Mirror symmetry breakdown in the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang universality class

Johannes Schmidt^{1,2,3} and Andreas Schadschneider³

¹Institute for Theoretical Physics, Technische Universität Berlin, 10623 Berlin, Germany

²Bonacci GmbH, 50937 Cologne, Germany

³Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität zu Köln, 50937 Cologne, Germany

(Dated: May 13, 2022)

The height fluctuation statistics of Kardar-Parisi-Zhang (KPZ) growth in 1+1 dimensions are sensitive to the initial state. Here we focus on height fluctuations in the stationary regime described by the Baik-Rains distribution. We find that the averages over the initial states exhibit universal and scale-invariant patterns when conditioning on fluctuations. Going into more detail, the conditioned probability distribution of an initial state order parameter shows a transition from uni- to bimodal. Bimodality occurs for negative height fluctuations that are dominated by super-diffusive shock dynamics. Two possible point-symmetric shock profiles cause the bimodality and the KPZ mirror symmetry breakdown. To establish universality of our findings we demonstrate scale invariance at different times and heights using large-scale Monte-Carlo simulations of the totally asymmetric simple exclusion process (TASEP) which belongs to the KPZ universality class.

Introduction — Systems far away from equilibrium (e.g. beyond the regime of linear response) show a very rich behavior that is rather different from that of equilibrium systems. One of the seminal models in this class is the Totally Asymmetric Simple Exclusion Process (TASEP) and its relatives [1–3]. The TASEP has been extensively studied both in physics and mathematics and by now many of its properties are rather well understood. It has been realized that its behavior is paradigmatic for a large class of driven diffusive systems that typically belong to the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang (KPZ) universality class [4, 5]. The KPZ class has been found to be rather robust, e.g. generic generalizations of the TASEP to multilane situations or longer-ranged hopping as in the Nagel-Schreckenberg model of traffic flow belong to the same class [6]. Although the KPZ universality class is well understood, it is still open for surprises and new insights into systems far from equilibrium. In the following we will take a closer look at the importance of initial states for height/current fluctuations in the steady-state regime. This way new insights are obtained, e.g. about the possible relation between fluctuations and the occurrence of symmetry breaking. The results are important not only for the particular class of systems studied here as they provide us with new tools for understanding transport phenomena with non-Gaussian fluctuations in and out of equilibrium.

Theory — In recent years it has been shown that the dynamical properties of driven diffusive lattice gases, not only those in the KPZ universality class [7, 8], are rather well described by their hydrodynamic density field $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t) = \varrho(\mathbf{x},t) - \rho$ which is the deviation of the local density field $\varrho(\mathbf{x},t)$ from its stationary background ρ . Based on the conservation law $\partial_t \varrho(\mathbf{x},t) + \partial_\mathbf{x} \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{x},t) = 0$ and stationary current-density relation $j(\rho)$ the non-linear fluctuating hydrodynamic (NLFH) equation describes the evolution of $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t)$ [6] as

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} = -\partial_{\mathbf{x}} \left(\mathbf{v} \mathbf{u} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \mathbf{u}^2 - \nu \partial_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u} + \sqrt{B} \eta \right)$$
 (1)

with the collective velocity $\mathbf{v}=j'(\rho)$, non-linearity $\lambda=j''(\rho)$, diffusion constant ν and space-time white noise η of




Figure 1. Mapping the TASEP dynamics to surface growth. Shown is a TASEP configuration evolving in time. The colored particles will hop at the next time step. Mapping a particle to a down-slope ($\blacksquare \rightarrow \diagdown$) and a hole to an up-slope ($\square \rightarrow \diagup$) one obtains a height profile for each TASEP configuration. If a particle hops to the right a diamond is added to the surface between the particle's initial and final position.

