

On Transition Density Functions of Skew Brownian Motions with Two-Valued Drift

Shuwen Lou

October 7, 2022

Abstract

In this article, based on the results in [6], we derive the explicit full family of transition density functions of skew Brownian motion (SBM in abbreviation) with two-valued drift for all $t > 0$. As an important step of this result, it is also shown in this paper that SBM with two-valued drift is a strong Markov process by finding its symmetrizing measure and canonical scale function, from which one can tell what values of the drift make such a process transient or recurrent.

AMS 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 60J35, 60J45, 60J65, 60J60.

Keywords and phrases: Skew Brownian motion, two-valued drift, Dirichlet forms, h -transform, transition density functions.

1 Introduction

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space and let $\{B_t, \mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0\}$ be a standard Brownian motion (BM in abbreviation) with its natural filtration. Consider the following SDE:

$$dY_t = dB_t + m(Y_t)dt + (2p - 1)dL_t^0, \quad Y_0 = y_0, \quad (1.1)$$

where $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < p < 1$,

$$m(x) = m_1 \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{x \geq 0\}} + m_2 \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{x < 0\}}, \quad m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.2)$$

and $(L_t^0)_{t \geq 0}$ is the symmetric semimartingale local time of Y at 0 in the sense that

$$L_t^0 = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_s \in [-\epsilon, \epsilon]\}} ds.$$

Such an SDE is known to have a unique weak solution (see the first paragraph of the proof to Theorem 2.1 for details), which is called an *SBM with a two-valued drift*. In the classic work [8], standard Brownian motion with a two-valued drift (i.e., $p = 1/2$ in (1.1)) was studied, where both the transition density functions and the joint distributions of the process itself and its functionals were obtained. Similar results were obtained for SBM with a constant drift (i.e., $m_1 = m_2$ in (1.2)) in [1, 2].

In financial mathematics, SBM with a two-valued drift can be viewed as the rescaled process of options following two-valued local volatility model (two-valued LVM), see, e.g., [6] and the references therein. In practice, option prices under LVM can be numerically calculated by solving

numerically the corresponding partial differential equations. Because of this, finding the explicit transition density functions of Y which can be numerically approximated is of great interest. In [6, Theorem 2], using the method of discrete approximation, the explicit density function of the position of SBM with two-valued drift starting from 0 is obtained. To state their result, denote by $p(t, 0, x)$ the density function of the position of Y starting from 0 with respect to the Lebesgue measure, i.e., for any Borel measurable set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ and any $t > 0$,

$$\int_A p(t, 0, x) dx = \mathbb{P}^0 [Y_t \in A],$$

where \mathbb{P}^0 stands for the distribution of Y starting from $Y_0 = 0$.

As a continuation of [6], in this paper we further answer a few questions unanswered in [6]. It is proved in this paper that SBM with two-valued drifts are continuous strong Markov processes, and we present their symmetrizing measures and canonical scale functions in Theorem 2.1. Based upon this, as the main result of this paper, we derive the full family of transition density functions $\{p(t, x, y)\}_{t>0, x, y \in \mathbb{R}}$ of Y as the following theorem states.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $m_* := |m_1| \wedge |m_2|$, and $m^* = |m_1| \vee |m_2|$. Denote the transition densities of Y with respect to Lebesgue measure by $p(t, x, y)$. It holds*

(i) For $x > 0, y \leq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2(1-p)}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} e^{-m_1 x + m_2 y - \frac{(m^*)^2 t}{2}} \int_0^\infty (l - y + x) e^{-lp m_1 + l(1-p)m_2 - \frac{(l-y+x)^2}{2t}} dl \leq p(t, x, y) \\ & \leq \frac{2(1-p)}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} e^{-m_1 x + m_2 y - \frac{m_*^2 t}{2}} \int_0^\infty (l - y + x) e^{-lp m_1 + l(1-p)m_2 - \frac{(l-y+x)^2}{2t}} dl; \end{aligned}$$

(ii) For $x > 0, y > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2p}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} e^{m_1(y-x) - \frac{(m^*)^2 t}{2}} \int_0^\infty (l + y + x) e^{-lm_1 p + lm_2(1-p) - \frac{(l+y+x)^2}{2t}} dl \\ & + \frac{e^{-\frac{m_1^2}{2} + m_1(y-x)}}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \left(e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{2t}} - e^{-\frac{|x+y|^2}{2t}} \right) \leq p(t, x, y) \\ & \leq \frac{2p}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} e^{m_1(y-x) - \frac{m_*^2 t}{2}} \int_0^\infty (l + y + x) e^{-lm_1 p + lm_2(1-p) - \frac{(l+y+x)^2}{2t}} dl \\ & + \frac{e^{-\frac{m_1^2}{2} + m_1(y-x)}}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \left(e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{2t}} - e^{-\frac{|x+y|^2}{2t}} \right); \end{aligned}$$

