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#### Abstract

Let $(X, g)$ be a product cone with the metric $g=d r^{2}+r^{2} h$, where $X=$ $C(Y)=(0, \infty)_{r} \times Y$ and the cross section $Y$ is a $(n-1)$-dimensional closed Riemannian manifold $(Y, h)$. We study the upper boundedness of heat kernel associated with the operator $\mathcal{L}_{V}=-\Delta_{g}+V_{0} r^{-2}$, where $-\Delta_{g}$ is the positive Friedrichs extension Laplacian on $X$ and $V=V_{0}(y) r^{-2}$ and $V_{0} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(Y)$ is a real function such that the operator $-\Delta_{h}+V_{0}+(n-2)^{2} / 4$ is a strictly positive operator on $L^{2}(Y)$. The new ingredient of the proof is the Hadamard parametrix and finite propagation speed of wave operator on $Y$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $(Y, h)$ be a $(n-1)$-dimensional closed Riemannian manifold, we consider the product cone $X=C(Y)=(0, \infty)_{r} \times Y$ and the metric $g=d r^{2}+r^{2} h$. The product cone is an incomplete manifold, however one can complete it to $C^{*}(Y)=C(Y) \cup P$ where $P$ is its cone tip, see Cheeger [5,6]. Let $\Delta_{g}$ denote the Friedrichs' self-adjoint extension of Laplace-Beltrami operator from the domain $\mathcal{C}_{c}^{\infty}(X)$ that consist of the compactly supported smooth functions on the interior of the metric cone. One can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{g}=-\partial_{r}^{2}-\frac{n-1}{r} \partial_{r}+\frac{-\Delta_{h}}{r^{2}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $-\Delta_{h}$ is the positive Laplacian on the closed Riemannian manifold $Y$, see [7, p. 302] and [20, Theorem 2.1]. The heat kernel associated with the operator $\Delta_{g}$ has been investigated, we refer to Mooer [20] and Nagase [21] for asymptotic expansion, to Li [17] for upper boundedness and to Coulhon-Li [3] for lower boundedness.

In this paper, we consider the heat kernel associated with the Schrödinger operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{V}=-\Delta_{g}+V, \quad V=V_{0}(y) r^{-2} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{0}(y)$ is a smooth function on the section $Y$ such that the operator $-\Delta_{h}+V_{0}+$ $(n-2)^{2} / 4$ is a strictly positive operator on $L^{2}(Y)$ space. The decay of the perturbation potential considered is scaling critical and is closely related to the angular momentum as $r \rightarrow \infty$, hence the Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{L}_{V}$ has attracted interest from other topics. For examples, we refer to [2] 25] for the asymptotical behavior of the Schrödinger propagator, to [13, 16] for the Riesz transform, to [10, 28, 30] for the Strichartz estimates and the restriction estimates. In the present paper, we focus on the upper boundedness of heat kernel. More precisely, we prove

Theorem 1.1. Let $\mathcal{L}_{V}$ be the operator on metric cone of dimension $n \geq 2$ given in (1.2) and suppose $\left\{\lambda_{k}, \varphi_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ to be the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the operator
$-\Delta_{h}+V_{0}(y)+(n-2)^{2} / 4$, which satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(-\Delta_{h}+V_{0}(y)+(n-2)^{2} / 4\right) \varphi_{k}(y)=\lambda_{k} \varphi_{k}(y)  \tag{1.3}\\
\int_{Y}\left|\varphi_{k}(y)\right|^{2} d y=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

and the eigenvalues $\left\{\lambda_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ enumerated such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\lambda_{0} \leq \lambda_{1} \leq \cdots \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

repeating each eigenvalue as many times as its multiplicity. Then, for $t>0$ and $(r, y),\left(s, y^{\prime}\right) \in X$, the heat kernel can been written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-t \mathcal{L}_{V}}\left(r, y ; s, y^{\prime}\right)=\frac{e^{-\frac{r^{2}+s^{2}}{4 t}}}{2 t}(r s)^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi_{k}(y) \overline{\varphi_{k}\left(y^{\prime}\right)} I_{\mu_{k}}\left(\frac{r s}{2 t}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{k}=\sqrt{\lambda_{k}}$ and $I_{\mu}$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind of order $\mu$. Furthermore, there exist positive constants $c$ and $C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e^{-t \mathcal{L}_{V}}\left(r, y ; s, y^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq C\left[\min \left\{1,\left(\frac{r s}{2 t}\right)\right\}\right]^{-\sigma} t^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{d^{2}\left((r, y),\left(s, y^{\prime}\right)\right)}{c t}} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma=\frac{n-2}{2}-\mu_{0}$. Here $d\left((r, y),\left(s, y^{\prime}\right)\right)$ is the distance between two points $(r, y),\left(s, y^{\prime}\right) \in$ X

$$
d\left((r, y),\left(s, y^{\prime}\right)\right)= \begin{cases}\sqrt{r^{2}+s^{2}-2 \operatorname{rscos}\left(d_{h}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)\right)}, & d_{h}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) \leq \pi  \tag{1.7}\\ r+s, & d_{h}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) \geq \pi\end{cases}
$$

and $d_{h}$ is the distance on the section $Y$.

Remark 1.1. From (1.6), the square root of the smallest eigenvalue $\lambda_{0}$ plays a nontrivial role. In particular, when $Y=\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and $V_{0}(y)=a$ with $a \geq-(n-2)^{2} / 4$, then

$$
\sigma=\frac{n-2}{2}-\sqrt{\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}+a}
$$

which is positive when $-(n-2)^{2} / 4 \leq a<0$ while is nonpositive when $a \geq 0$. Hence if $-(n-2)^{2} / 4 \leq a<0$, then

$$
\left[\min \left\{1,\left(\frac{r s}{2 t}\right)\right\}\right]^{-\sigma} \leq C\left[\min \left\{\frac{r}{\sqrt{t}}, 1\right\}\right]^{-\sigma}\left[\min \left\{\frac{s}{\sqrt{t}}, 1\right\}\right]^{-\sigma}
$$

together with (1.6) shows

$$
\left|e^{-t\left(-\Delta+a|z|^{-2}\right)}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq C\left[\min \left\{\frac{|z|}{\sqrt{t}}, 1\right\}\right]^{-\sigma}\left[\min \left\{\frac{\left|z^{\prime}\right|}{\sqrt{t}}, 1\right\}\right]^{-\sigma} t^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{\left|z-z^{\prime}\right|}{c t}}
$$

which consists with the results of Liskevich-Sobol [18] and Milman-Semenov [19].
Remark 1.2. The proof is based on the Hadamard parametrix and finite propagation speed of wave operator on $Y$, which is a bit different from [17] due to the perturbation of the potential V. In 177, Li used a theorem of Grigor'yan [11, Theorem 1.1] which claims that if the heat kernel $H\left(t, r, y ; s, y^{\prime}\right)$ on Remannian manifolds satisfies on-diagonal bounds

$$
H(t, r, y ; r, y) \lesssim t^{-\frac{n}{2}}, \quad H\left(t, s, y^{\prime} ; s, y^{\prime}\right) \lesssim t^{-\frac{n}{2}}
$$

then we have

$$
H\left(t, r, y ; s, y^{\prime}\right) \lesssim t^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{d\left(r, y ; s, y^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{c t}\right)
$$

However, we do not know whether the analogs hold or not for the Laplacian with the perturbation of Hardy type potential. Therefore, we have to use a different argument instead.

