

Decoherence and momentum relaxation in Fermi-polaron Rabi dynamics: a kinetic equation approach

Tomasz Wasak,¹ Matteo Sighinolfi,² Johannes Lang,^{1,3} Francesco Piazza,^{1,*} and Alessio Recati^{2,4,†}

¹Max-Planck-Institut für Physik komplexer Systeme, Nöthnitzer Str. 38, 01187 Dresden, Germany

²INO-CNR BEC Center and Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Trento, 38123 Trento, Italy

³Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität zu Köln, Zùlpicher Straße 77, 50937 Cologne, Germany

⁴Trento Institute for Fundamental Physics and Applications, INFN, 38123, Trento, Italy

(Dated: May 13, 2022)

Despite the paradigmatic nature and simplicity of the Fermi-polaron model, the theoretical description of its nonlinear dynamics poses interesting challenges. Here, we apply a quantum kinetic theory of the dynamics of driven Fermi-polarons to recent experiments with ultracold atoms, where Rabi oscillations between a Fermi-polaron state and a non-interacting atomic level were reported. The resulting equations clearly separate decoherence from momentum relaxation, with the corresponding rates showing a different dependence on microscopic scattering processes and quasi-particle properties. We can describe both the polaron ground state and the so-called repulsive-polaron excited state and we find a good quantitative agreement between our predictions and the available experimental data without any fitting parameter.

Introduction.— The polaron concept plays a paradigmatic role in the understanding of collective phenomena in a variety of models with very different realizations. The Fermi polaron - a mobile impurity interacting with a reservoir of fermionic particles - became the object of intense study after its experimental realization with ultracold atomic gases [1–6], and more recently in monolayer semiconductors [7, 8]. Studying this problem has offered valuable insights into the many-body physics of Fermi-Fermi or Bose-Fermi mixtures and their correlated phases [4].

Thanks to the experimental ability to excite the impurity in a controlled manner, the collective, nonlinear dynamics of Fermi polarons is also a matter of investigation [9]. In particular, recent experiments with ultracold atoms exploited the control on the internal electronic structure to drive coherent Rabi dynamics between a non-interacting impurity state and a polaron state [10–12]. This allowed to study the interplay of relaxation and decoherence induced by collisions in this paradigmatic setting.

In thermal equilibrium and especially in three spatial dimensions, due to the simplicity of the Fermi-polaron model, fully solvable microscopic approaches, like simple variational Ansätze [13, 14], have produced quantitatively valid predictions. However, the theoretical description of the problem becomes more challenging when considering the dynamics. For instance, the theoretical description of the Fermi-polaron Rabi dynamics based on a time-dependent variational approach revealed some nontrivial features, like the absence of decay from the repulsive to the attractive branch, which were required to achieve agreement with the experimental data [12]. Moreover, theoretical predictions have been so far available only in the case where the excited repulsive-polaron branch was driven, while the experiments driving the low-lying attractive-polaron branch are still missing a theo-

retical counterpart.

In this work, we employ a quantum kinetic approach [15] to the description of the Fermi-polaron Rabi dynamics. This approach is physically very transparent: it describes the system by the many-body version of the equation of motion for the density matrix of a two-level system and, as a byproduct, distinguishes between decoherence and momentum relaxation, with the corresponding rates showing a different dependence on microscopic scattering processes and quasi-particle properties. By enforcing the conservation of the total particle number on the solution of our quantum kinetic equations, we find good agreement with the available experimental data, for both the case of driving the repulsive and the attractive polaron branch, within a simple approximation for the scattering between the impurity and the bath, and without any fitting parameters. This indicates that the experiments realize the situation where polarons (and not the bare particles) perform Rabi oscillations.

