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#### Abstract

Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain, $\omega$ be a high order modulus of continuity and let $T$ be a convolution Calderón-Zygmund operator. We characterize the bounded restricted operators $T_{D}$ on the Zygmund space $\mathcal{C}_{\omega}(D)$. The characterization is based on properties of $T_{D} P$ for appropriate polynomials $P$ restricted to $D$.


## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Basic definitions.

1.1.1. Restricted Calderón-Zygmund operators. A $C^{k}$-smooth homogeneous CalderónZygmund operator is a principal value convolution operator

$$
T f(y)=P V \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(x) K(y-x) d x
$$

where $d x$ denotes Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and

$$
K(x)=\frac{\Omega(x)}{|x|^{d}}, \quad x \neq 0
$$

it is assumed that $\Omega(x)$ is a homogeneous function of degree 0 and $\Omega(x)$ is $C^{k}$-differentiable on $\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}$ with zero integral on the unit sphere. The function $K(x)$ is called a CalderónZygmund kernel.

Given a domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$, we consider the corresponding modification of $T$. Namely, the operator $T_{D}$ defined by the formula

$$
T_{D} f=(T f) \chi_{D}, \quad \operatorname{supp} f \subset \bar{D}
$$

is called a restricted Calderón-Zygmund operator.
In the present paper, we study certain smoothness properties of $T_{D}$ for a domain $D$ with regular boundary.

### 1.1.2. Lipschitz domains.

Definition 1. A bounded domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is called $(\delta, R)$-Lipschitz if, for every point $a \in \partial D$, there exists a function $A: \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\|\nabla A\|_{\infty} \leq \delta$, and there exists a cube $\mathfrak{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ with side length $R$ and center a such that the equality

$$
D \cap \mathfrak{Q}=\left\{(x, y) \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{d-1}, \mathbb{R}\right) \cap \mathfrak{Q}: y>A(x)\right\}
$$

[^0]holds after a suitable shift and rotation of the coordinate system. The cube $\mathfrak{Q}$ is called an $R$-window for the domain under consideration.

In what follows, the parameters $\delta$ and $R$ are not explicitly specified. We consider general Lipschitz domains, which does not lead to confusion.

Also, we use in the present paper standard Lipschitz spaces $\operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha}(D), 0<\alpha \leq 1$. By definition, the space $\operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha}(D)$ consists of $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{L^{\infty}(D)}+\sup _{x, y \in D, x \neq y} \frac{|f(x)-f(y)|}{|x-y|^{\alpha}}<\infty
$$

1.1.3. Zygmund spaces. Following Janson [7], we consider general moduli of continuity.

Definition 2 (see [7]). A continuous increasing function $\omega$ : $[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty), \omega(0)=0$, is called a modulus of continuity of order $n \in \mathbb{N}$ if $n$ is the smallest positive integer such that the following two regularity properties are satisfied:

1. For some $q, n \leq q<n+1$, the function $\frac{\omega(t)}{t^{q}}$ is almost decreasing, that is, there exists a positive constant $C=C(q)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(s t)<C s^{q} \omega(t), s>1 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. For any $r, n-1<r<n$, the function $\frac{\omega(t)}{t^{r}}$ is almost increasing, that is, there exists a positive constant $C=C(r)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(s t)<C s^{r} \omega(t), s<1 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the studies of Zygmund spaces, we use the term cube and the notation $Q$ for a cube in the space $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with edges parallel to the coordinate axes. Note that no such restriction is imposed on the cube $\mathfrak{Q}$ in Definition 1. Let $|Q|$ denote the volume of the cube under consideration and let $\ell=\ell(Q)$ denote its side length. Let $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ denote the space of polynomials of degree at most $n$.

Definition 3. Given a modulus of continuity $\omega$ of order $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the homogeneous Zygmund space $\mathcal{C}_{\omega}(D)$ in a domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ consists of those $f \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}(D, d x)$ for which the Campanato type seminorm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\omega, D}=\sup _{Q \subset D} \inf _{P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}} \frac{1}{\omega(\ell)}\|f-P\|_{L^{1}(Q, d x /|Q|)} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is finite.
Remark 1. Classical arguments based on the Calderón-Zygmund lemma and used in the studies of the standard space $\operatorname{BMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and Lipschitz spaces $\operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ (see, for example, [3, 11] and [9, Sec. 1.2]) allow to verify that the $L^{1}$-norm in definition (1.3) is replaceable by the $L^{p}$-norm, $1<p \leq \infty$, in an arbitrary domain $D$. The corresponding seminorms are equivalent and define the same space. See Proposition 2.3 in Section 2 for further details and proofs.
1.2. $\mathbf{T}(1)$ and $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{P})$ theorems. For general moduli of continuity of order $n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, Janson [7, Sec. 6] proved that the homogeneous spaces $\mathcal{C}_{\omega}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ are invariant under certain Fourier multipliers. The spaces $\mathcal{C}_{\omega}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ considered in [7] are defined in terms of finite differences; in the present paper, we use polynomial approximation. Also, for domains, it is natural to consider the corresponding inhomogeneous spaces. Indeed, for a bounded Lipschitz domain $D$, the set $\mathcal{C}_{\omega}(D)$ is contained in the space $L^{1}(D, d x)$. So, by definition, the inhomogeneous space $\mathcal{C}_{\omega}(D)$ is a Banach space with the following norm:

$$
\|f\|=\|f\|_{\omega, D}+\|f\|_{L^{1}(D, d x)} .
$$

The present paper is motivated by a $\mathrm{T}(1)$ theorem used in the proof of the the following result by Mateu, Orobitg and Verdera [10, Main Lemma] in the setting of the Lipschitz spaces on domains $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$.

Theorem 1.1 ([10, Main Lemma]; see also [1]). Let $D$ be a bounded domain with $C^{1+\alpha_{-}}$ smooth boundary, $0<\alpha<1$. Then the restricted Calderón-Zygmund operator $T_{D}$ with an even kernel maps the Lipschitz space $\operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha}(D)$ into itself.

A related $\mathrm{T}(1)$ theorem for Hermit-Calderón-Zygmund operators is proven in [2]. Theorem 1.1 is extended in [16] to weakly smooth spaces between $\operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha}(D)$ and $\operatorname{BMO}(D)$, that is, the integer order $n=0$ is considered.

Observe that Theorem 1.1 is not only of independent interest, but also has interesting and important applications. In particular, Theorem 1.1 is used in [10] to obtain results on regularity of quasi-regular functions, i.e., solutions of the Beltrami equation on the complex plane. Further development of this topic is related to the regularity of solutions to second-order elliptic equations in divergent form. Also, Theorem [1.1 is combined in [10] with results by Tolsa [15] to establish a direct relation between removable sets for the bounded quasi-regular functions and bounded holomorphic functions.

Next, let $\mathcal{P}_{n}(D)$ denote the space of polynomials from $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ multiplied by the characteristic function of the domain $D$. In this paper, higher orders of smoothness are considered. So, we are also motivated by the following result of Prats and Tolsa [12].

Theorem 1.2 ([12, Theorem 1.6]). Let $D$ be a Lipschitz domain, $T_{D}$ be a restricted $C^{n}$ smooth convolution Calderón-Zygmund operator, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p>d$. Then the operator $T_{D}$ is bounded on the Sobolev space $W^{n, p}(D)$ if and only if $T_{D} P \in W^{n, p}(D)$ for any polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}(D)$.

