

Determining the collision kernel in the Boltzmann equation near the equilibrium

Li Li ^{*1} and Zhimeng Ouyang ^{†1}

¹*Institute for Pure and Applied Mathematics, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA*

ABSTRACT. We consider an inverse problem for the nonlinear Boltzmann equation near the equilibrium. Our goal is to determine the collision kernel in the Boltzmann equation from the knowledge of the Albedo operator. Our approach relies on a linearization technique as well as the injectivity of the Gauss-Weierstrass transform.

1 Introduction

We consider the following evolutionary Boltzmann equation

$$\partial_t F + v \cdot \nabla_x F = Q(F, F). \quad (1)$$

Here $F(t, x, v)$ is the kinetic distribution function and the collision operator Q is defined by

$$Q(F_1, F_2) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} q(\theta, |v - u|) [F_1(u')F_2(v') - F_1(u)F_2(v)] d\omega du \quad (2)$$

where the vectors

$$u' = u - [(u - v) \cdot \omega]\omega, \quad v' = v + [(u - v) \cdot \omega]\omega \quad (3)$$

are velocities after a collision of particles with original velocities u, v and $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ satisfies

$$\cos \theta = \frac{|(v - u) \cdot \omega|}{|v - u|}. \quad (4)$$

The collision operator Q describes the particle interaction and q is called the collision kernel (or collision cross section). In this paper, we focus on q which has the form

$$q(\theta, |v - u|) = |v - u|^\gamma q_0(\theta), \quad (5)$$

where the constant γ satisfies $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ (hard potential) and the smooth function q_0 satisfies $0 \leq q_0(\theta) \leq C \cos \theta$ (angular cutoff). This is an assumption introduced by Grad (see e.g. [11]) to tame the singularity of the collision kernel at $\theta = 0$ and is one of the most well-accepted models.

*lili@ipam.ucla.edu

†zouyang@ipam.ucla.edu

To formulate our inverse problem, we consider the initial (in-flow) boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t F + v \cdot \nabla_x F = Q(F, F) & \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 \\ F = G & \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Gamma_- \\ F(0, x, v) = \mu & \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

and we formally define the Albedo operator

$$\mathcal{A} : G \rightarrow F|_{\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Gamma_+}. \quad (7)$$

Here the Gaussian function (normalized Maxwellian) μ is defined by

$$\mu(v) := e^{-|v|^2}, \quad (8)$$

$\mathbb{R}_+ := \{t : t > 0\}$, Ω is a bounded, strictly convex domain with smooth boundary and

$$\Gamma_{\pm} := \{(x, v) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 : \pm n(x) \cdot v > 0\}$$

where $n(x)$ is the unit outer normal to $\partial\Omega$ at $x \in \partial\Omega$.

We will see that (6) is well-posed for continuous G which is a small perturbation around the equilibrium μ so (7) is well-defined for such G . The following theorem is our main result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}, \mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ be the Albedo operators corresponding to the collision kernels $q^{(1)}, q^{(2)}$ satisfying (5) and an additional symmetric assumption. Suppose $\mathcal{A}^{(1)} = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}$. Then $q^{(1)} = q^{(2)}$.*

We will provide a precise statement of the main theorem in Section 4 after we introduce more definitions and notations in later sections.

1.1 Connection with earlier literature

So far there have been many contributions in the mathematical study of different aspects of the forward problem for the Boltzmann equation. See e.g. [4, 5, 10, 23]. In the regime of bounded domains with physical boundary conditions, the Boltzmann equation with angular cutoff has been proved by Guo to be globally well-posed and stable for small data near the Maxwellian equilibrium state for all four basic types of boundary conditions (see [13]). Other related results can be found in [12, 21, 8]. On the other hand, the global well-posedness in bounded domains for the model without angular cutoff and for general solutions that are far from equilibrium (e.g. near vacuum) are completely open.

Inverse problems for linear transport equations have been extensively studied as well. We refer readers to [1] for a survey on this topic. The inverse problem is to determine optical parameters from the knowledge of the Albedo operator associated with the linear Boltzmann equation (radiative transfer equation). Based on the singular decomposition of the Albedo operator, uniqueness results for inverse problems for the linear evolutionary Boltzmann equation have been obtained in [6]. The stationary case has been studied in [7] and the related stability estimates have been obtained (see e.g. [16, 25]).

