

# The combined effect in one space dimension beyond the general theory for nonlinear wave equations

Katsuaki Morisawa <sup>\*</sup>, Takiko Sasaki <sup>†</sup>,  
Hiroyuki Takamura <sup>‡</sup>

*Dedicated to Professor Tohru Ozawa on his sixtieth birthday*

**Keywords:** semilinear wave equation, one dimension, classical solution,  
lifespan, combined effect

**MSC2020:** primary 35L71, secondary 35B44

## Abstract

In this paper, we show the so-called “combined effect” of two different kinds of nonlinear terms for semilinear wave equations in one space dimension. Such a special phenomenon appears only in the case that the total integral of the initial speed is zero. It is remarkable that, including the combined effect case, our results on the lifespan estimates are partially better than those of the general theory for nonlinear wave equations.

## 1 Introduction

We consider the initial value problems;

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - u_{xx} = A|u_t|^p + B|u|^q & \text{in } \mathbf{R} \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) = \varepsilon f(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = \varepsilon g(x), & x \in \mathbf{R}, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

---

<sup>\*</sup>Musashi High School and Junior High School, 1-26-1 Toyotamakami, Nerima, Tokyo, 176-8535. e-mail: morisawa.katsuaki@musashi.ed.jp.

<sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Musashino University, 3-3-3 Ariake, Koto-ku, Tokyo 135-8181, Japan./ Mathematical Institute, Tohoku University, Aoba, Sendai 980-8578, Japan. e-mail: t-sasaki@musashino-u.ac.jp.

<sup>‡</sup>Mathematical Institute, Tohoku University, Aoba, Sendai 980-8578, Japan. e-mail: hiroyuki.takamura.a1@tohoku.ac.jp.

where  $p, q > 1$ ,  $A, B \geq 0$ ,  $f$  and  $g$  are given smooth functions of compact support and a parameter  $\varepsilon > 0$  is “small enough”. We are interested in the lifespan  $T(\varepsilon)$ , the maximal existence time, of classical solutions of (1.1). Our results in this paper are the following estimates for  $A > 0$  and  $B > 0$ ;

$$T(\varepsilon) \sim \min\{C\varepsilon^{-(p-1)}, C\varepsilon^{-(q-1)/2}\} \quad \text{if } \int_{\mathbf{R}} g(x)dx \neq 0 \quad (1.2)$$

and

$$T(\varepsilon) \sim \begin{cases} C\varepsilon^{-p(q-1)/(q+1)} & \text{for } \frac{q+1}{2} \leq p \leq q, \\ \min\{C\varepsilon^{-(p-1)}, C\varepsilon^{-q(q-1)/(q+1)}\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

if  $\int_{\mathbf{R}} g(x)dx = 0$ .

Here we denote the fact that there are positive constants,  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , independent of  $\varepsilon$  satisfying  $A(\varepsilon, C_1) \leq T(\varepsilon) \leq A(\varepsilon, C_2)$  by  $T(\varepsilon) \sim A(\varepsilon, C)$ . We note that (1.2) and (1.3) are established in case of  $p, q \in [2, \infty)$ , while we have to consider  $C^1$  solutions of associated integral equations to (1.1) in case of  $p \in (1, 2)$ , or  $q \in (1, 2)$ .

Recall that we have

$$T(\varepsilon) \sim C\varepsilon^{-(p-1)} \quad \text{for } A > 0 \text{ and } B = 0.$$

This result was verified by Zhou [16] for the upper bound, and by Li, Yu and Zhou [9, 10] for the lower bound with integer  $p \geq 2$  including more general nonlinear term. We call [9, 10] by “general theory” for nonlinear wave equations in one dimension. Recently, Kitamura, Morisawa and Takamura [8] have verified the lower bound for all  $p \geq 2$  including the case that nonlinear term has spatial weights as well as the one of  $C^1$  solutions of associated integral equations for  $1 < p < 2$ . On the other hand, Zhou [15] obtained

$$T(\varepsilon) \sim \begin{cases} C\varepsilon^{-(q-1)/2} & \text{if } \int_{\mathbf{R}} g(x)dx \neq 0, \\ C\varepsilon^{-q(q-1)/(q+1)} & \text{if } \int_{\mathbf{R}} g(x)dx = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{for } A = 0 \text{ and } B > 0.$$

Therefore (1.2) and (1.3) are quite natural as taking the minimum of both results except for the first case in (1.3), in which, we have

$$C\varepsilon^{-p(q-1)/(q+1)} \leq \min\{C\varepsilon^{-(p-1)}, C\varepsilon^{-q(q-1)/(q+1)}\} \quad \text{for } \frac{q+1}{2} \leq p \leq q.$$

We call this special phenomenon by “combined effect” of two nonlinearities. The combined effect was first observed by Han and Zhou [2] which targets to

show the optimality of the result of Katayama [6] on the lower bound of the lifespan of classical solutions of nonlinear wave equations with a nonlinear term  $u_t^3 + u^4$  in two space dimensions including more general nonlinear terms. It is known that  $T(\varepsilon) \sim \exp(C\varepsilon^{-2})$  for the nonlinear term  $u_t^3$  and  $T(\varepsilon) = \infty$  for the nonlinear term  $u^4$ , but Katayama [6] obtained only a much worse estimate than their minimum as  $T(\varepsilon) \geq c\varepsilon^{-18}$ . Surprisingly, more than ten years later, Han and Zhou [2] show that this result is optimal as  $T(\varepsilon) \leq C\varepsilon^{-18}$ . They also consider (1.1) for all space dimensions  $n$  bigger than 1 and obtain the upper bound of the lifespan. Its counter part, the lower bound of the lifespan, is obtained by Hidano, Wang and Yokoyama [3] for  $n = 2, 3$ . See the introduction of [3] for the precise results and references. We note that the first case in (1.3) coincides with the lifespan estimate for the combined effect in [2, 3] if one sets  $n = 1$  formally. Later, Dai, Fang and Wang [1] have improved the lower bound of lifespan for the critical case in Hidano, Wang and Yokoyama [3]. They also show that  $T(\varepsilon) < \infty$  for all  $p, q > 1$  in case of  $n = 1$ , i.e. (1.1).

Finally we strongly remark that our estimates in (1.2) and (1.3) are better than those of the general theory by Li, Yu and Zhou [9, 10];

$$T(\varepsilon) \geq \begin{cases} C\varepsilon^{-(p-1)/2} & \text{if } \int_{\mathbf{R}} g(x)dx \neq 0, \\ C\varepsilon^{-p(p-1)/(p+1)} & \text{if } \int_{\mathbf{R}} g(x)dx = 0 \end{cases}$$

in case of

$$\frac{q+1}{2} < p < q$$

with integer  $p, q \geq 2$ . Because our result on the lower bound of the lifespan can be established also for the indefinite sign terms as  $u_{tt} - u_{xx} = u_t^p + u^q$ . The typical example is  $(p, q) = (4, 5)$  in such a case. This fact shows a possibility to improve the general theory. Of course, some special structure of the nonlinear terms such as “null condition” guarantees the global-in-time existence. See Zha [13, 14] for example in this direction. But we are interested in the optimality of the general theory. The details are discussed at the end of Section 2 below.

The main part of this work was completed when the first author was in the master course of Mathematical Institute, Tohoku University and the third author had the second affiliation with Research Alliance Center of Mathematical Sciences, Tohoku University. This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, the preliminaries are introduced. Moreover, (1.2) and (1.3) are divided into four theorems, and we compare our results with those of the general theory. Sections 3 and 4 are devoted to the proof of the existence

part of (1.2). Sections 5, 6 and 7 are devoted to the proof of the existence part of (1.3). Their main strategy is the iteration method in the weighted  $L^\infty$  space due to Kitamura, Morisawa and Takamura [7, 8] which is originally introduced by John [4]. Finally, we prove the blow-up part of (1.2) and (1.3), which is different from the functional method by Han and Zhou [2].

## 2 Preliminaries and main results

Throughout this paper, we assume that the initial data  $(f, g) \in C_0^2(\mathbf{R}) \times C_0^1(\mathbf{R})$  satisfies

$$\text{supp } f, \text{supp } g \subset \{x \in \mathbf{R} : |x| \leq R\}, \quad R \geq 1. \quad (2.1)$$

Let  $u$  be a classical solution of (1.1) in the time interval  $[0, T]$ . Then the support condition of the initial data, (2.1), implies that

$$\text{supp } u(x, t) \subset \{(x, t) \in \mathbf{R} \times [0, T] : |x| \leq t + R\}. \quad (2.2)$$

For example, see Appendix of John [5] for this fact.

It is well-known that  $u$  satisfies the following integral equation.

$$u(x, t) = \varepsilon u^0(x, t) + L(A|u_t|^p + B|u|^q)(x, t), \quad (2.3)$$

where  $u^0$  is a solution of the free wave equation with the same initial data,

$$u^0(x, t) := \frac{1}{2}\{f(x+t) + f(x-t)\} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{x-t}^{x+t} g(y) dy, \quad (2.4)$$

and a linear integral operator  $L$  for a function  $v = v(x, t)$  in Duhamel's term is defined by

$$L(v)(x, t) := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t ds \int_{x-t+s}^{x+t-s} v(y, s) dy. \quad (2.5)$$

Then, one can apply the time-derivative to (2.3) to obtain

$$u_t(x, t) = \varepsilon u_t^0(x, t) + L'(A|u_t|^p + B|u|^q)(x, t) \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$u_t^0(x, t) = \frac{1}{2}\{f'(x+t) - f'(x-t) + g(x+t) + g(x-t)\}, \quad (2.7)$$

where  $L'$  for a function  $v = v(x, t)$  is defined by

$$L'(v)(x, t) := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \{v(x+t-s, s) + v(x-t+s, s)\} ds. \quad (2.8)$$

On the other hand, applying the space-derivative to (2.3), we have

$$u_x(x, t) = \varepsilon u_x^0(x, t) + \overline{L}'(A|u_t|^p + B|u|^q)(x, t) \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$u_x^0(x, t) = \frac{1}{2}\{f'(x+t) + f'(x-t) + g(x+t) - g(x-t)\}, \quad (2.10)$$

where  $\overline{L}'$  for a function  $v = v(x, t)$  is defined by

$$\overline{L}'(v)(x, t) := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \{v(x+t-s, s) - v(x-t+s, s)\} ds. \quad (2.11)$$

Therefore,  $u_x$  is expressed by  $u$  and  $u_t$ . Moreover, one more space-derivative to (2.6) yields that

$$u_{tx}(x, t) = \varepsilon u_{tx}^0(x, t) + L'(Ap|u_t|^{p-2}u_t u_{tx} + Bq|u|^{q-2}uu_x)(x, t) \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$u_{tx}^0(x, t) = \frac{1}{2}\{f''(x+t) - f''(x-t) + g'(x+t) + g'(x-t)\}. \quad (2.13)$$

Similarly, we have that

$$u_{tt}(x, t) = \varepsilon u_{tt}^0(x, t) + A|u_t(x, t)|^p + B|u(x, t)|^q + \overline{L}'(Ap|u_t|^{p-2}u_t u_{tx} + Bq|u|^{q-2}uu_x)(x, t)$$

and

$$u_{tt}^0(x, t) = \frac{1}{2}\{f''(x+t) + f''(x-t) + g'(x+t) - g'(x-t)\}.$$

Therefore,  $u_{tt}$  is expressed by  $u, u_t, u_x, u_{tx}$  and so is  $u_{xx}$ , because of

$$u_{xx}(x, t) = \varepsilon u_{xx}^0(x, t) + \overline{L}'(Ap|u_t|^{p-2}u_t u_{tx} + Bq|u|^{q-2}uu_x)(x, t)$$

and

$$u_{xx}^0(x, t) = u_{tt}^0(x, t).$$

First, we note the following fact.

