CHARACTERIZING COMPACT SETS IN L^p-SPACES AND ITS APPLICATION

KATSUHISA KOSHINO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we give a characterization of compact sets in L^p-spaces on metric measure spaces, which is a generalization of the Kolmogorov-Riesz theorem. Using the criterion, we investigate the topological type of the space consisting of lipschitz maps with bounded supports.

1. INTRODUCTION

Characterizations of compact sets in function spaces, for instance, the Ascoli-Arzelà theorem, play important roles in the study of their topologies. In this paper, we shall give a necessary and sufficient condition for subsets of L^p-spaces on metric measure spaces to be compact, and using it, we will decide the topology on the space consisting of lipschitz maps with bounded supports. Let $X = (X, d, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ be a metric measure space, where d is a metric on X, \mathcal{M} is a σ -algebra of X, and μ is a measure on \mathcal{M} . A measure space X is *Borel* if \mathcal{M} contains the Borel sets of X. A Borel measure space X is called to be *Borel-regular* if each $E \subset X$ is contained in a Borel set $B \subset X$ such that $\mu(B) = \mu(E)$. Throughout this paper, X is a Borel-regular Borel metric measure space such that every open ball with positive radius has a positive and finite measure. Let \mathbb{R} be the set of real numbers, \mathbb{N} be the set of positive integers and $1 \leq p < \infty$. We put

$$L^{p}(X) = \left\{ f : X \to \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is } \mathcal{M}\text{-measurable and } \int_{X} |f(x)|^{p} d\mu(x) < \infty \right\}$$

with the following norm

$$||f||_p = \left(\int_X |f(x)|^p d\mu(x)\right)^{1/p},$$

where two functions that are coincident almost everywhere are identified. The function space $L^{p}(X)$ is a Banach space, refer to [2, Theorem 4.8].

For a metric space Y, the symbol B(y, r) stands for the closed ball centered at $y \in Y$ with radius r > 0. Given a subset $E \subset X$, the characteristic function of E is denoted by χ_E , and more for a function $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$, $f\chi_E$ is defined by $f\chi_E(x) = f(x) \cdot \chi_E(x)$. For each $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ and each $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\tau_a f$ be a function defined by $\tau_a f(x) = f(x - a)$. The following theorem proven by A.N. Kolmogorov [12] and M. Riesz [15] is useful for detecting compact sets in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, that is an L^p-version of the Ascoli-Arzelà theorem, refer to Theorem 5 of [9].

Theorem 1.1 (Kolmogorov-Riesz). A bounded set $F \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\mathbb{R}^n = (\mathbb{R}^n, d, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ is the Euclidean space with the Euclidean metric d, the Lebesgue measurable sets \mathcal{M} and the Lebesgue measure μ , is relatively compact if and only if the following conditions are satisfied.

(1) For each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\|\tau_a f - f\|_p < \epsilon$ for any $f \in F$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|a| < \delta$.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 54C35; Secondary 46B50, 46E30, 57N20.

Key words and phrases. metric measure space, L^p-space, relatively compact, the Kolmogorov-Riesz theorem, average function, Hilbert space, Hilbert cube, lipschitz map.

(2) For each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists r > 0 such that $\|f\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(\mathbf{0},r)}\|_p < \epsilon$ for any $f \in F$.

Fixing $f \in L^p(X)$ and r > 0, we can define the following function $A_r f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$A_r f(x) = \frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} f\chi_{B(x,r)}(y) d\mu(y),$$

which is called the average function of f. It is known that average functions are \mathcal{M} -measurable, see [18, Theorem 8.3]. A space X is doubling provided that the following condition is satisfied.

• There exists $\gamma \ge 1$ such that $\mu(B(x, 2r)) \le \gamma \mu(B(x, r))$ for any point $x \in X$ and any positive number r > 0.

The above γ is called *the doubling constant*. P. Górka and A. Macios [7] generalized the Kolmogorov-Riesz theorem for doubling metric measure spaces as follows:

Theorem 1.2. Let X be a doubling metric measure space, $F \subset L^p(X)$ be a bounded subset and p > 1. Suppose that

$$\inf\{\mu(B(x,r)) \mid x \in X\} > 0$$

for any r > 0. Then F is relatively compact if and only if the following hold.

(1) For every $\epsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that for each $f \in F$ and each $r \in (0, \delta)$, $||A_r f - f||_p < \epsilon$. (2) For each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a bounded set E in X such that $||f\chi_{X \setminus E}||_p < \epsilon$ for any $f \in F$.

In the proof of the above theorem, the Hardy-Littlewood maximal inequation, refer to [10, Theorem 2.2], was applied. This inequation does not hold when p = 1, so it is assumed that p > 1 in the above theorem. On the other hand, the average function $A_r f$ of $f \in L^p(X)$ can be estimated by f, see Lemma 2.1. Combining this with the natural condition between the metric and measure of X, which is used to give a criterion for subsets of Banach function spaces to be compact in [8], but without the condition that $p \neq 1$, we shall establish the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. Let X be a doubling metric measure space and F be a bounded subset of $L^{p}(X)$. Suppose that for any $x \in X$ and any r > 0,

$$\mu(B(x,r)\triangle B(y,r)) \to 0$$

as $y \to x$ ¹ Then F is relatively compact if and only if the following are satisfied.

- (1) For every $\epsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that for each $f \in F$ and each $r \in (0, \delta)$, $||A_r f f||_p < \epsilon$. (2) For each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a bounded set F in X such that $||f_{X_r} - f||_p < \epsilon$ for any $f \in F$.
- (2) For each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a bounded set E in X such that $||f\chi_{X\setminus E}||_p < \epsilon$ for any $f \in F$.

In the theory of infinite-dimensional topology, it plays an important role to recognize typical subspaces of the separable Hilbert space ℓ_2 and the Hilbert cube **Q** among function spaces. Under our assumption, the separability of $L^p(X)$ follows from the one of X, see [4, Proposition 3.4.5]. Moreover, as is easily observed, when X is infinite, $L^p(X)$ is infinite-dimensional. In the following theorem, the latter part follows from the efforts by R.D. Anderson [1] and M.I. Kadec [11].

Theorem 1.4. Let X be an infinite separable metric measure space. Then $L^p(X)$ is an infinitedimensional separable Banach space, so it is homeomorphic to ℓ_2 .