Fewer uniqueness results for inverse problems for the nonlinear Boltzmann equation have been obtained yet. An inverse problem for the nonlinear relativistic Boltzmann equation was studied in [2], where the authors showed that the Lorentzian spacetime can be determined from the associated

source-to-solution map up to an isometry for a fixed collision kernel. For determining the collision kernel, a more related work is [17]. In [17], the authors studied an inverse problem for the nonlinear stationary Boltzmann equation near the vacuum. They proved that the collision kernel can be determined from the associated Albedo operator under appropriate assumptions. Compared with our q in (5), the collision kernel studied in [17] has a more general form. The main restriction in [17] is the strong L^1 bound condition (see (1.5) in [17]), which excludes the most classical hard sphere case

$$q(\theta, |v - u|) = c|v - u| \cos \theta \quad (9)$$

(a special case of (5)) arising in the kinetic theory. We mention that the arguments in both [2] and [17] depend on the higher order multiple-fold linearization method introduced in [15]. This method has wide applications in solving inverse problems for nonlinear equations. See e.g. [9, 14, 18, 19, 20, 22].

Instead of the multiple-fold linearization method, a first order linearization method will be applied in this paper. This enables us to relate our problem to the one studied in [6]. The key point is that the information of the collision kernel in the nonlinear equation is encoded in the parameters in the associated linear equation. Thus we will be able to determine the collision kernel once we apply the uniqueness result in [6] to determine the parameters.

1.2 Organization

The rest of this paper is organized in the following way. For later use, we will review some basic theories of the linear transport equation and the nonlinear Boltzmann equation near the equilibrium in Section 2. Based on the arguments in [13], we will show the well-posedness of the forward problem and relate our nonlinear problem to the linear one studied in [6] in Section 3. In section 4, we will first determine the parameters in the linear equation based on the result in [6]. Then we will explicitly present our main theorem and further determine the collision kernel based on the injectivity of the Gauss-Weierstrass transform.

Acknowledgements. L.L. and Z.O. are partly supported by the Simons Foundation. L.L. would like to thank Professor Gunther Uhlmann for helpful discussions.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Linear transport equation

Let $\nu(v)$ be a positive function such that $\nu h_0 \in L^1(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ for any continuous h_0 compactly supported in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3$. Let K be a bounded linear integral operator on $L^1(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ corresponding to a positive symmetric kernel $k(v, v')$, i.e.

$$(Kh_0)(x, v') = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k(v, v') h_0(x, v) dv.$$

It is known that the semigroup $U_j(t) : h_0 \rightarrow h$ ($j = 0, 1, 2$) associated with

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t h + v \cdot \nabla_x h + L_j h = 0 & \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 \\ h = 0 & \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Gamma_- \\ h(0, x, v) = h_0 & \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

is strongly continuous on $L^1(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ where we define

$$L_0 := 0, \quad L_1 h_0 := \nu h_0, \quad L_2 := L_1 - K.$$

(In fact this holds for more general position-dependent ν, k . See e.g. [24, Theorem 1].) Clearly,

$$U_1(t)h_0 = \mathbf{1}_{t \leq \tau_-(x,v)} e^{-\nu(v)t} h_0(x - tv, v) = e^{-\nu(v)t} U_0(t)h_0,$$

where τ_- is the exit time function defined by

$$\tau_-(x, v) := \sup \{t \geq 0 : x - tv \in \Omega\}, \quad (11)$$

and by Duhamel's principle we have

$$U_2(t) = U_1(t) + \int_0^t U_2(t-s) K U_1(s) ds.$$

Let $G_-(t) : g \rightarrow h$ denote the solution operator associated with

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t h + v \cdot \nabla_x h + L_1 h = 0 & \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 \\ h = g & \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Gamma_- \\ h(0, x, v) = 0 & \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