(iii) For $x < 0, y \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2p}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} e^{-m_2 x + m_1 y - \frac{(m^*)^2 t}{2}} \int_0^\infty (l + y - x) e^{-lp m_1 + l(1-p)m_2 - \frac{(l+y-x)^2}{2t}} dl \leq p(t, x, y) \\ & \leq \frac{2p}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} e^{-m_2 x + m_1 y - \frac{m_*^2 t}{2}} \int_0^\infty (l + y - x) e^{-lp m_1 + l(1-p)m_2 - \frac{(l+y-x)^2}{2t}} dl; \end{aligned}$$

(iv) For $x < 0, y < 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2(1-p)}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} e^{m_2(y-x) - \frac{(m^*)^2 t}{2}} \int_0^\infty (l-y-x) e^{-lm_1 p + lm_2(1-p) - \frac{(l-y-x)^2}{2t}} dl \\
& + \frac{e^{-\frac{m_2^2}{2} + m_2(y-x)}}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \left(e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{2t}} - e^{-\frac{|x+y|^2}{2t}} \right) \leq p(t, x, y) \\
& \leq \frac{2(1-p)}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} e^{m_2(y-x) - \frac{m_*^2 t}{2}} \int_0^\infty (l-y-x) e^{-lm_1 p + lm_2(1-p) - \frac{(l-y-x)^2}{2t}} dl \\
& + \frac{e^{-\frac{m_2^2}{2} + m_2(y-x)}}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \left(e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{2t}} - e^{-\frac{|x+y|^2}{2t}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 1.2. (i) For each of the four cases above, when $m^* = m_*$, i.e., $|m_1| = |m_2|$, the upper bound and lower bound match.

(ii) Since the case $x = 0$ is already covered by [6, Theorem 2] (restated in (3.5)), the entire family of $\{p(t, x, y)_{t>0, x, y \in \mathbb{R}}\}$ is found.

(iii) Although $\{p(t, x, y)_{t>0, x, y \in \mathbb{R}}\}$ can also be expressed explicitly using the Duhamel's principle (see, e.g., [13, Lemma 3.17] and the proof therein), as Y is just a SBM with an explicit drift, the expression via Duhamel's principle is in the format of an infinite sum of multiple integrals, and the n^{th} integral in the sum is an n -fold integral, for $n \geq 1$. From the practical perspective, our result is significantly better, especially since such types of processes arise from financial mathematics.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we first derive the Dirichlet form and the symmetrizing measure associated with Y , which are given in Theorem 2.1, which also states the criteria for the transience or the recurrence of Y . Proposition 2.2 establishes the existence of the transition density function of Y using the classic argument in [15]. Proposition 2.10 is an important step to the proof of our main result, Theorem 1.1, which is the explicit transition density function of Y killed upon hitting 0. With all the preparation in Section 2, the proof to Theorem 1.1 is given in Section 3.

In this paper we follow the convention that, for a strong Markov process X with state space E , for any compact set $K \subset E$, we define $\sigma_K := \inf\{t > 0, X_t \in K\}$. For any open domain $D \subset E$, we define $\tau_D := \inf\{t > 0 : X_t \notin D\}$. Also as a convention, for a strong Markov process, we use \mathbb{P}^x and \mathbb{E}^x to represent the probabilities and the expectations related to this process starting from x in its state space.

2 Some Potential Theory for Skew Brownian Motion with Two-Valued Drift

Given the SDE in (1.1) with the pair $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, in this paper we set

$$\ell(dx) := e^{2m_1 x} dx|_{[0, \infty)} + \frac{(1-p)}{p} e^{2m_2 x} dx|_{(-\infty, 0)}. \quad (2.1)$$

The following theorem shows that ℓ is the symmetrizing measure for Y by giving its associated Dirichlet form on $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \ell)$.

Theorem 2.1. *The SDE given by (1.1) is well-posed (i.e., having weak solutions with path-wise uniqueness), and its solution Y is a symmetric diffusion process on \mathbb{R} associated with the following Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}^Y, \mathcal{F}^Y)$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \ell)$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^Y &= \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \ell) : f \text{ absolutely continuous on } \mathbb{R}, f' \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \ell)\}, \\ \mathcal{E}^Y(f, g) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f'(x)g'(x)\ell(dx), \quad f, g \in \mathcal{F}^Y, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where f' stands for the weak derivative with respect to ℓ for all $f \in \mathcal{F}^Y$. Furthermore, Y is recurrent if and only if both $m_1 \leq 0$ and $m_2 \geq 0$ are satisfied. Otherwise Y is transient.