Consider the topologies on subspaces of $L^p(X)$. The following subspace of ℓ_2 ,

 $\ell_2^f = \{ (x(n)) \in \ell_2 \mid x(n) = 0 \text{ for almost all } n \in \mathbb{N} \},$

¹For subsets $A, B \subset X$, let $A \triangle B = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$. This continuous property of the measure μ with respect to the metric d is investigated in [6].

is detected among several function spaces as a factor. For example, the author [13] generalized R. Cauty's result [3] and recognized the topological type of the subspace

$$UC(X) = \{ f \in L^{p}(X) \mid f \text{ is uniformly continuous} \}$$

as follows:²

Theorem 1.5. Let X be a separable and locally compact metric measure space such that $\{x \in X \mid \mu(\{x\}) \neq 0\}$ is not dense in X. Then UC(X) is homeomorphic to $(\ell_2^f)^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Let LIP(X) be the subspace in $L^{p}(X)$ consisting of lipschitz maps. In this paper, we shall study the following subspace:

$$LIP_b(X) = \{ f \in LIP(X) \mid f \text{ has a bounded support} \}.$$

As an application of Theorem 1.3, we show the following:

Corollary 1.6. Let X be a metric measure space satisfying the following conditions: (1) X is non-degenerate and separable; (2) X is doubling; (3) for each point $x \in X$, the function

$$(0,\infty) \ni r \mapsto \mu(B(x,r)) \in (0,\infty)$$

is continuous. Then the pair $(L^p(X), LIP_b(X))$ is homeomorphic to $(\ell_2 \times \mathbf{Q}, \ell_2^f \times \mathbf{Q})$.³

2. Proof of Theorem 1.3

For any function $f, g \in L^p(X)$, any point $x \in X$, and any positive number r > 0, observe that

$$A_r f(x) - A_r g(x) = \frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} f(y) d\mu(y) - \frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} g(y) d\mu(y)$$

= $\frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} (f(y) - g(y)) d\mu(y) = A_r(f(x) - g(x)).$

For $p \in [1, \infty)$, denote the conjugate of p by q, that is, 1/p + 1/q = 1. Due to Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |A_r f(x)| &= \left| \frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} f(y) d\mu(y) \right| \le \frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| d\mu(y) \\ &\le \frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))} \left(\int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)|^p d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{B(x,r)} d\mu(x) \right)^{1/q} \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))} \left(\int_X |f\chi_{B(x,r)}(y)|^p d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p} (\mu(B(x,r)))^{1/q} \\ &= \|f\chi_{B(x,r)}\|_p (\mu(B(x,r)))^{-1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Average functions can be uniformly bounded as follows:

Lemma 2.1. Let X be a doubling metric measure space with the doubling constant γ and $f \in L^{p}(X)$. For each r > 0, $||A_{r}f||_{p} \leq \gamma^{1/p} ||f||_{p}$.

²Let P be a property of functions. A function $g \in \{f \in L^p(X) \mid f \text{ satisfies } P\}$ if there is $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ such that f satisfies P and g = f almost everywhere.

³For spaces $Y_1 \supset Y_2$ and $Z_1 \supset Z_2$, the pair (Y_1, Y_2) is homeomorphic to (Z_1, Z_2) if there is a homeomorphism $f: Y_1 \to Z_1$ such that $f(Y_2) = Z_2$.

Proof. Since X is doubling, for any $z, w \in X$, if $z \in B(w, r)$, then

$$\mu(B(w,r)) \le \mu(B(z,2r)) \le \gamma \mu(B(z,r)),$$

and hence $1/\mu(B(z,r)) \leq \gamma/\mu(B(w,r))$. Applying the Fubini-Tonelli theorem, we have

$$\begin{split} \|A_{r}f\|_{p}^{p} &= \int_{X} |A_{r}f(x)|^{p} d\mu(x) \leq \int_{X} \left(\left(\int_{X} |f\chi_{B(x,r)}(y)|^{p} d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p} (\mu(B(x,r)))^{-1/p} \right)^{p} d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{X} \frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))} \left(\int_{X} |f\chi_{B(x,r)}(y)|^{p} d\mu(y) \right) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{X} |f(y)|^{p} \left(\int_{X} \frac{\chi_{B(y,r)}(x)}{\mu(B(x,r))} d\mu(x) \right) d\mu(y) \\ &\leq \int_{X} |f(y)|^{p} \left(\int_{X} \frac{\gamma\chi_{B(y,r)}(x)}{\mu(B(y,r))} d\mu(x) \right) d\mu(y) \\ &= \int_{X} \frac{|f(y)|^{p} \gamma\mu(B(y,r))}{\mu(B(y,r))} d\mu(y) = \gamma \|f\|_{p}^{p}. \end{split}$$

Consequently, $||A_r f||_p \leq \gamma^{1/p} ||f||_p$. \Box

According to the above lemma, the condition (1) of Theorem 1.3 is shown to be necessary for a subset in $L^{p}(X)$ being relatively compact.

Proposition 2.2. Let X be a doubling metric measure space. If a subset F is relatively compact in $L^{p}(X)$, then the following holds.

• For any $\epsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that for each $f \in F$ and each $r \in (0, \delta)$, $||A_r f - f||_p < \epsilon$.

Proof. Since F is relatively compact, and hence it is totally bounded, we can find finitely many functions $f_i \in L^p(X)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, so that for each $f \in F$, there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $||f - f_i||_p \leq \epsilon \gamma^{-1/p}/3$, where γ is the doubling constant of X. Here we may assume that $|f_i| \leq c_i$ for some $c_i > 0$ and f_i has a bounded support $E_i \subset X$. Using Lemma 2.1, we get

$$||A_r f - A_r f_i||_p = ||A_r (f - f_i)||_p \le \gamma^{1/p} ||f - f_i||_p < \epsilon/3.$$

By Lebesgue's differentiation theorem, see [10, Theorem 1.8], for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $A_r f_i \to f_i$ as $r \to 0$ almost everywhere. Set $E = \bigcup_{x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i} B(x, 1)$, that is a bounded subset of X, and hence $\mu(E) < \infty$. Fixing any $r \in (0, 1)$, observe that $f_i(x) = A_r f_i(x) = 0$ for all $x \in X \setminus E$, and

$$\begin{aligned} |A_r f_i(x)| &= \left| \frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} f_i(y) d\mu(y) \right| \le \frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} |f_i(y)| d\mu(y) \\ &\le \frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} c_i d\mu(y) = \frac{c_i \mu(B(x,r))}{\mu(B(x,r))} = c_i. \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in E$. Applying the dominated convergence theorem, we can obtain that $||A_r f_i - f_i||_p \to 0$ as $r \to 0$. So there is $\delta_i \in (0, 1)$ such that if $r < \delta_i$, then $||A_r f_i - f_i||_p < \epsilon/3$. Let $\delta = \min\{\delta_i \mid 1 \le i \le n\}$, so for any $0 < r < \delta$,

$$||A_r f - f||_p \le ||A_r f - A_r f_i||_p + ||A_r f_i - f_i||_p + ||f_i - f||_p < \epsilon.$$

