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#### Abstract

We apply the Regge-Wheeler formalism to study the propagation of axial and polar gravitational waves in matterfilled Bianchi I universe. Assuming that the expansion scalar $\Theta$, of the background space-time, is proportional to the shear scalar $\sigma$, we have solved the background field equations in the presence of matter (found to behave like a stiff fluid). We then derive the linearised perturbation equations for both the axial and polar modes. The analytical solutions in vacuum spacetime could be determined in an earlier paper [43] in a relatively straightforward manner. However, here we find that in the presence of matter they require more assumptions for their solution, and bear more involved forms. As compared to the axial modes, the polar perturbation equations contain far more complicated couplings among the perturbing terms. In both the axial and polar cases, the radial and temporal solutions for the perturbations separate out as product. We find that the axial waves are damped owing to the background anisotropy, and can deform only the azimuthal velocity of the fluid. In contrast, the polar waves must trigger perturbations in the energy density, the pressure as well as in the non-azimuthal components of the fluid velocity. Similar behaviour is exhibited by axial and polar waves propagating in Kantowski-Sachs universe [42].
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## I. INTRODUCTION

The detection of gravitational waves (GWs) have confirmed the last prediction of Einstein's general theory of relativity. The geometry of a spacetime is related to its matter content through Einstein's equations and GWs are obtained as their solutions under perturbations in the linearised approximation.

The present-day isotropic and homogeneous universe is described by the FLRW model. Although this model offers suitable explanation for the current state of the universe, certain observations indicate the existence of cosmological anisotropies. So anisotropic models and the evolution of perturbations in these backgrounds have gained importance. Of the various anisotropic but homogeneous models [1], the Bianchi I (B-I) universe [2] is the simplest one. The B-I model has different scale factors along different directions. In such a universe filled with matter obeying an equation-of-state (EoS) $p=\gamma \rho$, where the EoS parameter $\gamma<1$, any initial anisotropy quickly dies away and eventually evolves into a FLRW universe [3]. The B-I spacetime is therefore a potential candidate for modeling the early universe.

Gravitational perturbations in homogeneous anisotropic universes (including B-I universes) have been extensively studied. Such investigations are of interest to understand how anisotropy influences the gravitational perturbations. Detection of primordial GWs can shed light on the nature of the early universe. Hu [4] pointed out that perturbations in such universes can be treated as a first approximation to exact inhomogeneous anisotropic solutions in the chaotic cosmology, and the back-reaction of GWs may considerably change the dynamics of the early universe. He showed the decoupling of the two linear polarizations of the GWs. In contrast to the FLRW universe, where the two polarizations are decoupled, each being equivalent to a minimally coupled massless scalar field [5], these become coupled in an expanding anisotropic universe [6]. The paper [7] dealt with general perturbations of the B-I spacetime. Others [8] introduced a non-perturbative exact formalism for GWs travelling through Bianchi I-VII spacetimes. The property obtained in [8] was generalized in [9] that there exist solutions in which the inhomogeneity initially dominates the structure of the cosmic singularity but later evolves into GWs propagating over the more homogeneous background.

Among the perturbation formalisms developed to study GWs, the Regge-Wheeler perturbation scheme is a relatively simple one. In their investigations on Schwarzschild black hole perturbations, Regge and Wheeler [10] decomposed the perturbing elements in terms of spherical harmonics, and incorporated the Regge-Wheeler (RW) gauge in order to extract a single Schrödinger-type differential equation for the axial as well as polar perturbations. The solutions come out in the form of products of four factors, each being a function of only one coordinate $(t, r, \theta, \phi)$. Subsequently, this procedure has been used in various articles [11]-[18]. Zerilli made corrections to the polar equation of [10] in [11] and studied the problem of a particle falling into a Schwarzschild black hole [12]. Vishveshwara [13] used the RW gauge in Kruskal coordinates to examine the stability of the Schwarzschild exterior metric. The exact axial solutions in the Schwarzschild background as calculated by Fiziev [17] are given by the confluent Heun's functions.

The RW procedure has been applied to the axial gravitational perturbations in the FLRW model by Malec and Wylezek [19]. Kulczycki and Malec [20] investigated the polar GWs which are found to cause perturbations in the density and non-azimuthal components of the velocity of the material medium, and are hence responsible for the evolution of matter inhomogeneities and anisotropies. On the other hand, since the initial data can be so adjusted as to decouple from matter, axial waves can perturb only the azimuthal velocity and trigger local cosmological rotation. In such spacetimes, if the initial profiles are not smooth, then the axial wave pulses bring about rotation of the radiation fluid, leading to the memory effect [21]. The propagation of axial and polar waves in FLRW universes using the RW formalism has been studied by Sharif and Siddiqa in the $f(R, T)$ gravity [22,23] and by Salti et al. in Rastall gravity [24, 25]. In the Starobinsky model of $f(R)$ gravity, Ref. [27] derives analytical solutions to the axial perturbations in the radiation era and the de Sitter stage. Rostworowski [28] has suggested using the RW formalism to study perturbations, as an alternative to the standard Bardeen's formalism of gauge-invariance [29]. A recent work [31] determines the equivalent of the RW equation, for generalized McVittie metric. Ref.[32] examines all possible (gauge-invariant) master functions and equations for the perturbations to vacuum spherically-symmetric spacetimes.

Axial and polar perturbations have been investigated in the gauge-invariant framework in [33-35, 37]. With a $2+2$ decomposition of the metric, Gundlach and Martin-Garcia [33] analysed non-spherical perturbations of a spherically symmetric, time-dependent spacetime to study the generation of GWs. They have found three axial and seven polar gauge-invariant matter perturbations. Martel and Poisson [36] presented the Schwarzschild metric perturbations in the covariant, gauge-invariant formalism. The metric perturbation theory was analysed by Chandrasekhar [38] also.

Clarkson et al. [37] have produced a complete set of master equations for the LTB dust model. The decomposition of any perturbation into scalar, vector and tensor (SVT) modes, all evolving independently, which is feasible in the FLRW model, cannot be done in the LTB model, where the modes get coupled. However, they can be decoupled into two independent modes - axial (or odd) and polar (or even), classified according to the nature of their transformation on spherically symmetric surfaces. As non-trivial symmetric, transverse and trace-free rank-2 tensors cannot exist on $S^{2}$, further decomposition into tensor modes is not possible here. The master equation of the polar waves is numerically solved in [39]. Using the $1+1+2$ covariant decomposition of spacetime, Keresztes et al. [40] have carried out a study of the perfect-fluid perturbations of the Kantowski-Sachs universe with vanishing vorticity and a positive cosmological constant and generalised the analysis for LRS class-II cosmologies in [41].

Several studies on axial and polar GWs employing the RW perturbation scheme have been performed with FLRW metric as the background $[19-25,28,30]$. But the same has not been widely discussed for an anisotropic spacetime. Recently, we have studied the propagation of GWs in Kantowski-Sachs background [42]. Our previous work on Bianchi I background also invoked RW gauge [43]. In these two articles, we have determined the solutions of the vacuum perturbation equations analytically. In the present paper, we aim to find complete analytical solutions when the B-I spacetime is no longer vacuous, but filled with matter, which is found to obey the stiff fluid EoS. Here the system of perturbation equations become more involved and require special conditions to be solved.

Our paper is organised as follows: Sec.II presents the Bianchi I background metric, the corresponding Einstein's equations and solutions in terms of scale factors in the presence or absence of matter. In Sec.III, the Regge-Wheeler gauge is introduced. Sec.IV carries a note on the perturbed energy-momentum tensor and four-velocity of the fluid. In Sec.V, we concentrate on the axial GWs. The linearised field equations for the axially-perturbed background are derived in the presence of matter and then solved analytically under certain assumptions. The vacuum case is touched upon in brief. Sec.VI deals with the polar modes. The perturbation equations in the presence of matter (specifically stiff fluid), and in vacuum, followed by their analytical solutions in particular cases are obtained. We conclude with an analysis of our results and some remarks in Sec.VII. Throughout this paper, an overdot represents a derivative w.r.t $t$ and a prime denotes a derivative w.r.t. $r$, and geometrized units, i.e., $8 \pi G=c=1$ are assumed.

## II. THE UNPERTURBED BACKGROUND METRIC AND FIELD EQUATIONS

Exact solutions for homogeneous spacetimes in GR belongs to either Bianchi types or the Kantowski-Sachs model [44]. Of the LRS cosmologies of class II, the KS metric possesses positive curvature (2D scalar curvature), while the zero and negative curvature ones are respectively Bianchi $\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{VII}_{0}$ (including flat Friedmann universes), and the Bianchi III model [40, 71]. The general form of the anisotropic line element can be expressed as [47] :

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=d t^{2}-a^{2}(t) d r^{2}-b^{2}(t)\left[d \theta^{2}+f_{\mathcal{K}}(\theta) d \phi^{2}\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{K}$ is the spatial curvature index of the universe. For $\mathcal{K}=0, f_{\mathcal{K}}(\theta)=\theta^{2}$, the universe is Bianchi type I. For $\mathcal{K}=1, f_{\mathcal{K}}(\theta)=\sin ^{2} \theta$, the model is Kantowski-Sachs and closed. For $\mathcal{K}=-1, f_{\mathcal{K}}(\theta)=\sinh ^{2} \theta$, the model represents Bianchi III space-time, which is semi-closed.

This paper is devoted to the study of the propagation of GWs in matter-filed Bianchi I spacetime. To begin with,
the corresponding line element is defined in spherical polar coordinates by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=d t^{2}-a^{2}(t) d r^{2}-b^{2}(t) d \theta^{2}-b^{2}(t) \theta^{2} d \phi^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a(t)$ and $b(t)$ are the scale factors for expansion along the parallel and the perpendicular to the radial direction respectively. Considering the spacetime to be filled with a perfect fluid having four-velocity $u^{\alpha}$, energy density $\rho$ and pressure $p$, the energy-momentum tensor is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\mu \nu}=(\rho+p) u_{\mu} u_{\nu}-p g_{\mu \nu} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The background field equations are obtained as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 \dot{a} \dot{b}}{a b}+\frac{\dot{b}^{2}}{b^{2}}=\rho_{0}, \quad-\left(\frac{2 \ddot{b}}{b}+\frac{\dot{b}^{2}}{b^{2}}\right)=p_{0}, \quad-\left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a}+\frac{\ddot{b}}{b}+\frac{\dot{a} \dot{b}}{a b}\right)=p_{0} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, we note that the continuity equation for the B-I metric (2) is represented by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\rho}_{0}+\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}+\frac{2 \dot{b}}{b}\right)\left(\rho_{0}+p_{0}\right)=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The subscript ' 0 ' refers to the physical quantities (here, the energy density and pressure of the fluid) associated with the background metric (2). In order to solve these equations, we need an additional condition, which we discuss now.

$$
\text { A note on the assumption: } a=b^{n}
$$

The volume expansion $\Theta$ and shear scalar $\sigma$ for the metric (2) are found to be:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta=\frac{\dot{a}}{a}+\frac{2 \dot{b}}{b}, \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma^{2}=\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}-\frac{\dot{b}}{b}\right)^{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that a cosmological model remains anisotropic throughout its evolution if the ratio of the shear $\sigma$ to the expansion $\Theta$ is constant [49-53]. The expansion scalar being proportional to the shear scalar, one can assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=b^{n}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is an arbitrary real number and $n \neq 0,1$ for non-trivial solutions. Substituting this in equation (6) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\Theta^{2}}=\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{n-1}{n+2}\right)^{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, this ratio is constant for any $n$.

## Solutions for the background equations in presence of matter

In view of the relation (7), the background equations (4) are solved by

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(t)=\left[(n+2)\left(k_{1} t+k_{2}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{n+2}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are the integration constants. This is equivalent to the corresponding expression determined by Shamir et al. in the $f(R, T)$ theory [48]. Assuming $b(t)=0$ at $t=0, k_{2}$ vanishes and we are left with

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(t)=\left[(n+2) k_{1} t\right]^{\frac{1}{n+2}}, \quad \text { and hence } \quad a(t)=\left[(n+2) k_{1} t\right]^{\frac{n}{n+2}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting these expressions for $a(t)$ and $b(t)$ in equations (4), we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{0}(t)=p_{0}(t)=\frac{2 n+1}{[(n+2) t]^{2}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that the matter content is a stiff fluid [48]. This expression also indicates that $n=-1 / 2$ for vacuum solutions where $\rho_{0}(t)=p_{0}(t)=0$.

A stiff perfect fluid is characterised by its equation of state:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0}=\rho_{0} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the extreme relativistic limit for a perfect fluid, when the speed of sound becomes equal to that of light. This was first suggested by Zel'dovich [55], who considered the early universe to be composed of a cold gas of baryons behaving like a stiff fluid. Its governing equations have the same characteristics as that of the gravitational field [56]. After the cosmic explosion, when the universe was characterised by high densities, the matter content could have been stiff [55, 57, 58]. Various aspects of Bianchi I spacetimes containing stiff fluid have been mentioned in [59], [60]. Since the stiff fluid in the FLRW universe exhibits faster decrease in density than radiation and matter, it plays a significant role in the early phase. This helped Dutta and Scherrer [61] to numerically compute the effect of the stiff fluid density on the primordial abundances of light elements. The propagation of GWs into flat, open and closed FLRW universes (ahead of the waves) filled with stiff fluid was investigated in [62]-[65]. Although GWs propagating through dust or through fluids with a realistic equation of state are somewhat problematic to examine, exact radiative solutions can be found if the medium is considered as a stiff fluid [62]-[66]. In certain models with self-interacting dark matter components, the self interaction is mediated by vector mesons via minimal coupling and its energy resembles a stiff fluid [67]. In [68], the effective equation-of-state of the Zel'dovich fluid is shown to start evolving from stiff nature, pass through a pressure-less state and eventually tend towards de Sitter epoch. The fluid when combined with decaying vacuum energy [69] yields the age of the universe in agreement with the observations.

For a stiff fluid, the continuity equation (5) reads as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\rho_{0}}+2\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}+\frac{2 \dot{b}}{b}\right) \rho_{0}=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Ref. [70] mentions that a B-I model, defined in Cartesian coordinates in time-negative convention by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=-d t^{2}+t^{2 p_{1}} d x^{2}+t^{2 p_{2}} d y^{2}+t^{2 p_{3}} d z^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and characterised by a non-tilted perfect fluid with an equation of state: $p_{0}=(\gamma-1) \rho_{0}, \gamma=$ constant, will have Jacobs' stiff perfect fluid solutions if the following relations hold:

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}=1, \quad p_{1}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}+p_{3}^{2}<1 \\
\rho_{0}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-p_{1}^{2}-p_{2}^{2}-p_{3}^{2}\right) t^{-2}, \quad \gamma=2 \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

Comparing the line elements (2) and (14), we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(t) \propto t^{p_{1}}, \quad b(t) \propto t^{p_{2}}, \quad p_{2}=p_{3} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, it can be shown that the first two relations in (15) can be satisfied if $p_{1}=1 / 2$ and $p_{2}=1 / 4$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \propto t^{1 / 2} \quad \text { and } \quad b \propto t^{1 / 4} \quad \Rightarrow n=2 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, using these values of $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ along with the other two relations in (15), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{0}=p_{0}=\frac{1-\frac{3}{8}}{2 t^{2}}=\frac{5}{16 t^{2}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating (11) and (18) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=2 \quad \text { or } \quad n=2 / 5 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the results obtained in (17) and (19), we can say that the solution $n=2$ satisfies all the required conditions. Hence, from equation (10), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(t)=\left(4 k_{1} t\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}=\kappa t^{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad a(t)=b(t)^{2}=\kappa^{2} t^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \kappa=\left(4 k_{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}=\text { constant } . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving the equations in (4) for the vacuum case, using the relation (7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(t)=K t^{2 / 3}, \quad \text { and } \quad a=b^{-1 / 2} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$K$ is the integration constant for the vacuum solutions. The scale factors obtained in equations (20) and (21) are used in subsequent calculations.

