

The Probability of Random Trees Being Isomorphic

Christoffer Olsson
Department of Mathematics
Uppsala Universitet, Sweden
christoffer.olsson@math.uu.se

Abstract

We show that the probability that two randomly chosen trees are isomorphic decays exponentially for rooted labelled trees as well as Galton–Watson trees with bounded degrees. In the former case a full asymptotic expansion is derived. We also show that, in general, we cannot obtain exponential decay for Galton–Watson trees. Lastly, we prove joint convergence to a multivariate normal distribution for vertices of given degrees in pairs of labelled trees conditioned on being isomorphic.

1 Introduction

The study of random trees is a rich and active subject in combinatorial probability theory. Just as it is a classical subject within combinatorics to study the symmetries and isomorphism classes of trees, it is natural to ask about the isomorphism classes of random trees. In this paper we answer the fundamental question of how likely it is that two random trees, drawn from some family of such trees, are isomorphic to each other. This question has previously been studied for the family of phylogenetic trees (full binary trees labelled at the leaves) in [1]. We extend their results by studying other examples from the general class of Galton–Watson trees. Similar questions can be studied for other families of random trees, see e.g. [2] where the probability of two randomly chosen binary search trees being identical is considered.

A *Galton–Watson tree* is a rooted tree obtained by a growth process. The root obtains a number of children according to some random variable X that is assumed to take values in the non-negative integers including 0 and some integer larger than 1. Then, the tree grows by letting any offspring in the tree have children according to the same distribution, independently of all other vertices. We will mainly focus on *conditioned* Galton–Watson trees, i.e. trees conditioned on having size n . Given the offspring distribution X , we let \mathcal{T} denote Galton–Watson trees and \mathcal{T}_n denote Galton–Watson trees conditioned on having size n . For specific realizations of these types of trees we use T, T_n . Furthermore, we

let $w(T)$ be the probability (more generally called the *weight*) of the Galton–Watson tree T and $W(T)$ be the total weight of the isomorphism class of T . An alternative classification of Galton–Watson trees is in terms of generating functions. Let

$$T(x) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}} w(T)x^{|T|}$$

be the generating function for a class of Galton–Watson trees and let

$$\Phi(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} w_k z^k$$

be the *probability* or *weight generating function* where w_k is the probability of a vertex having k children. Then, $T(x)$ satisfies

$$T(x) = x\Phi(T(x)). \tag{1}$$

In the context of generating functions, Galton–Watson trees are a special case *simply generated trees*, which are also defined by the functional equation (1) but without the requirement that the weights are probabilities. In both cases, and under the (mild) assumption that there exists a positive τ within the radius of convergence of $\Phi(z)$ such that

$$\Phi(\tau) = \tau\Phi'(\tau) < \infty,$$

we can find $\rho = \frac{\tau}{\Phi(\tau)}$ such that [3, Theorem 3.6]

$$\mathbb{P}(|\mathcal{T}| = n) \sim Cn^{-3/2}\rho^{-n}.$$

The result is proved using the method of singularity analysis, and ρ is the smallest (positive) singularity of the function $T(x)$. It is a general fact that the singularity of a Galton–Watson tree satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= \rho\Phi(\tau), \\ 1 &= \rho\Phi'(\tau), \end{aligned}$$

which, formulated in words, means that the implicit function theorem fails at the point (ρ, τ) . By choosing different distributions, we can obtain different classes of random trees. Some examples of Galton–Watson trees are labelled trees, plane trees and binary trees. Distributions for which $EX = 1$ are called critical. In this case, we always have $\rho = 1$ so that the probability $P(|\mathcal{T}| = n)$ decays like $n^{-3/2}$. Under the condition that we can find a τ as above, we can always assume that our trees, whether they are conditioned Galton–Watson or simply generated ones, are critical Galton–Watson trees, with slight modifications to the offspring distribution [3, Subsection 1.2.7].

Pólya trees \mathcal{P} are rooted, unordered, unlabeled trees. They are not Galton–Watson trees, even though they share many of the same properties. They can be defined by their generating function

$$P(x) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{P}} x^{|T|},$$

that satisfies the functional equation [3, Section 1.2.5]

$$P(x) = x \exp \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{P(x^j)}{j} \right).$$

We can also study Pólya-like trees \mathcal{P}_D with degrees restricted to lie in some set D

$$P_D(x) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{P}_D} x^{|T|}.$$

In this paper we will study rooted labelled trees and general Galton–Watson trees with vertex degrees restricted to lie in some finite set D . By the orbit-stabilizer theorem, the number of isomorphism classes under the action of the group G is

$$\frac{|G|}{|\text{Aut } T|}.$$

For rooted labelled trees, G will be the symmetric group S_n acting on the labels so that $|G| = n!$. For Galton–Watson trees, there is always an implicit ordering (we can talk about a first child etc.) and the proper action to study is the one that, for each vertex in the tree, permutes its children. The isomorphism classes of rooted labelled trees correspond exactly to Pólya trees, and the isomorphism classes of Galton–Watson trees with degrees in the set D to Pólya-like trees with degrees in the same set. We will talk about Pólya trees and the isomorphism classes of labelled or Galton–Watson trees interchangeably.