Remark 1.3. As an application of the estimate (1.6) of the heat kernel, one can use the argument of [14] and the Hardy inequality in [2] to establish the Littlewood-Paley theory, Sobolev embedding associated with the operator $\mathcal{L}_{V}$. For example, we can prove the Mikhlin Multipliers estimates: Suppose $m:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial^{j} m(\lambda)\right| \lesssim \lambda^{-j} \quad \text { for all } \quad j \geq 0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $m\left(\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{V}}\right)$ is a bounded operator on $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ provided that either

- $\mu_{0}>(n-2) / 2$ and $1<p<\infty$, or
- $0<\mu_{0} \leq \frac{n-2}{2}$ and $p_{0}<p<p_{0}^{\prime}:=\frac{n}{\sigma}$.
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## 2. Construction of the heat kernel

In this section, in spirt of functional calculus in Cheeger-Taylor [7, 8], we construct the heat kernel associated with the operator $\mathcal{L}_{V}$. Since the metric $g=d r^{2}+r^{2} h(y, d y)$, we write the operator $\mathcal{L}_{V}$ in the coordinate $(r, y)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{V}=-\partial_{r}^{2}-\frac{n-1}{r} \partial_{r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left(-\Delta_{h}+V_{0}(y)\right) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta_{h}$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $(Y, h)$.
From classical spectral theory, the spectrum of $-\Delta_{h}+V_{0}(y)+(n-2)^{2} / 4$ is formed by a countable family of real eigenvalues $\left\{\lambda_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ enumerated such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\lambda_{0} \leq \lambda_{1} \leq \cdots \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we repeat each eigenvalue as many times as its multiplicity, and $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{k}=$ $+\infty$. Let $\left\{\varphi_{k}(y)\right\}$ be the eigenfunctions of $-\widetilde{\Delta}_{h}=-\Delta_{h}+V_{0}(y)+(n-2)^{2} / 4$, that is

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(-\Delta_{h}+V_{0}(y)+(n-2)^{2} / 4\right) \varphi_{k}(y)=\lambda_{k} \varphi_{k}(y)  \tag{2.3}\\
\int_{Y}\left|\varphi_{k}(y)\right|^{2} d y=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Therefore, we obtain an orthogonal decomposition of the $L^{2}(Y)$ in a sense that

$$
L^{2}(Y)=\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}^{k}, \quad \mathbb{N}=\{0,1,2, \cdots\}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{H}^{k}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\varphi_{k}\right\}
$$

Define the orthogonal projection $\pi_{k}$ of $f$ onto $\mathcal{H}^{k}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{k} f=\int_{Y} f\left(r, y^{\prime}\right) H_{k}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) d h, \quad f \in L^{2}(X) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d h$ is the measure on $Y$ under the metric $h$ and the kernel

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{k}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)=\varphi_{k}(y) \overline{\varphi_{k}\left(y^{\prime}\right)} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(r, y)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \pi_{k} f=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} a_{k}(r) \varphi_{k}(y), \quad a_{k}(r)=\int_{Y} f\left(r, y^{\prime}\right) \overline{\varphi_{k}\left(y^{\prime}\right)} d h \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mu=\mu_{k}=\sqrt{\lambda_{k}}$, for $f \in L^{2}(X)$, as [1, Page 523], we define the Hankel transform of order $\mu$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathcal{H}_{\mu} f\right)(\rho, y)=\int_{0}^{\infty}(r \rho)^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} J_{\mu}(r \rho) f(r, y) r^{n-1} d r \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the Bessel function of order $\mu$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\mu}(r)=\frac{(r / 2)^{\mu}}{\Gamma\left(\mu+\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma(1 / 2)} \int_{-1}^{1} e^{i s r}\left(1-s^{2}\right)^{(2 \mu-1) / 2} d s, \quad \mu>-1 / 2, r>0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which satisfies the following equation

$$
r^{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}\left(J_{\mu}(r)\right)+r \frac{d}{d r}\left(J_{\mu}(r)\right)+\left(r^{2}-\mu^{2}\right) J_{\mu}(r)=0
$$

Following the [24, (8.45) ], for well-behaved functions $F$, we have by the functional calculus

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(\mathcal{L}_{V}\right) f(r, y)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} K\left(r, y, s, y^{\prime}\right) f\left(s, y^{\prime}\right) s^{n-1} d s d h\left(y^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

the kernel

$$
K\left(r, y, s, y^{\prime}\right)=(r s)^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi_{k}(y) \overline{\varphi_{k}\left(y^{\prime}\right)} K_{\mu_{k}}(r, s)=(r s)^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} H_{k}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) K_{\mu_{k}}(r, s)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mu_{k}}(r, s)=\int_{0}^{\infty} F\left(\rho^{2}\right) J_{\mu_{k}}(r \rho) J_{\mu_{k}}(s \rho) \rho d \rho \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $F\left(\rho^{2}\right)=e^{-t \rho^{2}}$, by using the Weber's second exponential integral [26, Section 13.31 (1)], we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mu_{k}}(r, s)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t \rho^{2}} J_{\mu_{k}}(r \rho) J_{\mu_{k}}(s \rho) \rho d \rho=(2 t)^{-1} e^{-\frac{r^{2}+s^{2}}{4 t}} I_{\mu}\left(\frac{r s}{2 t}\right), \quad t>0 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{\mu}(x)$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind in series version

$$
I_{\mu}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!\Gamma(\mu+j+1)}(x / 2)^{\mu+2 j}
$$

or in the integral representation

$$
I_{\mu}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\mu+\frac{1}{2}\right)}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{\mu} \int_{-1}^{1} e^{-x \tau}\left(1-\tau^{2}\right)^{\mu-\frac{1}{2}} d \tau
$$

Therefore we obtain (1.5)

$$
e^{-t \mathcal{L}_{V}}\left(r, y ; s, y^{\prime}\right)=(2 t)^{-1} e^{-\frac{r^{2}+s^{2}}{4 t}}(r s)^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi_{k}(y) \overline{\varphi_{k}\left(y^{\prime}\right)} I_{\mu_{k}}\left(\frac{r s}{2 t}\right) .
$$

## 3. The proof of the upper boundedness

In this section, we prove the upper boundedness (1.6). To this end, by observing (1.7) and scaling $(r, s)$, it suffices to show