## III. THE PERTURBED METRIC IN THE REGGE-WHEELER GAUGE

We now incorporate small perturbations on the Bianchi I background. The perturbed metric can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\mu \nu}=g_{\mu \nu}^{(0)}+e h_{\mu \nu}+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{2}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{\mu \nu}^{(0)}$ is the background metric (2) and $h_{\mu \nu}$ denotes the perturbations representing the GWs propagating on it. Here $e$ is a small parameter giving a measure of the strength of the perturbations, and terms of $\mathcal{O}\left(e^{2}\right)$ are neglected in the calculations.

The Regge-Wheeler gauge was introduced to find the canonical form of the axial and polar waves. The $t$ and $r$-solutions separated out as product in the final expressions. In this scheme [10], under a rotation of the frame about the origin, the components $h_{00}, h_{01}, h_{11}$ of the perturbation matrix transform like scalars, $\left(h_{02}, h_{03}\right)$ and $\left(h_{12}, h_{13}\right)$ transform like vectors, and $h_{22}, h_{23}$ and $h_{33}$ transform like a second-order tensor. These scalars, vectors and tensors were expressed in terms of spherical harmonics $Y_{l m}$ where $l$ is the angular momentum and $m$ is its projection on the $z$-axis. Since all values of $m$ yield the same radial equation, the value $m=0$ was chosen, as a result of which the $\phi$-dependence disappeared. Even after these simplifications, the axial waves were given by three unknown functions of $r$, and the polar waves contained seven unknown functions.

In case of the odd (or axial) waves, there are only two non-zero components of $h_{\mu \nu}[20]$ denoted by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{t \phi}=h_{0}(t, r) \sin \theta\left(\partial_{\theta} Y\right) \text { and } h_{r \phi}=h_{1}(t, r) \sin \theta\left(\partial_{\theta} Y\right) . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, for the background metric (2), the axially perturbed line element is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=d t^{2}-a^{2}(t) d r^{2}-b^{2}(t) d \theta^{2}-b^{2}(t) \theta^{2} d \phi^{2}+2 e h_{0}(t, r) \sin \theta\left(\partial_{\theta} Y\right) d t d \phi+2 e h_{1}(t, r) \sin \theta\left(\partial_{\theta} Y\right) d r d \phi+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{2}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, the spherical harmonics $Y_{l m}(\theta, \phi)$ are denoted by $Y$, where one chooses $m=0$. For wavelike solutions, $l \geq 2$ [22]. Further $Y_{l m}(\theta, \phi)$ satisfies the relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\theta} \partial_{\theta} Y=-l(l+1) Y-\cot \theta\left(\partial_{\theta} Y\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, $h_{\mu \nu}$ has more than two non-zero components for even (or polar) waves in the Regge-Wheeler gauge [10]. Following the Gerlach-Sengupta [35] formalism further developed by Gundlach and Martin-Garcia [33], Clarkson and others [37] have expressed the general form of the polar perturbations as:

$$
h_{\mu \nu}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
(\chi+\psi-2 \eta) Y & \zeta Y & 0 & 0  \tag{26}\\
\zeta Y & (\chi+\psi) Y & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \psi Y & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \psi Y
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\chi, \psi, \zeta$ and $\eta$ are functions of $t$ and $r$, and are equivalent to the gauge-invariant variables in [33-35]. The polar perturbations for the B-I background (2) are hence given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& d s^{2}=[1+e\{\chi(t, r)+\psi(t, r)-2 \eta(t, r)\} Y] d t^{2}+2 e \zeta(t, r) Y d t d r+\left[-a^{2}(t)+e\{\chi(t, r)+\psi(t, r)\} Y\right] d r^{2} \\
&+\left[-b^{2}(t)+e \psi(t, r) Y\right] d \theta^{2}+\left[-b^{2}(t)+e \psi(t, r) Y\right] \theta^{2} d \phi^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{2}\right) \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

In the case $\eta=0$, the polar perturbation matrix becomes [20]:

$$
h_{\mu \nu}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
(\chi+\psi) Y & \zeta Y & 0 & 0  \tag{28}\\
\zeta Y & (\chi+\psi) Y & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \psi Y & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \psi Y
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the perturbed line element is given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
d s^{2}=[1+e\{\chi(t, r)+\psi(t, r)\} & Y] d t^{2}+2 e \zeta(t, r) Y d t d r+\left[-a^{2}(t)+e\{\chi(t, r)+\psi(t, r)\} Y\right] d r^{2} \\
& +\left[-b^{2}(t)+e \psi(t, r) Y\right] d \theta^{2}+\left[-b^{2}(t)+e \psi(t, r) Y\right] \theta^{2} d \phi^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{2}\right) \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

The additional term $\eta(t, r)$ is retained in the $(0-0)$ element of the corresponding perturbation matrix for the LTB background [37]. For large angle fluctuations, with $l=0$ or 1 , the case $\eta=0$ does not hold for the field equations in [37]. In the FLRW case, $\eta$ must vanish [20, 30]. It was shown $[33,34]$ that for $l \geqslant 2, \eta=0$. For the polar $l=0,1$ case, gauge-invariance is not valid [33]. Additional constraints are required for gauge fixing. For $l=1, \eta$ is no longer zero, so that there is one degree of gauge freedom, and the gauge-invariant variables defined for $l \geqslant 2$ become partially gauge-invariant. For $l=0$, there are two degrees of freedom (see [34], Appendix A).

## IV. THE PERTURBED ENERGY-MOMENTUM TENSOR

One has to consider the perturbations in the energy density and pressure of the fluid. These can be written (following the same in the FRLW case $[20,22,23]$ ) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\rho_{0}(1+e \cdot \Delta(t, r) Y)+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{2}\right), \quad p=p_{0}(1+e \cdot \Pi(t, r) Y)+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{2}\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta(t, r)$ and $\Pi(t, r)$ represent the perturbations in the energy density and pressure respectively. These are related because the background energy density $\rho_{0}$ and pressure $p_{0}$ are related by an equation-of-state. Moreover, the fluid may or may not be co-moving with the unperturbed cosmological expansion of the universe. Hence, the perturbed components of the fluid four-velocity $u_{\alpha}=\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)$ are defined in the following way [20]:

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
u_{0}=\frac{2 g_{00}^{(0)}+e h_{00}}{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{2}\right), & u_{1}=e a(t) w(t, r) Y+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{2}\right), \\
u_{2}=e v(t, r)\left(\partial_{\theta} Y\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{2}\right), & u_{3}=e U(t, r) \sin \theta\left(\partial_{\theta} Y\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{2}\right) \tag{32}
\end{array}
$$

Here, $h_{00}$ denotes the $(0-0)$ element of the perturbation matrix. The four-velocity components obey the relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\mu} u^{\mu}=1+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{2}\right) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The non-zero components of the perturbed energy-momentum tensor are listed below.
(i) For axial perturbations:

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{t t} & =\rho_{0}(1+e \Delta Y)  \tag{34}\\
T_{r r} & =a^{2} p_{0}(1+e \Pi Y)  \tag{35}\\
T_{\theta \theta} & =b^{2} p_{0}(1+e \Pi Y)  \tag{36}\\
T_{\phi \phi} & =b^{2} \theta^{2} p_{0}(1+e \Pi Y)  \tag{37}\\
T_{t r} & =\left(\rho_{0}+p_{0}\right) e a w Y  \tag{38}\\
T_{t \theta} & =\left(\rho_{0}+p_{0}\right) e v\left(\partial_{\theta} Y\right)  \tag{39}\\
T_{t \phi} & =\left[\left(\rho_{0}+p_{0}\right) U-p_{0} h_{0}\right] e \sin \theta\left(\partial_{\theta} Y\right)  \tag{40}\\
T_{r \phi} & =-p_{0} h_{1} e \sin \theta\left(\partial_{\theta} Y\right) \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

(ii) For polar perturbations:

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{t t} & =\rho_{0}[1+e(\Delta+\chi+\psi) Y], \text { for } l \geqslant 2,  \tag{42}\\
T_{t t} & =\rho_{0}[1+e(\Delta+\chi+\psi-2 \eta) Y], \text { for } l=0 \text { or } 1,  \tag{43}\\
T_{r r} & =p_{0}\left[a^{2}+e\left(a^{2} \Pi-\chi-\psi\right) Y\right],  \tag{44}\\
T_{\theta \theta} & =p_{0}\left[b^{2}+e\left(b^{2} \Pi-\psi\right) Y\right]  \tag{45}\\
T_{\phi \phi} & =p_{0}\left[b^{2}+e\left(b^{2} \Pi-\psi\right) Y\right] \theta^{2}  \tag{46}\\
T_{t r} & =e\left[\left(\rho_{0}+p_{0}\right) a w-p_{0} \zeta\right] Y,  \tag{47}\\
T_{t \theta} & =e\left(\rho_{0}+p_{0}\right) v\left(\partial_{\theta} Y\right)  \tag{48}\\
T_{t \phi} & =e\left(\rho_{0}+p_{0}\right) U \sin \theta\left(\partial_{\theta} Y\right) \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

## V. AXIAL PERTURBATIONS: EQUATIONS AND SOLUTIONS

Now we derive the axial perturbation equations for Bianchi I background using the RW gauge. Subsequently, we seek analytical solutions of the equations to express the perturbing terms as products of functions of $t$ and $r$.

## A. Perturbation equations in presence of matter:

Field equations for the axially perturbed metric (24) for a perfect fluid of energy density $\rho_{0}$ and pressure $p_{0}$, are:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{2 \dot{a} \dot{b}}{a b}+\frac{\dot{b}^{2}}{b^{2}}=\rho_{0}(1+e \Delta Y),  \tag{50}\\
-\left(\frac{2 \ddot{b}}{b}+\frac{\dot{b}^{2}}{b^{2}}\right)=p_{0}(1+e \Psi Y),  \tag{51}\\
-\left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a}+\frac{\ddot{b}}{b}+\frac{\dot{a} \dot{b}}{a b}\right)=p_{0}(1+e \Psi Y),  \tag{52}\\
\left(\rho_{0}+p_{0}\right) e a w Y=0,  \tag{53}\\
\left(\rho_{0}+p_{0}\right) e v\left(\partial_{\theta} Y\right)=0,  \tag{54}\\
\frac{e}{2} \sin \theta\left(\partial_{\theta} Y\right)\left[\frac{h_{0}^{\prime \prime}}{a^{2}}-\frac{\dot{h}_{1}^{\prime}}{a^{2}}+\frac{2 \dot{b} h_{1}^{\prime}}{a^{2} b}+\frac{2 \ddot{a} h_{0}}{a}+\frac{2 \ddot{b} h_{0}}{b}+\frac{2 \dot{a} \dot{b} h_{0}}{a b}-\frac{h_{0}}{b^{2}}\{l(l+1)\}\right]=\left[\left(\rho_{0}+p_{0}\right) U-p_{0} h_{0}\right] e \sin \theta\left(\partial_{\theta} Y\right),  \tag{55}\\
-\frac{e}{2} \sin \theta\left(\partial_{\theta} Y\right)\left[\ddot{h}_{1}-\dot{h}_{0}^{\prime}-\frac{\dot{a} h_{1}}{a}+\frac{\dot{a} h_{0}^{\prime}}{a}-\frac{2 \dot{b} h_{0}^{\prime}}{b}-\frac{2 \ddot{a} h_{1}}{a}-\frac{4 \ddot{b} h_{1}}{b}-\frac{2 \dot{b}^{2} h_{1}}{b^{2}}+\frac{h_{1}}{b^{2}}\{l(l+1)\}\right]=-p_{0} h_{1} e \sin \theta\left(\partial_{\theta} Y\right),  \tag{56}\\
\frac{e}{2}\left(\dot{h}_{0}+\frac{\dot{a} h_{0}}{a}-\frac{h_{1}^{\prime}}{a^{2}}\right)\left(\cos \theta\left(\partial_{\theta} Y\right)-\frac{2 \sin \theta\left(\partial_{\theta} Y\right)}{\theta}+\sin \theta\left(\partial_{\theta} \partial_{\theta} Y\right)\right)=0 . \tag{57}
\end{gather*}
$$

After simplifying and using the background field equations (4), the above equations lead to the following relations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta \cdot \rho_{0}=0,  \tag{58}\\
\Psi \cdot p_{0}=0,  \tag{59}\\
w\left(\rho_{0}+p_{0}\right)=0,  \tag{60}\\
v\left(\rho_{0}+p_{0}\right)=0,  \tag{61}\\
\frac{h_{0}^{\prime \prime}}{a^{2}}-\frac{\dot{h}_{1}^{\prime}}{a^{2}}+\frac{2 \dot{b} h_{1}^{\prime}}{a^{2} b}-\frac{h_{0}}{b^{2}}\{l(l+1)\}=2 U\left(\rho_{0}+p_{0}\right),  \tag{62}\\
\ddot{h}_{1}-\dot{h}_{0}^{\prime}-\frac{\dot{a} h_{1}}{a}+\frac{\dot{a} h_{0}^{\prime}}{a}-\frac{2 \dot{b} h_{0}^{\prime}}{b}-\frac{2 \ddot{a} h_{1}}{a}+\frac{h_{1}}{b^{2}}\{l(l+1)\}=0,  \tag{63}\\
\dot{h}_{0}+\frac{\dot{a} h_{0}}{a}-\frac{h_{1}^{\prime}}{a^{2}}=0 . \tag{64}
\end{gather*}
$$

From equations (58) and (59), it can be concluded that $\Delta=\Psi=0$ even in the presence of matter when $p_{0} \neq 0$, $\rho_{0} \neq 0$. Also, $w=v=0$ if $p_{0} \neq-\rho_{0}$, as deduced from equations (60) and (61). Thus axial waves do not perturb the energy-density or pressure of the fluid. The only perturbation occurs in its azimuthal velocity $U$ as evident from equation (62). Since the background solutions determine the matter content to be a stiff fluid (11), i.e. $\rho_{0}=p_{0} \neq 0$, their perturbations $\Delta$ and $\Pi$ will be equal, the r.h.s. of equations (50) and (51), and hence equations (58) and (59) will be identical. We have assumed that $\cot \theta=1 / \theta$ in deriving the last terms of the equations (55) and (56). This condition reduces to $\tan \theta \simeq \theta$, which holds for small values of $\theta$.