By analogy with $T(1)$ theorems, Prats and Tolsa [12] refer to the above theorem as a $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{P})$ theorem to indicate explicitly that the corresponding characterization uses values of the operator $T$ on the polynomials of appropriate degree. Note that the kernel of the operator under consideration in Theorem 1.2 is not assumed to be even. Also, it is shown in [12] that Theorem 1.2 implies regularity results, in terms of Sobolev spaces, for solutions of the Beltrami equation.

In the present paper, we obtain a similar $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{P})$ result for the Zygmund spaces.
1.3. Main theorem. Given a modulus of continuity $\omega$, the associated modulus of continuity $\widetilde{\omega}$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\omega}(x)=\frac{\omega(x)}{\max \left\{1, \int_{x}^{1} \omega(t) t^{-n-1} d t\right\}} . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.3. Let $\omega$ be a modulus of continuity of order $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain. Let $T$ be a homogeneous $C^{n+1}$-smooth Calderón-Zygmund operator. Then the restricted operator $T_{D}$ is bounded on the space $\mathcal{C}_{\omega}(D)$ if and only if two following properties hold:
(i) $T_{D} P \in \mathcal{C}_{\omega}(D)$ for any polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}(D)$;
(ii) for any cube $Q \subset D$ centered at $x_{0}$ and for any polynomial $P_{x_{0}}$, homogeneous of degree $n$ with respect to $x-x_{0}$, there exists a polynomial $S_{Q} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}(D)$ such that

$$
\left\|T_{D}\left(\chi_{D} P_{x_{0}}\right)-S_{Q}\right\|_{L^{1}(Q, d x /|Q|)} \leq C\|P\| \widetilde{\omega}(\ell(Q))
$$

with a constant $C$ independent of $Q$.
It is worth mentioning that Theorem 1.3 applies to the classical Zygmund spaces $\mathcal{Z}_{n}(D):=\mathcal{C}_{\omega_{n}}(D)$, where $\omega_{n}(t)=t^{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Corollary 1.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain. Let $T$ be a homogeneous $C^{n+1}$-smooth Calderón-Zygmund operator. Then the restricted operator $T_{D}$ is bounded on the Zygmund space $\mathcal{Z}_{n}(D)$ if and only if two following properties hold:
(i) $T_{D} P \in \mathcal{Z}_{n}(D)$ for any polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}(D)$;
(ii) for any cube $Q \subset D$ centered at $x_{0}$ and for any polynomial $P_{x_{0}}$, homogeneous of degree $n$ with respect to $x-x_{0}$, there exists a polynomial $S_{Q} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}(D)$ such that

$$
\left\|T_{D}\left(\chi_{D} P_{x_{0}}\right)-S_{Q}\right\|_{L^{1}(Q, d x /|Q|)} \leq C\|P\| \frac{\ell^{n}}{\max \left\{1, \log \frac{1}{\ell}\right\}}
$$

with a constant $C$ independent of $Q$.
Remark 2. A modulus of continuity $\omega$ of order $n$ is called Dini regular if the integral

$$
\int_{0} \omega(t) t^{-n-1} d t
$$

converges. In this case, the functions $\omega(x)$ and $\widetilde{\omega}(x)$ equivalent. Therefore, the formulation of Theorem 1.3 essentially simplifies and becomes a typical $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{P})$ theorem: property (ii) is superfluous, since it follows from property (i). In the general setting, the functions $\omega(x)$ and $\widetilde{\omega}(x)$ are not equivalent, and property (ii) based on $\widetilde{\omega}(x)$, in general, does not follow from property (i).

Remark 3. If the functions $\omega$ and $\widetilde{\omega}$ are not equivalent, then Theorem 1.3 becomes asymmetric, in a sense. Indeed, the space $\mathcal{C}_{\omega}(D)$ is defined in terms of $\omega$, however, property (ii) from Theorem 1.3 is based on the modulus of continuity $\widetilde{\omega}$. In particular, Corollary 1.1 illustrates such an asymmetry.

Remark 4. Nonequivalent moduli of continuity may have equivalent associated moduli of continuity. For instance, we have $\widetilde{\omega}_{s} \approx \omega_{-1}$ for the family of moduli of continuity $\omega_{s}(t)=t \log ^{s} 1 / t, s>-1$. The operator $T_{D}$ is bounded on the space $\mathcal{C}_{\omega_{s}}(D)$ for all $s>-1$ if and only if it is bounded for some $s>-1$. In fact, the family $\omega_{s}(t)=t \log ^{s} 1 / t, s \in \mathbb{R}$, and the corresponding scale of Zygmund spaces $\mathcal{C}_{\omega_{s}}(D)$ may serve as a useful working example for Theorem 1.3, These spaces reflect specific properties of the Zygmund scale in comparison with the Lipschitz one.

Remark 5. Let us explain our choice of $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ as the approximating polynomial space in equality (1.3). Let $\mathcal{C}_{\omega, k}(D)$ denote the space generated by Definition 3 after replacement of $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ by the space $\mathcal{P}_{k}$.

- If $k>n$, then the Marchaud type inequality for local polynomial approximations (see, for example, [9, Ch.4] for the power moduli of continuity) guarantees that the corresponding seminorm defined by (1.3) generates the same space $\mathcal{C}_{\omega}(D)$, up to factorization by the polynomial space $\mathcal{P}_{k}$.
- If $\omega(t)=o\left(t^{n}\right)$, then for $k<n$, the space $\mathcal{C}_{\omega, k}(D)$ is trivial and coincides with the space of approximating polynomials $\mathcal{P}_{k}(D)$.
- If $t^{n}=O(\omega(t))$, then the value $k=n-1$ is admissible and generates the scale of the Lipschitz-Bernstein spaces $\mathcal{C}_{\omega, n-1}(D)$; the standard Lipschitz space $\operatorname{Lip}_{1}(D)$ corresponds to the modulus of continuity $\omega(t)=t$. The scale of the spaces $\mathcal{C}_{\omega, n-1}(D)$ and that of the Zygmund spaces $\mathcal{C}_{\omega}(D)$ are different. In the present work, the spaces $\mathcal{C}_{\omega, n-1}(D)$ are not considered, since they are not invariant under the convolution Calderón-Zygmund operators even in the case $D=\mathbb{R}^{d}$.
1.4. Notation and organization of the paper. In Section 2, we introduce basic facts about the space $\mathcal{P}_{n}(D)$ and prove certain basic properties of the Zygmund spaces on domains. The proof of the $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{P})$ theorem is given in Section 3.

As usual, the letter $C$ denotes a constant, which may change from line to line and does not depend of the relevant variables under consideration. Notation $A \lesssim B$ means that there is a fixed positive constant $C$ such that $A<C B$. If $A \lesssim B \lesssim \widetilde{A}$, then we write $A \approx B$ and we say that $A$ and $B$ are equivalent.

## 2. Auxiliary results

2.1. Moduli of continuity and approximating polynomials. A given modulus of continuity $\omega$ is replaceable by an equivalent $C^{\infty}$-smooth modulus of continuity $\widehat{\omega}$ with the same natural parameter $n$. Thus, in what follows, we assume that $\omega$ is a $C^{\infty}$-smooth function on the ray $(0, \infty)$.