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\lvert\, e^{-\frac{r^{2}+s^{2}}{4}}(r s)^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi_{k}(y) \overline{\varphi_{k}\left(y^{\prime}\right)}\right. & \left.I_{\mu_{k}}\left(\frac{r s}{2}\right) \right\rvert\,  \tag{3.1}\\
& \leq C\left[\min \left\{1,\left(\frac{r s}{2}\right)\right\}\right]^{-\sigma} e^{-\frac{\left.d^{2}\left((r, y)\left(s, y^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)}{c}}
\end{align*}
$$

which is the consequence of the following lemma
Lemma 3.1. Let $z=\frac{1}{2} r s, \sigma=\frac{n-2}{2}-\mu_{0}$ and $\delta=d_{h}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)$, then there exist constants $C$ and $N$ only depending on $n$ such that

- either for $0<z \leq 1$,

$$
\left|z^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi_{k}(y) \overline{\varphi_{k}\left(y^{\prime}\right)} I_{\mu_{k}}(z)\right| \leq C z^{-\sigma} \times\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
e^{z \cos \delta}, & 0 \leq \delta \leq \pi  \tag{3.2}\\
1 & \pi \leq \delta
\end{array}\right.
$$

- or for $z \gtrsim 1$

$$
\left|z^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi_{k}(y) \overline{\varphi_{k}\left(y^{\prime}\right)} I_{\mu_{k}}(z)\right| \leq C \times\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
e^{z \cos \delta}+z^{N} e^{z \cos \left(\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{0}\right)}, \quad 0 \leq \delta \leq \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{0}  \tag{3.3}\\
z^{N} e^{z \cos \delta}, & \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{0} \leq \delta \leq \pi \\
e^{\frac{z}{2}} & \pi \leq \delta
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\epsilon_{0}$ is the injective radius of the manifold $Y$ with $0<\epsilon_{0} \leq \pi$.
Remark 3.1. If the injective radius $\epsilon_{0}$ of the manifold $Y$ is larger than $\pi$, we do not need to introduce the cutoff function $\chi$ in the Step 1 below, and we can follow the same argument to obtain, for $z \gtrsim 1$

$$
\left|z^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi_{k}(y) \overline{\varphi_{k}\left(y^{\prime}\right)} I_{\mu_{k}}(z)\right| \leq C \times\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
e^{z \cos \delta}, & 0 \leq \delta \leq \pi  \tag{3.4}\\
e^{\frac{z}{2}} & \pi \leq \delta
\end{array}\right.
$$

which is better than (3.3). Hence we omit this easier case in the following argument.
Now we assume Lemma 3.1 to prove (3.1). We divide into two cases $z \leq 1$ and $z \geq 1$ where $z=\frac{r s}{2}$. When $z \leq 1$, we recall the distance (1.7) and put (3.2) into the left hand side of (3.1) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e^{-\frac{r^{2}+s^{2}}{4}}(r s)^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi_{k}(y) \overline{\varphi_{k}\left(y^{\prime}\right)} I_{\mu_{k}}\left(\frac{r s}{2}\right)\right| \leq C\left(\frac{r s}{2}\right)^{-\sigma} e^{-\frac{d^{2}\left((r, y)\left(s, y^{\prime}\right)\right)}{c}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we consider the case that $z \geq 1$. In the subcase that $0 \leq \delta \leq \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{0}$ of (3.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { LHS of (3.1) } & \lesssim e^{-\frac{r^{2}-2 r s \cos \delta+s^{2}}{4}}+z^{N} e^{-\frac{r^{2}-2 r s \cos \left(\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{0}\right)+s^{2}}{4}} \\
& \lesssim e^{-\frac{r^{2}-2 r s \cos \delta+s^{2}}{8}}\left(1+z^{N} e^{-\frac{z}{4}\left(1-\cos \left(\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{0}\right)\right)}\right) \lesssim e^{-\frac{d^{2}\left((r, y),\left(s, y^{\prime}\right)\right)}{c}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the subcase that $\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{0} \leq \delta \leq \pi$ of (3.3), we have

$$
\text { LHS of (3.1) } \lesssim z^{N} e^{-\frac{r^{2}-2 r s \cos \delta+s^{2}}{4}} \lesssim z^{N} e^{-\frac{z}{4}(1-\cos \delta)} e^{-\frac{r^{2}-2 r s \cos \delta+s^{2}}{8}}
$$

Since $\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{0} \leq \delta \leq \pi$, one has $1-\cos \delta \geq \epsilon^{2} / 4>0$. Hence, for $z \geq 1$, no matter how large $N$ is, there exists a constant $C$ independent of $z$ such that

$$
\text { LHS of }(3.1) \leq C e^{-\frac{r^{2}-2 r s \cos \delta+s^{2}}{8}} \lesssim e^{-\frac{d^{2}\left((r, y),\left(s, y^{\prime}\right)\right)}{c}}
$$

In the last subcase $\delta \geq \pi$, we have

$$
\text { LHS of (3.1) } \leq C e^{-\frac{r^{2}+s^{2}}{8}} e^{-\frac{r^{2}-2 r s+s^{2}}{8}} \leq C e^{-\frac{(r+s)^{2}}{16}}
$$

Therefore we prove (3.1) once we could prove (3.2) and (3.3), which are our main tasks from now on. To this end, we first claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|H_{k}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq\left\|\varphi_{k}(y)\right\|_{L^{\infty}(Y)}^{2} \leq C\left(1+\lambda_{k}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \leq C(1+k)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used the eigenfunction estimate (see [23, (3.2.5)-(3.2.6)]) and the Weyl's asymptotic formula (e.g. see [27])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{k} \sim(1+k)^{\frac{2}{n-1}}, \quad k \geq 1, \Longrightarrow \mu_{k} \sim(1+k)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

3.1. The proof of (3.2). For the case $0 \leq \delta \leq \pi$, we first notice that $e^{z} \lesssim e^{z \cos \delta}$ if $0 \leq z \leq 1$, then the modified Bessel function satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{\mu}(z)\right| \leq \sqrt{\pi} e^{z} \frac{\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{\mu}}{\Gamma\left(\mu+\frac{1}{2}\right)} \lesssim e^{z \cos \delta} \frac{z^{\mu_{0}}}{2^{\mu} \Gamma\left(\mu+\frac{1}{2}\right)}, \quad \mu \geq \mu_{0} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, from (3.6), we have

$$
\text { LHS of (3.2) } \lesssim z^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} e^{z \cos \delta} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}}(1+k)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \frac{z^{\mu_{0}}}{2^{\mu_{k}} \Gamma\left(\mu_{k}+\frac{1}{2}\right)}
$$

Note that $\mu_{k} \geq \mu_{0}$ and $\mu_{k}=\sqrt{\lambda_{k}} \sim(1+k)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$, then the summation converges. Hence we show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { LHS of (3.2) } \lesssim e^{z \cos \delta} z^{\mu_{0}-\frac{n-2}{2}}, \quad 0 \leq \delta \leq \pi \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

as desired. For the case that $\delta \geq \pi$, we replace (3.8) by

$$
\left|I_{\mu}(z)\right| \leq \sqrt{\pi} e^{z} \frac{\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{\mu_{0}}}{\Gamma\left(\mu+\frac{1}{2}\right)} \lesssim \frac{z^{\mu_{0}}}{2^{\mu} \Gamma\left(\mu+\frac{1}{2}\right)}, \quad \mu \geq \mu_{0}
$$