We have

$$G_-(t)g = e^{-\nu(v)\tau_-(x,v)} g(t - \tau_-(x, v), x - \tau_-(x, v)v, v).$$

(We define $g(t) := 0$ for $t \leq 0$.) It is known that

$$\sup_t \|G_-(t)g\|_{L^1(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Gamma_-, |n(x) \cdot v| dt d\sigma(x) dv)} \quad (13)$$

where $d\sigma$ is the standard surface measure on $\partial\Omega$. (See e.g. (5.5) in [6].) By Duhamel's principle, we know that the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t h + v \cdot \nabla_x h + L_2 h = 0 & \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 \\ h = g & \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Gamma_- \\ h(0, x, v) = 0 & \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

is given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} h &= G_-(t)g + \int_0^t U_2(s) K G_-(t-s)g ds \\ &= G_-(t)g + \int_0^t U_1(s) K G_-(t-s)g ds + \int_0^t \int_0^{s_2} U_2(t-s_2) K U_1(s_1) K G_-(s_2-s_1)g ds_1 ds_2. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

2.2 Boltzmann equation near the equilibrium

All materials in this subsection can be found in Chapter 3 in [10].

By making the substitutions

$$F = \mu + \mu^{\frac{1}{2}} f, \quad G = \mu + \mu^{\frac{1}{2}} g, \quad (16)$$

we can write (6) as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + Lf = \Gamma(f, f) & \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 \\ f = g & \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Gamma_- \\ f(0, x, v) = 0 & \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

where

$$\Gamma(f, f) = \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q(\mu^{\frac{1}{2}} f, \mu^{\frac{1}{2}} f), \quad (18)$$

and the linearized Boltzmann operator L has the form $L = \nu - K$. Here the function $\nu(v)$ is the collision frequency and K has the form $K = K_2 - K_1$ where K_1, K_2 are the linear integral operators corresponding to the kernels k_1, k_2 . It is known that

$$\nu(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} q(\theta, |v - u|) \mu(u) \, d\omega du, \quad (19)$$

$$k_1(u, v) = \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(u) \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} q(\theta, |v - u|) \, d\omega, \quad (20)$$

$$k_2(u, v) = \frac{2}{|u - v|^2} e^{-\frac{|u-v|^2}{4}} \int_{y \in \Pi} e^{-|y+\zeta|^2} \tilde{q}(|u - v|, |y|) \, d\Pi \quad (21)$$

where

$$\zeta = \frac{1}{2}(v + u), \quad \Pi = \{y : y \cdot (u - v) = 0\} \quad (22)$$

and the function $\tilde{q}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is defined by

$$\tilde{q}(\rho \cos \theta, \rho \sin \theta) := \frac{\tilde{B}(\theta, \rho)}{\sin \theta}, \quad \tilde{B}(\theta, \rho) = \frac{1}{2} [B(\theta, \rho) + B(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta, \rho)], \quad B(\theta, \rho) = q(\theta, \rho) \sin \theta. \quad (23)$$

3 Well-posedness and linearization

3.1 Well-posedness

In order to establish well-posedness for the forward problem via the L^∞ framework, we introduce a weight function which has the form

$$w(v) = (1 + c|v|^2)^m, \quad c > 0, \quad m \in \mathbb{R} \quad (24)$$

satisfying $w^{-2}(1 + |v|)^3 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ (see Subsection 1.3 in [13]). By making the substitutions

$$\tilde{f} = wf, \quad \tilde{g} = wg$$

in (17), we can further write (6) as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{f} + v \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{f} + \tilde{L}\tilde{f} = \tilde{\Gamma}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{f}) & \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 \\ \tilde{f} = \tilde{g} & \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Gamma_- \\ \tilde{f}(0, x, v) = 0 & \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

where

$$\tilde{L} := \nu - \tilde{K}, \quad \tilde{K} := wK(\frac{\cdot}{w}), \quad (26)$$

$$\tilde{\Gamma}(\cdot, \cdot) := w\Gamma(\frac{\cdot}{w}, \frac{\cdot}{w}). \quad (27)$$

Based on [13, Theorem 1], we have the following well-posedness result for (25).

Proposition 3.1. *For \tilde{g} with sufficiently small L^∞ -norm, (25) has a unique solution \tilde{f} and*

$$\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\|\tilde{g}\|_{L^\infty}. \quad (28)$$

Moreover, if \tilde{g} is continuous on $[0, \infty) \times \Gamma_-$, then \tilde{f} is continuous in $[0, \infty) \times \{(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \Gamma_0\}$, where

$$\Gamma_0 := \{(x, v) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 : n(x) \cdot v = 0\}. \quad (29)$$

We remark that the L^∞ estimate above is an adaption from [13, Theorem 1] without time decay. Also, this continuity result for the in-flow boundary requires a strictly convex domain. Alternatively, if we make sense of the boundary restriction map using Ukai's trace theorem (see [23, Theorem 5.5.1]), then we may also work with non-convex domains and L^p boundary data.

