

# Advanced Thermostats for Molecular Dynamics

[Roumen Tsekov](#)

Department of Physical Chemistry, University of Sofia, 1164 Sofia, Bulgaria

Advanced thermostats for molecular dynamics are proposed on the base of the rigorous Langevin dynamics. Because the latter accounts properly for the subsystem-bath interactions, the bath anisotropy and nonuniformity are described by the relevant friction tensor. The developed model reflects appropriately the relativistic dynamics of the subsystem evolution with large momenta of the subsystem particles as well as the nonlinear friction at high temperature.

The Newton equations of classical mechanics preserves energy and for this reason they are suitable for description of molecular dynamics in microcanonical ensemble. On the other hand, experimental measurements are usually conducted at constant temperature in presence of thermostats. Hence, it is a challenge for the theory to define classical mechanics for open systems and there are several attempts proposed in the literature, such as the Andersen, Berendsen and Nose-Hoover thermostats.<sup>1</sup> The goal of the present paper is rigorously to start the analysis from the exact Langevin dynamics of complex mechanical systems. According to classical mechanics the Hamilton function  $H(p, q)$  completely defines the behavior of a mechanical subsystem of  $N$  particles in the free state. The corresponding Hamilton equations of motion  $\dot{q} = \partial_p H$  and  $\dot{p} = -\partial_q H$  describe the evolution of the  $3N$ -dimensional vectors of momenta  $p$  and coordinates  $q$  of all particles. If the subsystem is a part of a larger mechanical system, where the rest is referred as the thermal bath, two additional forces appear strictly in the Langevin equation<sup>2</sup>

$$\dot{q} = \partial_p H \quad \dot{p} = -\partial_q H - B \cdot \dot{q} + F \quad (1)$$

The friction force is proportional to the velocity  $\dot{q}$  and the tensor  $B$  reflects frictional anisotropy of the bath. The dependence of  $B(p, q)$  on the momenta and coordinates accounts for frictional nonlinearity and nonuniformity, respectively. The friction force controls the subsystem energy loss via heat transfer to the bath. The reverse energy flux generates the fluctuation force  $F(p, q, t)$ , which is stochastic, because the state of the bath particles is unknown. However, it

follows directly from Eq. (1) that the evolution of the subsystem probability density in the phase space  $f(p, q, t)$  obeys the Klein-Kramers equation<sup>2</sup>

$$\partial_t f + \partial_p H \cdot \partial_q f - \partial_q H \cdot \partial_p f = \partial_p \cdot (f B \cdot \partial_p H + k_B T B \cdot \partial_p f) \quad (2)$$

where the constant bath temperature  $T$  emerges from the fluctuation-dissipation theorem. The equilibrium solution of Eq. (2) is the Gibbs canonical distribution  $f_{eq} = \exp(-\beta H) / Z$ , where  $Z$  is the corresponding partition function and  $\beta \equiv 1 / k_B T$  is the reciprocal bath temperature.

At equilibrium, the right-hand side of Eq. (2) is zero, which is a result of dynamic counterbalance between two opposite rates of dissipation and fluctuation of energy, respectively. Therefore, a new dynamic quantity  $\zeta$  can be introduced via

$$3N\dot{\zeta} = \partial_p \cdot (k_B T B \cdot \partial_p f_{eq}) / f_{eq} = -\partial_p \cdot (f_{eq} B \cdot \partial_p H) / f_{eq} \quad (3)$$

and it directly follows that  $\langle \dot{\zeta} \rangle_{eq} = 0$ . Employing the Gibbs canonical distribution, one can transform further Eq. (3) to the following thermo-mechanical equation

$$\dot{\zeta} = (\beta \partial_p H - \partial_p) \cdot B \cdot \partial_p H / 3N \quad (4)$$

which involves the reciprocal bath temperature  $\beta$  as well. To elucidate the physical meaning of Eq. (4), one can calculate the average energy production  $\langle \dot{H} \rangle = -3Nk_B T \langle \dot{\zeta} \rangle$  via Eq. (2), which reveals  $k_B \langle \dot{\zeta} \rangle$  as the bath entropy changes caused by any degree of motion of the subsystem particles.

The rigorous way for the subsystem thermalization described above is too complicated for direct numerical simulations because the state of the bath particles is unknown. A possibility to approximate the problem is to model the bath-subsystem total force  $F - B \cdot \dot{q} = -\zeta B \cdot \dot{q}$  as proportional to the friction force, scaled by the bath entropy fluctuations. The stronger fluctuation force  $F$  is driven by entropy decrease  $\zeta < 0$  in the surroundings, while the bath entropy increase is due to prevailing dissipation. Obviously,  $\zeta$  reflects the direction of the heating/cooling process and  $\langle \dot{\zeta} \rangle_{eq} = 0$  guarantees the fluctuation-dissipation theorem. Thus, the exact Eq. (1) simplifies accordingly to

$$\dot{q} = \partial_p H \quad \dot{p} = -\partial_q H - \zeta B \cdot \dot{q} \quad (5)$$

which describe dissipative dynamics with the fluctuating friction factor  $\zeta$ . Since the latter evolves in accordance with Eq. (4), the system of these equations is a pure mechanical problem, which is easier to simulate via molecular dynamics. To check consistency, one can consider the extended distribution density  $f(p, q, \zeta, t)$ , which accounts for the entropy fluctuations as well. Following Eq. (5), the relevant evolutionary equation reads

$$\partial_t f + \partial_p H \cdot \partial_q f - \partial_q H \cdot \partial_p f = \zeta \partial_p \cdot (f B \cdot \partial_p H) - \partial_\zeta (\dot{\zeta} f) \quad (6)$$