Let $V(T)$ denote the vertex set of the tree T , $\text{deg}(T)$ its root degree and $\text{deg}(v)$ the degree of a vertex $v \in V(T)$. Also, let $\mathcal{B}(T)$ be the root branches of T and $\mathcal{B}_T(T)$ be the unique root branches up to isomorphism. For the group action on Galton–Watson trees that permutes the branches at every vertex we have

$$|G| = \text{deg}(T) \prod_{B \in \mathcal{B}(T)} |G_B| = \prod_{v \in V(T)} \text{deg}(v)!,$$

where G_B is the group action restricted to the root branch B . In a similar vein, the size of the automorphism group of any rooted tree T satisfies

$$|\text{Aut } T| = \prod_{B \in \mathcal{B}_T(T)} \text{mult}(B_i)! |\text{Aut } T_i|^{\text{mult}(B_i)}, \quad (2)$$

with $\text{mult}(B)$ being the multiplicity of the branch B . In both of these cases, this mirrors the fact that the group is built up by iterated direct and wreath products of symmetric groups. Thus, the total number of plane representations $\mathcal{PR}(T)$ of a Pólya tree T (or an isomorphism class of Galton–Watson trees) is

$$\mathcal{PR}(T) = \frac{\prod_{v \in V(T)} \text{deg}(v)!}{|\text{Aut } T|}.$$

To get the total weight $W(T)$ of the isomorphism class, we need to multiply by the weights:

$$W(T) = w(T)\mathcal{PR}(T) = \frac{\prod_{v \in V(T)} w_{\deg(v)} \deg(v)!}{|\text{Aut } T|}.$$

Let p_n be the probability that two rooted labelled trees on n vertices are isomorphic when we pick them uniformly at random. Then there are

$$\sum_{\substack{T \in \mathcal{P}: \\ |T|=n}} \frac{n!^2}{|\text{Aut } T|^2},$$

pairs of isomorphic labelled trees, out of $n^{2(n-1)}$ pairs of such trees in total. We can define the bivariate generating function

$$P(x, t) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{P}} |\text{Aut } T|^t x^{|T|}.$$

Then, the probability is given by

$$p_n = \frac{1}{n^{2(n-1)}} \sum_{|T|=n} \frac{n!^2}{|\text{Aut } T|^2} = \left(\frac{n!}{n^{n-1}} \right)^2 [x^n] P(x, -2). \quad (3)$$

In other words, we sum over all isomorphism classes of labelled trees (of size n) and square the probability of a tree belonging to that class. This gives a connection between the probabilities p_n and the coefficients of $P(x, -2)$, indicating that the method of singularity analysis can be of use.

1.1 Results

For labelled trees we prove that the probability that two trees are isomorphic is, asymptotically, exponentially small.

Theorem 1. *The probability p_n that two labelled rooted trees are isomorphic has the full asymptotic expansion*

$$p_n \sim an^{3/2}b^n \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{e_k}{n^k} \right),$$

where $a \approx 2.39768$, $b \approx 0.35438$ and the e_k are constants that can be calculated numerically.

We cannot get a full asymptotic expansion for Galton–Watson trees with bounded degrees with our methods, but we can still prove exponential decay of the probabilities.

Theorem 2. *The probability g_n that two Galton–Watson trees with degrees in a finite set D are isomorphic satisfies*

$$g_n \leq ab^n,$$

for some constants a , and $b < 1$.

At first glance, one might hope to prove similar results for any type of simply generated or conditioned Galton–Watson trees, but it turns out that this is not possible without fairly strong restrictions. For example, the probability that two plane trees are isomorphic exhibits subexponential decay.

Theorem 3. *The probability that two plane trees are isomorphic decays subexponentially. Thus, we cannot obtain exponential bounds on the probability that two conditioned Galton–Watson trees are isomorphic, in general.*

We can also condition on the event that two trees are isomorphic and study the number of vertices of a given degree in these trees (note that this quantity must be the same for both trees as they are isomorphic). In the case of labelled trees, we have the following result on the degree distribution of the vertices.

