y_t^n, Z_t^n)]d\overleftarrow{B}_t + \Phi'(\Delta Y_t^{m,n})\Delta Z_t^{m,n}dW_t \\
&\quad + [3C_0\Phi'(\Delta Y_t^{m,n}) + 2]|\Delta Z_t^{m,n}|^2dt,
\end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\Phi(\Delta Y_0^{m,n}) + \mathbb{E}\int_0^T [3C_0\Phi'(\Delta Y_t^{m,n}) + 2]|\Delta Z_t^{m,n}|^2dt - C_0\mathbb{E}\int_0^T \Phi'(\Delta Y_t^{m,n})|\Delta Z_t^n|^2dt \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\Phi(\Delta Y_T^{m,n}) + C_0\int_0^T \Phi'(\Delta Y_t^{m,n})[1 + |Z_t|^2]dt + \frac{C}{2}\int_0^T \Phi''(\Delta Y_t^{m,n})|\Delta Y_t^{m,n}|^2dt\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Due to that $\{Z^m; m \geq 1\}$ converges to Z weakly in $L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$, so next we would like to fix n and pass to the limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$. The convergence of $Y^m \rightarrow Y$ being pointwise, and Y^m being bounded by M , by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}\int_0^T [3C_0\Phi'(\Delta Y_t^n) + 2]|\Delta Z_t^{m,n}|^2dt - C_0\mathbb{E}\int_0^T \Phi'(\Delta Y_t^n)|\Delta Z_t^n|^2dt \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\Phi(\Delta Y_T^n) + C_0\int_0^T \Phi'(\Delta Y_t^n)[1 + |Z_t|^2]dt + \frac{C}{2}\int_0^T \Phi''(\Delta Y_t^n)|\Delta Y_t^n|^2dt\right],
\end{aligned}$$

and as

$$\liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left[-\mathbb{E}\int_0^T \Phi'(\Delta Y_t^n)|\Delta Z_t^{m,n}|^2dt\right] \leq -\mathbb{E}\int_0^T \Phi'(\Delta Y_t^n)|\Delta Z_t^n|^2dt,$$

we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}\int_0^T [2C_0\Phi'(\Delta Y_t^n) + 2]|\Delta Z_t^{m,n}|^2dt \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\Phi(\Delta Y_T^n) + C_0\int_0^T \Phi'(\Delta Y_t^n)[1 + |Z_t|^2]dt + \frac{C}{2}\int_0^T \Phi''(\Delta Y_t^n)|\Delta Y_t^n|^2dt\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Noting that by the convexity of the lower semi-continuous functional

$$J(Z) = \mathbb{E}\int_0^T |\Delta Z_t^n|^2dt,$$

we have

$$\mathbb{E}\int_0^T |\Delta Z_t^n|^2dt \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}\int_0^T |\Delta Z_t^{m,n}|^2dt. \tag{3.14}$$

Hence, combining (3.13) and (3.14) we have that

$$\mathbb{E} \int_0^T |\Delta Z_t^n|^2 dt \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\Phi(\Delta Y_T^n) + C_0 \int_0^T \Phi'(\Delta Y_t^n) [1 + |Z_t|^2] dt + \frac{C}{2} \int_0^T \Phi''(\Delta Y_t^n) |\Delta Y_t^n|^2 dt \right].$$

By Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, and note that $\Phi(0) = \Phi'(0) = 0$, we have that as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the right-hand side of the above inequality converges to 0, as well as the left-hand side. Now, passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ on the above inequality, we obtain that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T |\Delta Z_t^n|^2 dt = 0,$$

which implies that the sequence $\{Z^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ strongly converges to Z in $L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$.

Step 2. The uniform convergence of a subsequence of $\{Y^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ to Y .

At this stage we know that for all $t \in [0, T]$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_t^n = Y_t$, and the sequence $\{Z^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges to Z in $L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$. Then from Lemma 2.5 of Kobylanski [20], there exists a subsequence $\{Z^{n_j}; n_j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of $\{Z^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that Z^{n_j} converges almost surely to Z and such that

$$\tilde{Z} \triangleq \sup_j |Z^{n_j}| \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d).$$

For simplicity presentation, by otherwise choosing a subsequence, we would like to still assume that the whole sequence $\{Z^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges almost surely to Z in $L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$, and thus we have

$$Z^n \rightarrow Z \quad \text{a.s. } dt \otimes d\mathbb{P} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{Z} = \sup_n |Z^n| \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d).$$

Recall that the sequence $\{f^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges locally uniformly to f , we obtain that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n(t, Y_t^n, Z_t^n) = f(t, Y_t, Z_t), \quad t \in [0, T], \quad \text{a.s.}$$

In addition, due to that f^n satisfies the condition (3.11), one has

$$|f^n(t, Y_t^n, Z_t^n)| \leq C(1 + M + \sup_n |Z_t^n|^2) \leq C_0(1 + \tilde{Z}_t^2).$$

Thus, Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T f^n(t, Y_t^n, Z_t^n) dt = \int_0^T f(t, Y_t, Z_t) dt, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T |g(t, Y_t^n, Z_t^n) - g(t, Y_t, Z_t)|^2 dt \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T [C|\Delta Y_t^n|^2 + \alpha|\Delta Z_t^n|^2] dt = 0,$$

and therefore

$$g(t, Y^n, Z^n) \rightarrow g(t, Y, Z) \quad \text{a.s. } dt \otimes d\mathbb{P} \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_n |g(t, Y^n, Z^n)| \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d).$$

On the other hand, from the continuity properties of stochastic integral, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_t^T Z_s^n dW_s - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s \right| &= 0 \quad \text{in probability,} \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_t^T g(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) d\overleftarrow{B}_s - \int_t^T g(s, Y_s, Z_s) d\overleftarrow{B}_s \right| &= 0 \quad \text{in probability.} \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Extracting a subsequence again if necessary, we may assume that the above convergence is \mathbb{P} -a.s. Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta Y_t^{m,n}| &\leq |\Delta Y_T^{m,n}| + \int_t^T |f^m(s, Y_s^m, Z_s^m) - f^n(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n)| ds \\ &\quad + \left| \int_t^T [g(s, Y_s^m, Z_s^m) - g(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n)] d\overleftarrow{B}_s \right| + \left| \int_t^T \Delta Z_s^{m,n} dW_s \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Now fix n , and taking limits on m and supremum over $t \in [0, T]$, we obtain that for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\Delta Y_t^n| &\leq |\Delta Y_T^n| + \int_t^T |f(s, Y_s, Z_s) - f^n(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n)| ds \\ &\quad + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_t^T [g(s, Y_s, Z_s) - g(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n)] d\overleftarrow{B}_s \right| + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_t^T \Delta Z_s^n dW_s \right|, \end{aligned}$$

from which we deduce that the sequence $\{Y^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges to Y uniformly for $t \in [0, T]$. In particular, Y is continuous if the sequence $\{Y^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is.

Finally, we could pass to the limit in

$$Y_t^n = Y_T^n + \int_t^T f^n(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) ds + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) d\overleftarrow{B}_s - \int_t^T Z_s^n dW_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

deducing that the pair (Y, Z) is a solution of BDSDE with parameters (f, g, ξ) . \square

Remark 3.8. It should be point out that the solution here we obtain might not be unique, due to that the limit generator f is of quadratic growth in z , but not satisfies a comparison theorem. A stability result of BDSDE will be given (see [Theorem 4.6](#)) after the comparison theorem is proved in [Section 4](#).

3.3 Existence

Based on the results of a priori estimate and the monotone stability, now we can prove the existence of solutions of one-dimensional BDSDEs [\(2.1\)](#) with quadratic growth.

Assumption 3. Suppose that the terminal value ξ belongs to $L_{\mathcal{F}_T}^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$, and $c : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a continuous increasing function. Moreover, there exist some constants $a, b, C, d \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $t \in [0, T], y, \bar{y} \in \mathbb{R}, z, \bar{z} \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$f(t, y, z) = a_0(t, y, z)y + f_0(t, y, z)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} d \leq a_0(t, y, z) \leq a, \quad |f_0(t, y, z)| \leq b + c(|y|)|z|^2, \quad |g(t, y, z)|^2 \leq \alpha|z|^2, \quad \text{a.s.} \\ |g(t, y_1, z_1) - g(t, y_2, z_2)|^2 \leq C|y_1 - y_2|^2 + \alpha|z_1 - z_2|^2, \quad \text{a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.9. Under [Assumption 3](#), the coefficient g is bounded with respect to y and z . However, if the coefficient g is independent of y , then it is of linear growth and Lipschitz continuous with respect to z . In fact, [Assumption 3](#) implies that

$$g(t, y, 0) = 0, \quad \forall (t, y) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Here we present two examples that satisfying [Assumption 3](#):

- (i) $g(t, y, z) = \alpha \sin(y) \cos(z), \quad \forall (t, y, z) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d;$
- (ii) $g(t, y, z) = l(z), \quad |l(z)|^2 \leq \alpha|z|^2, \quad \forall (t, y, z) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d.$

The following theorem is the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.10 (Existence). *Suppose that the parameters (f, g, ξ) satisfy [Assumption 3](#), then BDSDE (2.1) has at least one solution $(Y, Z) \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^{\infty}(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \times L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$, where Y is continuous. Moreover, there exists a maximal solution (Y^*, Z^*) (resp. a minimal solution (Y_*, Z_*)) such that for any set of parameters $(\hat{f}, g, \hat{\xi})$, if*

$$\hat{f} \leq f \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\xi} \leq \xi \quad (\text{resp. } \hat{f} \geq f \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\xi} \geq \xi),$$

one has

$$\hat{Y} \leq Y^* \quad (\text{resp. } \hat{Y} \geq Y_*),$$

where (\hat{Y}, \hat{Z}) is any solution of BDSDE with parameters $(\hat{f}, g, \hat{\xi})$.

Proof. The main idea here we used is that, first, using an exponential change and a truncation argument in order to control the growth of the generator f in z and y , respectively. Second, finding a good approximation of f and constructing a sequence of uniformly Lipschitz continuous functions. Third, combine the previous result ([Proposition 3.5](#) and [Proposition 3.7](#)) to get the solution.

First, instead of [Assumption 3](#), we assume that the coefficient f satisfies the following condition: There exist some constants $\tilde{a}, \tilde{d} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $B, C \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that for all $(t, y, z) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$f(t, y, z) = a_0(t, y, z)y + f_0(t, y, z) \tag{3.16}$$

with

$$\tilde{d} \leq a_0(t, y, z) \leq \tilde{a}, \quad |f_0(t, y, z)| \leq B + C|z|^2, \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{3.17}$$

Let (F, g, ζ) be a set of parameters of BDSDE such that

$$F \leq f \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta \leq \xi, \tag{3.18}$$

and suppose that it has a solution $(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^{\infty}(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \times L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$. The purpose is to find a solution $(Y, Z) \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^{\infty}(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \times L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ of BDSDE with parameters (f, g, ξ) such that

$$\tilde{Y} \leq Y.$$

From [Proposition 3.5](#), if we set

$$\tilde{K} = (\|\xi\|_{\infty} + BT) \exp(\tilde{a}^+ T),$$

then any solution (Y, Z) of BDSDE with parameters (f, g, ξ) has the following estimate,

$$\|Y\|_{\infty} \leq \tilde{K}.$$

Define

$$M = \max(\tilde{K}, \|\tilde{Y}\|_{\infty}). \quad (3.19)$$

Step 1. The exponential transformation.