Therefore, as before the summation converges, we obtain

$$
\text { LHS of }(\overline{3.2}) \lesssim z^{\mu_{0}-\frac{n-2}{2}}
$$

which complete the proof of (3.2).
3.2. The proof of (3.3). In this case, we need the integral representation (see [26], 21, p. 419] or [9, (10.32.4)]) of the modified Bessel function

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\mu}(z)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} e^{z \cos (\tau)} \cos (\mu \tau) d \tau-\frac{\sin (\mu \pi)}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-z \cosh \tau} e^{-\tau \mu} d \tau \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We divide into three steps to prove (3.3).
Step 1: we consider the case $0 \leq \delta \leq \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{0}$ which is the most difficult case. We first introduce a function $\chi \in C_{c}^{\infty}([0, \pi])$ such that

$$
\chi(\tau)= \begin{cases}1, & \tau \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{0}\right]  \tag{3.11}\\ 0, & \tau \in\left[\epsilon_{0}, \pi\right]\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 3.2. For fixed $0 \leq \delta \leq \pi$ and $m \geq 0$, let $\chi$ be in (3.11), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)} \cos (\mu \tau) d \tau-\frac{\sin (\mu \pi)}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-z(\cosh \tau+\cos \delta)} e^{-\tau \mu} d \tau \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)} \chi(\tau) \cos (\mu \tau) d \tau \\
& \quad+\frac{(-1)^{m}}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{2 m}\left(e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)}(1-\chi(\tau))\right) \frac{\cos (\mu \tau)}{\mu^{2 m}} d \tau  \tag{3.12}\\
& \quad-\frac{\sin (\mu \pi)}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{2 m}\left(e^{-z(\cosh \tau+\cos \delta)}\right) \frac{e^{-\tau \mu}}{\mu^{2 m}} d \tau
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. This is a variant of [21, (5.30)]. We prove this lemma by using induction argument and the argument of [21]. We first verify $m=1$. By integration by parts, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)} \cos (\mu \tau) d \tau-\frac{\sin (\mu \pi)}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-z(\cosh \tau+\cos \delta)} e^{-\tau \mu} d \tau \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)} \chi(\tau) \cos (\mu \tau) d \tau+\left.\frac{1}{\pi}\left(e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)}(1-\chi(\tau))\right) \frac{\sin (\mu \tau)}{\mu}\right|_{\tau=0} ^{\tau=\pi} \\
& \quad+\frac{(-1)}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)\left(e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)}(1-\chi(\tau))\right) \frac{\sin (\mu \tau)}{\mu} d \tau \\
& +\left.\frac{\sin (\mu \pi)}{\pi}\left(e^{-z(\cosh \tau+\cos \delta)}\right) \frac{e^{-\tau \mu}}{\mu}\right|_{\tau=0} ^{\infty}-\frac{\sin (\mu \pi)}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)\left(e^{-z(\cosh \tau+\cos \delta)}\right) \frac{e^{-\tau \mu}}{\mu} d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

Note the boundary term

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\pi}\left(\left.e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)}(1-\chi(\tau)) \frac{\sin (\mu \tau)}{\mu}\right|_{\tau=0} ^{\tau=\pi}+\left.\frac{\sin (\mu \pi)}{\pi}\left(e^{-z(\cosh \tau+\cos \delta)}\right) \frac{e^{-\tau \mu}}{\mu}\right|_{\tau=0} ^{\infty}\right. \\
& =\left.\frac{1}{\pi} e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)} \frac{\sin (\mu \tau)}{\mu}\right|_{\tau=\pi}-\left.\frac{\sin (\mu \pi)}{\pi}\left(e^{-z(\cosh \tau+\cos \delta)}\right) \frac{e^{-\tau \mu}}{\mu}\right|_{\tau=0}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

By integration by parts again, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)} \cos (\mu \tau) d \tau-\frac{\sin (\mu \pi)}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-z(\cosh \tau+\cos \delta)} e^{-\tau \mu} d \tau \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)} \chi(\tau) \cos (\mu \tau) d \tau+\left.\frac{1}{\pi}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)\left(e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)}(1-\chi(\tau))\right) \frac{\cos (\mu \tau)}{\mu^{2}}\right|_{\tau=0} ^{\tau=\pi} \\
& \quad+\frac{(-1)}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{2}\left(e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)}(1-\chi(\tau))\right) \frac{\cos (\mu \tau)}{\mu^{2}} d \tau \\
& +\left.\frac{\sin (\mu \pi)}{\pi}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)\left(e^{-z(\cosh \tau+\cos \delta)}\right) \frac{e^{-\tau \mu}}{\mu^{2}}\right|_{\tau=0} ^{\infty}-\frac{\sin (\mu \pi)}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)\left(e^{-z(\cosh \tau+\cos \delta)}\right) \frac{e^{-\tau \mu}}{\mu^{2}} d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

Again we observe that the boundary term

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\frac{1}{\pi}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)\left(e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)}(1-\chi(\tau))\right) \frac{\cos (\mu \tau)}{\mu^{2}}\right|_{\tau=0} ^{\tau=\pi}+\left.\frac{\sin (\mu \pi)}{\pi}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)\left(e^{-z(\cosh \tau+\cos \delta)}\right) \frac{e^{-\tau \mu}}{\mu^{2}}\right|_{\tau=0} ^{\infty} \\
& =\left.\frac{1}{\pi}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)\left(e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)}(1-\chi(\tau))\right) \frac{\cos (\mu \tau)}{\mu^{2}}\right|_{\tau=\pi}-\left.\frac{\sin (\mu \pi)}{\pi}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)\left(e^{-z(\cosh \tau+\cos \delta)}\right) \frac{e^{-\tau \mu}}{\mu^{2}}\right|_{\tau=0}
\end{aligned}
$$

vanishes due to the fact $\sin \pi=\sinh 0=0$. Therefore, we have proved (3.12) with $m=1$. Now we assume (3.12) holds for $m=k$, that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)} \cos (\mu \tau) d \tau-\frac{\sin (\mu \pi)}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-z(\cosh \tau+\cos \delta)} e^{-\tau \mu} d \tau \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)} \chi(\tau) \cos (\mu \tau) d \tau \\
& \quad+\frac{(-1)^{k}}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{2 k}\left(e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)}(1-\chi(\tau))\right) \frac{\cos (\mu \tau)}{\mu^{2 k}} d \tau \\
& \quad-\frac{\sin (\mu \pi)}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{2 k}\left(e^{-z(\cosh \tau+\cos \delta)}\right) \frac{e^{-\tau \mu}}{\mu^{2 k}} d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

