

A model of invariant control system using mean curvature drift from Brownian motion under submersions

Huang Ching-Peng

September 2, 2022

Abstract

Given a Riemannian submersion $\phi : M \rightarrow N$, we construct a stochastic process X on M such that the image $Y := \phi(X)$ is a (reversed, scaled) mean curvature flow of the fibers of the submersion. The model example is the mapping $\pi : GL(n) \rightarrow GL(n)/O(n)$, whose image is equivalent to the space of n -by- n positive definite matrices, $\mathcal{S}_+(n, n)$, and the said flow has deterministic image. We are able to compute explicitly the mean curvature (and hence the drift term) of the fibers w.r.t. this map, (i) under diagonalization and (ii) in matrix entries, writing mean curvature as the gradient of log volume of orbits. As a consequence, we are able to write down Brownian motions explicitly on several common homogeneous spaces, such as Poincaré's upper half plane and the Bures-Wasserstein geometry on $\mathcal{S}_+(n, n)$, on which we can see the eigenvalue processes of Brownian motion reminiscent of Dyson's Brownian motion.

By choosing the background metric via natural $GL(n)$ action, we arrive at an invariant control system on the $GL(n)$ -homogeneous space $GL(n)/O(n)$. We investigate the feasibility of developing stochastic algorithms using the mean curvature flow.

KEY WORDS: mean curvature flow, gradient flow, Brownian motion, Riemannian submersion, random matrix, eigenvalue processes, geometry of positive definite matrices, stochastic algorithm, control theory on homogeneous space

Contents

1	Motivation and overview	2
1.1	Brownian motion through submersions and on homogeneous spaces	3
1.2	A control system using the mean curvature drift	4
1.3	Notations and abbreviations	6

2 Preliminaries	6
2.1 SDE on manifolds	7
2.2 Mean curvature flow of group orbits	7
2.3 Invariant Brownian motion on Lie groups	8
3 Brownian motion on homogeneous spaces	9
3.1 Itô vertical Brownian motion	9
3.2 Brownian motion on orthogonal groups and their quotients . . .	11
3.2.1 Stiefel manifold	12
3.2.2 Grassmannian	12
3.2.3 Flag manifolds	14
3.2.4 Normal metric of isospectral orbits	14
3.3 Metric lift of reductive homogeneous spaces	14
3.4 Brownian motion on symmetric spaces	16
3.4.1 Poincaré’s upper half plane	16
3.4.2 The Cartan-Hadamard geometry on $\mathcal{S}_+(n, n)$	17
3.5 An example of nonhomogeneous metric: the Bures-Wasserstein geometry	17
4 An invariant control system using mean curvature drift of group orbits	22
4.1 Construction	23
4.2 Existence	24
4.3 Controllability	27

Acknowledgement. *Partial support for this work was provided by the National Science Foundation under grant DMS-2107205*

1 Motivation and overview

All notations and abbreviations are listed in 1.3. Readers can go to the section for quick reference.

We are motivated by the question: how does one write down Brownian motion on the space of positive semidefinite matrices $\mathcal{S}_+(k, n)$ under the Bures-Wasserstein geometry? This geometry is defined by the Riemannian submersion

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_k : \mathbb{R}^{n \times k} &\rightarrow \mathcal{S}_+(k, n) \cong \mathbb{R}^{n \times k} / O(k) \\ M &\mapsto MM^* \end{aligned}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $n \geq k$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ is the set of all full rank $n \times k$ real matrices as an open submanifold of the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{nk} . $\mathcal{S}_+(k, n)$ is the set of all n -by- n positive semidefinite matrices of rank k .

On a manifold, one can write down Brownian motion in explicit local coordinates. However in the case of Bures-Wasserstein, such is not a simple task.

Moreover, a local coordinate system is not always the most useful way to describe Brownian motion—practically, for manifolds embedded in Euclidean

spaces, it is often favourable to use the embedding global coordinates. For quotient manifolds, the total spaces often have simpler structures, we naturally turn our attention to make relations between the Brownian motion on the total space and that in the quotient.

1.1 Brownian motion through submersions and on homogeneous spaces

Itô differential differs from classical calculus in the second derivative term when applying the chain rule. In the language of Riemannian geometry, the second derivative often appears as the mean curvature of a submanifold.

An important example is

Theorem 1.1. *[W⁺ 73] Let $\phi : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$ be a Riemannian submersion, and W_M is a Brownian motion on (M, g) , then the image of W_M under ϕ can be written as*

$$d\phi(W_M) = -c\phi_*(H_{\phi^{-1}\circ\phi(W_M)}(W_M))dt + dW_N. \quad (1.2)$$

Here $c = \frac{\dim(\phi^{-1}\phi(W_M))}{2}$ is a constant depending on the relative dimension of the submersion. W_N is a Brownian motion on (N, h) . The vector $H_{\phi^{-1}\circ\phi(W_M)}(W_M)$ is the mean curvature of the fibre $\phi^{-1}(M)$ as an embedded submanifold of M at W_M .

The proof is by a straight forward computation of projecting the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the total space to the quotient. We shall prove a version of the theorem that extracts the drift term. (See 3.1.)

We narrow down our focus on the Riemannian submersions coming from actions of Lie groups as isometries. These are of the form

$$\phi : M \rightarrow M/K; \quad (1.3)$$

which arise from an action of the Lie group K on M isometrically. Since the mean curvature \vec{H} only depends on the local metric information, the mean curvature flow (generally a PDE) for a group orbit $K \cdot p$ within M descends to an ODE on M/K ,

$$\frac{\partial\phi(x)}{\partial t} = J(\phi(x)), \quad (1.4)$$

where $J := -c\phi_*(\vec{H})$ with the same constant c as in the theorem.

We explore by examples. First we consider Riemannian homogeneous spaces and in particular symmetric spaces. These cases have totally geodesic fibres, and BM “commutes” with the submersion.

The B-W geometry is an example with a nonzero drift term, with $K = O(k)$ as in 1.1. We give specific formulae and include the calculation in 3.5.

Proposition 1.2. *Let $M \in GL(n)$ and $P = MM^* \in \mathcal{S}_+(n, n)$.*

1. If $P = U\Lambda U^*$, for some $U \in O(n)$ and $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$. Then $J := -c\pi_{k*}(\vec{H})$, with $c = \frac{\dim(O(n))}{2}$, is

$$J(P) = U \text{diag}\left(\sum_{j \neq 1} \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_j}, \dots, \sum_{j \neq k} \frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_k + \lambda_j}, \dots\right) U^*. \quad (1.5)$$

2. Alternatively, the same is computed in terms of the entries of M (or P) via first writing down the metric tensor $g|_{MO(n)}$ of $MO(n) \subset GL(n)$.

$$\vec{H}(M) = -\nabla \log \det g|_{MO(n)}, \quad (1.6)$$

where an entry of $g|_{MO(n)}$ is (using Kronecker δ)

$$g_{ij,kl} = \delta_{kl}P_{jl} + \delta_{jl}P_{ik} - \delta_{il}P_{jk} - \delta_{jk}P_{il}. \quad (1.7)$$

The gradient ∇ here is taken under the Euclidean metric on $GL(n)$, and

$$J(P) = -\frac{2}{\dim O(n)}(HM^* + MH^*). \quad (1.8)$$

As a corollary, we have the Itô equation for BM on $(\mathcal{S}_+(n, n), BW)$:

$$dW_{BW} = (dW_{GL(n)})W_{GL(n)}^* + W_{GL(n)}dW_{GL(n)}^* + (nI - J(W_{BW}))dt.$$

1.2 A control system using the mean curvature drift

Inspired by the mean curvature drift in 1.2, we turn our attention to developing a stochastic algorithm on the B-W geometry using this drift. We start with the nonsingular case $\mathcal{S}_+(n, n) = GL(n)/O(n)$. The core idea is that we consider the set of metrics on $GL(n)$ defined by

$$\langle V, W \rangle_R := \text{Tr}_R VW^* := \text{Tr} RVW^*,$$

for $R \in \mathcal{S}_+(n, n)$.

Secondly, define Pr_{ver} to be the orthogonal projection to the vertical direction with respect to the submersion and let B be a BM on the total space, we prove that the process defined by the Itô equation

$$dM = \text{Pr}_{\text{ver}} dB \quad (1.9)$$

exists. (See 3.1.) Moreover, let $P = \phi(M)$ be its image,

$$dP = J(P)dt, \quad (1.10)$$

with $J = -c\phi_*H$ as before. Roughly speaking, the vertical part of a BM contributes exactly the mean curvature drift.

Now, choosing a path R_t , we have a corresponding evolution

$$dP = J^{R_t}(P)dt, \quad (1.11)$$

where J^R is the drift term under the new metric Tr_R . Thinking R_t as the control, we have a control system that is stochastic on the total space $GL(n)$ but deterministic on the quotient $\mathcal{S}_+(n, n)$.

The following gives detailed descriptions of the system:

Proposition 1.3. *The following are equivalent descriptions of the same control system for the evolution $P_t \in \mathcal{S}_+(n, n) \cong GL(n)/O(n)$ in the sense that the controls give the same possible set of $\{P_t\}$ for a fixed time t and an initial condition P_0 .*

1. $\dot{P}_t = M_t C_t M_t^*$, where $M_t M_t^* = P$, and the control C_t is any matrix in $\mathcal{S}_+(n, n)$ such that the eigenvalues are of the form

$$\left(\sum_{j \neq 1} \frac{1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_j}, \dots, \sum_{j \neq k} \frac{1}{\lambda_k + \lambda_j}, \dots \right) \quad (1.12)$$

with each $\lambda_j > 0$. Here the choice of $M_t \in M_t O(n)$ does not change the set of possible \dot{P}_t .