In order to make a presentation more clearly, we rewrite BDSDE [\(2.1\)](#) as follows,

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s, Z_s) d\overleftarrow{B}_s - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \quad (3.20)$$

Let β be a positive constant which will be determined later. Applying the exponential change of variable (see [Proposition 2.2](#)) to $u = \exp(\beta Y)$ transforms formally BDSDE [\(3.20\)](#) with parameters (f, g, ξ) in a BDSDE with parameters $(\bar{f}, \bar{g}, \bar{\xi})$ of the following,

$$u_t = \bar{\xi} + \int_t^T \bar{f}(s, u_s, v_s) ds + \int_t^T \bar{g}(s, u_s, v_s) d\overleftarrow{B}_s - \int_t^T v_s dW_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad (3.21)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}(t, u, v) &= \beta u f(t, \frac{\ln u}{\beta}, \frac{v}{\beta u}) + \frac{1}{2} \beta^2 u \left[g(t, \frac{\ln u}{\beta}, \frac{v}{\beta u})^2 - \left(\frac{v}{\beta u} \right)^2 \right], \\ \bar{g}(t, u, v) &= \beta u g(t, \frac{\ln u}{\beta}, \frac{v}{\beta u}), \quad \bar{\xi} = \exp(\beta \xi), \quad v = \beta u Z. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

It is easy to check that \bar{g} and $\bar{\xi}$ satisfy [Assumption 3](#). Similarly, the exponential change $u = \exp(\beta \tilde{Y})$ transforms formally the BDSDE with parameters (F, g, ζ) in a BDSDE with parameters $(\bar{F}, \bar{g}, \bar{\zeta})$ where

$$\bar{F}(t, u, v) = \beta u F(t, \frac{\ln u}{\beta}, \frac{v}{\beta u}) + \frac{1}{2} \beta^2 u \left[g(t, \frac{\ln u}{\beta}, \frac{v}{\beta u})^2 - \left(\frac{v}{\beta u} \right)^2 \right], \quad \bar{\zeta} = \exp(\beta \zeta).$$

We consider a function $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that

$$\Phi(u) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } u \in [\exp(-\beta M), \exp(\beta M)], \\ 0, & \text{if } u \notin [\exp(-\beta(M+1)), \exp(\beta(M+1))], \end{cases}$$

and we set that for $(t, u, v) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\tilde{f}(t, u, v) = \Phi(u)\bar{f}(t, u, v), \quad \tilde{F}(t, u, v) = \Phi(u)\bar{F}(t, u, v), \quad l(u) = \Phi(u)(\tilde{a}u \ln u + B\beta u).$$

Now, let

$$\beta = \frac{2C}{1 - \alpha}, \quad (3.23)$$

then, note that (3.17), we have

$$\Phi(u) \left(\tilde{d}u \ln u - B\beta u - \left(\frac{C}{\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{|v|^2}{u} \right) \leq \tilde{f}(t, u, v) \leq l(u).$$

We remark that l is a Lipschitz continuous function bounded from above by a constant, denoted by L . Moreover, note that if we define

$$\tilde{y} = \exp(\beta\tilde{Y}) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{z} = \beta\tilde{Z} \exp(\beta\tilde{Y}),$$

then the pair (\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) is a solution of BDSDE with parameters $(\tilde{F}, \bar{g}, \bar{\zeta})$.

Step 2. The approximation.

We can approximate \tilde{f} by a decreasing sequence of uniformly Lipschitz continuous functions $\{\tilde{f}^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that for all $(t, u, v) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\tilde{F}(t, u, v) \leq \tilde{f}(t, u, v) \leq \tilde{f}^n(t, u, v) \leq l(u) + \frac{1}{2^n}.$$

Indeed, such a sequence exists. For instance, denote by m an integral, and for each integral $n \geq m$, we would like to define the sequence of the functions $\{\tilde{f}^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ by

$$\tilde{f}_n(t, y, z) = \sup \left\{ \tilde{f}(t, p, q) - n|p - y| - n|q - z| : (p, q) \in \mathbb{Q}^{1+d} \right\}, \quad (3.24)$$

where \mathbb{Q} denotes the rational number. It is easy to see that \tilde{f}_n is well defined and it is globally Lipschitz continuous in y and z with the constant n . In addition, the sequence $\{\tilde{f}^n; n \geq m\}$ is decreasing and converges pointwise to the function \tilde{f} .

Then the classical results of the existence and uniqueness (see [Proposition 2.3](#)) and the comparison theorem (see [Proposition 2.4](#)) for Lipschitz continuous coefficients give us that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a unique solution (y^n, z^n) of the BDSDE with parameters $(\tilde{f}^n, \bar{g}, \bar{\zeta})$ such that

$$\tilde{y} \leq y^{n+1} \leq y^n \leq y^1.$$

Next, we indicate that the sequence $\{y^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded and positive. It should be point out that by the definition of \bar{g} (see (3.22)) and [Assumption 3](#), it is certainly that

$$|\bar{g}(t, u, v)|^2 \leq \alpha|v|^2, \quad \forall (t, u, v) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (3.25)$$

which implies that $\bar{g}(t, u, v) = 0$ when $v = 0$. Hence, again, [Proposition 2.3](#) implies that, on the one hand, the pair of processes $\{(\exp(\beta M), 0); t \in [0, T]\}$ is the unique solution of BDSDE with

parameters $(0, \bar{g}, \exp(\beta M))$; on the other hand, the pair of processes $\{(\exp(-\beta M), 0); t \in [0, T]\}$ is the unique solution of BDSDE with parameters $(0, \bar{g}, \exp(-\beta M))$. Moreover, since for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough,

$$\exp(\beta \xi) \leq \exp(\beta M) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{f}^n(t, \exp(\beta M), 0) \leq 0, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

and for all n ,

$$\exp(-\beta M) \leq \exp(\beta \xi) \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \tilde{f}^n(t, \exp(-\beta M), 0), \quad t \in [0, T],$$

then [Proposition 2.4](#) gives us that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough,

$$\exp(-\beta M) \leq y_t^{n+1} \leq y_t^n \leq \exp(\beta M), \quad \text{a.s. } t \in [0, T]. \quad (3.26)$$

Now, we come back to the previous problem by denoting

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}^n(t, y, z) &= \frac{\tilde{f}^n(t, e^{\beta y}, \beta e^{\beta y} z)}{\beta e^{\beta y}} + \frac{\beta}{2} [z^2 - g(t, y, z)^2], \\ \tilde{G}(t, y, z) &= \frac{\tilde{f}(t, e^{\beta y}, \beta e^{\beta y} z)}{\beta e^{\beta y}} + \frac{\beta}{2} [z^2 - g(t, y, z)^2] \\ &= \Phi(e^{\beta y}) f(t, y, z) + (1 - \Phi(e^{\beta y})) \frac{\beta}{2} [z^2 - g(t, y, z)^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that β is defined in [\(3.23\)](#). Then, the pair (Y^n, Z^n) defined by

$$Y_t^n = \frac{\ln y_t^n}{\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad Z_t^n = \frac{z_t^n}{\beta y_t^n}$$

is a solution of the BDSDE with parameters (\tilde{G}^n, g, ξ) . Let us recapitulate what we have obtained.

- (i) There exist two positive constants K and C such that for all $(t, y, z) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$|\tilde{G}^n(t, y, z)| \leq K + C|z|^2, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

- (ii) The sequence $\{\tilde{G}^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges to \tilde{G} locally uniformly on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

- (iii) For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the BDSDE with parameters (\tilde{G}^n, g, ξ) has a solution

$$(Y^n, Z^n) \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^{\infty}(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \times L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d),$$

such that the sequence $\{Y^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is decreasing, and there exists a constant $\bar{K} > 0$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that $\|Y^n\|_{\infty} \leq \bar{K}$.

- (iv) For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\tilde{Y} \leq Y^n$.

Therefore, applying [Proposition 3.7](#), we have that there exists a pair of processes $(Y, Z) \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^{\infty}(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \times L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that, the sequence $\{Y^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges uniformly to Y , the

sequence $\{Z^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges to Z in $L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$, and (Y, Z) is a solution of BDSDE with parameters (\tilde{G}, g, ξ) , i.e.,

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T \tilde{G}(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s, Z_s) d\overleftarrow{B}_s - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Finally, we declare that

$$\|Y\|_{\infty} \leq M.$$

In fact, it follows from [Proposition 3.5](#) as

$$\tilde{G}(t, y, z) = \tilde{a}_0(t, y, z)y + \tilde{f}_0(t, y, z)$$

with

$$\tilde{a}_0(t, y, z) = \Phi(e^{\beta y})a_0(t, y, z) \leq a^+,$$

and

$$\tilde{f}_0(t, y, z) = \Phi(e^{\beta y})f_0(t, y, z) + (1 - \Phi(e^{\beta y}))\frac{\beta}{2}[z^2 - g(t, y, z)^2],$$

where the functions a_0 and f_0 come from [\(3.16\)](#). Then, notice that [\(3.23\)](#), we have

$$|\tilde{f}_0(t, y, z)| \leq B + \frac{3C}{1 - \alpha}|z|^2.$$

So, by [Proposition 3.5](#), we obtain

$$\|Y\|_{\infty} \leq (\|\xi\|_{\infty} + BT) \exp(a^+T) = \tilde{K} \leq M.$$

Hence the pair (Y, Z) is also a solution of the BDSDE with parameters (f, g, ξ) . In addition,

$$\tilde{Y} \leq Y \quad \text{and} \quad \|Y\|_{\infty} \leq M.$$

Step 3. The truncation.

Finally, we suppose that the generator f satisfies [Assumption 3](#). Let (F, g, ζ) be a set of parameters of BDSDE such that the condition [\(3.18\)](#) hold true and denote by $(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^{\infty}(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \times L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ a solution of BDSDE with the parameters (F, g, ζ) . For all $(t, y, z) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$, we set

$$\check{f}(t, y, z) = a_0(t, y, z)y + f_0(t, \phi_M(y)y, z) \quad \text{and} \quad \check{F} = F(t, \phi_M(y)y, z),$$

where M is defined in [\(3.19\)](#), and

$$\phi_n(u) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |u| \leq n, \\ 0, & \text{if } |u| \geq n + 1. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that the generator \check{f} satisfies the condition (3.17), and (\check{Y}, \check{Z}) is also a solution of the BDSDE with parameters (\check{F}, g, ζ) . Then, by *Step 1* and *Step 2*, we deduce that BDSDE with parameters (\check{f}, g, ξ) has a solution (Y, Z) such that

$$\check{Y} \leq Y \quad \text{and} \quad \|Y\|_\infty \leq M,$$

which implies that the pair of process (Y, Z) is also a solution of BDSDE with parameters (f, g, ξ) . then the existence of a maximal solution is proved.

Similarly, by using the same argument but with the change of variable $u = \exp(-\beta y)$, one can prove the existence of a minimal solution. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.11. Some ideas for proving [Theorem 3.10](#) are borrowed from Kobylanski [20], however, due to the emergence of the backward Itô's integral appeared in BDSDE (2.1), some new ideas and technics have been introduced and used. For example, the main idea of Kobylanski [20] is to take the exponential change to transform a quadratic BDSDE into a classical BDSDE essentially. While, this is not enough for us, since the presence of the backward Itô's integral. In order to overcome the troubles, we introduce the idea of the comparison theorem of classical BDSDEs, which is evident from [Example 3.1](#).