**Proposition 2.1** *Assume that  $(f, g) \in C^2(\mathbf{R}) \times C^1(\mathbf{R})$ . Let  $(u, w)$  be a  $C^1$  solution of a system of integral equations;*

$$\begin{cases} u = \varepsilon u^0 + L(A|w|^p + B|u|^q), \\ w = \varepsilon u_t^0 + L'(A|w|^p + B|u|^q) \end{cases} \text{ in } \mathbf{R} \times [0, T] \quad (2.14)$$

*with some  $T > 0$ . Then,  $w \equiv u_t$  in  $\mathbf{R} \times [0, T]$  holds and  $u$  is a classical solution of (1.1) in  $\mathbf{R} \times [0, T]$ .*

**Proof.** It is trivial that  $w \equiv u_t$  by differentiating the first equation with respect to  $t$ . The rest part is easy along with the computation above in this section.  $\square$

Our results in (1.2) and (1.3) are divided into the following four theorems.

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $A > 0$  and  $B > 0$ . Assume (2.1) and*

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} g(x)dx \neq 0. \quad (2.15)$$

*Then, there exists a positive constant  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(f, g, p, q, A, B, R) > 0$  such that a classical solution  $u \in C^2(\mathbf{R} \times [0, T])$  of (1.1) for  $p, q \geq 2$ , or a solution  $(u, u_t) \in \{C(\mathbf{R} \times [0, T])\}^2$  with*

$$\text{supp}(u, u_t) \subset \{(x, t) \in \mathbf{R} \times [0, T] : |x| \leq t + R\}$$

*of an associated system of integral equations, (2.3) and (2.6) to (1.1) for  $1 < p < 2$  or  $1 < q < 2$ , exists as far as  $T$  satisfies*

$$T \leq \begin{cases} c\varepsilon^{-(p-1)} & \text{for } p \leq \frac{q+1}{2}, \\ c\varepsilon^{-(q-1)/2} & \text{for } \frac{q+1}{2} \leq p, \end{cases} \quad (2.16)$$

*where  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1$ ,  $c$  is a positive constant independent of  $\varepsilon$ .*

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $A > 0$  and  $B > 0$ . Assume (2.1) and*

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} g(x)dx = 0. \quad (2.17)$$

*Then, there exists a positive constant  $\varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_2(f, g, p, q, A, B, R) > 0$  such that a classical solution  $u \in C^2(\mathbf{R} \times [0, T])$  of (1.1) for  $p, q \geq 2$ , or a solution  $(u, u_t) \in \{C(\mathbf{R} \times [0, T])\}^2$  with*

$$\text{supp}(u, u_t) \subset \{(x, t) \in \mathbf{R} \times [0, T] : |x| \leq t + R\}$$

*of an associated system of integral equations, (2.3) and (2.6) to (1.1) for  $1 < p < 2$  or  $1 < q < 2$ , exists as far as  $T$  satisfies*

$$T \leq \begin{cases} c\varepsilon^{-(p-1)} & \text{for } p \leq \frac{q+1}{2}, \\ c\varepsilon^{-p(q-1)/(q+1)} & \text{for } \frac{q+1}{2} \leq p \leq q, \\ c\varepsilon^{-q(q-1)/(q+1)} & \text{for } p \geq q, \end{cases} \quad (2.18)$$

*where  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_2$ ,  $c$  is a positive constant independent of  $\varepsilon$ .*

**Theorem 2.3** Let  $A > 0$  and  $B > 0$ . Assume (2.1) and

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} g(x) dx > 0. \quad (2.19)$$

Then, there exists a positive constant  $\varepsilon_3 = \varepsilon_3(f, g, p, q, A, B, R) > 0$  such that a solution  $(u, u_t) \in \{C(\mathbf{R} \times [0, T])\}^2$  with

$$\text{supp}(u, u_t) \subset \{(x, t) \in \mathbf{R} \times [0, T] : |x| \leq t + R\}$$

of an associated system of integral equations (2.3) and (2.6) to (1.1) cannot exist as far as  $T$  satisfies

$$T \geq \begin{cases} C\varepsilon^{-(p-1)} & \text{for } p \leq \frac{q+1}{2}, \\ C\varepsilon^{-(q-1)/2} & \text{for } \frac{q+1}{2} \leq p, \end{cases} \quad (2.20)$$

where  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_3$ ,  $C$  is a positive constant independent of  $\varepsilon$ .

**Theorem 2.4** Let  $A > 0$  and  $B > 0$ . Assume (2.1) and

$$f(x) \geq 0 (\neq 0), \quad f'(x) < 0 \text{ for } x \in (0, R) \text{ and } g(x) \equiv 0. \quad (2.21)$$

Then, there exists a positive constant  $\varepsilon_4 = \varepsilon_4(f, p, q, A, B, R) > 0$  such that a solution  $(u, u_t) \in \{C(\mathbf{R} \times [0, T])\}^2$  with

$$\text{supp}(u, u_t) \subset \{(x, t) \in \mathbf{R} \times [0, T] : |x| \leq t + R\}$$

of an associated system of integral equations (2.3) and (2.6) to (1.1) cannot exist as far as  $T$  satisfies

$$T \geq \begin{cases} C\varepsilon^{-(p-1)} & \text{for } p \leq \frac{q+1}{2}, \\ C\varepsilon^{-p(q-1)/(q+1)} & \text{for } \frac{q+1}{2} \leq p \leq q, \\ C\varepsilon^{-q(q-1)/(q+1)} & \text{for } p \geq q, \end{cases} \quad (2.22)$$

where  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_4$ ,  $C$  is a positive constant independent of  $\varepsilon$ .

**Remark 2.1** It is trivial that Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.3 imply (1.2). On the other hand, we have that

$$p - 1 = \frac{q(q-1)}{q+1} \iff p = \frac{q^2 + 1}{q+1}$$

and

$$\frac{q+1}{2} < \frac{q^2+1}{q+1} < q.$$

Moreover, we see that

$$p-1 \leq \frac{p(q-1)}{q+1} \iff p \leq \frac{q+1}{2}.$$

Therefore Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.4 imply (1.3).

The proofs of four theorems above appear in the following sections. From now on, we shall compare our results with those of the general theory by Li, Yu and Zhou [9, 10], in which the following problem of general form is considered;

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - u_{xx} = F(u, Du, \partial_x Du) & \text{in } \mathbf{R} \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) = \varepsilon f(x), u_t(x, 0) = \varepsilon g(x), & x \in \mathbf{R}, \end{cases} \quad (2.23)$$

where we denote  $D := (\partial_t, \partial_x)$  and  $F \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^5)$  satisfies

$$F(\lambda) = O(|\lambda|^{1+\alpha}) \quad \text{with } \alpha \in \mathbf{N} \text{ near } \lambda = 0.$$

(2.23) requires  $f, g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ . Then, the lifespan of the classical solution of (2.23) defined by  $\tilde{T}(\varepsilon)$  has estimates from below as

$$\tilde{T}(\varepsilon) \geq \begin{cases} c\varepsilon^{-\alpha/2} & \text{in general,} \\ c\varepsilon^{-\alpha(1+\alpha)/(2+\alpha)} & \text{if } \int_{\mathbf{R}} g(x)dx = 0, \\ c\varepsilon^{-\alpha} & \text{if } \partial_u^\beta F(0) = 0 \text{ for } 1+\alpha \leq \forall \beta \leq 2\alpha. \end{cases} \quad (2.24)$$

This is the result of the general theory. If one applies it to our problem (1.1) with

$$F(u, Du, \partial_x Du) = u_t^p + u^q \quad \text{with } 2 \leq p, q \in \mathbf{N}, \quad (2.25)$$

one has the following estimates in each cases.

- When  $p < q$ ,

then, we have to set  $\alpha = p-1$  which yields that

$$\tilde{T}(\varepsilon) \geq \begin{cases} c\varepsilon^{-(p-1)/2} & \text{in general,} \\ c\varepsilon^{-p(p-1)/(p+1)} & \text{if } \int_{\mathbf{R}} g(x)dx = 0, \\ c\varepsilon^{-(p-1)} & \text{if } \partial_u^\beta F(0) = 0 \text{ for } p \leq \forall \beta \leq 2(p-1). \end{cases}$$



For  $p \leq (q+1)/2$ , i.e.  $2p-1 \leq q$ , we see that

$$\partial_u^\beta F(u, Du, \partial_x Du) = O(u^{q-\beta}) \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq 2p-1-\beta \leq q-\beta$$

because of  $p+1 \leq 2p-1$ , which yields

$$\partial_u^\beta F(0) = 0 \quad \text{for } p \leq \forall \beta \leq 2(p-1).$$

Therefore the third case holds and we obtain

$$\tilde{T}(\varepsilon) \geq c\varepsilon^{-(p-1)}$$

whatever the value of  $\int_{\mathbf{R}} g(x)dx$  is. On the other hand, for  $(q+1)/2 < p$ , i.e.  $(p <)q < 2p-1$ , we see that

$$\exists \beta \in \{p+1, \dots, 2p-2\} \text{ s.t. } \partial_u^\beta F(0) \neq 0,$$

so that the third case does not hold and we obtain

$$\tilde{T}(\varepsilon) \geq \begin{cases} c\varepsilon^{-(p-1)/2} & \text{if } \int_{\mathbf{R}} g(x)dx \neq 0, \\ c\varepsilon^{-p(p-1)/(p+1)} & \text{if } \int_{\mathbf{R}} g(x)dx = 0. \end{cases}$$

- When  $p \geq q$ ,

then, similarly to the above, we have to set  $\alpha = q-1$  which yields that

$$\tilde{T}(\varepsilon) \geq \begin{cases} c\varepsilon^{-(q-1)/2} & \text{in general,} \\ c\varepsilon^{-q(q-1)/(q+1)} & \text{if } \int_{\mathbf{R}} g(x)dx = 0, \\ c\varepsilon^{-(q-1)} & \text{if } \partial_u^\beta F(0) = 0 \text{ for } q \leq \forall \beta \leq 2(q-1). \end{cases}$$

We note that the third case does not hold by  $\partial_u^q F(0) \neq 0$ .