we aim to prove (3.12) when $m=k+1$. To this end, it suffices to check the boundary terms vanish. Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\frac{(-1)^{k}}{\pi}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{2 k}\left(e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)}(1-\chi(\tau))\right) \frac{\sin (\mu \tau)}{\mu^{2 k+1}}\right|_{\tau=0} ^{\tau=\pi} \\
& +\left.\frac{\sin (\mu \pi)}{\pi}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{2 k}\left(e^{-z(\cosh \tau+\cos \delta)}\right) \frac{e^{-\tau \mu}}{\mu^{2 k+1}}\right|_{\tau=0} ^{\infty} \\
& =\left.\frac{(-1)^{k}}{\pi}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{2 k}\left(e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)}(1-\chi(\tau))\right) \frac{\sin (\mu \tau)}{\mu^{2 k+1}}\right|_{\tau=\pi} \\
& \quad-\left.\frac{\sin (\mu \pi)}{\pi}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{2 k}\left(e^{-z(\cosh \tau+\cos \delta)}\right) \frac{e^{-\tau \mu}}{\mu^{2 k+1}}\right|_{\tau=0}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\pi}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{2 k+1}\left(e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)}(1-\chi(\tau))\right) \frac{\cos (\mu \tau)}{\mu^{2 k+2}}\right|_{\tau=0} ^{\tau=\pi} \\
& +\left.\frac{\sin (\mu \pi)}{\pi}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{2 k+1}\left(e^{-z(\cosh \tau+\cos \delta)}\right) \frac{e^{-\tau \mu}}{\mu^{2 k+2}}\right|_{\tau=0} ^{\infty} \\
& =\left.\frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\pi}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{2 k+1}\left(e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)}(1-\chi(\tau))\right) \frac{\cos (\mu \tau)}{\mu^{2 k+2}}\right|_{\tau=\pi} \\
& \quad-\left.\frac{\sin (\mu \pi)}{\pi}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{2 k+1}\left(e^{-z(\cosh \tau+\cos \delta)}\right) \frac{e^{-\tau \mu}}{\mu^{2 k+2}}\right|_{\tau=0}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we use the facts derived from [21, Pag. 420]

$$
\left.(-1)^{k+1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{2 k}\left(e^{-z(\cos \delta-\cos \tau)}(1-\chi(\tau))\right)\right|_{\tau=\pi}=\left.\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{2 k}\left(e^{-z(\cos \delta+\cosh \tau)}\right)\right|_{\tau=0},
$$

and
$\left.(-1)^{k+1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{2 k+1}\left(e^{-z(\cos \delta-\cos \tau)}(1-\chi(\tau))\right)\right|_{\tau=\pi}=\left.\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{2 k+1}\left(e^{-z(\cos \delta+\cosh \tau)}\right)\right|_{\tau=0}=0$.

Let $P=\sqrt{-\Delta_{h}+V_{0}(y)+\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}}$, then the left hand side of (3.3) can be regarded as the operator

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{z \cos \delta} z^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)} \chi(\tau) \cos (\tau P) d \tau\right. \\
& \quad+\frac{(-1)^{m}}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{2 m}\left(e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)}(1-\chi(\tau))\right) \frac{\cos (\tau P)}{P^{2 m}} d \tau  \tag{3.13}\\
& \left.\quad-\frac{\sin (\pi P)}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{2 m}\left(e^{-z(\cosh \tau+\cos \delta)}\right) \frac{e^{-\tau P}}{P^{2 m}} d \tau\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The $\cos (t P) f$ in the first term is the unique solutions of wave equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\partial_{t}^{2}+\left(-\Delta_{h}+V_{0}(y)+\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}\right) u=0\right.  \tag{3.14}\\
\left.u\right|_{t=0}=f,\left.\quad \partial_{t} u\right|_{t=0}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

By the finite speed of propagation [4, Theorem 3.3], $\cos (\tau P)\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)$ vanishes if $\tau<$ $d_{h}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)$ where $d_{h}$ denotes the distance in $Y$, then (3.13) equals

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{z \cos \delta} z^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\delta}^{\pi} e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)} \chi(\tau) \cos (\tau P) d \tau\right. \\
& \quad+\frac{(-1)^{m}}{\pi} \int_{\delta}^{\pi}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{2 m}\left(e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)}(1-\chi(\tau))\right) \frac{\cos (\tau P)}{P^{2 m}} d \tau  \tag{3.15}\\
& \left.\quad-\frac{\sin (\pi P)}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{2 m}\left(e^{-z(\cosh \tau+\cos \delta)}\right) \frac{e^{-\tau P}}{P^{2 m}} d \tau\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Then the first case of (3.3) is a consequence of the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. For $0 \leq \delta \leq \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{0}$ and $z \geq 1$, there exists a constant $N$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \int_{\delta}^{\pi} e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)} \chi(\tau) \cos (\tau P)\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) d \tau\right| \lesssim 1 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\lvert\, z^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi_{k}(y) \overline{\varphi_{k}\left(y^{\prime}\right)} \mu_{k}^{-2 m}\left(\int_{\delta}^{\pi}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{2 m}\left(e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)}(1-\chi(\tau))\right) \cos \left(\tau \mu_{k}\right) d \tau\right.\right.  \tag{3.17}\\
& \left.\quad-\sin \left(\pi \mu_{k}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{2 m}\left(e^{-z(\cosh \tau+\cos \delta)}\right) e^{-\tau \mu_{k}} d \tau\right) \left\lvert\, \lesssim z^{N} e^{z\left(\cos \left(\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{0}\right)-\cos \delta\right)}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We first prove (3.17). Notice that $z \geq 1$, by the chain rule, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{2 m}\left(e^{-z(\cos \delta-\cos \tau)}(1-\chi(\tau))\right)\right| \leq C e^{-z(\cos \delta-\cos \tau)} z^{2 m} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{2 m}\left(e^{-z(\cos \delta+\cosh \tau)}\right)\right| \leq C e^{-z\left(\cos \delta+\frac{1}{2} \cosh \tau\right)} z^{2 m} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by (3.6) and the support of $1-\chi$, we show that the LHS of (3.17) is bounded by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z^{2 m-\frac{n-2}{2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}}(1+k)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \mu_{k}^{-2 m}\left(\int_{\frac{\epsilon_{0}}{2}}^{\pi} e^{-z(\cos \delta-\cos \tau)} d \tau+e^{-z \cos \delta} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{z}{2} \cosh \tau} d \tau\right) \\
& \lesssim z^{2 m-\frac{n-2}{2}} e^{z\left(\cos \left(\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{0}\right)-\cos \delta\right)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}}(1+k)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \mu_{k}^{-2 m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note $\mu_{k}=\sqrt{\lambda_{k}} \sim(1+k)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$ again, we choose $m$ large enough to ensure that

$$
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}}(1+k)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \mu_{k}^{-2 m} \lesssim 1
$$