4 Comparison Theorem

As we all know that the one-dimensional circumstance allows us to establish a comparison theorem for the solutions of BDSDEs, which implies the uniqueness of solutions of BDSDEs as a by-product. So in this section, we would like to prove a comparison theorem for the solutions of BDSDEs with quadratic growth. For $i = 1, 2$, we consider the following equation:

$$Y_t^i = \xi^i + \int_t^T f^i(s, Y_s^i, Z_s^i) ds + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s^i, Z_s^i) d\check{B}_s - \int_t^T Z_s^i dW_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \quad (4.1)$$

Then, under [Assumption 3](#), there exists a pair of measurable processes $(Y^i, Z^i) \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \times L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ that satisfies the corresponding BDSDE (4.1), where $i = 1, 2$. Moreover, [Proposition 3.5](#) implies that there exists a positive constant K such that

$$\|Y^i\|_\infty + \mathbb{E} \int_0^t |Z_s^i|^2 ds \leq K, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

In order to prove the comparison theorem, we assume that the terminal value ξ is bounded, and the generator f is locally Lipschitz continuous and is of quadratic growth in Z in a strong sense.

Assumption 4. *There exist three functions $l, l_\varepsilon, k : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and three positive constants C, ε , and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $t \in [0, T]$, $y \in [-M, M]$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the coefficients f and g satisfy*

the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
|f(t, y, z)| &\leq l(t) + C|z|^2, & |g(t, y, z)|^2 &\leq \alpha|z|^2, & a.s., \\
\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(t, y, z) &\leq l_\varepsilon(t) + \varepsilon|z|^2, & \left| \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(t, y, z) \right|^2 &\leq C, & a.s., \\
\left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(t, y, z) \right| &\leq k(t) + C|z|, & \left| \frac{\partial g}{\partial z}(t, y, z) \right|^2 &\leq \alpha. & a.s.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Similar to Kobylanski [20], following the method used by Barles–Murat [4] for PDEs, we would like to divide the proof of the comparison theorem into two steps. First, we consider the comparison theorem under the condition that the generator f satisfies a structure condition (STR). Then, we show the proof by giving a change of variable that transforms a BDSDE with the generator f satisfying [Assumption 4](#) into a BDSDE with the generator \tilde{f} satisfying this structure condition (STR).

Definition 4.1. We say that a generator f satisfies the structure condition (STR), if there exists a constant $a > 0$ and a function $b : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(t, y, z) + a \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right|^2(t, y, z) \leq b(t), \quad (t, y, z) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{4.3}$$

We have the following comparison theorem under the structure condition (STR).

Proposition 4.2. Assume that both ξ^1 and ξ^2 are bounded, the coefficient g satisfies [Assumption 4](#), and either f^1 or f^2 satisfies the structure condition (STR) with the constant $a > 0$ and the function $b \in L^1([0, T]; \mathbb{R})$. For $i = 1, 2$, denote by (Y^i, Z^i) a solution of BDSDE (4.1) with parameters (f^i, g, ξ^i) , respectively. Moreover, suppose that for all $(t, y, z) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\xi^1 \leq \xi^2, \quad f^1(t, y, z) \leq f^2(t, y, z), \quad a.s., \tag{4.4}$$

then for any $t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$Y_t^1 \leq Y_t^2, \quad a.s.$$

Remark 4.3. (i) It should be point out that in the condition (STR), when $a > 1/(p-1)(1-\alpha)$ and the function b is bounded, [Proposition 4.2](#) holds true in the space $L_{\mathbb{F}}^p(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \times L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$.

(ii) [Proposition 4.2](#) still holds true if $f^1(t, Y_t^2, Z_t^2) \leq f^2(t, Y_t^2, Z_t^2)$ a.s. for all $t \in [0, T]$ and the generator f^1 satisfies the condition (STR), or if either $f^1(t, Y_t^1, Z_t^1) \leq f^2(t, Y_t^1, Z_t^1)$ a.s. for all $t \in [0, T]$ and the generator f^2 satisfies the condition (STR).

Proof of [Proposition 4.2](#). For simplicity of presentation, we denote that for $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\widehat{Y}_t = Y_t^1 - Y_t^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{Z}_t = Z_t^1 - Z_t^2,$$

and for any $s \in [t, T]$,

$$\widehat{f}(s) = f^1(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^1) - f^2(s, Y_s^2, Z_s^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{g}(s) = g(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^1) - g(s, Y_s^2, Z_s^2).$$

Then, note that $\widehat{Y}^+ = \max\{0, \widehat{Y}\}$, using Itô's formula (see [Proposition 2.2](#)) to $(\widehat{Y}^+)^p$ for $p \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p > 2$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{Y}_t^+)^p &= p \int_t^T (\widehat{Y}_s^+)^{p-1} \widehat{f}(s) ds + p \int_t^T (\widehat{Y}_s^+)^{p-1} \widehat{g}(s) d\overleftarrow{B}_s - p \int_t^T (\widehat{Y}_s^+)^{p-1} \widehat{Z}_s dW_s \\ &\quad + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \int_t^T (\widehat{Y}_s^+)^{p-2} |\widehat{g}(s)|^2 ds - \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \int_t^T (\widehat{Y}_s^+)^{p-2} |\widehat{Z}_s|^2 ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

For the term \widehat{f} in the above, note [\(4.4\)](#), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f}(s) &= f^1(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^1) - f^2(s, Y_s^2, Z_s^2) \\ &= f^1(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^1) - f^2(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^1) + f^2(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^1) - f^2(s, Y_s^2, Z_s^2) \\ &\leq f^2(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^1) - f^2(s, Y_s^2, Z_s^2) \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^1 \frac{\partial f^2}{\partial y}(\ast) d\lambda \right) \widehat{Y}_s + \left(\int_0^1 \frac{\partial f^2}{\partial z}(\ast) d\lambda \right) \widehat{Z}_s, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(\ast) = (s, \lambda Y_s^1 + (1-\lambda) Y_s^2, \lambda Z_s^1 + (1-\lambda) Z_s^2).$$

Then, for the first term in the right hand side of [\(4.5\)](#), we have that

$$(\widehat{Y}_s^+)^{p-1} \widehat{f}(s) \leq (\widehat{Y}_s^+)^p \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\partial f^2}{\partial y} + a \left| \frac{\partial f^2}{\partial z} \right|^2 \right) (\ast) d\lambda + \frac{1}{4a} (\widehat{Y}_s^+)^{p-2} |\widehat{Z}_s|^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{\widehat{Y}^+ \geq 0\}}, \quad (4.6)$$

where we have used the well-known inequality $2\beta\gamma \leq |\beta|^2 + |\gamma|^2$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= \sqrt{2a} \frac{\partial f^2}{\partial z}(\ast) (Y_s^+)^{p/2}, \\ \gamma &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2a}} (Y_s^+)^{(p-2)/2} Z_s \mathbf{1}_{\{Y^+ \geq 0\}}. \end{aligned}$$

For the term \widehat{g} in [\(4.5\)](#), note [Assumption 4](#) satisfied by the coefficient g , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{g}(s)|^2 &= \left\{ \left(\int_0^1 \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(\ast) d\lambda \right) \widehat{Y}_s + \left(\int_0^1 \frac{\partial g}{\partial z}(\ast) d\lambda \right) \widehat{Z}_s \right\}^2 \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^1 \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(\ast) d\lambda \right)^2 |\widehat{Y}_s|^2 + 2 \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \left(\int_0^1 \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(\ast) d\lambda \right) \left(\int_0^1 \frac{\partial g}{\partial z}(\ast) d\lambda \right) |\widehat{Y}_s \widehat{Z}_s| + \left(\int_0^1 \frac{\partial g}{\partial z}(\ast) d\lambda \right)^2 |\widehat{Z}_s|^2 \\ &\leq \widetilde{C} |\widehat{Y}_s|^2 + (\alpha + \varepsilon) |\widehat{Z}_s|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\widetilde{C} = C + \frac{C\alpha}{\varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon = \frac{1-\alpha}{2}.$$

So, for the fourth term on the right hand side of [\(4.5\)](#), we have that

$$(\widehat{Y}_s^+)^{p-2} |\widehat{g}(s)|^2 \leq \widetilde{C} (\widehat{Y}_s^+)^p + \frac{1+\alpha}{2} (\widehat{Y}_s^+)^{p-2} |\widehat{Z}_s|^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{\widehat{Y}^+ \geq 0\}}. \quad (4.7)$$

Combining (4.5)-(4.7) and (STR), one has

$$\begin{aligned} & (\widehat{Y}_t^+)^p + \frac{p}{4} \left((p-1)(1-\alpha) - \frac{1}{a} \right) \int_t^T (\widehat{Y}_s^+)^{p-2} |\widehat{Z}_s|^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{\widehat{Y}_s^+ \geq 0\}} ds \\ & \leq p \int_t^T \left[b(s) + \frac{1}{2}(p-1)\widetilde{C} \right] (\widehat{Y}_s^+)^p ds + p \int_t^T (\widehat{Y}_s^+)^{p-1} \widehat{g}(s) d\overleftarrow{B}_s - p \int_t^T (\widehat{Y}_s^+)^{p-1} \widehat{Z}_s dW_s, \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

where the function b comes from (4.3). Note that \widehat{Y} is bounded, which implies that $(\widehat{Y}_s^+)^{p-1} \widehat{g}(s)$ and $(\widehat{Y}_s^+)^{p-1} \widehat{Z}_s$ belong to the space $L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$. Take the expectation on both side of (4.8),

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}(\widehat{Y}_t^+)^p + \frac{p}{4} \left((p-1)(1-\alpha) - \frac{1}{a} \right) \mathbb{E} \int_t^T (\widehat{Y}_s^+)^{p-2} |\widehat{Z}_s|^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{\widehat{Y}_s^+ \geq 0\}} ds \\ & \leq p \int_t^T \left[b(s) + \frac{1}{2}(p-1)\widetilde{C} \right] (\widehat{Y}_s^+)^p ds. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to choose a large enough p such that

$$\frac{p}{4} \left((p-1)(1-\alpha) - \frac{1}{a} \right) \geq 0.$$

Finally, Gronwall's inequality deduce that

$$\mathbb{E}(\widehat{Y}_t^+)^p \leq 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Therefore, for all $t \in [0, T]$ we have that

$$Y_t^1 \leq Y_t^2, \quad a.s.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Based on the first step concerning the structure condition (STR), next we are going to prove the comparison theorem under [Assumption 4](#).

Theorem 4.4 (Comparison theorem). *Let (ξ^1, f^1, g) and (ξ^2, f^2, g) be two parameters of BDSDE (4.1) with bounded terminal values, and suppose that*

- (i) $\xi^1 \leq \xi^2$, a.s., and $f^1(t, y, z) \leq f^2(t, y, z)$, a.s., for all $(t, y, z) \in [0, T] \times [-M, M] \times \mathbb{R}^d$.
- (ii) Either (f^1, g) or (f^2, g) satisfies [Assumption 4](#).

Then if (Y^1, Z^1) and (Y^2, Z^2) are the associated solutions of BDSDE (4.1) with parameters (ξ^1, f^1, g) and (ξ^2, f^2, g) , respectively, one has that for any $t \in [0, T]$,

$$Y_t^1 \leq Y_t^2, \quad a.s.$$

Remark 4.5. We point out that [Theorem 4.4](#) still holds true if $f^1(t, Y_t^2, Z_t^2) \leq f^2(t, Y_t^2, Z_t^2)$ a.s. for all $t \in [0, T]$ and the generator f^1 satisfies [Assumption 4](#), or if either $f^1(t, Y_t^1, Z_t^1) \leq f^2(t, Y_t^1, Z_t^1)$ a.s. for all $t \in [0, T]$ and the generator f^2 satisfies [Assumption 4](#).

Proof of Theorem 4.4. The main idea of the proof is to look for a change of variable, which could transform a coefficient f that satisfies [Assumption 4](#) into a coefficient \tilde{f} that satisfies the structure condition (STR), and then use [Proposition 4.2](#) to getting the goal.