In conclusion, for a special nonlinear term in (2.25), the result of the general theory is

$$\tilde{T}(\varepsilon) \geq \begin{cases} c\varepsilon^{-(p-1)} & \text{for } p \leq \frac{q+1}{2}, \\ c\varepsilon^{-(p-1)/2} & \text{for } \frac{q+1}{2} \leq p \leq q, \\ c\varepsilon^{-(q-1)/2} & \text{for } q \leq p \end{cases} \quad \text{if } \int_{\mathbf{R}} g(x)dx \neq 0$$

and

$$\tilde{T}(\varepsilon) \geq \begin{cases} c\varepsilon^{-(p-1)} & \text{for } p \leq \frac{q+1}{2}, \\ c\varepsilon^{-p(p-1)/(p+1)} & \text{for } \frac{q+1}{2} \leq p \leq q, \\ c\varepsilon^{-q(q-1)/(q+1)} & \text{for } q \leq p \end{cases} \quad \text{if } \int_{\mathbf{R}} g(x)dx = 0.$$

Therefore a part of our results,

$$T(\varepsilon) \sim \begin{cases} C\varepsilon^{-(q-1)/2} & \text{if } \int_{\mathbf{R}} g(x) \neq 0, \\ C\varepsilon^{-p(q-1)/(q+1)} & \text{if } \int_{\mathbf{R}} g(x)dx = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{for } \frac{q+1}{2} \leq p \leq q,$$

is better than the lower bound of  $\tilde{T}(\varepsilon)$ . Because, if one follows the proof in the following sections, one can find that it is easy to see that our results on the lower bounds also holds for a special term (2.25) by estimating the difference of nonlinear terms from above after employing the mean value theorem. We note that we have an example of  $(p, q) = (4, 5)$  as

$$\frac{q+1}{2} = 3 < p = 4 < q = 5.$$

This fact indicates that we still have a possibility to improve the general theory.

### 3 Proof of Theorem 2.1

According to Proposition 2.1, we shall construct a  $C^1$  solution of (2.14) for  $p, q \geq 2$  and a continuous solution of (2.14) for  $1 < p < 2$  or  $1 < q < 2$ .

First, we shall construct a  $C^1$  solution for  $p, q \geq 2$ . Let  $\{(u_j, w_j)\}_{j \in \mathbf{N}}$  be a sequence of  $\{C^1(\mathbf{R} \times [0, T])\}^2$  defined by

$$\begin{cases} u_{j+1} = \varepsilon u^0 + L(A|w_j|^p + B|u_j|^q), & u_1 = \varepsilon u^0, \\ w_{j+1} = \varepsilon w_t^0 + L'(A|w_j|^p + B|u_j|^q), & w_1 = \varepsilon w_t^0. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Then, in view of (2.9) and (2.12),  $((u_j)_x, (w_j)_x)$  has to satisfy

$$\begin{cases} (u_{j+1})_x = \varepsilon u_x^0 + \overline{L'}(A|w_j|^p + B|u_j|^q), \\ (u_1)_x = \varepsilon u_x^0, \\ (w_{j+1})_x = \varepsilon w_{tx}^0 + L'(Ap|w_j|^{p-2}w_j(w_j)_x + Bq|u_j|^{q-2}u_j(u_j)_x), \\ (w_1)_x = \varepsilon w_{tx}^0, \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

so that the function space in which  $\{(u_j, w_j)\}$  converges is

$$X := \{(u, w) \in \{C^1(\mathbf{R} \times [0, T])\}^2 : \|(u, w)\|_X < \infty, \\ \text{supp } (u, w) \subset \{(x, t) \in \mathbf{R} \times [0, T] : |x| \leq t + R\}\}$$

which is equipped with a norm

$$\|(u, w)\|_X := \|u\|_1 + \|u_x\|_1 + \|w\|_2 + \|w_x\|_2,$$

where

$$\|u\|_1 := \sup_{(x,t) \in \mathbf{R} \times [0, T]} |u(x, t)|, \\ \|w\|_2 := \sup_{(x,t) \in \mathbf{R} \times [0, T]} |(t - |x| + 2R)w(x, t)|.$$

First we note that  $\text{supp } (u_j, w_j) \subset \{(x, t) \in \mathbf{R} \times [0, T] : |x| \leq t + R\}$  implies  $\text{supp } (u_{j+1}, w_{j+1}) \subset \{(x, t) \in \mathbf{R} \times [0, T] : |x| \leq t + R\}$ . It is easy to check this fact by assumption on the initial data (2.1) and the definitions of  $L, \bar{L}, L', \bar{L}'$  in the previous section.

The following lemma is a priori estimates.

**Proposition 3.1** *Let  $(u, w) \in \{C(\mathbf{R} \times [0, T])\}^2$  and  $\text{supp } (u, w) \subset \{(x, t) \in \mathbf{R} \times [0, T] : |x| \leq t + R\}$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $C$  independent of  $T$  and  $\varepsilon$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|L(|w|^p)\|_1 &\leq C\|w\|_2^p(T + R), \quad \|L(|u|^q)\|_1 \leq C\|u\|_1^q(T + R)^2, \\ \|L'(|w|^p)\|_2 &\leq C\|w\|_2^p(T + R), \quad \|L'(|u|^q)\|_2 \leq C\|u\|_1^q(T + R)^2, \\ \|L'(|w|^p)\|_1 &\leq C\|w\|_2^p(T + R), \quad \|L'(|u|^q)\|_1 \leq C\|u\|_1^q(T + R)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

The proof of Proposition 3.1 is established in the next section. Set

$$M := \sum_{\alpha=0}^2 \|f^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R})} + \|g\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R})} + \sum_{\beta=0}^1 \|g^{(\beta)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R})}.$$

**The convergence of the sequence  $\{(u_j, w_j)\}$ .**

First we note that  $\|u_1\|_1, \|w_1\|_2 \leq M\varepsilon$  by (2.4) and (2.7). Since (3.1) and (3.3) yield that

$$\begin{cases} \|u_{j+1}\|_1 &\leq M\varepsilon + A\|L(|w_j|^p)\|_1 + B\|L(|u_j|^q)\|_1 \\ &\leq M\varepsilon + AC\|w_j\|_2^p(T + R) + BC\|u_j\|_1^q(T + R)^2, \\ \|w_{j+1}\|_2 &\leq M\varepsilon + A\|L'(|w_j|^p)\|_2 + B\|L'(|u_j|^q)\|_2 \\ &\leq M\varepsilon + AC\|w_j\|_2^p(T + R) + CB\|u_j\|_1^q(T + R)^2, \end{cases}$$

the boundedness of  $\{(u_j, w_j)\}$ ;

$$\|u_j\|_1, \|w_j\|_2 \leq 3M\varepsilon \quad (j \in \mathbf{N}) \quad (3.4)$$

follows from

$$AC(3M\varepsilon)^p(T+R), BC(3M\varepsilon)^q(T+R)^2 \leq M\varepsilon. \quad (3.5)$$

Assuming (3.5), one can estimate  $(u_{j+1} - u_j)$  and  $(w_{j+1} - w_j)$  as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{j+1} - u_j\|_1 &\leq \|L(A|w_j|^p - A|w_{j-1}|^p + B|u_j|^q - B|u_{j-1}|^q)\|_1 \\ &\leq pA\|L(|w_j|^{p-1} + |w_{j-1}|^{p-1})|w_j - w_{j-1}|\|_1 \\ &\quad + qB\|L(|u_j|^{q-1} + |u_{j-1}|^{q-1})|u_j - u_{j-1}|\|_1 \\ &\leq pAC(T+R)(\|w_j\|_2^{p-1} + \|w_{j-1}\|_2^{p-1})\|w_j - w_{j-1}\|_2 \\ &\quad + qBC(T+R)^2(\|u_j\|_1^{q-1} + \|u_{j-1}\|_1^{q-1})\|u_j - u_{j-1}\|_1 \\ &\leq 2pAC(3M\varepsilon)^{p-1}(T+R)\|w_j - w_{j-1}\|_2 \\ &\quad + 2qBC(3M\varepsilon)^{q-1}(T+R)^2\|u_j - u_{j-1}\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_{j+1} - w_j\|_2 &\leq \|L'(A|w_j|^p - A|w_{j-1}|^p + B|u_j|^q - B|u_{j-1}|^q)\|_2 \\ &\leq pA\|L'(|w_j|^{p-1} + |w_{j-1}|^{p-1})|w_j - w_{j-1}|\|_2 \\ &\quad + qB\|L'(|u_j|^{q-1} + |u_{j-1}|^{q-1})|u_j - u_{j-1}|\|_2 \\ &\leq pAC(T+R)(\|w_j\|_2^{p-1} + \|w_{j-1}\|_2^{p-1})\|w_j - w_{j-1}\|_2 \\ &\quad + qBC(T+R)^2(\|u_j\|_1^{q-1} + \|u_{j-1}\|_1^{q-1})\|u_j - u_{j-1}\|_1 \\ &\leq 2pAC(3M\varepsilon)^{p-1}(T+R)\|w_j - w_{j-1}\|_2 \\ &\quad + 2qBC(3M\varepsilon)^{q-1}(T+R)^2\|u_j - u_{j-1}\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Here we employ Hölder's inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|L(|w_j|^{p-1}|w_j - w_{j-1}|\|_1 &= \|L(|w_j|^{(p-1)/p}|w_j - w_{j-1}|^{1/p})\|_1 \\ &\leq C(T+R)\| |w_j|^{(p-1)/p}|w_j - w_{j-1}|^{1/p} \|_2^p \\ &\leq C(T+R)\|w_j\|_2^{(p-1)}\|w_j - w_{j-1}\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

and so on. Therefore the convergence of  $\{U_j\}$  follows from

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u_{j+1} - u_j\|_1 + \|w_{j+1} - w_j\|_2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (\|u_j - u_{j-1}\|_1 + \|w_j - w_{j-1}\|_2) \quad (j \geq 2) \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

provided (3.5) and

$$2pAC(3M\varepsilon)^{p-1}(T+R), 2qBC(3M\varepsilon)^{q-1}(T+R)^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \quad (3.7)$$

are fulfilled.

**The convergence of the sequence  $\{((u_j)_x, (w_j)_x)\}$ .**

First we note that  $\|(u_1)_x\|_1, \|(w_1)_x\|_2 \leq M\varepsilon$  by (2.10) and (2.13). Assume that (3.5) and (3.7) are fulfilled. Since (3.2) and (3.3) yield that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u_{j+1})_x\|_1 &\leq M\varepsilon + A\|\overline{L'}(|w_j|^p)\|_1 + B\|\overline{L'}(|u_j|^q)\|_1 \\ &\leq M\varepsilon + AC(T+R)\|w_j\|_2^p + BC(T+R)^2\|u_j\|_1^q \\ &\leq M\varepsilon + AC(3M\varepsilon)^p(T+R) + BC(3M\varepsilon)^q(T+R)^2 \end{aligned}$$

because of a trivial property  $|\overline{L'}(v)| \leq L'(|v|)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \|(w_{j+1})_x\|_2 &\leq M\varepsilon + pA\|L'(|w_j|^{p-1}|(w_j)_x|)\|_2 \\ &\quad + qB\|L'(|u_j|^{q-1}|(u_j)_x|)\|_2 \\ &\leq M\varepsilon + pAC(T+R)\|w_j\|_2^{p-1}\|(w_j)_x\|_2 \\ &\quad + qBC(T+R)^2\|u_j\|_1^{q-1}\|(u_j)_x\|_1 \\ &\leq M\varepsilon + pAC(3M\varepsilon)^{p-1}(T+R)\|(w_j)_x\|_2 \\ &\quad + qBC(3M\varepsilon)^{q-1}(T+R)^2\|(u_j)_x\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

The boundedness of  $\{(u_j)_x, (w_j)_x\}$ ;

$$\|(u_j)_x\|_1, \|(w_j)_x\|_2 \leq 3M\varepsilon \quad (j \in \mathbf{N}) \quad (3.8)$$

follows from

$$pAC(3M\varepsilon)^p(T+R), qBC(3M\varepsilon)^q(T+R)^2 \leq M\varepsilon. \quad (3.9)$$

Assuming (3.9), one can estimate  $\{(u_{j+1})_x - (u_j)_x\}$  and  $\{(w_{j+1})_x - (w_j)_x\}$  as follows. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u_{j+1})_x - (u_j)_x\|_1 &\leq A\|\overline{L'}(|w_j|^p - |w_{j-1}|^p)\|_1 \\ &\quad + B\|\overline{L'}(|u_j|^q - |u_{j-1}|^q)\|_1, \end{aligned}$$

which can be handled like  $(w_{j+1} - w_j)$  as before, so that we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u_{j+1})_x - (u_j)_x\|_1 &\leq 2pAC(3M\varepsilon)^{p-1}(T+R)\|w_j - w_{j-1}\|_2 \\ &\quad + 2qBC(3M\varepsilon)^{q-1}(T+R)^2\|u_j - u_{j-1}\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

because of  $|\overline{L'}(v)| \leq L'(|v|)$ , which implies that

$$\|(u_{j+1})_x - (u_j)_x\|_1 = O\left(\frac{1}{2^j}\right) \quad (3.10)$$

as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  due to (3.6).