2. $\dot{P}_t = J^{R_t}(P_t)$, where the control is $R_t \in \mathcal{S}_+(n, n)$, and J^R denotes the drift term as previously under metric Tr_R .
3. $\dot{P}_t = G_t^{-*} J(G_t^* P_t G_t) G_t^{-1}$, where the control is any $G_t \in GL(n)$.

In particular, (3) in the proposition means what we have here is an *invariant control system*; that is, the accessible directions at P , defined as $\mathfrak{a}(P) = \{J^R(P) | R \in \mathcal{S}_+(n, n)\} \subset T_P \mathcal{S}_+(n, n)$ is the restriction to $T_P \mathcal{S}_+(n, n)$ of a set of vector fields \mathfrak{a} on $\mathcal{S}_+(n, n)$ invariant under the $GL(n)$ action descending from the left $GL(n)$ -multiplication on itself.

Invariant control systems already exist in literature. We estimate the *reachable set*, i.e. the set of points on $\mathcal{S}_+(n, n)$ the evolution can reach, as follows.

Proposition 1.4. *For the control system described in 1.3*

- 1.

$$P_{t_2} - P_{t_1}, \quad (1.13)$$

is positive definite if $t_2 > t_1$.

2. $\mathfrak{a}(I)$ is open in $T_I \mathcal{S}_+(n, n)$. Therefore the reachable set via piece-wise constant controls $\mathcal{R}^{pc}(I)$ has nonempty interior.

3. The reachable set $\mathcal{R}^{reg}(I)$ via regulated controls contains a subset

$$I + \{U \text{diag}(\exp \lambda_1, \dots, \exp \lambda_n) U^* | U \in O(n), (\lambda_1, \cdot, \lambda_n) \in \text{cone}\{e_i + e_j | i \neq j\}\}, \quad (1.14)$$

where $\{e_j\}$ denotes the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^n .

1.3 Notations and abbreviations

- Matrices, including matrix groups, are over real numbers if not specified.
- The transpose of a real matrix A is denoted as A^* . Although throughout the paper, mostly we only consider real matrices, when extending to complex numbers, AA^* is positive definite but not AA^T . $(A^{-1})^*$ and $(A^{-1})^T$ are abbreviated as A^{-*} and A^{-T} since the two operations commute.
- Manifolds (especially Lie groups) are assumed to be finite dimensional if not specified.
- $\mathcal{S}_+(n, n)$ denotes the space of $n \times n$ positive definite matrices of full rank. $\mathcal{S}(n)$ is the space of all $n \times n$ (real) symmetric matrices, whereas $\mathcal{S}_+(k, n)$ is the locus of semidefinite matrices of rank k .
- The differential of a smooth map, ϕ , between smooth manifolds is written as ϕ_* to avoid confusion with stochastic differentials.
- For stochastic processes, we often suppress the time variable t for simplicity. For example, we write X instead of X_t when it is clear in the context.
- For Itô differentials we use d while for Stratonovich differential we use \bar{d} .
- BM is short for *Brownian motion*. MCF stands for *mean curvature flow*, sometimes scaled or reversed if clear from the context.
- $\mathbb{I}(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the second fundamental form, and we take the convention that the mean curvature of an embedded manifold M is defined as $\frac{1}{\dim M} \text{Tr } \mathbb{I}$.
- $\vec{H}(x)$ (sometimes without the vector arrow when not emphasizing it is a vector) denotes the mean curvature vector at a point x . In most cases through out the paper, \vec{H} is a vector field. $J(x)$ denotes the image of $\vec{H}(x)$ through a submersion.

When we need to specify the *metric* under which \vec{H} is derived, we write \vec{H}^{metric} and J^{metric} .

•

$$I_{k,n} = \begin{bmatrix} I_k & \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where I_k is the $k \times k$ identity matrix as usual.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we organise known results and definitions needed.

2.1 SDE on manifolds

Definition 1. (C.f. [Hsu02] definition 1.2.3)

Let M be a smooth manifold, $\{V_\alpha\}_\alpha$ a set of vector fields on M , and $\{Z^\alpha\}_\alpha$ real valued semimartingales. Adopting the Einstein summing convention, an M -valued process X_t is a solution to the SDE

$$dX = V_\alpha dZ^\alpha$$

if for any smooth function $f \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$df(X) = V_\alpha f(X) dZ^\alpha$$

up to some stopping time τ .

In particular, we may choose f to be the embedding coordinates or local coordinates.

Often we are interested in the Itô form of the equations and need to convert.

2.2 Mean curvature flow of group orbits

There are many examples of Riemannian submersions in general. We narrow down to a class of particular interest. That is, the submersion of the form

$$\phi : M \rightarrow N = M/K, \tag{2.1}$$

where K is a Lie group acting on M as a subgroup of the isometries of M , then $\phi_*(H)$ is constant along the fibre, i.e. $\phi_*(H(x)) = \phi_*(H(y)) \in T_{\phi(x)}N$ for any $y \in \phi^{-1} \circ \phi(x)$. In this case, the MCF “commutes” with the submersion. That is, the mean curvature flow x_t , in general cases described by PDEs, of the fibres in M descends to a simple ODE of $p_t := \phi(x_t)$ on N ,

$$\dot{p}_t = -c\phi_*(H(x_t)) =: J(p_t), \tag{2.2}$$

determined solely by the vector field J on M/K .

A particular fact will be useful for calculating the mean curvature.

Proposition 2.1. (C.f. [Pac03] Proposition 1) Let M be a Riemannian manifold such that a compact Lie group K acts as a subgroup of isometries of M . The volume of orbit $\text{vol}(K \cdot m)$ is a smooth function on M , and the vector field of orbit mean curvatures is

$$\vec{H}(m) = -\text{grad} \log \text{vol}(K \cdot m) \tag{2.3}$$

for $m \in M$.

The volume function above can be obtained from the following recipe:

Lemma 2.2. (C.f. [Pac03] Proof of Proposition 1) Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold such that a compact Lie group K acts as a subgroup of isometries of M , and let $\{Z_\alpha\}_\alpha$ be a basis of $\mathfrak{k} = \text{Lie}(K)$, the volume of an orbit is

$$\text{vol}(K \cdot m) = \sqrt{\det i^*g} \int_K \wedge_\alpha Z_\alpha^*. \quad (2.4)$$

Here i^*g is the metric, in terms of the basis $\{Z_\alpha\}_\alpha$, pulled back from the inclusion $i : K \cdot m \hookrightarrow M$, and Z_α^* is the dual of Z_α .

2.3 Invariant Brownian motion on Lie groups

Definition 2. (C.f. [NRW86]) Let G be a finite-dimensional Lie group and \mathfrak{g} its Lie algebra. If \mathfrak{g} is equipped with an inner product, and therefore it is a Euclidean space, then a left invariant Brownian motion X on G is defined by

$$dX = X dW := XY_\alpha dW^\alpha := Y_\alpha|_X dW^\alpha,$$

where Y_α 's are an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{g} , identified as the vector space of invariant vector fields on G , and W^α 's are standard real Wiener processes so $W := W^\alpha Y_\alpha$ is a standard (Euclidean) Brownian motion on \mathfrak{g} .

The rightmost form in the definition is the one compliant to Definition 1. The middle form however helps to consider the equation in Lie-theoretic settings especially when G is a matrix group, as in practice we often identify the Lie algebra as the tangent space at the identity of G .

For a *unimodular* Lie group G , i.e. left invariant Haar measures on G are right invariant, or equivalently $\det Ad \equiv 1$ on G or $\text{Tr} ad \equiv 0$ on \mathfrak{g} , the Laplace-Beltrami operator is a “sum of squares”. In particular, the general linear group $GL(n)$, special linear group $SL(n)$, and compact groups such as $O(n)$ are unimodular and the following apply.

Theorem 2.3. ([Ura79] §2) Let G be a finite-dimensional Lie group and \mathfrak{g} its Lie algebra equipped with an inner product. If G is unimodular and $\{Y_i\}_i$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{g} , then the Laplace-Beltrami operator under the left invariant metric on G induced from the inner product on \mathfrak{g} is

$$\Delta_G = \sum_i Y_i^2.$$

Corollary 2.4. Let G be a finite-dimensional unimodular Lie group and \mathfrak{g} its Lie algebra equipped with an inner product. Then left invariant Brownian motion on G is exactly the Brownian motion under the left invariant metric induced from the inner product of \mathfrak{g} .

Proof. Let $\{Y_i\}$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{g} . The G -valued process defined by $dW = Y_i dW^i$ has infinitesimal generator $\frac{1}{2}\Delta_G = \frac{1}{2}\sum_i Y_i^2$. \square

3 Brownian motion on homogeneous spaces

With the background knowledge, we may explore some examples.

3.1 Itô vertical Brownian motion

We start with a version of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ be a submersion and M be equipped with a metric g . Let Pr_{ver} denote the orthogonal projection to the vertical direction with respect to the submersion. Define the process X by*

$$dX = \text{Pr}_{\text{ver}} dW, \quad (3.1)$$

where W is a Brownian motion on (M, g) . Assuming the existence of solutions, the image process, $\phi(X) =: P \in N$, satisfies the equation

$$dP = -c\phi_*(H(X_t))dt, \quad (3.2)$$

where $H(X)$ is the mean curvature of the fiber $\phi^{-1}(P)$ at X in M and $c = \frac{\dim \phi^{-1}(P)}{2}$ depends on the dimension of the fibre.

Remark 3.2. In the original theorem 1.1, we require the submersion $M \rightarrow N$ to be Riemannian. For the lemma 3.1 here, we do not need the metric structure on N .