By employing Eq. (4) one can show that  $f_{eq} = (3N / 2\pi)^{1/2} \exp(-3N\zeta^2 / 2) \exp(-\beta H) / Z$  is the equilibrium solution of Eq. (6). Therefore, the developed approximation leads to the rigorous Gibbs canonical distribution, while the front part of  $f_{eq}$  represents the Gaussian distribution of the equilibrium entropy fluctuations. As expected, their dispersion  $\langle \zeta^2 \rangle_{eq} = 1 / 3N$  obeys the usual law from statistical thermodynamics.

The application the developed complex model requires knowledge for the friction tensor, which is the main coupling parameter of the subsystem to the bath. The dependence of  $B(p, q)$  on the momenta  $p$  is more exotic and one can mention here, for instance, the Amontons-Coulomb friction with  $B \sim M / |p|$ , where  $M$  the diagonal mass matrix of the subsystem particles. Another example is the cubic friction, which becomes essential at large momenta.<sup>3</sup> In the opposite case of slow motion the friction tensor does not depend on momenta  $p$ . In isotropic media the friction tensor  $B = M\gamma$  is diagonal but if the media is structured, the collision frequency  $\gamma(q)$  is modulated by the bath-subsystem interactions. Its dependence on the positions of the subsystem particles could strongly affect the dynamics of the latter. For example, if the subsystem evolves in a solid  $\gamma$  is a periodic function of  $q$ , which reflects the symmetry of the bath.<sup>4</sup> Because in the nonrelativistic case the subsystem Hamilton function is  $H = p \cdot M^{-1} \cdot p / 2 + U(q)$ , where the effective potential  $U$  could also be modulated by the interaction with the bath particles,<sup>4</sup> Eqs. (4-5) reduce to

$$p = M \cdot \dot{q} \quad \dot{p} = -\partial_q U - \zeta \gamma p \quad \dot{\zeta} = \gamma(\beta \dot{q} \cdot p / 3N - 1) \quad (7)$$

It is proven by Hoover that at constant  $\gamma$  Eq. (7) resembles the Nose-Hoover thermostat.<sup>5</sup> Hence, our model from Eqs. (4-5) represents a relativistic Nose-Hoover thermostat, accounting also for anisotropy, nonlinearity and nonuniformity of the friction between the subsystem particles and the thermal bath. Obviously, the mechanical quantity  $\theta \equiv \dot{q} \cdot p / 3Nk_B$  plays the role of the subsystem temperature and  $\langle \theta \rangle_{eq} = T$ . The differential nature of Eq. (4) complicates essentially the molecular dynamics simulations, and for this reason many researchers are looking for simpler thermostats. Assuming equality of the reduced head exchanged between the subsystem and the bath, one can write  $F/T = B \cdot \dot{q} / \theta$ . Hence, a simpler mechanical alternative of the stochastic Langevin equation (1) reads

$$\dot{q} = \partial_p H \quad \dot{p} = -\partial_q H - (1 - T/\theta)B \cdot \dot{q} \quad (8)$$

In the nonrelativistic case with linear friction Eq. (8) predicts that the subsystem energy evolves as  $\dot{H} = 3N\gamma k_B(T - \theta)$ . Hence, the bath entropy production  $\dot{\zeta} = -\dot{H} / 3Nk_B T = \gamma(\theta/T - 1)$  satisfies again Eq. (7). Obviously, Eq. (8) represents a generalized Berendsen thermostat,<sup>6</sup> which is not reproducing, however, the Gibbs canonical distribution because  $1 - T/\theta \neq \zeta$ .

## References

1. D. Frenkel and B. Smit, *Understanding Molecular Simulation: From Algorithms to Applications*, Academic Press, London, 2002
2. W.T. Coffey and Yu.P. Kalmykov, *The Langevin Equation: With Applications to Stochastic Problems in Physics, Chemistry and Electrical Engineering*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2017
3. W.G. Hoover and B.L. Holian, Kinetic moments method for the canonical ensemble distribution, *Phys. Lett. A* **211** (1996) 253
4. R. Tsekov and E. Ruckenstein, Stochastic dynamics of a subsystem interacting with a solid body with application to diffusive processes in solids, *J. Chem. Phys.* **100** (1994) 1450
5. W.G. Hoover, Canonical dynamics: Equilibrium phase-space distributions, *Phys. Rev. A* **31** (1985) 1695
6. H.J.C. Berendsen, J.P.M. Postma, W.F. van Gunsteren, A. Di Nola and J.R. Haak, Molecular dynamics with coupling to an external bath, *J. Chem. Phys.* **81** (1984) 3684