Proof. Well-posedness: Using the notations in [11, Theorem 7.1], the SDE given by (1.1) corresponds to

$$\rho(x) = e^{2m_1x} \mathbf{1}_{[0, \infty)}(x) + \frac{(1-p)}{p} e^{2m_2x} \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, 0)}(x)$$

and

$$\mu(dx) = m_1 \cdot dz|_{(0, +\infty)} + m_2 \cdot dz|_{(-\infty, 0)} + (2p-1)\delta_{\{0\}}(dz).$$

It is therefore easy to verify that the conditions in [11, Theorem 7.1] are satisfied. Thus (1.1) is well-posed with a unique weak solution.

On account of [4, Lemma 2.2.7], one can tell that the bilinear form $(\mathcal{E}^Y, \mathcal{F}^Y)$ given in (2.2) is indeed a Dirichlet form on $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \ell)$ with canonical scale function

$$s(x) = \int_0^x \left(\frac{\ell(dr)}{dr} \right)^{-1} dr,$$

where $\frac{\ell(dr)}{dr}$ stands for the Radon-Nikodym derivative of ℓ with respect to the one-dimensional Lebesgue measure. That is,

$$s(x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{(1-p)}{p} \int_x^0 e^{-2m_2r} dr, & x < 0; \\ 0, & x = 0; \\ \int_0^x e^{-2m_1r} dr, & x > 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

From the above we see that:

- $s(+\infty) = +\infty$ if and only if $m_1 \leq 0$. When $m_1 < 0$, for any $c \in (-\infty, +\infty)$, $\ell((c, +\infty))$ is a positive constant in $(0, +\infty)$.
- $s(-\infty) = -\infty$ if and only if $m_2 \geq 0$. When $m_2 > 0$, for any $c \in (-\infty, +\infty)$, $\ell((-\infty, c))$ is a positive constant in $(-\infty, 0)$.

In view of the discussion in [4, §2.2.3], it follows that

- When $m_1 \leq 0$, $+\infty$ is a non-approachable endpoint for \mathbb{R} . When $m_1 > 0$, it is an approachable but non-reguar endpoint.
- When $m_2 \geq 0$, $-\infty$ is a non-approachable endpoint for \mathbb{R} . When $m_2 < 0$, it is an approachable but non-reguar endpoint.

It now follows from [4, Proposition 2.2.10] that $(\mathcal{E}^Y, \mathcal{F}^Y)$ is a regular, strongly local, and irreducible Dirichlet form on \mathbb{R} , which therefore has an ℓ -symmetric diffusion process associated with it. Furthermore, such a process is recurrent if and only if both $m_1 \leq 0$ and $m_2 \geq 0$ are satisfied. Otherwise, it is transient.

Finally, to complete the proof, a standard argument using Fukushima decomposition can show that such a diffusion process is indeed the solution to (1.1) in view of its well-posedness. Below we spell out the details for readers' convenience. Take $f(r) := r \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{loc}}^Y$ and consider the Fukushima's decomposition for f :

$$f(Y_t) - f(Y_0) = M_t^f + N_t^f.$$

The martingale part M^f is determined by its energy measure $\mu_{\langle f \rangle}$ and for any $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ (see [5, Theorem 5.5.2]),

$$\int g d\mu_{\langle f \rangle} = 2\mathcal{E}^Y(fg, f) - \mathcal{E}^Y(f^2, g) = \int g d\ell.$$

It follows that $\mu_{\langle f \rangle} = \ell$ and hence M^f is equivalent to a standard Brownian motion. For the zero-energy part N^f , by one-dimensional integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathcal{E}^Y(f, g) &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g'(x) \ell(dx) \\ &= \frac{(2p-1)}{2p} \cdot g(0) + m_1 \int_0^\infty g(x) e^{2m_1 x} dx + \frac{m_2(1-p)}{p} \int_{-\infty}^0 g(x) e^{2m_2 x} dx \\ &= \frac{(2p-1)}{2p} \cdot g(0) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x) (m_1 \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{x>0\}} + m_2 \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{x<0\}}) \ell(dx). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Thus [5, Corollary 5.5.1] yields that N^f is of bounded variation, and its associated signed smooth measure is

$$\mu_{N^f} = \frac{(2p-1)}{2p} \cdot \delta_0 + m(x) \ell(dx),$$

where $m(x)$ is given in (1.2). This yields that

$$Y_t - Y_0 = B_t + \int_0^t m(Y_s) ds + \frac{(2p-1)}{2p} \cdot l_t^0, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (2.5)$$

where (B_t) is a certain standard Brownian motion and $l^0 := (l_t^0)_{t \geq 0}$ is the local time of Y at 0, i.e. is the positive continuous additive functional of M having Revuz measure δ_0 . Finally, in order to convert l^0 to the corresponding semimartingale local time, using [11, Lemma 4.3] we get

$$L_t^0 = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1-p}{p} \right) l_t^0 = \frac{1}{2p} l_t^0,$$

which finishes the proof. \square

Proposition 2.2. *The one-dimensional diffusion process Y admits a family of transition density functions $\{p(t, x, y)\}_{t>0, x, y \in \mathbb{R}}$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} .*