On the other hand, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(w_{j+1})_x - (w_j)_x\|_2 &\leq pA\|L'(|w_j|^{p-2}w_j(w_j)_x - |w_{j-1}|^{p-2}w_{j-1}(w_{j-1})_x)\|_2 \\ &\quad + qB\|L'(|u_j|^{q-2}u_j(u_j)_x - |u_{j-1}|^{q-2}u_{j-1}(u_{j-1})_x)\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right hand side of this inequality is divided into tree pieces according to

$$\begin{aligned} & |w_j|^{p-2}w_j(w_j)_x - |w_{j-1}|^{p-2}w_{j-1}(w_{j-1})_x \\ &= (|w_j|^{p-2} - |w_{j-1}|^{p-2})w_j(w_j)_x \\ &\quad + |w_{j-1}|^{p-2}(w_j - w_{j-1})(w_j)_x \\ &\quad + |w_{j-1}|^{p-2}w_{j-1}((w_j)_x - (w_{j-1})_x). \end{aligned}$$

Since one can employ the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| |w_j|^{p-2} - |w_{j-1}|^{p-2} \right| \\ & \leq \begin{cases} (p-2)(|w_j|^{p-3} + |w_{j-1}|^{p-3})|w_j - w_{j-1}| & \text{for } p \geq 3, \\ |w_j - w_{j-1}|^{p-2} & \text{for } 2 < p < 3, \\ 0 & \text{for } p = 2, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and the same one in which  $w$  is replaced with  $u$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(w_{j+1})_x - (w_j)_x\|_2 \\ & \leq pAC(T+R)\|w_j\|_2\|(w_j)_x\|_2 \times \\ & \quad \times \begin{cases} (p-2)(\|w_j\|_2^{p-3} + \|w_{j-1}\|_2^{p-3})\|w_j - w_{j-1}\|_2 & \text{for } p \geq 3, \\ \|w_j - w_{j-1}\|_2^{p-2} & \text{for } 2 < p < 3 \\ 0 & \text{for } p = 2 \end{cases} \\ & + pAC(T+R)\|w_{j-1}\|_2^{p-2}\|w_j - w_{j-1}\|_2\|(w_j)_x\|_2 \\ & + pAC(T+R)\|w_{j-1}\|_2^{p-2}\|w_{j-1}\|_2\|(w_j)_x - (w_{j-1})_x\|_2 \\ & + qBC(T+R)^2\|u_j\|_1\|(u_j)_x\|_1 \times \\ & \quad \times \begin{cases} (q-2)(\|u_j\|_1^{q-3} + \|u_{j-1}\|_1^{q-3})\|u_j - u_{j-1}\|_1 & \text{for } q \geq 3, \\ \|u_j - u_{j-1}\|_1^{q-2} & \text{for } 2 < q < 3 \\ 0 & \text{for } q = 2 \end{cases} \\ & + qBC(T+R)^2\|u_{j-1}\|_1^{q-2}\|u_j - u_{j-1}\|_1\|(u_j)_x\|_1 \\ & + qBC(T+R)^2\|u_{j-1}\|_1^{q-2}\|u_{j-1}\|_1\|(u_j)_x - (u_{j-1})_x\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows from (3.6) and (3.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(w_{j+1})_x - (w_j)_x\|_2 & \leq pAC(3M\varepsilon)^{p-1}(T+R)\|(w_j)_x - (w_{j-1})_x\|_2 \\ & \quad + O\left(\frac{1}{2^j \min\{(p-2)_+, (q-2)_+, 1\}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore we obtain the convergence of  $\{(u_j)_x, (w_j)_x\}$  provided

$$pAC(3M\varepsilon)^{p-1}(T+R) \leq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (3.11)$$

**Continuation of the proof.**

The convergence of the sequence  $\{(u_j, w_j)\}$  to  $(u, w)$  in the closed subspace of  $X$  satisfying

$$\|u\|_1, \|(u_x)\|_1, \|w\|_2, \|(w)_x\|_2 \leq 3M\varepsilon$$

is established by (3.5), (3.7), (3.9), (3.11) which follow from

$$C_0\varepsilon^{p-1}(T+R) \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad C_0\varepsilon^{q-1}(T+R)^2 \leq 1,$$

where

$$C_0 := \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &3^p A C M^{p-1}, 3^q B C M^{q-1}, \\ &2^3 3^{p-1} p A C M^{p-1}, 2^3 3^{q-1} q B C M^{q-1}, \\ &3^p p A C M^{p-1}, 3^3 q B C M^{q-1}, 2 \cdot 3^{p-1} A C M^{p-1}. \end{aligned} \right.$$

Therefore the statement of Theorem 2.1 for  $p, q \geq 2$  is established with

$$\begin{cases} c = \frac{1}{2} \min\{C_0^{-1}, C_0^{-1/2}\}, \\ \varepsilon_1 := \min\{(2C_0R)^{-1/(p-1)}, (2^2C_0R^2)^{-2/(q-1)}\} \end{cases}$$

because

$$R \leq \frac{1}{2} \min\{C_0^{-1}\varepsilon^{-(p-1)}, C_0^{-1/2}\varepsilon^{-(q-1)/2}\}$$

folds for  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1$ .

In the case of  $1 < p < 2$  or  $1 < q < 2$ ,  $X$  and  $M$  in the proof for  $p, q \geq 2$  above are replaced with  $X_0$  and  $M_0$  respectively, where

$$\begin{aligned} X_0 &:= \{(u, w) \in \{C(\mathbf{R} \times [0, T])\}^2 \\ &\quad : \|(u, w)\|_{X_0} < \infty, \text{supp}(u, w) \subset \{|x| \leq t + R\}\}, \\ \|(u, w)\|_{X_0} &:= \|u\|_1 + \|w\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$M_0 := \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R})} + \|f'\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R})} + \|g\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R})} + \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R})}.$$

It is trivial that the convergence of  $\{(u_j, w_j)\}$  to  $(u, w)$  in the closed subspace  $X_0$  satisfying  $\|u\|_1, \|w\|_2 \leq 3M_0\varepsilon$  follows from (3.5) and (3.7), so that the proof of Theorem 2.1 is completed now.  $\square$

## 4 Proof of Proposition 3.1

In this section, we prove a priori estimate (3.3). Recall the definition of  $L$  in (2.5) and  $L'$  in (2.8). From now on, a positive constant  $C$  independent of  $T$  and  $\varepsilon$  may change from line to line.

It follows from the assumption on the supports and the definition of  $L$  that

$$|L(|w|^p)(x, t)| \leq C \|w\|_2^p I_1(x, t) \quad \text{for } |x| \leq t + R,$$

where we set

$$I_1(x, t) := \int_0^t ds \int_{x-t+s}^{x+t-s} (s - |y| + 2R)^{-p} \chi_{\text{supp } w}(y, s) dy.$$

First, we consider the case of  $x \geq 0$ . From now on, we employ changing variables by

$$\alpha = s + y, \beta = s - y.$$

For  $t + x \geq R$  and  $t - x \geq R$ , making use of the symmetry of the weight in  $y$  and extending the domain of the integral, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(x, t) &\leq C \int_{-R}^R d\beta \int_{-R}^{t+x} d\alpha \\ &\quad + C \int_R^{t-x} d\beta \int_{-R}^R (\alpha + 2R)^{-p} d\alpha \\ &\quad + C \int_R^{t-x} (\beta + 2R)^{-p} d\beta \int_R^{t+x} d\alpha \\ &\leq C(t + x + R) \leq C(T + R). \end{aligned}$$

For  $t + x \geq R$  and  $|t - x| \leq R$ , we also have that

$$I_1(x, t) \leq C \int_{-R}^{t-x} d\beta \int_{-R}^{t+x} d\alpha \leq C(T + R).$$

For  $t + x \leq R$ , it is trivial that

$$I_1(x, t) \leq C.$$

Summing up, we obtain that

$$|L(|w|^p)(x, t)| \leq C \|w\|_2^p (T + R) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq t + R.$$

The case of  $x \leq 0$  is similar to the above, so that we shall omit the details. Therefore we obtain the first inequality of the first line of (3.3).

The second inequality of the first line of (3.3) follows from

$$|L(|u|^q)(x, t)| \leq C \|u\|_1^q I_2(x, t) \quad \text{for } |x| \leq t + R,$$

where

$$I_2(x, t) := \int_0^t ds \int_{x-t+s}^{x+t-s} \chi_{\text{supp } u}(y, s) dy.$$



Because it is trivial that

$$I_2(x, t) \leq C(T + R)^2 \quad \text{for } |x| \leq t + R.$$

Next, we shall show the second line in (3.3). It follows from the assumption on the supports and the definition of  $L'$  that

$$|L'(|w|^p)(x, t)| \leq C\|w\|_2^p \{I_+(x, t) + I_-(x, t)\} \quad \text{for } |x| \leq t + R,$$

where the integrals  $I_+$  and  $I_-$  are defined by

$$I_{\pm}(x, t) := \int_0^t (s - |t - s \pm x| + 2R)^{-p} \chi_{\pm}(x, t; s) ds$$

and the characteristic functions  $\chi_+$  and  $\chi_-$  are defined by

$$\chi_{\pm}(x, t; s) := \chi_{\{s: |t - s \pm x| \leq s + R\}},$$

respectively. First we note that it is sufficient to estimate  $I_{\pm}$  for  $x \geq 0$  due to its symmetry,

$$I_+(-x, t) = I_-(x, t).$$

Hence it follows from  $0 \leq x \leq t + R$  as well as

$$|t - s + x| \leq s + R \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq s \leq t$$

that

$$\frac{t + x - R}{2} \leq s \leq t.$$

Therefore we obtain

$$I_+(x, t) \leq \int_{(t+x-R)/2}^t (s - (t - s + x) + 2R)^{-p} ds \leq C \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq t + R.$$

On the other hand, the estimate for  $I_-$  is divided into two cases. If  $t - x \geq 0$ , then  $|t - s - x| \leq s + R$  yields that

$$\begin{cases} t - s - x \leq s + R & \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq t - x, \\ -t + s + x \leq s + R & \text{for } t - x \leq s \leq t, \end{cases}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} I_-(x, t) &\leq \int_{(t-x-R)/2}^{t-x} (s - (t - s - x) + 2R)^{-p} ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t-x}^t (s + (t - s - x) + 2R)^{-p} ds \\ &\leq C(1 + (t - x + 2R)^{-p}x) \end{aligned}$$

follows. Therefore, neglecting  $(t - x + 2R)^{1-p}$ , we obtain

$$I_-(x, t) \leq C(t - x + 2R)^{-1}(T + R) \quad \text{for } t - x \geq 0.$$

If  $(-R \leq)t - x \leq 0$ ,  $|t - s - x| \leq s + R$  yields

$$s - t + x \leq s + R \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq t,$$

so that

$$I_-(x, t) \leq \int_0^t (s - (s - t + x) + 2R)^{-p} ds.$$

Therefore we obtain

$$I_-(x, t) \leq C(t - x + 2R)^{-1}(T + R) \quad \text{for } -R \leq t - x \leq 0.$$

Summing up all the estimates for  $I_+$  and  $I_-$ , we have

$$|L'(|w|^p)(x, t)| \leq C\|w\|_2^p(t - |x| + 2R)^{-1}(T + R) \quad \text{for } |x| \leq t + R.$$

This yields the first inequality of the second and third lines in (3.3).