## B. Solutions to Perturbation equations in presence of matter:

To solve the perturbation equation (62), we eliminate $h_{1}(t, r)$ from (62) using equation (64), and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h_{0}^{\prime \prime}}{a^{2}}-\ddot{h}_{0}-\frac{3 \dot{a}}{a} \dot{h}_{0}+\frac{2 \dot{b}}{b} \dot{h}_{0}-\frac{\dot{a}^{2}}{a^{2}} h_{0}-\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} h_{0}+\frac{2 \dot{a} \dot{b}}{a b} h_{0}-\frac{h_{0}}{b^{2}}\{l(l+1)\}=2 U\left(\rho_{0}+p_{0}\right) \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using equation (20) and equating $p_{0}$ to $\rho_{0}$, the above equation reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h_{0}^{\prime \prime}}{b^{4}}-\ddot{h}_{0}-\frac{4 \dot{b}}{b} \dot{h}_{0}-\frac{2 \dot{b}^{2}}{b^{2}} h_{0}-\frac{2 \ddot{b}}{b} h_{0}-\frac{h_{0}}{b^{2}}\{l(l+1)\}=4 U \rho_{0} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we write $h_{0}(t, r)$ in terms of a new quantity $\mathcal{Q}(t, r)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{0}(t, r)=r^{\alpha}(b(t))^{\beta} \mathcal{Q}(t, r) \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\alpha$ and $\beta$ can assume integral or fractional values. Inserting this expression, substituting the expressions for $b(t)$ and $\rho_{0}(t)$ from (18) and (20), equation (67) reduces to

$$
\begin{array}{r}
-K^{\beta} t^{\frac{\beta}{4}} r^{\alpha} \ddot{\mathcal{Q}}+K^{\beta-4} t^{\frac{\beta}{4}-1} r^{\alpha} \mathcal{Q}^{\prime \prime}+\left[\left(-\frac{1}{2} \beta-1\right) K^{\beta} t^{\left(\frac{\beta}{4}-1\right)} r^{\alpha}\right] \dot{\mathcal{Q}}+2 \alpha K^{\beta-4} t^{\frac{\beta}{4}-1} r^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{Q}^{\prime}  \tag{68}\\
+\left[\alpha^{2} K^{\beta-4} t^{\frac{\beta}{4}-1} r^{\alpha-2}-\alpha K^{\beta-4} t^{\frac{\beta}{4}-1} r^{\alpha-2}+\left(-\frac{1}{16} \beta^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right) K^{\beta} t^{\left(\frac{\beta}{4}-2\right)} r^{\alpha}-6 K^{\beta-2} t^{\left(\frac{\beta}{4}-\frac{1}{2}\right)} r^{\alpha}\right] \mathcal{Q}=\frac{5}{4 t^{2}} U
\end{array}
$$

This is an inhomogeneous wave equation in $\mathcal{Q}(t, r)$ with a source term $U(t, r)$. Choosing $K=1$ and $l=2$, we are going to consider two sets of values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$.

Set I : $\alpha=\beta=0$. In this case, equation (68) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\ddot{\mathcal{Q}}+\frac{1}{t} \mathcal{Q}^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{t} \dot{\mathcal{Q}}+\frac{1}{4 t^{2}} \mathcal{Q}-\frac{6}{t^{1 / 2}} \mathcal{Q}=\frac{5}{4 t^{2}} U \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set II : $\alpha=1, \beta=2$. Here, equation (68) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sqrt{t} r \ddot{\mathcal{Q}}+\frac{r}{\sqrt{t}} \mathcal{Q}^{\prime \prime}-\frac{2 r}{\sqrt{t}} \dot{\mathcal{Q}}+\frac{2}{\sqrt{t}} \mathcal{Q}^{\prime}-6 r \mathcal{Q}=\frac{5}{4 t^{2}} U . \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Finding an expression for $U$

In order to solve the equations (69) or (70), we require an explicit functional form of $U$ in terms of $t$ and $r$. We can find an expression for $U$ from the normalisation condition (33) satisfied by the perturbed four-velocity. We find:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{0}=g^{00} u_{0}+g^{03} u_{3}=1+\frac{e U \sin \theta\left(\partial_{\theta} Y\right)}{e h_{0} \sin \theta\left(\partial_{\theta} Y\right)}=1+\frac{U}{h_{0}}, \quad u^{3}=g^{33} u_{3}+g^{30} u_{0}=-\frac{e U \sin \theta\left(\partial_{\theta} Y\right)}{b^{2} \theta^{2}}+\frac{1}{e h_{0} \sin \theta\left(\partial_{\theta} Y\right)}  \tag{71}\\
\therefore \quad u_{\mu} u^{\mu}=u_{0} u^{0}+u_{3} u^{3}=1+\frac{2 U}{h_{0}}-\left(\frac{e U \sin \theta\left(\partial_{\theta} Y\right)}{b \theta}\right)^{2} \tag{72}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now we are going to consider two different cases of possible terms containing $e^{2}$.
Case 1 :
Let us assume $\mathcal{O}\left(e^{2}\right) \sim e^{2}$. Then comparing equation (72) with (33), we are left with

$$
\begin{equation*}
U= \pm \frac{b \theta}{\sin \theta\left(\partial_{\theta} Y\right)} \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, with $l=2, m=0, Y_{20}=\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{5}{\pi}}\left(3 \cos ^{2} \theta-1\right)$. Plugging in the expression for $b(t)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\frac{2}{15} \frac{\sqrt{5 \pi} K t^{1 / 4} \theta}{\cos \theta \sin ^{2} \theta} \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting different values of $\theta, U$ can be expressed as a function of $t$ only. Since $\theta$ must be chosen small, we take $\theta=4^{\circ}=\pi / 45$ radian, in which case we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=7.5912 K t^{1 / 4} \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 2:
In this case, we assume $\mathcal{O}\left(e^{2}\right) \sim e^{2} r^{2}$, as it is the simplest expression containing $r$. Proceeding as above, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=7.5912 K r t^{1 / 4} \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

For terms involving both $t$ and $r$, such as $e^{2} r^{2} t^{2}$, the analysis can be done in a similar way.

Finally, we are in the last step of finding the analytical solutions for the axial modes.
Case 1 : $U=7.5912 K t^{1 / 4}$
Set I : $\alpha=\beta=0$
Inserting the expression of $U$ from equation (75), the perturbation equation (69) yields the solution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}(t, r)=N_{1} / D_{1} \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{r}
N_{1}=79200\left[\left(t^{2}-\frac{\sqrt{t}}{32}\right) \mathrm{HG}\left([1],\left[\frac{5}{6}, \frac{3}{2}\right],-\frac{8}{3} t^{3 / 2}\right)+\left(\frac{256}{275} t^{7 / 2}\right) \mathrm{HG}\left([3],\left[\frac{17}{6}, \frac{7}{2}\right],-\frac{8}{3} t^{3 / 2}\right)\right. \\
\left.-\left(\frac{16}{15} t^{2}\right) \mathrm{HG}\left([2],\left[\frac{11}{6}, \frac{5}{2}\right],-\frac{8}{3} t^{3 / 2}\right)\right] \sqrt{-t^{3 / 2}}\left(C_{1} \exp \left(\sqrt{C_{4}} r / 2\right)+C_{2} \exp \left(-\sqrt{C_{4}} r / 2\right)\right) C_{1} R_{1} \\
-115500\left[\left(t^{3 / 4}\right) \mathrm{HG}\left([1],\left[\frac{5}{6}, \frac{3}{2}\right],-\frac{8}{3} t^{3 / 2}\right) C_{1} \sqrt{-t^{3 / 2}}+\operatorname{BesselI}\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3} \sqrt{6} \sqrt{-t^{3 / 2}}\right) C_{2} t\left(-t^{3 / 2}\right)^{1 / 6}\right. \\
\left.+\operatorname{BesselI}\left(\frac{4}{3}, \frac{4}{3} \sqrt{6} \sqrt{-t^{3 / 2}}\right) C_{3}\left(-t^{3 / 2}\right)^{5 / 6}+\left(\frac{\sqrt{6}}{12}\right) \operatorname{BesselI}\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{4}{3} \sqrt{6} \sqrt{-t^{3 / 2}}\right) C_{3}\left(-t^{3 / 2}\right)^{1 / 3}\right],  \tag{78}\\
D_{1}=79200 C_{1} \sqrt{-t^{3 / 2}}\left[\left(t^{2}-\frac{\sqrt{t}}{32}\right) \mathrm{HG}\left([1],\left[\frac{5}{6}, \frac{3}{2}\right],-\frac{8}{3} t^{3 / 2}\right)\right. \\
\left.+\left(\frac{256}{275} t^{7 / 2}\right) \mathrm{HG}\left([3],\left[\frac{17}{6}, \frac{7}{2}\right],-\frac{8}{3} t^{3 / 2}\right)-\left(\frac{16}{15} t^{2}\right) \mathrm{HG}\left([2],\left[\frac{11}{6}, \frac{5}{2}\right],-\frac{8}{3} t^{3 / 2}\right)\right] .
\end{array}
$$

Here, HG represents hypergeometric series. BesselI functions are modified Bessel functions of first kind. $R_{1}$ denotes the solutions of the differential equation: $\ddot{X}(t)+\frac{1}{t} \dot{X}(t)-\frac{1}{4 t} C_{4} X(t)-\frac{1}{4 t^{2}} X(t)+\frac{6}{\sqrt{t}} X(t)=0, X(t)$ being some function of $t . C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}$ and $C_{4}$ are integration constants.
$\underline{\text { Set II }: \alpha=1, \beta=2}$
With the same expression of $U$ (equation (75)), the solution of the equation (70) comes out as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}(t, r)=N_{2} / D_{2} \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{r}
N_{2}=\left[\left(t^{5 / 2}-\frac{t}{32}\right) \operatorname{HG}\left([1],\left[\frac{5}{6}, \frac{3}{2}\right],-\frac{8}{3} t^{3 / 2}\right)+\left(\frac{256}{275} t^{4}\right) \mathrm{HG}\left([3],\left[\frac{17}{6}, \frac{7}{2}\right],-\frac{8}{3} t^{3 / 2}\right)\right. \\
\left.-\left(\frac{16}{15} t^{5 / 2}\right) \mathrm{HG}\left([2],\left[\frac{11}{6}, \frac{5}{2}\right],-\frac{8}{3} t^{3 / 2}\right)\right] \sqrt{-t^{3 / 2}}\left(C_{5} \sinh \left(C_{8} r / 2\right)+C_{6} \cosh \left(C_{8} r / 2\right)\right) C_{5} R_{2} \\
-\frac{35}{24}\left[\left(t^{3 / 4}\right) \operatorname{HG}\left([1],\left[\frac{5}{6}, \frac{3}{2}\right],-\frac{8}{3} t^{3 / 2}\right) C_{5} \sqrt{-t^{3 / 2}}+\operatorname{BesselI}\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3} \sqrt{6} \sqrt{-t^{3 / 2}}\right) C_{6} t\left(-t^{3 / 2}\right)^{1 / 6}\right. \\
\left.+\operatorname{BesselI}\left(\frac{4}{3}, \frac{4}{3} \sqrt{6} \sqrt{-t^{3 / 2}}\right) C_{7}\left(-t^{3 / 2}\right)^{5 / 6}+\left(\frac{\sqrt{6}}{12}\right) \operatorname{BesselI}\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{4}{3} \sqrt{6} \sqrt{-t^{3 / 2}}\right) C_{7}\left(-t^{3 / 2}\right)^{1 / 3}\right],  \tag{80}\\
D_{2}=C_{5} r \sqrt{t} \sqrt{-t^{3 / 2}}\left[\left(t^{2}-\frac{\sqrt{t}}{32}\right) \operatorname{HG}\left([1],\left[\frac{5}{6}, \frac{3}{2}\right],-\frac{8}{3} t^{3 / 2}\right)\right. \\
\left.+\left(\frac{256}{275} t^{7 / 2}\right) \mathrm{HG}\left([3],\left[\frac{17}{6}, \frac{7}{2}\right],-\frac{8}{3} t^{3 / 2}\right)-\left(\frac{16}{15} t^{2}\right) \operatorname{HG}\left([2],\left[\frac{11}{6}, \frac{5}{2}\right],-\frac{8}{3} t^{3 / 2}\right)\right] .
\end{array}
$$

Just as before, HG represents hypergeometric series, BesselI are modified Bessel functions of first kind. $R_{2}$ denotes the solutions of $\ddot{X}(t)+\frac{2}{t} \dot{X}(t)-\frac{1}{t} C_{8} X(t)+\frac{6}{\sqrt{t}} X(t)=0, X(t)$ being some function of $t . C_{i}$ 's are all integration constants.