Theorem 4. *Let \mathbf{X}_n be a random vector that counts the number of vertices of (out)degree $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k)$ in either of a pair of isomorphic rooted labelled trees of size n . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \mathbf{X}_n &= \boldsymbol{\mu}n + O(1), \\ \text{Cov} \mathbf{X}_n &= \boldsymbol{\Sigma}n + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

for a vector $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_k)$ and a matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = (\sigma_{i,j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}$. Furthermore, we have joint convergence to a normal distribution

$$\frac{\mathbf{X}_n - \mathbb{E} \mathbf{X}_n}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{d} N(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}).$$

In Section 2 we derive a functional equation necessary for the proof of Theorem 1 given in Section 3. We prove Theorem 2 in Section 4 while we provide a counterexample that proves Theorem 3 in Section 5. Section 6 is devoted to proving Theorem 4. As an example, we also estimate the mean number of leaves in a pair of isomorphic labelled trees in Subsection 6.1.

1.2 Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Stephan Wagner for many helpful discussions and suggestions during the writing of this article.

2 Functional equations

The functional equation for the bivariate generating function counting the size of the automorphism group of Pólya trees has previously been derived in [4]. We reproduce the calculations here for completeness.

We have the following symbolic decomposition of Pólya trees

$$\mathcal{P} = \bullet \times \bigotimes_{T \in \mathcal{P}} (\emptyset \uplus \{T\} \uplus \{T, T\} \uplus \dots),$$

which, by (2), translates to the functional equation

$$P(x, t) = x \prod_{T \in \mathcal{P}} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^{n|T|} n!^t |\text{Aut } T|^{nt} \right) \quad (4)$$

when we take automorphisms into account. We rewrite this as follows:

$$P(x, t) = x \exp \left(\sum_{T \in \mathcal{P}} \log \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^{n|T|} n!^t |\text{Aut } T|^{nt} \right) \right) \quad (5)$$

$$= x \exp \left(\sum_{T \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^{n|T|} n!^t |\text{Aut } T|^{nt} \right)^k \right) \quad (6)$$

$$= x \exp \left(\sum_{T \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \sum_{\substack{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \\ + \dots = k}} \binom{k}{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (x^{n|T|} n!^t |\text{Aut } T|^{nt})^{\lambda_n} \right). \quad (7)$$

For an integer partition λ , write $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$, where λ_i is the number of i 's in the partition. We let $|\lambda| = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots$ denote the total number of summands, and we write $\lambda \vdash j$ to denote that λ is a partition of j , i.e., $j = \lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + 3\lambda_3 + \dots$. We use this to rewrite (5).

$$\begin{aligned} & x \exp \left(\sum_T \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots = k \\ \lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \dots = j}} \binom{k}{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots} x^{j|T|} |\text{Aut } T|^{jt} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} n!^{\lambda_n t} \right) \\ &= x \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\lambda \vdash j} \frac{(-1)^{|\lambda|-1}}{|\lambda|} \binom{|\lambda|}{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots} \left(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} n!^{\lambda_n t} \right) \sum_{T \in \mathcal{P}} x^{j|T|} |\text{Aut } T|^{jt} \right) \\ &= x \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\lambda \vdash j} \frac{(-1)^{|\lambda|-1}}{|\lambda|} \binom{|\lambda|}{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots} \left(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} n!^{\lambda_n t} \right) P(x^j, jt) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We now have the functional equation

$$P(x, t) = x \exp \left(P(x, t) + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{c(j, t)}{j} P(x^j, jt) \right),$$

where we define

$$c(j, t) = j \sum_{\lambda \vdash j} \frac{(-1)^{|\lambda|-1}}{|\lambda|} \binom{|\lambda|}{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots} \left(\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} m!^{\lambda_m t} \right).$$

We recover the case that we are interested in by setting $t = -2$, which yields

$$P(x, -2) = x \exp \left(P(x, -2) + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{c(j, -2)}{j} P(x^j, -2j) \right). \quad (8)$$

For later reference, we note that we can expand (8) and collect according to root degree as

$$P(x, -2) = x \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{\lambda \vdash k} \prod_j \frac{(c(j, -2) P(x^j, -2j))^{\lambda_j}}{j^{\lambda_j} \lambda_j!}. \quad (9)$$

This can be seen by introducing an extra variable y in (4) that keeps track of the root degree as follows

$$P(x, t) = x \prod_{T \in \mathcal{P}} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^{n|T|} n!^t |\text{Aut } T|^{nt} y^n \right),$$

performing the same calculations as above, and then extracting the coefficient in front of y^k .