The second inequality in the second and third lines in (3.3) readily follows from

$$|L'(|u|^q)(x, t)| \leq C\|u\|_1^q\{K_+(x, t) + K_-(x, t)\} \quad \text{for } |x| \leq t + R,$$

where the integrals  $K_+$  and  $K_-$  are defined by

$$K_{\pm}(x, t) := \int_0^t \chi_{\pm}(x, t; s) ds.$$

Because it is easy to obtain that

$$K_{\pm}(x, t) \leq C(t - |x| + 2R)^{-1}(T + R)^2 \quad \text{for } |x| \leq t + R.$$

The proof of Proposition 3.1 is now completed. □.

## 5 Proof of Theorem 2.2

First we note that the strong Huygens' principle

$$u^0(x, t) \equiv 0 \quad \text{in } D \tag{5.1}$$

holds in this case of (2.17), were

$$D := \{(x, t) \in \mathbf{R} \times [0, \infty) : t - |x| \geq R\}.$$

This is almost trivial if one takes a look on the representation of  $u^0$  in (2.4) and the support condition on the data in (2.1). But one can see also Proposition 2.2 in Kitamura, Morisawa and Takamura [7] for its details. So, our unknown functions are  $U := u - \varepsilon u^0$  and  $W := w - \varepsilon u_t^0$  in (2.14). Let  $\{(U_j, W_j)\}_{j \in \mathbf{N}}$  be a sequence of  $\{C^1(\mathbf{R} \times [0, T])\}^2$  defined by

$$\begin{cases} U_{j+1} = L(A|W_j + \varepsilon u_t^0|^p + B|U_j + \varepsilon u^0|^q), & U_1 = 0, \\ W_{j+1} = L'(A|W_j + \varepsilon u_t^0|^p + B|U_j + \varepsilon u^0|^q), & W_1 = 0. \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

Then,  $\{((U_j)_x, (W_j)_x)\}$  has to satisfy

$$\begin{cases} (U_{j+1})_x &= \overline{L'}(A|W_j + \varepsilon u_t^0|^p + B|U_j + \varepsilon u^0|^q), \\ (U_1)_x &= 0, \\ (W_{j+1})_x &= L'(Ap|W_j + \varepsilon u_t^0|^{p-2}(W_j + \varepsilon u_t^0)((W_j)_x + \varepsilon u_{tx}^0)) \\ &\quad + L'(Bq|U_j + \varepsilon u^0|^{q-2}(U_j + \varepsilon u^0)((U_j)_x + \varepsilon u_x^0)) \\ (W_1)_x &= 0, \end{cases} \quad (5.3)$$

so that the function space in which  $\{(u_j, w_j)\}$  converges is

$$Y := \{(U, W) \in \{C^1(\mathbf{R} \times [0, T])\}^2; \|(U, W)\|_Y < \infty, \text{supp}(U, W) \subset \{|x| \leq t + R\}\}$$

which is equipped with a norm

$$\|(U, W)\|_Y := \|U\|_3 + \|U_x\|_3 + \|W\|_4 + \|W_x\|_4,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \|U\|_3 &:= \sup_{(x,t) \in \mathbf{R} \times [0, T]} (t + |x| + R)^{-1} |U(x, t)|, \\ \|W\|_4 &:= \sup_{(x,t) \in \mathbf{R} \times [0, T]} \{\chi_D(x, t) + (1 - \chi_D(x, t))(t + |x| + R)^{-1}\} |W(x, t)|, \end{aligned}$$

and  $\chi_D$  is a characteristic function of  $D$ . Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.1, we note that  $\text{supp}(U_j, W_j) \in \{(x, t) \in \mathbf{R} \times [0, T] : |x| \leq t + R\}$  implies  $\text{supp}(U_{j+1}, W_{j+1}) \in \{(x, t) \in \mathbf{R} \times [0, T] : |x| \leq t + R\}$ .

The following lemmas are a priori estimates in this case.

**Proposition 5.1** *Let  $(U, W) \in \{C(\mathbf{R} \times [0, T])\}^2$  with*

$$\text{supp}(U, W) \subset \{(x, t) \in \mathbf{R} \times [0, T] : |x| \leq t + R\}$$

*and  $U^0 \in C(\mathbf{R} \times [0, T])$  with*

$$\text{supp} U^0 \subset \{(x, t) \in \mathbf{R} \times [0, T] : (t - |x|)_+ \leq |x| \leq t + R\}.$$

Then there exists a positive constant  $E$  independent of  $T$  and  $\varepsilon$  such that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \|L(|U^0|^{p-m}|W|^m)\|_3 \leq E\|U^0\|_\infty^{p-m}\|W\|_4^m(T+R)^m, \\ \|L(|U^0|^{q-m}|U|^m)\|_3 \leq E\|U^0\|_\infty^{q-m}\|U\|_3^m(T+R)^m, \\ \|L'(|U^0|^{p-m}|W|^m)\|_4 \leq E\|U^0\|_\infty^{p-m}\|W\|_4^m(T+R)^m, \\ \|L'(|U^0|^{q-m}|U|^m)\|_4 \leq E\|U^0\|_\infty^{q-m}\|U\|_3^m(T+R)^m, \\ \|L'(|U^0|^{p-m}|W|^m)\|_3 \leq E\|U^0\|_\infty^{p-m}\|W\|_4^m(T+R)^m, \\ \|L'(|U^0|^{q-m}|U|^m)\|_3 \leq E\|U^0\|_\infty^{q-m}\|U\|_3^m(T+R)^m, \end{array} \right. \quad (5.4)$$

where  $p-m, q-m > 0$  ( $m = 0, 1, 2$ ) and the norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  is defined by

$$\|U^0\|_\infty := \sup_{(x,t) \in \mathbf{R} \times [0,T]} |U^0(x,t)|.$$

**Proposition 5.2** Let  $(U, W) \in \{C(\mathbf{R} \times [0, T])\}^2$  with

$$\text{supp}(U, W) \subset \{(x, t) \in \mathbf{R} \times [0, T] : |x| \leq t + R\}.$$

Then there exists a positive constant  $C$  independent of  $T$  and  $\varepsilon$  such that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \|L(|W|^p)\|_3 \leq C\|W\|_4^p(T+R)^p, \\ \|L(|U|^q)\|_3 \leq C\|U\|_3^q(T+R)^{q+1}, \\ \|L'(|W|^p)\|_4 \leq C\|W\|_4^p(T+R)^p, \\ \|L'(|U|^q)\|_4 \leq C\|U\|_3^q(T+R)^{q+1}, \\ \|L'(|W|^p)\|_3 \leq C\|W\|_4^p(T+R)^p, \\ \|L'(|U|^q)\|_3 \leq C\|U\|_3^q(T+R)^{q+1}. \end{array} \right. \quad (5.5)$$

The proofs of Proposition 5.1 and 5.2 are established in the next section and after the next section respectively. Set

$$\begin{aligned} N := & (2^p p A + 2^q q B) E \left[ \sum_{\gamma=0}^1 \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=0}^2 \left( \|f^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R})}^{p-\gamma} + \|f^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R})}^{q-\gamma} \right) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \|g\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R})}^{p-\gamma} + \|g\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R})}^{q-\gamma} + \sum_{\beta=0}^1 \left( \|g^{(\beta)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R})}^{p-\gamma} + \|g^{(\beta)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R})}^{q-\gamma} \right) \right\} \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{\alpha=0}^2 \|f^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R})} + \|g\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R})} + \sum_{\beta=0}^1 \|g^{(\beta)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R})} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where  $E$  is the one in (5.4). Since  $u^0, u_t^0, u_x^0, u_{tx}^0$  satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^0\|_\infty & \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R})} + \|g\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R})}, \\ \|u_t^0\|_\infty, \|u_x^0\|_\infty & \leq \|f'\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R})} + \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R})}, \\ \|u_{tx}^0\|_\infty & \leq \|f''\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R})} + \|g'\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R})}, \end{aligned}$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^0\|_\infty^p &\leq 2^p \left( \|f\|_{L^\infty}^p + \|g\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R})}^p \right), \\ \|u_t^0\|_\infty^p, \|u_x^0\|_\infty^p &\leq 2^p \left( \|f'\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R})}^p + \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R})}^p \right), \\ \|u_{tx}^0\|_\infty^p &\leq 2^p \left( \|f''\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R})}^p + \|g'\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R})}^p \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let us assume that

$$0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$$

and define  $\varepsilon_{2i}$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{21} &:= \min \left\{ (2^{2p} 3^p A C N^{p-1} R^p)^{-1/(\min\{p,q\}(p-1))}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. (2^{2q+1} 3^q B C N^{q-1} R^{q+1})^{-(q+1)/(\min\{p,q\}(q-1))} \right\}, \\ \varepsilon_{22} &:= \min \left\{ (2^3 3^{2(p-1)} p A C N^{p-1} R^p)^{-1/(\min\{p,q\}(p-1))}, \right. \\ &\quad (2^2 3^{p-1} N R)^{-1/(p-1)}, \\ &\quad (2^3 3^{2(q-1)} q B C N^{q-1} R^{q+1})^{-(q+1)/(\min\{p,q\}(q-1))}, \\ &\quad \left. (2^2 3^{q-1} N R)^{-1/(q-1)} \right\}, \\ \varepsilon_{23} &:= \min \left\{ \varepsilon_{21}, (2^{2p-1} 3^{p+1} p A C N^{p-1} R^p)^{-1/\min\{p,q\}(p-1)}, \right. \\ &\quad (2^{p-1} 3^p N^{p-1} R^{p-1})^{-(p-1)/(\min\{p,q\}(p-2)+1)}, \\ &\quad (2 \cdot 3^2 N R)^{-1/(p-1)}, \\ &\quad (2^{2q} 3^{q+1} q B N^{q-1} R^{q+1})^{-(q+1)/(\min\{p,q\}(q-1))}, \\ &\quad (2^{q-1} 3^q N^{q-1} R^{q-1})^{-(q-1)/(\min\{p,q\}(q-2)+1)}, \\ &\quad \left. (2 \cdot 3^2 N R)^{-1/(q-1)} \right\}, \\ \varepsilon_{24} &:= \min \left\{ (2^{2p+1} 3^{p-1} p A C N^{p-1} R^p)^{-1/(\min\{p,q\}(p-1))}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. (2^3 N R)^{-1/(p-1)} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