Proof. Pick a normal coordinate system at $o := X_0$ such that the first m coordinate derivatives $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_m$ span the vertical space at o .

Let W_M be a Brownian motion on M . In local coordinates (c.f. [Hsu02] Example 3.3.5),

$$dW_M^k = (\sqrt{g^{-1}}dB)^k - \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}\Gamma_{ij}^k dt. \quad (3.3)$$

Let $\xi_i = \text{Pr}_{\text{ver}} \partial_i$.

$$dX = \xi_i dW_M^i = \xi_i dW_M^i - \frac{1}{2}D_{\xi_i}\xi_j d\langle W_M^i, W_M^j \rangle \quad (3.4)$$

in Stratonovich form, where D denotes the ‘‘Euclidean connection’’ for the coordinates.

At o , $D_{\xi_i}\xi_j$ coincides with $\nabla_{\partial_i}\partial_j$ and $\langle W_M^i, W_M^j \rangle = t$. So

$$dP = d\phi(X) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1, \dots, m} \phi_* \mathbb{I}(\partial_i, \partial_i) dt. \quad (3.5)$$

□

The use of the lemma will be apparent in Section 4. However we introduce it here for a cleaner illustration in the following example.

Example 3.3. Consider the punctured Euclidean space $M = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus 0$ quotient by $K = SO(n)$. The orbits are n -spheres. The quotient space is $(0, \infty)$.

$$dX = \text{Pr}_{\text{ver}}(X)dW = \left(I - \frac{XX^*}{X^*X}\right)dW. \quad (3.6)$$

Let $R = \sqrt{X^*X}$ and $S = R^2 = X^*X$. By Itô calculus,

$$\begin{aligned} dS &= dX^*X + X^*dX + \frac{1}{2}dX^*dX \\ &= 2X^*dX + \frac{1}{2}dW^*\text{Pr}_{\text{ver}}(X)^*\text{Pr}_{\text{ver}}(X)dW \\ &= 0 + \frac{1}{2}dW^*\left(I - \frac{XX^*}{S}\right)dW \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(ndt - \frac{1}{S}Sdt) = \frac{n-1}{2}dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

So

$$S = R^2 = \frac{n-1}{2}t + S_0. \quad (3.8)$$

On the other hand, the mean curvature and R are related as

$$\dot{R} = -\frac{n-1}{2}\vec{H} = \frac{n-1}{2R}, \quad (3.9)$$

which leads to the same as Equation 3.8. We have shown in this example, the Itô vertical projection of Brownian motion is indeed the mean curvature drift predicted by Theorem 1.1.




Figure 1: Comparing one sample path of X (blue) and the MCF at the same scale (red).




Figure 2: Comparing the theoretical rate (red) of the mean curvature drift and that from the simulation (blue) in 3.3.

Example 3.4. Complex projective space with Fubini-Study metric: the Fubini-Study metric on the projective space $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ is defined as the quotient metric from the round sphere, i.e. S^{2n+1} embedded in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} with the Euclidean structure as \mathbb{R}^{2n+2} , by the unitary group $U(1)$ homeomorphic to the 1-circle S^1 .

It is easy to verify $U(1)$ has totally geodesic orbits, and hence the Laplacian commutes with the quotient. Brownian motion under the Fubini-Study metric is therefore attained by projecting Brownian motion on S^{2n+1} , which satisfies

$$dZ = (I - Z^*Z) dW = (I - Z^*Z) dW + \frac{1}{2} dZ dW = (I - Z^*Z) (dW + \frac{1}{2} dt), \quad |Z_0| = 1, \quad (3.10)$$

in homogeneous coordinates.

3.2 Brownian motion on orthogonal groups and their quotients

Real Grassmannians, flag manifolds, and Stiefel manifolds can be presented as quotients of the orthogonal group $O(n)$, and some most common metrics on them are the quotient metric from $O(n)$. The standard metric on $O(n)$, denoted g for this subsection, can be described either as the bi-invariant from the Killing form or equivalently (up to a scalar) the submanifold metric induced from the usual embedding $O(n) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Let's use the latter as the definition, which can be conveniently computed as the Frobenius norm

$$g(B, C) = \text{Tr } BC^*. \quad (3.11)$$

To write down the invariant Brownian motion, we see that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(n)$, represented as skew-symmetric matrices, has orthonormal basis

$$\{A_{ij} = \frac{E_{ij} - E_{ji}}{\sqrt{2}} | 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}.$$

Brownian motion, Q , on $O(n)$ satisfies

$$dQ = Q \, dA, \quad (3.12)$$

where $A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [W^{ij}]_{i,j}$ with $W^{ij} = -W^{ji}$ and $\{W^{ij} | 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$ are independent standard Wiener processes.

Consider subgroups of the form

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} I_{n_0} & & & \\ & O(n_1) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & O(n_r) \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.13)$$

with $n_0 + n_1 + \dots + n_r = n$ and $n_i \geq 0$. Right multiplication of K on $O(n)$ induces the adjoint map on $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ and is an isometry for $g : \text{at } U \in O(n)$, for $V \in K$ and $B, C \in \mathfrak{so}(n)$,

$$\text{Tr}(UV(V^*BV))(UV(V^*CV))^* = \text{Tr} BC^* = g(UB, UC). \quad (3.14)$$

Hence the quotients by K have totally geodesic orbits.

3.2.1 Stiefel manifold

The compact real Stiefel manifold $Stief(k, n)$ parametrizing all orthonormal frames of k -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n is

$$\{UI_{k,n} | U \in O(n)\} \cong O(n)/O(n-k), \quad (3.15)$$

where

$$I_{k,n} = \begin{bmatrix} I_k & \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

BM on $Stief(k, n)$, denoted S , hence satisfies

$$dS = dQI_{k,n} = Q \, dAI_{k,n}. \quad (3.16)$$

3.2.2 Grassmannian

The real Grassmannian $\mathbb{G}r(k, n)$ parametrizing all k -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n is

$$\mathbb{G}r(k, n) = O(n)/O(k) \times O(n-k). \quad (3.17)$$

It is often practically and conveniently considered as projection matrix, yielding the mapping

$$\phi : U \mapsto UI_{k,n}U^*, \quad (3.18)$$

Since the metric g is bi-invariant, the fibres of the submersion $\phi : O(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}r(k, n)$, i.e. the cosets of the quotient, have constant volume and therefore are totally geodesic. Consequently, ϕ projects Brownian motion on $O(n)$ to Brownian motion on $\mathbb{G}r(k, n)$. If Q is a process satisfying 3.12, then using the projection matrix presentation, writing $P := QI_{k,n}Q^*$, Brownian motion on $\mathbb{G}r(k, n)$ satisfies

$$dP = dQI_{k,n}Q^* = (dQ)I_{k,n}Q^* + QI_{k,n}dQ^*. \quad (3.19)$$

But

$$dQ = QdA = QdA + \frac{1}{2}dQdA = QdA - nQdt \quad (3.20)$$

since

$$dQdA = QdAdA = -2nQdt. \quad (3.21)$$

So now,

$$dP = QdAI_{k,n}Q^* - nQI_{k,n}Q^*dt + QI_{k,n}(dA^*)Q^* - nQI_{k,n}Q^*dt \quad (3.22)$$

$$= -2nPdt + Q(dAI_{k,n} - I_{k,n}dA)Q^* \quad (3.23)$$

$$= -2nPdt + Q \sum_{i \leq k < j} A_{ij}dW^{ij}Q^* \quad (3.24)$$

$$= Q \left(\sum_{i \leq k < j} A_{ij}dW^{ij} - 2nI_{k,n}dt \right) Q^*. \quad (3.25)$$

Remark 3.5. In [BW20], they described BM on complex Grassmannians in inhomogeneous coordinates and are able to derive autonomous equation for the process along with detailed eigenvalue processes:

Write the invariant BM on $U(n)$ defined as $dU = UdA$ (similar to Q above) in blocks as

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} X_{(n-k) \times k} & Y_{(n-k) \times (n-k)} \\ Z_{k \times k} & C_{k \times (n-k)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.26)$$

with the sizes indicated in the subscripts. In the homogeneous coordinates we use,

$$P := UI_{k,n}U^* = \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X^* & Z^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} XX^* & XZ^* \\ ZX^* & ZZ^* \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.27)$$

represents the BM on the complex Grassmannian $Gr_{\mathbb{C}}(k, n)$ as a projection matrix.

In [BW20], they consider the processes $G := XZ^{-1}$ and $S = GG^*$. One can prove that the stopping time $\tau := \inf\{t | \det Z_t = 0\} = +\infty$ a.s. S satisfies the autonomous equation

$$dS = \sqrt{I_k + S}dB^* \sqrt{I_k + S} + \sqrt{S}dB + \sqrt{S} \sqrt{I_k + S}dB \sqrt{I_k + S} + 2(n-k - \text{Tr}(S))(I_k + S)dt, \quad (3.28)$$

where dB is the standard $k \times k$ complex BM, and the eigenvalues of S satisfy

$$d\lambda_i = 2(1+\lambda_i)\sqrt{\lambda_i}d\beta_i + 2(1+\lambda_i)(n-2k+1-(2k-3)\lambda_i+2\lambda_i(1+\lambda_i)) \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_j - \lambda_i} dt, \quad (3.29)$$

where β_i 's are independent real BM.