Model and kinetic equations.—We consider a homogeneous system, see Fig. 1, composed of a bath of atoms in state $|1\rangle$, and impurity atoms in state $|3\rangle$, which are Rabi-coupled to a non-interacting state $|2\rangle$. We assume that the states are different internal levels of the same isotope, and, therefore, the impurity atoms and the atoms from the bath have the same mass m . The Hamiltonian of the system can be written as

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i=1,2,3} \hat{H}_i + \hat{H}_{\text{int}} + \hat{H}_{\Omega}, \quad (1)$$

where $\hat{H}_i = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (\mathbf{k}^2/2m) \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k},i}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k},i}$ describes free fermions with momentum \mathbf{k} (hereafter $\hbar = 1$) in state $|i\rangle$ ($\hat{c}_{\mathbf{k},i}$ is the annihilation operator), while the contact interaction potential $\hat{H}_{\text{int}} = \frac{U_0}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{q}} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{q}}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}, 3}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}, 3} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}', 1}$. In particular, in absence of the Rabi coupling, when the impurity is in the state $|3\rangle$, the interaction of the minority atoms with the bath leads to emergence of quasi-




Figure 1. **(a)** A highly imbalanced mixture of atoms in state $|1\rangle$ (majority, blue) and $|2\rangle$ (minority, red dot in a circle) is held at temperature T with zero interspecies interaction. The Rabi coupling Ω drives the transitions between states $|2\rangle$ and $|3\rangle$. In the latter, minority atoms occupy repulsive and attractive Fermi-polaron branches, formed due to the interaction with the majority component, while δ_α is the detuning between the non-interacting state and the polaron levels (here only $\alpha = a$ is shown). **(b)** Polaron dispersion relations for atoms in state $|3\rangle$. The interaction with the majority atoms induces inter- and intra-band transitions, with rates $W^{\alpha\beta}$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \{a, r\}$.

particles known as polarons. In addition to a negative energy attractive branch, there exists a meta-stable repulsive polaron branch at positive energy. The polaron dispersion relations at small momenta are given by $\varepsilon_\alpha(\mathbf{k}) \approx E_\alpha + \mathbf{k}^2/2m_\alpha^*$, where $\alpha = a$ ($\alpha = r$) stands for the attractive (repulsive) branch with $E_a < 0$ ($E_r > 0$), and both of which have a non-negative quasi-particle weight $Z_\alpha(\mathbf{k}) \leq 1$. The parameters E_α and m_α^* are referred to as the polaron energy and polaron effective mass, respectively.

The last term in Eq. (1) induces the Rabi oscillations between the states $|2\rangle$ and $|3\rangle$, and, in the rotating wave approximation, can be written as

$$\hat{H}_\Omega = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left[\frac{\Omega}{2} (\hat{c}_{\mathbf{k},3}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k},2} + \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k},2}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k},3}) + \Delta \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k},2}^\dagger \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k},2} \right], \quad (2)$$

where Ω is the bare Rabi frequency and Δ is the bare detuning from the transition $|2\rangle \leftrightarrow |3\rangle$.

In the experiments by Scazza *et al.* [10] for equal masses and by Kohstall *et al.* [9] for large mass imbalance, as well as by Oppong *et al.* [11] in quasi-2D geometry, it has been shown that it is possible to drive long-lived coherent Rabi oscillations between the non-interacting state and both the repulsive or the attractive polaron states. The resonant energies and the renormalized Rabi frequencies of the oscillations were found to be in reasonable agreement with an analysis based on the assumption that Ω does not affect the polaron properties. On the other hand, the decay rate of the oscillations, especially for the supposedly long-lived attractive polaron, has not found a proper explanation yet. Very recently, a variational approach has been able to capture the dynamics for the repulsive branch. This theory, however, cannot be applied to the attractive branch [16].

Our aim is to provide an equation of motion for the impurity, which eventually must resemble a Rabi coupled system in presence of a bath, which is able to take into account the quasi-particle nature of the polarons, and to explain how the static polaron properties enter in the Rabi oscillations. The following equation for the single-particle density matrix has the desired properties:

$$\dot{n}_\alpha - i \frac{Z_\alpha \Omega}{2} (f_{2\alpha} - f_{2\alpha}^*) = I_\alpha, \quad (3a)$$

$$\dot{n}_2 + i \frac{\Omega}{2} (f_{2\alpha} - f_{2\alpha}^*) = 0, \quad (3b)$$

$$\dot{f}_{2\alpha} - i \tilde{Z}_\alpha \delta_\alpha f_{2\alpha} + i \frac{\tilde{Z}_\alpha \Omega}{2} (n_2 - n_\alpha) = -\frac{\Gamma_\alpha^{\text{dec}}}{2} f_{2\alpha}, \quad (3c)$$