Case 2: $U=7.5912 K r t^{1 / 4}$
Set I : $\alpha=\beta=0$
In this case, with $U$ obtained from equation (76), the solution of equation (69) is obtained as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}(t, r)=N_{3} / D_{3} \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{r}
N_{3}=79200\left[\left(t^{2}-\frac{\sqrt{t}}{32}\right) \operatorname{HG}\left([1],\left[\frac{5}{6}, \frac{3}{2}\right],-\frac{8}{3} t^{3 / 2}\right)+\left(\frac{256}{275} t^{7 / 2}\right) \mathrm{HG}\left([3],\left[\frac{17}{6}, \frac{7}{2}\right],-\frac{8}{3} t^{3 / 2}\right)\right. \\
\left.-\left(\frac{16}{15} t^{2}\right) \operatorname{HG}\left([2],\left[\frac{11}{6}, \frac{5}{2}\right],-\frac{8}{3} t^{3 / 2}\right)\right] \sqrt{-t^{3 / 2}}\left(c_{1} \exp \left(\sqrt{c_{4}} r / 2\right)+c_{2} \exp \left(-\sqrt{c_{4}} r / 2\right)\right) c_{1} R_{3} \\
-115500 r\left[\left(t^{3 / 4}\right) \operatorname{HG}\left([1],\left[\frac{5}{6}, \frac{3}{2}\right],-\frac{8}{3} t^{3 / 2}\right) c_{1} \sqrt{-t^{3 / 2}}+\operatorname{BesselI}\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3} \sqrt{6} \sqrt{-t^{3 / 2}}\right) c_{2} t\left(-t^{3 / 2}\right)^{1 / 6}\right. \\
\left.+\operatorname{BesselI}\left(\frac{4}{3}, \frac{4}{3} \sqrt{6} \sqrt{-t^{3 / 2}}\right) c_{3}\left(-t^{3 / 2}\right)^{5 / 6}+\left(\frac{\sqrt{6}}{12}\right) \operatorname{BesselI}\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{4}{3} \sqrt{6} \sqrt{-t^{3 / 2}}\right) c_{3}\left(-t^{3 / 2}\right)^{1 / 3}\right],  \tag{82}\\
D_{3}=79200 c_{1} \sqrt{-t^{3 / 2}}\left[\left(t^{2}-\frac{\sqrt{t}}{32}\right) \mathrm{HG}\left([1],\left[\frac{5}{6}, \frac{3}{2}\right],-\frac{8}{3} t^{3 / 2}\right)\right. \\
\left.+\left(\frac{256}{275} t^{7 / 2}\right) \operatorname{HG}\left([3],\left[\frac{17}{6}, \frac{7}{2}\right],-\frac{8}{3} t^{3 / 2}\right)-\left(\frac{16}{15} t^{2}\right) \operatorname{HG}\left([2],\left[\frac{11}{6}, \frac{5}{2}\right],-\frac{8}{3} t^{3 / 2}\right)\right] .
\end{array}
$$

Set II : $\alpha=1, \beta=2$
Similarly, equation (70) is solved by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}(t, r)=N_{4} / D_{4} \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{r}
N_{4}=\left[\left(t^{5 / 2}-\frac{t}{32}\right) \operatorname{HG}\left([1],\left[\frac{5}{6}, \frac{3}{2}\right],-\frac{8}{3} t^{3 / 2}\right)+\left(\frac{256}{275} t^{4}\right) \operatorname{HG}\left([3],\left[\frac{17}{6}, \frac{7}{2}\right],-\frac{8}{3} t^{3 / 2}\right)\right. \\
\left.-\left(\frac{16}{15} t^{5 / 2}\right) \mathrm{HG}\left([2],\left[\frac{11}{6}, \frac{5}{2}\right],-\frac{8}{3} t^{3 / 2}\right)\right] \sqrt{-t^{3 / 2}}\left(c_{5} \sinh \left(c_{8} r / 2\right)+c_{6} \cosh \left(c_{8} r / 2\right)\right) c_{5} R_{4} \\
-\frac{35}{24} r\left[\left(t^{3 / 4}\right) \mathrm{HG}\left([1],\left[\frac{5}{6}, \frac{3}{2}\right],-\frac{8}{3} t^{3 / 2}\right) c_{5} \sqrt{-t^{3 / 2}}+\operatorname{BesselI}\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3} \sqrt{6} \sqrt{-t^{3 / 2}}\right) c_{6} t\left(-t^{3 / 2}\right)^{1 / 6}\right. \\
\left.+\operatorname{BesselI}\left(\frac{4}{3}, \frac{4}{3} \sqrt{6} \sqrt{-t^{3 / 2}}\right) c_{7}\left(-t^{3 / 2}\right)^{5 / 6}+\left(\frac{\sqrt{6}}{12}\right) \operatorname{BesselI}\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{4}{3} \sqrt{6} \sqrt{-t^{3 / 2}}\right) c_{7}\left(-t^{3 / 2}\right)^{1 / 3}\right],  \tag{84}\\
D_{4}=c_{5} r \sqrt{t} \sqrt{-t^{3 / 2}}\left[\left(t^{2}-\frac{\sqrt{t}}{32}\right) \operatorname{HG}\left([1],\left[\frac{5}{6}, \frac{3}{2}\right],-\frac{8}{3} t^{3 / 2}\right)\right. \\
\left.+\left(\frac{256}{275} t^{7 / 2}\right) \mathrm{HG}\left([3],\left[\frac{17}{6}, \frac{7}{2}\right],-\frac{8}{3} t^{3 / 2}\right)-\left(\frac{16}{15} t^{2}\right) \mathrm{HG}\left([2],\left[\frac{11}{6}, \frac{5}{2}\right],-\frac{8}{3} t^{3 / 2}\right)\right] .
\end{array}
$$

Here also, we use HG for hypergeometric series, Bessell for modified Bessel functions of first kind. $R_{3}$ and $R_{4}$ refer to the respective solutions of the differential equations: $\ddot{X}(t)+\frac{1}{t} \dot{X}(t)-\frac{1}{4 t} c_{4} X(t)-\frac{1}{4 t^{2}} X(t)+\frac{6}{\sqrt{t}} X(t)=0$, and $\ddot{X}(t)+\frac{2}{t} \dot{X}(t)-\frac{1}{t} c_{8} X(t)+\frac{6}{\sqrt{t}} X(t)=0, X(t)$ being some function of $t . c_{i}, i=1$ to 8 are all integration constants.

## Discussions

All the expressions of $\mathcal{Q}$ are found to be highly complicated. Let us elaborate the solution in Case 2 , Set 1 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}=\left[c_{1} \exp \left(\sqrt{c_{4}} r / 2\right)+c_{2} \exp \left(-\sqrt{c_{4}} r / 2\right)\right] R_{3}-\left(\frac{35 r}{24 c_{1}} \times \frac{\tilde{S_{N}}}{S_{D}}\right) \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad S_{N}=\mathrm{HG}\left([1],\left[\frac{5}{6}, \frac{3}{2}\right],-\frac{8}{3} t^{3 / 2}\right) c_{1} t^{3 / 4} \sqrt{-t^{3 / 2}}+\operatorname{BesselI}\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3} \sqrt{6} \sqrt{-t^{3 / 2}}\right) c_{2} t\left(-t^{3 / 2}\right)^{1 / 6}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\operatorname{BesselI}\left(\frac{4}{3}, \frac{4}{3} \sqrt{6} \sqrt{-t^{3 / 2}}\right) c_{3}\left(-t^{3 / 2}\right)^{5 / 6}+\left(\frac{\sqrt{6}}{12}\right) \operatorname{BesselI}\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{4}{3} \sqrt{6} \sqrt{-t^{3 / 2}}\right) c_{3}\left(-t^{3 / 2}\right)^{1 / 3} \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{S_{N}}=S_{N} / \sqrt{-t^{3 / 2}}=\operatorname{HG}\left([1],\left[\frac{5}{6}, \frac{3}{2}\right],-\frac{8}{3} t^{3 / 2}\right) c_{1} t^{3 / 4}+\operatorname{BesselI}\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3} \sqrt{6} \sqrt{-t^{3 / 2}}\right) c_{2} t\left(-t^{3 / 2}\right)^{-1 / 3} \\
+\operatorname{BesselI}\left(\frac{4}{3}, \frac{4}{3} \sqrt{6} \sqrt{-t^{3 / 2}}\right) c_{3}\left(-t^{3 / 2}\right)^{1 / 3}+\left(\frac{\sqrt{6}}{12}\right) \operatorname{BesselI}\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{4}{3} \sqrt{6} \sqrt{-t^{3 / 2}}\right) c_{3}\left(-t^{3 / 2}\right)^{-1 / 6},  \tag{87}\\
S_{D}=\left(t^{2}-\frac{\sqrt{t}}{32}\right) \mathrm{HG}\left([1],\left[\frac{5}{6}, \frac{3}{2}\right],-\frac{8}{3} t^{3 / 2}\right)+\left(\frac{256}{275} t^{7 / 2}\right) \mathrm{HG}\left([3],\left[\frac{17}{6}, \frac{7}{2}\right],-\frac{8}{3} t^{3 / 2}\right)-\left(\frac{16}{15} t^{2}\right) \operatorname{HG}\left([2],\left[\frac{11}{6}, \frac{5}{2}\right],-\frac{8}{3} t^{3 / 2}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Some of the terms occurring in the solutions of $\mathcal{Q}$ contain imaginary parts. Only the real part of such terms have to be taken into account in order to get physically relevant solutions. Given the expression for $\mathcal{Q}(t, r)$, one can find $h_{0}(t, r)$ and subsequently $h_{1}(t, r)$. Here, in Case 2, Set 1, using equations (67) and (85), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{0}(t, r)=r^{0}(b(t))^{0} \mathcal{Q}(t, r)=\operatorname{Re}\left[\left(c_{1} \exp \left(\frac{\sqrt{c_{4}} r}{2}\right)+c_{2} \exp \left(-\frac{\sqrt{c_{4}} r}{2}\right)\right) R_{3}(t)-\left(\frac{35 r}{24 c_{1}} \times \frac{\tilde{S_{N}}(t)}{S_{D}(t)}\right)\right] \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, equation (64) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{1}(t, r)=f_{s f}(t)+\kappa^{4} t \int_{r_{0}}^{r}\left(\dot{h}_{0}(t, r)+\frac{h_{0}(t, r)}{2 t}\right) d r \\
= & f_{s f}(t)+\kappa^{4} t \times \operatorname{Re}\left[\Lambda\left(c_{1} e^{\Lambda r}-c_{2} e^{-\Lambda r}\right) \dot{R}_{3}-\frac{35}{24 c_{1}} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\tilde{S_{N}}}{S_{D}}\right)+\frac{1}{2 t}\left\{\Lambda\left(c_{1} e^{\Lambda r}+c_{2} e^{-\Lambda r}\right) R_{3}-\frac{35}{24 c_{1}} \frac{\tilde{S_{N}}}{S_{D}}\right\}\right]_{r_{0}}^{r}  \tag{89}\\
= & \kappa^{4} t \times \operatorname{Re}\left[\Lambda\left\{\dot{R}_{3}(t)\left(c_{1} e^{\Lambda\left(r-r_{0}\right)}-c_{2} e^{-\Lambda\left(r-r_{0}\right)}\right)+\frac{R_{3}(t)}{2 t}\left(c_{1} e^{\Lambda\left(r-r_{0}\right)}+c_{2} e^{-\Lambda\left(r-r_{0}\right)}\right)\right\}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{35}{24 c_{1}}\left\{\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\tilde{S_{N}}(t)}{S_{D}(t)}\right)+\frac{1}{2 t} \frac{\tilde{S_{N}}(t)}{S_{D}(t)}\right\}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $\Lambda=\sqrt{c_{4}} / 2 . f_{s f}(t)$ is the arbitrary integration constant that can be chosen as zero as done in the last step. $r_{0}$ characterizes the initial hypersurface that generates GWs. The suffix ' $s f$ ' is used to denote the case of stiff fluid.

We now look for the solutions $R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}$ and $R_{4}$. We obtain two differential equations in $X(t)$ for the two sets of values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$, only with different constants. The different expressions of $U$ do not affect these equations. Setting the constants to 1 , these equations become:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\ddot{X}(t)+\frac{1}{t} \dot{X}(t)-\frac{1}{4 t} X(t)-\frac{1}{4 t^{2}} X(t)+\frac{6}{\sqrt{t}} X(t)=0  \tag{90}\\
\ddot{X}(t)+\frac{2}{t} \dot{X}(t)-\frac{1}{t} X(t)+\frac{6}{\sqrt{t}} X(t)=0 \tag{91}
\end{gather*}
$$

These are solved numerically to determine the nature of $X(t)$. The solutions are shown in Figs.(1) and (2).


FIG. 1: $X(t)$ in Eqn.(90) is plotted against $t$.


FIG. 2: $X(t)$ in Eqn.(91) is plotted against $t$.

The solutions of $X(t)$ are found to exhibit wave-like behaviour with slowly increasing amplitude at large $t$. Therefore, we may write an approximate trial solution of $R_{3}(t)$ as $R_{3}(t)=(0.05) t^{1 / 3}(\sin t+\cos t)$. Inserting this in equation (88), the 3-dimensional plot of $h_{0}(t, r)$ is obtained as shown in Fig.(3).


FIG. 3: The axial perturbation $h_{0}(t, r)$ given by Eqn.(88) is plotted against $t$ and $r$.

## C. In vacuum: Perturbation equations and Solutions

In the absence of matter, the axial perturbation equations become simpler to solve. This has been discussed in detail in our previous work [43]. The solution procedure is same as above. Only the relation between the scale factors, $a(t)$ and $b(t)$ is now defined by (21).

## VI. POLAR PERTURBATIONS: EQUATIONS AND SOLUTIONS

We now discuss the polar perturbation equations and their analytical solutions for GWs propagating in B-I universe.

## A. In presence of matter: Perturbation equations

We treat the cases $\eta \neq 0$ and $\eta=0$ in separate paragraphs.

## (i) Perturbation equations with $\eta \neq 0$

In the presence of matter, the linearised field equations for the polar-perturbed metric (27) are obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
(t-t) \text { equation : } \frac{4 \theta}{4\left[-b^{2}+e \psi Y\right]^{3}\left[-a^{2}+e Y\left\{\left(1-a^{2}\right)(\chi+\psi)+2 a^{2} \eta\right\}\right]^{2} \theta} \cdot\left[\left(a^{4} b^{4} \dot{b}^{2}\right.\right. \\
\left.+2 a^{3} \dot{a} b^{5} \dot{b}\right)+e\left\{\frac{1}{2} a^{2} b^{2}\left(b^{2} \chi+b^{2} \psi+a^{2} \psi\right)\left(\frac{1}{\theta}\left(\partial_{\theta} Y\right)+\left(\partial_{\theta} \partial_{\theta} Y\right)\right)\right\}  \tag{92}\\
+e Y\left\{\left(4 a^{3} \dot{a} b^{5} \dot{b}-2 a \dot{a} b^{5} \dot{b}-2 a^{2} b^{4} \dot{b}^{2}+2 a^{4} b^{4} \dot{b}^{2}\right)(\chi+\psi)-\left(4 a^{3} \dot{a} b^{3} \dot{b}+a^{4} b^{2} \dot{b}^{2}\right) \psi\right. \\
\left.\left.-2\left(2 a^{4} b^{4} \dot{b}^{2}+4 a^{3} \dot{a} b^{5} \dot{b}\right) \eta-a^{2} b^{5} \dot{b}(\dot{\chi}+\dot{\psi})-\left(a^{4} b^{3} \dot{b}+a^{3} \dot{a} b^{4}\right) \dot{\psi}+a^{2} b^{4} \psi^{\prime \prime}+2 a^{2} b^{5} \dot{b} \zeta^{\prime}\right\}\right] \\
=\rho_{0}[1+e(\Delta+\chi+\psi-2 \eta) Y]
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
(r-r) \text { equation }: \frac{-4 \theta}{4\left[-b^{2}+e \psi Y\right]^{3}\left[-a^{2}+e Y\left\{\left(1-a^{2}\right)(\chi+\psi)+2 a^{2} \eta\right\}\right]^{2} \theta} \cdot\left[\left(-a^{6} b^{4} \dot{b}^{2}\right.\right. \\
\left.-2 a^{6} b^{5} \ddot{b}\right)+e\left\{\frac{1}{2} a^{6} b^{2}\left(b^{2} \chi+b^{2} \psi-\psi-2 b^{2} \eta\right)\left(\frac{1}{\theta}\left(\partial_{\theta} Y\right)+\left(\partial_{\theta} \partial_{\theta} Y\right)\right)\right\}  \tag{93}\\
+e Y\left\{\left(-a^{6} b^{4} \dot{b}^{2}+3 a^{4} b^{4} \dot{b}^{2}+6 a^{4} b^{5} \ddot{b}-2 a^{6} b^{5} \ddot{b}\right)(\chi+\psi)+\left(4 a^{6} b^{3} \ddot{b}+3 a^{6} b^{2} \dot{b}^{2}\right) \psi\right. \\
\left.\left.+2\left(a^{6} b^{4} \dot{b}^{2}+2 a^{6} b^{5} \ddot{b}\right) \eta+a^{6} b^{5} \dot{b}(\dot{\chi}+\dot{\psi}-2 \dot{\eta})-a^{6} b^{3} \dot{b} \dot{\psi}+a^{6} b^{4} \ddot{\psi}\right\}\right] \\
=p_{0}\left[a^{2}+e\left(a^{2} \Pi-\chi-\psi\right) Y\right]
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
(t-\theta) \text { equation : } \frac{e a b\left(\partial_{\theta} Y\right)}{2\left[-b^{2}+e \psi Y\right]^{2}\left[a^{2}-e Y\left\{\left(1-a^{2}\right)(\chi+\psi)+2 a^{2} \eta\right\}\right]^{2}} \cdot\left[\left(-\dot{a} b^{3}-a b^{2} \dot{b}+a^{2} \dot{a} b^{3}\right.\right.  \tag{97}\\
\left.\left.+a^{3} b^{2} \dot{b}\right)(\chi+\psi)-2 a^{3} \dot{b} \psi-2\left(a^{2} \dot{a} b^{3}+a^{3} b^{2} \dot{b}\right) \eta+a b^{3}\left(\dot{\chi}+\dot{\psi}-\zeta^{\prime}\right)+a^{3} b \dot{\psi}\right]=e\left(\rho_{0}+p_{0}\right) v\left(\partial_{\theta} Y\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(r-\theta) \text { equation }: \frac{e\left(\partial_{\theta} Y\right) a^{4} b^{4}}{2\left[-b^{2}+e \psi Y\right]^{2}\left[a^{2}-e Y\left\{\left(1-a^{2}\right)(\chi+\psi)+2 a^{2} \eta\right\}\right]^{2}} \cdot\left[-\left(\chi^{\prime}+\psi^{\prime}-2 \eta^{\prime}-\dot{\zeta}\right)+\frac{1}{b^{2}} \psi^{\prime}+\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \zeta\right]=0 \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t-\phi) \text { equation : } \quad e\left(\rho_{0}+p_{0}\right) U \sin \theta\left(\partial_{\theta} Y\right)=0 \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