3.2.3 Flag manifolds

More general than Grassmannians, a real flag manifold $Flag(n_1, \dots, n_r)$ parametrizes all *flags* of linear subspaces $V_1 < V_2 < \dots < V_r = \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V_i = n_1 + \dots + n_i$. Equivalently, a flag is an orthogonal decomposition $\mathbb{R}^n = W_1 \oplus \dots \oplus W_r$ with $V_i = W_1 + \dots + W_i$. Hence we can represent

$$Flag(n_1, \dots, n_r) = O(n)/(O(n_1) \times \dots \times O(n_r)). \quad (3.30)$$

To present the flag manifold in matrix form, we may consider the embedding

$$Flag(n_1, \dots, n_r) \hookrightarrow Gr(n_1, n) \times Gr(n_r, n). \quad (3.31)$$

That is, for $Q \in O(n)$ with columns q_1, \dots, q_n , let the matrix

$$Q_k = [q_{n_{k-1}+1} \quad \dots \quad q_k], \quad (3.32)$$

and the image of Q in $Flag(n_1, \dots, n_r)$ is presented as

$$(Q_1^* Q_1, \dots, Q_r^* Q_r). \quad (3.33)$$

3.2.4 Normal metric of isospectral orbits

Let $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$. The *adjoint orbit* of Λ by $O(n)$ is

$$Ad_{O(n)}\Lambda = \{U\Lambda U^* | U \in O(n)\}. \quad (3.34)$$

The *normal metric* on $Ad_{O(n)}\Lambda$ is defined as the quotient metric from $(O(n), g)$. Indeed, WLOG, assuming $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_{n_1} > \lambda_{n_1+1} = \dots = \lambda_{n_1+n_2} > \dots > \lambda_{n_1+\dots+n_{r-1}+1} = \dots = \lambda_n$, we see

$$Ad_{O(n)}\Lambda \cong Flag(n_1, \dots, n_r). \quad (3.35)$$

3.3 Metric lift of reductive homogeneous spaces

It is not completely obvious that the G -invariant Riemannian structures on some common homogeneous spaces G/K come from Riemannian submersions—a submersion $\phi : M \rightarrow (N, h)$ can only be Riemannian if for each $a \in N$, one can find decompositions $T_m M = Ver_m M \oplus Hor_m M$ for each $m \in \phi^{-1}(a)$ and isometries between all $Hor_m M$ along the fibre.

We list a series of facts stating that it is always the case, and the metrics come from the G -invariant metric on G . In this way, understanding the BM on G/K is more or less reduced to that on G . In fact, the two examples we shall see in the following sections are *Riemannian symmetric spaces*, where we have canonical metric lifts. The material follows mostly from [GQ20].

Definition 3. *Let G be a Lie group and K a closed subgroup. $Lie(G) = \mathfrak{g}$ and $Lie(k) = \mathfrak{k}$. The homogeneous space G/k is reductive if \mathfrak{g} of G is a direct sum*

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$$

as vector spaces such that \mathfrak{m} is stable under the adjoint representation of K , i.e.

$$Ad_k \mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{m}$$

for any $k \in K$.

Proposition 3.6. *Let the space of right cosets, G/K , be a reductive homogeneous space as described in the definition above.*

1. *If K is compact, then there exists a left G -invariant metric on G/K . Furthermore, if the adjoint representation of H on \mathfrak{m} is irreducible, then the metric is unique up to scalars.*
2. *If \mathfrak{m} has an $Ad(K)$ -invariant inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{m}}$, then the quotient map $G \rightarrow G/K$ is a Riemannian submersion where G is endowed with any left G -invariant metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$ extending $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{m}}$ such that \mathfrak{k} is orthogonal to \mathfrak{m} , where \mathfrak{m} maps isometrically to $T_K G/K$.*

A class of homogeneous spaces have a natural reductive decomposition, namely symmetric spaces, introduced by Élie Cartan.

Definition 4. *Let G be a Lie group. An involution or involutive automorphism σ is an automorphism such that*

$$\sigma^2 = Id, \sigma \neq Id.$$

We denote $G^\sigma = \{a \in G | \sigma(a) = a\}$ and G_e^σ the identity component. It is easy to check G^σ is a subgroup.

Definition 5. *Let G be a Lie group and K a closed subgroup. A Cartan involution σ for the homogeneous space G/K is an involutive automorphism of G such that $G_e^\sigma \subset K \subset G^\sigma$.*

A Cartan involution gives a natural choice for a reductive decomposition for G/K . Note the differential map σ_* has eigenvalues ± 1 on $Lie(G) =: \mathfrak{g}$.

Proposition 3.7. *If σ is a Cartan involution for the homogeneous space G/K , then*

$$Lie(G) = \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{m},$$

where $Lie(K) = \mathfrak{k}$ is the eigenspace of σ_ corresponding to eigenvalue 1, and \mathfrak{m} corresponds to eigenvalue -1 .*

Definition 6. *Let σ be a Cartan involution for the homogeneous space G/K . If G is connected, and G_e^σ and K are compact, G/K is called a symmetric space.*

Proposition 3.8. *Let G/K be a symmetric space with Cartan involution σ . Then G/K has G -invariant metrics. Moreover, the metrics satisfy conditions in 3.6 and thus can be lifted to G .*

In other words, the existence of a Cartan involution gives a natural way to lift the invariant metric to G . In fact the quotients of orthogonal groups introduced in the previous sections are also symmetric spaces. However the importance of the following examples are those whose metrics are not, at least to the author's knowledge, traditionally defined from the point of views of quotient metrics and could provide new perspectives.

3.4 Brownian motion on symmetric spaces

Proposition 3.9. *Let $G \rightarrow G/K$ be a Riemannian submersion to a symmetric space as described previously. Then the fibres are totally geodesic.*

Proof. As stated in 3.6, the inner product on \mathfrak{m} is Ad_K -invariant. Hence right K -multiplication is an isometry by noticing it corresponds to Ad_K on horizontal spaces, so the mean curvature is constant along each fibre and MCF commutes with the submersion.

Moreover, since the lifted metric on G is left invariant, all fibres aK have equal volumes, and therefore the volume function has zero gradient, meaning the mean curvature is zero by 2.1. \square

3.4.1 Poincaré's upper half plane

Consider the right-quotient from the special linear group to Poincaré upper half plane, with $i = \sqrt{-1}$,

$$q : SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow SL(2, \mathbb{R})/SO(2) \cong \mathbb{H}_+ = \{x + iy | x \in \mathbb{R}, y > 0\}, \quad (3.36)$$

via the action

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \cdot i = \frac{ai + b}{ci + d}, \quad (3.37)$$

which is an isometry on \mathbb{H}_+ .

The upper half plane \mathbb{H}_+ equipped with the Poincaré metric is a classical object.

$$ds^2 = \frac{dx^2 + dy^2}{y^2} \quad (3.38)$$

with the usual (x, y) coordinate.

Taking $\sigma(M) = M^{-T}$, it is easy to check \mathbb{H}_+ is a symmetric space with

$$\mathfrak{k} := \mathfrak{so}(2, \mathbb{R}) = \langle Z = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rangle \quad (3.39)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{m} := \langle X = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, Y = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \rangle. \quad (3.40)$$

One can check that $q_* : X \mapsto \partial_x \in T_i\mathbb{H}_+$ and $q_* : Y \mapsto \partial_y \in T_i\mathbb{H}_+$, so we can pick any metric on $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ such that $\mathfrak{m} \perp \mathfrak{so}(2)$ and \mathfrak{m} is isometric to $T_i\mathbb{H}_+$.

A BM on $SL(2)$ under this metric satisfies

$$dM = M dW \quad (3.41)$$

for

$$W = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} W^Y & W^X - W^Z \\ W^X + W^Z & -W^Y \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.42)$$

where W^X, W^Y, W^Z are independent standard Wiener processes. A BM on $SL(2)$, $M = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$, projects directly to a BM on \mathbb{H}_+ , $M \cdot i = \frac{ai+b}{ci+d}$.

3.4.2 The Cartan-Hadamard geometry on $\mathcal{S}_+(n, n)$

The *Cartan-Hadamard* or *trace metric* on $\mathcal{S}_+(n, n) \cong GL(n)/O(n) \cong GL^+(n)/SO(n)$, where $GL^+(n)$ denotes the identity component of $GL(n)$, is

$$\langle V, W \rangle = \text{Tr } P^{-1}VP^{-1}W \quad (3.43)$$

at $P \in \mathcal{S}_+(n, n)$. One can check it is invariant under the action

$$GL(n) \times \mathcal{S}_+(n, n) : (G, P) \mapsto GPG^*, \quad (3.44)$$

which is induced from left multiplications of $GL(n)$ on itself through the mapping $\pi : M \mapsto MM^*$.

Again we take the Cartan involution $\sigma : P \mapsto P^{-T}$. So $GL(n)^\sigma = SO(2)$, and $\mathfrak{m} = S(n)$, the space of symmetric matrices. Therefore the C-H metric is in fact the quotient metric from the left invariant metric on $GL(n)$ with the usual inner product on $\mathfrak{gl}(n) \cong \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

In equation, a BM G on $GL(n)$ here satisfies

$$dG = G dW = GdW + \frac{1}{2}dGdW = GdW + \frac{1}{2}Gdt \quad (3.45)$$

Write $P := GG^*$ for the BM on $\mathcal{S}_+(n, n)$ under the C-H metric;

$$dP = G(dW + dW^*)G^* + (n+1)Pdt. \quad (3.46)$$

More about this process can be found in [NRW86].

3.5 An example of nonhomogeneous metric: the Bures-Wasserstein geometry

Examples of submersions where the fibres are not totally geodesic and how the BM behave can be found in [JE90]. We present one more example, namely the Bures-Wasserstein geometry. In the next section, we shall consider it as the model example for developing a stochastic algorithm using the drift.