Hence we know that the associated Albedo operator

$$A : \tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{f}|_{\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Gamma_+} \quad (30)$$

is at least well-defined for small continuous \tilde{g} .

Clearly the knowledge of A is equivalent to the knowledge of \mathcal{A} defined by (7).

3.2 Linearization

Let \tilde{f}_ϵ be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{f} + v \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{f} + \tilde{L}\tilde{f} = \tilde{\Gamma}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{f}) & \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 \\ \tilde{f} = \epsilon \tilde{g} & \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Gamma_- \\ \tilde{f}(0, x, v) = 0 & \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

for small ϵ and continuous \tilde{g} . Consider the linear problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t h + v \cdot \nabla_x h + \tilde{L}h = 0 & \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 \\ h = \tilde{g} & \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Gamma_- \\ h(0, x, v) = 0 & \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

Proposition 3.2. $\frac{\tilde{f}_\epsilon}{\epsilon} \rightarrow h$ in L^∞ -norm as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. Let $h_\epsilon := \frac{\tilde{f}_\epsilon}{\epsilon} - h$. Note that we have $h_\epsilon(0) = 0$, $h_\epsilon|_{\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Gamma_-} = 0$ and

$$\partial_t h_\epsilon + v \cdot \nabla_x h_\epsilon + \tilde{L}h_\epsilon = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \tilde{\Gamma}(\tilde{f}_\epsilon, \tilde{f}_\epsilon).$$

We will show that $h_\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in L^∞ -norm as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Let $\tilde{U}(t) : h_0 \rightarrow h$ ($j = 0, 1, 2$) denote the semigroup associated with

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t h + v \cdot \nabla_x h + \tilde{L}h = 0 & \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 \\ h = 0 & \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Gamma_- \\ h(0, x, v) = h_0 & \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases} \quad (33)$$

Let \tilde{k} be the kernel corresponding to the linear integral operator \tilde{K} in (26). Based on the estimates (44), (45) in [13, Lemma 3] (these stronger estimates are mainly used to prove the weighted L^∞ bounds of solutions), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{k}(v, v') dv \leq C, \quad (34)$$

which implies \tilde{K} is bounded on $L^1(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)$. Based on results in Subsection 2.1 and Duhamel's principle, we know that $\tilde{U}(t)$ is strongly continuous on $L^1(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_\epsilon(t) &= \int_0^t \tilde{U}(t-s) \frac{1}{\epsilon} \tilde{\Gamma}(\tilde{f}_\epsilon, \tilde{f}_\epsilon)(s) ds \\ &= \int_0^t U_1(t-s) \frac{1}{\epsilon} \tilde{\Gamma}(\tilde{f}_\epsilon, \tilde{f}_\epsilon)(s) ds + \int_0^t \int_s^t U_1(t-s') \tilde{K} \tilde{U}(s'-s) \frac{1}{\epsilon} \tilde{\Gamma}(\tilde{f}_\epsilon, \tilde{f}_\epsilon)(s) ds' ds. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Based on the estimate (233) in [13], we have

$$\left| \int_0^t U_1(t-s) \frac{1}{\epsilon} \tilde{\Gamma}(\tilde{f}_\epsilon, \tilde{f}_\epsilon)(s) ds \right| \leq C \epsilon^{-1} \|\tilde{f}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}^2. \quad (36)$$

Based on the estimate (237) in [13], we have

$$\left| \int_0^t \int_s^t U_1(t-s') \tilde{K} \tilde{U}(s'-s) \frac{1}{\epsilon} \tilde{\Gamma}(\tilde{f}_\epsilon, \tilde{f}_\epsilon)(s) ds' ds \right| \leq C' \epsilon^{-1} \|\tilde{f}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}^2. \quad (37)$$

Hence by (36), (37) and (28) we have

$$\|h_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty} \leq C'' \epsilon^{-1} \|\tilde{f}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}^2 \leq C''' \epsilon \|\tilde{g}\|_{L^\infty}^2.$$

□

Now we consider the Albedo operator

$$A^{lin} : \tilde{g} \rightarrow h|_{\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Gamma_+} \quad (38)$$

associated with the linear problem (32).