3 Singularity analysis for labelled trees

We can now solve the functional equation (8) in terms of the tree function $T(x)$, satisfying $T(x) = xe^{T(x)}$, to get

$$P(x, -2) = T \left(x \exp \left(\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{c(j, -2)}{j} P(x^j, -2j) \right) \right). \quad (10)$$

We want to bound the radius of convergence α of $P(x, -2)$. Let $\rho \approx 0.33832$ be the known singularity of the univariate generating function for Pólya trees $P(x) = P(x, 0)$. Now note that α is at least as large as ρ since $|\text{Aut } T|^{-2} \leq 1$ for all T . We can get an upper bound by noting that, by Cauchy-Schwarz, we have $p_n \geq \frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}_n|}$, i.e., we cannot do worse than when all of the isomorphism classes are equally likely. We know that

$$P(x) \sim Cn^{-3/2} \rho^{-n},$$

implying that the radius of convergence of $\sum \frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}_n|} x^n$ is $1/\rho$. By (3) and Stirling's approximation, the coefficients of $P(x, -2)$ are $\sim \frac{e^{2n}}{2\pi n^3}$ times as large as p_n .

$$[x^n]P(x, -2) \sim \frac{e^{2n}}{2\pi n^3} p_n \geq \frac{e^{2n}}{2\pi n^3 |\mathcal{P}_n|}.$$

Since larger coefficients imply a smaller radius of convergence, and since only the exponential factors affect the radius, this gives an upper bound of

$$\alpha \leq 1/(\rho e^2) \approx 0.40002.$$

As this is smaller than 1, we find that the radius of convergence of $P(x^j, -2)$ is larger than $P(x, -2)$ for any $j \geq 2$. Furthermore,

$$\frac{1}{|\text{Aut } T|^{2j}} \leq \frac{1}{|\text{Aut } T|^2}$$

so that $P(x^j, -2j)$ is analytic in a larger region than $P(x^j, -2)$ and, by extension, $P(x, -2)$.

From the definition of $c(j, t)$, we get that

$$\frac{|c(j, -2)|}{j} \leq \sum_{\lambda \vdash j} \binom{|j|}{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots}.$$

This counts the number of partitions of j when we take order of the parts into account. By definition this is the number of compositions of j , which is known to be 2^{j-1} . Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{c(j, -2)}{j} P(x^j, -2j) \right| &\leq \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} 2^j \sum_T \frac{|x|^{j|T|}}{|\text{Aut } T|^{2j}} \leq \sum_T \frac{1}{|\text{Aut } T|^2} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} 2^j |x|^{j|T|} \\ &= \sum_T \frac{4|x|^{2|T|}}{|\text{Aut } T|^2(1-2|x|^{|T|})} = O(P(|x|^2, -2)). \end{aligned}$$

Now, the Weierstrass M-test gives that

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{c(j, -2)}{j} P(x^j, -2j)$$

is a uniformly convergent sum of analytic functions in some region $|x| < \alpha + \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$, so that the sum, too, is analytic there. Let $\xi(x)$ denote the expression inside the tree function in (10). Then this is the composition and product of analytic functions so that it, too, is an analytic function.

We can compose the Taylor expansion of $\xi(x)$ at α with the singular expansion

$$T(x) = 1 - \sqrt{2}\sqrt{1 - ex} + \dots,$$

at the dominant singularity $\frac{1}{e}$ of $T(x)$. Then, singularity analysis gives that

$$[x^n]P(x, -2) \sim \sqrt{\frac{e\alpha\xi'(\alpha)}{2\pi}} \frac{\alpha^{-n}}{n^{3/2}},$$

and furthermore, a full asymptotic expansion can be obtained. By combining the asymptotic estimates with Stirling's approximation, we get

$$p_n \sim \sqrt{2\pi e\alpha\xi'(\alpha)} n^{3/2} \frac{1}{(e^2\alpha)^n},$$

for the asymptotic probability that two trees are isomorphic. We can obtain numerical estimates by truncating the power series $P(x^j, -2j)$ for $j \geq 2$ as well as

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{c(j, -2)}{j} P(x^j, -2j)$$

and, based on this, estimating the smallest positive root of $\xi(x) - e^{-1}$. Then, $\xi'(\alpha)$ is obtained by plugging in the estimate for α . This gives $\sqrt{2\pi e \alpha \xi'(\alpha)} \approx 2.39768$ and $1/(e^2 \alpha) \approx 0.35438$.