Lemma 3.10. (C.f./[MA20]) *The mapping*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_k : \mathbb{R}^{nk \times} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{S}_+(k, n) \cong \mathbb{R}^{nk \times} / O(k) \\ M & \mapsto & MM^* \end{array} \quad (3.47)$$

is a Riemannian submersion for $k \leq n$.

Here $\mathbb{R}^{nk \times}$ is the Euclidean space of real n -by- k matrices equipped with the Frobenius norm, $\langle X, Y \rangle_{F_r} := \text{Tr } XY^*$, and $\mathbb{R}^{nk \times}$ is the dense open subset of all rank k matrices. $\mathcal{S}_+(k, n)$ is the set of all n -by- n positive semidefinite matrices of rank k .

Definition 7. *The induced metric on $\mathcal{S}_+(k, n)$ in the lemma above is called the Bures-Wasserstein (B-W) metric.*

The B-W geometry has been studied in several aspects [MHA19][MA20][BJL19]. The metric has various importance: it induces the Wasserstein distance on Gaussian measures and has deep connection with optimal transport; furthermore, the curvature is positive, which can be useful for optimization algorithms. Much of the content in this paper is extendable to singular matrices though we focus on the locus of nonsingular matrices $\mathcal{S}_+(n, n)$ mostly for the time being.

We are able to write down the orbit mean curvature in detail.

Proposition 3.11. *If $P = U\Lambda U^* \in \mathcal{S}_+(k, n)$ for $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k, 0, \dots)$, $\lambda_i > 0$, and $U \in O(n)$, the drift term*

$$J(P) = U\tilde{\Lambda}U^*, \quad (3.48)$$

where

$$\tilde{\Lambda} = \text{diag} \left(\sum_{j \neq 1} \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_j}, \dots, \sum_{j \neq k} \frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_k + \lambda_j}, 0, \dots, 0 \right) \quad (3.49)$$

Proof. Since $O(k)$ acts by isometry, it suffices to compute the mean curvature at $M = U\tilde{L}$ in a fiber, where via SVD, U is orthogonal and $\tilde{L} = \begin{bmatrix} L \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is n -by- k for $L = \text{diag}(l_1, \dots, l_k)$.

First, we need to find an orthonormal basis (o.n.b.) for $T_M MO(k) = M\mathfrak{so}(k)$: let $\{E_{ij}\}$ denote the standard basis with the (i, j) -th entry being one and zeros otherwise, and let $A_{ij} = E_{ij} - E_{ji}$. Now for $A, B \in \mathfrak{so}(k)$ (i.e. skew-symmetric),

$$\langle MA, MB \rangle_{T_M MO(n)} = \text{Tr} ULAB^*L^*U^* = \text{Tr} LAB^*L. \quad (3.50)$$

It is easy to verify that

$$M\{\tilde{A}_{ij} := \frac{A_{ij}}{\sqrt{l_i^2 + l_j^2}} \mid i < j\} \quad (3.51)$$

is an o.n.b. for $T_M MO(k)$.

Each \tilde{A}_{ij} has trajectory

$$c_{ij}(t) = Me^{t\tilde{A}_{ij}} \quad (3.52)$$

on $MO(n)$. Hence the second fundamental form

$$\mathbb{I}(M\tilde{A}_{ij}, M\tilde{A}_{ij}) = (D_{M\tilde{A}_{ij}} M\tilde{A}_{ij})^\perp = (c_{ij}''(0))^\perp = \left(-M \frac{E_{ii} + E_{jj}}{l_i^2 + l_j^2}\right)^\perp = -M \frac{E_{ii} + E_{jj}}{l_i^2 + l_j^2}. \quad (3.53)$$

Therefore the mean curvature is

$$\dim O(k)H = -M \left(\sum_{i < j} \frac{1}{l_i^2 + l_j^2} (E_{ii} + E_{jj}) \right) = -M \operatorname{diag} \left(\dots, \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{l_i^2 + l_j^2}, \dots \right) \quad (3.54)$$

$$= -U \operatorname{diag} \left(\dots, \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{l_i}{l_i^2 + l_j^2}, \dots \right) \quad (3.55)$$

and

$$\pi_*(H) = MH^* + HM^* \quad (3.56)$$

$$= -2M \left(\sum_{i < j} \frac{1}{l_i^2 + l_j^2} (E_{ii} + E_{jj}) \right) M^* \quad (3.57)$$

$$= -2M \operatorname{diag} \left(\sum_{j \neq 1} \frac{1}{l_1^2 + l_j^2}, \dots, \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{l_i^2 + l_j^2}, \dots \right) M^* \quad (3.58)$$

$$= 2U \operatorname{diag} \left(\sum_{j \neq 1} \frac{l_1^2}{l_1^2 + l_j^2}, \dots, \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{l_i^2}{l_i^2 + l_j^2}, \dots \right) U^*. \quad (3.59)$$

□

With the knowledge of the well-studied Wishart process, we have the complete eigenvalue process of BM under the B-W geometry:

Corollary 3.12. *The Brownian motion on $\mathcal{S}_+(k, n)$ with the B-W metric satisfies the SDE (until leaving $\mathbb{R}^{nk \times}$)*

$$\begin{aligned} dW_{BW} &= d(WW^*) - J(W_{BW})dt \\ &= (dW)W^* + WdW^* + nIdt - J(W_{BW})dt, \end{aligned} \quad (3.60)$$

where W is a standard $n \times k$ -dimensional Wiener process.

The eigenvalues of W_{BW} satisfy

$$d\lambda_i = 2\sqrt{\lambda_i}d\beta_i + \left(n + \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\lambda_j(3\lambda_i + \lambda_j)}{\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_j^2} \right) dt,$$

for $\lambda_i > 0$, where β_i 's are independent standard real BM.

Proof. The first statement is a direct application of 1.1, noting that

$$dWdW^* = nIdt. \quad (3.61)$$

WW^* is known as the Wishart process [?], whose eigenvalues satisfy

$$d\lambda_i = 2\sqrt{\lambda_i}d\beta_i + \left(n + \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\lambda_i + \lambda_j}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} \right) dt, \quad (3.62)$$

where β_i 's are independent standard real BM.

Combining the result from 3.56, W_{BM} has eigenvalue processes satisfying

$$d\lambda_i = 2\sqrt{\lambda_i}d\beta_i + \left(n + \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\lambda_j(3\lambda_i + \lambda_j)}{\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_j^2}\right)dt. \quad (3.63)$$

□

Now we apply 2.1 to compute the mean curvature in matrix entries of the usual representation.

Lemma 3.13. *The volume function for the fibres of*

$$\pi : \mathbb{R}^{nk \times} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_+(n, n)$$

at $M \in \pi^{-1}(P)$ is

$$\text{vol}(MO(k)) = \sqrt{\det g|_{MO(k)}} \cdot \text{vol}(O(k)). \quad (3.64)$$

The determinant in the formula is taken under an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{so}(k)$, in which case n entry of $g|_{MO(k)}$ is (using Kronecker δ)

$$g_{ij,ml} = \delta_{ml}P_{jl} + \delta_{jl}P_{im} - \delta_{il}P_{jm} - \delta_{jm}P_{il}. \quad (3.65)$$

Proof. Applying 2.2, we may choose an (orthonormal) basis $\{A_{ij} = \frac{E_{ij} - E_{ji}}{\sqrt{2}} \mid i < j \leq k\}$ for $\mathfrak{so}(k)$. Then

$$\text{vol}(MO(k)) = \sqrt{\det g|_{MO(k)}} \cdot \text{vol}(O(k)). \quad (3.66)$$

An entry of g is

$$g_{ij,ml} = \text{Tr} MA_{ij}A_{ml}^*M^* \quad (3.67)$$

$$= \delta_{ml}P'_{jl} + \delta_{jl}P'_{im} - \delta_{il}P'_{jm} - \delta_{jm}P'_{il}, \quad (3.68)$$

with $P' := M^*M$. Since $\text{vol}(MO(k))$ is constant on the orbit, we may choose $M = \sqrt{P}$ so that $P' = P$. □

Remark 3.14. We may also justify 3.11 with the gradient formula: roughly speaking, the log volume of an orbit $M(n)$ in terms of singular values is $\log \text{vol}(MO(n)) \propto \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \log(l_i^2 + l_j^2)$. Taking gradient in l_i 's, we get $\frac{\partial}{\partial l_i} \log \text{vol}(MO(n)) \propto \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{l_i}{l_i^2 + l_j^2}$.

We do not have a more explicit formula, but we may compute the gradient under the flat metric on $GL(n)$ and project it through the submersion.

Lemma 3.15. *Let $\psi : M \rightarrow N$ be a Riemannian submersion and $f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a smooth function. Then*

$$\text{grad}^N f = \psi_* \text{grad}^M (f \circ \psi).$$

Proof. Since $f \circ \psi$ is constant along each fibre, on M ,

$$\langle \text{grad } f \circ \psi, V \rangle = V(f \circ \psi) = 0 \quad (3.69)$$

for any vertical V . Therefore $\text{grad } f \circ \psi$ is horizontal.