Lemma 2.1 (see, for example, [7, Lemma 4]). Let $\omega$ be a modulus of continuity. Property (1.1) with parameter $q$ for the function $\omega$ implies the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t}^{\infty} \omega(s) s^{-p-1} d s \lesssim \omega(t) t^{-p}, \quad p>q \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Property (1.2) with parameter $r$ for the function $\omega$ implies the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} \omega(s) s^{-p-1} d s \lesssim \omega(t) t^{-p}, \quad p<r \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since any two norms on the space $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ are equivalent, the following lemma holds.
Lemma 2.2 (see [4, 9). Let $Q$ be a cube in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with center $x_{0}$ and side length $\ell, P=$ $\sum_{|k|=0}^{n} a_{k}\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{k}$ be a polynomial on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, where $k=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}$ is a multiindex, $|k|=\left|k_{1}\right|+\cdots+\left|k_{d}\right|$. Then

$$
\sup _{x \in Q}|P(x)| \leq \sqrt{n} \sum_{|k|=0}^{n}\left|a_{k}\right| \ell^{|k|} \leq C(n, d) \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}|P(x)| d x
$$

Lemma 2.2 implies the following lemma, where the notation $\ell_{i}=\ell\left(Q_{i}\right)$ is used for $Q_{i}$.
Lemma 2.3. Let $Q_{1} \subset Q_{2}$ be two cubes in the space $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. For every polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$, the following estimate holds:

$$
\|P\|_{L^{1}\left(Q_{2}, d x /\left|Q_{2}\right|\right)} \leq C(n, d)\left(\frac{\ell_{2}}{\ell_{1}}\right)^{n}\|P\|_{L^{1}\left(Q_{1}, d x /\left|Q_{1}\right|\right)}
$$

Given a cube $Q$ and $s>0$, let $s Q$ denote the cube whose center coincides with the center of $Q$ and whose side length is equal to $s \ell(Q)$.
Definition 4. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\omega}(D)$ and $Q \subset D$ be a cube. We say that $P_{Q} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$ is a polynomial of near best approximation for the function $f$ on the cube $Q$ if

$$
\left\|f-P_{Q}\right\|_{L^{1}(Q, d x /|Q|)} \leq C \omega(\ell)\|f\|_{\omega, D}
$$

where the constant $C>0$ does not depend on $f$ and $Q$.
To extend functions from $\mathcal{C}_{\omega}(D)$ to the entire space $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, we consider the auxiliary space $\mathcal{C}_{\omega}^{\text {int }}(D)$. Namely, for $f \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}(D)$, the corresponding norm is defined by the following equality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\omega, D}^{i n t}=\sup _{Q: 2 Q \subset D} \inf _{P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}} \frac{1}{\omega(\ell)}\|f-P\|_{L^{1}(Q, d x /|Q|)} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, for a function $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\omega}^{\text {int }}(D)$, one introduces the polynomials of near best approximation on cubes.
In both cases, the required approximating polynomials can be selected in a certain unified way (see [11]). The construction below follows the corresponding argument from [9, Ch. 1]. Put $Q_{0}=[-1 / 2,1 / 2]^{n}$. Let $\mathbb{P}$ be an arbitrary projector from $L^{1}\left(Q_{0}, d x\right)$ onto $\mathcal{P}_{n}$. Since $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ is a finite dimensional space, the operator $\mathbb{P}$ is bounded on $L^{p}\left(Q_{0}, d x\right)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Using a shift and a dilation, we transplant the operator $\mathbb{P}$ to an arbitrary cube $Q$. The norm of the resulting projector $\mathbb{P}_{Q}$ on $L^{p}(Q ; d x /|Q|)$ does not depend on $Q$. In particular, we obtain

$$
\left\|\mathbb{P}_{Q}(f)\right\|_{L^{\infty}(Q)} \lesssim \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}|f|
$$

with a constant independent of $Q$ and $f$. Next, for an arbitrary polynomial $u \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$, we have $\mathbb{P}_{Q}(f-u)=\mathbb{P}_{Q}(f)-u$, hence,

$$
\left\|\mathbb{P}_{Q}(f)-u\right\|_{L^{\infty}(Q)} \lesssim\|f-u\|_{L^{1}(Q, d x /|Q|)}
$$

Therefore, in what follows, we assume that $P_{Q}=\mathbb{P}_{Q}(f)$ is a polynomial of near best approximation on $Q$ in any $L^{p}(Q)$-metric, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $Q_{1} \subset Q_{2} \subset 4 Q_{1}$ be cubes in $D$ and let $P_{Q_{1}}, P_{Q_{2}} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$ be polynomials of near best approximation for $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\omega}(D)$ on the cubes $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$, respectively. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{Q_{1}}-P_{Q_{2}}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(Q_{2}, d x /\left|Q_{2}\right|\right)} \leq C(n, d) \omega\left(\ell_{2}\right)\|f\|_{\omega, D} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

A similar lemma holds for $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\omega}^{i n t}(D)$, with appropriate changes.
Proof. The analogue of estimate (2.4) for $\left\|P_{Q_{1}}-P_{Q_{2}}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(Q_{1}, d x /\left|Q_{1}\right|\right)}$ holds by the triangle inequality. Application of Lemma 2.3 finishes the proof.
2.2. Whitney coverings. Fix a dyadic grid of semi-open cubes in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.

Definition 5. A collection of cubes $\mathcal{W}$ is called a Whitney covering of a Lipschitz domain $D$ if the following conditions are fulfilled.
(i) The collection $\mathcal{W}$ consists of dyadic cubes.
(ii) The cubes from $\mathcal{W}$ are pairwise disjoint.
(iii) The union of the cubes in $\mathcal{W}$ is $D$.
(iv) $\operatorname{diam}(Q) \leq \operatorname{dist}(Q, \partial D) \leq 4 \operatorname{diam}(Q)$.
(v) If $Q$ and $R$ are neighbor cubes (i.e., $\bar{Q} \cap \bar{R} \neq \varnothing$ ), then $\ell(Q) \leq 4 \ell(R)$.
(vi) The family $\left\{\frac{6}{5} Q\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{W}}$ has finite superposition, i.e.,

$$
\sup _{D} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{W}} \chi_{\frac{6}{5} Q}<\infty .
$$

Such coverings are well known in the literature and widely used (see [14, Ch. 6]).
Each $R$-window $\mathfrak{Q}$ induces a vertical direction, given by the eventually rotated $x_{d}$ axis. The following property easily follows (see [12, Sec.3]) from the above properties and the fact that the domain under consideration is Lipschitz:
(vii) The number of Whitney cubes with the same side length, intersecting a given vertical line in a window, is uniformly bounded. The corresponding vertical direction is the one induced by the window. This is the last property of the Whitney cubes we need in what follows.

In fact, we need a Whitney covering $\mathcal{W}$ for a Lipschitz domain $D$ as well as a Whitney covering $\mathcal{W}^{\prime}$ for its complement $D^{\prime}=\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash \bar{D}$.
2.3. Extension of functions from domain to the entire Euclidean space. To prove the following result, it suffices to repeat the arguments used in the proof of Proposition B. 1 from [16].

Proposition 2.1. Let $\omega(t)$ be a modulus of continuity of order $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain. Then the set $\mathcal{C}_{\omega}^{\text {int }}(D)$ is contained in the space $L^{1}(D)$.