The assumption: $\cot \theta=1 / \theta$ is applied to equations (92)-(96) also. As in the axial perturbation equations, this condition implies that $\tan \theta \simeq \theta$, hence $\theta$ must be small. Neglecting all terms containing second or higher orders of $e$ in the expansions appearing here, and using the background field equations (4), and the relation (25), the above set of equations lead to the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& w=\frac{1}{a b^{2}\left(\rho_{0}+p_{0}\right)}\left[-\frac{l(l+1)}{2} \zeta+b \dot{b} \chi^{\prime}+\left(-\frac{\dot{a}}{a}-\frac{\dot{b}}{b}+b \dot{b}\right) \psi^{\prime}-2 b \dot{b} \eta^{\prime}+\dot{\psi}^{\prime}\right],  \tag{100}\\
& v=\frac{1}{2\left(\rho_{0}+p_{0}\right)}\left[\left(-\frac{\dot{a}}{a^{3}}+\frac{\dot{a}}{a}-\frac{\dot{b}}{a^{2} b}+\frac{\dot{b}}{b}\right) \chi+\left(-\frac{\dot{a}}{a^{3}}+\frac{\dot{a}}{a}-\frac{\dot{b}}{a^{2} b}+\frac{\dot{b}}{b}-\frac{2 \dot{b}}{b^{3}}\right) \psi\right. \\
& \left.-2\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}+\frac{\dot{b}}{b}\right) \eta+\frac{1}{a^{2}} \dot{\chi}+\left(\frac{1}{a^{2}}+\frac{1}{b^{2}}\right) \dot{\psi}-\frac{1}{a^{2}} \zeta^{\prime}\right],  \tag{101}\\
& U=0,  \tag{102}\\
& \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \zeta+\dot{\zeta}-\chi^{\prime}+\left(\frac{1}{b^{2}}-1\right) \psi^{\prime}+2 \eta^{\prime}=0,  \tag{103}\\
& \Delta=\frac{1}{a^{4} b^{6} \rho_{0}}\left[\left\{2 a \dot{a} b^{5} \dot{b}-2 a^{3} \dot{a} b^{5} \dot{b}-a^{4} b^{4} \dot{b}^{2}-\frac{l(l+1)}{2} \cdot a^{2} b^{4}\right\} \chi\right. \\
& +\left\{2 a \dot{a} b^{5} \dot{b}-2 a^{3} \dot{a} b^{5} \dot{b}+2 a^{3} \dot{a} b^{3} \dot{b}+2 a^{4} b^{2} \dot{b}^{2}-a^{4} b^{4} \dot{b}^{2}-\frac{l(l+1)}{2} \cdot a^{2} b^{2}\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)\right\} \psi  \tag{104}\\
& \left.+2\left(2 a^{3} \dot{a} b^{5} \dot{b}+a^{4} b^{4} \dot{b}^{2}\right) \eta-a^{2} b^{5} \dot{b} \dot{\chi}-\left\{a^{2} b^{5} \dot{b}+a^{4} b^{3} \dot{b}+a^{3} \dot{a} b^{4}\right\} \dot{\psi}+a^{2} b^{4} \psi^{\prime \prime}+2 a^{2} b^{5} \dot{b} \zeta^{\prime}\right], \\
& \Pi=\frac{1}{a^{6} b^{6} p_{0}}\left[\left\{a^{6} b^{4} \dot{b}^{2}+2 a^{6} b^{5} \ddot{b}-\frac{l(l+1)}{2} \cdot a^{6} b^{4}\right\} \chi+\left\{a^{6} b^{4} \dot{b}^{2}-2 a^{6} b^{3} \ddot{b}+2 a^{6} b^{5} \ddot{b}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{l(l+1)}{2} \cdot a^{6} b^{2}\left(b^{2}-1\right)\right\} \psi+2\left\{-a^{6} b^{4} \dot{b}^{2}-2 a^{6} b^{5} \ddot{b}+\frac{l(l+1)}{2} \cdot a^{6} b^{4}\right\} \eta  \tag{105}\\
& \left.+a^{6} b^{5} \dot{b} \dot{\chi}+\left(a^{6} b^{5} \dot{b}-a^{6} b^{3} \dot{b}\right) \dot{\psi}-2 a^{6} b^{5} \dot{b} \dot{\eta}+a^{6} b^{4} \ddot{\psi}\right], \\
& p_{0} b^{2} \Pi=\frac{1}{a^{4} b^{2}}\left[\left(\dot{a}^{2} b^{4}-a \ddot{a} b^{4}+a^{4} b^{3} \ddot{b}+a^{3} \ddot{a} b^{4}+a^{3} \dot{a} b^{3} \dot{b}-a \dot{a} b^{3} \dot{b}\right)(\chi+\psi)+\left(a^{4} \dot{b}^{2}-a^{4} b \ddot{b}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-a^{3} \dot{a} b \dot{b}\right) \psi-2\left(a^{4} b^{3} \ddot{b}+a^{3} \ddot{a} b^{4}+a^{3} \dot{a} b^{3} \dot{b}\right) \eta+\left(-a \dot{a} b^{4}+\frac{1}{2} a^{3} \dot{a} b^{4}+\frac{1}{2} a^{4} b^{3} \dot{b}+\frac{1}{2} a^{2} b^{3} \dot{b}\right)(\dot{\chi}+\dot{\psi}) \\
& +\left(-a^{4} b \dot{b}+\frac{1}{2} a^{3} \dot{a} b^{2}\right) \dot{\psi}-\left(a^{4} b^{3} \dot{b}+a^{3} \dot{a} b^{4}\right) \dot{\eta}-a^{2} b^{3} \dot{b} \zeta^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} a^{2} b^{4}\left(\ddot{\chi}+\ddot{\psi}+\chi^{\prime \prime}+\psi^{\prime \prime}\right)  \tag{106}\\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} a^{4} b^{2} \ddot{\psi}-\frac{1}{2} a^{2} b^{2} \psi^{\prime \prime}-a^{2} b^{4} \eta^{\prime \prime}-a^{2} b^{4} \dot{\zeta}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2 Y}\left\{a^{2} b^{2}\left(a^{2}-1\right)(\chi+\psi)-2 a^{4} b^{2} \eta\right\} \frac{1}{\theta}\left(\partial_{\theta} Y\right)\right], \\
& p_{0} b^{2} \Pi=\frac{1}{a^{4} b^{2}}\left[\left(\dot{a}^{2} b^{4}-a \ddot{a} b^{4}+a^{4} b^{3} \ddot{b}+a^{3} \ddot{a} b^{4}+a^{3} \dot{a} b^{3} \dot{b}-a \dot{a} b^{3} \dot{b}\right)(\chi+\psi)+\left(a^{4} \dot{b}^{2}-a^{4} b \ddot{b}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-a^{3} \dot{a} b \dot{b}\right) \psi-2\left(a^{4} b^{3} \ddot{b}+a^{3} \ddot{a} b^{4}+a^{3} \dot{a} b^{3} \dot{b}\right) \eta+\left(-a \dot{a} b^{4}+\frac{1}{2} a^{3} \dot{a} b^{4}+\frac{1}{2} a^{4} b^{3} \dot{b}+\frac{1}{2} a^{2} b^{3} \dot{b}\right)(\dot{\chi}+\dot{\psi}) \\
& +\left(-a^{4} b \dot{b}+\frac{1}{2} a^{3} \dot{a} b^{2}\right) \dot{\psi}-\left(a^{4} b^{3} \dot{b}+a^{3} \dot{a} b^{4}\right) \dot{\eta}-a^{2} b^{3} \dot{b} \zeta^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} a^{2} b^{4}\left(\ddot{\chi}+\ddot{\psi}+\chi^{\prime \prime}+\psi^{\prime \prime}\right)  \tag{107}\\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} a^{4} b^{2} \ddot{\psi}-\frac{1}{2} a^{2} b^{2} \psi^{\prime \prime}-a^{2} b^{4} \eta^{\prime \prime}-a^{2} b^{4} \dot{\zeta}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2 Y}\left\{a^{2} b^{2}\left(a^{2}-1\right)(\chi+\psi)-2 a^{4} b^{2} \eta\right\}\left(\partial_{\theta} \partial_{\theta} Y\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Adding equations (106) and (107) and substituting equation (105), we arrive at

$$
\begin{array}{r}
{\left[\dot{a}^{2} b^{4}-a \ddot{a} b^{4}-a^{4} b^{3} \ddot{b}+a^{3} \ddot{a} b^{4}+a^{3} \dot{a} b^{3} \dot{b}-a \dot{a} b^{3} \dot{b}-a^{4} b^{2} \dot{b}^{2}+\frac{l(l+1)}{4}\left(a^{4} b^{2}+a^{2} b^{2}\right)\right] \chi} \\
+\left[-a \ddot{a} b^{4}-a^{4} b^{3} \ddot{b}+a^{3} \ddot{a} b^{4}+a^{3} \dot{a} b^{3} \dot{b}-a \dot{a} b^{3} \dot{b}-a^{3} \dot{a} b \dot{b}+\dot{a}^{2} b^{4}+a^{4} \dot{b}^{2}+a^{4} b \ddot{b}-a^{4} b^{2} \dot{b}^{2}\right. \\
\left.+\frac{l(l+1)}{4}\left(a^{4} b^{2}+a^{2} b^{2}-2 a^{4}\right)\right] \psi+\left[2 a^{4} b^{3} \ddot{b}-2 a^{3} \ddot{a} b^{4}-2 a^{3} \dot{a} b^{3} \dot{b}+2 a^{4} b^{2} \dot{b}^{2}-\frac{l(l+1)}{2} \cdot a^{4} b^{2}\right] \eta \\
+\left[\frac{1}{2} a^{3} \dot{a} b^{4}-\frac{1}{2} a^{4} b^{3} \dot{b}+\frac{1}{2} a^{2} b^{3} \dot{b}-a \dot{a} b^{4}\right] \dot{\chi}+\left[\frac{1}{2} a^{3} \dot{a} b^{4}-\frac{1}{2} a^{4} b^{3} \dot{b}+\frac{1}{2} a^{2} b^{3} \dot{b}+\frac{1}{2} a^{3} \dot{a} b^{2}-a \dot{a} b^{4}\right] \dot{\psi}  \tag{108}\\
+\left(a^{4} b^{3} \dot{b}-a^{3} \dot{a} b^{4}\right) \dot{\eta}-a^{2} b^{3} \dot{b} \zeta^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} a^{2} b^{4}\left(\ddot{\chi}+\chi^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
+\left(\frac{1}{2} a^{2} b^{4}-\frac{1}{2} a^{4} b^{2}\right) \ddot{\psi}+\left(\frac{1}{2} a^{2} b^{4}-\frac{1}{2} a^{2} b^{2}\right) \psi^{\prime \prime}-a^{2} b^{4} \eta^{\prime \prime}-a^{2} b^{4} \dot{\zeta}^{\prime}=0
\end{array}
$$