where we dropped t and \mathbf{k} for brevity. The effective detuning $\delta_\alpha(\mathbf{k}) = \varepsilon_\alpha(\mathbf{k}) - \varepsilon_2(\mathbf{k}) - \Delta \simeq 0$ determines whether the attractive $\alpha = a$ or the repulsive $\alpha = r$ polaron is involved in the dynamics. In this notation, $n_\alpha(\mathbf{k}, t)$ is the occupation of the polaron branch α at momentum \mathbf{k} , $n_2(\mathbf{k}, t)$ is the occupation of the state $|2\rangle$, which is coherently coupled to the branch α , and $f_{2\alpha}(\mathbf{k}, t)$ is the coherence between atoms in the state $|2\rangle$ and α polarons.

The quantum kinetic equations (3) can be obtained following the general approach established by Kadanoff and Baym [17] for time-dependent Green's functions, thereby extending previous works (see, e.g., [18],[19]) on the derivation of the kinetic equations for spin-1/2 Fermi quantum fluids in magnetic fields [20] to three level systems in the highly imbalanced case (i.e., the impurity limit). While the detailed derivation will be presented in a future, more technical manuscript [21], we briefly discuss the main approximations. Within the usual Kramers-Moyal [19] expansion, necessary to derive time-local equations, we drop the back-flow term [22] (see also below) and assume that the polaron spectral properties, in particular the parameters Z_α , E_α and m_α , are time-independent. Moreover, the equations are derived by projecting on the energy shell of the impurity interacting with the equilibrium bath (see, e.g., [14]), i.e., the polaron branch unmodified by the driving laser.

The left-hand side of the kinetic equations in Eq. (3) predicts coherent oscillations with a renormalized Rabi frequency, which for $\delta_\alpha = 0$ is simply $\sqrt{Z_\alpha} \Omega$. The latter result is in agreement with the expression obtained by using a stationary variational Ansatz [9, 10].

The right-hand side of the kinetic equations is due to collisions between minority and majority atoms. The main advantage of our approach is to separate this into i) the redistribution of the polaron population n_α , described by the collision integral I_α , and ii) the loss of coherence between the α polaron and the non-interacting state, described by the decoherence rate $\Gamma_\alpha^{\text{dec}}$. The population of the α polaron branch can be changed as a result of both inter- and intra-branch collisions. In the impurity limit $n_\alpha(\mathbf{k}) \approx 0$, the collision integral [23] takes the

intuitive form $I_\alpha = \sum_\beta I_{\alpha\beta}$, where

$$I_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} \left[W_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\alpha\beta} n_\beta(\mathbf{k}') - W_{\mathbf{k}'\mathbf{k}}^{\beta\alpha} n_\alpha(\mathbf{k}) \right], \quad (4)$$

with the transition rates $W_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}^{\beta\alpha}$ from the α -branch with momentum \mathbf{k} to the β -branch with momentum \mathbf{k}' . The same transition rates determine the decoherence rate

$$\Gamma_\alpha^{\text{dec}}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{\tilde{Z}_\alpha(\mathbf{k})}{Z_\alpha(\mathbf{k})} \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}',\beta} W_{\mathbf{k}'\mathbf{k}}^{\beta\alpha}. \quad (5)$$

The above expressions show that the redistribution of population and the decoherence, while both originating from collisions, are different in nature. While the redistribution results from the imbalance between *in* and *out* scattering processes (the first and the second term in Eq. (4), respectively), the decoherence rate from Eq. (5) is just a constant, i.e., density independent, due to possible scattering processes between a polaron α at momentum \mathbf{k} and a polaron β at momentum \mathbf{k}' . The population redistribution drives the minority atoms towards thermal equilibrium with the majority ones, the collision integral Eq. (4) being indeed nullified by the Boltzmann equilibrium distribution $n_\alpha^{\text{eq}}(\mathbf{k}) \propto e^{-\varepsilon_\alpha(\mathbf{k})/T}$, where T is the temperature of the majority component. We also observe that for decreasing quasi-particle weight the factor $\tilde{Z}_\alpha/Z_\alpha = 2/(1 + Z_\alpha)$ in Eq. (5) increases the role of the decoherence term compared to the redistribution rate.