4 Galton–Watson trees with bounded degrees

For an isomorphism class A , say that a conditioned Galton–Watson tree has property \mathcal{S}_A if it consists of a tree from A together with a giant branch attached to the root of A . We know that a conditioned Galton–Watson tree has such a giant branch with probability tending to 1 ([5, Section 7]). Furthermore $P(\mathcal{T}_n \text{ has property } \mathcal{S}_A)$ converges to some probability p_A such that

$$\sum_A p_A = 1,$$

when we sum over all possible isomorphism classes. To see this, consider a tree T of size n with one giant branch of size $n - |A|$, where, by abuse of notation, $|A|$ is the size of a representative of the isomorphism class. The probability of $\{\mathcal{T}_n \text{ has property } \mathcal{S}_A\}$ is

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(|\mathcal{T}| = n - |A|)}{\mathbb{P}(|\mathcal{T}| = n)} w(A) \mathcal{P}\mathcal{R}(A)(\deg(A) + 1),$$

as we need to choose a tree of size $n - |A|$ for the giant branch, an embedding of A and, finally, a position to attach the giant branch to A . Asymptotically, $\mathbb{P}(|\mathcal{T}| = n) \sim Cn^{-3/2} \rho^{-n}$ so, in the limit, this probability is

$$\rho^{|A|} w(A) \mathcal{P}\mathcal{R}(A)(\deg(A) + 1).$$

We can perform calculations where we let $n \rightarrow \infty$ and sum over all A to obtain (we let \mathcal{T} be the set of the Galton–Watson trees)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_A \rho^{|A|} w(A) \mathcal{P}\mathcal{R}(A)(\deg(A) + 1) &= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}} \rho^{|T|} \prod_v w_{d(v)}(\deg(T) + 1) \\ \sum_{k \geq 0} (k+1) w_k \rho \left(\sum_{T_1 \in \mathcal{T}} \rho^{|T_1|} \prod_{v \in V(T_1)} w_{d(v)} \right) \cdots \left(\sum_{T_k \in \mathcal{T}} \rho^{|T_k|} \prod_{v \in V(T_k)} w_{d(v)} \right) \\ &= \rho \sum_{k \geq 0} (k+1) w_k T(\rho)^k = \rho \Phi'(T(\rho)) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the general fact that $\rho\Phi'(\tau) = 1$ in the last step. As the sum is 1, we indeed have a giant branch and the associated probability distribution when $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let d be the maximal degree that a vertex can have. Fix $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and choose a finite family of isomorphism classes \mathcal{F} as well as a positive integer n_0 such that, for all $n \geq n_0$

$$\sum_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}_n \text{ has property } \mathcal{S}_A)^2 + d! \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}_n \text{ does not have property } \mathcal{S}_A \text{ for any } A \in \mathcal{F}) < 1 - \epsilon. \quad (11)$$

To see that this can be done, note that

$$\sum_{A \in \mathcal{F}} p_A^2 < \left(\sum_{A \in \mathcal{F}} p_A \right)^2 \leq 1$$

since we can safely assume that $p_A > 0$ for more than one A . At the same time, we can take the family \mathcal{F} to be large enough to make

$$d! \sum_{A \notin \mathcal{F}} p_A,$$

arbitrarily small. As the probabilities $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}_n \text{ has property } \mathcal{S}_A)$ converge to the p_A , the inequality (11) must hold for large enough n .

The proof now proceeds by induction. Take some constant $0 < c < 1$, to be determined later. We want to show that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}_n^{(1)} \simeq \mathcal{T}_n^{(2)}) \leq c^{n-n_0}$ for all n . As the basis for our induction argument, it is clear that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}_n^{(1)} \simeq \mathcal{T}_n^{(2)}) \leq c^{n-n_0}$ for all $n \leq n_0$ as the bound is trivially larger than 1 in that case. Now assume that the induction hypothesis holds and take two conditioned Galton–Watson trees $\mathcal{T}_n^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{T}_n^{(2)}$ of size n . If $\mathcal{T}_n^{(1)}$ has property \mathcal{S}_A , then the two trees can only be isomorphic if $\mathcal{T}_n^{(2)}$ does as well. If they both have property \mathcal{S}_A , we use the induction hypothesis on the giant branch, and otherwise we use the induction hypothesis on each of the, at most d , root branches. If we condition on their sizes being m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d , we get $c^{m_1-n_0+m_2-n_0+\dots+m_d-n_0} = c^{n-1-dn_0}$ as an upper bound on the probability that they are isomorphic. Summing over all alternatives, we obtain the bound