Therefore, we obtain (3.17) by choosing $N=2 n-3$.
We next prove (3.16). Due to the compact support of $\chi$, for $|\tau| \leq \epsilon_{0}$, it suffices to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \int_{\delta}^{\epsilon_{0}} e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)} \chi(\tau) \cos (\tau P)\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) d \tau\right| \lesssim 1 \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the Hadamard parametrix (e.g. [23, Theorem 3.1.5]), for $\tau<\epsilon_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos (\tau P)\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)=K_{N}\left(\tau, y, y^{\prime}\right)+R_{N}\left(\tau ; y, y^{\prime}\right), \quad \forall N>n+3 \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{N}\left(\tau, y, y^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{C}^{N-n-3}\left(\left[0, \epsilon_{0}\right] \times Y \times Y\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{N}\left(\tau, y, y^{\prime}\right)=(2 \pi)^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{i d_{h}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{1} \cdot \xi} a\left(\tau, y, y^{\prime} ;|\xi|\right) \cos (\tau|\xi|) d \xi \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{1}=(1,0, \ldots, 0)$ and $a \in S^{0}$ zero order symbol satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{\tau, y, y^{\prime}}^{\alpha} \partial_{\rho}^{k} a\left(\tau, y, y^{\prime} ; \rho\right)\right| \leq C_{\alpha, k, V_{0}}(1+\rho)^{-k} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark here that even though $P=\sqrt{-\Delta_{h}+V_{0}(y)+\frac{(n-2)^{2}}{4}}$ is disturbed by a smoothing potential which is not exact same as the Laplacian on a compact manifold in [23, Theorem 3.1.5], the perturbation is harmless for the parametrix (3.21).

Actually, in the construction of Hadamard parametrix, the original transport equation for non-perturbed operator is [23, (2.4.15)-(2.4.16)], which are

$$
\rho \alpha_{0}=2\left\langle x, \nabla_{x} \alpha_{0}\right\rangle, \alpha_{0}(0)=1
$$

as well as $\alpha_{\nu}(x), \nu=1,2,3$.. so that

$$
2 \nu \alpha_{\nu}-\rho \alpha_{\nu}+2\left\langle x, \nabla_{x} \alpha_{\nu}\right\rangle-2 \Delta_{g} \alpha_{\nu-1}=0
$$

In our case, the new transport equation for the perturbed operator would be

$$
\rho \alpha_{0}=2\left\langle x, \nabla_{x} \alpha_{0}\right\rangle, \alpha_{0}(0)=1
$$

as well as $\alpha_{\nu}(x), \nu=1,2,3$.. so that

$$
2 \nu \alpha_{\nu}-\rho \alpha_{\nu}+2\left\langle x, \nabla_{x} \alpha_{\nu}\right\rangle-2 \Delta_{g} \alpha_{\nu-1}-2 V_{0} \cdot \alpha_{\nu-1}=0
$$

Thus two solutions to the transport equations only differ in $\alpha_{\nu}(x), \nu=1,2,3 .$. , which is the reason why (3.23) is true. We also refer the reader to Hörmander [12, §17.4] for the parametrix of a general second order differential operator with low order perturbations.

We choose $N$ large enough such that

$$
\left|R_{N}(\tau, y, y)\right| \leq \tau^{2 N+2-n} \leq 1, \quad \tau \leq \epsilon_{0}
$$

then it is easy to see

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \int_{\delta}^{\pi} e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)} \chi(\tau) R_{N}\left(\tau ; y, y^{\prime}\right) d \tau\right| \leq C z^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \lesssim 1 . \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore it suffices to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \int_{\delta}^{\pi} e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)} \chi(\tau) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{i d_{h}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{1} \cdot \xi} a\left(\tau, y, y^{\prime} ;|\xi|\right) \cos (\tau|\xi|) d \xi d \tau\right| \leq C \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

From [22, Theorem 1.2.1], we also note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-2}} e^{i d_{h}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) \rho \mathbf{1} \cdot \omega} d \omega d \tau=\sum_{ \pm} a_{ \pm}\left(\rho d_{h}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)\right) e^{ \pm i \rho d_{h}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{r}^{k} a_{ \pm}(r)\right| \leq C_{k}(1+r)^{-\frac{n-2}{2}-k}, \quad k \geq 0 \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we are reduce to estimate the integral

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \int_{\delta}^{\pi} e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)} \chi(\tau) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{i d_{h}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{1} \cdot \xi} a\left(\tau, y, y^{\prime} ;|\xi|\right) \cos (\tau|\xi|) d \xi d \tau \\
& =z^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \int_{\delta}^{\pi} e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)} \chi(\tau) \int_{0}^{\infty} a_{ \pm}\left(\rho d_{h}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)\right) e^{ \pm i \rho d_{h}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)} a\left(\tau, y, y^{\prime} ; \rho\right) \cos (\tau \rho) \rho^{n-2} d \rho d \tau .
\end{aligned}
$$

To prove (3.20), we shall divide the discussion into two cases.

Case 1. $z \leq 2 \delta^{-2}=2 d_{h}^{-2}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)$. In this case, we do not need to make use of the finite propagation speed property, by the above argument using Hadamard parametrix, our goal is to show
$z^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \int_{0}^{\pi} e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)} \chi(\tau) \int_{0}^{\infty} a_{ \pm}\left(\rho d_{h}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)\right) e^{ \pm i \rho d_{h}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)} a\left(\tau, y, y^{\prime} ; \rho\right) \cos (\tau \rho) \rho^{n-2} d \rho d \tau \lesssim 1$
Since the above integral is even in $\tau$, we can further reduced to showing that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)} \chi(\tau) \int_{0}^{\infty} b\left(\rho d_{h}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) a\left(\tau, y, y^{\prime} ; \rho\right) \cos (\tau \rho) \rho^{n-2} d \rho d \tau \lesssim 1\right. \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we set $b\left(\rho d_{h}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)=a_{ \pm}\left(\rho d_{h}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)\right) e^{ \pm i \rho d_{h}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)}\right.$.
Let us fix a Littlewood-Paley bump function $\beta \in C_{0}^{\infty}((1 / 2,2))$ satisfying

$$
\sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} \beta\left(2^{-\ell} s\right)=1, \quad s>0
$$

and we set

$$
\beta_{0}(s)=\sum_{\ell \leq 0} \beta\left(2^{-\ell}|s|\right) \in C_{0}^{\infty}((-2,2))
$$

Subcase 1.1 the sub-case that $|\tau| \leq 4 z^{-1 / 2}$. In this case, we want to show that

$$
\begin{align*}
z^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)} \chi(\tau) & \left(\beta_{0}\left(z^{1 / 2} \tau\right)+\beta\left(2^{-1} z^{1 / 2} \tau\right)\right) \\
& \times \int_{0}^{\infty} b\left(\rho d_{h}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) a\left(\tau, y, y^{\prime} ; \rho\right) \cos (\tau \rho) \rho^{n-2} d \rho d \tau \lesssim 1\right. \tag{3.29}
\end{align*}
$$

If we also have $\rho \leq 4 z^{1 / 2}$, then we do not do any integration by parts, note that by using the condition $z \leq 2 \delta^{-2}$, the power on the exponential $z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta) \lesssim 1$ as long as $|\tau| \leq 4 z^{-1 / 2}$. Thus the integral in (3.29) is always bounded by

$$
z^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} z^{-1 / 2} z^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \lesssim 1
$$