## (ii) Perturbation equations with $\eta=0$

When $\eta=0$, the perturbation equations (100)-(105) and (108) become :

$$
\begin{align*}
& w=\frac{1}{a b^{2}\left(\rho_{0}+p_{0}\right)}\left[-\frac{l(l+1)}{2} \zeta+b \dot{b} \chi^{\prime}+\left(-\frac{\dot{a}}{a}-\frac{\dot{b}}{b}+b \dot{b}\right) \psi^{\prime}+\dot{\psi}^{\prime}\right], \\
& v=\frac{1}{2\left(\rho_{0}+p_{0}\right)}\left[\left(-\frac{\dot{a}}{a^{3}}+\frac{\dot{a}}{a}-\frac{\dot{b}}{a^{2} b}+\frac{\dot{b}}{b}\right) \chi+\left(-\frac{\dot{a}}{a^{3}}+\frac{\dot{a}}{a}-\frac{\dot{b}}{a^{2} b}+\frac{\dot{b}}{b}-\frac{2 \dot{b}}{b^{3}}\right) \psi+\frac{1}{a^{2}} \dot{\chi}+\left(\frac{1}{a^{2}}+\frac{1}{b^{2}}\right) \dot{\psi}-\frac{1}{a^{2}} \zeta^{\prime}\right], \\
& U=0, \\
& \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \zeta+\dot{\zeta}-\chi^{\prime}+\left(\frac{1}{b^{2}}-1\right) \psi^{\prime}=0, \\
& \Delta=\frac{1}{a^{4} b^{6} \rho_{0}}\left[\left\{-a^{4} b^{4} \dot{b}^{2}-2 a^{3} \dot{a} b^{5} \dot{b}+2 a \dot{a} b^{5} \dot{b}-\frac{l(l+1)}{2} \cdot a^{2} b^{4}\right\} \chi+\left\{-a^{4} b^{4} \dot{b}^{2}+2 a^{4} b^{2} \dot{b}^{2}-2 a^{3} \dot{a} b^{5} \dot{b}+2 a \dot{a} b^{5} \dot{b}\right.\right.  \tag{113}\\
& \left.\left.+2 a^{3} \dot{a} b^{3} \dot{b}-\frac{l(l+1)}{2} \cdot a^{2} b^{2}\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)\right\} \psi-a^{2} b^{5} \dot{b} \dot{\chi}-\left\{a^{2} b^{5} \dot{b}+a^{4} b^{3} \dot{b}+a^{3} \dot{a} b^{4}\right\} \dot{\psi}+a^{2} b^{4} \psi^{\prime \prime}+2 a^{2} b^{5} \dot{b} \zeta^{\prime}\right], \\
& \Pi=\frac{1}{a^{6} b^{6} p_{0}}\left[\left\{a^{6} b^{4} \dot{b}^{2}+2 a^{6} b^{5} \ddot{b}-\frac{l(l+1)}{2} \cdot a^{6} b^{4}\right\} \chi+\left\{a^{6} b^{4} \dot{b}^{2}-2 a^{6} b^{3} \ddot{b}+2 a^{6} b^{5} \ddot{b}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\frac{l(l+1)}{2} \cdot a^{6} b^{2}\left(b^{2}-1\right)\right\} \psi+a^{6} b^{5} \dot{b} \dot{\chi}+\left(a^{6} b^{5} \dot{b}-a^{6} b^{3} \dot{b}\right) \dot{\psi}+a^{6} b^{4} \ddot{\psi}\right],  \tag{114}\\
& {\left[-a \ddot{a} b^{4}-a^{4} b^{3} \ddot{b}+a^{3} \ddot{a} b^{4}+a^{3} \dot{a} b^{3} \dot{b}-a \dot{a} b^{3} \dot{b}+\dot{a}^{2} b^{4}-a^{4} b^{2} \dot{b}^{2}+\frac{l(l+1)}{4} \cdot\left(a^{4} b^{2}+a^{2} b^{2}\right)\right] \chi} \\
& +\left[-a \ddot{a} b^{4}+a^{4} b \ddot{b}-a^{4} b^{3} \ddot{b}+a^{3} \ddot{a} b^{4}+a^{3} \dot{a} b^{3} \dot{b}-a \dot{a} b^{3} \dot{b}-a^{3} \dot{a} b \dot{b}+\dot{a}^{2} b^{4}+a^{4} \dot{b}^{2}-a^{4} b^{2} \dot{b}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{l(l+1)}{4} \cdot\left(a^{4} b^{2}+a^{2} b^{2}-2 a^{4}\right)\right] \psi+\left[-a \dot{a} b^{4}-\frac{1}{2} a^{4} b^{3} \dot{b}+\frac{1}{2} a^{3} \dot{a} b^{4}+\frac{1}{2} a^{2} b^{3} \dot{b}\right] \dot{\chi}  \tag{115}\\
& +\left[-a \dot{a} b^{4}-\frac{1}{2} a^{4} b^{3} \dot{b}+\frac{1}{2} a^{3} \dot{a} b^{4}+\frac{1}{2} a^{3} \dot{a} b^{2}+\frac{1}{2} a^{2} b^{3} \dot{b}\right] \dot{\psi}+\frac{1}{2} a^{2} b^{4}\left(\ddot{\chi}+\chi^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{2} a^{2} b^{4}-\frac{1}{2} a^{4} b^{2}\right) \ddot{\psi}+\left(\frac{1}{2} a^{2} b^{4}-\frac{1}{2} a^{2} b^{2}\right) \psi^{\prime \prime}-a^{2} b^{3} \dot{b} \zeta^{\prime}-a^{2} b^{4} \dot{\zeta}^{\prime}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (100) (or (109)) and (101) (or (110)) determine the perturbation of the two components of the fluid four-velocity. From equation (102) (or (111)), one finds that the third component, i.e. the azimuthal velocity $U$ is zero in case of polar waves. These properties distinguish polar GWs from the axial GWs. Moreover, the polar perturbations are marked in the energy density and pressure as obtained in equations (104) (or (113)) and (105) (or (114)) respectively. Equations (103) and (108) (or (112) and (115)) do not explicitly depend on matter. Following Clarkson et al. [37], the equations (103), (105) and (108) (or (112), (114) and (115), together with the constraint equation $\eta=0$ ) are the three master equations governing the evolution of the perturbing variables. Equations (100), (101) and (104) (or (109), (110) and (113)) are the constraint equations. Also, if we suppose that all the matter perturbations become zero, then inserting $\Pi=\Delta=w=v=0$ in equations (100), (101), (104) and (105) yields $\chi=\psi=\zeta=0$, i.e. the polar perturbations vanish. Hence it can be concluded that polar GWs must bring about matter inhomogeneities and anisotropies in the background they travel through.

## The particular case of stiff fluid

We now concentrate on the stiff fluid as the matter content of the Bianchi I universe. The fluid pressure and energy density being equal, the polar perturbation equations with both zero or non-zero value of $\eta$ are slightly more simplified.

## (a) Perturbation equations with $\eta \neq 0$

The polar-perturbed equations (100)-(104) in the presence of a stiff fluid get reduced to:

$$
\begin{gather*}
w=\frac{2}{5 \kappa^{4}}\left[-2 t l(l+1) \zeta+\kappa^{2} t^{1 / 2} \chi^{\prime}+\left(\kappa^{2} t^{1 / 2}-3\right) \psi^{\prime}-2 \kappa^{2} t^{1 / 2} \eta^{\prime}+4 t \dot{\psi}^{\prime}\right]  \tag{116}\\
v=\frac{1}{5 \kappa^{4}}\left[\left(3 \kappa^{4} t-3\right) \chi+\left(3 \kappa^{4} t-3-2 \kappa^{2} t^{1 / 2}\right) \psi-6 \kappa^{4} t \eta+4 t \dot{\chi}+\left(4 t+4 \kappa^{2} t^{3 / 2}\right) \dot{\psi}-4 t \zeta^{\prime}\right],  \tag{117}\\
U=0,  \tag{118}\\
\frac{1}{2 t} \zeta+\dot{\zeta}-\chi^{\prime}+\left(\frac{1}{\kappa^{2} t^{1 / 2}}-1\right) \psi^{\prime}+2 \eta^{\prime}=0,  \tag{119}\\
\Delta=\frac{2}{5 \kappa^{4}}\left[\left\{\frac{2}{t}-\frac{5 \kappa^{4}}{2}-\frac{4 t^{1 / 2}}{\kappa^{2}} l(l+1)\right\} \chi+\left\{\frac{2}{t}+\frac{3 \kappa^{2}}{t^{1 / 2}}-\frac{5 \kappa^{4}}{2}-4\left(\frac{t^{1 / 2}}{\kappa^{2}}+t\right) l(l+1)\right\} \psi-5 \kappa^{4} \eta\right.  \tag{120}\\
\left.-2 \dot{\chi}-\left\{2+6 \kappa^{2} t^{1 / 2}\right\} \dot{\psi}+\frac{8 t^{1 / 2}}{\kappa^{2}} \psi^{\prime \prime}+4 \zeta^{\prime}\right]
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $p_{0}=\rho_{0}$, their respective perturbations $\Delta$ and $\Pi$ must be equated. Hence, inserting $a=b^{2}$ in equations (105) and (108), replacing $\Pi$ by $\Delta$ and plugging in the expression for $\Delta$ from equation (120), we get respectively:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{4}{5 \kappa^{4}}\left[\left\{\frac{1}{t}+\left(2 \kappa^{2} t^{3 / 2}-\frac{2 t^{1 / 2}}{\kappa^{2}}\right) l(l+1)\right\} \chi+\left\{\frac{1}{t}+\left(2 \kappa^{2} t^{3 / 2}-4 t-\frac{2 t^{1 / 2}}{\kappa^{2}}\right) l(l+1)\right\} \psi-4 \kappa^{2} t^{3 / 2} l(l+1) \eta\right.  \tag{121}\\
\left.-\left(1+\kappa^{4} t\right) \dot{\chi}-\left(1+\kappa^{4} t+2 \kappa^{2} t^{1 / 2}\right) \dot{\psi}+2 \kappa^{4} t \dot{\eta}+2 \zeta^{\prime}-4 \kappa^{2} t^{3 / 2} \ddot{\psi}+\frac{4 t^{1 / 2}}{\kappa^{2}} \psi^{\prime \prime}\right]=0 \\
\frac{2}{5 \kappa^{4}}\left[\left\{-\frac{1}{t}+\left(2 \kappa^{2} t^{3 / 2}-\frac{6 t^{1 / 2}}{\kappa^{2}}\right) l(l+1)\right\} \chi+\left\{\frac{2 \kappa^{2}}{t^{1 / 2}}-\frac{1}{t}+\left(2 \kappa^{2} t^{3 / 2}-\frac{6 t^{1 / 2}}{\kappa^{2}}-4 t\right) l(l+1)\right\} \psi\right. \\
-4 \kappa^{2} t^{3 / 2} l(l+1) \eta+\left\{1-3 \kappa^{4} t\right\} \dot{\chi}+\left\{1-3 \kappa^{4} t-6 \kappa^{2} t^{1 / 2}\right\} \dot{\psi}+6 \kappa^{4} t \dot{\eta}+6 \zeta^{\prime}  \tag{122}\\
\left.-4 t \ddot{\chi}+\left\{-4 \kappa^{2} t^{3 / 2}-4 t\right\} \ddot{\psi}-4 t \chi^{\prime \prime}+\left(\frac{12 t^{1 / 2}}{\kappa^{2}}-4 t\right) \psi^{\prime \prime}+8 t \eta^{\prime \prime}+8 t \dot{\zeta}^{\prime}\right]=0
\end{gather*}
$$

Taking the time-derivatives of equations (116)-(118), the evolution of $w, v$ and $\Delta$ are found to be governed by the following equations :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{w}=\frac{2}{5 \kappa^{4}}\left[-2 l(l+1) \zeta-2 t l(l+1) \dot{\zeta}+\frac{\kappa^{2}}{2 t^{1 / 2}} \chi^{\prime}+\frac{\kappa^{2}}{2 t^{1 / 2}} \psi^{\prime}-\frac{\kappa^{2}}{t^{1 / 2}} \eta^{\prime}+\kappa^{2} t^{1 / 2} \dot{\chi}^{\prime}+\left(\kappa^{2} t^{1 / 2}+1\right) \dot{\psi}^{\prime}-2 \kappa^{2} t^{1 / 2} \dot{\eta}^{\prime}+4 t \ddot{\psi}^{\prime}\right]  \tag{123}\\
\dot{v}=\frac{1}{5 \kappa^{4}}\left[3 \kappa^{4} \chi+\left(3 \kappa^{4}-\frac{\kappa^{2}}{t^{1 / 2}}\right) \psi-6 \kappa^{4} \eta-4 \zeta^{\prime}+\left(3 \kappa^{4} t+1\right) \dot{\chi}+\left(3 \kappa^{4} t+1+4 \kappa^{2} t^{1 / 2}\right) \dot{\psi}-6 \kappa^{4} t \dot{\eta}+4 t \ddot{\chi}\right.  \tag{124}\\
\left.+\left(4 t+4 \kappa^{2} t^{3 / 2}\right) \ddot{\psi}-4 t \dot{\zeta}^{\prime}\right] \\
\dot{\Delta}=\frac{4}{5 \kappa^{4}}\left[\left\{-\frac{1}{t^{2}}-\frac{1}{\kappa^{2} t^{1 / 2}} l(l+1)\right\} \chi+\left\{-\frac{1}{t^{2}}-\frac{3 \kappa^{2}}{4 t^{3 / 2}}-\left(2+\frac{1}{\kappa^{2} t^{1 / 2}}\right) l(l+1)\right\} \psi\right. \\
+\left\{\frac{1}{t}-\frac{5 \kappa^{4}}{4}-\frac{2 t^{1 / 2}}{\kappa^{2}} l(l+1)\right\} \dot{\chi}+\left\{\frac{1}{t}-\frac{5 \kappa^{4}}{4}-\left(2 t+\frac{2 t^{1 / 2}}{\kappa^{2}}\right) l(l+1)\right\} \dot{\psi}  \tag{125}\\
\left.+\frac{5 \kappa^{4}}{2} \dot{\eta}-\ddot{\chi}-\left(1+3 \kappa^{2} t^{1 / 2}\right) \ddot{\psi}+\frac{2}{\kappa^{2} t^{1 / 2}} \psi^{\prime \prime}+2 \dot{\zeta}^{\prime}+\frac{4 t^{1 / 2}}{\kappa^{2}} \dot{\psi}^{\prime \prime}\right]
\end{gather*}
$$

Following [37], it can be said that for polar perturbations to Bianchi I background, equations (117), (119), (121), (122) and (124) hold for $l \geq 1$, and the rest, (116), (120), (123) and (125), for $l \geq 0$.
(b) Perturbation equations with $\eta=0$

For $l \geqslant 2$, the above equations will hold with the terms containing $\eta$ set to zero.

## B. In presence of matter: Solutions to Perturbation equations

As evident from the set of polar perturbation equations, in contrast to the axial perturbation equations, the polar solutions cannot be derived straight away. The equation (119) containing the three perturbation elements does not help in simplifying the remaining equations and extracting a differential equation in a single variable. The above equations are highly complicated even when $\eta=0$, and cannot be solved analytically without certain simplifying assumptions. We attempt to solve equation (122). Choosing $\eta=0, l=2, \kappa=1$, and assuming $\psi(t, r)=0$, and $\zeta(t, r)=q_{s f} \chi(t, r), q_{s f}$ being an arbitrary constant, the equation reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(12 t^{3 / 2}-12 t^{1 / 2}+\frac{1}{t}\right) \chi-(1+t) \dot{\chi}+2 q_{s f} \chi^{\prime}=0 \tag{126}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding solution is obtained as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(t, r)=\mathcal{F}\left(r+2 q_{s f} \ln (1+t)\right)\left(\frac{t}{1+t}\right) \exp \left\{8 t^{3 / 2}+48 \arctan t^{1 / 2}-48 t^{1 / 2}\right\} \tag{127}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\mathcal{F}$ is an undetermined function of both $t$ and $r$. But this does not conform to the Regge-Wheeler scheme where the function of $t$ and that of $r$ must separate out as product in the solutions of the perturbation equations.