On N , for any tangent vector W ,

$$\langle \text{grad } f, W \rangle = \langle \text{grad } f \circ \psi, \bar{W} \rangle = \langle \psi_*(\text{grad } f \circ \psi), W \rangle, \quad (3.70)$$

where \bar{W} is a horizontal lift of W . \square

Example 3.16. For $GL(2) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_+(2, 2)$, write $P = U \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)U^*$,

$$J(P) = U \text{diag}\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}, \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}\right)U^* = \frac{1}{\text{Tr } P}P. \quad (3.71)$$

$$\det g = g_{12,12} = \text{Tr } MA_{12}A_{12}^*M^* = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr } P = \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{2}. \quad (3.72)$$

The mean curvature is

$$H = -\nabla \log \sqrt{\frac{P_{11} + P_{22}}{2}} = -\frac{1}{2 \text{Tr } P} \begin{bmatrix} 2M_{11} & 2M_{12} \\ 2M_{21} & 2M_{22} \end{bmatrix} = -\frac{1}{\text{Tr } P}M. \quad (3.73)$$

Therefore

$$J(P) = \pi_*(H) = -\frac{\dim O(2)}{2}(MH^* + HM^*) = \frac{1}{\text{Tr } P}P, \quad (3.74)$$

consistent with 3.71.

Remark 3.17. From Cor. 3.12, we see in matrix entries, W_{BW} has the martingale part defined by $dWW^* + WdW^*$ and finite variation part $nIdt - Jdt$) Meanwhile for the eigenvalue processes, the Wishart process WW^* make one extra contribution.

The denominator $\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_j^2$ suggests non-collision property for the eigenvalues. The mean curvature drift $\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i + \lambda_j} dt$ itself however has an averaging behaviour—if the initial values satisfy $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots$, then they remain equal. We put this into consideration for possible applications, for example when one needs an algorithm to average the "shape" of Gaussian distributions. These are reminiscent of two other processes below.

Dyson's Brownian motion: consider the space of $n \times n$ hermitian or real symmetric matrices as a Euclidean space over \mathbb{R} . If M is a BM on this space, then its eigenvalues satisfy the equation

$$d\lambda_i = d\beta_i + \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{dt}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}, \quad (3.75)$$

where β_i 's are standard BMs. The drift term serves as repulsion and ensures that eigenvalues do not collide.

Brownian motion of ellipsoids: (c.f. [RW00]) let G be a standard right invariant BM on $GL(n)$, i.e.

$$dG = (dW_{\mathfrak{gl}(n)})G, \quad (3.76)$$

where $W_{\mathfrak{gl}(n)}$ is a standard $n \times n$ BM. Define $X = G^*G$ and $Y = G^*G$. Let λ_i be the eigenvalues of X and $\gamma_i = \frac{1}{2} \log \lambda_i$, then

$$d\gamma_i = dW_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} \coth(\gamma_i - \gamma_j). \quad (3.77)$$

In fact, the eigenvalues never collide in this case as well.

By 3.4.2, Y as above is a BM on $\mathcal{S}_+(n, n)$ under the Cartan-Hadamard geometry, commonly referred to as Dynkin's BM. The eigenvalues of Y do not possess an explicit formula. However the eigenvectors have limits for $t \rightarrow \infty$.

4 An invariant control system using mean curvature drift of group orbits

In this section, we investigate ideas to utilize the mean curvature drift into a stochastic flow. In short, on our model example of $\mathcal{S}_+(n, n)$, first we prove that the vertical process, X , of Brownian motion W projects through π a deterministic process P that is exactly the mean curvature drift whose derivative is $J(P)$. Define a new metric on $\mathcal{S}_+(n, n)$,

$$\mathrm{Tr}_R VW^* := \langle V, W \rangle_R := \mathrm{Tr} RVW^*,$$

for $R \in \mathcal{S}_+(n, n)$. We may still consider the Brownian motion W_R and orthogonal projection under the new metric to obtain modified drift term $J^R(P)dt$. By varying R along the path, we have a control system. We shall see that it is in fact a Lie-theoretical *invariant control system*, meaning the directions accessible for the evolution is a subset of (left) invariant vector fields.

Invariant control systems already existed in the literature. For introduction, see [Sac00]. We shall only introduce the version tailored for our case for the time being.

Typical control theory asks whether the system is *controllable*, i.e. whether the *attainable*, or *reachable* set, the set of points in the space reachable via the controls from a point P_0 , is the whole space. We shall see this is not the case for our system, in which we always increase the Loewner partial order ($A > B$ if $A - B$ is positive definite) as time increases. One other nuance is that the condition on the control could affect the controllability. Originally we considered R_t^{-1} to be Lipschitz. A natural choice from the point of view of invariant system is *regulated* functions, i.e. limits of piecewise constant functions. The conclusions for the control system so far is organized in 1.3 and 1.4, which we begin proving.

4.1 Construction

In order to create freedom for the flow, we must alter some factor in the equation.

Since the mean curvature is a consequence from the metric, we consider a “change of metric” on $GL(n)$. Define the inner product on $T_M GL(n)$:

$$\mathrm{Tr}_R VW^* := \langle V, W \rangle_R := \mathrm{Tr} RVW^*, \quad (4.1)$$

where $R > 0$ is a positive matrix in $\mathcal{S}_+(n, n)$. A new metric on $GL(n)$ induces a new horizontal space w.r.t. the submersion π and hence a different mean curvature denoted H^R . We denote the new drift on $\mathcal{S}_+(n, n)$ by J^R . Choosing different R , we have a control system

$$\begin{cases} dX = \mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{ver} R} dW_R \\ dP := d\pi(X) = J^R(P), \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

where W_R is Brownian motion under Tr_R , and $\mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{ver} R}$ and J^R are the orthogonal projection to vertical directions and the drift term defined as earlier, in this new metric.

Remark 4.1. Is the metric change a reasonable enough choice to achieve the control? One may choose to project to other directions not parallel to the horizontal space; essentially it means introducing new metric on the total space. One might naturally choose other diffusion processes to replace the Brownian motion; as mentioned in introduction, this is equivalent to metric changes too.

We may require the metric change to affect W or $\mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{ver}}$ only instead of both. However, these do not share similar nice description.

It turns out there are more than one reasons this is a reasonable choice of new metrics. First,

Lemma 4.2. *The class $\{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_R \mid R \in \mathcal{S}_+(n, n)\}$ consists of all metrics on $GL(n)$ (i) invariant under $O(n)$ right multiplication such that (ii) the metric tensors are constant in the usual $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ coordinates. In particular, the mean curvature is still constant along the fiber under (a fixed) Tr_R .*

Proof. Notations: write E_{ab} for the $n \times n$ matrix whose (a, b) -th entry is 1 and 0 otherwise. Let e^a be the row vector with a -th entry being 1 and 0 elsewhere. $Q = [q^{ij}]$ denotes a general orthogonal matrix, of which q^i is the i -th row.

$\{E_{ab}\}$ is the standard basis for $\mathcal{M}(n, \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

Let g be a metric satisfying conditions (i) and (ii). (i) means the metric tensor

$$g_{ij,kl} = \langle E_{ij}, E_{kl} \rangle_g = \langle E_{ij}Q, E_{kl}Q \rangle_g = \sum_{a,b} q^{ja} q^{lb} g_{ia,kb}. \quad (4.3)$$

for any $Q \in O(n)$.

Claim $g_{ij,kl} \equiv 0$ for $j \neq l$ and $g_{ij,kj}$ does not depend on j .

Take $q^j = e^{\bar{a}}$ and $q^l = e^{\bar{b}}$. Then

$$g_{ij,kl} = g_{i\bar{a},k\bar{b}} \quad (4.4)$$

for any pair $a \neq b$.

Next, for $\bar{a} < \bar{b}$, take the $(i, j) \times (\bar{a}, \bar{b})$ -submatrix of Q to be

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now

$$g_{ij,kl} = \left(\sum_{a \neq b} q^{ja} q^{lb} \right) g_{ij,kl} + \sum_a q^{ja} q^{la} g_{ia,ka} \quad (4.5)$$

$$= 0 + \left(\frac{1}{2} g_{i\bar{a},k\bar{a}} - \frac{1}{2} g_{i\bar{b},k\bar{b}} \right). \quad (4.6)$$

Since \bar{a} and \bar{b} are arbitrary, this again implies $g_{ia,ka}$ is constant in a and $g_{ij,kl} = 0$ for $j \neq l$.

If $g = \text{Tr}_R$ for $R = [r_{ij}]$, then

$$g_{ij,kl} = \text{Tr} R E_{ij} E_{kl}^* = \text{Tr} R E_{ij} E_{lk} = \delta_{jl} \text{Tr} R E_{ik} = \delta_{jl} r_{ki}. \quad (4.7)$$

Hence $\{\text{Tr}_R | R > 0\}$ is exactly the class of all metrics satisfying (i) and (ii). \square

Second, it is equivalent to the pull-back metric induced by the left multiplication of $GL(n)$: for $R = G^*G$:

$$\langle V, W \rangle_R = \text{Tr} R V W^* = \text{Tr}(G V)(G W)^* = \langle G V, G W \rangle_{Fr}. \quad (4.8)$$

Lemma 4.3. *With the notations above, we have an isometry*

$$L_{G^{-1}} : \begin{array}{ccc} (GL(n), \text{Tr}_R) & \rightarrow & (GL(n), Fr) \\ M & \mapsto & G^{-1}M. \end{array} \quad (4.9)$$

In other words, we permute the Frobenius metric on $GL(n)$ by left multiplication map, $L_G, G \in GL(n)$, which are homeomorphisms naturally and commute with the quotient to left cosets. The flow under the new metric becomes

$$\dot{P} = J^R(P) = -c\phi_*(L_G^*(H(L_G(X_t)))). \quad (4.10)$$

We vary R_t to have control at all time t . Now the freedom we have is the set of all vector fields

$$\{J^R | R \in \mathcal{S}_+(n, n)\} =: \mathfrak{a} \quad (4.11)$$

which is G invariant on $\mathcal{S}_+(n, n)$.

4.2 Existence

Some technical details need to be taken care of.