### The convergence of the sequence $\{(U_j, W_j)\}$ .

It follows from (5.2), Proposition 5.1 and 5.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|U_{j+1}\|_3 &\leq A \|L(|W_j + \varepsilon u_t^0|^p)\|_3 + B \|L(|U_j + \varepsilon u^0|^q)\|_3 \\ &\leq 2^p A \{ \|L(|W_j|^p)\|_3 + \|L(\varepsilon |u_t^0|^p)\|_3 \} \\ &\quad + 2^q B \{ \|L(|U_j|^q)\|_3 + \|L(\varepsilon |u^0|^q)\|_3 \} \\ &\leq 2^p A \{ C \|W_j\|_4^p (T+R)^p + E \varepsilon^p \|u_t^0\|_\infty^p \} \\ &\quad + 2^q B \{ C \|U_j\|_3^q (T+R)^{q+1} + E \varepsilon^q \|u^0\|_\infty^q \}. \\ &\leq 2^p A C \|W_j\|_4^p (T+R)^p + 2^q B C \|U_j\|_3^q (T+R)^{q+1} + N \varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|W_{j+1}\|_4 &\leq A\|L'(|W_j + \varepsilon u_t^0|^p)\|_4 + B\|L'(|U_j + \varepsilon u_0|^q)\|_4 \\
&\leq 2^p A \{ \|L'(|W_j|^p)\|_4 + \|L'(\varepsilon|u_t^0|^p)\|_4 \} \\
&\quad + 2^q B \{ \|L'(|U_j|^q)\|_4 + \|L'(\varepsilon|u_0|^q)\|_4 \} \\
&\leq 2^p A \{ C\|W_j\|_4^p (T+R)^p + E\varepsilon^p \|u_t^0\|_\infty^p \} \\
&\quad + 2^q B \{ C\|U_j\|_3^q (T+R)^{q+1} + E\varepsilon^q \|u_0\|_\infty^q \} \\
&\leq 2^p AC\|W_j\|_4^p (T+R)^p + 2^q BC\|U_j\|_3^q (T+R)^{q+1} + N\varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the boundedness of  $\{(U_j, W_j)\}$ ;

$$\|U_j\|_3, \|W_j\|_4 \leq 3N\varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}} \quad (j \in \mathbf{N}) \quad (5.7)$$

follows from

$$\begin{cases} 2^p AC(3N\varepsilon)^{p\min\{p,q\}}(T+R)^p &\leq N\varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}}, \\ 2^q BC(3N\varepsilon)^{q\min\{p,q\}}(T+R)^{q+1} &\leq N\varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}}. \end{cases} \quad (5.8)$$

Since (5.6) yield that

$$R \leq C_1 \min \{ \varepsilon^{-\min\{p,q\}(p-1)/p}, \varepsilon^{-\min\{p,q\}(q-1)/(q+1)} \}$$

for  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{21}$ , where

$$C_1 := \frac{1}{2} \min \left\{ (2^p 3^p ACN^{p-1})^{-1/p}, (2^q BCN^{q-1})^{-1/(q+1)} \right\},$$

we find that (5.8) as well as (5.7) follows from

$$T \leq C_1 \min \{ \varepsilon^{-\min\{p,q\}(p-1)/p}, \varepsilon^{-\min\{p,q\}(q-1)/(q+1)} \} \quad (5.9)$$

for  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{21}$ .

Let us write down this inequality in each cases. It follows from

$$\frac{p-1}{p} - \frac{q-1}{q+1} = \frac{2p-q-1}{p(q+1)}$$

that

$$T \leq C_1 \varepsilon^{-p(p-1)/p} = C_1 \varepsilon^{-(p-1)} \quad \text{for } p \leq \frac{q+1}{2} (< q)$$

because of  $\min\{p, q\} = p$ ,

$$T \leq C_1 \varepsilon^{-p(q-1)/(q+1)} \quad \text{for } \frac{q+1}{2} \leq p \leq q$$

because of  $\min\{p, q\} = p$ , and

$$T \leq C_1 \varepsilon^{-q(q-1)/(q+1)} \quad \text{for } p \geq q$$

because of  $\min\{p, q\} = q$ .

Next, assuming (5.9), one can estimate  $(U_{j+1} - U_j)$  and  $(W_{j+1} - W_j)$  as follows. The inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|U_{j+1} - U_j\|_3 \\
&= \|L(A|W_j + \varepsilon u_t^0|^p - A|W_{j-1} + \varepsilon u_t^0|^p \\
&\quad + B|U_j + \varepsilon u_0^q - B|U_{j-1} + \varepsilon u_0^q)\|_3 \\
&\leq pA\|L(|W_{j-1} + \varepsilon u_t^0 + \theta(W_j - W_{j-1})|^{p-1}|W_j - W_{j-1}|)\|_3 \\
&\quad + qB\|L(|U_{j-1} + \varepsilon u_0 + \theta(U_j - U_{j-1})|^{q-1}|U_j - U_{j-1}|)\|_3 \\
&\leq 3^{p-1}pA\|L(|W_{j-1}|^{p-1} + |W_j|^{p-1} + \varepsilon^{p-1}|u_t^0|^{p-1})|W_j - W_{j-1}|\|_3 \\
&\quad + 3^{q-1}qB\|L(|U_{j-1}|^{q-1} + |U_j|^{q-1} + \varepsilon^{q-1}|u_0|^{q-1})|U_j - U_{j-1}|\|_3 \\
&\leq 3^{p-1}pAC(\|W_{j-1}\|_4^{p-1} + \|W_j\|_4^{p-1})\|W_j - W_{j-1}\|_4(T+R)^p \\
&\quad + 3^{p-1}pAE\varepsilon^{p-1}\|u_t^0\|_\infty^{p-1}\|W_j - W_{j-1}\|_4(T+R) \\
&\quad + 3^{q-1}qBC(\|U_{j-1}\|_3^{q-1} + \|U_j\|_3^{q-1})\|U_j - U_{j-1}\|_3(T+R)^{q+1} \\
&\quad + 3^{q-1}qBE\varepsilon^{q-1}\|u_0\|_\infty^{q-1}\|U_j - U_{j-1}\|_3(T+R) \\
&\leq 3^{p-1}\{2pAC(3N\varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}})^{p-1}(T+R)^p + N\varepsilon^{p-1}(T+R)\} \\
&\quad \times \|W_j - W_{j-1}\|_4 \\
&\quad + 3^{q-1}\{2qBC(3N\varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}})^{q-1}(T+R)^{q+1} + N\varepsilon^{q-1}(T+R)\} \\
&\quad \times \|U_j - U_{j-1}\|_3
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|W_{j+1} - W_j\|_4 \\
&= \|L'(A|W_j + \varepsilon u_t^0|^p - A|W_{j-1} + \varepsilon u_t^0|^p \\
&\quad + B|U_j + \varepsilon u_0^q - B|U_{j-1} + \varepsilon u_0^q)\|_4 \\
&\leq 3^{p-1}pA\|L'(|W_{j-1}|^{p-1} + |W_j|^{p-1} + \varepsilon^{p-1}|u_t^0|^{p-1})|W_j - W_{j-1}|\|_4 \\
&\quad + 3^{q-1}qB\|L'(|U_{j-1}|^{q-1} + |U_j|^{q-1} + \varepsilon^{q-1}|u_0|^{q-1})|U_j - U_{j-1}|\|_4 \\
&\leq 3^{p-1}pAC(\|W_{j-1}\|_4^{p-1} + \|W_j\|_4^{p-1})\|W_j - W_{j-1}\|_4(T+R)^p \\
&\quad + 3^{p-1}pAE\varepsilon^{p-1}\|u_t^0\|_\infty^{p-1}\|W_j - W_{j-1}\|_4(T+R) \\
&\quad + 3^{q-1}qBC(\|U_{j-1}\|_3^{q-1} + \|U_j\|_3^{q-1})\|U_j - U_{j-1}\|_3(T+R)^{q+1} \\
&\quad + 3^{q-1}qBE\varepsilon^{q-1}\|u_0\|_\infty^{q-1}\|U_j - U_{j-1}\|_3(T+R) \\
&\leq 3^{p-1}\{2pAC(3N\varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}})^{p-1}(T+R)^p + N\varepsilon^{p-1}(T+R)\} \\
&\quad \times \|W_j - W_{j-1}\|_4 \\
&\quad + 3^{q-1}\{2qBC(3N\varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}})^{q-1}(T+R)^{q+1} + N\varepsilon^{q-1}(T+R)\} \\
&\quad \times \|U_j - U_{j-1}\|_3
\end{aligned}$$

hold with some  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . Here we employ Hölder's inequality like the one in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Therefore the convergence of  $\{U_j\}$  follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|U_{j+1} - U_j\|_3 + \|W_{j+1} - W_j\|_4 \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|U_j - U_{j-1}\|_3 + \|W_j - W_{j-1}\|_4) \quad (j \geq 2) \quad (5.10)
\end{aligned}$$

provided (5.8) and

$$\begin{cases} 2pAC(3N\varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}})^{p-1}(T+R)^p + N\varepsilon^{p-1}(T+R) & \leq \frac{1}{3^{p-1}4}, \\ 2qBC(3N\varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}})^{q-1}(T+R)^{q+1} + N\varepsilon^{q-1}(T+R) & \leq \frac{1}{3^{q-1}4} \end{cases} \quad (5.11)$$

are fulfilled. Since (5.6) yields that

$$R \leq C_2 \min \left\{ \varepsilon^{-\min\{p,q\}(p-1)/p}, \varepsilon^{-(p-1)}, \varepsilon^{-\min\{p,q\}(q-1)/(q+1)}, \varepsilon^{-(q-1)} \right\},$$

for  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{22}$ , where

$$C_2 := \frac{1}{2} \min \left\{ (2^3 3^{2(p-1)} p A C N^{p-1})^{-1/p}, (2^2 3^{p-1} p A N)^{-1}, (2^3 3^{2(q-1)} q B C N^{q-1})^{-1/(q+1)}, (2^2 3^{q-1} q B N)^{-1} \right\},$$

we find that (5.11) as well as (5.10) follows from

$$T \leq C_2 \min \left\{ \varepsilon^{-\min\{p,q\}(p-1)/p}, \varepsilon^{-(p-1)}, \varepsilon^{-\min\{p,q\}(q-1)/(q+1)}, \varepsilon^{-(q-1)} \right\} \quad (5.12)$$

for  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{22}$ .