strength B. After a Galilean transformation $\tilde{a}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}},t)=a(\mathbf{x},t)$ with $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}=\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{v}t$ removing the drift term $-\mathbf{v}\partial_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{u}$ and introducing a new variable $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}},t)$ by

$$\partial_{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}}\tilde{\mathbf{h}}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}},t) = -\mathbf{u}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{v}t,t) \tag{2}$$

the NLFH equation turns into the KPZ equation [4]

$$\partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{h}} = \nu \partial_{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}}^2 \tilde{\mathbf{h}} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \left(\partial_{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}} \tilde{\mathbf{h}} \right)^2 + \sqrt{B} \tilde{\eta} \tag{3}$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}},t)$ is a surface profile growing at average speed $j(\rho)$. The KPZ mirror symmetry is established by the invariance under the transformation $\tilde{x} \to -\tilde{x}$. For the lattice model the substitution $\partial_{\tilde{x}}\tilde{\mathbf{h}} = -\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ is motivated by the exact mapping of the TASEP to a discrete surface growth process [9–13] that is known as the single-step model (Fig. 1). The universal large-scale properties for *typical* fluctuations of the KPZ equation are by now well-understood, see [5] for a review. The dynamical exponent that relates the scaling of space and time

variables as $x \sim t^{1/z}$ takes the value z=3/2, as opposed to z=1 of the deterministic Eulerian scaling or z=2 for normal diffusion.

Of general interest are fluctuations of height or time-integrated currents [14–16]

$$\mathbf{J}_t = \tilde{\mathbf{h}}(0,t) - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}(0,0) - j(\rho)t \tag{4}$$

$$= \int_{0}^{t} [j(vt, s) - j(\rho)] ds - \int_{0}^{vt} u(x, 0) dx.$$
 (5)

A prominent exact analytic result for the stationary initial is the Baik-Rains (BR) distribution [17]

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{BR}}(\mathsf{J}_t) \simeq (\Gamma t)^{-\frac{1}{2z}} f_{\mathrm{BR}} \left(\mathsf{J}_t \cdot (\Gamma t)^{-\frac{1}{2z}} \right)$$
 (6)

with dynamical exponent z=3/2 and scaling parameter $\Gamma=4|\lambda|\nu^2/B^2$. The scaling function $f_{\rm BR}(J)$ (Fig. 2) cannot be expressed in analytic closed form but is tabulated with high precision in [18] [26]. To specify the region of the initial state contributing to fluctuations described by the BR distribution we use the normalized dynamical structure function $S({\bf x},t)$. At large times it has the form

$$S(\mathbf{x},t) \equiv \mathcal{N}^{-1} \langle \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t)\mathbf{u}(0,0)\rangle$$

$$\simeq (Et)^{-\frac{1}{z}} f_{\mathrm{PS}} \left((Et)^{-\frac{1}{z}} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v}t) \right)$$
(7)

with normalization constant $\mathcal{N}=\int \langle \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},0)\mathbf{u}(0,0)\rangle\,\mathrm{d}x$ and scaling parameter $E=|\lambda|\sqrt{2\nu/B}$. The Prähofer-Spohn scaling function f_{PS} [19] can only be determined numerically and is listed in [18] (Fig. 2). From the scaling behavior of the structure function, we infer a significance length $\xi_{\alpha,t}=c_{\alpha}(Et)^{2/3}$. Here c_{α} is a significance factor that ensures a $1-\alpha$ confidence level that height/current fluctuations are influenced by initial states within a radius $\xi_{\alpha,t}$ around the measure point. The confidence level is determined through $1-\alpha=\int_{-c_{\alpha}}^{c_{\alpha}}f_{\mathrm{PS}}(x)\mathrm{d}x$.

To determine the scaling factors Γ and E for systems with unknown diffusion constant and noise strength, one can use the fluctuation-dissipation relation $\kappa = \nu/B$ where $\kappa = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \mathbf{u}(0,t)\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t)\rangle \,\mathrm{d}x$ is the compressibility of the model.

Model — To numerically investigate KPZ universality class in the stationary regime the TASEP model with parallel update rule and periodic boundary conditions offers analytical and computational advantages. The TASEP model is defined on a one dimensional uniform lattice of size L. Each lattice site can be occupied by a maximum of one particle. For the parallel update rule the time is uniformly discretized. In one time step, the particles jump to the right with probability p given that the neighboring site is free (Fig. 1). Initial states are drawn according to the stationary measure [20]

$$\mathcal{P}(\{n_{x,0}\}) = \prod_{x=1}^{L} P_{n_{x,0}, n_{x+1,0}}$$
 (8)




Figure 2. Baik-Rains distribution in log-scale (left bottom axes) and Prähofer-Spohn scaling function (right top axes). The data shown were recorded in a TASEP with hopping probability p=1/2. The re-scaling has been made according to Eqs. (6), (7) and shows a nice agreement to their asymptotic forms.