Observe that Proposition 2.1 implies that one may equip the inhomogeneous space $\mathcal{C}_{\omega}^{i n t}(D)$ with the following norm:

$$
\|f\|=\|f\|_{\omega, D}^{\text {int }}+\|f\|_{L^{1}(D, d x)} .
$$

We have $\mathcal{C}_{\omega}(D) \subset \mathcal{C}_{\omega}^{\text {int }}(D)$, thus,

$$
\|f\|=\|f\|_{\omega, D}+\|f\|_{L^{1}(D, d x)}
$$

is a norm on the space $\mathcal{C}_{\omega}(D)$.
If the modulus of continuity $\omega(t)$ is Dini regular, then arguments from the monograph by Stein [14, Ch. VI] are applicable for construction of an extension to the entire set $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. In the general setting, we apply the approach used by Jones [8] for the space BMO and by DeVore and Sharpley [4] for the Besov spaces on uniform domains. In particular, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5 ([4, Lemma 5.2]). Let $D$ be a Lipschitz domain and $f \in L^{1}(D, d x)$. Then there exist three positive constants $C, c, r_{0}$ depending only on the Lipschitz constants of $D$ and having the following property: if $Q$ is a cube in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with $\ell(Q)<r_{0}$ and such that $2 Q \cap \partial D \neq \varnothing$, then

$$
\int_{Q}\left|\widetilde{f}-\widetilde{P}_{Q}\right| d x \leq C \sum_{S \subset c Q, S \in \mathcal{W}} \int_{S^{\prime}}\left|f-P_{S^{\prime}}\right| d x
$$

where $\widetilde{P}_{Q}$ is an appropriate polynomial in $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ and $S^{\prime}=\frac{9}{8} S$ for each cube $S$.
To obtain the required extension, we first fix a $C^{\infty}$-smooth partition of unity $\left\{\psi_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in \mathcal{W}^{\prime}}$ associated with a Whitney covering $\mathcal{W}^{\prime}$ for $D^{\prime}=\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash \bar{D}$. By definition, this means that the functions $\psi_{Q}$ have the following properties: $\psi_{Q}$ is $C^{\infty}{ }_{\text {-smooth, }} \chi_{\frac{4}{5} Q} \leq \psi_{Q} \leq \chi_{\frac{5}{4} Q}$, $Q \in \mathcal{W}^{\prime}$, and $\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{W}^{\prime}} \psi_{Q}=\chi_{D^{\prime}}$.

Given a Whitney cube $Q \in \mathcal{W}^{\prime}$, we say that a Whitney cube $\widetilde{Q} \in \mathcal{W}$ is reflective to $Q$ provided that $\widetilde{Q}$ is a maximal cube such that $\operatorname{dist}(Q, \widetilde{Q}) \leq 2 \operatorname{dist}(Q, \partial D)$. Let $P_{\widetilde{Q}}$ denote a polynomial of near best approximation for $f$ on the cube $\widetilde{Q}$.

Define an extension of $f$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{f}=f \chi_{D}+\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{W}^{\prime}, \ell(Q) \leq R} \psi_{Q} P_{\widetilde{Q}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is the Lipschitz constant from Definition 1 .
Proposition 2.2. Let $\omega$ be a modulus of continuity of order $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\omega}^{\text {int }}(D)$. Then the function $\widetilde{f}$ defined by equality (2.5) has the following properties:
(i) the support of $\widetilde{f}$ is compact;
(ii) the function $\tilde{f}$ is $C^{\infty}$-smooth in the domain $D^{\prime}=\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash \bar{D}$;
(iii) $\widetilde{f} \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, d x\right) \cap \mathcal{C}_{\omega}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and

$$
\|\widetilde{f}\|_{\omega, \mathbb{R}^{d}}+\|\widetilde{f}\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, d x\right)} \lesssim\|f\|_{\omega, D}^{i n t}+\|f\|_{L^{1}(D, d x)} .
$$

Proof. Properties (i) and (ii) are clear. To prove (iii), we have to estimate the supremum on the right hand side of equality (1.3) for $D=\mathbb{R}^{d}$.

Firstly, we obtain the required estimates only for the cubes $Q$ such that $2 Q \cap \partial D \neq \varnothing$ and $\ell(Q)<r_{0}$ for an appropriate parameter $r_{0}>0$. Namely, we fix so small $r_{0}<R$ that Lemma 2.5 holds for $r_{0}, c, C$, and the cube $c Q$ is contained in an $R$-window.

For any Whitney cube $S \in \mathcal{W}$, we have $2 S^{\prime} \subset D$, thus, Lemma 2.5 guarantees that

$$
I=\int_{Q}\left|\widetilde{f}-\widetilde{P}_{Q}\right| d x \leq C\|f\|_{\omega, D}^{i n t} \sum_{S \subset c Q, S \in \mathcal{W}} \omega(\ell(S)) \ell(S)^{d}
$$

Now, we estimate the number of cubes of the same size in the above sum. Property (vii) of Whitney cubes, formulated after Definition 5, guarantees that, for every Whitney cube $S \subset c Q$, there exists a vertical line (it is defined by the axis $x_{d}$ of the corresponding $R$-window), which intersects finitely many Whitney cubes with side length $\ell(S)$. The number of the corresponding cubes is estimated above by a constant $C$ depending only on the Lipschitz constants of the domain $D$. Thus,

$$
\sharp S \lesssim\left(\frac{\ell(c Q)}{\ell(S)}\right)^{d-1},
$$

where $\sharp S$ denotes the number of all cubes with side length $\ell(S)$ intersecting the cube $c Q$.
Let $s$ be the integer such that $2^{s}=\ell(S)$ and let $m$ be the integer such that $2^{m} \leq$ $\ell(c Q)<2^{m+1}$. Then

$$
\sharp S \lesssim\left(\frac{2^{m}}{2^{s}}\right)^{d-1}
$$

with a constant independent of $Q$. Since $\omega$ is an increasing function, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & \lesssim \sum_{s=-\infty}^{m}\left(\frac{2^{m}}{2^{s}}\right)^{d-1} \omega\left(2^{s}\right)\left(2^{s}\right)^{d}\|f\|_{\omega, D}^{i n t}=\left(2^{m}\right)^{d-1} \sum_{s=-\infty}^{m} 2^{s} \omega\left(2^{s}\right)\|f\|_{\omega, D}^{i n t} \\
& \lesssim\left(2^{m}\right)^{d-1} \omega\left(2^{m}\right) \sum_{s=-\infty}^{m} 2^{s}\|f\|_{\omega, D}^{i n t} \lesssim\left(2^{m}\right)^{d} \omega\left(2^{m}\right)\|f\|_{\omega, D}^{\text {int }} \lesssim|Q| \omega(\ell(Q))\|f\|_{\omega, D}^{\text {int }},
\end{aligned}
$$

hence, we have the desired estimate for the small cubes located near the boundary of the domain.

Next, if $\ell(Q)<r_{0}$ and $2 Q \cap \partial D=\varnothing$, then the required estimate for the supremum follows from the property $\widetilde{f} \in C^{\infty}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$.

Finally, to prove the desired estimate for $\ell(Q) \geq r_{0}$, it suffices to show that $\tilde{f} \in$ $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, d x\right)$. The latter property follows from Proposition 2.1 and formula (2.5). The proof of the proposition is finished.
2.4. Equivalence of seminorms on Zygmund spaces. Let $\omega$ be a modulus of continuity of order $n \in \mathbb{N}$. To prove the desired equivalence for different values of the parameter $p, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$, one may apply ideas from [3, 11]; see also [16, Proposition A.1].

We need the following Calderón-Zygmund lemma.
Lemma 2.6 (9, Ch. 1]). Let $Q$ be a cube, $f \in L^{1}(Q)$ and $A>\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}|f|$. Then there exists an at most countable family $\left\{Q_{i}\right\}$ of dyadic cubes with disjoint interiors such that
(i) $|f| \leq A$ a.e. on $Q \backslash \bigcup Q_{i}$;
(ii) $A \leq 1 /\left|Q_{i}\right| \int_{Q_{i}}|f| \leq 2^{d} A$.