It is trivial that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{-\min\{p,q\}(p-1)/p} &= \varepsilon^{-(p-1)} \leq \varepsilon^{-(q-1)} && \text{for } p \leq q, \\ \varepsilon^{-\min\{p,q\}(q-1)/(q+1)} &= \varepsilon^{-q(q-1)/(q+1)} \leq \varepsilon^{-(q-1)} && \text{for } p \geq q. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the computations after (5.9) implies that (5.12) is equivalent to

$$T \leq \begin{cases} C_2 \varepsilon^{-(p-1)} & \text{for } p \leq \frac{q+1}{2} (< q), \\ C_2 \varepsilon^{-p(q-1)/(q+1)} & \text{for } \frac{q+1}{2} \leq p \leq q, \\ C_2 \varepsilon^{-q(q-1)/(q+1)} & \text{for } p \geq q. \end{cases}$$

### The convergence of the sequence $\{((U_j)_x, (W_j)_x)\}$ .

Assume (5.9) and (5.12). Then we have (5.7) and (5.10). Since it follows from (5.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} |(U_{j+1})_x| &\leq 2^p A \{ \overline{L'}(|W_j|^p) + \varepsilon^p \overline{L'}(|u_t^0|^p) \} \\ &\quad + 2^q B \{ \overline{L'}(|U_j|^q + \varepsilon^q \overline{L'}(|u^0|^q)) \}, \\ |(W_{j+1})_x| &\leq 2^{p-1} p A \{ L'(|W_j|^{p-1} |(W_j)_x|) + \varepsilon L'(|W_j|^{p-1} |u_{tx}^0|) \} \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^{p-1} L'(|u_t^0|^{p-1} |(W_j)_x|) + \varepsilon^p L'(|u_t^0|^{p-1} |u_{tx}^0|) \\ &\quad + 2^{q-1} q B \{ L'(|U_j|^{q-1} |(U_j)_x|) + \varepsilon L'(|U_j|^{q-1} |u^0|) \} \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^{q-1} L'(|u^0|^{q-1} |(U_j)_x| + \varepsilon^q L'(|u^0|^{q-1} |u_x^0|) \}, \end{aligned}$$



Proposition 5.1 and 5.2 yield that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(U_{j+1})_x\|_3 &\leq 2^p A \{ \|\overline{L'}(|W_j|^p)\|_3 + \varepsilon^p \|\overline{L'}(|u_t^0|^p)\|_3 \} \\
&\quad + 2^q B \{ \|\overline{L'}(|U_j|^q)\|_3 + \varepsilon^q \|\overline{L'}(|u^0|^q)\|_3 \} \\
&\leq 2^p A \{ C \|W_j\|_4^p (T+R)^p + \varepsilon^p E \|u_t^0\|_\infty^p \} \\
&\quad + 2^q B \{ C \|U_j\|_3^q (T+R)^{q+1} + \varepsilon^q E \|u^0\|_\infty^q \} \\
&\leq N \varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}} + 2^p AC \|W_j\|_4^p (T+R)^p \\
&\quad + 2^q BC \|U_j\|_3^q (T+R)^{q+1}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(W_{j+1})_x\|_4 &\leq 2^{p-1} p A \{ \|L'(|W_j|^{p-1} |(W_j)_x|)\|_4 + \varepsilon \|L'(|W_j|^{p-1} |u_{tx}^0|)\|_3 \\
&\quad + \varepsilon^{p-1} \|L'(|u_t^0|^{p-1} |(W_j)_x|)\|_4 + \varepsilon^p \|L'(|u_t^0|^{p-1} |u_{tx}^0|)\|_4 \} \\
&\quad + 2^{q-1} q B \{ \|L'(|U_j|^{q-1} |(U_j)_x|)\|_4 + \varepsilon \|L'(|U_j|^{q-1} |u^0|)\|_4 \\
&\quad + \varepsilon^{q-1} \|L'(|u^0|^{q-1} |(U_j)_x|)\|_4 + \varepsilon^q \|L'(|u^0|^{q-1} |u_x^0|)\|_4 \} \\
&\leq 2^{p-1} p A \{ C \|W_j\|_4^{p-1} \|(W_j)_x\|_4 (T+R)^p \\
&\quad + \varepsilon E \|u_{tx}^0\|_\infty \|W_j\|_4^{p-1} (T+R)^{p-1} \\
&\quad + \varepsilon^{p-1} E \|u_t^0\|_\infty^{p-1} \|(W_j)_x\|_4 (T+R) + \varepsilon^p E \|u_t^0\|_\infty^{p-1} \|u_{tx}^0\|_\infty \} \\
&\quad + 2^{q-1} q B \{ C \|U_j\|_3^{q-1} \|(U_j)_x\|_3 (T+R)^{q+1} \\
&\quad + \varepsilon E \|u^0\|_\infty \|U_j\|_3^{q-1} (T+R)^{q-1} \\
&\quad + \varepsilon^{q-1} E \|u^0\|_\infty^{q-1} \|(U_j)_x\|_3 (T+R) + \varepsilon^q E \|u^0\|_\infty^{q-1} \|u_x^0\|_\infty \} \\
&\leq N \varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}} + 2^{p-1} p AC (3N \varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}})^{p-1} (T+R)^p \|(W_j)_x\|_4 \\
&\quad + N \varepsilon (3N \varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}})^{p-1} (T+R)^{p-1} + N \varepsilon^{p-1} (T+R) \|(W_j)_x\|_4 \\
&\quad + 2^{q-1} q BC (3N \varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}})^{q-1} (T+R)^{q+1} \|(U_j)_x\|_3 \\
&\quad + N \varepsilon (3N \varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}})^{q-1} (T+R)^{q-1} + N \varepsilon^{q-1} (T+R) \|(U_j)_x\|_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the boundedness of  $\{(U_j)_x, (W_j)_x\}$ ;

$$\|(U_j)_x\|_3, \|(W_j)_x\|_4 \leq 3N \varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}} \quad (j \in \mathbf{N}). \quad (5.13)$$

follows from

$$\begin{cases} 2^p AC (3N \varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}})^p (T+R)^p &\leq N \varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}}, \\ 2^q BC (3N \varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}})^q (T+R)^{q+1} &\leq N \varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}} \end{cases} \quad (5.14)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} 3 \cdot 2^{p-1} p AC (3N \varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}})^p (T+R)^p &\leq N \varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}}, \\ 3N \varepsilon (3N \varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}})^{p-1} (T+R)^{p-1} &\leq N \varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}}, \\ 3N \varepsilon^{p-1} (3N \varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}}) (T+R) &\leq N \varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}}, \\ 3 \cdot 2^{q-1} q BC (3N \varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}})^q (T+R)^{q+1} &\leq N \varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}}, \\ 3N \varepsilon (3N \varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}})^{q-1} (T+R)^{q-1} &\leq N \varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}}, \\ 3N \varepsilon^{q-1} (3N \varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}}) (T+R) &\leq N \varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}}, \end{cases} \quad (5.15)$$

Since (5.6) yield that

$$R \leq C_3 \min \left\{ \varepsilon^{-\min\{p,q\}(p-1)/p}, \varepsilon^{-(\min\{p,q\}(p-2)+1)/(p-1)}, \varepsilon^{-(p-1)}, \varepsilon^{-\min\{p,q\}(q-1)/(q+1)}, \varepsilon^{-(\min\{p,q\}(q-2)+1)/(q-1)}, \varepsilon^{-(q-1)} \right\}$$

for  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{23}$ , where

$$C_3 := \frac{1}{2} \min \left\{ (2^p 3^p ACN^{p-1})^{-1/p}, (2^q 3^q BCN^{q-1})^{-1/(q+1)}, (2^{p-1} 3^{p+1} p ACN^{p-1})^{-1/p}, (3^p N^{p-1})^{-1/(p-1)}, (3^2 N)^{-1}, (2^{q-1} 3^{q+1} q BCN^{q-1})^{-1/(q+1)}, (3^q N^{q-1})^{-1/(q-1)}, (3^2 N)^{-1} \right\},$$

we find that (5.14) and (5.15) as well as (5.13) follow from

$$T \leq C_3 \min \left\{ \varepsilon^{-\min\{p,q\}(p-1)/p}, \varepsilon^{-(\min\{p,q\}(p-2)+1)/(p-1)}, \varepsilon^{-(p-1)}, \varepsilon^{-\min\{p,q\}(q-1)/(q+1)}, \varepsilon^{-(\min\{p,q\}(q-2)+1)/(q-1)}, \varepsilon^{-(q-1)} \right\} \quad (5.16)$$

for  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{23}$ .

Let us write down this inequality in each cases. For  $2 \leq p \leq (q+1)/2 (< q)$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\min\{p,q\}(p-1)}{p} - (p-1) &= 0, \\ \frac{\min\{p,q\}(p-2)+1}{p} - (p-1) &= \frac{p(p-2)+1}{p} - (p-1) = 0, \\ \frac{\min\{p,q\}(q-1)}{q+1} - (p-1) &= \frac{p(q-1)}{q+1} - (p-1) \\ &= \frac{-2p+q+1}{q+1} \geq \frac{-(q+1)+(q+1)}{q+1} = 0, \\ \frac{\min\{p,q\}(q-2)+1}{q-1} - (p-1) &= \frac{p(q-2)+1}{q-1} - (p-1) = \frac{q-p}{q-1} > 0, \\ (q-1) - (p-1) &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that (5.16) is equivalent to

$$T \leq C_3 \varepsilon^{-(p-1)} \quad \text{for } 2 \leq p \leq \frac{q+1}{2}.$$

For  $\max\{2, (q+1)/2\} \leq p \leq q$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\min\{p, q\}(p-1)}{2p-q-1} - \frac{p(q-1)}{q+1} = \frac{p(p-1)}{p} - \frac{p(q-1)}{q+1} \\
& = \frac{p}{2p-q-1} \geq \frac{q+1}{q+1-(q+1)} = 0, \\
& \frac{\min\{p, q\}(p-2)+1}{2p-q-1} - \frac{p(q-1)}{q+1} = \frac{p(p-2)+1}{p-1} - \frac{p(q-1)}{q+1} \\
& = \frac{p-1}{q+1} \geq \frac{q+1}{q+1} = 0, \\
& \frac{\min\{p, q\}(q-1)}{q+1} - \frac{p(q-1)}{q+1} = 0, \\
& \frac{\min\{p, q\}(q-2)+1}{q-1} - \frac{p(q-1)}{q+1} = \frac{p(q-2)+1}{q-1} - \frac{p(q-1)}{q+1} \\
& = \frac{pq-3p+q+1}{(q-1)(q+1)} \geq \frac{2q-3q+q+1}{(q-1)(q+1)} > 0, \\
& (q-1) - \frac{p(q-1)}{q+1} \geq (q-1) - \frac{q(q-1)}{q+1} > 0
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that (5.16) is equivalent to

$$T \leq C_3 \varepsilon^{-p(q-1)/(q+1)} \quad \text{for } \max\left\{2, \frac{q+1}{2}\right\} \leq p \leq q.$$