If on the other hand, we have $\rho \geq 4 z^{1 / 2}$, we do integration by parts in $d \tau$, then each time we gain a factor of $\rho^{-1}$ from the function $\cos (\tau \rho)$, and we at most loss a factor of $z \sin \tau$ or $z^{1 / 2}$, which is always less than $z^{1 / 2}$ up to a constant, so after integration by parts $N$ times for $N \geq n$, the integral in (3.29) is bounded by

$$
z^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} z^{-1 / 2} z^{N / 2} \int_{z^{1 / 2}}^{\infty} \rho^{n-2-N} d \rho \lesssim 1
$$

Subcase $1.2 \tau \approx 2^{j} z^{-1 / 2}, j \geq 2$ and $2^{j} \lesssim \epsilon_{0} z^{1 / 2}$. In this case, we want to show that

$$
\begin{align*}
& z^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)} \chi(\tau) \beta\left(z^{1 / 2} 2^{-j}|\tau|\right) \\
& \quad \times \int_{0}^{\infty} b\left(\rho d_{h}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) a\left(\tau, y, y^{\prime} ; \rho\right) \cos (\tau \rho) \rho^{n-2} d \rho d \tau \lesssim e^{-j}\right. \tag{3.30}
\end{align*}
$$

which would give us desired bounds after summing over $j$. Since $\tau \approx 2^{j} z^{-1 / 2}$ and $z \leq 2 d_{h}^{-2}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)$ imply that $|\tau| \geq 2 d_{h}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)$, it is straightforward to check that $\cos \tau-$ $\cos \delta \approx-\tau^{2}$ in this case, which implies

$$
e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)} \lesssim e^{-2^{j}}
$$

Now we can repeat the previous argument, if in this case we have $\rho \leq 2^{-j} z^{1 / 2}$, then we do not do any integration by parts, the integral in (3.30) is always bounded by

$$
z^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} z^{-1 / 2} 2^{j} 2^{-(n-1) j} z^{\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{-2^{j}} \lesssim e^{-j}
$$

If on the other hand, we have $\rho \geq 2^{-j} z^{1 / 2}$, we do integration by parts in $d \tau$, then each time we gain a factor of $\rho^{-1}$ from the function $\cos (\tau \rho)$, and we at most loss a factor of $z \sin \tau \lesssim 2^{j} z^{1 / 2}$, so after integration by parts $N$ times for $N \geq n$, the integral in (3.30) is bounded by

$$
e^{-2^{j}} z^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} z^{-1 / 2} 2^{j} z^{N z / 2} 2^{N j} \int_{2^{-j} z^{1 / 2}}^{\infty} \rho^{n-2-N} d \rho \lesssim e^{-j}
$$

Case 2. $z \geq 2 \delta^{-2}=2 d_{h}^{-2}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)$. In this case, we need to make use of the finite propagation speed property, in order to avoid the blow up of the function $e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)}$ when $\tau$ is close to 0 . We choose a smooth cut off function $\eta(\tau)=\beta_{0}(z \delta|\tau-\delta|)+$ $\sum_{\ell \geq 1} \beta\left(z \delta 2^{-\ell}(\tau-\delta)\right)$. It is easy to see that $\operatorname{supp} \eta \subset\left(\delta-2(z \delta)^{-1},+\infty\right)$ which is a subset of $(0, \infty)$ since $(z \delta)^{-1}<\delta / 2$ in our case. Also note that $\eta(\tau) \equiv 1, \forall \tau \geq \delta$, by using the finite propagation speed property of $\cos (\tau P)$ and Hadamard parametrix as above, we are reduced to show that

$$
z^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \int_{0}^{\pi} e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)} \chi(\tau) \eta(\tau) \int_{0}^{\infty} b\left(\rho d_{h}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)\right) a\left(\tau, y, y^{\prime} ; \rho\right) \cos (\tau \rho) \rho^{n-2} d \rho d \tau \lesssim 1
$$

Subcase $2.1|\tau-\delta| \leq(z \delta)^{-1}<\frac{\delta}{2}$. In this case, we want to show that

$$
\begin{align*}
z^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \int_{0}^{\pi} e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)} & \chi(\tau) \beta_{0}(z \delta|\tau-\delta|) \\
& \times \int_{0}^{\infty} b\left(\rho d_{h}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)\right) a\left(\tau, y, y^{\prime} ; \rho\right) \cos (\tau \rho) \rho^{n-2} d \rho d \tau \lesssim 1 \tag{3.31}
\end{align*}
$$

If we also have $\rho \leq z \delta$, then we do not do any integration by parts, note that since in this case $\tau \approx \delta$, we have $\cos \tau-\cos \delta=2 \sin \left(\frac{\delta+\tau}{2}\right) \sin \left(\frac{\delta-\tau}{2}\right) \approx \delta(\delta-\tau)$, the power on the exponential $z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta) \lesssim 1$ as long as $|\tau-\delta| \leq(z \delta)^{-1}$. Thus the integral in (3.31) is always bounded by

$$
z^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}(z \delta)^{-1}(z \delta)^{\frac{n-2}{2}+1} \delta^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \lesssim 1
$$

where we used the fact that $b\left(\rho d_{h}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)\right) \leq C(\rho \delta)^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}$.
If on the other hand, we have $\rho \geq z \delta$, we do integration by parts in $d \tau$, then each time we gain a factor of $\rho^{-1}$ from the function $\cos (\tau \rho)$, and we at most loss a factor of $z \sin \tau \lesssim z \delta$, so after integration by parts $N$ times for $N \geq n$, the integral in (3.31) is bounded by

$$
z^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}(z \delta)^{-1}(z \delta)^{N / 2} \int_{z \delta}^{\infty} \rho^{\frac{n-2}{2}-N} \delta^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} d \rho \lesssim 1
$$

Subcase $2.2 \tau-\delta \approx 2^{j}(z \delta)^{-1}, j \geq 1$ and $2^{j} \lesssim \epsilon_{0} z \delta$. In this case, we want to show that

$$
\begin{align*}
& z^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)} \chi(\tau) \beta\left(z \delta 2^{-j}(\tau-\delta)\right)  \tag{3.32}\\
& \quad \times \int_{0}^{\infty} b\left(\rho d_{h}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)\right) a\left(\tau, y, y^{\prime} ; \rho\right) \cos (\tau \rho) \rho^{n-2} d \rho d \tau \lesssim e^{-j} .
\end{align*}
$$

which would give us desired bounds after summing over $j$. In this case, it is straightforward to check that $z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)=2 z \sin \left(\frac{\delta+\tau}{2}\right) \sin \left(\frac{\delta-\tau}{2}\right) \lesssim-2^{j}$ in this case, which implies

$$
e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)} \lesssim e^{-2^{j}}
$$

Now we can repeat the previous argument. To begin with, we shall further assume that
(i) $\tau \leq 2 \delta$, in other words $2^{j}(z \delta)^{-1} \lesssim \delta$.