with

$$P_{1,0} = \frac{1}{2p} \sqrt{1 - 4p\rho(1 - \rho)} \tag{9}$$

$$P_{1,0} = P_{0,1}; \ P_{1,1} = \rho - P_{1,0}; \ P_{0,0} = 1 - \rho - P_{1,0}$$
 (10)

where $n_{\mathbf{x},t} \in \{0,1\}$ is the occupation number of site x at time t and $\rho = \langle n_{\mathbf{x},t} \rangle$ the average density. The stationary current-denisty relation and compressibility are

$$j(\rho) = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \sqrt{1 - 4p\rho(1 - \rho)} \right] \tag{11}$$

$$\kappa = \rho(1-\rho)\sqrt{1-4p\rho(1-\rho)}. (12)$$

Due to the fastest convergence into the asymptotic regime and by the particle hole symmetry improved statistics all simulations are performed with $\rho = 1/2$ [6]. To optimize the memory usage the TASEP's state is encoded bitwise into a int32 datatype. Further, limiting the hopping probabilities to $p \in \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}\}$ allows to use the int32 random numbers bitwise and propagate the model with bitwise operations. This efficiency gain allows to increase the system size and observation times. Larger systems allow to suppress finite-size effects of order $\mathcal{O}(L^{-1})$ and increase statistics by using the translational invariance. In order to treat initial configurations connected to a specific current as independent, we demand that the current measure points are at least at least $3\xi_{\alpha,t}$ apart. Throughout, our simulations we use $c_{\alpha}=2$ leading to a significance factor $\alpha < 0.4\%$. All states are propagated independently and random numbers are generated using a MT19937 pseudo random number generator. A warmup of the generator allows to treat the random numbers bitwise uncorrelated. To get the time integrated current for a discrete model one simply replaces the integrals in Eq. (5) by sums and uses the discrete instantaneous current $j_{x,t} = n_{x,t}(1 - n_{x,t})n_{x+1,t+1}$ and fluctuation $u_{\mathrm{x},t} = n_{\mathrm{x},t} - \rho$ fields. To validate that the model enters the stationary regime, described by the KPZ equation, we test for




Figure 3. Re-scaled average of initial density profiles at different times conditioned on different re-scaled currents J. The data shown were recorded in a TASEP with hopping probability p=1/2. The re-scaling has been made according to Eq. (13) and shows a nice collapse.

the scaling relations Eqs. (6) and (7), see Fig. 2. Monte-Carlo simulations are performed with systems of length $L=2\cdot 10^9$. For the system with p=1/2 (p=1/4) we used 705 (1305) independent realizations.

Results — To understand the interplay between initial states and fluctuations of height/currents we first quantify the expected density profiles around the measure point when conditioning on a realized height/current. From TASEP data (Fig. 3) we find the universal scaling property

$$\langle \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},0)|_{\mathbf{J}_t} \rangle \simeq \left(\frac{\Gamma}{tE^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} u\left((Et)^{-\frac{2}{3}} \mathbf{x} \middle| (\Gamma t)^{-\frac{1}{3}} \mathbf{J}_t\right).$$
 (13)

To set the focus on individual initial states we introduce the order parameter of initial height or mass difference within a significance length around the measurement point, i.e.

$$\Delta = \tilde{\mathbf{h}} \left(\xi_{\alpha,t}, 0 \right) - \tilde{\mathbf{h}} \left(-\xi_{\alpha,t}, 0 \right) \tag{14}$$

$$= -\int_{-\xi_{\alpha,t}}^{\xi_{\alpha,t}} \mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{x},0\right) d\mathbf{x}. \tag{15}$$