Proof. The diffusion process Y can be obtained from the skew Brownian motion Z characterized by the following SDE through a drift perturbation (i.e. Girsanov transform):

$$dZ_t = dB_t + (2p-1)dL_t^0(Z),$$

whose explicit transition density is known, see, e.g., [14]. In view of the definition of Kato-class functions (see, e.g., [13, Definition 1.3]), the function m given in (1.2) satisfies that $|m|^2 \in \mathbf{K}_1$ on \mathbb{R} . Therefore, the argument in [15] shows the existence of the transition densities of Y . \square

To continue, we first establish the existence and the explicit expression of the transition density functions of Y killed upon hitting 0. In other words, in the next proposition we find a family of functions $\{q(t, x, y)\}_{t>0, x, y \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}}$ such that for any non-negative function $f \geq 0$ on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} q(t, x, y) f(y) dy = \mathbb{E}^x (f(Y_t); t < \sigma_{\{0\}}). \quad (2.6)$$

For $x = 0$ or $y = 0$, we make the convention $q(t, x, y) := 0$. The following proposition gives a neat computation for the explicit expression of $q(t, x, y)$ via an h -transform using Dirichlet form theory. For readers' convenience, we record the definition of h -transform as follows, which can be found in [7, Definition 5.5].

Definition 2.3 (h -transform). *Let $(\mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{E}))$ on $L^2(M, \mu)$ with associated semigroup $(P_t)_{t>0}$ and infinitesimal generator $(L, \mathfrak{D}(L))$. Let h be a measurable positive function on M . Let H denote the multiplication by h viewed as a unitary operator*

$$H : L^2(M, h^2 d\mu) \rightarrow L^2(M, d\mu), \quad f \mapsto hf.$$

Define $(\mathfrak{E}_h, \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{E}_h))$, L_h , and $P_{h,t}$ to be, respectively, the pulled back closed bilinear form, operator, and semigroup on $L^2(M, h^2 d\mu)$

$$\mathfrak{E}_h(f, g) = \mathfrak{E}(hf, hg), \quad \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{E}_h) = H^{-1} \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{E}); \quad (2.7)$$

$$L_h = H^{-1} \circ L \circ H, \quad \mathfrak{D}(L_h) = H^{-1} \mathfrak{D}(L); \quad (2.8)$$

$$P_{h,t} = H^{-1} \circ P_t \circ H. \quad (2.9)$$

$(\mathfrak{E}_h, \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{E}_h))$ is called the h -transform of $(\mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{E}))$.

From this definition one can see that when $(\mathfrak{E}_h, \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{E}_h))$ is Markovian (i.e., normal contractions operate on it), it is a Dirichlet form on $L^2(M, h^2 d\mu)$ associated with self-adjoint semigroup $(P_{h,t})_{t>0}$ and self-adjoint infinitesimal generator L_h .

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\{q(t, x, y)\}_{t>0, x, y \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}}$ be as defined in (2.6).*

$$q(t, x, y) = \frac{e^{-\frac{m_1^2}{2} - m_1 x + m_1 y}}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \left(e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{2t}} - e^{-\frac{|x+y|^2}{2t}} \right), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+, t > 0 \quad (2.10)$$