Thus the proof is completed. \Box

Fix a point $x \in X$ and a positive number r > 0. If $\mu(B(x,r) \triangle B(y,r)) \to 0$ as a point $y \in X$ tends to x, then $\mu(B(y,r)) \to \mu(B(x,r))$ because

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu(B(x,r)) - \mu(B(y,r))| &= |\mu(B(x,r) \setminus B(y,r)) - \mu(B(y,r) \setminus B(x,r))| \\ &\leq \mu(B(x,r) \setminus B(y,r)) + \mu(B(y,r) \setminus B(x,r)) = \mu(B(x,r) \triangle B(y,r)) \end{aligned}$$

We have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.3. Let $x \in X$ and r > 0. Suppose that $\mu(B(x,r) \triangle B(y,r)) \rightarrow 0$ as $y \rightarrow x$. If a subset F is bounded in $L^{p}(X)$, then the following holds.

• For each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $d(x, y) < \delta$, then $|A_r f(x) - A_r f(y)| < \epsilon$ for any $f \in F$.

Proof. Since F is bounded, there is $c_1 > 0$ such that $||f||_p < c_1$ for every $f \in F$. Let $c_2 = \mu(B(x,r))$. By the assumption, there is $\delta > 0$ such that if $d(x, y) < \delta$, then $\mu(B(x, r) \triangle B(y, r)) < \epsilon c_2/(2c_1)$. Moreover, we may suppose that $\mu(B(y, r)) \leq 2c_2$ and $|1/\mu(B(x, r)) - 1/\mu(B(y, r))| < \epsilon/(4c_1c_2)$ because $\mu(B(y, r)) \to \mu(B(x, r))$ as $y \to x$. To show that δ is desired, let $y \in X$ with $d(x, y) < \delta$. As is easily observed,

$$\left|\int_{B(x,r)} f(z)d\mu(z) - \int_{B(y,r)} f(z)d\mu(z)\right| \le \int_{B(x,r)\triangle B(y,r)} |f(z)|d\mu(z)|$$

Therefore due to Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{split} |A_{r}f(x) - A_{r}f(y)| &= \left| \frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} f(z)d\mu(z) - \frac{1}{\mu(B(y,r))} \int_{B(y,r)} f(z)d\mu(z) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))} \left| \int_{B(x,r)} f(z)d\mu(z) - \int_{B(y,r)} f(z)d\mu(z) \right| \\ &+ \left| \frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))} - \frac{1}{\mu(B(y,r))} \right| \left| \int_{B(y,r)} f(z)d\mu(z) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r) \triangle B(y,r)} |f(z)|^{d}\mu(z) \\ &+ \left| \frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))} - \frac{1}{\mu(B(y,r))} \right| \left(\int_{B(y,r)} |f(z)|^{d}\mu(z) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))} \left(\int_{B(x,r) \triangle B(y,r)} |f(z)|^{p}d\mu(z) \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{B(y,r)} d\mu(z) \right)^{1/q} \\ &+ \left| \frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))} - \frac{1}{\mu(B(y,r))} \right| \left(\int_{B(y,r)} |f(z)|^{p}d\mu(z) \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{B(y,r)} d\mu(z) \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))} \|f\|_{p} (\mu(B(x,r) \triangle B(y,r))^{1/q} \\ &+ \left| \frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))} - \frac{1}{\mu(B(y,r))} \right| \|f\|_{p} (\mu(B(y,r)))^{1/q} \\ &+ \left| \frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))} - \frac{1}{\mu(B(y,r))} \right\| \|f\|_{p} (\mu(B(y,r)))^{1/q} \\ &< \frac{1}{c_{2}} \cdot c_{1} \cdot \frac{\epsilon c_{2}}{2c_{1}} + \frac{\epsilon}{4c_{1}c_{2}} \cdot c_{1} \cdot 2c_{2} = \epsilon. \end{split}$$

We complete the proof. \Box

The next two lemmas will be used in the proof of Proposition 2.6.

Lemma 2.4. If X is doubling and $E \subset X$ is bounded, then for each r > 0,

$$\inf\{\mu(B(x,r)) \mid x \in E\} > 0.$$

Proof. Let $R < \infty$ be the diameter of E, so for any $x \in E$, $E \subset B(x, R)$, which implies that $\mu(E) \leq \mu(B(x, R))$. Taking $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $R \leq 2^n r$, since X is doubling, we have that

$$\mu(B(x,r)) \ge \gamma^{-n}\mu(B(x,2^n r)) \ge \gamma^{-n}\mu(B(x,R)) \ge \gamma^{-n}\mu(E),$$

where γ is the doubling constant of X. \Box

Lemma 2.5. Let $x \in X$ and r > 0. Suppose that F is a bounded subset of $L^{p}(X)$. Then the set $\{A_{r}f(x) \mid f \in F\}$ is relatively compact in \mathbb{R} .

Proof. Because F is bounded, we can choose c > 0 so that $||f||_p < c$ for every $f \in F$. Then

$$|A_r f(x)| \le ||f\chi_{B(x,r)}||_p (\mu(B(x,r)))^{-1/p} < c(\mu(B(x,r)))^{-1/p}.$$

Hence $\{A_r f(x) \mid f \in F\}$ is bounded in \mathbb{R} , so it is relatively compact. \Box

The following proposition is a key ingredient in proving the "if" part of Theorem 1.3.

Proposition 2.6. Let X be a doubling metric measure space, $F \subset L^p(X)$ be a bounded subset and r > 0. Suppose that $E \subset X$ is bounded and that for each $x \in X$, $\mu(B(x, r) \triangle B(y, r)) \rightarrow 0$ as y tends to x. Then $\{(A_r f)\chi_E \mid f \in F\}$ is relatively compact in $L^p(X)$.