Let $(Y, Z) \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^{\infty}(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \times L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ be a solution of BDSDE (4.1) with parameters (ξ, f, g) , where ξ is a bounded terminal value. Take $M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|Y\|_{\infty} < M$, and consider the change of variable $y = \phi(\tilde{y})$, where ϕ is a regular increasing function yet to be chosen. Denote

$$Y = \phi(\tilde{Y}), \quad w(Y) = \phi'(\tilde{Y}), \quad Z = \phi'(\tilde{Y})\tilde{Z} = w(Y)\tilde{Z},$$

then

$$\tilde{Y} = \phi^{-1}(Y), \quad \tilde{Z} = \frac{Z}{\phi'(\tilde{Y})} = \frac{Z}{w(Y)},$$

where (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) is a solution of the following BDSDE with parameters $(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{f}, \tilde{g})$:

$$\tilde{Y}_t = \tilde{\xi} + \int_t^T \tilde{f}(s, \tilde{Y}_s, \tilde{Z}_s) ds + \int_t^T \tilde{g}(s, \tilde{Y}_s, \tilde{Z}_s) d\overleftarrow{B}_s - \int_t^T \tilde{Z}_s dW_s, \quad (4.9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\xi} &= \phi^{-1}(\xi), \\ \tilde{g}(t, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) &= \frac{g(t, y, z)}{\phi'(\tilde{y})} = \frac{g(t, y, z)}{w(y)} = \frac{g(t, \phi(\tilde{y}), \phi'(\tilde{y})\tilde{z})}{\phi'(\tilde{y})}, \\ \tilde{f}(t, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) &= \frac{1}{\phi'(\tilde{y})} \left(f(t, \phi(\tilde{y}), \phi'(\tilde{y})\tilde{z}) + \frac{1}{2} \phi''(\tilde{y}) [\tilde{z}^2 - \tilde{g}(t, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z})^2] \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

In fact, applying Itô's formula to $\phi(\tilde{Y})$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\tilde{Y}_t) &= \phi(\tilde{\xi}) + \int_t^T \left[\phi'(\tilde{Y}_s) \tilde{f}(s, \tilde{Y}_s, \tilde{Z}_s) + \frac{1}{2} \phi''(\tilde{Y}_s) \tilde{g}(s, \tilde{Y}_s, \tilde{Z}_s)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \phi''(\tilde{Y}_s) \tilde{Z}_s^2 \right] ds \\ &\quad + \int_t^T \phi'(\tilde{Y}_s) \tilde{g}(s, \tilde{Y}_s, \tilde{Z}_s) d\overleftarrow{B}_s - \int_t^T \phi'(\tilde{Y}_s) \tilde{Z}_s dW_s, \end{aligned}$$

which, note that $Y = \phi(\tilde{Y})$, comparing with BDSDE (4.1) implies (4.10). In other words, BDSDEs (4.1) and (4.9) are equivalent. It is easy to check that the coefficient \tilde{g} satisfies [Assumption 4](#). Next, we are going to verify that the coefficient \tilde{f} given by (4.10) satisfies the structure condition (STR). Note that

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \phi(\tilde{y}), \quad w(y) = \phi'(\tilde{y}) = w(\phi(\tilde{y})), \quad z = \phi'(\tilde{y})\tilde{z} = w(y)\tilde{z} = w(\phi(\tilde{y}))\tilde{z}, \\ \frac{\partial y}{\partial \tilde{y}} &= w, \quad \frac{\partial z}{\partial \tilde{y}} = w'w\tilde{z}, \quad \frac{\partial z}{\partial \tilde{z}} = w, \\ \phi''(\tilde{y}) &= \frac{\partial w}{\partial \tilde{y}} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \cdot \frac{\partial y}{\partial \tilde{y}} = w'w, \quad \frac{\partial w'}{\partial \tilde{y}} = \frac{\partial w'}{\partial y} \cdot \frac{\partial y}{\partial \tilde{y}} = w''w, \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial \tilde{y}}(t, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) &= \frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial y}(t, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) \cdot \frac{\partial y}{\partial \tilde{y}} = \frac{\partial (g(t, y, z)/w)}{\partial y} \cdot \frac{\partial y}{\partial \tilde{y}} = \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(t, y, z) - \frac{w'}{w} g(t, y, z), \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial \tilde{z}}(t, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) = \frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial z}(t, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) \cdot \frac{\partial z}{\partial \tilde{z}} = \frac{\partial(g(t, y, z)/w)}{\partial z} \cdot \frac{\partial z}{\partial \tilde{z}} = \frac{\partial g}{\partial z}(t, y, z).$$

From (4.10), we could compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial \tilde{y}}(t, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) &= -\frac{w'}{w} \left(f(t, y, z) + \frac{1}{2} w' w [\tilde{z}^2 - \tilde{g}(t, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z})^2] \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{w} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(t, y, z) w + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(t, y, z) w' w \tilde{z} + \frac{1}{2} [w'' w^2 + (w')^2 w] \cdot [\tilde{z}^2 - \tilde{g}(t, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z})^2] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + w' w \tilde{g}(t, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) \left[\frac{w'}{w} g(t, y, z) - \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(t, y, z) \right] \right) \\ &= -\frac{w'}{w} \left(f(t, y, z) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{w'}{w} [z^2 - g(t, y, z)^2] \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(t, y, z) + \frac{w'}{w} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(t, y, z) z + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{w''}{w} + \left(\frac{w'}{w} \right)^2 \right] \cdot [z^2 - g(t, y, z)^2] \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{w'}{w} \right)^2 g(t, y, z)^2 - \frac{w'}{w} g(t, y, z) \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(t, y, z) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{w''}{w} z^2 + \frac{w'}{w} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(t, y, z) z - f(t, y, z) \right) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(t, y, z) \\ &\quad + \left[\left(\frac{w'}{w} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{w''}{w} \right] g(t, y, z)^2 - \frac{w'}{w} g(t, y, z) \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(t, y, z), \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial \tilde{z}}(t, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(t, y, z) + \frac{w'}{w} \left[z - g(t, y, z) \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial z}(t, y, z) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We now show that a good choice of ϕ allows \tilde{f} to satisfy the structure condition (STR). Indeed, if ϕ is such that $w > 0$, $w' > 0$, and $w'' < 0$, note that (4.2) with $0 < \alpha^2 < \alpha < 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial \tilde{y}} + a \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial \tilde{z}} \right|^2 \right) (t, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{w''}{w} z^2 + \frac{w'}{w} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} z - f \right) + \left[\left(\frac{w'}{w} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{w''}{w} \right] g^2 - \frac{w'}{w} g \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} + a \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} + \frac{w'}{w} \left[z - g \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} \right] \right|^2 \\ &\leq \left[\alpha \left(\frac{w'}{w} \right)^2 + \frac{1 - \alpha w''}{2w} \right] |z|^2 + \frac{w'}{w} \left(k(t)|z| + l(t) + 2C|z|^2 \right) + \left[\left(\frac{w'}{w} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{w''}{w} \right] l(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{w'}{w} C \alpha |z| + l_\varepsilon(t) + \varepsilon |z|^2 + a \left(k(t) + \left(C + \frac{w'}{w} (1 + \alpha) \right) |z| \right)^2 \\ &\leq |z|^2 \left[\alpha \left(\frac{w'}{w} \right)^2 + \frac{1 - \alpha w''}{2w} + \frac{w'}{w} 2C + \varepsilon + a \left(C + \frac{w'}{w} (1 + \alpha) \right)^2 \right] \\ &\quad + |z| \left[\frac{w'}{w} [k(t) + C\alpha] + 2ak(t) \left(C + \frac{w'}{w} (1 + \alpha) \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left[\left(\frac{w'}{w} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{w''}{w} \right] l(t) + \frac{w'}{w} l(t) + l_\varepsilon(t) + ak(t)^2 \\
& \leq |z|^2 \left[\frac{1-\alpha}{2} \frac{w''}{w} + \frac{w'}{w} 2C + \left(\frac{w'}{w} \right)^2 + \varepsilon + 2a \left(C + \frac{w'}{w} (1+\alpha) \right)^2 \right] \\
& + \left[\left(\frac{w'}{w} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{w''}{w} \right] l(t) + \frac{w'}{w} l(t) + l_\varepsilon(t) + ak(t)^2 + \frac{1}{4(1-\alpha)} [k(t) + C\alpha]^2 + ak(t)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have used the inequality $2\beta_i\gamma_i \leq |\beta_i|^2 + |\gamma_i|^2$ for $i = 1, 2$, with

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_1 &= \sqrt{1-\alpha} \frac{w'}{w} |z|, & \gamma_1 &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1-\alpha}} [k(t) + C\alpha]; \\
\beta_2 &= |z| \sqrt{a} \left(C + \frac{w'}{w} (1+\alpha) \right), & \gamma_2 &= \sqrt{a} k(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, if we find ϕ satisfying all the required assumptions and such that on $[-M, M]$,

$$\frac{1-\alpha}{2} \frac{w''}{w} + \frac{w'}{w} 2C + \left(\frac{w'}{w} \right)^2 < -\delta < 0,$$

then choosing a and ε small enough, the coefficient before $|z|^2$ is non-positive for all $y \in [-M, M]$. Therefore (STR) is satisfied. In fact, by setting

$$\phi(y) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \ln \left(\frac{e^{\lambda A y} + 1}{A} \right) - M,$$

then a straightforward yet tedious computation gives us that

$$w = A - \exp\{-\lambda(y + M)\}, \quad w' = \lambda \exp\{-\lambda(y + M)\}, \quad w'' = -\lambda^2 \exp\{-\lambda(y + M)\},$$

which implies that $w'' < 0$ for all $y \in [-M, M]$ and $\lambda > 0$. Moreover, when $A > 1$ and $\lambda > 0$, it is easy to see that $w > 0$ and $w' > 0$ on the interval $[-M, M]$. Furthermore, one could choose a proper A and λ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \frac{w''}{w} + \frac{w'}{w} 2C + \left(\frac{w'}{w} \right)^2 \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\exp(-\lambda(u + M))}{(A - \exp(-\lambda(u + M)))^2} \\
& \quad \times \left[\lambda^2 \left(-(1-\alpha)A + (3-\alpha) \exp(-\lambda(u + M)) \right) + \lambda 4C(A - \exp(-\lambda(u + M))) \right] \\
& \leq -\delta < 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

As an important application of the comparison theorem, we study the stability of BDSDEs.

Theorem 4.6 (Stability). *Let (f, g, ξ) be a set of parameters that satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 4.4, and let (f^n, g, ξ^n) be a sequence of parameters of BDSDEs such that:*

- (i) There exist some constants $a, b, C, d \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, and a continuous increasing function $c: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the coefficients (f^n, g, ξ^n) satisfies [Assumption 3](#).
- (ii) For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a solution (Y^n, Z^n) to the BDSDE with parameters (f^n, g, ξ^n) .
- (iii) The sequence $\{f^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges to f locally uniformly on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$, and the sequence $\{\xi^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges to ξ in $L^\infty(\Omega)$.

Then there exists a pair of processes $(Y, Z) \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \times L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y^n = Y \text{ uniform on } [0, T], \\ \{Z^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\} \text{ converges to } Z \text{ in } L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d). \end{cases}$$

Moreover, the pair (Y, Z) is a solution of BDSDE with parameters (f, g, ξ) .