We take the restriction to $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Gamma_-$ in Proposition 3.2 to obtain that

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon} A(\epsilon \tilde{g}) \rightarrow A^{lin} \tilde{g} \quad (39)$$

in L^∞ -norm as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ for continuous \tilde{g} compactly supported in $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Gamma_-$, which implies that A^{lin} is determined by A .

4 Inverse problem

4.1 Determine the collision frequency and K

Based on the formula (15), we have the following singular decomposition result for the Albedo operator A^{lin} associated with the linear problem (32). See [6, Theorem 5.1].

Proposition 4.1. *The Schwartz kernel of A^{lin} has the form $\alpha(t - t', x, v, x', v')$, i.e. formally*

$$(A^{lin}\tilde{g})(t, x, v) = \iint_{\Gamma_-} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \alpha(t - t', x, v, x', v') \tilde{g}(t', x', v') dt' d\sigma(x') dv'.$$

We have the decomposition $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ where

$$\alpha_j = \alpha_j(\tau, x, v, x', v'), \quad (x, v) \in \Gamma_+, \quad (x', v') \in \Gamma_-,$$

$$\alpha_0 = e^{-\nu(v)\tau_-(x,v)} \delta_{\{x-\tau_-(x,v)v\}}(x') \delta(v - v') \delta_1(\tau - \tau_-(x, v)),$$

$$\alpha_1 = \int_0^{\tau_-(x,v)} e^{-\nu(v)s} e^{-\nu(v')\tau_-(x-sv,v')} \delta_1(\tau - s - \tau_-(x - sv, v')) \tilde{k}(v, v') \delta_{\{x-sv-\tau_-(x-sv,v')v'\}}(x') ds,$$

and α_2 satisfies

$$|n(x') \cdot v'|^{-1} \alpha_2 \in L^\infty(\Gamma_-; L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}; L^1(\Gamma_+, |n(x) \cdot v| d\sigma(x) dv))).$$

Here we use δ, δ_1 to denote the standard Dirac distribution on \mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R} . For $y \in \partial\Omega$, δ_y is the distribution defined by $\langle \delta_y, \varphi \rangle := \varphi(y)$ for φ defined on $\partial\Omega$.

We remark that α_0, α_1 are singular distributions while α_2 is a function. α_0 is a Dirac type distribution, which is supported at a point for fixed (x, v) . α_1 is a Dirac type distribution as well but it is less singular than α_0 . For fixed (x, v, v') , the support of α_1 is contained in the set $\{(x', \tau)\}$ where x' belongs to the intersection curve of $\partial\Omega$ with the plane passing through x and parallel to v, v' , and τ is the travel time from x to x' .

Based on the decomposition theorem above, we can use exactly the same method presented in [6] to obtain the following uniqueness result for the linear problem (32). Recall that ν, K are defined in Subsection 2.2. The knowledge of K is equivalent to the knowledge of \tilde{K} and ν, \tilde{K} are parameters appearing in the linear problem (32) (see (26) in Subsection 3.1).

Proposition 4.2. *Both ν and K are uniquely determined by A^{lin} .*

We remark that in [6], the authors considered position-dependent parameters so it is only possible to determine the X-ray transform of $\nu(x, v)$ from A^{lin} . Here we are only interested in position-independent ν and K so $\nu(v)$ can be uniquely determined.

Now we sketch the proof and we refer readers to Section 5 in [6] for details.

Proof. For fixed (x, v) , we can appropriately choose $\phi_\epsilon(\tau, x', v')$ based on the support of α_0 (see the expression above (5.17) in [6]) such that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \iint_{\Gamma_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \alpha_0(\tau, x, v, x', v') \phi_\epsilon(\tau, x', v') d\tau d\sigma(x') dv' = e^{-\tau_-(x,v)\nu(v)},$$

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \iint_{\Gamma_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \alpha_j(\tau, x, v, x', v') \phi_\epsilon(\tau, x', v') d\tau d\sigma(x') dv' = 0, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

so the action of α on ϕ_ϵ gives the reconstruction formula of ν from α .