Proof. We need only to show that $\{(A_r f)\chi_E \mid f \in F\}$ is totally bounded in $L^p(X)$. To prove it, fix any ϵ . Recall that $\mu(E) < \infty$. Because F is bonded, there is c > 0 such that $\|f\|_p < c$ for all $f \in F$. According to Lemma 2.4, put $a = \inf\{\mu(B(x,r)) \mid x \in E\} > 0$. By Proposition 2.3, for each $x \in X$, there is $\delta(x) \in (0,1)$ such that if $d(x,y) < \delta(x)$, then $|A_r f(x) - A_r f(y)| < \epsilon(\mu(E))^{-1/p}/4$. Using Vitali's covering theorem, refer to [18, Theorem 6.20], we can obtain finitely many points $x_i \in E$ and positive numbers $0 < r_i < \delta(x_i), i = 1, \dots, n$ so that $\mu(E \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n B(x_i, r_i)) < a\epsilon^p/(2c)^p$ and $\{B(x_i, r_i) \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ is pairwise disjoint.

Due to Lemma 2.5, for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, the subset $\{A_r f(x_i) \mid f \in F\}$ is totally bounded in \mathbb{R} , and hence we can choose $a_{(i,j(i))} \in \mathbb{R}$, $j(i) = 1, \dots, m(i)$, so that

$$\{A_r f(x_i) \mid f \in F\} \subset \bigcup_{j(i)=1}^{m(i)} B(a_{(i,j(i))}, \epsilon(\mu(E))^{-1/p}/4).$$

Now let

$$K = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{(i,j(i))} \chi_{B(x_i,r_i) \cap E} \right| \text{ for each } i \in \{1, \cdots, n\}, j(i) \in \{1, \cdots, m(i)\} \right\}$$

be a finitely many collection of simple functions. It remains to show that $\{(A_r f)\chi_E \mid f \in F\} \subset \bigcup_{\phi \in K} B(\phi, \epsilon)$. Take any $f \in F$. For every $i = 1, \dots, n$, there is j(i) such that $|A_r f(x_i) - a_{(i,j(i))}| < \epsilon(\mu(E))^{-1/p}/4$. Since $r_i < \delta(x_i)$, for any $x \in B(x_i, r_i)$,

$$|A_r f(x) - a_{(i,j(i))}| \le |A_r f(x) - A_r f(x_i)| + |A_r f(x_i) - a_{(i,j(i))}| < \frac{\epsilon(\mu(E))^{-1/p}}{2}.$$

Therefore we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} (A_{r}f)\chi_{B(x_{i},r_{i})\cap E} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{(i,j(i))}\chi_{B(x_{i},r_{i})\cap E} \right\|_{p} &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{B(x_{i},r_{i})\cap E} |A_{r}f(x) - a_{(i,j(i))}|^{p} d\mu(x) \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{B(x_{i},r_{i})\cap E} \left(\frac{\epsilon(\mu(E))^{-1/p}}{2} \right)^{p} d\mu(x) \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \left(\frac{\epsilon(\mu(E))^{-1/p}}{2} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu(B(x_{i},r_{i})\cap E) \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\epsilon(\mu(E))^{-1/p}}{2} \right) (\mu(E))^{1/p} = \frac{\epsilon}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Set $A = E \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} B(x_i, r_i)$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (A_r f)\chi_E - \sum_{i=1}^n (A_r f)\chi_{B(x_i,r_i)\cap E} \right\|_p &= \left(\int_A |A_r f(x)|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left(\int_A (\|f\chi_{B(x,r)}\|_p (\mu(B(x,r)))^{-1/p})^p d\mu(x) \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \|f\|_p \left(\int_A \frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))} d\mu(x) \right)^{1/p} \leq c \left(\frac{\mu(A)}{a}\right)^{1/p} < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\left\| (A_r f) \chi_E - \sum_{i=1}^n a_{(i,j(i))} \chi_{B(x_i,r_i) \cap E} \right\|_p$$

$$\leq \left\| (A_r f) \chi_E - \sum_{i=1}^n (A_r f) \chi_{B(x_i,r_i) \cap E} \right\|_p + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (A_r f) \chi_{B(x_i,r_i) \cap E} - \sum_{i=1}^n a_{(i,j(i))} \chi_{B(x_i,r_i) \cap E} \right\|_p < \epsilon.$$

The proof is completed. \Box

Now we shall prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Firstly, we will show the "only if" part. The condition (1) follows from Proposition 2.2. It remains to show (2). Fix any $\epsilon > 0$. Since F is relatively compact, so totally bounded, we can obtain finitely many functions $f_i \in L^p(X)$, $1 \le i \le n$, with a bounded support E_i so that for each $f \in F$, there is $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $||f - f_i||_p \le \epsilon$. Then the union $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i$ is the desired bounded set. Indeed,

$$\|f\chi_{X\setminus E}\|_p \le \|f\chi_{X\setminus E} - f_i\chi_{X\setminus E}\|_p + \|f_i\chi_{X\setminus E}\|_p \le \|f - f_i\|_p \le \epsilon,$$

which means that (2) holds.

Next, we prove the "if" part. We shall show that F is totally bounded. To prove it, fix any $\epsilon > 0$. By the condition (2), we can find a bounded subset $E \subset X$ such that $||f\chi_{X\setminus E}||_p < \epsilon/2$ for all $f \in F$. Observe that there is $\delta > 0$ such that for each $f \in F$ and each $r \in (0, \delta)$, $||f - A_r f||_p < \epsilon/2$ by (1), and hence we get

$$||f - (A_r f)\chi_E||_p \le ||f\chi_E - (A_r f)\chi_E||_p + ||f\chi_{X\setminus E}||_p \le ||f - A_r f||_p + ||f\chi_{X\setminus E}||_p < \epsilon.$$

On the other hand, $\{(A_r f)\chi_E\}$ is totally bounded in $L^p(X)$ due to Proposition 2.6. This means that F is relatively compact. \Box

3. TOPOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF THE SPACE $LIP_b(X)$

In this section, we shall prove that $LIP_b(X) \subset L^p(X)$ is a σ -compact subset containing topological copies of \mathbf{Q} under our assumption. From now on, assume that X is non-empty and fix any point $x_0 \in X$. Given a positive integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$L(n) = \{ f \in \operatorname{LIP}(X) \mid ||f||_p \le n, \operatorname{lip} f \le n \text{ and } \operatorname{supp} f \subset B(x_0, n) \},\$$

where lip f is the lipschitz constant of f and supp f is the support of f. As is easily observed, the space $\text{LIP}_b(X) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L(n)$. Using Theorem 1.3, we can prove the following:

Proposition 3.1. Let X be a doubling metric measure space such that for each point $x \in X$ and each positive number r > 0, $\mu(B(x, r) \triangle B(y, r)) \rightarrow 0$ as $y \rightarrow x$. Then each L(n) is compact, and hence $\text{LIP}_b(X)$ is σ -compact.