Proof. For simplicity presentation, we define

$$\begin{aligned} H_n &= \inf_{m \geq n} f^m, & H^n &= \sup_{m \geq n} f^m, \\ \xi_{n*} &= \inf_{m \geq n} \xi^m, & \xi^{n*} &= \sup_{m \geq n} \xi^m, \end{aligned}$$

and we consider the minimal solutions (Y_*^n, Z_*^n) of BDSDE with parameters (H^n, ξ^{n*}) , and the maximal solutions (Y^{n*}, Z^{n*}) of BDSDE with parameters (H_n, ξ_{n*}) , as both:

- (i) The sequence $\{H_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is increasing and converges locally uniformly to f , and the sequence $\{\xi_{n*}; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is increasing.
- (ii) The sequence $\{H^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is decreasing and converges locally uniformly to f , and the sequence $\{\xi^{n*}; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is decreasing.

Then we have that

- (i) The sequence $\{Y^{n*}; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded and decreasing, and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$Y^{n*} \geq Y^n.$$

Hence, from [Proposition 3.7](#), there exists (Y^*, Z^*) such that $\{Y^{n*}; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges uniformly to Y^* , and (Y^*, Z^*) is a solution of the BDSDE with parameters (f, g, ξ) .

- (ii) The sequence $\{Y_*^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded and decreasing, and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$Y_*^n \leq Y^n.$$

Hence, from [Proposition 3.7](#), there exists (Y_*, Z_*) such that $\{Y_*^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges uniformly to Y_* , and (Y_*, Z_*) is a solution of the BDSDE with parameters (f, g, ξ) .

Finally, by [Theorem 4.4](#), for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one has that

$$Y_*^n \leq Y^n \leq Y^{n*} \quad \text{and} \quad Y_* = Y^* = Y,$$

therefore the sequence $\{Y^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges uniformly to Y . This completes the proof. \square

5 Application to SPDE

When the generator f is of linear growth with respect to y and z , Pardoux–Peng [26] used BDSDEs to give a probabilistic representation for the classical solution of semilinear SPDEs; and Bally–Matoussi [3] and Zhang–Zhao [37] obtained the relationship between the solution of BDSDEs and the Sobolev solution of SPDEs. Then, Wu–Zhang [31] got the Sobolev solution of related SPDEs when f is continuous and locally monotone in y . Zhang–Zhao [39] and Bahlali et al. [2] used BDSDEs to prove the existence and uniqueness of related SPDEs when the generator f is of polynomial growth in Y and grows in Z super-linearly (or sub-quadratically), respectively. In this section, when the generator f is of quadratic growth in z , we use BDSDEs to give a probabilistic representation for the solutions of related semilinear SPDEs in Sobolev spaces, and use it to prove the existence and uniqueness of Sobolev solutions of the SPDEs, thus extending the nonlinear stochastic Feynman-Kac formula.

First, we recall some notations. For Euclidean spaces \mathbb{H} and \mathbb{G} , denote by $C_{l,b}^k(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{G})$ the set of functions of class C^k from \mathbb{H} to \mathbb{G} , whose partial derivatives of order less than or equal to k are bounded. Denote by $\mathcal{C}_c^{1,\infty}([0, T] \times \mathbb{H})$ the set of compactly supported functions $\varphi(t, x)$ which are continuously derivable in the t -variable and infinitely continuously derivable in the x -variable.

Consider the following forward-backward doubly stochastic differential equation:

$$X_s^{t,x} = x + \int_t^s b(X_r^{t,x}) dr + \int_t^s \sigma(X_r^{t,x}) dW_r, \quad t \leq s \leq T, \quad (5.1)$$

$$Y_s^{t,x} = h(X_T^{t,x}) + \int_s^T f(r, X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{t,x}) dr + \int_s^T g(r, X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{t,x}) d\overleftarrow{B}_r - \int_s^T Z_r^{t,x} dW_r, \quad (5.2)$$

where the coefficients b and σ come from $C_{l,b}^2(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $C_{l,b}^3(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^{n \times d})$, respectively. Then it is well known that the forward equation (5.1) admits a unique adapted solution, denoted by $\{X_s^{t,x}; t \leq s \leq T\}$, which satisfying

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \leq s \leq T} |X_s^{t,x}|^p \right] < \infty, \quad \forall p > 1.$$

Now, we would like to connect the forward-backward system (5.1) and (5.2) with quadratic growth to the following semilinear stochastic partial differential equation:

$$\begin{aligned} u(s, x) = & h(x) + \int_s^T \left\{ \mathcal{L}u(r, x) + f(r, x, u(r, x), (\sigma^\top \nabla u)(r, x)) \right\} dr \\ & + \int_s^T g(r, x, u(r, x), (\sigma^\top \nabla u)(r, x)) d\overleftarrow{B}_r, \quad t \leq s \leq T, \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

where σ^\top denotes the transposed of σ , and

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}, \quad (a_{ij}) = \sigma \sigma^\top. \quad (5.4)$$

Before introducing the definition of Sobolev solutions of SPDE (5.3), we let $\rho : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be an integrable continuous positive function, and $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n; \rho^{-1}(x)dx)$ be the weighted L^2 space endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_\rho^2 \triangleq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x)|^2 \rho^{-1}(x) dx.$$

Let us take the weight $\rho(x) = \exp\{F(x)\}$, where $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function and there is a positive constant $R > 0$ such that $F \in C_{l,b}^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ when $|x| > R$. For example, one can take $\rho(x) = \exp\{\delta|x|\}$ with $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ or $\rho(x) = (1 + |x|)^q$ with $q > n + 2$.

Let \mathcal{H} be the set of random fields $\{u(t, x); 0 \leq t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ such that $u(t, x)$ is $\mathcal{F}_{t,T}^B$ -measurable, and both u and $\sigma^\top \nabla u$ belong to $L^2(\Omega \times (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n; d\mathbb{P} \otimes dt \otimes \rho^{-1}(x)dx)$. Then \mathcal{H} is a Banach space endowed with the following norm:

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \triangleq \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^T \left(|u(t, x)|^2 + |(\sigma^\top \nabla u)(t, x)|^2 \right) dt \rho^{-1}(x) dx \right].$$

Now we present the definition of Sobolev solution of SPDE (5.3).

Definition 5.1. We say that u is a Sobolev solution of SPDE (5.3), if $u \in \mathcal{H}$ and for any $\varphi \in C_c^{1,\infty}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_t^T u(s, x) \partial_s \varphi(s, x) ds dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(t, x) \varphi(t, x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x) \varphi(T, x) dx \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_t^T (\sigma^\top \nabla u)(s, x) \cdot (\sigma^\top \nabla \varphi)(s, x) ds dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_t^T u \operatorname{div}[(b - \tilde{A})\varphi](s, x) ds dx \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_t^T f(s, x, u(s, x), (\sigma^\top \nabla u)(s, x)) \varphi(s, x) ds dx \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_t^T g(s, x, u(s, x), (\sigma^\top \nabla u)(s, x)) \varphi(s, x) dB_s dx, \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

where A is a n -vector whose coordinates are given by $\tilde{A}_j = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_i}$ with $1 \leq j \leq n$.

It should be pointed out that, for the classical solution, indeed, if one supposes that u is a solution of SPDE (5.3) of class C^2 , then, similar to the method of Pardoux–Peng [26], applying Proposition 2.2 to $u(s, X_s^{t,x})$ implies that the pair of processes $\{Y_s^{t,x}, Z_s^{t,x}; t \leq s \leq T\}$ defined by

$$Y_s^{t,x} = u(s, X_s^{t,x}) \quad \text{and} \quad Z_s^{t,x} = (\sigma^\top \nabla u)(s, X_s^{t,x})$$

is a solution of the backward doubly stochastic differential equation (5.2).

In the following, we discuss this relationship between the Sobolev solution of SPDEs and the solution of BDSDEs with quadratic growth. In particular, we first focus on a simple situation to better reflect the idea of solving the problem.

5.1 Simple situation

Consider the following type of backward doubly stochastic differential equations: for $t \leq s \leq T$,

$$Y_s^{t,x} = h(X_T^{t,x}) + \int_s^T [f(r, X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{t,x}) + C(Z_r^{t,x})^2] dr + \int_s^T \alpha Z_r^{t,x} d\overleftarrow{B}_r - \int_s^T Z_r^{t,x} dW_r, \quad (5.6)$$

where $X^{t,x}$ is the solution of (5.1), $C > 0$ and $\alpha \in (-1, 1)$ are two constants, and the functions $f : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the following assumption.

Assumption 5. The function h is bounded, and there exist bounded functions $l : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ and $k : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the generator f satisfies the following condition:

$$|f(t, x, y, z)| \leq l(t), \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(t, x, y, z) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(t, x, y, z) \leq k(t), \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Proposition 5.2. Under Assumption 5, the following stochastic partial differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} u(s, x) = & h(x) + \int_s^T \left\{ \mathcal{L}u(r, x) + f(r, x, u(r, x), (\sigma^\top \nabla u)(r, x)) + C((\sigma^\top \nabla u)(r, x))^2 \right\} dr \\ & + \alpha \int_s^T (\sigma^\top \nabla u)(r, x) d\overleftarrow{B}_r, \quad t \leq s \leq T, \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

admits a unique Sobolev solution $u \in \mathcal{H}$, and for every $t \in [0, T]$,

$$u(s, X_s^{t,x}) = Y_s^{t,x} \quad \text{and} \quad (\sigma^\top \nabla u)(s, X_s^{t,x}) = Z_s^{t,x}, \quad \text{a.s., a.e. } s \in [t, T], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $\{(Y_s^{t,x}, Z_s^{t,x}); t \leq s \leq T\}$ is the unique solution of quadratic BDSDE (5.6).

Proof. Applying the exponential transformation of variable to $\bar{Y} = \exp\{\beta Y\}$ transforms formally BDSDE (5.6) into the following BDSDE:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Y}_s^{t,x} = & \bar{Y}_T^{t,x} + \int_s^T \beta \bar{Y}_r^{t,x} f(r, X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{t,x}) dr + \left[C - \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{2} \beta \right] \int_s^T \beta \bar{Y}_r^{t,x} |Z_r^{t,x}|^2 dr \\ & + \alpha \int_s^T \beta \bar{Y}_r^{t,x} Z_r^{t,x} d\overleftarrow{B}_r - \int_s^T \beta \bar{Y}_r^{t,x} Z_r^{t,x} dW_r, \quad t \leq s \leq T. \end{aligned}$$

By taking $\beta = \frac{2C}{1 - \alpha^2}$, the above equation becomes

$$\bar{Y}_s^{t,x} = \bar{h}(X_T^{t,x}) + \int_s^T \bar{f}(r, X_r^{t,x}, \bar{Y}_r^{t,x}, \bar{Z}_r^{t,x}) dr + \alpha \int_s^T \bar{Z}_r^{t,x} d\overleftarrow{B}_r - \int_s^T \bar{Z}_r^{t,x} dW_r, \quad t \leq s \leq T, \quad (5.8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Z}_r^{t,x} = & \beta \bar{Y}_r^{t,x} Z_r^{t,x}, \quad \bar{h}(X_T^{t,x}) = \exp\{\beta h(X_T^{t,x})\}, \\ \bar{f}(r, X_r^{t,x}, \bar{Y}_r^{t,x}, \bar{Z}_r^{t,x}) = & \beta \bar{Y}_r^{t,x} f(r, X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{t,x}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

Under [Assumption 5](#), Eq. (5.8) is a classical BDSDE with a globally Lipschitz generator. Then Bally–Matoussi [3] (see also Zhang–Zhao [38] and Wu–Zhang [31]) implies that the following SPDE