Proof. In this proof, we let \mathbb{R}_+ be $(0, +\infty)$ not including 0. We denote by $Y^{\mathbb{R}_+}$ the part process of Y on \mathbb{R}_+ , i.e., killed upon hitting $(-\infty, 0]$. $Y^{\mathbb{R}_+}$ is characterized by the following Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^Y, \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^Y)$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \ell)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^Y &= \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \ell) : f \text{ absolutely continuous on } \mathbb{R}, f' \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \ell), f = 0 \text{ q.e. on } (-\infty, 0]\}, \\ \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^Y(f, g) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f'(x) g'(x) \ell(dx), \quad f, g \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^Y. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Therefore, by setting $\phi(x) := e^{m_1 x}$ on \mathbb{R}_+ , the Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^Y, \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^Y)$ can be viewed as the h -transform of the following Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, dx)$ with $h = \phi$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G} &= \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx) : u/\phi \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}_+}^Y\}, \\ \mathcal{A}(u, v) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \left(\frac{u}{\phi}\right)' \left(\frac{v}{\phi}\right)' \phi^2(x) dx, \quad u, v \in \mathcal{G}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Denote the Dirichlet form associated with the standard Brownian motion killed upon exiting \mathbb{R}_+ by $(W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+), \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{D})$. It is known that $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is a core of $(W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+), \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{D})$. Since ϕ is positive and C^∞ on \mathbb{R}_+ , we know $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is also a core of $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$. Now take any $u, v \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}(u, v) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{u'\phi - u\phi'}{\phi^2} \cdot \frac{v'\phi - v\phi'}{\phi^2} \cdot \phi^2 dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} (u'(x)e^{m_1x} - u(x) \cdot m_1e^{m_1x}) (v'(x)e^{m_1x} - v(x) \cdot m_1e^{m_1x}) e^{-2m_1x} dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} u'(x)v'(x)dx + \frac{m_1^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} u(x)v(x)dx - \frac{m_1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} (u(x)v'(x) + u'(x)v(x)) dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} u'(x)v'(x)dx + \frac{m_1^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} u(x)v(x)dx. \tag{2.13}
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that the Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$ corresponds to part Brownian motion on \mathbb{R}_+ subject to a constant killing rate $m_1^2/2$. In view of the transition density of part Brownian motion on \mathbb{R}_+ (see, e.g., [14, Exercise 1.15]), we know the transition density functions of $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure are

$$p^{(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})}(t, x, y) = \frac{e^{-\frac{m_1^2}{2}t}}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \left(e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{2t}} - e^{-\frac{|x+y|^2}{2t}} \right), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+, t > 0. \tag{2.14}$$

Thus by [7, Lemma 5.6], the transition density functions of $Y^{\mathbb{R}_+}$ with respect to ℓ are

$$p^{Y^{\mathbb{R}_+}}(t, x, y) = \frac{p^{(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})}(t, x, y)}{\phi(x)\phi(y)} = \frac{e^{-\frac{m_1^2}{2}t - m_1(x+y)}}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \left(e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{2t}} - e^{-\frac{|x+y|^2}{2t}} \right), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+, t > 0.$$

Finally, since $q(t, x, y)$ stands for the transition density functions of $Y^{\mathbb{R}_+}$ with respect to Lebesgue measure, the proof is complete by a change of measure. \square

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

First of all, in view of the fact that starting from $x > 0$, before hitting 0, Y has the same distribution as a one-dimensional Brownian motion with a constant drift m_1 on \mathbb{R} , it follows immediately from [9, p.197, (5.12)] that starting from $x > 0$, the hitting time $\sigma_{\{0\}} := \inf\{t > 0 : Y_t = 0\}$ has the following distribution density:

$$\mathbb{P}^x [\sigma_{\{0\}} \in ds] = \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{2\pi s^3}} e^{-\frac{(m_1s+x)^2}{2s}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+, s > 0. \tag{3.1}$$

By the definition of $q(t, x, y)$ in (2.6), setting

$$\bar{p}(t, x, y) = p(t, x, y) - q(t, x, y), \tag{3.2}$$

it holds for any non-negative function $f \geq 0$ on \mathbb{R} that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{p}(t, x, y) f(y) dy = \mathbb{E}^x [f(Y_t); t \geq \sigma_{\{0\}}], \tag{3.3}$$

i.e., $\bar{p}(t, x, \cdot)$ is the density of Y at time t starting from x , provided that the path hits 0 before t . Thus by the Markov property of Y ,

$$\bar{p}(t, x, y) = \int_0^t p(t-s, 0, y) \mathbb{P}^x [\sigma_{\{0\}} \in ds]. \quad (3.4)$$

Let $p(t, 0, x, l)$ be the joint density of (Y_t, L_t^0) given $Y_0 = 0$. [6, Theorem 2] gives that

$$\begin{aligned} p(t, 0, x, l) = & \int_0^t \int_0^u \frac{a(x) \cdot l^2 \cdot p(1-p) \cdot |x|}{\sqrt{2} (\pi v(u-v)(t-u))^{3/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{l^2}{2} \left(\frac{p^2}{v} + \frac{(1-p)^2}{u-v} \right) \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{x^2}{2(t-u)} - \frac{m_1^2 v + m_2^2 (t-v)}{2} - lp(m_1 + m_2) + lm_2 + x \cdot m(x) \right\} dvdu, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, l > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where $a(x) := p\mathbf{1}_{\{x>0\}} + (1-p)\mathbf{1}_{\{x\leq 0\}}$. Denote by Y^0 the solution to (1.1) when $m_1 = m_2 = 0$, i.e.,