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. To prove that L(n) is closed in $L^p(X)$, fix any sequence $\{f_k\}$ in L(n) convergeing to $f \in L^p(X)$. We will verify that $f \in L(n)$. Observe that $||f||_p \leq n$ and $\operatorname{supp} f \subset B(x_0, n)$. Since $||f - f_k||_p \to 0$, we can replace $\{f_k\}$ with a subsequence so that $f_k \to f$ almost everywhere due to Theorem 4.9 of [2]. Then there is $E \subset X$ such that $\mu(E) = 0$ and $f_k(x) \to f(x)$ for every $x \in X \setminus E$. Because each lip $f_k \leq n$, for any $x, y \in X \setminus E$, $|f_k(x) - f_k(y)| \leq nd(x, y)$, which implies that $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq nd(x, y)$. Hence $f|_{X \setminus E}$ is an n-lipschitz map, so it is a uniformly continuous map. Since $\mu(B(x, r)) > 0$ for any $x \in X$ and any r > 0, $X \setminus E$ is dense in X. It follows that the restriction $f|_{X \setminus E}$ can be extended to a uniformly continuous map \tilde{f} . Then \tilde{f} is an *n*-lipschitz map. Indeed, take any $x, y \in X$. For each $\epsilon > 0$, we can choose $x', y' \in X \setminus E$ so that $d(x', y') \leq d(x, y) + \epsilon/(3n), |\tilde{f}(x) - \tilde{f}(x')| \leq \epsilon/3$, and $|\tilde{f}(y) - \tilde{f}(y')| \leq \epsilon/3$. Then

$$\begin{split} |\tilde{f}(x) - \tilde{f}(y)| &\leq |\tilde{f}(x) - \tilde{f}(x')| + |\tilde{f}(x') - \tilde{f}(y')| + |\tilde{f}(y') - \tilde{f}(y)| \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{3} + nd(x', y') + \frac{\epsilon}{3} \leq nd(x, y) + \epsilon. \end{split}$$

Therefore $|\tilde{f}(x) - \tilde{f}(y)| \leq nd(x, y)$, and hence \tilde{f} is *n*-lipschitz. Note that \tilde{f} is coincident with f. Thus $f \in L(n)$.

By the definition of L(n), it is bounded. Since for every $f \in L(n)$, the support supp $f \subset B(x_0, n)$, L(n) satisfies the condition (2) of Theorem 1.3. It remains to show that the condition (1) holds. For each $0 < \epsilon < 1$, let $\delta = \epsilon/(n\mu(B(x_0, n+1))^{1/p})$. Taking any $f \in L(n)$ and any $r \in (0, \delta)$, since f is n-lipschitz, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |A_r f(x) - f(x)| &= \left| \frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} f\chi_{B(x,r)}(y) d\mu(y) - f(x) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y) - f(x)| d\mu(y) \leq \frac{1}{\mu(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} n d(x,y) d\mu(y) \\ &\leq nr < n\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{\mu(B(x_0, n+1))^{1/p}} \end{aligned}$$

for each point $x \in X$. Remark that supp $A_r f \subset B(x_0, n+1)$. Then

$$||A_r f - f||_p = \left(\int_{B(x_0, n+1)} |A_r f(x) - f(x)|^p d\mu(x)\right)^{1/p} < \left(\int_{B(x_0, n+1)} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\mu(B(x_0, n+1))^{1/p}}\right)^p d\mu(x)\right)^{1/p} = \epsilon$$

By virtue of Theorem 1.3, L(n) is compact. \Box

Next we will show that each $L(n) \subset L^p(X)$ is homeomorphic to **Q** by using the following characterization [17].

Theorem 3.2 (H. Toruńczyk). A compact AR Y is homeomorphic to \mathbf{Q} if and only if the following condition holds.

(*) For each continuous map $f : \mathbf{Q} \times \{0, 1\} \to Y$ and each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a continuous map $g : \mathbf{Q} \times \{0, 1\} \to Y$ such that g is ϵ -close to f and $g(\mathbf{Q} \times \{0\}) \cap g(\mathbf{Q} \times \{1\}) = \emptyset$.

Recall that for a metric space $Y = (Y, d_Y)$, for functions $f : Z \to Y$ and $g : Z \to Y$, and for a positive number $\epsilon > 0$, f is ϵ -close to g provided that for each $z \in Z$, $d_Y(f(z), g(z)) \leq \epsilon$. It follows from the following lemma that L(n) satisfies condition (*) of Theorem 3.2 immediately.

Lemma 3.3. Let X be a doubling metric measure space with $\mu(\{x_0\}) = 0$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < \epsilon < 1$, there exist maps $\Phi : L(n) \to \{f \in L(n) \mid \lim f < n\}$ and $\Psi : L(n) \to \{f \in L(n) \mid \lim f = n\}$ which are ϵ -close to the identity map on L(n).

Proof. First we shall construct the map Φ . Let $\Phi(f) = (1 - \epsilon/n)f$ for each $f \in L(n)$. Obviously supp $\Phi(f) \subset B(x_0, n)$. Since f is n-lipschitz, for any $x, y \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi(f)(x) - \Phi(f)(y)| &= \left| \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{n} \right) f(x) - \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{n} \right) f(y) \right| = \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{n} \right) |f(x) - f(y)| \\ &\leq (n - \epsilon) d(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\lim \Phi(f) \leq n - \epsilon$. Because $||f||_p \leq n$ for all $f \in L(n)$, we have that

$$\|\Phi(f)\|_p = \left\| \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{n}\right) f \right\|_p = \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{n}\right) \|f\|_p \le n - \epsilon$$

and more that

$$\begin{split} \|\Phi(f) - f\|_p &= \left(\int_X |\Phi(f)(x) - f(x)|^p d\mu(x)\right)^{1/p} = \left(\int_X \left|\left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{n}\right)f(x) - f(x)\right|^p d\mu(x)\right)^{1/p} \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{n} \left(\int_X |f(x)|^p d\mu(x)\right)^{1/p} \le \frac{\epsilon}{n} \|f\|_p \le \epsilon. \end{split}$$

For each $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, letting $\delta = n\lambda/(n-\epsilon)$, we have that if $||f - g||_p \leq \delta$, then

$$\|\Phi(f) - \Phi(g)\|_p = \left\| \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{n}\right) f - \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{n}\right) g \right\|_p = \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{n}\right) \|f - g\|_p \le \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{n}\right) \delta = \lambda,$$

which implies the continuity of Φ . As a result, Φ is the desired map.