The transition rates are due to the scattering of a polaron with an atom of the majority component. They follow the Fermi's golden rule and are given by

$$W_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{2\pi}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{Q}} |T_{\text{sc}}(\mathbf{Q}, \varepsilon_\beta(\mathbf{k}') + \varepsilon_1(\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{k}'))|^2 Z_\alpha(\mathbf{k}) Z_\beta(\mathbf{k}') \\ \times \delta(\varepsilon_\alpha(\mathbf{k}) + \varepsilon_1(\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{k}) - \varepsilon_1(\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{k}') - \varepsilon_\beta(\mathbf{k}')) \\ \times n_1^{\text{eq}}(\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{k}') [1 - n_1^{\text{eq}}(\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{k})], \quad (6)$$

where $T_{\text{sc}}(\mathbf{Q}, \varepsilon)$ is the scattering matrix with \mathbf{Q} and ε being the total momentum and the total energy of the particles entering the collision. The Dirac delta in Eq. (6) enforces the energy conservation in the collision, and $n_1^{\text{eq}}(\mathbf{k}) = 1/[e^{(\varepsilon_1(\mathbf{k}) - \mu)/T} + 1]$ is the thermal distribution of the majority atoms with chemical potential μ , where $\varepsilon_1(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{k}^2/2m$. In addition, the quasi-particle weights of the initial and final states renormalize the transition rates.

For the attractive polaron branch, the decoherence rate $\Gamma_a^{\text{dec}}(\mathbf{k})$ is particularly simple at small momenta since the scattering of the majority atoms takes place in the vicinity of the Fermi surface. Therefore, we may approximate:

$$\Gamma_a^{\text{dec}}(k) \approx \frac{\tilde{Z}_a(0) Z_a(0) m^2 |T_{\text{sc}}(k_F, \varepsilon_F + \varepsilon_a(k))|^2}{4\pi^3} \times \\ \int_0^\infty k'^2 dk' \frac{\varepsilon_a(k') - \varepsilon_a(k) + T \ln \left[\frac{1 + e^{-\frac{\varepsilon_F}{T}}}{e^{-\frac{\varepsilon_F}{T}} + e^{-\frac{\varepsilon_a(k') - \varepsilon_a(k)}{T}}} \right]}{\max(k', k) [e^{-\frac{\varepsilon_a(k') - \varepsilon_a(k)}{T}} - 1]}, \quad (7)$$

from which is clear that the timescale of the decoherence rate in the Rabi oscillations is set by $|T_{\text{sc}}(k_F, \varepsilon_F + \varepsilon_a(0))|^2$ and a k -dependent function originating from the phase space.

In the case of the repulsive branch, aside from the trivial replacement $a \rightarrow r$ in Eq. (7), Γ_r^{dec} and I_r also contain terms $\propto W^{\text{ar}}$, describing collisions that result in de-excitation to the attractive branch. This rate crucially depends on the detailed form of the T -matrix, since the integral runs over a large range of energies $\sim \varepsilon_r - \varepsilon_a$, i.e., the collisions are not restricted to the vicinity of the Fermi surface. However, the observed decay rate [10] suggests that such a process is absent at weak interactions, where it is instead replaced by a much slower three-body recombination to the molecular state. As detailed below, we will present results for the repulsive polaron for $k_F a > 0$ and quite far from unitarity.

Setting and parameters.— In the following, we compare the solution of our kinetic equations to the experimental results reported in Ref. [10]. The impurity atoms are initially prepared in the non-interacting state $|2\rangle$ and in thermal equilibrium with the bath at temperature T . The initial condition for solving the kinetic equations are thus $n_\alpha = 0$, $f_{2\alpha} = 0$ and $n_2^{\text{eq}}(\mathbf{k}) = [e^{(\varepsilon_2(\mathbf{k}) - \mu_2)/T} + 1]^{-1}$, where the chemical potential μ_2 fixes the imbalance $x \equiv \rho_2/\rho_1$, where $\rho_i = (1/V) \sum_{\mathbf{k}} n_i^{\text{eq}}(\mathbf{k})$. We compute the polaron parameters $Z_\alpha(\mathbf{k})$ [24], E_α , m_α , and $T_{\text{sc}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ within the non-self-consistent (NSC) T -matrix approach [14]. In particular, the scattering matrix reads