$$dY_t^0 = dB_t + (2p-1)dL_t^{0,0}, \quad Y_0^0 = 0, \quad (3.6)$$

where $L_t^{0,0}$ stands for its symmetric local time at 0. Let $p^0(t, 0, x, l)$ be the joint density of $(Y_t^0, L_t^{0,0})$ given $Y_0^0 = 0$. Also let $\sigma_{\{0\}}^0 := \inf\{t > 0 : Y_t^0 = 0\}$. In the following, by comparing $p(t, 0, x, l)$ with $p^0(t, 0, x, l)$, we relate $p(t, x, y)$ to $p^0(t, x, y, l)$, which has explicit expressions given by [1, 2, Corollary 3.3]. We first claim that:

$$\mathbb{P}^x [Y_t \in dy, L_t^0 \in dl, t > \sigma_{\{0\}}] = \int_{s=0}^t \mathbb{P}^0 [Y_{t-s} \in dy, L_{t-s}^0 \in dl] \mathbb{P}^x [\sigma_{\{0\}} \in ds]. \quad (3.7)$$

To justify (3.7), we first note by [3, (1.12)] and the fact that local times are perfect additive functions (or [14, Chapter X, Proposition 1.2], in which the claim is made for Brownian local times, but the same proof can be adapted to our case) that for any positive random variable S and any $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$L_{S+\sigma_{\{0\}}}^0 = L_{\sigma_{\{0\}}}^0 + L_S^0(\theta_{\sigma_{\{0\}}}) = L_S^0(\theta_{\sigma_{\{0\}}}), \quad \mathbb{P}^x\text{-a.s.}$$

Thus by the strong Markov property of Y ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}^x [Y_t \in dy, L_t^0 \in dl, t > \sigma_{\{0\}}] \\ = & \mathbb{P}^x \left[\mathbb{E} \left[Y_t \in dy, L_{t-\sigma_{\{0\}}} \circ \theta_{\sigma_{\{0\}}} \in dl \middle| \mathcal{F}_{\sigma_{\{0\}}} \right]; t > \sigma_{\{0\}} \right] \\ = & \mathbb{P}^x \left[\mathbb{P}^0 \left[Y_{t-\sigma_{\{0\}}} \in dy, L_{t-\sigma_{\{0\}}} \in dl \right]; t > \sigma_{\{0\}} \right] \\ = & \int_{s=0}^t \mathbb{P}^0 [Y_{t-s} \in dy, L_{t-s}^0 \in dl] \mathbb{P}^x [\sigma_{\{0\}} \in ds]. \end{aligned}$$

This justifies (3.7). In the following we divide our discussion into four cases, depending on whether x, y are greater than 0 or not.

Case 1. $x > 0, y \leq 0$. For this case, on account of the continuity and Markov property of Y ,

$$p(t, x, y) = \int_0^t p(t-s, 0, y) \mathbb{P}^x [\sigma_{\{0\}} \in ds]. \quad (3.8)$$

In (3.5), noticing that $\frac{m_1^2 v + m_2^2(t-v)}{2} \geq \frac{m_*^2 t}{2}$, we have for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& p(t, 0, x, l) \\
& \leq e^{-\frac{m_*^2 t}{2} - lp(m_1+m_2) + lm_2 + x \cdot m(x)} \int_0^t \int_0^u \frac{a(x)l^2 p(1-p)|x|}{\sqrt{2}(\pi v(u-v)(t-u))^{3/2}} e^{-\frac{i^2}{2} \left(\frac{v^2}{v} + \frac{(1-p)^2}{u-v} \right) - \frac{x^2}{2(t-u)}} dv du \\
& = e^{-\frac{m_*^2 t}{2} - lp(m_1+m_2) + lm_2 + x \cdot m(x)} \cdot p^0(t, 0, x, l).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Similarly,

$$p(t, 0, x, l) \geq e^{-\frac{(m^*)^2 t}{2} - lp(m_1+m_2) + lm_2 + x \cdot m(x)} \cdot p^0(t, 0, x, l). \tag{3.10}$$