Next we will construct the map Ψ . Due to the similar argument as the above, we may assume that there is m < n such that $||f||_p \leq m$ and $\lim f \leq m$ for every $f \in L(n)$, and that $\epsilon \leq n - m$.

Taking a point $y_0 \in X \setminus \{x_0\}$, let

$$r_1 = \min\left\{\frac{\epsilon}{3n(\mu(B(x_0, n)))^{1/p}}, d(x_0, y_0), n\right\}$$

and $r_2 = (n-m)r_1/n$. For each $f \in L(n)$, we define a function $\psi(f) : X \setminus (B(x_0, r_1) \setminus B(x_0, r_2)) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\psi(f)(x) = \begin{cases} f(x_0) + n(r_2 - d(x, x_0)) & \text{if } x \in B(x_0, r_2), \\ f(x) & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Verify that $\lim \psi(f) = n$. Indeed, when $x, y \in X \setminus B(x_0, r_1)$,

$$|\psi(f)(x) - \psi(f)(y)| = |f(x) - f(y)| \le md(x, y) < nd(x, y),$$

and when $x \in B(x_0, r_2)$ and $y \in X \setminus B(x_0, r_1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(f)(x) - \psi(f)(y)| &= |f(x_0) + n(r_2 - d(x, x_0)) - f(y)| \\ &\leq |f(x_0) - f(x)| + |f(x) - f(y)| + n(r_2 - d(x, x_0)) \\ &\leq md(x, x_0) + md(x, y) + n(r_2 - d(x, x_0)) \\ &= md(x, y) + (n - m)(r_1 - d(x, x_0)) \\ &\leq md(x, y) + (n - m)(d(y, x_0) - d(x, x_0)) \\ &\leq md(x, y) + (n - m)d(x, y) = nd(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mu(\{x_0\}) = 0$ and $\mu(B(x_0, r_2)) > 0$, the cardinality of $B(x_0, r_2)$ is greater than or equal to 2. Then for any $x, y \in B(x_0, r_2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(f)(x) - \psi(f)(y)| &= |f(x_0) + n(r_2 - d(x, x_0)) - f(x_0) - n(r_2 - d(y, x_0))| \\ &= n|d(y, x_0) - d(x, x_0)| \le nd(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

and taking any $z \in B(x_0, r_2) \setminus \{x_0\}$, we have that

$$|\psi(f)(x_0) - \psi(f)(z)| = |f(x_0) + n(r_2 - d(x_0, x_0)) - f(x_0) - n(r_2 - d(z, x_0))| = nd(z, x_0).$$

Hence lip $\psi(f) = n$.

According to McShane's lipschitz extension [14] (cf. [18, Theorem 13.12]), the desired map $\Psi: L(n) \to L(n)$ can be defined as follows:

$$\Psi(f)(x) = \begin{cases} \psi(f)(x) & \text{if } x \in X \setminus (B(x_0, r_1) \setminus B(x_0, r_2)), \\ \inf_{a \in X \setminus (B(x_0, r_1) \setminus B(x_0, r_2))} (\psi(f)(a) + nd(a, x)) & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Recall that $\lim \Psi(f) = n$. It immediately follows from the definition that $\Psi(f)(x) = f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in X \setminus B(x_0, n)$. We shall prove that $\|\Psi(f) - f\|_p \leq \epsilon$ for every $f \in L(n)$. Fix any $x \in B(x_0, r_2)$, so

$$|\Psi(f)(x) - f(x)| = |f(x_0) + n(r_2 - d(x, x_0)) - f(x)| \le |f(x_0) - f(x)| + n(r_2 - d(x, x_0))$$

$$\le md(x, x_0) + n(r_2 - d(x, x_0)) \le (m + n)r_2.$$

Taking each $x \in X \setminus B(x_0, r_1)$, we get that

$$|\Psi(f)(x) - f(x)| = |f(x) - f(x)| = 0.$$

Let any $x \in B(x_0, r_1) \setminus B(x_0, r_2)$ and any $a \in X \setminus (B(x_0, r_1) \setminus B(x_0, r_2))$. In the case that $a \in B(x_0, r_2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(f)(a) - f(x)| &= |f(x_0) + n(r_2 - d(a, x_0)) - f(x)| \le |f(x_0) - f(x)| + n(r_2 - d(a, x_0)) \\ &\le md(x, x_0) + n(r_2 - d(a, x_0)) \le n(d(a, x) + r_2). \end{aligned}$$

In the case that $a \in X \setminus B(x_0, r_1)$,

$$|\psi(f)(a) - f(x)| = |f(a) - f(x)| \le md(a, x).$$

Therefore we have

$$-nr_2 \le \psi(f)(a) + nd(a, x) - f(x) \le 2nd(a, x) + nr_2,$$

which implies that

$$|\Psi(f)(x) - f(x)| = \left| \inf_{a \in X \setminus (B(x_0, r_1) \setminus B(x_0, r_2))} (\psi(f)(a) + nd(a, x)) - f(x) \right|$$

$$\leq 2nd(x, x_0) + nr_2 \leq n(2r_1 + r_2) \leq 3nr_1.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi(f) - f\|_p &= \left(\int_X |\Psi(f)(x) - f(x)|^p d\mu(x)\right)^{1/p} \le \left(\int_{B(x_0, n)} (3nr_1)^p d\mu(x)\right)^{1/p} \\ &= 3nr_1(\mu(B(x_0, n)))^{1/p} \le \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