Proposition 2.3. Let $\omega$ be a modulus of continuity of order $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a bounded domain. Then the seminorms

$$
\|f\|_{\omega, D, p}=\sup _{Q \subset D} \inf _{P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}} \frac{1}{\omega(\ell)}\|f-P\|_{L^{p}(Q, d x /|Q|)}
$$

are equivalent and define the same space $\mathcal{C}_{\omega}(D)$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.
Proof. It suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{Q}\left|f-\mathbb{P}_{Q}(f)\right| \lesssim \omega(\ell)\|f\|_{\omega, D} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a constant independent of $Q$. Here $\left\{\mathbb{P}_{Q}\right\}$ is the constructed in Sec. 2.1 in a unified way family of projectors from $L^{1}(Q, d x /|Q|)$ onto the polynomial subspace $\mathcal{P}_{n}(Q)$. Let $C$ be the universal constant appearing in the corresponding near best approximation estimates. Next, let $C^{\prime}$ denote the norm of the projector $\mathbb{P}_{Q}$ from $L^{1}(Q, d x /|Q|)$ onto the subspace $\mathcal{P}_{n}(Q)$ equipped with the uniform norm.

Select a cube $Q \subset D$. We apply Lemma [2.6 to the function $\left|f-\mathbb{P}_{Q}(f)\right|,\|f\|_{\omega, D}=1$, and with parameter $A=2 C \omega(\ell)$, where $\ell=\ell(Q)$. Hence, on the first step, we obtain a family $\left\{Q_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ of cubes $Q_{i}^{\prime} \subset Q$ with the following properties:
$\left|f-\mathbb{P}_{Q}(f)\right| \leq 2 C \omega(\ell)$ a.e. on $Q \backslash \bigcup Q_{i}^{\prime}$;
$\left|\mathbb{P}_{Q_{i}^{\prime}}(f)-\mathbb{P}_{Q}(f)\right|=\left|\mathbb{P}_{Q_{i}^{\prime}}\left(f-\mathbb{P}_{Q}(f)\right)\right| \leq \frac{C^{\prime}}{\left|Q_{i}^{\prime}\right|} \int_{Q_{i}^{\prime}}\left|f-\mathbb{P}_{Q}(f)\right| \leq 2^{d+1} C^{\prime} C \omega(\ell) ;$
$\sum\left|Q_{i}^{\prime}\right|<\frac{1}{2 C \omega(\ell)} \int_{Q}\left|f-\mathbb{P}_{Q}(f)\right| \leq|Q| / 2$.
Now, we apply the above construction with parameter $A=2 C \omega\left(\ell^{\prime}\right)$ to the function $\left|f-\mathbb{P}_{Q_{i}^{\prime}}(f)\right|$ for every cube $Q^{\prime}$ from the family $\left\{Q_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$. Therefore, on the second step, we obtain a family $\left\{Q_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ of cubes $Q_{i}^{\prime \prime} \subset Q^{\prime}$ with the following properties:
(i) $\left|f-\mathbb{P}_{Q^{\prime}}(f)\right| \leq 2 C \omega\left(\ell^{\prime}\right)$ a.e. on $Q^{\prime} \backslash \bigcup Q_{i}^{\prime \prime}$;
(ii) $\left|\mathbb{P}_{Q_{i}^{\prime \prime}}(f)-\mathbb{P}_{Q^{\prime}}(f)\right|=\left|\mathbb{P}_{Q_{i}^{\prime \prime}}\left(f-\mathbb{P}_{Q^{\prime}}(f)\right)\right| \leq \frac{C^{\prime}}{\left|Q_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right|} \int_{Q_{i}^{\prime \prime}}\left|f-\mathbb{P}_{Q^{\prime}}(f)\right| \leq 2^{d+1} C^{\prime} C \omega\left(\ell^{\prime}\right)$;
(iii) $\sum\left|Q_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right|<\frac{1}{2 C \omega\left(\ell^{\prime}\right)} \int_{Q^{\prime}}\left|f-\mathbb{P}_{Q_{i}^{\prime}}(f)\right| \leq\left|Q^{\prime}\right| / 2$.

Summing the inequalities of type (iii) over all cubes of the family $\left\{Q_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$, we obtain

$$
\sum\left|Q^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq \sum\left|Q^{\prime}\right| / 2 \leq|Q| / 4
$$

Also, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f-\mathbb{P}_{Q}(f)\right| & \leq\left|f-\mathbb{P}_{Q_{i}^{\prime}}(f)\right|+\left|\mathbb{P}_{Q_{i}^{\prime}}(f)-\mathbb{P}_{Q}(f)\right| \leq 2 C \omega\left(\ell^{\prime}\right)+2^{d+1} C^{\prime} C \omega(\ell) \\
& \leq 2^{d+1} C^{\prime} C\left(\omega\left(\ell^{\prime}\right)+\omega(\ell)\right) \quad \text { a.e. on } \bigcup Q^{\prime} \backslash \bigcup Q^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Iterating the above procedure, we obtain families of imbedded cubes $\left\{Q_{j}^{k}\right\}, k=0, \ldots, m$, such that every cube $Q_{i_{k}}^{k}$ is imbedded in an appropriate cube $Q_{i_{k-1}}^{k-1}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i}\left|Q_{i}^{k}\right|<\frac{|Q|}{2^{k}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, we have the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f-\mathbb{P}_{Q}(f)\right| \leq 2^{d+1} C^{\prime} C \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \omega\left(\ell\left(Q_{j_{k}}^{k}\right)\right) \text { a.e. on } \bigcup Q^{m-1} \backslash \bigcup Q^{m} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a sequence of embedded cubes $Q \supset Q_{j_{1}}^{\prime} \supset \cdots \supset Q_{i_{m-1}}^{m-1}$.
Let $m$ tend to infinity in (2.8). Applying estimate (2.7) and property (2.2) for the function $\omega$, we obtain

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega\left(\ell\left(Q_{j_{k}}^{k}\right)\right) \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega\left(\frac{\ell}{2^{k}}\right) \lesssim \int_{1}^{\infty} \omega(\ell / u) \frac{d u}{u} \lesssim \int_{0}^{\ell} \omega(t) \frac{d t}{t} \lesssim \omega(\ell)
$$

Therefore, $\left|f-\mathbb{P}_{Q}(f)\right| \lesssim \omega(\ell)$ a.e. on $Q$ with a constant independent of $Q$. The proof of the proposition is finished.
2.5. Estimates for polynomials of near best approximation. Given a modulus of continuity $\omega$ of order $n$, put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi(r)=\int_{r}^{1} \omega(t) t^{-n-1} d t, \quad 0<r<1 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that the associated function is given by the equality

$$
\widetilde{\omega}(t)=\omega(t) / \max \{1, \xi(t)\} .
$$

We need the following auxiliary assertion.
Lemma 2.7. Let $\omega$ be a modulus of continuity of order $n$ and let $P_{Q}$ and $P_{2 Q}$ be polynomials of near best approximation for the function $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\omega}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ on $Q$ and $2 Q$, respectively, $0<\ell(Q)<\frac{1}{2}$. Consider the Taylor expansions

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{Q}(t) & =\sum_{k=0}^{n} A_{k, Q}\left(t-t_{0}\right)^{k} \\
P_{2 Q}(t) & =\sum_{k=0}^{n} A_{k, 2 Q}\left(t-t_{0}\right)^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

with respect to $t_{0} \in Q$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A_{k, 2 Q}-A_{k, Q}\right| \leq C(n)\|f\| \omega(\ell) \ell^{-|k|},|k|=0, \ldots, n \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The definition of the Zygmund space and the triangle inequality imply the estimate

$$
\left\|P_{Q}-P_{2 Q}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(Q)} \lesssim \omega(\ell)\|f\| .
$$