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}_n^{(1)} \simeq \mathcal{T}_n^{(2)}) &\leq \sum_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}_n \text{ has property } \mathcal{S}_A)^2 c^{n-|A|-n_0} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}_n \text{ does not have property } \mathcal{S}_A \text{ for any } A \in \mathcal{F}) d! c^{n-dn_0-1}. \end{aligned}$$

where we get a factor of $d!$ since any permutation of the root branches of a tree \mathcal{T}_n yields a tree isomorphic to it. By the choice of \mathcal{F} we can assume that $|A|$ is bounded by n_0 and, by extension, by $1 + (d-1)n_0$. This leads to a bound on

the expression above in the form of

$$\begin{aligned}
& c^{n-n_0} \left(\sum_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}_n \text{ has property } \mathcal{S}_A)^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + d! \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}_n \text{ does not have property } \mathcal{S}_A \text{ for any } A \in \mathcal{F}) \right) c^{-(1+(d-1)n_0)} \\
& \leq c^{n-n_0} (1 - \epsilon) c^{-(1+(d-1)n_0)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that we can take c arbitrarily close to 1 to guarantee that

$$(1 - \epsilon) c^{-(1+(d-1)n_0)} \leq 1,$$

so that we have the bound

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}_n^{(1)} \simeq \mathcal{T}_n^{(2)}) \leq c^{n-n_0}.$$

This finishes the inductive step and shows that we have exponential decay. The argument indicates that the correct value of asymptotic decay might be the constant c that satisfies

$$\sum_{A \in \mathcal{P}} p_A^2 c^{-|A|} = 1$$

provided that such a constant exists. One can hope to improve on this and get a full asymptotic expansion for the probabilities, as was done in [1], though this is a work in progress.

5 Counterexample for general Galton–Watson trees

Proof of Theorem 3. We create a counterexample for plane trees, one type of Galton–Watson tree or, equivalently, a simply generated tree. In the latter case we can take the plane trees to have all of their weights $w_k = 1$. We use the pigeonhole principle to find a set of different isomorphism classes that all contain many plane trees. By attaching all the isomorphism classes in the set to a common root, we obtain an isomorphism class that contains a large fraction of plane trees of a given size.

It is well known that the number of plane trees of size n is the n -th Catalan number C_n and, thus, asymptotically of order $4^{n-O(\log n)}$. This implies that the number of plane representations of any one Pólya tree (i.e. an isomorphism class of plane rooted trees) is less than 4^n .

We can now divide the interval $[0, \log(4)n]$ into cn , for some small $0 < c < 1$, subintervals (this is not guaranteed to be an integer but any rounding error is irrelevant in the limit) and partition \mathcal{P}_n according to which interval $\log(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{R}(T))$ falls in. Summing all plane representations of Pólya trees in \mathcal{P}_n gives us the

number of plane trees of size n so, by the pigeonhole principle, one of the intervals must contain a set of Pólya trees that has

$$\frac{C_n}{cn} = 4^{n-O(\log n)}$$

plane representations in total. Furthermore, there is an x such that all trees in the set have their number of plane representations lying in the interval $[e^x, e^{x+C}]$, where $C := \frac{\log 4}{c}$. Consequently, the number of Pólya trees in the interval must be at least

$$K := K(n) = \frac{4^{n-O(\log n)}}{e^{x+C}} = e^{\log(4)n-x-C}.$$

If we attach all of these Pólya trees to a common root, the tree we obtain in this way will have $N := N(n) = Kn + 1$ vertices and at least

$$\begin{aligned} K!e^{Kx} &= \exp(K \log K + Kx - O(K)) \\ &= \exp(K(\log(4)n - x - C) + Kx - O(K)) \\ &= \exp(\log(4)Kn - O(K)) = \exp\left(\log(4)N - O\left(\frac{N}{n}\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

plane representations.

Thus, the probability of picking a plane tree of size N belonging to this isomorphism class when we pick one uniformly at random is

$$\frac{\exp\left(\log(4)N - O\left(\frac{N}{n}\right)\right)}{4^{N-O(\log N)}} = \exp\left(-O\left(\frac{N(n)}{n}\right)\right),$$

which decays subexponentially with N . The probability that two trees are isomorphic is at least as large as the probability that they both belong to this isomorphism class, which gives a lower bound of

$$\exp\left(-2O\left(\frac{N(n)}{n}\right)\right) = \exp\left(-O\left(\frac{N(n)}{n}\right)\right),$$

for the probability that two plane trees belong to the same isomorphism class as well. \square