and that

 $\|\Psi(f)\|_{p} \le \|\Psi(f) - f\|_{p} + \|f\|_{p} \le \epsilon + m \le n.$

To show the continuity of Ψ , fix any $f \in L(n)$ and any $\lambda > 0$. Set $\sigma = \lambda/(\mu(B(x_0, n))^{1/p})$ and $\tau = \min\{\sigma/(8m), 1\}$. By the same argument as Lemma 2.4, we can choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\gamma^{-k}\mu(B(x_0, n)) \leq \mu(B(x, \tau))$ for every $x \in B(x_0, n)$, where γ be the doubling constant of X. Let $\delta = \sigma \gamma^{-k/p}(\mu(B(x_0, n)))^{1/p}/4$. Taking every $g \in L(n)$ such that $||g - f||_p \leq \delta$, we can obtain that for each $x \in B(x_0, n)$, $|f(x) - g(x)| \leq \sigma/2$. Indeed, suppose not, so there exists $y \in B(x_0, n)$ such that $||f(y) - g(y)| > \sigma/2$. Then for all $x \in B(y, \tau)$,

$$|f(x) - g(x)| \ge |f(y) - g(y)| - |f(x) - f(y)| - |g(x) - g(y)| > \frac{\sigma}{2} - 2md(x, y) \ge \frac{\sigma}{2} - 2m\tau \ge \frac{\sigma}{4}$$

because f and g are m-lipschiz. Thus

$$\delta \ge \|f - g\|_p \ge \left(\int_{B(y,\tau)} |f(x) - g(x)|^p d\mu(x)\right)^{1/p} > \frac{\sigma(\mu(B(y,\tau)))^{1/p}}{4}$$
$$\ge \frac{\sigma\gamma^{-k/p}(\mu(B(x_0,n)))^{1/p}}{4} = \delta,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence for every $x \in B(x_0, r_2)$,

$$\Psi(f)(x) - \Psi(g)(x)| = |f(x_0) + n(r_2 - d(x, x_0)) - g(x_0) - n(r_2 - d(x, x_0))|$$

= $|f(x_0) - g(x_0)| \le \frac{\sigma}{2}.$

For any $x \in B(x_0, r_1) \setminus B(x_0, r_2)$, we can find $b \in X \setminus (B(x_0, r_1) \setminus B(x_0, r_2))$ such that

$$\Psi(f)(x) = \inf_{a \in X \setminus (B(x_0, r_1) \setminus B(x_0, r_2))} (\psi(f)(a) + nd(a, x)) \ge \psi(f)(b) + nd(b, x) - \sigma/2.$$

Verify that if $b \in B(x_0, r_2)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(f)(b) - \psi(g)(b)| &= |f(x_0) + n(r_2 - d(b, x_0)) - g(x_0) - n(r_2 - d(b, x_0))| \\ &= |f(x_0) - g(x_0)| \le \frac{\sigma}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

and that if $b \in X \setminus B(x_0, r_1)$, then

$$|\psi(f)(b) - \psi(g)(b)| = |f(b) - g(b)| \le \frac{\sigma}{2}.$$

Thus we get

$$\Psi(f)(x) \ge \psi(f)(b) + nd(b,x) - \frac{\sigma}{2} \ge \psi(g)(b) + nd(b,x) - \sigma$$
$$\ge \inf_{a \in X \setminus (B(x_0,r_1) \setminus B(x_0,r_2))} (\psi(g)(a) + nd(a,x)) - \sigma = \Psi(g)(x) - \sigma$$

Similarly, $\Psi(g)(x) \ge \Psi(f)(x) - \sigma$, and hence $|\Psi(f)(x) - \Psi(g)(x)| \le \sigma$. Moreover, for each $x \in B(x_0, n) \setminus B(x_0, r_1)$,

$$|\Psi(f)(x) - \Psi(g)(x)| = |f(x) - g(x)| \le \frac{\sigma}{2}.$$

Therefore we have that

$$\begin{split} \|\Psi(f) - \Psi(g)\|_{p}^{p} &= \int_{B(x_{0},n)} |\Psi(f)(x) - \Psi(g)(x)|^{p} d\mu(x) + \int_{X \setminus B(x_{0},n)} |\Psi(f)(x) - \Psi(g)(x)|^{p} d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{B(x_{0},n)} \sigma^{p} d\mu(x) \le \sigma^{p} \mu(B(x_{0},n)) \le \lambda^{p}, \end{split}$$

so $\|\Psi(f) - \Psi(g)\|_p \leq \lambda$. Consequently, Ψ is continuous. Thus the proof is completed. \Box

Now we show the following:

Proposition 3.4. Let X be a doubling metric measure space with $\mu(\{x_0\}) = 0$ such that for all $x \in X$ and r > 0, $\mu(B(x, r) \triangle B(y, r)) \rightarrow 0$ as y tends to x. Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the subset L(n) is homeomorphic to \mathbf{Q} .

Proof. By Proposition 3.1, each L(n) is compact. As is easily observed, L(n) is a convex subset of the Banach space $L^{p}(X)$, and hence it is an AR, refer to [16, Theorem 6.1.1]. According to Lemma 3.3, L(n) satisfies condition (*) of Theorem 3.2, so it is homeomorphic to \mathbf{Q} . \Box

4. Proof of Corollary 1.6

Applying the following criterion, which was proven by D. Curtis, T. Dobrowolski and J. Mogilski [5], we shall establish Corollary 1.6.

Theorem 4.1. Let C be a σ -compact convex set in a completely metrizable linear space E. Suppose that the closure $cl_E C$ is an AR and not locally compact. Then the pair $(cl_E C, C)$ is homeomorphic to $(\ell_2 \times \mathbf{Q}, \ell_2^f \times \mathbf{Q})$ if C contains an infinite-dimensional locally compact convex set.

We show the density of $LIP_b(X)$ in $L^p(X)$.

Proposition 4.2. Let X be a doubling metric measure space. Suppose that for every point $x \in X$, the function $(0,\infty) \ni r \mapsto \mu(B(x,r)) \in (0,\infty)$ is continuous. The subspace $\text{LIP}_b(X)$ is dense in $L^p(X)$.