Bernstein's inequality guarantees that

$$
\left\|\partial^{k} P_{Q}-\partial^{k} P_{2 Q}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(Q)} \leq C(n) \omega(\ell) \ell^{-|k|}\|f\|
$$

for all derivatives of order $k,|k| \leq n$. Hence,

$$
\left|A_{k, 2 Q}-A_{k, Q}\right| \leq C(n) \omega(\ell) \ell^{-|k|}\|f\|,
$$

as required.
Lemma 2.8. Let $\omega$ be a modulus of continuity of order $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\omega}(D)$. Let $P_{Q}$ be a polynomial of near best approximation for $f$ on a cube $Q \subset D$ with center $t_{0}$ and side length $\ell<\frac{1}{2}$. Consider the Taylor expansion

$$
P_{Q}(t)=\sum_{|k|=0}^{n} A_{k, Q}\left(t-t_{0}\right)^{k}
$$

with respect to $t_{0}$, where $k=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{d}\right)$ is a multiindex, $|k|=k_{1}+\cdots+k_{d}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|A_{k, Q}\right| \leq C\|f\|, \quad 0 \leq|k|<n, \\
& \left|A_{k, Q}\right| \leq C\|f\| \xi(\ell), \quad|k|=n,
\end{aligned}
$$

with a constant independent of $Q$.
Proof. Applying Proposition 2.2, we extend $f$ up to $\widetilde{f}$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Let

$$
P_{2^{i} Q}(t)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} A_{k, 2^{i} Q}\left(t-t_{0}\right)^{k}
$$

be the Taylor decomposition with respect to $t_{0} \in 2^{i} Q$ for the polynomial $P_{2^{i} Q}$ of near best approximation for the function $\tilde{f}$ on the cube $2^{i} Q$. Using telescopic sums, we have

$$
\left|A_{k, Q}\right| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\left|A_{k, 2^{i} Q}-A_{k, 2^{i+1} Q}\right|+\left|A_{k, 2^{N} Q}\right|
$$

where $N$ is the minimal natural number such that $2^{N} Q \supset D$. Since $N \approx \log \frac{1}{\ell}$, Lemma 2.7 guarantees that

$$
\left|A_{k, Q}\right| \lesssim\left(1+\sum_{i=0}^{N} \omega\left(2^{i} \ell\right)\left(2^{i} \ell\right)^{-|k|}\right)\|f\| \lesssim\left(1+\int_{\ell}^{1} \frac{\omega(t) d t}{t^{|k|+1}}\right)\|f\|
$$

Since condition (1.2) holds for the function $\omega$, we obtain $\left|A_{k, Q}\right| \lesssim\|f\|$ for $|k|<n$. Finally, by the definition of $\xi(x)$, we have

$$
\left|A_{k, Q}\right| \lesssim \xi(\ell)\|f\|
$$

for $|k|=n$. The proof of the lemma is finished.
Lemmas 2.2 and 2.8 imply the following assertion.
Corollary 2.1. Let $\omega$ be a modulus of continuity of order $n \in \mathbb{N}, f \in \mathcal{C}_{\omega}(D)$ and let $P_{Q}$ be a polynomial of near best approximation for $f$ on a cube $Q \subset D$ with $\ell<1 / 2$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{Q}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(D)} \leq C\|f\| \xi(\ell) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a constant independent of $Q$.
2.6. Construction of extremal functions. In this section, we find functions $\varphi_{e}(x) \in$ $\mathcal{C}_{\omega}(D), e \in \mathbb{R}^{d},|e|=1$, and corresponding polynomials $P_{Q, e}$ of near best approximation with extremal properties (cf. [13]).

Define the function

$$
\varphi(y)=\int_{|y|}^{1} \frac{\omega(t)}{t^{n+1}}(t-y)^{n} d t, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}
$$

For $x, e \in \mathbb{R}^{d},|e|=1$, put $x_{e}=\langle x, e\rangle$, where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes the scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Consider the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{e}(x)=\varphi\left(x_{e}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a positive parameter $\gamma$, the function

$$
P_{\gamma}(y)=\int_{\gamma}^{1} \frac{\omega(t)}{t^{n+1}}(t-y)^{n} d t, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}
$$

is a polynomial in $y$. Given a cube $Q$, put $\gamma=\max _{x \in Q}\left|x_{e}\right|$ and define the following polynomial:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{Q, e}(x)=P_{\gamma}\left(x_{e}\right) \in \mathcal{P}_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.9. Let $\varphi_{e}(x)$ and $P_{Q, e}(x)$ be defined by (2.12) and (2.13), respectively.
(i) The norms $\left\|\varphi_{e}(x)\right\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\omega}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}$ are uniformly bounded for $|e|=1$; if $\ell(Q)<\frac{1}{2}$, then $P_{Q, e}(x)$ is a polynomial of near best approximation for $\varphi_{e}$ on the cube $Q$.
(ii) If $Q$ is a cube centered at the origin, $\gamma(Q)<1$ and

$$
P_{Q, e}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} A_{k, Q, e}\langle x, e\rangle^{k}
$$

is a homogeneous expansion, then

$$
\left|A_{n, Q, e}\right| \geq C \xi(\ell)
$$

with a constant $C>0$ independent of $Q$ and $e,|e|=1$.

Proof. Firstly, we prove property (i). Let $\ell(Q)<1 / 2$. We have

$$
\sup _{x \in Q}\left|\varphi_{e}(x)-P_{Q, e}(x)\right| \leq \sup _{x \in Q} \int_{\left|x_{e}\right|}^{\gamma} \frac{\omega(t)}{t^{n+1}}\left(t-x_{e}\right)^{n} d t .
$$

If $\ell<\frac{1}{2} \gamma$, then property (1.1) of the function $\omega$ guarantees that

$$
\int_{\left|x_{e}\right|}^{\gamma} \frac{\omega(t)}{t^{n+1}}\left(t-x_{e}\right)^{n} d t \lesssim \frac{\omega\left(\left|x_{e}\right|\right)}{\left|x_{e}\right|^{n+1}} \ell^{n+1} \lesssim \omega(\ell)
$$

If $\ell \geq \frac{1}{2} \gamma$, then $\gamma \approx \ell$. We have $t-x_{e} \leq t$ for $x_{e} \geq 0$, and $t-x_{e} \leq t+\left|x_{e}\right| \leq 2 t$ for $x_{e}<0$ and $\left|x_{e}\right| \leq t$. Thus, by (1.2), we obtain

$$
\int_{\left|x_{e}\right|}^{\gamma} \frac{\omega(t)}{t^{n+1}}\left(t-x_{e}\right)^{n} d t \lesssim \int_{\left|x_{e}\right|}^{\gamma} \frac{\omega(t)}{t^{n+1}} t^{n} d t \lesssim \frac{\omega(\gamma)}{\gamma^{n-\varepsilon}} \int_{\left|x_{e}\right|}^{\gamma} t^{n-1-\varepsilon} d t \lesssim \omega(\gamma) \lesssim \omega(\ell)
$$