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}(s, x) = & \bar{h}(x) + \int_s^T \left\{ \mathcal{L}\bar{u}(r, x) + \bar{f}(r, x, \bar{u}(r, x), (\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u})(r, x)) \right\} dr \\ & + \alpha \int_s^T (\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u})(r, x) d\overleftarrow{B}_r, \quad t \leq s \leq T, \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

admits a unique Sobolev solution $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{H}$, and for every $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\bar{u}(s, X_s^{t,x}) = \bar{Y}_s^{t,x} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u}(s, X_s^{t,x}) = \bar{Z}_s^{t,x}, \quad a.s., a.e. \ s \in [t, T], \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

On the other hand, we see that

$$\bar{Y}_s^{t,x} = \exp(\beta Y_s^{t,x}) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{Z}_s^{t,x} = \beta \bar{Y}_s^{t,x} Z_s^{t,x}, \quad t \leq s \leq T,$$

where the pair $(Y^{t,x}, Z^{t,x}) \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \times L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ is the solution of BDSDE (5.6). So we would like to define

$$u(s, X_s^{t,x}) \triangleq \frac{\ln \bar{u}(s, X_s^{t,x})}{\beta} = \frac{\ln \bar{Y}_s^{t,x}}{\beta} = Y_s^{t,x}, \quad t \leq s \leq T, \quad (5.11)$$

and then one can compute that

$$(\sigma^\top \nabla u)(s, X_s^{t,x}) = \frac{(\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u})(s, X_s^{t,x})}{\beta \bar{u}(s, X_s^{t,x})} = \frac{\bar{Z}_s^{t,x}}{\beta \bar{Y}_s^{t,x}} = Z_s^{t,x}, \quad t \leq s \leq T. \quad (5.12)$$

Hence, applying Itô's formula (see [Proposition 2.2](#)) to $u = \ln \bar{u}/\beta$ could transform formally SPDE (5.10) into SPDE (5.7). In fact, by using Itô's formula to $u = \ln \bar{u}/\beta$, one has that

$$\begin{aligned} du(s, x) = & \frac{-1}{\beta \bar{u}(s, x)} \left\{ \mathcal{L}\bar{u}(s, x) + \bar{f}(s, x, \bar{u}(s, x), (\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u})(s, x)) \right\} ds \\ & - \frac{\alpha (\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u})(s, x)}{\beta \bar{u}(s, x)} d\overleftarrow{B}_s + \frac{\alpha^2 ((\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u})(s, x))^2}{2 \beta \bar{u}(s, x)^2} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

From the definitions (5.4) and (5.11), it is easy to compute that

$$\mathcal{L}\bar{u}(s, x) = \beta \bar{u}(s, x) \left[\mathcal{L}u(s, x) + \frac{\beta}{2} ((\sigma^\top \nabla u)(s, x))^2 \right]. \quad (5.14)$$

Then, combining (5.9), (5.11), (5.12), (5.13), (5.14), and note that $\beta = \frac{2C}{1-\alpha^2}$, we deduce that

$$\begin{cases} du(s, x) = - \left\{ \mathcal{L}u(s, x) + f(s, x, u(s, x), (\sigma^\top \nabla u)(s, x)) + C((\sigma^\top \nabla u)(s, x))^2 \right\} ds \\ \quad - \alpha (\sigma^\top \nabla u)(s, x) d\overleftarrow{B}_s, \quad t \leq s \leq T, \\ u(T, x) = h(x). \end{cases} \quad (5.15)$$

In other words, u is a Sobolev solution of SPDE (5.7), and for every $t \in [0, T]$,

$$u(s, X_s^{t,x}) = Y_s^{t,x} \quad \text{and} \quad (\sigma^\top \nabla u)(s, X_s^{t,x}) = Z_s^{t,x}, \quad a.s., a.e. \ s \in [t, T], \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $(Y^{t,x}, Z^{t,x})$ is the unique solution of BDSDE (5.6).

Finally, the uniqueness of solutions of SPDE (5.7) comes from the uniqueness of BDSDE (5.6). This completes the proof. \square

Remark 5.3. The idea of the above proof is firstly to transform a simple quadratic BDSDE into a classical BDSDE, and then by using the relationship between the classical BDSDEs and SPDEs, one can conversely obtain the relationship between the BDSDE with quadratic growth and its related SPDE.

5.2 General situation

In this subsection, we use BDSDE (5.2) to give a probabilistic representation for the solutions of SPDE (5.3) in Sobolev space, and use it to prove the existence and uniqueness of Sobolev solutions of SPDE (5.3), thus obtaining the nonlinear stochastic Feynman-Kac formula in this framework. The following lemma is an extension of equivalence of norm principle given in Bally–Matoussi [3] and plays an important role later.

Lemma 5.4. There exist two positive constants k_1 and K_1 which depend on T, ρ, b and σ , such that for any $t \leq s \leq T$ and $\phi \in L^1(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n; d\mathbb{P} \otimes \rho^{-1}(x)dx)$ which is independent of $\mathcal{F}_{t,s}^W$,

$$k_1 \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\phi(x)| \rho^{-1}(x) dx \leq \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\phi(X_s^{t,x})| \rho^{-1}(x) dx \leq K_1 \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\phi(x)| \rho^{-1}(x) dx.$$

Moreover, for any $\Phi \in L^1(\Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d; d\mathbb{P} \otimes dt \otimes \rho^{-1}(x)dx)$ such that $\Phi(s, \cdot)$ is independent of $\mathcal{F}_{t,s}^W$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_t^T |\Phi(s, x)| ds \rho^{-1}(x) dx &\leq \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_t^T |\Phi(s, X_s^{t,x})| ds \rho^{-1}(x) dx \\ &\leq K_1 \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_t^T |\Phi(s, x)| ds \rho^{-1}(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

For the coefficients of BDSDE (5.2), we present the following assumptions.

Assumption 6. For all $t \in [0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the function $h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded, and the functions $f : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$ satisfy Assumption 4.

Remark 5.5. Note that under Assumption 6, BDSDE (5.2) has a unique solution $(Y^{t,x}, Z^{t,x}) \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \times L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover, the coefficients f, g and h satisfy that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^T \left(|h(x)|^2 + |f(t, x, 0, 0)|^2 + |g(t, x, 0, 0)|^2 \right) dt \rho^{-1}(x) dx < \infty.$$

Now we are in a position to present the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.6 (Feynman-Kac formula). *Under [Assumption 6](#), SPDE (5.3) admits a unique Sobolev solution $u \in \mathcal{H}$, and for every $t \in [0, T]$,*

$$u(s, X_s^{t,x}) = Y_s^{t,x} \quad \text{and} \quad (\sigma^\top \nabla u)(s, X_s^{t,x}) = Z_s^{t,x}, \quad \text{a.s., a.e. } s \in [t, T], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $\{(Y_s^{t,x}, Z_s^{t,x}); t \leq s \leq T\}$ is the unique solution of BDSDE (5.2) with quadratic growth.

Proof. Uniqueness. The uniqueness of SPDE (5.3) follows from the uniqueness of BDSDE (5.2). In fact, if u^1 and u^2 are two Sobolev solutions of SPDE (5.3), then the following two pairs

$$(u^1(s, X_s^{t,x}), (\sigma^\top \nabla u^1)(s, X_s^{t,x})) \quad \text{and} \quad (u^2(s, X_s^{t,x}), (\sigma^\top \nabla u^2)(s, X_s^{t,x}))$$

solve BDSDE (5.2). So the uniqueness of BDSDE (5.2) gives us that

$$u^1(s, X_s^{t,x}) = u^2(s, X_s^{t,x}), \quad \text{a.s., a.e. } s \in [t, T], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and in particular

$$u^1(t, x) = u^2(t, x), \quad \text{a.s., a.e., } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Next, we prove the existence. Compared with the proof of the simple situation (see [Proposition 5.2](#)), the main idea here we used is similar, however, the techniques are more complex and include an approximation. So the proof will be divided into six steps with some techniques borrowed from the proof of [Theorem 3.10](#).

Step 1. The exponential transformation.

Let $\beta = \frac{2C}{1-\alpha}$ be a given positive constant. Applying the exponential change of variable (see [Proposition 2.2](#)) to $\bar{Y}^{t,x} = \exp(\beta Y^{t,x})$ could transforms formally BDSDE (5.2) with parameters (f, g, h) into the following BDSDE with parameters $(\bar{f}, \bar{g}, \bar{h})$,

$$\bar{Y}_t^{t,x} = \bar{h}(X_T^{t,x}) + \int_t^T \bar{f}(s, X_s^{t,x}, \bar{Y}_s^{t,x}, \bar{Z}_s^{t,x}) ds + \int_t^T \bar{g}(s, X_s^{t,x}, \bar{Y}_s^{t,x}, \bar{Z}_s^{t,x}) d\bar{B}_s - \int_t^T \bar{Z}_s^{t,x} dW_s, \quad (5.16)$$

where $\bar{Z}_s^{t,x} = \beta \bar{Y}_s^{t,x} Z_s^{t,x}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}(s, X_s^{t,x}, \bar{Y}_s^{t,x}, \bar{Z}_s^{t,x}) &= \beta \bar{Y}_s^{t,x} f\left(s, X_s^{t,x}, \frac{\ln \bar{Y}_s^{t,x}}{\beta}, \frac{\bar{Z}_s^{t,x}}{\beta \bar{Y}_s^{t,x}}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \beta^2 \bar{Y}_s^{t,x} \left[g\left(s, X_s^{t,x}, \frac{\ln \bar{Y}_s^{t,x}}{\beta}, \frac{\bar{Z}_s^{t,x}}{\beta \bar{Y}_s^{t,x}}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\bar{Z}_s^{t,x}}{\beta \bar{Y}_s^{t,x}} \right)^2 \right], \\ \bar{g}(s, X_s^{t,x}, \bar{Y}_s^{t,x}, \bar{Z}_s^{t,x}) &= \beta \bar{Y}_s^{t,x} g\left(s, X_s^{t,x}, \frac{\ln \bar{Y}_s^{t,x}}{\beta}, \frac{\bar{Z}_s^{t,x}}{\beta \bar{Y}_s^{t,x}}\right), \\ \bar{h}(X_T^{t,x}) &= \exp(\beta h(X_T^{t,x})), \quad t \leq s \leq T. \end{aligned}$$

Then the pair of processes $(\bar{Y}^{t,x}, \bar{Z}^{t,x})$ is the solution of BDSDE (5.16) with parameters $(\bar{f}, \bar{g}, \bar{h})$, where \bar{h} is bounded and \bar{g} satisfies [Assumption 3](#).

Step 2. Approximation of SPDE.