Proof. To show the density of $LIP_b(X) \subset L^p(X)$, fix any $f \in L^p(X)$ and any $\epsilon > 0$. By virtue of Vitali's covering theorem, see [18, Theorem 6.20], we can choose a simple function $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_{B(x_i,r_i)}$, where each $a_i \neq 0$, each $r_i > 0$ and $\{B(x_i, r_i) \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ is pairwise disjoint, so that $\|\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_{B(x_i,r_i)} - f\|_p \leq \epsilon/3$. Moreover, due to the assumption, for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, there exists $\delta_i \in (0, r_i)$ such that $\|\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_{B(x_i,\delta_i)} - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_{B(x_i,r_i)}\|_p \leq \epsilon/3$. Then we can define a map $g: X \to \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} a_i & \text{if } x \in B(x_i, \delta_i), i = 1, \cdots, n, \\ \frac{-a_i(d(x, x_i) - \delta_i)}{r_i - \delta_i} + a_i & \text{if } x \in B(x_i, r_i) \setminus B(x_i, \delta_i), i = 1, \cdots, n, \\ 0 & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

As is easily observed, $g \in \text{LIP}_b(X)$. Since

$$\left| g(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \chi_{B(x_i,\delta_i)}(x) \right| \le \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \chi_{B(x_i,\delta_i)}(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \chi_{B(x_i,r_i)}(x) \right|$$

for every $x \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| g - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \chi_{B(x_{i},\delta_{i})} \right\|_{p} &= \left(\int_{X} \left| g(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \chi_{B(x_{i},\delta_{i})}(x) \right|^{p} d\mu(x) \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{X} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \chi_{B(x_{i},\delta_{i})}(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \chi_{B(x_{i},r_{i})}(x) \right|^{p} d\mu(x) \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \chi_{B(x_{i},\delta_{i})} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \chi_{B(x_{i},r_{i})} \right\|_{p} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3}, \end{aligned}$$

we get that

$$\|g - f\|_p \le \left\|g - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_{B(x_i,\delta_i)}\right\|_p + \left\|\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_{B(x_i,\delta_i)} - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_{B(x_i,r_i)}\right\|_p + \left\|\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_{B(x_i,r_i)} - f\right\|_p \le \epsilon.$$
Thus the proof is completed. \Box

Thus the proof is completed. \Box

Remark that for any $x, y \in X$ and any $0 < \delta < r$, if $d(x, y) < \delta$, then

$$B(x,r) \triangle B(y,r) \subset B(x,r+\delta) \setminus B(x,r-\delta).$$

Hence as y tends to x,

 $\mu(B(x,r) \triangle B(y,r)) \le \mu(B(x,r+\delta) \setminus B(x,r-\delta)) \to 0$

when $(0,\infty) \ni r \mapsto \mu(B(x,r)) \in (0,\infty)$ is continuous. Now we shall prove Corollary 1.6.

Proof of Corollary 1.6. Since X is doubling and the function $(0, \infty) \ni r \mapsto \mu(B(x, r)) \in (0, \infty)$ is continuous for each fixed point $x \in X$, we have that $\mu(\{y \in X \mid d(x, y) = r\}) = 0$ for all $x \in X$ and r > 0 by [18, Lemma 8.5]. Moreover, because X is non-degenerate, $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$ for every $x \in X$, in particular $\mu(\{x_0\}) = 0$ for the fixed point $x_0 \in X$. Additionally, every open ball with positive radius is of positive measure, so X has no isolated points, which implies that X is infinite. Since X is separable, the space $L^p(X)$ is homeomorphic to ℓ_2 by Theorem 1.4. Observe that for each $x \in X$ and each r > 0, $\mu(B(x, r) \triangle B(y, r)) \rightarrow 0$ as $y \rightarrow x$. By Proposition 3.1, the subspace $\text{LIP}_b(X)$ is σ -compact. As is easily observed, $\text{LIP}_b(X)$ is convex in $L^p(X)$. Due to Proposition 3.4, $\text{LIP}_b(X)$ contains the infinite-dimensional compact convex set L(n) which is homeomorphic to \mathbf{Q} . According to Proposition 4.2, $\text{LIP}_b(X)$ is dense in $L^p(X)$. Applying Theorem 4.1, the pair $(L^p(X), \text{LIP}_b(X))$ is homeomorphic to $(\ell_2 \times \mathbf{Q}, \ell_2^f \times \mathbf{Q})$. \Box

References

- R.D. Anderson, Hilbert space is homeomorphic to the countable infinite product of lines, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1966), 515–519.
- [2] H. Brezis, Functional analysis, Sobolev spaces, and partial differential equations, Universitext. Springer, New York, 2011.
- [3] R. Cauty, Les fonctions continues et les fonctions intégrables au sens de Riemann comme sous-espaces de L₁, Fund. Math. 139 (1991), 23–36.
- [4] D.L. Cohn, Measure Theory, Second edition, Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basler Lehrbücher, Birkhäuser / Springer, New York, 2013.

KATSUHISA KOSHINO

- [5] D.W. Curtis, T. Dobrowolski and J. Mogilski, Some applications of the topological characterizations of the sigma-compact spaces ℓ_2^f and Σ , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 284 (1984), 837–846.
- [6] M. Gaczkowski and P. Górka, Harmonic functions on metric measure spaces: convergence and compactness, (English summary) Potential Anal. 31 (2009), no. 3, 203–214.
- [7] P. Górka and A. Macios, The Riesz-Kolmogorov theorem on metric spaces, (English summary) Miskolc Math. Notes 15 (2014), 459–465.
- [8] P. Górka and H. Rafeiro, From Arzelà-Ascoli to Riesz-Kolmogorov, (English summary) Nonlinear Anal. 144 (2016), 23–31.
- [9] H. Hanche-Olsen and H. Holden, The Kolmogorov-Riesz compactness theorem, (English summary) Expo. Math. 28 (2010), 385–394.
- [10] J. Heinonen, Lectures on analysis on metric spaces, Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- M.I. Kadec, A proof the topological equivalence of all separable infinite-dimensional Banach spaces (Russian), Funkcional Anal. i Priložen, 1 (1967), 61–70.
- [12] A.N. Kolmogorov, Uber Kompaktheit der Funktionenmengen bei der Konvergenz im Mittel, Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen 9 (1931), 60–63.
- [13] K. Koshino, The space consisting of uniformly continuous functions on a metric measure space with the L^p norm, Topology Appl. 282 (2020), 107303.
- [14] E.J. McShane, Extension of range of functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 40 (1934), 837–842.
- [15] M. Riesz, Sur les ensembles compacts de fonctions sommables, Acta Szeged Sect. Math. 6 (1933), 136–142.
- [16] K. Sakai, Geometric Aspects of General Topology, Springer, SMM, Springer, Tokyo, 2013.
- [17] H. Toruńczyk, On CE-images of the Hilbert cube and characterization of Q-manifolds, Fund. Math. 106 (1980), 31–40.
- [18] J. Yeh, Metric in measure spaces, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Singapore, 2020.

(Katsuhisa Koshino) FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, KANAGAWA UNIVERSITY, YOKOHAMA, 221-8686, JAPAN *Email address:* ft160229no@kanagawa-u.ac.jp