$$T_{\text{sc}}^{-1}(\mathbf{Q}, \omega) = \frac{m}{4\pi a} - \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left(\frac{1 - n_1^{\text{eq}}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{Q}/2)}{\omega - \frac{\mathbf{Q}^2 + 4\mathbf{k}^2}{4m} + i0^+} + \frac{m}{\mathbf{k}^2} \right), \quad (8)$$

where a is the s -wave scattering length between the atoms in state $|1\rangle$ and in state $|3\rangle$, whose relation to the two-body contact potential reads: $U_0^{-1} = m/(4\pi a) - (1/V) \sum_{\mathbf{k}} m/k^2$. This approach, even close to unitarity, is known to compare well with experimental findings and quantum Monte Carlo calculations when it comes to polaron energies [10, 25]. The results for the effective mass of the repulsive polaron and its quasi-particle weight are slightly underestimated, whereas for the attractive polaron the weight is slightly overestimated.

To be consistent with the experiment [10], we set $T = 0.135\varepsilon_F$, $\Omega = 0.7\varepsilon_F$ and the imbalance $x = 0.15$. We numerically determine the observable quantity $N_2/(N_2 + N_3)$, where N_i is the total atom number in the state $i = 2, 3$, and the physical conservation of particles constrains $N_2(t) + N_3(t) = N_2(t = 0)$. At this point it is important to notice that, due to the omission of the back-flow term, the kinetic equations in Eq. (3) conserve the sum $N_3(t) + \tilde{N}_2(t)$, with $\tilde{N}_2(t) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} Z_\alpha n_2(\mathbf{k}, t)$ and $N_3(t) = \sum_{\beta, \mathbf{k}} n_\beta(t, \mathbf{k})$, where the polaron α is on resonance with the non-interacting state. Since at the initial time, a fraction $1 - Z_\alpha$ is missing




Figure 2. Rabi oscillations of the attractive polarons for $1/(k_F a) = -0.25, 0$ and 0.25 (top to bottom). The red dots show the experimental observables [10]. The solid red line is calculated from Eq. (3) and the non-self-consistent T -matrix approximation in Eq. (6). The red shaded region shows the confidence interval for 20% relative uncertainty in the temperature. The parameters: $\Omega = 0.7\varepsilon_F$, $T = 0.135\varepsilon_F$, $\delta_a(0) = 0$.

from \tilde{N}_2 with respect to the total N_2 , in order to implement the conservation law we assume for consistency that this missing part is not evolving in time and directly add it to $\tilde{N}_2(t)$ to obtain $N_2(t)$. Therefore, the experimental observable $N_2/(N_2 + N_3)$ can be rewritten as $1 - \sum_{\alpha, \mathbf{k}} n_{\alpha}(t, \mathbf{k})/N_2(t=0)$. We note that the same form can be obtained by using the total number conservation to rewrite $N_2(t)/(N_2(t) + N_3(t)) = 1 - N_3(t)/(N_2(t) + N_3(t))$. By adding to Eqs. (3) back-flow terms and gradient corrections to the collision integral encoding memory effects, proper particle-number conservation can be achieved [26], but at the cost of largely increasing the complexity of the equations as well as the computational demand of their solution. This is beyond the scope of the present work and will be the subject of future investigations.

Attractive polaron.— In order to proceed with the description of the Rabi oscillations of attractive polarons we set $\delta_a(\mathbf{k} = 0) = 0$. In Fig. 2, we show the dynamics for three different values of $1/(k_F a)$ around unitarity. The polaron parameters $(E_a/\varepsilon_F, m_*/m, Z_a(0))$ entering in the simulation for $1/(k_F a) = -0.25, 0, 0.25$ are $(-0.485, 1.118, 0.836)$, $(-0.625, 1.16, 0.775)$ and $(-0.858, 1.29, 0.673)$, respectively. For the two cases where we can compare our results with the experimental data from Ref. [10], we find good agreement. We assumed