## C. In vacuum: Perturbation equations

In absence of matter, the set of polar perturbation equations (109)-(115) with $\eta=0$ become somewhat less involved. These are as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{l(l+1)}{2} \zeta+b \dot{b} \chi^{\prime}+\left(-\frac{\dot{a}}{a}-\frac{\dot{b}}{b}+b \dot{b}\right) \psi^{\prime}+\dot{\psi}^{\prime}=0 \tag{128}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(-\frac{\dot{a}}{a^{3}}+\frac{\dot{a}}{a}-\frac{\dot{b}}{a^{2} b}+\frac{\dot{b}}{b}\right) \chi+\frac{1}{a^{2}} \dot{\chi}+\left(-\frac{\dot{a}}{a^{3}}+\frac{\dot{a}}{a}-\frac{\dot{b}}{a^{2} b}+\frac{\dot{b}}{b}-\frac{2 \dot{b}}{b^{3}}\right) \psi+\left(\frac{1}{a^{2}}+\frac{1}{b^{2}}\right) \dot{\psi}-\frac{1}{a^{2}} \zeta^{\prime}=0  \tag{129}\\
\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \zeta+\dot{\zeta}-\chi^{\prime}+\left(\frac{1}{b^{2}}-1\right) \psi^{\prime}=0  \tag{130}\\
\left\{\frac{2 \dot{a} b \dot{b}}{a}-\frac{l(l+1)}{2}\right\} \chi+\left\{\frac{2 \dot{a} b \dot{b}}{a}-\frac{2 a \dot{a} \dot{b}}{b}-\frac{l(l+1)}{2}\left(1+\frac{a^{2}}{b^{2}}\right)\right\} \psi-b \dot{b} \dot{\chi}-\left\{b \dot{b}+a \dot{a}+\frac{a^{2} \dot{b}}{b}\right\} \dot{\psi}+\psi^{\prime \prime}+2 b \dot{b} \zeta^{\prime}=0  \tag{131}\\
\left\{-\frac{l(l+1)}{2}\right\} \chi+\left\{\frac{\dot{b}^{2}}{b^{2}}-\frac{l(l+1)}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{b^{2}}\right)\right\} \psi+b \dot{b} \dot{\chi}+\left(b \dot{b}-\frac{\dot{b}}{b}\right) \dot{\psi}+\ddot{\psi}=0,  \tag{132}\\
\left(\dot{a}^{2} b^{4}+a^{2} b^{3} \ddot{b}\right)(\chi+\psi)+\left(a^{4} \dot{b}^{2}+a^{3} \ddot{a} b^{2}\right) \psi+\left(-a \dot{a} b^{4}+\frac{1}{2} a^{4} b^{3} \dot{b}+\frac{1}{2} a^{3} \dot{a} b^{4}+\frac{1}{2} a^{2} b^{3} \dot{b}\right)(\dot{\chi}+\dot{\psi})+\left(\frac{1}{2} a^{3} \dot{a} b^{2}-a^{4} b \dot{b}\right) \dot{\psi}  \tag{133}\\
+\frac{1}{2} a^{2} b^{4}\left(\ddot{\chi}+\ddot{\psi}+\chi^{\prime \prime}+\psi^{\prime \prime}\right)+\frac{1}{2} a^{4} b^{2} \ddot{\psi}-\frac{1}{2} a^{2} b^{2} \psi^{\prime \prime}-a^{2} b^{3} \dot{b} \zeta^{\prime}-a^{2} b^{4} \dot{\zeta}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2 Y} a^{2} b^{2}\left(a^{2}-1\right)(\chi+\psi) \frac{1}{\theta}\left(\partial_{\theta} Y\right)=0 \\
\left(\dot{a}^{2} b^{4}+a^{2} b^{3} \ddot{b}\right)(\chi+\psi)+\left(a^{4} \dot{b}^{2}+a^{3} \ddot{a} b^{2}\right) \psi+\left(-a \dot{a} b^{4}+\frac{1}{2} a^{4} b^{3} \dot{b}+\frac{1}{2} a^{3} \dot{a} b^{4}+\frac{1}{2} a^{2} b^{3} \dot{b}\right)(\dot{\chi}+\dot{\psi})+\left(\frac{1}{2} a^{3} \dot{a} b^{2}-a^{4} b \dot{b}\right) \dot{\psi}  \tag{134}\\
+\frac{1}{2} a^{2} b^{4}\left(\ddot{\chi}+\ddot{\psi}+\chi^{\prime \prime}+\psi^{\prime \prime}\right)+\frac{1}{2} a^{4} b^{2} \ddot{\psi}-\frac{1}{2} a^{2} b^{2} \psi^{\prime \prime}-a^{2} b^{3} \dot{b} \zeta^{\prime}-a^{2} b^{4} \dot{\zeta}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2 Y} a^{2} b^{2}\left(a^{2}-1\right)(\chi+\psi)\left(\partial_{\theta} \partial_{\theta} Y\right)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

Adding equations (133) and (134), and simplifying gives

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\{b \ddot{b}+\frac{\dot{a}^{2} b^{2}}{a^{2}}-\frac{l(l+1)}{2}\left(a^{2}-1\right)\right\} \chi+\left\{a \ddot{a}+b \ddot{b}+\frac{\dot{a}^{2} b^{2}}{a^{2}}+\frac{a^{2} \dot{b}^{2}}{b^{2}}-\frac{l(l+1)}{2}\left(a^{2}-1\right)\right\} \psi \\
+\left\{-\frac{\dot{a} b^{2}}{a}+\frac{1}{2} b \dot{b}+\frac{1}{2} a \dot{a} b^{2}+\frac{1}{2} a^{2} b \dot{b}\right\} \dot{\chi}+\left\{-\frac{\dot{a} b^{2}}{a}-\frac{a^{2} \dot{b}}{b}+\frac{1}{2} a \dot{a}+\frac{1}{2} b \dot{b}+\frac{1}{2} a \dot{a} b^{2}+\frac{1}{2} a^{2} b \dot{b}\right\} \dot{\psi}  \tag{135}\\
+\frac{1}{2} b^{2}\left(\ddot{\chi}+\chi^{\prime \prime}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right) \ddot{\psi}+\frac{1}{2}\left(b^{2}-1\right) \psi^{\prime \prime}-b \dot{b} \zeta^{\prime}-b^{2} \dot{\zeta}^{\prime}=0
\end{array}
$$

## D. In presence of matter: Solutions to Perturbation equations

In order to eliminate the $\dot{\zeta}^{\prime}$-term from equation (135), equation (130) is differentiated w.r.t. $r$, which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\zeta}^{\prime}=-\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \zeta^{\prime}-\chi^{\prime \prime}+\left(\frac{1}{b^{2}}-1\right) \psi^{\prime \prime} \tag{136}
\end{equation*}
$$

and equation (135) now reads as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\{b \ddot{b}+\frac{\dot{a}^{2} b^{2}}{a^{2}}-\frac{l(l+1)}{2}\left(a^{2}-1\right)\right\} \chi+\left\{a \ddot{a}+b \ddot{b}+\frac{\dot{a}^{2} b^{2}}{a^{2}}+\frac{a^{2} \dot{b}^{2}}{b^{2}}-\frac{l(l+1)}{2}\left(a^{2}-1\right)\right\} \psi \\
+\left\{-\frac{\dot{a} b^{2}}{a}+\frac{1}{2} b \dot{b}+\frac{1}{2} a \dot{a} b^{2}+\frac{1}{2} a^{2} b \dot{b}\right\} \dot{\chi}+\left\{-\frac{\dot{a} b^{2}}{a}-\frac{a^{2} \dot{b}}{b}+\frac{1}{2} a \dot{a}+\frac{1}{2} b \dot{b}+\frac{1}{2} a \dot{a} b^{2}+\frac{1}{2} a^{2} b \dot{b}\right\} \dot{\psi}  \tag{137}\\
+\frac{1}{2} b^{2} \ddot{\chi}-\frac{1}{2} b^{2} \chi^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{2}\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right) \ddot{\psi}-\frac{1}{2}\left(b^{2}-1\right) \psi^{\prime \prime}+\left\{\frac{\dot{a} b^{2}}{a}-b \dot{b}\right\} \zeta^{\prime}=0 .
\end{array}
$$

Setting $l=2$, and substituting equation (21), equations (128)-(132) and (137) lead to the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-3 \zeta+\frac{2 K^{2} t^{1 / 3}}{3} \chi^{\prime}+\left(\frac{2 K^{2} t^{1 / 3}}{3}-\frac{1}{3 t}\right) \psi^{\prime}+\dot{\psi}^{\prime}=0 \tag{138}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\frac{1}{3 t}+\frac{1}{3 t^{1 / 3}}-\frac{2}{3 K^{1 / 2} t^{4 / 3}}\right) \chi+\left(\frac{1}{3 t}+\frac{1}{3 t^{1 / 3}}-\frac{2}{3 \kappa^{1 / 2} t^{4 / 3}}-\frac{4}{3 K^{2} t^{7 / 3}}\right) \psi+K t^{2 / 3} \dot{\chi}  \tag{139}\\
+\left(K t^{2 / 3}+\frac{1}{K t^{4 / 3}}\right) \dot{\psi}-K t^{2 / 3} \zeta^{\prime}=0 \\
-\frac{1}{3 t} \zeta+\dot{\zeta}-\chi^{\prime}+\left(\frac{1}{K^{2} t^{4 / 3}}-1\right) \psi^{\prime}=0  \tag{140}\\
\left(\frac{1}{K t^{2 / 3}}-\frac{4 K^{2}}{9 t^{2 / 3}}-3\right) \chi-\left(\frac{2}{3} K^{2} t^{1 / 3}-\frac{1}{3 K t^{5 / 3}}+\frac{2}{3 K^{2} t^{7 / 3}}\right) \dot{\psi}+\psi^{\prime \prime}+\frac{4}{3} K^{2} t^{1 / 3} \zeta^{\prime}=0  \tag{141}\\
-3 \chi+\left(\frac{4}{9 t^{2}}+\frac{3}{K^{2} t^{4 / 3}}-3\right) \psi+\frac{2}{3} K^{2} t^{1 / 3} \dot{\chi}+\left(-\frac{2}{3 t}+\frac{2}{3} K^{2} t^{1 / 3}\right) \dot{\psi}+\ddot{\psi}=0,  \tag{142}\\
-3 \chi+\left(\frac{4}{9 K t^{2}}-\frac{3}{K^{2} t^{4 / 3}}-3\right) \psi+\left(\frac{2 K^{2} t^{1 / 3}}{3}+\frac{K}{6 t^{1 / 3}}\right) \dot{\chi}+\left(\frac{2 K^{2} t^{1 / 3}}{3}+\frac{K}{6 t^{1 / 3}}-\frac{5}{6 K t^{5 / 3}}\right) \dot{\psi}  \tag{143}\\
+\frac{1}{2} K^{2} t^{4 / 3} \ddot{\chi}+\frac{1}{2}\left(K^{2} t^{4 / 3}+\frac{1}{K t^{2 / 3}}\right) \ddot{\psi}-\frac{1}{2} K^{2} t^{4 / 3} \chi^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{2}\left(K^{2} t^{4 / 3}-1\right) \psi^{\prime \prime}-K^{2} t^{1 / 3} \zeta^{\prime}=0
\end{gather*}
$$

Equation (143) having $\ddot{( })+()^{\prime \prime}$ terms resembles a wave equation in $\chi(t, r)$ and $\psi(t, r)$. One can see that unlike the axial case, the solutions for polar modes cannot be derived easily even in the vacuum case for $l \geq 2$. However, we may proceed further only if we make certain assumptions, such as neglecting the perturbation due to $\chi(t, r)$ (or $\psi(t, r))$, or taking $\chi(t, r)$ and $\psi(t, r))$ to be are proportional to each other. We now discuss these particular cases.

Case 1:
Let us assume that $\chi(t, r)$ and $\psi(t, r)$ are proportional to each other, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(t, r)=q \chi(t, r) \tag{144}
\end{equation*}
$$

$q$ being a constant, then equation (142) leads to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-3-3 q+\frac{4 q}{9 t^{2}}+\frac{3 q}{K^{2} t^{4 / 3}}\right) \chi+\left(\frac{2}{3} K^{2} t^{1 / 3}+\frac{2}{3} q K^{2} t^{1 / 3}-\frac{2 q}{3 t}\right) \dot{\chi}+q \ddot{\chi}=0 . \tag{145}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its solution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(t, r)=t^{1 / 3} \exp \left(\frac{27 t^{2 / 3}}{4 K^{2}}\right)\left(t F_{1}(r) B_{1}+F_{2}(r) B_{2}\right) \tag{146}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{1}=\operatorname{HeunB}\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{54 q^{2}(1+q)}{(-2 q(1+q))^{3 / 2} K^{3}},-\frac{4(1+q) K^{6}-729 q}{8 K^{6}(1+q)},-\frac{27 q}{K^{3} \sqrt{-2 q(1+q)}}, \frac{\sqrt{-2 q(1+q)} K t^{2 / 3}}{2 q}\right), \\
B_{2}=\operatorname{HeunB}\left(-\frac{3}{2}, \frac{54 q^{2}(1+q)}{(-2 q(1+q))^{3 / 2} K^{3}},-\frac{4(1+q) K^{6}-729 q}{8 K^{6}(1+q)},-\frac{27 q}{K^{3} \sqrt{-2 q(1+q)}}, \frac{\sqrt{-2 q(1+q)} K t^{2 / 3}}{2 q}\right) . \tag{147}
\end{gather*}
$$

$B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ are two different biconfluent Heun's functions, and $F_{1}(r)$ and $F_{2}(r)$ are undetermined functions of $r$. Hence, equation (144) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(t, r)=q t^{1 / 3} \exp \left(\frac{27 t^{2 / 3}}{4 K^{2}}\right)\left(t F_{1}(r) B_{1}+F_{2}(r) B_{2}\right) \tag{148}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, from equation (138), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta(t, r)= & \frac{1}{9 K^{2}} \exp \left(\frac{27 t^{2 / 3}}{4 K^{2}}\right)\left[\frac{2}{t^{5 / 3}}\left\{(1+q) K^{4} t^{10 / 3}+\frac{27}{4} q t^{8 / 3}+\frac{3}{2} q K^{2} t^{2}\right\} B_{1} F_{1}^{\prime}\right.  \tag{149}\\
& \left.+2\left\{(1+q) K^{4} t^{2 / 3}+\frac{27}{4} q\right\} B_{2} F_{2}^{\prime}+K^{3} \sqrt{-2 q(1+q)}\left\{t \tilde{B}_{1} F_{1}^{\prime}+\tilde{B}_{2} F_{2}^{\prime}\right\}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

The tilde over B's denotes the $z$-derivative of the biconfluent Heun's function, HeunB $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, z)$.
Case 2:
Putting $\chi(t, r)=0$, equation (142) reduces to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-3+\frac{4}{9 t^{2}}+\frac{3}{K^{2} t^{4 / 3}}\right) \psi+\left(\frac{2}{3} K^{2} t^{1 / 3}-\frac{2}{3 t}\right) \dot{\psi}+\ddot{\psi}=0 \tag{150}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is solved by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(t, r)=t^{1 / 3} \exp \left(-\frac{t^{2 / 3}\left(K^{4} t^{2 / 3}+27\right)}{4 K^{2}}\right)\left(t F_{3}(r) B_{3}+F_{4}(r) B_{4}\right) \tag{151}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{3} & =\operatorname{HeunB}\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{27 \sqrt{2}}{2 K^{3}}, \frac{\left(4 K^{6}+729\right)}{8 K^{6}},-\frac{27 \sqrt{2}}{2 K^{3}}, \frac{\sqrt{2} K t^{2 / 3}}{2}\right),  \tag{152}\\
B_{4} & =\operatorname{HeunB}\left(-\frac{3}{2}, \frac{27 \sqrt{2}}{2 K^{3}}, \frac{\left(4 K^{6}+729\right)}{8 K^{6}},-\frac{27 \sqrt{2}}{2 K^{3}}, \frac{\sqrt{2} K t^{2 / 3}}{2}\right) . \tag{153}
\end{align*}
$$

Inserting this in equation (138) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta(t, r)=\frac{1}{18 K^{2} t^{5 / 3}} \exp \left(-\frac{t^{2 / 3}\left(K^{4} t^{2 / 3}+27\right)}{4 K^{2}}\right)[\{ & \left.6 K^{2} t^{2}-27 t^{8 / 3}\right\} B_{3} F_{3}^{\prime}-27 t^{5 / 3} B_{4} F_{4}^{\prime}  \tag{154}\\
& \left.+2 \sqrt{2} K^{3}\left\{t^{8 / 3} \tilde{B}_{3} F_{3}^{\prime}+t^{5 / 3} \tilde{B}_{4} F_{4}^{\prime}\right\}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Here $F_{3}(r)$ and $F_{4}(r)$ are undetermined functions of $r$. As in the previous case, two different biconfluent Heun's functions represented by $B_{3}$ and $B_{4}$ appear in the $t$-solution. The tilde over ' $B$ '- s indicates the respective $z$-derivatives.