Let the sequence $\{\bar{f}^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be defined as in (3.24). Then, the same arguments we developed in the proof of Theorem 3.10 deduce that \bar{f}^n is globally Lipschitz continuous with the constant n and decreasing converges pointwise to the function \bar{f} . Now, for each $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the processes \bar{u}^n and \bar{v}^n by

$$\bar{u}^n(t, x) = \bar{Y}_t^{t,x,n} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{v}^n(t, x) = \bar{Z}_t^{t,x,n}, \quad (5.17)$$

where $(\bar{Y}^{t,x,n}, \bar{Z}^{t,x,n})$ is the unique solution of the following BDSDE with parameters $(\bar{f}^n, \bar{g}, \bar{h})$: for $t \in [0, T]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Y}_t^{t,x,n} &= \bar{h}(X_T^{t,x}) + \int_t^T \bar{f}^n(s, X_s^{t,x}, \bar{Y}_s^{t,x,n}, \bar{Z}_s^{t,x,n}) ds \\ &\quad + \int_t^T \bar{g}(s, X_s^{t,x}, \bar{Y}_s^{t,x,n}, \bar{Z}_s^{t,x,n}) d\bar{B}_s - \int_t^T \bar{Z}_s^{t,x,n} dW_s. \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

Note that the parameters $(\bar{f}^n, \bar{g}, \bar{h})$ of the above BDSDE (5.18) satisfy that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^T \left(|\bar{h}(x)|^2 + |\bar{f}^n(t, x, \bar{y}, 0)|^2 + |\bar{g}(t, x, \bar{y}, 0)|^2 \right) dt \rho^{-1}(x) dx < \infty, \quad \forall \bar{y} \in [\exp\{-\beta M\}, \exp\{\beta M\}].$$

Then Bally–Matoussi [3] (see also Zhang–Zhao [38] and Wu–Zhang [31]) deduce that

$$\bar{v}^n(s, x) = (\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u}^n)(s, x), \quad (5.19)$$

and \bar{u}^n is the unique Sobolev solution to the following SPDE:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}^n(s, x) &= \bar{h}(x) + \int_s^T \left\{ \mathcal{L} \bar{u}^n(r, x) + \bar{f}^n(r, x, \bar{u}^n(r, x), (\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u}^n)(r, x)) \right\} dr \\ &\quad + \int_s^T \bar{g}(r, x, \bar{u}^n(r, x), (\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u}^n)(r, x)) d\bar{B}_r, \quad s \in [t, T], \end{aligned} \quad (5.20)$$

with

$$\bar{u}^n(s, X_s^{t,x}) = \bar{Y}_s^{t,x,n} \quad \text{and} \quad (\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u}^n)(s, X_s^{t,x}) = \bar{Z}_s^{t,x,n}, \quad a.s., a.e. \ s \in [t, T], \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (5.21)$$

where $(\bar{Y}^{t,x,n}, \bar{Z}^{t,x,n})$ is the unique solution of BDSDE (5.18) with parameters $(\bar{f}^n, \bar{g}, \bar{h})$.

On the other hand, similar to (3.26), the comparison theorem for Lipschitz continuous coefficients (see Proposition 2.4) implies that the sequence $\{\bar{Y}^{t,x,n}; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded and decreasing in n such that

$$\exp(-\beta \|h\|_\infty) \leq \bar{Y}_s^{t,x,n+1} \leq \bar{Y}_s^{t,x,n} \leq \exp(\beta \|h\|_\infty), \quad a.s. \ s \in [t, T]. \quad (5.22)$$

In addition, by using the same arguments we developed in the proofs of Proposition 3.5 and Proposition 3.7, there exists a positive constant K such that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E} \int_t^T |\bar{Z}_s^{t,x,n}|^2 ds \leq K, \quad (5.23)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{Y}_s^{t,x,n} = \bar{Y}_s^{t,x}, & \text{a.s. } s \in [t, T], \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \int_t^T |\bar{Z}_s^{t,x,n} - \bar{Z}_s^{t,x}|^2 ds = 0, \end{cases} \quad (5.24)$$

where $(\bar{Y}^{t,x}, \bar{Z}^{t,x}) \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \times L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ is the solution of BDSDE (5.16) with parameters $(\bar{f}, \bar{g}, \bar{h})$.

Step 3. Convergence of SPDE (5.20)

We point out that the limits which we consider below hold along with a subsequence, however for simplicity, the subsequence will still be indexed by n . From Lemma 5.4, note (5.19), (5.21), (5.22) and (5.23), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_t^T \left(|\bar{u}^n(s, x)|^2 + |\bar{v}^n(s, x)|^2 \right) ds \rho^{-1}(x) dx \\ & \leq K_1 \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(T \|\bar{Y}^{t,x,n}\|_{L_{\mathbb{F}}^\infty(t, T)}^2 + \int_t^T |\bar{Z}_s^{t,x,n}|^2 ds \right) \rho^{-1}(x) dx \\ & \leq K_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [T \exp(2\beta \|h\|_\infty) + K] \rho^{-1}(x) dx < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

Moreover, similar to (3.25), we have

$$|\bar{g}(s, x, \bar{u}^n(s, x), \bar{v}^n(s, x))|^2 \leq \alpha |\bar{v}^n(s, x)|^2, \quad \text{a.s., a.e. } s \in [t, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then, note that \bar{f}^n is globally Lipschitz continuous, we deduce from (5.25) that

$$\mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_t^T \left[|\bar{f}^n(s, x, \bar{u}^n(s, x), \bar{v}^n(s, x))|^2 + |\bar{g}(s, x, \bar{u}^n(s, x), \bar{v}^n(s, x))|^2 \right] ds \rho^{-1}(x) dx \leq \tilde{K},$$

where \tilde{K} is some constant which depends on $\|h\|_\infty$, K , K_1 and T . Now, from Lemma 5.4, (5.17), (5.24) and Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n, m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_t^T |\bar{u}^n(s, x) - \bar{u}^m(s, x)|^2 ds \rho^{-1}(x) dx = 0, \\ & \lim_{n, m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_t^T |(\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u}^n)(s, x) - (\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u}^m)(s, x)|^2 ds \rho^{-1}(x) dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then, using Lemma 5.4 again, and note that the space \mathcal{H} is complete, it follows that there exists $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\lim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_t^T |\bar{u}^n(s, x) - \bar{u}(s, x)|^2 ds \rho^{-1}(x) dx = 0, \quad (5.26)$$

$$\lim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_t^T |(\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u}^n)(s, x) - (\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u})(s, x)|^2 ds \rho^{-1}(x) dx = 0, \quad (5.27)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_t^T \left(|\bar{u}(s, x)|^2 + (\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u})(s, x)|^2 \right) ds \rho^{-1}(x) dx < \infty.$$

Step 4. We show that for any $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\bar{u}(s, X_s^{t,x}) = \bar{Y}_s^{t,x} \quad \text{and} \quad (\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u})(s, X_s^{t,x}) = \bar{Z}_s^{t,x}, \quad a.s., a.e. \ s \in [t, T], \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (5.28)$$

By triangular inequality, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_t^T |\bar{u}(s, X_s^{t,x}) - \bar{Y}_s^{t,x}|^2 ds \rho^{-1}(x) dx \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_t^T |\bar{u}(s, X_s^{t,x}) - \bar{u}^n(s, X_s^{t,x})|^2 ds \rho^{-1}(x) dx \end{aligned} \quad (5.29)$$

$$+ \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_t^T |\bar{u}^n(s, X_s^{t,x}) - \bar{Y}_s^{t,x}|^2 ds \rho^{-1}(x) dx. \quad (5.30)$$

From [Lemma 5.4](#) and [\(5.26\)](#), one has that the term [\(5.29\)](#) tends to 0 as n tends to ∞ . In addition, [Lemma 5.4](#), [\(5.21\)](#) and [\(5.24\)](#) deduce that the term [\(5.30\)](#) tends to 0 as n tends to ∞ . So the first result in [\(5.28\)](#) holds. We next prove the second result in [\(5.28\)](#), i.e.,

$$(\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u})(s, X_s^{t,x}) = \bar{Z}_s^{t,x}, \quad a.s., a.e. \ s \in [t, T], \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (5.31)$$

It is sufficient to show that the following term

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_t^T |(\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u})(s, X_s^{t,x}) - \bar{Z}_s^{t,x}|^2 ds \rho^{-1}(x) dx \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_t^T |(\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u})(s, X_s^{t,x}) - (\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u}^n)(s, X_s^{t,x})|^2 ds \rho^{-1}(x) dx \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_t^T |(\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u}^n)(s, X_s^{t,x}) - \bar{Z}_s^{t,x}|^2 ds \rho^{-1}(x) dx \\ & \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (5.32)$$

In fact, similarly, combining [Lemma 5.4](#), [\(5.21\)](#), [\(5.24\)](#), and [\(5.27\)](#), it is easy to see that [\(5.32\)](#) holds, which implies that [\(5.31\)](#) holds too.

Step 5. We show that \bar{u} is a Sobolev solution to the following SPDE:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}(s, x) = & \bar{h}(x) + \int_s^T \left\{ \mathcal{L} \bar{u}(r, x) + \bar{f}(r, x, \bar{u}(r, x), (\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u})(r, x)) \right\} dr \\ & + \int_s^T \bar{g}(r, x, \bar{u}(r, x), (\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u})(r, x)) d\bar{B}_r, \quad s \in [t, T]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.33)$$

First, it was shown in *Step 3* that \bar{u} belongs to the space \mathcal{H} , so by [Definition 5.1](#), we only need to verify that \bar{u} satisfies Eq. [\(5.5\)](#) with parameters $(\bar{f}, \bar{g}, \bar{h})$. Note that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, since \bar{u}^n is the

Sobolev solution of SPDE (5.20), so for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_c^{1,\infty}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, \bar{u}^n satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_t^T \bar{u}^n(s, x) \partial_s \varphi(s, x) ds dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \bar{u}^n(t, x) \varphi(t, x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \bar{h}(x) \varphi(T, x) dx \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_t^T (\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u}^n)(s, x) \cdot (\sigma^\top \nabla \varphi)(s, x) ds dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_t^T \bar{u}^n \operatorname{div}[(b - \tilde{A})\varphi](s, x) ds dx \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_t^T \bar{f}^n(s, x, \bar{u}^n(s, x), (\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u}^n)(s, x)) \varphi(s, x) ds dx \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_t^T \bar{g}(s, x, \bar{u}^n(s, x), (\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u}^n)(s, x)) \varphi(s, x) dB_s dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.34}$$

Hence, if we can prove that along a subsequence (5.34) converges to (5.5) with parameters $(\bar{f}, \bar{g}, \bar{h})$, then \bar{u} satisfies (5.5) with parameters $(\bar{f}, \bar{g}, \bar{h})$.

Due to that $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_c^{1,\infty}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, $b \in C_{l,b}^2(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\sigma \in C_{l,b}^3(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$, then as $n \rightarrow \infty$, clearly the left hand side of (5.34) tends to the following term:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_t^T \bar{u}(s, x) \partial_s \varphi(s, x) ds dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \bar{u}(t, x) \varphi(t, x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \bar{h}(x) \varphi(T, x) dx \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_t^T (\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u})(s, x) \cdot (\sigma^\top \nabla \varphi)(s, x) ds dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_t^T \bar{u} \operatorname{div}[(b - \tilde{A})\varphi](s, x) ds dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we compute the limit on the right hand side of (5.34). We show that along a sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_t^T \bar{f}^n(s, x, \bar{u}^n(s, x), (\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u}^n)(s, x)) \varphi(s, x) ds dx \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_t^T \bar{f}(s, x, \bar{u}(s, x), (\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u})(s, x)) \varphi(s, x) ds dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.35}$$

In fact, note that the sequence $\{\bar{f}^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is globally Lipschitz continuous and decreasing converges pointwise to the function \bar{f} , and for every $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\bar{u}^n(s, X_s^{t,x}), (\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u}^n)(s, X_s^{t,x})) = (\bar{Y}_s^{t,x,n}, \bar{Z}_s^{t,x,n}), \quad a.s., a.e. s \in [t, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\
& (\bar{u}(s, X_s^{t,x}), (\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u})(s, X_s^{t,x})) = (\bar{Y}_s^{t,x}, \bar{Z}_s^{t,x}), \quad a.s., a.e. s \in [t, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^n,
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{Y}_s^{t,x,n} = \bar{Y}_s^{t,x}, & a.s. s \in [t, T], \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \int_t^T |\bar{Z}_s^{t,x,n} - \bar{Z}_s^{t,x}|^2 ds = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, similar to the argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.7, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \int_t^T \left| \bar{f}^n(s, X_s^{t,x}, \bar{u}^n(s, X_s^{t,x}), (\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u}^n)(s, X_s^{t,x})) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \bar{f}(s, X_s^{t,x}, \bar{u}(s, X_s^{t,x}), (\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u})(s, X_s^{t,x})) \right| ds = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

So combining [Lemma 5.4](#) and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_t^T \left| \bar{f}^n(s, x, \bar{u}^n(s, x), (\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u}^n)(s, x)) \right. \\ \left. - \bar{f}(s, x, \bar{u}(s, x), (\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u})(s, x)) \right| ds \rho^{-1}(x) dx = 0,$$

which implies that [\(5.35\)](#) holds. Finally, for the second term on the right hand side of [\(5.34\)](#), similar to [\(3.15\)](#) and the previous discussion, one has that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_t^T \bar{g}(s, x, \bar{u}^n(s, x), (\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u}^n)(s, x)) \varphi(s, x) dB_s dx \right. \\ \left. - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_t^T \bar{g}(s, x, \bar{u}(s, x), (\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u})(s, x)) \varphi(s, x) dB_s dx \right| = 0 \quad \text{in probability.}$$

Therefore \bar{u} satisfies Eq. [\(5.5\)](#) with parameters $(\bar{f}, \bar{g}, \bar{h})$, and thus \bar{u} is a Sobolev solution to SPDE [\(5.33\)](#).