Figure 3. Rabi oscillations of the repulsive polarons for $1/(k_F a) = 2.63$ and 1.27 (upper and lower panels, respectively). The blue solid line is calculated from Eq. (3), whereas the red dots are from the experiment [10]. The parameters are $\Omega = 0.7\varepsilon_F$, $T = 0.135\varepsilon_F$, $\delta_r(\mathbf{k} = 0) = 0$. The shaded blue region (mostly unnoticeable) corresponds to a 20% uncertainty in the temperature of the majority component.

here that the repulsive polarons are not populated, due to small Z_r and significant detuning, i.e., $n_r(t, \mathbf{k}) = 0$. The shaded region in Fig. 2 represents the dynamics for temperatures in the range $T \pm \Delta T = 0.135\varepsilon_F(1 \pm 20\%)$, corresponding to the experimental uncertainty in the determination of the temperature. In Fig. 2, we observe that the Rabi oscillations decay and are given by the renormalized frequency $\sqrt{Z_a}\Omega$, in good agreement with experimental data where available.

Repulsive polaron.— Our predictions for the Rabi oscillations of repulsive polarons, i.e., the case when the laser drive is resonant with the transition between state $|2\rangle$ and the repulsive polaron branch, i.e., $\delta_r(0) = 0$, are reported in Fig. 3 for the experimentally relevant cases $1/(k_F a) = 2.63$ and 1.27 , corresponding to the polaron parameters $(E_r/\varepsilon_F, m_*/m, Z_r(0)) = (0.442, 1.13, 0.823)$ and $(0.188, 1.07, 0.963)$, respectively.

The dots correspond to the experimental results from Ref. [10]. To simplify the problem, as before, we assumed that no population is coherently transferred to the attractive branch, i.e., $n_a(t, \mathbf{k}) = 0$. Neglecting the decay to the attractive branch, the decoherence rate of the repulsive polarons Γ_r^{dec} is not noticeable on the timescale considered. In Fig. 3, we observe indeed coherent Rabi oscillations given by the renormalized frequency $\sqrt{Z_r}\Omega$ without any significant decay of the signal, in good agreement with the experimental data. For these parameter regimes, the uncertainty in the temperature (shaded region) is almost unnoticeable.

For the parameters considered here, the collision integral plays only a minor part, and neglecting it is a very good approximation for observables shown in Figs. 2 and 3, which are dominated by the coherent Rabi trans-

fer between the states and the decoherence rate $\Gamma_\alpha^{\text{dec}}$. The smallness of the collisional integral also implies slow thermalization of the impurity [21].

Conclusions and perspectives.— In this work, we provided quantum kinetic equations for the single-particle density matrix of Fermi-polarons coherently driven between two internal levels. In the impurity limit, and as long as the polarons are well defined quasi-particles, our kinetic equations take the intuitive form shown in Eq. (3) – a many-body version of a dressed two level system. The coupling with the free Fermi bath, aside from dressing the bare impurities, provides a decoherence term in addition to the collision integral which redistributes particles between different momenta. Here we determined the coefficients entering in the kinetic equation within the so-called non-self consistent T -matrix – a simple approximation which is known to give very reasonable results for the equilibrium properties. We compared our results with available experimental data for both the ground state (attractive) polaron and the meta-stable (repulsive) polaron branch, finding good agreement without any fitting parameters. Interestingly, as pointed out in the experiment [10], it appears that the theoretically predicted strong decay of the repulsive polaron to the attractive branch does not play a role in the Rabi dynamics (see also the discussion in [12]).

Our approach provides a general tool to study out-of-equilibrium problems related to the fundamental concept of quasi-particles in many-body quantum systems, such as impurity thermalization [21], generation of quasi-particles in presence of strong Rabi coupling and repulsive-attractive polaron coherence, to cite a few.

Acknowledgments.— We thank Matteo Zaccanti and Francesco Scazza for providing us with the experimental data. Financial support from the Italian MIUR under the PRIN2017 project CEnTraL (Protocol Number 20172H2SC4), from the Provincia Autonoma di Trento and from Q@TN, the joint lab between University of Trento, FBK- Fondazione Bruno Kessler, INFN- National Institute for Nuclear Physics and CNR- National Research Council is acknowledged.