6 Vertex degrees of isomorphic labelled trees

We now consider the joint degree distribution of pairs of isomorphic rooted labelled trees. We note that isomorphic trees will necessarily have the same number of vertices of each degree. Thus, it is natural to condition on the event that two trees are isomorphic and study the number of vertices with degree d occurring in one of the trees. Considering two random labelled trees $\mathcal{T}_n^{(1)}, \mathcal{T}_n^{(2)}$, we let $Y_d^{(i)}$ be a random vector that counts the total number of vertices of degree

$\mathbf{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k)$ in tree i . For $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k)$ with $0 \leq m_i \leq n$, we want to study

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(Y_{\mathbf{d}}^{(1)} = Y_{\mathbf{d}}^{(2)} = \mathbf{m} \mid \mathcal{T}_n^{(1)} \simeq \mathcal{T}_n^{(2)}\right) &= \frac{P(\{Y_{\mathbf{d}}^{(1)} = Y_{\mathbf{d}}^{(2)} = \mathbf{m}\} \cap \{\mathcal{T}_n^{(1)} \simeq \mathcal{T}_n^{(2)}\})}{P(\mathcal{T}_n^{(1)} \simeq \mathcal{T}_n^{(2)})} \\ &= \frac{\text{Total weight of pairs of isomorphic trees with } \mathbf{m} \text{ vertices of degree } \mathbf{d}}{\text{Total weight of pairs of isomorphic trees}}. \end{aligned}$$

We can study this fraction by modifying the functional equation derived in Sections 3 in a suitable way and then employing general methods from singularity analysis.

Starting from the generating function for pairs of isomorphic labelled trees $n!^2 P(x, -2)$, we now introduce more variables $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k)$ to keep track of the number of vertices of degree \mathbf{d} . From the representation (9), we can conclude the following functional equation for this new multivariate generating function $P(x, -2, \mathbf{u})$

$$\begin{aligned} P(x, -2, \mathbf{u}) &= x \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{c(j, -2)}{j} P(x^j, -2j, \mathbf{u}^j)\right) \\ &\quad + (u_1 - 1)x \sum_{\lambda \vdash d_1} \prod_j \frac{(c(j, -2)P(x^j, -2j, \mathbf{u}^j))^{\lambda_j}}{j^{\lambda_j} \lambda_j!} + \dots \\ &\quad + (u_k - 1)x \sum_{\lambda \vdash d_k} \prod_j \frac{(c(j, -2)P(x^j, -2j, \mathbf{u}^j))^{\lambda_j}}{j^{\lambda_j} \lambda_j!}, \quad (12) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{u}^j = (u_1^j, u_2^j, \dots, u_k^j)$. The \mathbf{u}^j is needed since any term with $j > 1$ is, in some sense, a correction term for having multiple copies of the same branch, and each of the additional terms introduces an extra u_i if the root is of degree d_i . The equation is very similar to the one we had for labelled trees in previous sections; the difference is the extra k terms, which are polynomial in the factors $P(x^j, -2j, \mathbf{u}^j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq d$. As the functions with $j \geq 2$ are slight modifications of analytic functions, we have reason to think that the equation should be well behaved. Indeed, since $0 < \alpha < 1$, we find that $0 < |\alpha u_1 u_2 \dots u_k| < 1$ if we restrict \mathbf{u} to some ball around $\mathbf{1}$, i.e. $|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{1}| < \epsilon_2$ for some $\epsilon_2 > 0$. Then, the higher order factors $P(x^j, -2j, \mathbf{u}^j)$ for $j > 1$ are analytic for $x < \alpha + \epsilon_1$ for $\epsilon_1 > 0$.

We now see that it is enough to study the expression

$$\frac{n!^2 [x^n] P_u(x, -2, \mathbf{u})}{n!^2 [x^n] P(x, -2, \mathbf{1})} = \frac{[x^n] P(x, -2, \mathbf{u})}{[x^n] P(x, -2)},$$

which leads us to apply the following theorem

Theorem 5 (Theorem 2.23 and Remark 2.24 from [3]). *Let $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k)$ and suppose that \mathbf{X}_n is a sequence of random vectors such that*

$$\mathbb{E} \mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{X}_n} = \frac{[x^n]y(x, \mathbf{u})}{[x^n]y(x, \mathbf{1})},$$

where $y(x, \mathbf{u})$ is a power series, that is the (analytic) solution of the functional equation $y = F(x, y, \mathbf{u})$, where $F(x, y, \mathbf{u}) = \sum_{n,m} F_{n,m}(\mathbf{u}) x^n y^m$ is an analytic function in x, y around 0 and \mathbf{u} around $\mathbf{1}$ such that $F(0, y, \mathbf{u}) \equiv 0$, that $F(x, 0, \mathbf{u}) \equiv 0$, and that all coefficients $F_{n,m}(\mathbf{1})$ of $F(x, y, \mathbf{1})$ are real and non-negative.