This is a refinement of the order parameter Δ defined in [21], where $\xi_{\alpha,t}$ is a proper cutoff parameter for fluctuations described by the BR distribution. As space correlations within the stationary regime decay exponentially, the central limit theorem reveals Δ to be Gaussian distributed with zero mean $\langle \Delta \rangle = 0$ and variance $\langle \Delta^2 \rangle \simeq 2\kappa \xi_{\alpha,t}$. Using $2\kappa \xi_{\alpha,t} = c_{\alpha} \left(\Gamma t \right)^{2/3}$ one finds the scaling law

$$\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}\left(\Delta\right) \simeq \left(\Gamma t\right)^{-1/3} g_{\alpha}\left(\left(\Gamma t\right)^{-1/3} \Delta\right)$$
 (16)

with

$$g_{\alpha}(\Delta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi c_{\alpha}}} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta^2}{2c_{\alpha}}\right).$$
 (17)

where g_{α} solely depends on the significance factor c_{α} . To analyze the role of initial states given a time integrated current, we investigate the probability distribution of the order




Figure 4. Distributions for the re-scaled order parameter Δ at different times conditioned on different re-scaled currents J. The data shown were recorded in a TASEP with hopping probability p=1/2. The re-scaling has been made according to Eq. (18) and shows a nice collapse.

parameter given a measured current, i.e. $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(\Delta|J_t)$. We find $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(\Delta|J_t)$ to support an universal scaling property as

$$\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}\left(\Delta|\mathbf{J}_{t}\right)\simeq\left(\Gamma t\right)^{-\frac{1}{3}}g_{\alpha}\left(\left(\Gamma t\right)^{-\frac{1}{3}}\Delta\middle|\left(\Gamma t\right)^{-\frac{1}{3}}\mathbf{J}_{t}\right)$$
 (18)

where the conditioned scaling function $g_{\alpha}(\Delta \mid J)$ is related to $g_{\alpha}(\Delta)$ by the law of total probability

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{\alpha} \left(\Delta \mid J \right) f_{BR} \left(J \right) dJ = g_{\alpha} \left(\Delta \right). \tag{19}$$

As $\xi_{\alpha,t}$ grows logarithmically slow in α we expect $g_{\alpha}(\Delta \mid J)$ to be qualitatively independent of α . Due to missing theoretical prediction we use TASEP data to measure the conditioned function g_{α} and establish its scaling property (Fig. 4).

Remarkably, our numerical studies of $g_{\alpha}(\Delta \mid J)$ reveal for J>0 an uni-modal and J<0 a bi-modal structure. The bi-modality indicates a breakdown of the KPZ mirror symmetry within the slow decaying tail (J < 0) of the BR distribution. For a decreasing current we observe a continuous transition from a uni- to bi-modal distribution resulting in two well separated peaks at $\pm J$, where the resolution of the transition to bi-modality is sensitive α . A similar effect, a bimodality with two sharp peaks at $\pm J$, has been predicted for large deviations in the early time regime for $J > J_c$ [21, 22] and is supported by a recent numerical study [23]. Different to typical fluctuations, large deviations scale as $J_t \sim t$, and the significance length grows as $\xi \sim t$. Here we stress that the effect of bi-modality or symmetry breaking appears in the asymptotic regime for negative fluctuations of typical scale $J_t \sim t^{1/3}$. Therefore we argue that symmetry breaking plays an important role for non-equilibrium dynamics and is likely to be observed in experimental settings.

To extract the two possible expected density profiles in the mirror symmetry broken regime, we limit our investigation to J=-4 where the distribution $g_{\alpha}(\Delta|J)$ has two well separated peaks. Using Eq. (13), but additionally conditioning on




Figure 5. Re-scaled mirror symmetry broken initial state density profiles. The data shown were recorded in a TASEP with hopping probabilities p=1/2 and p=1/4. The re-scaling has been made according to Eqs. (13), (20) and shows a nice collapse.

 Δ , the symmetry broken profiles are obtained via

$$u_{\pm}(x|J) = u(x|J, \pm \Delta > 0).$$
 (20)

The KPZ mirror symmetry now is reflected in $u_+(x|J) = -u_-(-x|J)$. In Fig. 3 we determine u_\pm using a scaling plot of two different TASEP systems at different times. The nice collapse underlines the universality. The symmetry broken initial state profiles turn out to be super-diffusive shocks. Different to a typical shock [24, 25] the size scales as $\sim (Et)^{2/3}$ and its velocity as $\sim \left(\frac{\Gamma}{E^2t}\right)^{1/3}$. This means the shock size and within time t moved distance are similar to the spread of fluctuations. We stress that these initial state profiles only appear after averaging over many initial states. The distribution of the lack of mass Eq. (18) indicates how strong a single realization may deviate from the expected profile. This is in contrast to the large deviation regime [23], where a single realization is close to the expected profile.