So, part (i) is proven.
To prove part (ii), observe that

$$
A_{n, Q, e}=(-1)^{n} \int_{\gamma}^{1} \frac{\omega(t)}{t^{n+1}} d t
$$

Since $\gamma \approx \ell$ for the cube $Q$ under consideration, we have

$$
\left|A_{n, Q, e}\right| \geq C \xi(\ell)
$$

with a constant $C>0$ independent of $Q$ and $e,|e|=1$. The proof of the lemma is finished.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

3.1. Main auxiliary construction. Fix a function $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\omega}(D)$. Put

$$
\|f\|=\|f\|_{\omega, D}+\|f\|_{L^{1}(D)}
$$

Consider an arbitrary cube $Q$ such that $2 Q \subset D$. Let $x_{0}$ denote the center of $Q, \ell=\ell(Q)$.
Let $P_{Q}$ be a polynomial of near best approximation for $f$ in the cube $Q$. Consider the following auxiliary functions (see, for example, [5, 6, 16] for similar arguments):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}=P_{Q} \chi_{D}, \\
& f_{2}=\left(f-P_{Q}\right) \chi_{2 Q}, \\
& f_{3}=\left(f-P_{Q}\right) \chi_{D \backslash 2 Q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that $f=f_{1}+f_{2}+f_{3}$. The following lemma shows how to properly handle the functions $T_{D} f_{2}$ and $T_{D} f_{3}$.
Lemma 3.1. There exist polynomials $P_{k, Q}, k=2,3$, such that

$$
\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}\left|T_{D} f_{k}-P_{k, Q}\right| d x \leq C \omega(\ell)\|f\|
$$

with a constant $C>0$ independent of $Q$.

Proof of Lemma 3.1 for $k=2$. Put $P_{2, Q}=0$. By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$
I_{2}=\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}\left|T_{D} f_{2}\right| d x \leq\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}\left|T_{D} f_{2}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

The operator $T_{D}$ is known to be bounded on $L^{2}$ (see [14, Ch. 2]). Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & \lesssim\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{2 Q}\left|f_{2}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}=\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{2 Q}\left|f-P_{Q}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \lesssim\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{2 Q}\left|f-P_{2 Q}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}+\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{2 Q}\left|P_{Q}-P_{2 Q}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, observe that the first summand is estimated by $C \omega(\ell)\|f\|_{\omega, D}$. Indeed, the proof of Proposition 2.3 allows to replace the $L^{2}$-norm by the $L^{1}$-norm, thus, it remains to apply Definition 4 for the polynomial $P_{2 Q}$. Next, Lemma 2.4 guarantees that the second summand is also estimated by $C \omega(\ell)\|f\|_{\omega, D}$. Hence, the proof of the lemma for $k=2$ is finished.

Proof of Lemma 3.1 for $k=3$. To estimate the oscillation

$$
I_{3}=\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}\left|T_{D} f_{3}-P_{3, Q}\right| d x
$$

we define $P_{3, Q}$ as the image of $f_{3}$ under the action of a special integral operator with a polynomial kernel. Namely, consider the Taylor polynomial of order $n$ for the kernel $K(x)=\Omega(x)|x|^{-d}$ of the operator $T$ at $y, y \neq 0$ :

$$
\mathcal{T} K(y, h)=K(y)+\left(\nabla_{y} K\right)(h)+\cdots+\frac{\nabla_{y}^{n} K}{n!}(h), \quad h \in \mathbb{R}^{d},|h|<|y| / 2,
$$

where $\nabla_{y}^{j} K$ denotes the differential of order $j$ for $K$ at $y$. Recall that $x_{0}$ is the center of $Q$. Define the polynomial $P_{3, Q}$ as follows:

$$
P_{3, Q}(x)=\int_{D \backslash 2 Q} \mathcal{T} K\left(x_{0}-u, x-x_{0}\right) f_{3}(u) d u
$$

The kernel $K$ is $C^{n+1}$-smooth, thus,

$$
\left|\nabla_{y}^{j} K\right| \lesssim|y|^{-d-j}, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, n+1, \quad y \neq 0
$$

Hence, for $u \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash 2 Q$ and $x \in Q$, the remainder in the Taylor formula is estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|K(x-u)-\mathcal{T} K\left(x_{0}-u, x-x_{0}\right)\right| & \leq C \sup _{t \in Q, u \notin 2 Q}\left|\nabla_{t}^{n+1} K(t-u)\right| \frac{\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \\
& \leq C \frac{\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{n+1}}{\left|u-x_{0}\right|^{n+1+d}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the constant $C>0$ does not depend on $u, x, x_{0}$ and $Q$. Applying the above estimate, we have

$$
I_{3} \leq \frac{C}{|Q|} \int_{Q} d x \int_{D \backslash 2 Q} \frac{\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{n+1}}{\left|u-x_{0}\right|^{n+1+d}}\left|f-P_{Q}\right|(u) d u \lesssim \ell^{n+1} \int_{D \backslash 2 Q} \frac{\left|f-P_{Q}\right|(u)}{\left|u-x_{0}\right|^{n+1+d}} d u .
$$

Now, define $\tilde{f}$ by means of formula (2.5). We have

$$
I_{3} \lesssim \ell^{n+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash 2 Q} \frac{\left|\tilde{f}-P_{Q}\right|(u)}{\left|u-x_{0}\right|^{n+1+d}} d u .
$$

Put $Q_{k}=2^{k+1} Q \backslash 2^{k} Q$ and rewrite the above estimate as follows:

$$
I_{3} \lesssim \ell^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(\ell 2^{k}\right)^{n+1+d}} \int_{Q_{k}}\left|\widetilde{f}-P_{Q}\right|(u) d u
$$

Using telescoping summation, we obtain

$$
I_{3} \lesssim \ell^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(\ell 2^{k}\right)^{n+1+d}}\left(\int_{Q_{k}}\left|\widetilde{f}-P_{2^{k+1} Q}\right|(u) d u+\sum_{s=0}^{k} \int_{Q_{k}}\left|P_{2^{s} Q}-P_{2^{s+1} Q}\right|(u) d u\right)
$$

where $P_{2^{s} Q}:=\mathbb{P}_{2^{s} Q} \tilde{f}$ is a polynomial of near best approximation for $\tilde{f}$ on the cube $2^{s} Q$, $s=0,1, \ldots, k, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{1}{\left|2^{k+1} Q\right|} \int_{Q_{k}} \right\rvert\, P_{2^{s} Q} & \left.-P_{2^{s+1} Q}\left|(u) d u \leq \frac{1}{\left|2^{k+1} Q\right|} \int_{2^{k+1} Q}\right| P_{2^{s} Q}-P_{2^{s+1} Q} \right\rvert\,(u) d u \\
& \lesssim\left(\frac{\ell 2^{k+1}}{\ell 2^{s+1}}\right)^{n} \frac{1}{\left|2^{k+1} Q\right|} \int_{2^{s+1} Q}\left|P_{2^{s} Q}-P_{2^{s+1} Q}\right|(u) d u \\
& \lesssim\left(\frac{2^{k}}{2^{s}}\right)^{n} \omega\left(\ell 2^{s+1}\right)\|f\|_{\omega}
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, respectively. Applying the above inequality, we estimate $I_{3}$ and obtain

$$
I_{3} \lesssim \ell^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(\ell 2^{k}\right)^{n+1+d}} \sum_{s=0}^{k} \frac{\left(\ell 2^{k+1}\right)^{d} 2^{k n}}{2^{s n}} \omega\left(2^{s} \ell\right)\|f\|_{\omega} \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k}} \sum_{s=0}^{k} \frac{1}{2^{s n}} \omega\left(2^{s} \ell\right)\|f\|_{\omega} .
$$