Case 3:
Lastly, we set $\psi(t, r)$ and its derivatives to zero so that equation (142) becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-3 \chi+\frac{2}{3} K^{2} t^{1 / 3} \dot{\chi}=0 \tag{155}
\end{equation*}
$$

In its solution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(t, r)=F_{5}(r) \exp \left[\frac{27 t^{2 / 3}}{4 K^{2}}\right] \tag{156}
\end{equation*}
$$

$F_{5}(r)$ remains undetermined. Subsequently, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(t, r)=\frac{2}{9} K^{2} t^{1 / 3} \exp \left[\frac{27 t^{2 / 3}}{4 K^{2}}\right] F_{5}^{\prime} \tag{157}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Discussions

In all the three cases discussed here, we find that the radial part of the solutions remain undetermined. However, on inspection, it can be shown from the set of equations (138)-(143) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(t, r) \propto \mathcal{R}_{\mu}^{\prime}(r) \quad \text { or } \quad \zeta(t, r) \propto \int \mathcal{R}_{\mu}(r) d r, \quad \quad \mu=\chi, \psi \tag{158}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathcal{R}(r)$ is the radial part of the perturbations $\chi(t, r)$ and $\psi(t, r)$. This indicates that $\mathcal{R}(r)$ will behave sinusoidally. Hence, one can express it in the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}_{\mu}(r)=\lambda_{1} \sin (M r)+\lambda_{2} \cos (M r) \tag{159}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subsequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{R}_{\zeta}(r)\right|=\lambda_{3}\left[\lambda_{1} \cos (M r)-\lambda_{2} \sin (M r)\right] \tag{160}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ and $M$ are constants. Since the $t$ and $r$-solutions separate as a product in the Regge-Wheeler formalism, the polar perturbation terms can be split as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu(t, r)=\mathcal{T}_{\nu}(t) \mathcal{R}_{\nu}(r), \quad \nu=\chi, \psi, \zeta \tag{161}
\end{equation*}
$$

the $\mathcal{T}_{\nu}(t)$ solutions being explicitly obtained in equations (146)-(149), (151)-(154), (156)-(157), and the $\mathcal{R}_{\nu}(r)$ solutions in (159) and (160).

Let us concentrate on the polar solutions of Case 1. The solutions may be simplified and represented graphically. Without any loss of generality, one can write that $F_{1}(r)=F_{2}(r)=R_{\mu}(r)$, and set $q=K=1$ (in all terms including the Heun's functions), so that the equations (146) and (148) reduce to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(t, r)=\psi(t, r)=t^{1 / 3} \exp \left(\frac{27 t^{2 / 3}}{4 K^{2}}\right)\left(t B_{1}+B_{2}\right) \mathcal{R}_{\mu}(r) \tag{162}
\end{equation*}
$$

The temporal part of this expression $\mathcal{T}_{\mu}(t)=t^{1 / 3} \exp \left(\frac{27 t^{2 / 3}}{4 K^{2}}\right)\left(t B_{1}+B_{2}\right)$ is plotted as a function of $t$ (Fig.(4)). We find that the amplitudes rise with the passing of time because the solutions are exponentially increasing with time. The solutions in Case 2 are similar to those in Case 1. The temporal part of solutions in Case 3 do not show any oscillatory nature but only exponential increase in amplitude. Further, we can assume the constants in the radial solutions (Eqs.(159) and (160)) to be unity and generate the 3-dimensional plots (Figs.(5)-(7)) of the perturbations in each set of the polar solutions we have derived.


FIG. 4: $\mathcal{T}_{\mu}(t)$ given by Eqn.(162) (denoted by $\chi(t)$ in the figure) is plotted against $t$.
From the plot in Fig.(4), we can determine an order-of-magnitude estimate of the frequency of the polar waves to be around 1600 Hz . The strain generated by the GWs of a given frequency can be useful in constraining the perturbation parameters as we have discussed in the Kantowski-Sachs background (see Sec. 7 of [42]). Following the same procedure to calculate the strain from theoretical analysis, we find that the strain in Bianchi I spacetime (with $\theta=\pi / 45$ ) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \simeq\left(\frac{0.627 e}{M \epsilon_{r}}\right) t \exp \left(27 t^{2 / 3}\right)\left(t B_{1}+B_{2}\right)\left[\lambda_{1}\left\{\cos \left(M \epsilon_{r}\right)-1\right\}-\lambda_{2} \sin \left(M \epsilon_{r}\right)\right] \tag{163}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is four times the strain obtained in the Kantowski-Sachs spacetime [42]. Here, $\epsilon_{r}$ is the initial separation between two particles at rest along the radial direction. Corresponding to the frequency range $1000-2000 \mathrm{~Hz}$, the available observations put the strain at $10^{-24}$ [75],citePAPA2. In order to agree with this data, the theoretically determined expression of $h$ must have the constraints: (i) $e \ll \epsilon_{r}$, (ii) the term $M \epsilon_{r}$ will be extremely large, and (iii) the parameters $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ must be small. This is similar to the results obtained in [42], differing only in the magnitude of the strain.


FIG. 5: The vertical axes represent the polar perturbations in Case 1: (a) $\chi(t, r)$ given by Eqn.(146), and (b) $\zeta(t, r)$ given by Eqn.(149).


FIG. 6: The vertical axes represent (a) $\psi(t, r)$ from Eqn.(151), and (b) $\zeta(t, r)$ from Eqn.(154) in Case 2 of polar solutions.

## VII. CONCLUSIONS

We have investigated the axial and polar perturbations to a matter-filled Bianchi I spacetime using the ReggeWheeler gauge. To begin with, we derived the solutions of the background field equations. The relation $a=b^{n}$ among the scale factors imply a matter content which behaves like a stiff perfect fluid. We have solved for the scale factors, the fluid pressure and energy density as explicit functions of time. The numerical value of $n$ can be exactly determined for the stiff fluid as well as for the vacuum case from the conditions proposed by Jacobs [70]. The two different values of $n$ subsequently leads to somewhat different nature of the GW solutions in the presence and absence of matter. No such condition was available for determining Kantowski-Sachs background solutions, the $n$-value remaining arbitrary for the stiff fluid (we assumed $n=1 / 2$ ), but was obtainable for the vacuum background [42].

Moving on to the perturbed metric, the axial and polar perturbation equations are treated separately. In either case, these equations yield the $t$ and $r$-solutions of the perturbing terms. The $\theta$-dependence is defined by the term $\sin \theta\left(\partial_{\theta} Y\right)$ in the axial case (23) and by $Y(\theta)$ in the polar case (26) (and (28)). In accordance with [10], the $\phi$ -


FIG. 7: The polar perturbations in Case 3: (a) $\chi(t, r)$ from Eqn.(156), and (b) $\zeta(t, r)$ from Eqn.(157) are shown along the vertical axes.
dependence has been removed at the beginning by choosing $m=0$. Thus every perturbing element can be expressed by a product of four terms, each being a function of only one of the coordinates $t, r, \theta$ and $\phi$.

In Sec. V, we have dealt with the axial perturbations. First we derived the linearised field equations for the axially perturbed metric (24). Then we obtained the wave equation and the respective analytical solutions in terms of $\mathcal{Q}(t, r)$, from which the axial perturbations $h_{0}(t, r)$ and $h_{1}(t, r)$ are evaluated. In the wave equation (68), the pre-factor of $\mathcal{Q}$ serves as an effective potential (analogous to Ref.[10], Ref.[13], Ref.[77]). Therefore, these equations are nothing but wave equations in a scattering potential, as mentioned by Rezzolla [77]. Both the first-order and second-order derivatives of $\mathcal{Q}$ are present in these equations. The terms $\dot{\mathcal{Q}}$ and $\mathcal{Q}^{\prime}$ are responsible for the damping of the axial GWs travelling in the $(t-r)$ hypersurface. We can infer that this damping originates from the anisotropy of the B-I background. It is known that unlike in FLRW universe [19, 22], damping terms appear in LTB background [37]. Such damping has been found to occur in Kantowski-Sachs spacetime [42] also. In all the cases analysed here ((77)-(84)), the solutions of $\mathcal{Q}(t, r)$, and hence $h_{0}(t, r)$ and $h_{1}(t, r)$ in the matter-filled spacetime are very similar. They are in the form of combinations of hypergeometric and modified Bessel functions of first kind. To arrive at physical solutions, one has to consider the real part of the imaginary terms occurring here. When $\alpha$ (the power of $r$ ), is non-zero, as in Set II, irrespective of the azimuthal fluid velocity $U$ (Eqns.(80) and (84)), $r$ appears as a multiplicative factor in the denominator. For different $U$-values, but the same set of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ (Eqns.(78) and (82)), the difference is due to the presence of $r$ as a coefficient of the second term (sum of hypergeometric and Bessel functions) in the numerator of (82). Making assumptions for determining $U$, in particular the normalisation condition (33), is a crucial step in finding complete solutions for the perturbations $h_{0}(t, r)$ and $h_{1}(t, r)$. Its value depends explicitly on $\theta$ and $b(t)$, and sometimes on $r$ (See Eqns.(73), (75), (76)). In our work, we have considered $\mathcal{O}\left(e^{2}\right) \sim e^{2} r^{2}$. Sharif and his collaborators derived the expression for the azimuthal velocity from the solutions of the axial perturbations [22]. However, in B-I spacetime, the equations are not as simple as in [22] because of the different scale factors, and we assume possible expressions for $U$ in order to find the axial solutions. The solutions become easier to derive in absence of matter. The expressions for $h_{0}(t, r)$ and $h_{1}(t, r)$ are obtained in terms of Heun's biconfluent functions (temporal part) and sinusoidal functions (radial part) (see Ref.[43]). Unlike in the case of matter-filled spacetime, the vacuum perturbation equations do not require additional assumptions and can be solved by the method of separation of variables.

We have used $l=2$ for wave-like solutions in both the axial and polar cases. For $l=0$ the spherical harmonics are characterised by spherical symmetry. However, $l \geq 0$ indicates deviation from spherical symmetry, which renders the quadrupole moment non-zero, and hence important in gravitational radiations. According to Clarkson et al. [37], scalars on $S^{2}$ can be expressed as a sum over polar modes, and higher-rank tensors as sums over both the polar and axial modes. We need to consider $l \geq 2$ in order to take into account the axial modes coming from the expansion of both vector and tensor functions. The value of $l$ determines the height of the effective potential barrier, given by the coefficient of $\mathcal{Q}$ in the wave equation for axial modes [10]. A change in the value of $l$ brings about only a small change in the Heun's function, without affecting the radial solution [43]. Consequently, the expressions for $h_{0}(t, r)$ and $h_{1}(t, r)$ will slightly change. Although no axial perturbations with $l=0$ are feasible [37], there exist polar perturbations for all values: $l=0,1,2 \cdots$. In the $(0-0)$ element of the polar perturbation matrix (Eqn.(26)), a third term $\eta(t, r)$
appears in addition to $\chi(t, r)$ and $\psi(t, r)$. Studies have shown that $\eta$ vanishes in the FLRW background [20, 30]. But Clarkson et al. [37] remarked that when $l=0$ or 1, i.e. for large angle fluctuations, the field equations do not yield $\eta=0$. The assumption $\eta=0$ itself acts as a constraint equation [37]. We have treated the zero and non-zero values of $\eta$ in separate subsections.

The stiff fluid conditions have been used to simplify the polar perturbation equations in presence of matter. In this case, under certain assumptions, we have been able to extract a solution (Eqn.(127)) for the polar perturbation $\chi(t, r)$. However, this solution carries an undetermined function depending on both $t$ and $r$, i.e., the temporal and radial parts do not separate out as products and therefore cannot be considered as a valid solution in the context of RW gauge. Since absence of matter further simplifies the perturbation equations, so we analysed the vacuum case in details. We find that the polar perturbation equations, even with $\eta=0$, contain far more complicated couplings among the perturbing elements than the axial perturbations to the B-I background (and Kantowski-Sachs backrgound) and also their FLRW counterparts. Unlike in the case of FLRW background [20, 23, 30], no perturbation equation in a single variable can be obtained in the B-I case, thereby complicating the derivation of analytical solutions for the polar modes. Following Clarkson et al. [37], we have solved the equations analytically in some particular cases, such as assuming $\chi(t, r)$ and $\psi(t, r)$ proportional to each other, or switching off either $\chi(t, r)$ or $\psi(t, r)$. In each case, the polar solutions may be expressed as a product of a radial function which is sinusoidal in nature, and a temporal function which is again a combination of biconfluent Heun's functions and their derivatives (Eqns.(146)-(149), (151)-(154)) or contains an exponential function (Eqns.(156), (157)). The figures in (5)-(7) show the nature of these solutions. The combined effects of these complicated perturbing terms will result in the spacetime perturbations.

The effects of axial and polar GWs on the material medium, which are already established in the FLRW background [20, 22, 23, 27], have been found to hold in the Bianchi I background also. The azimuthal velocity of the fluid is perturbed by the axial modes only. The non-zero value of azimuthal velocity $U$ indicates the cosmological rotation of the fluid induced by the propagating axial GWs. The remaining components are influenced by the polar GWs. Besides, the energy density and pressure undergo deformation due to the polar modes but remain unaffected in the presence of axial waves. We have shown that polar modes cannot exist if the matter perturbations vanish. Thus the polar GWs must be followed up by matter inhomogeneities and anisotropies. The same effects have been demonstrated in our studies on Kantowski-Sachs background [42]. Comparing the corresponding results in Bianchi I and KantowskiSachs spacetimes, we find that both the axial and polar GWs are much alike in nature. The nature of temporal and radial solutions of the axial perturbation equations in vacuum are very similar. Due to the difference in the term containing $l$ in the wave equation, a minor difference appears only in the Heun's function. The polar solutions in vacuum also closely resemble one another, except for differences in the numerical factors within the exponential and Heun's functions. The assumption that $\cot \theta \simeq 1 / \theta$, valid for small values of $\theta$, has been made in B-I case, but is not required in the KS background. This is because of the nature of the line element of the background spacetime.
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