Interestingly, from Monte-Carlo results we find

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u(x|J)| \, \mathrm{d}x \simeq J. \tag{21}$$

and for symmetry broken density profiles $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{\pm}\left(x|J\right) \mathrm{d}x \simeq \pm J$. This suggests that initial states realizing a current fluctuation of strength J show on average an absolute height change or absolute mass difference of J.

Conclusion — The results presented here shed new light on the relation between initial states and the statistics of fluctuations. They generalize previous findings and put them into a new perspective, e.g. the connection with a breaking of the KPZ mirror symmetry for negative current fluctuations. Although we have considered only the KPZ universality class in the stationary regime and its representation by the TASEP we believe that the results are far more general and that similar connections can be made even for systems belonging to other universality classes. Furthermore, universal scaling properties for conditioned observables have been established which

shows the generality of the results and could be useful for the study of other systems.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are very grateful to J. Krug, B. Meerson, V. Pasquier and V. Popkov for encouragement and useful comments. JS gratefully acknowledges the hospitality of the Racah Institute of Physics, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, where the inspiration for this paper came from lively discussions with B. Mersoon. Simulations have been performed using the HPC cluster Cheops of the University of Cologne. The work of JS has been supported by the DFG (German Research Foundation) – project number 163436311 – SFB 910.

- [1] J.T. MacDonald, J.H. Gibbs, and A.C. Pipkin, Biopolymers 6, 1 (1968)
- [2] B. Derrida, Phys. Rep. 301, 65 (1998)
- [3] G.M. Schütz Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena, Vol. 19 (Academic Press, London, 2001)
- [4] M. Kardar, G. Parisi, and Y.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 889 (1986)
- [5] T. Halpin-Healy and K.A. Takeuchi, J. Stat. Phys. 160, 794 (2015)
- [6] J. de Gier, A. Schadschneider, J. Schmidt, G.M. Schütz, Phys. Rev. E 100, 052111 (2019)
- [7] V. Popkov, A. Schadschneider, J. Schmidt, G.M. Schütz, PNAS 112, 12645 (2015)
- [8] V. Popkov, A. Schadschneider, J. Schmidt, G.M. Schütz, JSTAT (2016) 093211
- [9] T. Halpin-Healy and Y.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rep. 254, 215 (1995)
- [10] J. Krug and H. Spohn, Kinetic roughening of growing surfaces (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991)
- [11] A.-L. Barabási and H.E. Stanley, Fractal Concepts in Surface Growth (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995)
- [12] J. Krug and H. Spohn, Phys. Rev. A 38, 4271 (1988)
- [13] M. Plischke, Z. Rácz, and D. Liu, Phys. Rev. B 35, 3485 (1987)
- [14] J. Quastel and H. Spohn, J. Stat. Phys. 160, 965 (2015)
- [15] H. Spohn, Lecture notes for Les Houches Summer School 2015, arXiv:1601.00499
- [16] K. A. Takeuchi, Physica A 504, 77 (2018)
- [17] J. Baik and E.M. Rains, J. Stat. Phys. 100, 523 (2000)
- [18] M. Prähofer and H. Spohn, http://www-m5.ma.tum.de/KPZ
- [19] M. Prähofer and H. Spohn, J. Stat. Phys. 115, 255 (2004)
- [20] M. Schreckenberg, A. Schadschneider, K. Nagel, N. Ito, Phys. Rev. E 51, 2939 (1995)
- [21] M. Janas, A. Kamenev, B. Meerson, Phys. Rev. E 94, 032133 (2016)
- [22] N.R. Smith, A. Kamenev, B. Meerson, Phys. Rev. E 97, 042130 (2018)
- [23] A.K. Hartmann, B. Meerson, P. Sasorov, Phys. Rev. E 104, 054125 (2021)
- [24] E.D. Andjel, M.D. Bramson, T.M. Liggett, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 78, 231 (1988)
- [25] C. Boldrighini, G. Cosimi, S. Frigio, M. Grasso Nunes, J. Stat. Phys. 55, (1989)
- [26] $f_{BR}(J) = 2F'_0(-2J)$ with $F_0(\cdot)$ defined in [18]