Assume that $x = x_0 > 0$ and $y = y_0 > 0$ is the (minimal) solution of the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} y &= F(x, y, \mathbf{1}), \\ 1 &= F_y(x, y, \mathbf{1}), \end{aligned}$$

with $F_x(x_0, y_0, \mathbf{1}) \neq 0$ and $F_{yy}(x_0, y_0, \mathbf{1}) \neq 0$. Then we get

$$\mathbb{E} \mathbf{X}_n = \boldsymbol{\mu}n + O(1) \text{ and } \text{Cov} \mathbf{X}_n = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}n + O(1),$$

where the vector $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_k)$ and the matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = (\sigma_{i,j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}$ can be calculated numerically. E.g., for the mean we have

$$\mu_i = \frac{F_{u_i}}{x_0 F_x},$$

where all partial derivatives are evaluated at the point $(x_0, y_0, \mathbf{1})$. Furthermore, we have a central limit theorem of the form

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} (\mathbf{X}_n - \mathbb{E} \mathbf{X}_n) \xrightarrow{d} N(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}).$$

We can now write the equation (12) as $y = F(x, y, \mathbf{u})$ where we have exchanged $P(x, -2, \mathbf{u})$ with y . Then, the second part is a polynomial in analytic functions and the variables x, y, \mathbf{u} and thus analytic itself, and the first part is analytic in a region containing $x = \alpha$, $y = P(\alpha, -2, \mathbf{1})$ and $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{1}$ by the same reasoning as in Section 3. This means that the functional equation satisfies the conditions in Theorem 5 and we find that the random variable $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{d},n}$ that counts the number of vertices of degree \mathbf{d} in isomorphic pairs of labelled trees, is asymptotically jointly normal.

6.1 Example: leaves in isomorphic labelled trees.

For the special case of leaves, i.e., when X_n is a random variable that counts vertices with (out-)degree 0, the functional equation becomes

$$P(x, -2, u) = x \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{c(j, -2)}{j} P(x^j, -2j, u^j) \right) + (u - 1)x,$$

and we can calculate the mean as

$$\frac{[x^n]P_u(x, -2, 1)}{[x^n]P(x, -2, 1)}.$$

By differentiating the equation above, we find

$$P_u(x, -2, 1) = \frac{1}{1 - P(x, -2, 1)} \left(P(x, -2, 1) \sum_{j \geq 2} c(j, -2) P_u(x^j, -2j, 1) + x \right).$$

Now set

$$A(x) = \sum_{j \geq 2} c(j, -2) P_u(x^j, -2j, 1),$$

then, after performing singularity analysis and combining this with the asymptotics we derived for $[x^n]P(x, -2, 1) = [x^n]P(x, -2)$ in Section 3 we find that

$$\mathbb{E} X_n \sim \mu n,$$

where

$$\mu = \left(\frac{A(\alpha)}{\alpha \xi'(\alpha)} + \frac{1}{\xi'(\alpha)} \right) e^{-1}.$$

Numerical estimates indicate $\mu \approx 0.34025$. This can be compared to the case of ordinary labelled trees, where the mean constant is $e^{-1} \approx 0.36788$. Thus, if we condition a pair of labelled trees to be isomorphic, they have a slightly different shape than a uniformly random labelled tree has.

References

- [1] Miklós Bóna and Philippe Flajolet. Isomorphism and symmetries in random phylogenetic trees. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 46(4):1005–1019, 2009.
- [2] Hua-Huai Chern, María-Inés Fernández-Camacho, Hsien-Kuei Hwang, and Conrado Martínez. Psi-series method for equality of random trees and quadratic convolution recurrences. *Random Structures & Algorithms*, 44(1):67–108, 2014.
- [3] Michael Drmota. *Random trees*. Springer Vienna, 2009.
- [4] Christoffer Olsson and Stephan Wagner. Automorphisms of random trees. In *33rd International Conference on Probabilistic, Combinatorial and Asymptotic Methods for the Analysis of Algorithms*, volume 225 of *LIPICs. Leibniz Int. Proc. Inform.* To appear.
- [5] Svante Janson. Simply generated trees, conditioned Galton-Watson trees, random allocations and condensation. *Probab. Surv.*, 9:103–252, 2012.