Therefore, the right hand side of (3.8) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
p(t, x, y) &= \int_0^t p(t-s, 0, y) \mathbb{P}^x [\sigma_{\{0\}} \in ds] \\
&\stackrel{\text{Fubini}}{=} \int_0^\infty \int_0^t p(t-s, 0, y, l) \mathbb{P}^x [\sigma_{\{0\}} \in ds] dl \\
&\stackrel{(3.9)}{\leq} \int_0^\infty \int_0^t e^{-\frac{m_*^2(t-s)}{2} - lp(m_1+m_2) + lm_2 + y \cdot m(y)} \cdot p^0(t-s, 0, x, l) \mathbb{P}_x [\sigma_{\{0\}} \in ds] dl \\
&\stackrel{(3.1)}{=} \int_0^\infty \int_0^t e^{-\frac{m_*^2(t-s)}{2} - lp(m_1+m_2) + lm_2 + m_2 y} \cdot p^0(t-s, 0, y, l) \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{2\pi s^3}} e^{-\frac{(m_1 s + x)^2}{2s}} ds dl \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_0^t e^{-\frac{m_*^2(t-s)}{2} - lp(m_1+m_2) + lm_2 + m_2 y} \cdot p^0(t-s, 0, y, l) \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{2\pi s^3}} e^{-\frac{m_*^2 s}{2} - m_1 x - \frac{x^2}{2s}} ds dl \\
&\leq \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{m_*^2 t}{2} - lp(m_1+m_2) + lm_2 + m_2 y - m_1 x} \int_0^t p^0(t-s, 0, y, l) \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{2\pi s^3}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2s}} ds dl \\
&= \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{m_*^2 t}{2} - lp(m_1+m_2) + lm_2 + m_2 y - m_1 x} \int_0^t p^0(t-s, 0, y, l) \mathbb{P}_x [\sigma_{\{0\}}^0 \in ds] dl \\
&\stackrel{(3.7)}{=} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{m_*^2 t}{2} - lp(m_1+m_2) + lm_2 + m_2 y - m_1 x} p^0(t, x, y, l) dl.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

In view of (3.10), the lower bound for $p(t, x, y, l)$ can be shown similarly by replacing all the m_* in (3.11) with m^* , i.e., $p(t, x, y)$ has the following two-sided bounds:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{(m^*)^2 t}{2} - lp(m_1+m_2) + lm_2 + m_2 y - m_1 x} p^0(t, x, y, l) dl \\
& \leq p(t, x, y) \leq \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{m_*^2 t}{2} - lp(m_1+m_2) + lm_2 + m_2 y - m_1 x} p^0(t, x, y, l) dl.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

The conclusion for this case follows in view of [1, 2, Corollary 3.3] which gives

$$p^0(t, x, y, l) = \frac{2(1-p)(l-y+x)}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} e^{-\frac{(l-y+x)^2}{2t}}, \quad l > 0, y \leq 0.$$

Case 2. $x > 0, y > 0$. For this case,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}^x [Y_t \in dy] &= \mathbb{P}[Y_t \in dy, \sigma_{\{0\}} \geq t] + \mathbb{P}^x [Y_t \in dy, \sigma_{\{0\}} < t] \\
&\stackrel{(2.6)}{=} q(t, x, y) dy + \mathbb{P}^x [Y_t \in dy, \sigma_{\{0\}} < t] \\
&\stackrel{(3.4)}{=} q(t, x, y) dy + \int_0^t \mathbb{P}^0 [Y_{t-s} \in dy] \mathbb{P}^x [\sigma_{\{0\}} \in ds].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

The first term on the right hand side above can be replaced with (2.10). For the second term, similar to the computation for (3.11),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t \mathbb{P}^0[Y_{t-s} \in dy] \mathbb{P}^x[\sigma_{\{0\}} \in ds] \\
&= \int_0^t \int_0^\infty p(t-s, 0, y, l) dl dy \mathbb{P}^x[\sigma_{\{0\}} \in ds] \\
(3.9) \quad &\leq \int_0^t \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{m_*^2(t-s)}{2} - lp(m_1+m_2) + lm_2 + m_1 y} \cdot p^0(t-s, 0, x, l) dl dy \mathbb{P}_x[\sigma_{\{0\}} \in ds] \\
&\leq \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{m_*^2 t}{2} - lp(m_1+m_2) + lm_2 + m_1 y - m_1 x} p^0(t, x, y, l) dl dy, \tag{3.14}
\end{aligned}$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t \mathbb{P}^0[Y_{t-s} \in dy] \mathbb{P}^x[\sigma_{\{0\}} \in ds] \\
&\geq \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{(m^*)^2 t}{2} - lp(m_1+m_2) + lm_2 + m_1 y - m_1 x} p^0(t, x, y, l) dl dy, \tag{3.15}
\end{aligned}$$

[1, 2, Corollary 3.3] gives

$$p^0(t, x, y, l) = \frac{2p(l+y+x)}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} e^{-\frac{(l+y+x)^2}{2t}}, \quad l > 0, y \leq 0. \tag{3.16}$$

Replacing the $p^0(t, x, y, l)$ in (3.14) and (3.15) with the right hand side of (3.16) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2p}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} e^{-\frac{(m^*)^2 t}{2} + m_1(y-x)} \int_0^\infty (l+y+x) e^{-lp(m_1+m_2) + lm_2 - \frac{(l+y+x)^2}{2t}} dl dy \\
&\leq \int_0^t \mathbb{P}^0[Y_{t-s} \in dy] \mathbb{P}^x[\sigma_{\{0\}} \in ds] \\
&\leq \frac{2p}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} e^{-\frac{m_*^2 t}{2} + m_1(y-x)} \int_0^\infty (l+y+x) e^{-lp(m_1+m_2) + lm_2 - \frac{(l+y+x)^2}{2t}} dl dy. \tag{3.17}
\end{aligned}$$

In view of (3.13), (3.17) combined with (2.10) gives the desired result for Case 2.