For fixed (x, t, v, v') with $v \neq v'$, we have $\alpha_0 = 0$ and we can appropriately choose $\psi_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}$ based on the support of α_1 (see (3.9), (5.21) and (5.22) in [6]) such that

$$\lim_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_{\partial\Omega} \alpha_1(t - t', x, v, x', v') \psi_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}(x', t') d\sigma(x') dt' = e^{-t\nu(v)} e^{-\tau - (x - tv, v')\nu(v')} \tilde{k}(v, v'),$$

$$\lim_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_{\partial\Omega} \alpha_2(t - t', x, v, x', v') \psi_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}(x', t') d\sigma(x') dt' = 0,$$

so the action of α on $\psi_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}$ gives the reconstruction formula of \tilde{k} (equivalent to K) from α once ν is reconstructed. \square

4.2 Determine the collision kernel

Now we are ready to explicitly present our main theorem. Our result depends on the uniqueness result (Proposition 4.2) for the linear problem (32) as well as the injectivity of the Gauss-Weierstrass transform (convolution with the Maxwellian μ). The following theorem is the precise version of Theorem 1.1. Recall that the Albedo operator A is defined by (30) and \tilde{q}, B are defined by (23).

Theorem 4.3. *Let $A^{(1)}, A^{(2)}$ be the Albedo operators corresponding to the collision kernels $q^{(1)}, q^{(2)}$ satisfying (5). Suppose*

$$A^{(1)}\tilde{g} = A^{(2)}\tilde{g} \tag{40}$$

for any continuous \tilde{g} compactly supported in $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Gamma_-$. Then

$$\tilde{q}^{(1)} = \tilde{q}^{(2)}.$$

If we further assume

$$B(\theta, \rho) = B\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta, \rho\right), \tag{41}$$

then \tilde{q} is just the Cartesian representation of q so we can conclude that

$$q^{(1)} = q^{(2)}$$

in this case. (e.g. the hard sphere case (9) satisfies (41).)

Proof. By (39) and the assumption (40) we have

$$(A^{lin})^{(1)} = (A^{lin})^{(2)},$$

and then by Proposition 4.2 we have

$$\nu^{(1)} = \nu^{(2)}, \quad K^{(1)} = K^{(2)}.$$

We define the function

$$I(z) := \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} q\left(\cos^{-1}\left(\frac{|z \cdot \omega|}{|z|}\right), |z|\right) d\omega.$$

Now we can write (19) as

$$\nu^{(j)}(v) = (I^{(j)} * \mu)(v)$$

so $\nu^{(1)} = \nu^{(2)}$ implies that $I^{(1)} = I^{(2)}$ based on the injectivity of the Gauss-Weierstrass transform.

Since we can write (20) as

$$k_1^{(j)}(u, v) = \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(u)\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}(v)I^{(j)}(u - v),$$

we have $K_1^{(1)} = K_1^{(2)}$. Recall that $K^{(j)} = K_2^{(j)} - K_1^{(j)}$, so $K^{(1)} = K^{(2)}$ implies that $K_2^{(1)} = K_2^{(2)}$.

Let $\eta := u - v$. We can write (21) as

$$k_2(\zeta, \eta) = \frac{2}{|\eta|^2} e^{-\frac{|\eta|^2}{4}} \int_{y \in \Pi} e^{-|y+\zeta|^2} \tilde{q}(|\eta|, |y|) d\Pi. \quad (42)$$

Here we view k_2 as a function of the two new independent variables ζ, η . For each $\zeta \in \Pi$, the integral in (42) is the value of the convolution of μ and $\tilde{q}(|\eta|, |\cdot|)$ over the plane Π at $-\zeta$. Hence $K_2^{(1)} = K_2^{(2)}$ implies that $\tilde{q}^{(1)} = \tilde{q}^{(2)}$ based on the injectivity of the Gauss-Weierstrass transform. \square

We remark that the proof above works for the general collision kernel $q(\theta, |v - u|)$. We restrict ourselves to q which has the form (5) mainly because this assumption is required for the well-posedness of the forward problem (Proposition 3.1).

We mention that the 1-dimensional Gauss-Weierstrass transform is closely related with the Laplace transform. We also have an inversion formula involving Hermite polynomials for the general multi-dimensional Gauss-Weierstrass transform. We refer readers to Chapter 5 in [3] for details.