Step 6. The logarithmic transformation.

On the one hand, we see that SPDE [\(5.33\)](#) with parameters $(\bar{f}, \bar{g}, \bar{h})$ has a Sobolev solution \bar{u} , and for every $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\bar{u}(s, X_s^{t,x}) = \bar{Y}_s^{t,x} \quad \text{and} \quad (\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u})(s, X_s^{t,x}) = \bar{Z}_s^{t,x}, \quad a.s., a.e. s \in [t, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where the pair $(\bar{Y}^{t,x}, \bar{Z}^{t,x})$ is the solution of BDSDE [\(5.16\)](#) with parameters $(\bar{f}, \bar{g}, \bar{h})$. On the other hand,

$$\bar{Y}_s^{t,x} = \exp(\beta Y_s^{t,x}) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{Z}_s^{t,x} = \beta \bar{Y}_s^{t,x} Z_s^{t,x}, \quad t \leq s \leq T,$$

where the pair $(Y^{t,x}, Z^{t,x}) \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \times L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ is the solution of BDSDE [\(5.2\)](#) with parameters (f, g, h) . Hence, similar to the computation from [\(5.11\)](#) to [\(5.15\)](#), if we define

$$u(s, X_s^{t,x}) \triangleq \frac{\ln \bar{u}(s, X_s^{t,x})}{\beta} = \frac{\ln \bar{Y}_s^{t,x}}{\beta} = Y_s^{t,x}, \quad t \leq s \leq T,$$

with

$$(\sigma^\top \nabla u)(s, X_s^{t,x}) = \frac{(\sigma^\top \nabla \bar{u})(s, X_s^{t,x})}{\beta \bar{u}(s, X_s^{t,x})} = \frac{\bar{Z}_s^{t,x}}{\beta \bar{Y}_s^{t,x}} = Z_s^{t,x}, \quad t \leq s \leq T,$$

then u is a Sobolev solution of SPDE [\(5.3\)](#) with parameters (f, g, h) , and for every $t \in [0, T]$,

$$u(s, X_s^{t,x}) = Y_s^{t,x} \quad \text{and} \quad (\sigma^\top \nabla u)(s, X_s^{t,x}) = Z_s^{t,x}, \quad a.s., a.e. s \in [t, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $(Y^{t,x}, Z^{t,x})$ is the unique solution of BDSDE [\(5.2\)](#) with parameters (f, g, h) . This completes the proof. \square

6 Conclusion Remarks

We initiate the study of quadratic BDSDEs, where the existence, comparison, and stability results for one-dimensional BDSDEs with quadratic growth and bounded terminal value are obtained. We remark that when proving a priori estimate, in order to ensure Y is bounded, we introduce the condition (3.5) concerning the coefficient g , which we think is necessary for the case of bounded terminal value. However, if someone discusses the solution of quadratic BDSDEs with unbounded terminal value, the condition (3.5) could be relaxed because the boundedness of Y is needn't at that time. We hope to publish some follow-up works when the terminal value ξ is unbounded or/and Y is multi-dimensional. Finally, in this framework, we used BDSDEs to prove the existence and uniqueness of the Sobolev solutions of semilinear SPDEs, which extend the nonlinear Feynman-Kac formula of Pardoux–Peng [26]. On the other hand, the existence and uniqueness of viscosity solutions of related SPDEs are interesting and merit further studies in the near future.

References

- [1] K. Bahlali, M. Eddahbi, and Y. Ouknine, *Quadratic BSDE with L^2 -terminal data: Krylov's estimate, Itô-Krylov's formula and existence results*, *Ann. Probab.*, 45 (2017), 2377–2397.
- [2] K. Bahlali, R. Gatt, B. Mansouri, and A. Mtiraoui, *Backward doubly SDEs and SPDEs with superlinear growth generators*, *Stoch. Dyn.*, 17 (2017), 1750009.
- [3] V. Bally and A. Matoussi, *Weak Solutions for SPDEs and Backward Doubly Stochastic Differential Equations*, *J. Theoret. Probab.*, 14 (2001), 125–164.
- [4] G. Barles and F. Murat, *Uniqueness and the maximum principle for quasilinear elliptic equations with quadratic growth conditions*, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 133 (1995), 77–101.
- [5] P. Barrieu and N. El Karoui, *Monotone stability of quadratic semimartingales with applications to unbounded general quadratic BSDEs*, *Ann. Probab.*, 41(3B) (2013), 1831–1863.
- [6] B. Boufoussi, J. Van Casteren, and N. Mrhardy, *Generalized backward doubly stochastic differential equations and SPDEs with nonlinear Neumann boundary conditions*, *Bernoulli*, 13 (2007), 423–446.
- [7] P. Briand and Y. Hu, *BSDE with quadratic growth and unbounded terminal value*, *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 136 (2006), 604–618.
- [8] P. Briand and Y. Hu, *Quadratic BSDEs with convex generators and unbounded terminal conditions*, *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 141 (2008), 543–567.
- [9] R. Buckdahn, J. Li, and C. Xing, *Mean-field BDSDEs and associated nonlocal semi-linear backward stochastic partial differential equations*, arXiv:2111.00759v2, 2021.

- [10] R. Buckdahn and J. Ma, *Stochastic viscosity solutions for nonlinear stochastic partial differential equations. Part I*, *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 93 (2001), 181–204.
- [11] R. Buckdahn and J. Ma, *Stochastic viscosity solutions for nonlinear stochastic partial differential equations. Part II*, *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 93 (2001), 205–228.
- [12] P. Cheridito and K. Nam, *Multidimensional quadratic and subquadratic BSDEs with special structure*, *Stochastics*, 87(5) (2015), 871–884.
- [13] F. Delbaen, Y. Hu, and X. Bao, *Backward SDEs with superquadratic growth*, *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 150 (2011), 145–192.
- [14] N. El Karoui, S. Peng, and M.C. Quenez, *Backward stochastic differential equations in finance*, *Math. Finance*, 7 (1997), 1–71.
- [15] A. Gomez, K. Lee, C. Mueller, A. Wei, and J. Xiong, *Strong uniqueness for an SPDE via backward doubly stochastic differential equations*, *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, 83 (2013), 2186–2190.
- [16] Y. Han, S. Peng, and Z. Wu, *Maximum Principle for Backward Doubly Stochastic Control Systems with Applications*, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 48 (2010), 4224–4241.
- [17] Y. Hu, X. Li, and J. Wen, *Anticipated backward stochastic differential equations with quadratic growth*, *J. Differential Equations*, 270 (2021), 1298–1331.
- [18] Y. Hu, A. Matoussi, and T. Zhang, *Wong-Zakai approximations of backward doubly stochastic differential equations*, *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 125 (2015), 4375–4404.
- [19] Y. Hu and S. Tang, *Multi-dimensional backward stochastic differential equations of diagonally quadratic generators*, *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 126 (2016), 1066–1086.
- [20] M. Kobylanski, *Backward stochastic differential equations and partial differential equations with quadratic growth*, *Ann. Probab.*, 28 (2000), 558–602.
- [21] J. Lepeltier and J. San Martin, *Backward stochastic differential equations with continuous coefficient*, *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, 32 (1997), 425–430.
- [22] D. Nualart, *The Malliavin calculus and related topics, second ed.*, Springer, Berlin, 2006.
- [23] S. Peng, *Open problems on backward stochastic differential equations*, In S. Chen, X. Li, J. Yong and X. Y. Zhou (Eds), *Control of distributed parameter and stochastic systems*, (1998), 265–273.
- [24] E. Pardoux and S. Peng, *Adapted solution of a backward stochastic differential equation*, *Systems Control Lett.*, 4 (1990), 55–61.

- [25] E. Pardoux and S. Peng, *Backward stochastic differential equations and quasilinear parabolic partial differential equations*, In: B. L. Rozuvskii and R. B. Sowers (eds.) *Stochastic partial differential equations and their applications*, *Lect. Notes Control Inf. Sci.*, vol. 176, 200–217, Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer, 1992.
- [26] E. Pardoux and S. Peng, *Backward doubly stochastic differential equations and systems of quasilinear SPDEs*, *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 98 (1994), 209–227.
- [27] A. Richou, *Markovian quadratic and superquadratic BSDEs with an unbounded terminal condition*, *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 122 (2012), 3173–3208.
- [28] Y. Shi, Y. Gu, and K. Liu, *Comparison Theorems of Backward Doubly Stochastic Differential Equations and Applications*, *Stoch. Anal. Appl.*, 23 (2005), 97–110.
- [29] Y. Shi, J. Wen, and J. Xiong, *Backward doubly stochastic Volterra integral equations and their applications*, *J. Differential Equations*, 269 (2020), 6492–6528.
- [30] R. Tevzadze, *Solvability of backward stochastic differential equations with quadratic growth*, *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 118 (2008), 503–515.
- [31] Z. Wu and F. Zhang, *BDSDEs with locally monotone coefficients and Sobolev solutions for SPDEs*, *J. Differential Equations*, 251 (2011), 759–784.
- [32] H. Xing and G. Zitkovic, *A class of globally solvable Markovian quadratic BSDE systems and applications*, *Ann. Probab.*, 46 (2018), 491–550.
- [33] J. Xiong, *Super-Brownian motion as the unique strong solution to an SPDE*, *Ann. Probab.*, 41 (2013), 1030–1054.
- [34] J. Yong, *Forward-backward stochastic differential equations with mixed initial-terminal conditions*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 362 (2010), 1047–1096.
- [35] J. Yong and X. Zhou, *Stochastic Controls: Hamiltonian Systems and HJB Equations*, Springer, New York, 1999.
- [36] J. Zhang, *Backward Stochastic Differential Equations: From Linear to Fully Nonlinear Theory*, Springer, New York, 2017.
- [37] Q. Zhang and H. Zhao, *Stationary solutions of SPDEs and infinite horizon BDSDEs*, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 252 (2007), 171–219.
- [38] Q. Zhang and H. Zhao, *Stationary solutions of SPDEs and infinite horizon BDSDEs with non-Lipschitz coefficients*, *J. Differential Equations*, 248 (2010), 953–991.
- [39] Q. Zhang and H. Zhao, *Backward doubly stochastic differential equations with polynomial growth coefficients*, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 35 (2015), 5285–5315.