Lemma 4.4. *The projection onto each orbit, $MO(n)$, Pr_{ver} is locally Lipschitz, and hence the equation for X 3.1 exists and is unique locally.*

The proof is based on writing the orthogonal projection in the form of continuous algebraic Lyapunov equations, which has the following solution:

Proposition 4.5. *Given $P, B \in \mathcal{S}(n)$, if P is stable, i.e. its eigenvalues have negative real parts, then the continuous algebraic Lyapunov equation*

$$P^T X + X P + B = 0$$

has a unique solution for $X \in \mathcal{M}(n, \mathbb{R})$,

$$X = \int_0^\infty e^{tP} B e^{tP} dt.$$

Proposition 4.6. *Let $M \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ and $F = F_{MM^*} = MO(n)$ be the orbit of M under the $O(n)$ action. Let $P := MM^*$ and $P' := M^*M$.*

1. *The vertical component of $ME \in T_M F$ is*

$$MK = M \int_0^\infty e^{-tP'} (P' E - E^* P') e^{-tP'} dt \quad (4.12)$$

2. *Alternatively, a vector in the form $EM \in T_M F$ has vertical component*

$$SM = \int_0^\infty e^{-tP} (P E^* + E P) e^{-tP} dt M. \quad (4.13)$$

3. *Let $MA \in T_M MO(n)$, the second fundamental form for the orbit is*

$$\mathbb{I}(MA, MA) = MA^2 - M \int_0^\infty e^{-tP'} (P' A^2 - A^2 P') e^{-tP'} dt.$$

Proof. 1. Observe at M , a vertical vector is of the form MK , where K is skew-hermitian. The horizontal space is thus

$$\{M^{-1}S \mid \text{Tr } MKS^*M^* = \text{Tr } KS^* = 0, \forall K \text{ skew-symmetric}\} = \{M^{-1}S \mid S \text{ symmetric}\}. \quad (4.14)$$

In other words, we have the decomposition

$$T_M GL(n) = T_M F_P \oplus N_M F_P = M \mathfrak{so}(n) \oplus M^{-1*} \mathcal{S}(n), \quad (4.15)$$

where $\mathcal{S}(n)$ denotes all $n \times n$ symmetric matrices.

We need to find skew-symmetric K and symmetric S such that

$$ME = MK + M^{-1*} S. \quad (4.16)$$

$$\implies M^* ME = M^* MK + S. \quad (4.17)$$

Write $P' = M^* M$, since S is symmetric,

$$P' E - P' K = (P' E - P' K)^* = E^* P' + K P' \quad (4.18)$$

$$\implies P'E - E^*P' = P'K + KP', \quad (4.19)$$

where K is the only unknown. Let $B = P'E - E^*P'$. It becomes in the standard form of the continuous algebraic Lyapunov equation

$$-P'K - KP' + B = 0, \quad (4.20)$$

with $-P'$ negative definite.

The solution is

$$K = \int_0^\infty e^{-tP'} B e^{-tP'} dt = \int_0^\infty e^{-tP'} (P'E - E^*P') e^{-tP'} dt. \quad (4.21)$$

2. Similarly,

$$T_M GL(n) = T_M F_P \oplus N_M F_P = \mathfrak{so}(n)M^{-1*} \oplus \mathcal{S}(n)M. \quad (4.22)$$

In other words, for $EM \in T_M \mathcal{M}(n, \mathbb{R})$,

$$EM = KM^{-*} + SM, \quad (4.23)$$

with K skew-symmetric and S symmetric.

$$\implies K = EP - SP. \quad (4.24)$$

Since K is skew-symmetric,

$$\begin{aligned} -K &= P^*E^* - P^*S^* \\ &= PE^* - PS \\ &= -EP + SP. \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

$$\implies SP + PS = (PE^* + EP) =: B,$$

or

$$-SP - PS + B = 0. \quad (4.26)$$

Since $-P$ is negative definite, the solution for S is

$$S = \int_0^\infty e^{-tP} (PE^* + EP) e^{-tP} dt. \quad (4.27)$$

3. For $MA \in T_M MO(n)$, with A skew-symmetric, has a trajectory on F_P

$$\alpha(t) = Me^{tA}.$$

The second fundamental form $\mathbb{I}(MA, MA)$ is the normal component of

$$\alpha''(0) = MA^2.$$

Using 4.21,

$$\mathbb{I}(MA, MA) = MA^2 - M \int_0^\infty e^{-tP'} (P'A^2 - A^2P') e^{-tP'} dt. \quad (4.28)$$

□

Writing down these equations is also helpful for using existing Lyapunov solvers for numerical implementations.

Proof. (of 4.4)

Since $\text{Pr}_{\text{ver}}(M)$ is linear, it suffices to test on $C \in T_N\mathcal{M}(n, \mathbb{R})$ with $\|C\| = 1$ for N in a neighborhood of M that

$$\|\text{Pr}_{\text{ver}}(N)C_N - \text{Pr}_{\text{ver}}(M)C_M\| < k\|N - M\| \quad (4.29)$$

for some local constant k .

To see this, for $G^{-1}N$ near M and $G^{-1}C \in T_NGL(n)$ with $\|G^{-1}C\|_R = \|C\|_{Fr} = 1$, the vertical component is

$$G^{-1}SN = G^{-1} \int_0^\infty e^{-tNN^*} (NC^* + CN^*) e^{-tNN^*} dt N. \quad (4.30)$$

We see that the improper integral and its derivative w.r.t. t are uniformly convergent in a compact neighbourhood of M , and hence the projection map is continuously differentiable, which implies local Lipschitz continuity. \square

Lemma 4.7. *If R^{-1} is a Lipschitz function in $P \in \mathcal{S}_+(n, n)$, then $\text{Pr}_{\text{ver}R}$ is locally Lipschitz.*

Proof. Taking square root can be chosen to be Lipschitz. Thus by 4.9, we only need Pr_{ver} to be locally Lipschitz and reduce the case to the standard metric. \square

4.3 Controllability

We examine the controllability of the system and prove the main theorem 1.4 in this section.

By varying metric on $GL(n)$, we have a control system on the homogeneous space $\mathcal{S}_+(n, n)$:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{P}_t &= J^{R_t}(P_t), \\ R_t &\in \mathcal{S}_+(n, n). \end{cases} \quad (4.31)$$

Definition 8. *Let G be a Lie group. $\text{Lie}(G) = \mathfrak{g}$ is identified with left invariant vector fields. A left invariant control system on G is of the form*

$$\begin{cases} \dot{m}_t = m_t V_t \\ V_t \in \mathfrak{c}|_{m_t} \subset \mathfrak{g}|_{m_t}. \end{cases} \quad (4.32)$$

Here \mathfrak{c} is a subset of the set of invariant vector fields \mathfrak{g} , and “ $|_{m_t}$ ” denotes their restriction to the tangent space $T_{m_t}G$.

We denote the subset in $T_P\mathcal{S}_+(n, n)$ of all possible choices of \dot{P} as $\mathfrak{a}(P) = \{J^R(P)|R > 0\}$. As shown in the last paragraphs of 4.1,

Lemma 4.8. *$\mathfrak{a}(P)$ is the restriction at $T_P\mathcal{S}_+(n, n)$ of a collection of vector fields on $\mathcal{S}_+(n, n)$, denoted as \mathfrak{a} , invariant under the $GL(n)$ action descended from left $GL(n)$ -multiplication on $GL(n)$.*

We organise equivalent descriptions of the control system in the following:

Proposition 4.9. *The following are equivalent descriptions of the same control system for the evolution $P_t \in \mathcal{S}_+(n, n) \cong GL(n)/O(n)$ in the sense that the controls give the same possible set of $\{P_t\}$ for a fixed time t and an initial condition P_0 .*

1. $\dot{P}_t = M_t C_t M_t^*$, where $M_t M_t^* = P$, and the control C_t is any matrix in $\mathcal{S}_+(n, n)$ such that the eigenvalues are of the form

$$\left(\sum_{j \neq 1} \frac{1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_j}, \dots, \sum_{j \neq k} \frac{1}{\lambda_k + \lambda_j}, \dots \right) \quad (4.33)$$

with each $\lambda_j > 0$. Here the choice of which M_t does not change the set of possible \dot{P}_t .

2. $\dot{P}_t = J^{R_t}(P_t)$, where the control is $R_t \in \mathcal{S}_+(n, n)$, and J^R denotes the drift term as previously under metric Tr_R .
3. $\dot{P}_t = G_t^{-*} J(G_t^* P_t G_t) G_t^{-1}$, where the control is any $G_t \in GL(n)$.

Proof. 2 and 3 were already discussed. We only need to derive the formula in 1.

Since \mathfrak{a} is left invariant, written as symmetric matrices, $\mathfrak{a}(P) = \bar{L}_{M^*} \mathfrak{a}(I) = M \mathfrak{a}(I) M^*$ for $P = M M^*$, where \bar{L}_G is the left $GL(n)$ action inherited from left multiplication on $GL(n)$.

$\mathfrak{a}(I)$ is the set of vectors $J(P)$ pulled back from each $P = M M^*$ via \bar{L}_{M^*} . Written as symmetric matrices, using 3.11,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{a}(I) &= \{M^{-1} J(M M^*) M^{-*} | M \in GL(n)\} \\ &= \{V \text{diag}(\sum_{j \neq 1} \frac{1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_j}, \dots, \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_i + \lambda_j}, \dots) V^* | \lambda_i > 0, V \in O(n)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

□

As a corollary, we can now prove 1 in the main theorem.