* piazza@pks.mpg.de

† alessio.recati@ino.cnr.it

- [1] A. Schirotzek, C.-H. Wu, A. Sommer, and M. W. Zwierlein, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 230402 (2009).
 [2] S. Nascimbène, N. Navon, K. J. Jiang, L. Tarruell, M. Teichmann, J. McKeever, F. Chevy, and C. Salomon, *Phys.*

- Rev. Lett.* **103**, 170402 (2009).
 [3] M. Koschorreck, D. Pertot, E. Vogt, B. Fröhlich, M. Feld, and M. Köhl, *Nature* **485**, 619 (2012).
 [4] P. Massignan, M. Zaccanti, and G. M. Bruun, *Reports on Progress in Physics* **77**, 034401 (2014).
 [5] M. Cetina, M. Jag, R. S. Lous, I. Fritsche, J. T. Walraven, R. Grimm, J. Levinsen, M. M. Parish, R. Schmidt, M. Knap, *et al.*, *Science* **354**, 96 (2016).
 [6] G. Ness, C. Shkedrov, Y. Florshaim, O. K. Diessel, J. von Milczewski, R. Schmidt, and Y. Sagi, *Phys. Rev. X* **10**, 041019 (2020).
 [7] L. B. Tan, O. Cotlet, A. Bergschneider, R. Schmidt, P. Back, Y. Shimazaki, M. Kroner, and A. Imamoglu, *Physical Review X* **10**, 021011 (2020).
 [8] R. Emmanuele, M. Sich, O. Kyriienko, V. Shahnazaryan, F. Withers, A. Catanzaro, P. Walker, F. Benimetskiy, M. Skolnick, A. Tartakovskii, *et al.*, *Nature communications* **11**, 1 (2020).
 [9] C. Kohstall, M. Zaccanti, M. Jag, A. Trenkwalder, P. Massignan, G. M. Bruun, F. Schreck, and R. Grimm, *Nature* **485**, 615 (2012).
 [10] F. Scazza, G. Valtolina, P. Massignan, A. Recati, A. Amico, A. Burchianti, C. Fort, M. Inguscio, M. Zaccanti, and G. Roati, *Phys Rev. Lett.* **118**, 083602 (2017).
 [11] N. Darkwah Oppong, L. Riegger, O. Bettermann, M. Höfer, J. Levinsen, M. M. Parish, I. Bloch, and S. Fölling, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 193604 (2019).
 [12] H. S. Adlong, W. E. Liu, F. Scazza, M. Zaccanti, N. D. Oppong, S. Fölling, M. M. Parish, and J. Levinsen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 133401 (2020).
 [13] F. Chevy, *Phys. Rev. A* **74**, 063628 (2006).
 [14] R. Combescot, A. Recati, C. Lobo, and F. Chevy, *Physical review letters* **98**, 180402 (2007).
 [15] T. Wasak, F. Pientka, and F. Piazza, arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.14040 (2021).
 [16] M. Zaccanti and F. Scazza, private communications.
 [17] L. P. Kadanoff and G. Baym, *Quantum statistical mechanics: Green's function methods in equilibrium and nonequilibrium problems* (CRC Press, 2018).
 [18] A. E. Ruckenstein and L. P. Lévy, *Phys. Rev. B* **39**, 183 (1989).
 [19] A. Kamenev, *Field theory of non-equilibrium systems* (Cambridge University Press, 2011).
 [20] Our Rabi coupling term can be seen as an effective magnetic field $\mathbf{H} = (\Omega, 0, \Delta)$.
 [21] In preparation (2022).
 [22] Y. Ivanov, J. Knoll, and D. Voskresensky, *Nuclear Physics A* **672**, 313 (2000).
 [23] Beyond the impurity limit Eq. (4) would also contain terms with $f_{2\alpha}$, however, they are not relevant for the physics discussed in the present Letter. The full expression for I_α and $\Gamma_\alpha^{\text{dec}}$ will be discussed elsewhere [21].
 [24] For the sake of simplicity, given its very weak momentum dependence, we replace $Z_\alpha(\mathbf{k})$ with $Z_\alpha(0)$.
 [25] S. Pilati, G. Bertaina, S. Giorgini, and M. Troyer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 030405 (2010).
 [26] J. Knoll, Y. Ivanov, and D. Voskresensky, *Annals of Physics* **293**, 126 (2001).