To deal with cases (iii) and (iv) in Theorem 1.1, i.e., when $x < 0$, we denote by $\tilde{Y} := -Y$. By [9, p.218, Theorem 7.1], noticing that the symmetric semimartingale local time of Y and \tilde{Y} (whose existence is guaranteed also by [9, p.218, Theorem 7.1]) at 0 are the same, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d\tilde{Y}_t &= -m(Y_t)dt - dB_t - (2p-1)dL_t^0(Y) \\
&= -(m_1 \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_t \geq 0\}} + m_2 \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_t < 0\}}) dt - dB_t - (2p-1)dL_t^0(\tilde{Y}) \\
&= d\tilde{B}_t + \left((-m_2) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{\tilde{Y}_t > 0\}} + (-m_1) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{\tilde{Y}_t \leq 0\}} \right) dt + (2(1-p) - 1) dL_t^0(\tilde{Y}), \tag{3.18}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{B} := -B$ is also a standard Brownian motion. Since \tilde{Y} also does not have sojourn time at 0, we can characterize \tilde{Y} by the following SDE:

$$d\tilde{Y}_t = dB_t + \left((-m_2) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{\tilde{Y}_t \geq 0\}} + (-m_1) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{\tilde{Y}_t < 0\}} \right) dt + (2(1-p) - 1) dL_t^0(\tilde{Y}). \tag{3.19}$$

To complete cases (iii) and (iv) in Theorem 1.1, it suffices to notice that when $x < 0$, $p^Y(t, x, y) = p^{\tilde{Y}}(t, -x, -y)$ can be found by replacing m_1, m_2 and p with $-m_2, -m_1$, and $1-p$ in cases (i) and (ii), respectively.

References

- [1] T. Appuhamillage, V. Bokil, E. Thomann, E. Waymire, B. Wood, Corrections: Occupation and Local Times for Skew Brownian Motion with Applications to Dispersion Across an Interface. *Ann. of Appl. Probab.* **21**, (2011), no.5, 2050–2051.
- [2] T. Appuhamillage, V. Bokil, E. Thomann, E. Waymire, B. Wood (2011). Occupation and local times for skew Brownian motion with applications to dispersion across an interface. *Ann. of Appl. Probab.* **21**, (2011), no.1, 183–214.
- [3] R. M. Blumenthal and R. K. Gettoor, *Markov processes and potential theory*. Dover Publications, 2007.
- [4] Z.-Q. Chen and M. Fukushima, *Symmetric Markov Processes, Time Change and Boundary Theory*. Princeton University Press, 2011.
- [5] M. Fukushima, Y. Oshima, and M. Takeda, *Dirichlet Forms and Symmetric Markov Processes*, Second revised and extended edition. de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, vol. 19, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2011.
- [6] A. Gairat and V. Shcherbakov, Density of Skew Brownian motion and its functionals with application in finance. *Math. Financ.*, **27** (2017), no.4, 1069-1088.
- [7] P. Gyrya and L. Saloff-Coste, Neuman and Dirichlet heat kernels in inner uniform domains, *Astérisque*, **336**, (2011).
- [8] I. Karatzas, and S. Shreve, Trivariate density of Brownian motion, its local and occupation times, with application to stochastic control. *Ann. of Appl. Probab.* **12** (1984), no.3, 819–828.
- [9] I. Karatzas and S. E. Shreve, *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*. Springer, 1988.
- [10] J. Jacob and A. N. Shiryaev, *Limit Theorems for Stochastic Processes*. Springer, Berlin, 1987.
- [11] L. Li. On general skew Brownian motions. Available at <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1812.08415.pdf>.
- [12] L. Li and S. Lou, Distorted Brownian motions on space with varying dimension. Available at arxiv.org/pdf/2008.06734.pdf.
- [13] S. Lou, Brownian motion with drift on spaces with varying dimension. *Stoch. Process. Their Appl.*, **129** (2019), no.6, 2086-2129.
- [14] D. Revuz and M. Yor, *Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion*, Springer, 1991.
- [15] Q. S. Zhang, Gaussian bounds for the fundamental solutions of $\nabla(A\nabla u) + B\nabla - u_t = 0$. *Manuscripta Math.* **93** (1997), 381-390.

Shuwen Lou

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Loyola University Chicago, Chicago, IL 60660, USA
E-mail: slou1@luc.edu