Proof. (of 1.4 (1)) From 1 in 1.3, we see \dot{P}_t is always positive definite. □

Remark 4.10. This indicates that we can not have $\dot{P} = J^R(P) = P_\infty - P$ for arbitrary P_∞ . In fact, let

$$\alpha : (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \mapsto \left(\sum_{j \neq 1} \frac{1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_j}, \dots, \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_i + \lambda_j}, \dots \right); \quad (4.35)$$

then the image is contained in the convex cone spanned by $e_i + e_j$, where $\{e_i\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$ is the standard basis for \mathbb{R}^n . This is observed via writing

$$\left(\sum_{j \neq 1} \frac{1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_j}, \dots, \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_i + \lambda_j}, \dots \right) = \sum_{i < j} \frac{e_i + e_j}{\lambda_i + \lambda_j} \quad (4.36)$$

Furthermore, the image is open in \mathbb{R}^n .

Lemma 4.11. *For $n > 2$, the Jacobian matrix $d\alpha$ is (strictly) negative-definite everywhere in the positive cone. In particular, $\text{Image}(\alpha)$ is open in \mathbb{R}^n .*

Proof. The Jacobian matrix

$$d\alpha = - \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j \neq 1} \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_j)^2} & \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2} & \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_3)^2} & \cdots & \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_n)^2} \\ \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2} & \sum_{j \neq 2} \frac{1}{(\lambda_2 + \lambda_j)^2} & \frac{1}{(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3)^2} & \cdots & \frac{1}{(\lambda_2 + \lambda_n)^2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_n)^2} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \sum_{j \neq n} \frac{1}{(\lambda_n + \lambda_j)^2} \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.37)$$

Claim 1. $d\alpha = -\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} M_k M_k^*$ is a sum of “squares”, where $\text{rank}(M_k) = n - k$.

This is achieved by taking

$$\tilde{M}_k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{\lambda_k + \lambda_{k+1}} & \frac{1}{\lambda_k + \lambda_{k+2}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\lambda_k + \lambda_{k+n}} \\ \frac{1}{\lambda_k + \lambda_{k+1}} & & \frac{1}{\lambda_k + \lambda_{k+2}} & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & \frac{1}{\lambda_k + \lambda_{k+n}} \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.38)$$

(zero when not indicated)

and then let

$$M_k = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{k-1 \times k-1} & \\ & \tilde{M}_k \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.39)$$

We see that

$$M_k M_k^* = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=k+1}^n \frac{1}{(\lambda_k + \lambda_j)^2} & \frac{1}{(\lambda_k + \lambda_{k+1})^2} & \cdots & \cdots & \frac{1}{(\lambda_k + \lambda_n)^2} \\ \frac{1}{(\lambda_k + \lambda_{k+1})^2} & \frac{1}{(\lambda_k + \lambda_{k+1})^2} & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \frac{1}{(\lambda_k + \lambda_{k+2})^2} & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{(\lambda_k + \lambda_n)^2} & & & \frac{1}{(\lambda_k + \lambda_n)^2} & \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4.40)$$

which sums to $-d\alpha$. Indeed, each off-diagonal entry is nonzero in $M_{\min\{k,j\}}$. On the diagonal, the (k, k) -th entry is

$$\frac{1}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_k)^2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{(\lambda_{k-1} + \lambda_k)^2} + \sum_{j=k+1}^n \frac{1}{(\lambda_k + \lambda_j)^2} + 0 = \sum_{j \neq k} \frac{1}{(\lambda_k + \lambda_j)^2} \quad (4.41)$$

Now $d\alpha$ is negative semidefinite. If it has a zero eigenvalue, then there exists a left-eigenvector x such that

$$-\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} x M_k M_k^* x^* = 0, \quad (4.42)$$

implying

$$(xM_k)(M_k^*x^*) = 0, \quad (4.43)$$

or

$$xM_k = 0 \quad (4.44)$$

for each k . Let

$$x = [1 \quad -1 \quad -1 \quad \cdots \quad -1] \quad (4.45)$$

be a left null vector of M_1 , which has nullity 1. But

$$xM_{n-1} = -\frac{1}{\lambda_{n-1} + \lambda_n} \neq 0, \quad (4.46)$$

a contradiction (unless $n = 2$). Hence $d\alpha$ is nonsingular. \square

Lastly, we introduce some concepts from invariant control theories and make an estimate for the explorable space by the system 4.31.

Definition 9. *A piecewise constant control is such that for $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < T$, $V_t = V_i \in \mathfrak{c}$ for $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1})$ and some V_i .*

A path with piecewise constant control is of the form

$$m(t) = m(0) \exp t_1 V_0 \exp t_2 V_1 \cdots \exp(t - t_i) V_i, \quad (4.47)$$

if $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1})$.

Definition 10. *A regulated control $V_t \in \mathfrak{c}$ for $t \in [0, T]$ is a pointwise limit of piecewise constant controls.*

Definition 11. *The piecewise reachable set $\mathcal{R}^{pc}(m_0)$ is the union of the paths starting from m_0 with piecewise constant controls within finite time. Similarly, the regulated reachable set $\mathcal{R}^{reg}(m_0)$ is the same with regulated controls.*

The control system 4.31 can be lifted to $GL(n)$

$$\begin{cases} \dot{M} = -c\vec{H}^R \\ -\vec{H}^R \in \hat{\mathfrak{a}}, \end{cases} \quad (4.48)$$

where the superscript R means the mean curvature \vec{H} of the fibers under the metric Tr_R and $\hat{\mathfrak{a}} = GL(n) \cdot (-H)$ is the orbit of negative mean curvature field $-H$ under $GL(n)$ left multiplication, which maps to \mathfrak{a} surjectively.

A path with piecewise control

$$P(t) = M(0) \exp t_1 V_0 \exp t_2 V_1 \cdots \exp(t - t_i) V_i \exp(t - t_i) V_i^* \cdots \exp t_1 V_0^*, \quad (4.49)$$

if $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1})$ and $P(0) = M(0)M(0)^*$.

As we have seen in 4.34, $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}$ consists of matrices whose SVD only need to satisfy conditions on the singular values. Hence V_i 's can always be chosen to be normal with same eigenspaces, and their exponentials commute. In this case, the only thing that needs to be specified is the eigenvalue.

The author has no knowledge of any existing results on how in general eigenvalues are affected by multiplications, which would provide much more information about the reachable set.

Proof. 3. As discussed, we only need to estimate what eigenvalues are allowed in the reachable set.

Since regulated functions are the limits of piecewise constant paths, we may take a boundary point $c(e_i + e_j)$, corresponding to $\lambda_i + \lambda_j = 1/c$ and $\lambda_k = \infty$ otherwise. Since diagonal matrices commute, the exponential of these commute. \square

References

- [BB⁺82] Lionel Bérard Bergery, Jean-Pierre Bourguignon, et al. Laplacians and Riemannian submersions with totally geodesic fibres. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, 26(2):181–200, 1982.
- [BJL19] Rajendra Bhatia, Tanvi Jain, and Yongdo Lim. On the Bures–Wasserstein distance between positive definite matrices. *Expositiones Mathematicae*, 37(2):165–191, 2019.
- [BW20] Fabrice Baudoin and Jing Wang. Brownian motions and eigenvalues on complex Grassmannian manifolds. 2020.
- [EAS98] Alan Edelman, Tomás A Arias, and Steven T Smith. The geometry of algorithms with orthogonality constraints. *SIAM journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications*, 20(2):303–353, 1998.
- [GQ20] Jean Gallier and Jocelyn Quaintance. *Differential geometry and Lie groups: a computational perspective*, volume 12. Springer Nature, 2020.
- [Hsu02] Elton P Hsu. *Stochastic analysis on manifolds*, volume 38. American Mathematical Soc., 2002.
- [JE90] Pauwels JE. Riemannian submersions of Brownian motions. *Stochastics: An International Journal of Probability and Stochastic Processes*, 29(4):425–436, 1990.
- [Lan12] Serge Lang. *Fundamentals of differential geometry*, volume 191. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [MA20] Estelle Massart and P-A Absil. Quotient geometry with simple geodesics for the manifold of fixed-rank positive-semidefinite matrices. *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications*, 41(1):171–198, 2020.
- [MHA19] Estelle Massart, Julien M Hendrickx, and P-A Absil. Curvature of the manifold of fixed-rank positive-semidefinite matrices endowed with the Bures–Wasserstein metric. In *International Conference on Geometric Science of Information*, pages 739–748. Springer, 2019.

- [NRW86] J. Norris, L. Rogers, and David Williams. Brownian motions of ellipsoids. *Transactions of The American Mathematical Society - TRANS AMER MATH SOC*, 294, 02 1986.
- [O⁺66] Barrett O’Neill et al. The fundamental equations of a submersion. *The Michigan Mathematical Journal*, 13(4):459–469, 1966.
- [Pac03] Tommaso Pacini. Mean curvature flow, orbits, moment maps. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 355(8):3343–3357, 2003.
- [RW00] L. C. G. Rogers and David Williams. *Diffusions, Markov Processes and Martingales*, volume 2 of *Cambridge Mathematical Library*. Cambridge University Press, 2 edition, 2000.
- [Sac00] Yu L Sachkov. Controllability of invariant systems on Lie groups and homogeneous spaces. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 100(4):2355–2427, 2000.
- [Ura79] Hajime Urakawa. On the least positive eigenvalue of the Laplacian for compact group manifolds. *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, 31(1):209–226, 1979.
- [W⁺73] Bill Watson et al. Manifold maps commuting with the Laplacian. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 8(1):85–94, 1973.
- [YWL19] Ke Ye, Ken Sze-Wai Wong, and Lek-Heng Lim. Optimization on flag manifolds. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.00949*, 2019.