In this case, if we have $\rho \leq 2^{-j} z \delta$, then we do not do any integration by parts, the integral in (3.32) is always bounded by

$$
z^{-\frac{n-2}{2} 2^{j}(z \delta)^{-1}\left(2^{-j} z \delta\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}+1} \delta^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} e^{-2^{j}} \lesssim e^{-j} . .{ }^{j} .}
$$

On the other hand, if we have $\rho \geq 2^{-j} z \delta$, we do integration by parts in $d \tau$, then each time we gain a factor of $\rho^{-1}$ from the function $\cos (\tau \rho)$, and we at most loss a factor of $z \sin \tau \lesssim z \delta$, so after integration by parts $N$ times for $N \geq n$, the integral in (3.32) is bounded by

$$
e^{-2^{j}} z^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} 2^{j}(z \delta)^{-1}(z \delta)^{N / 2} \int_{2^{-j} z \delta}^{\infty} \rho^{\frac{n-2}{2}-N} \delta^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} d \rho \lesssim e^{-j}
$$

(ii) $\tau \geq 2 \delta$, in other words $2^{j}(z \delta)^{-1} \gtrsim \delta$.

In this case, if we have $\rho \leq \delta^{-1} 2^{j} \approx z \tau$, then we do not do any integration by parts, the integral in (3.32) is always bounded by

$$
z^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} 2^{j}(z \delta)^{-1}\left(\delta^{-1} 2^{j}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}+1} \delta^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} e^{-2^{j}} \lesssim e^{-j}
$$

where we used the fact that $z^{-n / 2} \delta^{-n} \lesssim 1$.
On the other hand, if we have $\rho \geq \delta^{-1} 2^{j}$, we do integration by parts in $d \tau$, then each time we gain a factor of $\rho^{-1}$ from the function $\cos (\tau \rho)$, and we at most loss a factor of $z \sin \tau \lesssim \delta^{-1} 2^{j}$ or $z \delta 2^{-j} \lesssim \delta^{-1}$, so after integration by parts $N$ times for $N \geq n$, the integral in (3.32) is bounded by

$$
e^{-2^{j}} z^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} 2^{j}(z \delta)^{-1}\left(\delta^{-1} 2^{j}\right)^{N / 2} \int_{2^{j} \delta^{-1}}^{\infty} \rho^{\frac{n-2}{2}-N} \delta^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} d \rho \lesssim e^{-j} .
$$

Step 2: we consider the case $\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{0} \leq \delta \leq \pi$. In this case, it is more easier than the above step. Indeed, we do not need the cut function $\chi$ and we modify the above
argument. By integration by parts and arguing as Lemma 3.2, for fixed $0 \leq \delta \leq \pi$ and $m \geq 0$, we compute that

$$
\begin{align*}
e^{-z \cos \delta} I_{\mu}(z)= & \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)} \cos (\mu \tau) d \tau-\frac{\sin (\mu \pi)}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-z(\cosh \tau+\cos \delta)} e^{-\tau \mu} d \tau  \tag{3.3}\\
= & \frac{(-1)^{m}}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{2 m-1}\left(e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)}\right) \frac{\sin (\mu \tau)}{\mu^{2 m-1}} d \tau \\
& -\frac{\sin (\mu \pi)}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{2 m-1}\left(e^{-z(\cosh \tau+\cos \delta)}\right) \frac{e^{-\tau \mu}}{\mu^{2 m-1}} d \tau \\
= & \frac{(-1)^{m}}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{2 m}\left(e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)}\right) \frac{\cos (\mu \tau)}{\mu^{2 m}} d \tau \\
& -\frac{\sin (\mu \pi)}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{2 m}\left(e^{-z(\cosh \tau+\cos \delta)}\right) \frac{e^{-\tau \mu}}{\mu^{2 m}} d \tau .
\end{align*}
$$

By the finite speed of propagation and similar argument as above, it suffices to prove
Lemma 3.4. For $\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{0} \leq \delta \leq \pi$ and $z \geq 1$, there exists a constant $N$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\lvert\, z^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi_{k}(y) \overline{\varphi_{k}\left(y^{\prime}\right)} \mu_{k}^{-2 m}\left(\int_{\delta}^{\pi}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{2 m}\left(e^{z(\cos \tau-\cos \delta)}\right) \cos \left(\tau \mu_{k}\right) d \tau\right.\right.  \tag{3.34}\\
& \left.\quad-\sin \left(\pi \mu_{k}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{2 m}\left(e^{-z(\cosh \tau+\cos \delta)}\right) e^{-\tau \mu_{k}} d \tau\right) \mid \lesssim z^{N}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Notice that $z \geq 1$, we further obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{2 m}\left(e^{-z(\cos \delta-\cos \tau)}\right)\right| \leq C e^{-z(\cos \delta-\cos \tau)} z^{2 m} \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{2 m}\left(e^{-z(\cos \delta+\cosh \tau)}\right)\right| \leq C e^{-z\left(\cos \delta+\frac{1}{2} \cosh \tau\right)} z^{2 m} \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by (3.6), we show that the LHS of (3.34) is bounded by

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{2 m-\frac{n-2}{2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}}(1+k)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \mu_{k}^{-2 m}\left(\int_{\delta}^{\pi} e^{-z(\cos \delta-\cos \tau)} d \tau+e^{-z \cos \delta} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{z}{2} \cosh \tau} d \tau\right) \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note $\mu_{k}=\sqrt{\lambda_{k}} \sim(1+k)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$ again, we choose $m$ large enough to ensure that

$$
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}}(1+k)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \mu_{k}^{-2 m} \lesssim 1 .
$$

Therefore, we obtain (3.34) when $\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{0} \leq \delta \leq \pi$ by choosing $N=2 n-3$.

Step 3: We consider the last case that $\delta>\pi$. Arguing as above, to prove (3.1), it suffices to prove

Lemma 3.5. For $\pi \leq \delta$ and $z \geq 1$, we have the estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\lvert\, z^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi_{k}(y) \overline{\varphi_{k}\left(y^{\prime}\right)} \mu_{k}^{-2 m}\left(\int_{0}^{\pi}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{2 m}\left(e^{z(\cos \tau-1)}\right) \cos \left(\tau \mu_{k}\right) d \tau\right.\right.  \tag{3.38}\\
& \left.\quad-\sin \left(\pi \mu_{k}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)^{2 m}\left(e^{-z(\cosh \tau+1)}\right) e^{-\tau \mu_{k}} d \tau\right) \mid \lesssim e^{-z}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. By the finite speed of propagation, the first term of (3.38) vanishes due to $\delta>\pi$. Arguing as before, it is easy to see

$$
\text { LHS of (3.38) } \lesssim z^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} z^{2 m} e^{-\frac{5}{4} z} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}}(1+k)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \mu_{k}^{-2 m} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{z}{4} \cosh \tau} d \tau \leq C_{2} e^{-z} \text {. }
$$

We choose $m$ large enough to ensure the summation converges, and the factor $z^{2 m}$ can be absorbed by $e^{-\frac{z}{4}}$.
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