References

- [1] Guillaume Bal. Inverse transport theory and applications. *Inverse Problems*, 25(5):053001, 2009.
- [2] Tracey Balehowsky, Antti Kujanpää, Matti Lassas, and Tony Liimatainen. An inverse problem for the relativistic Boltzmann equation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.09312*, 2020.
- [3] Yu A Brychkov and A P Prudnikov. *Integral Transforms of Generalized Functions*. Gordon & Breach Sci. Publ, 1989.
- [4] C. Cercignani. *The Boltzmann Equation and Its Applications*. Springer, Berlin, 1988.
- [5] C. Cercignani, R. Illner, and M. Pulvirenti. *The Mathematical Theory of Dilute Gases*. Springer, Berlin, 1994.
- [6] Mourad Choulli and Plamen Stefanov. Inverse scattering and inverse boundary value problems for the linear Boltzmann equation. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 21(5-6):763–785, 1996.
- [7] Mourad Choulli and Plamen Stefanov. An inverse boundary value problem for the stationary transport equation. *Osaka journal of mathematics*, 36(1):87–104, 1999.
- [8] L. Desvillettes and C. Villani. On the trend to global equilibrium for spatially inhomogeneous kinetic systems: the Boltzmann equation. *Invent. Math.*, 159(2):245–316, 2005.
- [9] Ali Feizmohammadi and Lauri Oksanen. An inverse problem for a semi-linear elliptic equation in Riemannian geometries. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 269(6):4683–4719, 2020.
- [10] Robert T Glassey. *The Cauchy problem in kinetic theory*. SIAM, 1996.
- [11] H. Grad. Principles of the kinetic theory of gases. *Handbuch der Physik*, vol. XII:205–294, 1958.

- [12] J.P. Guiraud. An H-theorem for a gas of rigid spheres in a bounded domain. *Theories cinétique classique et relativistes, CNRS, Paris*, pages 29–58, 1975.
- [13] Yan Guo. Decay and continuity of the Boltzmann equation in bounded domains. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 197:713–809, 2010.
- [14] Katya Krupchyk and Gunther Uhlmann. A remark on partial data inverse problems for semilinear elliptic equations. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 148(2):681–685, 2020.
- [15] Yaroslav Kurylev, Matti Lassas, and Gunther Uhlmann. Inverse problems for Lorentzian manifolds and non-linear hyperbolic equations. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 212(3):781–857, 2018.
- [16] Ru-Yu Lai, Qin Li, and Gunther Uhlmann. Inverse problems for the stationary transport equation in the diffusion scaling. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, 79(6):2340–2358, 2019.
- [17] Ru-Yu Lai, Gunther Uhlmann, and Yang Yang. Reconstruction of the collision kernel in the nonlinear Boltzmann equation. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 53(1):1049–1069, 2021.
- [18] Matti Lassas, Tony Liimatainen, Yi-Hsuan Lin, and Mikko Salo. Partial data inverse problems and simultaneous recovery of boundary and coefficients for semilinear elliptic equations. *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana*, 37(4):1553–1580, 2020.
- [19] Matti Lassas, Gunther Uhlmann, and Yiran Wang. Inverse problems for semilinear wave equations on Lorentzian manifolds. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 360(2):555–609, 2018.
- [20] Li Li. On inverse problems arising in fractional elasticity. *arXiv:2109.03387, (to appear) Journal of Spectral Theory*, 2022, 2021.
- [21] Y. Shizuta and K. Asano. Global solutions of the Boltzmann equation in a bounded convex domain. *Proc. Jpn. Acad.*, 53A:3–5, 1977.
- [22] Gunther Uhlmann and Jian Zhai. On an inverse boundary value problem for a nonlinear elastic wave equation. *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, 153:114–136, 2021.
- [23] S. Ukai. Solutions of the Boltzmann equation. *Patterns and waves*, Stud. Math. Appl., 18:37–96, 1986.
- [24] Ivan Vidav. Existence and uniqueness of nonnegative eigenfunctions of the Boltzmann operator. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 22(1):144–155, 1968.
- [25] Jenn-Nan Wang. Stability estimates of an inverse problem for the stationary transport equation. *Annales de l’IHP Physique théorique*, 70(5):473–495, 1999.