Changing the summation order, we have

$$
I_{3} \lesssim\|f\|_{\omega} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{s(n+1)}} \omega\left(2^{s} \ell\right) \lesssim\|f\|_{\omega} \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\omega(t \ell)}{t^{n+2}} d t
$$

Finally, changing the variable of integration and applying property (2.1) from Lemma 2.1, we obtain the required inequality

$$
I_{3} \leq C \omega(\ell)\|f\|_{\omega} .
$$

The proof of the lemma is finished.
3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.3: sufficiency. Assume that properties (i) and (ii) from Theorem 1.3 hold. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\omega}(D)$ and $Q$ be a cube such that $2 Q \subset D$. We have $\mathcal{C}_{\omega}(D)=\mathcal{C}_{\omega}^{\text {int }}(D)$ by Proposition 2.2. Hence, to prove the required implication, it suffices to verity the following property: there exists a polynomial $S_{Q} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}\left|T_{D} f-S_{Q}\right| d x \leq C \omega(\ell)\|f\| \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $C>0$ does not depend on $Q$.
Let $P_{Q}$ be a polynomial of near best approximation for $f$ in the cube $Q$ under consideration. We write the Taylor expansion with respect to the center $x_{0} \in Q$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{Q}(x)=\sum_{|k|=0}^{n} A_{k, Q}\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{k} & =\sum_{|k|=0}^{n-1} A_{k, Q}\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{k}+\sum_{|k|=n} A_{k, Q}\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{k} \\
& :=P_{n-1}(x)+P_{n}(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $k=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{d}\right)$ denotes a multiindex, $|k|=k_{1}+\cdots+k_{d}$.
Since condition (i) from Theorem 1.3 holds, there exists a polynomial $S_{1, Q} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$ such that

$$
J_{1}:=\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}\left|T_{D}\left(\chi_{D} P_{n-1}\right)-S_{1, Q}\right| d x \lesssim \omega(\ell)\left\|P_{n-1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(D)}
$$

with a constant independent of $Q$. Lemmas 2.2 and 2.8 guarantee that

$$
J_{1} \lesssim \omega(\ell)\|f\|
$$

By condition (ii) from Theorem [1.3, there exists a polynomial $S_{2, Q} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$ such that

$$
J_{2}:=\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}\left|T_{D}\left(\chi_{D} P_{n}\right)-S_{2, Q}\right| d x \lesssim\left\|P_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(D)} \widetilde{\omega}(\ell)
$$

with a constant independent of $Q$. Corollary 2.1 guarantees that

$$
J_{2} \lesssim \xi(\ell) \widetilde{\omega}(\ell)\|f\| \lesssim \omega(\ell)\|f\|
$$

Combining the estimates obtained for $J_{1}$ and $J_{2}$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}\left|T_{D}\left(\chi_{D} P_{Q}\right)-S_{1, Q}-S_{2, Q}\right| d x \lesssim \omega(\ell)\|f\| .
$$

Recall that $\chi_{D} P_{Q}=f_{1}$ in the notation of Lemma 3.1. Therefore, the estimate obtained and Lemma 3.1 imply the required property (3.1). The proof of sufficiency is finished.
3.3. Proof of Theorem 1.3: necessity. Since $\mathcal{P}_{n}(D) \subset \mathcal{C}_{\omega}(D)$, the necessity is clear for a Dini regular modulus of continuity $\omega$. Indeed, in this case, $\omega(t) \approx \widetilde{\omega}(t)$ and condition (i) from Theorem 1.3 implies condition (ii). We have a standard symmetric $T(P)$ theorem without additional condition (ii).

Now, assume that the modulus of continuity $\omega$ is not Dini regular. We have to prove that condition (ii) holds. Observe that the functions $\omega(t)$ and $\widetilde{\omega}(t)$ are equivalent for
$\frac{1}{2} \leq t<\infty$, since $\omega(t)=\widetilde{\omega}(t)$ for $t \geq 1$. Thus, to verify condition (ii) for $Q$, we may assume that $\ell(Q)<\frac{1}{2}$.

Consider the following family of shifts for the extremal function defined by (2.12):

$$
\varphi_{e, x_{0}}(x)=\varphi_{e}\left(x-x_{0}\right), \quad x_{0} \in D
$$

Since $D$ is a Lipschitz domain, the properties of $\varphi_{e, x_{0}} \chi_{D}$ are similar to those of $\varphi_{e}$. Namely, $\varphi_{e, x_{0}} \chi_{D} \in \mathcal{C}_{\omega}(D)$ and the corresponding norms in the space $\mathcal{C}_{\omega}(D)$ are bounded, uniformly with respect to $x_{0} \in D$ and $e,|e|=1$, by a constant depending only on the Lipschitz constants of the domain $D$. For every function $\varphi_{e, x_{0}} \chi_{D}$, we choose a polynomial of near best approximation with the help of (2.13) as follows:

$$
P_{e, x_{0}, Q}(x)=P_{e, Q}\left(x-x_{0}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} A_{k, Q, e}\left\langle x-x_{0}, e\right\rangle^{k}:=P_{n-1}(x)+A_{n, Q, t}\left\langle x-x_{0}, e\right\rangle^{n}
$$

with coefficients independent of the point $x_{0} \in D$. Put $f=\varphi_{e, x_{0}}$ and $f_{1}=P_{e, x_{0}, Q} \chi_{D}$. By assumption, the operator $T_{D}$ is bounded on $\mathcal{C}_{\omega}(D)$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.1 and the triangle inequality, there exists a polynomial $S_{Q} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}\left|T_{D} f_{1}-S_{Q}\right| d x \lesssim \omega(\ell)\left\|\varphi_{e, x_{0}}\right\| .
$$

Since $\chi_{D} P_{n-1} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}(D) \subset \mathcal{C}_{\omega}(D)$, there exists a polynomial $S_{Q}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}\left|T_{D}\left(\chi_{D} P_{n-1}\right)-S_{Q}^{\prime}\right| d x \lesssim \omega(\ell)\left\|P_{n-1}\right\| \lesssim \omega(\ell)\left\|\varphi_{e, x_{0}}\right\|
$$

by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.8. Therefore, the triangle inequality guarantees that

$$
\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}\left|T_{D}\left(\chi_{D} A_{n, Q, e}\left\langle x-x_{0}, e\right\rangle^{n}\right)-\left(S_{Q}-S_{Q}^{\prime}\right)(x)\right| d x \lesssim \omega(\ell)\left\|\varphi_{e, x_{0}}\right\| \lesssim \omega(\ell)\|\varphi\|
$$

with a constant depending only on the Lipschitz constants of the domain $D$. Next, put $R_{Q}=\left(S_{Q}-S_{Q}^{\prime}\right) / A_{k, Q, e}$ and rewrite the above inequality as follows:

$$
\left|A_{n, Q, e}\right| \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}\left|T_{D}\left(\chi_{D}\left\langle x-x_{0}, e\right\rangle^{n}\right)-R_{Q}(x)\right| d x \lesssim \omega(\ell)\|\varphi\| .
$$

By Lemma [2.9, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}\left|T_{D}\left(\chi_{D}\left\langle x-x_{0}, e\right\rangle^{n}\right)-R_{Q}(x)\right| d x \lesssim \frac{\omega(\ell)}{\xi(\ell)}\|\varphi\| \lesssim \widetilde{\omega}(\ell)\|\varphi\| \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly with respect to $x_{0} \in D$ and $e,|e|=1$. It remains to observe that property (3.2) implies condition (ii) from Theorem 1.3. The proof of necessity is finished.
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