For  $p \geq q \geq 2$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\min\{p, q\}(p-1)}{2p-q-1} - \frac{q(q-1)}{q+1} = \frac{q(p-1)}{p} - \frac{q(q-1)}{q+1} \\
& = \frac{p}{2p-q-1} \geq \frac{q-1}{q-1} > 0, \\
& \frac{\min\{p, q\}(p-2)+1}{2pq-q^2-2q+1} - \frac{q(q-1)}{q+1} = \frac{q(p-2)+1}{q(p-q)+q(p-2)+1} - \frac{q(q-1)}{q+1} \\
& = \frac{p-1}{(p-1)(q+1)} = \frac{p-1}{(p-1)(q+1)} > 0, \\
& \frac{\min\{p, q\}(q-1)}{q+1} - \frac{q(q-1)}{q+1} = 0, \\
& \frac{\min\{p, q\}(q-2)+1}{(q+1)(q-1)-q(q-1)} - \frac{q(q-1)}{q+1} = \frac{q(q-2)+1}{q-1} - \frac{q(q-1)}{q+1} \\
& = \frac{q+1}{(q+1)(q-1)-q(q-1)} > 0, \\
& (q-1) - \frac{q(q-1)}{q+1} > 0
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that (5.16) is equivalent to

$$T \leq C \varepsilon^{-q(q-1)/(q+1)} \quad \text{for } p \geq q \geq 2.$$

Next, assuming (5.9), (5.12) and (5.16), we shall estimate  $\{(U_{j+1})_x - (U_j)_x\}$  and  $\{(W_{j+1})_x - (W_j)_x\}$ . It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(U_{j+1})_x - (U_j)_x| \\
& \leq \overline{L'}(|A|W_j + \varepsilon u_t^0|^p - |W_{j-1} + \varepsilon u_t^0|^p|) \\
& \quad + \overline{L'}(|B|U_j + \varepsilon u^0|^q - |U_{j-1} + \varepsilon u^0|^q|) \\
& \leq p\overline{A}\overline{L'}(|W_{j-1} + \varepsilon u_t^0 + \theta(W_{j+1} - W_j)|^{p-1}|W_j - W_{j-1}|) \\
& \quad + q\overline{B}\overline{L'}(|U_{j-1} + \varepsilon u^0 + \theta(U_{j+1} - U_j)|^{q-1}|U_j - U_{j-1}|) \\
& \leq 3^{p-1}p\overline{A}\overline{L'}\{|W_{j-1}|^{p-1} + |W_j|^{p-1} + \varepsilon^{p-1}|u_t^0|^{p-1}\}|W_j - W_{j-1}| \} \\
& \quad + 3^{q-1}q\overline{B}\overline{L'}\{|U_{j-1}|^{q-1} + |U_j|^{q-1} + \varepsilon^{q-1}|u^0|^{q-1}\}|U_j - U_{j-1}| \}
\end{aligned}$$

hold for some  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . Moreover we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(W_{j+1})_x - (W_j)_x| \\
& \leq L'(|pA|W_j + \varepsilon u_t^0|^{p-2}(W_j + \varepsilon u_t^0)((W_j)_x + \varepsilon u_{tx}^0) \\
& \quad - pA|W_{j-1} + \varepsilon u_t^0|^{p-2}(W_{j-1} + \varepsilon u_t^0)((W_{j-1})_x + \varepsilon u_{tx}^0)|) \\
& \quad + L'(qB|U_j + \varepsilon u^0|^{q-2}(U_j + \varepsilon u^0)((U_j)_x + \varepsilon u_x^0) \\
& \quad - qB|U_{j-1} + \varepsilon u^0|^{q-2}(U_{j-1} + \varepsilon u^0)((U_{j-1})_x + \varepsilon u_x^0)|).
\end{aligned}$$

In order to estimate the quantities in the right hand side of this inequality, we employ

$$\begin{aligned}
& |W_j + \varepsilon u_t^0|^{p-2}(W_j + \varepsilon u_t^0)(W_j + \varepsilon u_t^0)_x \\
& - |W_{j-1} + \varepsilon u_t^0|^{p-2}(W_{j-1} + \varepsilon u_t^0)(W_{j-1} + \varepsilon u_t^0)_x \\
& = (|W_j + \varepsilon u_t^0|^{p-2} - |W_{j-1} + \varepsilon u_t^0|^{p-2})(W_j + \varepsilon u_t^0)(W_j + \varepsilon u_t^0)_x \\
& \quad + |W_{j-1} + \varepsilon u_t^0|^{p-2}((W_j + \varepsilon u_t^0) - (W_{j-1} + \varepsilon u_t^0))(W_j + \varepsilon u_t^0)_x \\
& \quad + |W_{j-1} + \varepsilon u_t^0|^{p-2}(W_{j-1} + \varepsilon u_t^0)((W_j + \varepsilon u_t^0)_x - (W_{j-1} + \varepsilon u_t^0)_x)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& ||W_j + \varepsilon u_t^0|^{p-2} - |W_{j-1} + \varepsilon u_t^0|^{p-2}| \\
& \leq \begin{cases} (p-2)(|W_j + \varepsilon u_t^0|^{p-3} \\ \quad + \theta(W_{j-1} + \varepsilon u_t^0)^{p-3})|W_j - W_{j-1}| \end{cases} \text{ for } p \geq 3, \\
& \leq \begin{cases} |W_j - W_{j-1}|^{p-2} & \text{for } 2 < p < 3, \\ 0 & \text{for } p = 2 \end{cases} \\
& \leq \begin{cases} 3^{p-3}(p-2)(|W_j|^{p-3} + |W_{j-1}|^{p-3} \\ \quad + \varepsilon^{p-3}|u_t^0|^{p-3})|W_j - W_{j-1}| \end{cases} \text{ for } p \geq 3, \\
& \leq \begin{cases} |W_j - W_{j-1}|^{p-2} & \text{for } 2 < p < 3, \\ 0 & \text{for } p = 2 \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

with some  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . Similarly we also employ

$$\begin{aligned}
& |U_j + \varepsilon u^0|^{q-2}(U_j + \varepsilon u^0)((U_j)_x + \varepsilon u_x^0) \\
& - |U_{j-1} + \varepsilon u^0|^{q-2}(U_{j-1} + \varepsilon u^0)((U_{j-1})_x + \varepsilon u_x^0) \\
& = (|U_j + \varepsilon u^0|^{q-2} - |U_{j-1} + \varepsilon u^0|^{q-2})(U_j + \varepsilon u^0)((U_j)_x + \varepsilon u_x^0) \\
& \quad + |U_{j-1} + \varepsilon u^0|^{q-2}((U_j + \varepsilon u^0) - (U_{j-1} + \varepsilon u^0))((U_j)_x + \varepsilon u_x^0) \\
& \quad + |U_{j-1} + \varepsilon u^0|^{q-2}(U_{j-1} + \varepsilon u^0)((U_j)_x + \varepsilon u_x^0) - ((U_{j-1})_x + \varepsilon u_x^0)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\| |U_j + \varepsilon u^0|^{q-2} - |U_{j-1} + \varepsilon u^0|^{q-2} \| \leq \begin{cases} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 3^{q-3}(q-2)(|U_j|^{q-3} + |U_{j-1}|^{q-3}) \\ + \varepsilon^{q-3}|u^0|^{q-3} |U_j - U_{j-1}| \end{array} \right\} & \text{for } q \geq 3, \\ |U_j - U_{j-1}|^{q-2} & \text{for } 2 < q < 3, \\ 0 & \text{for } q = 2. \end{cases}$$

Hence it follows from Propositions 5.1 and 5.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (U_{j+1})_x - (U_j)_x \|_3 \\ & \leq 3^{p-1} p A \left\{ C(\|W_{j-1}\|_4^{p-1} + \|W_j\|_4^{p-1}) \|W_j - W_{j-1}\|_4 (T+R)^p \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \varepsilon^{p-1} E \|u_t^0\|_\infty^{p-1} \|W_j - W_{j-1}\|_4 (T+R) \right\} \\ & \quad + 3^{q-1} q B \left\{ C(\|U_{j-1}\|_3^{q-1} + \|U_j\|_3^{q-1}) \|U_j - U_{j-1}\|_3 (T+R)^{q+1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \varepsilon^{q-1} E \|u^0\|_\infty^{q-1} \|U_j - U_{j-1}\|_3 (T+R) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (W_j)_x - (W_{j-1})_x \|_4 \\ & \leq \begin{cases} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 3^{p-3} p(p-2) A \left\{ C(\|W_{j-1}\|_4^{p-3} + \|W_{j-1}\|_4^{p-3}) \right. \\ \times \|W_j - W_{j-1}\|_4 \|W_j + \varepsilon u_t^0\|_4 \\ \times \|(W_j)_x + \varepsilon (u_t^0)_x\|_4 (T+R)^p \\ \left. + \varepsilon^{p-3} E \|u_0^t\|_\infty^{p-3} \|W_j - W_{j-1}\|_4 \right. \\ \times \|W_j + \varepsilon u_t^0\|_4 \|(W_j)_x + \varepsilon u_{tx}^0\|_4 (T+R)^3 \} \\ \left. p A C \|W_j - W_{j-1}\|_4^{p-2} \right. \\ \times \|W_j + \varepsilon u_t^0\|_4 \|W_j + \varepsilon u_{tx}^0\|_4 (T+R)^p \} \end{array} \right\} & \text{for } p \geq 3, \\ 0 & & \text{for } 2 < p < 3, \\ 0 & & \text{for } p = 2 \end{cases} \\ & + p A C \|W_{j-1} + \varepsilon u_t^0\|_4^{p-2} \|W_j - W_{j-1}\|_4 \|(W_j)_x + \varepsilon u_{tx}^0\|_4 (T+R)^p \\ & + p A \|\overline{L}'\{ |W_{j-1} + \varepsilon u_t^0|^{p-2} (W_{j-1} + \varepsilon u_t^0) ((W_j) - (W_{j-1})_x) \}\|_4 \\ & + \begin{cases} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 3^{q-3} q(q-2) B \left\{ C(\|U_{j-1}\|_3^{q-3} + \|U_j\|_3^{q-3}) \right. \\ \times \|U_j - U_{j-1}\|_3 \|U_j + \varepsilon u^0\|_3 \\ \times \|(U_j)_x + \varepsilon u_x^0\|_3 (T+R)^{q+1} \\ \left. + \varepsilon^{q-3} E \|u^0\|_\infty^{q-3} \|U_j - U_{j-1}\|_3 \right. \\ \times \|U_j + \varepsilon u^0\|_3 \|(U_j)_x + \varepsilon u_x^0\|_3 (T+R)^3 \} \\ \left. q B C \|U_j - U_{j-1}\|_3^{q-2} \right. \\ \times \|U_j + \varepsilon u^0\|_3 \|(U_j)_x + \varepsilon u_x^0\|_3 (T+R)^{q+1} \} \end{array} \right\} & \text{for } q \geq 3, \\ 0 & & \text{for } 2 < q < 3, \\ 0 & & \text{for } q = 2 \end{cases} \\ & + q B C \|U_{j-1} + \varepsilon u^0\|_3^{q-2} \|U_j - U_{j-1}\|_3 \|(U_j)_x + \varepsilon u_x^0\|_3 (T+R)^{q+1} \\ & + q B C \|U_{j-1} + \varepsilon u^0\|_3^{q-2} \|U_{j-1} + \varepsilon u^0\|_3 \|(U_j)_x - (U_{j-1})_x\|_3 (T+R)^{q+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since (5.9) and (5.12) yield (5.10), we have that

$$\|U_{j+1} - U_j\|_3 + \|W_{j+1} - W_j\|_3 \leq O\left(\frac{1}{2^j}\right) \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

This fact implies that

$$\|(U_{j+1})_x - (U_j)_x\|_3 = O\left(\frac{1}{2^j}\right) \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.17)$$

Moreover it follows from (5.9) as well as (5.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} & pA\|\overline{L'}\{|W_{j-1} + \varepsilon u_t^0|^{p-2}(W_{j-1} + \varepsilon u_t^0)((W_j) - (W_{j-1})_x)\}\|_4 \\ & \leq 2^{p-1}pA\|L'\{|W_{j-1}|^{p-1} + \varepsilon^{p-1}|u_t^0|^{p-1}\}|(W_j)_x - (W_{j-1})_x|\}\|_4 \\ & \leq 2^{p-1}pAC\|W_j\|_4^{p-1}\|(W_j)_x - (W_{j-1})_x\|_4(T+R)^p \\ & \quad + 2^{p-1}pAE\varepsilon^{p-1}\|u_t^0\|_\infty^{p-1}\|(W_j)_x - (W_{j-1})_x\|_4(T+R) \\ & \leq 2^{p-1}pAC(3N\varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}})^{p-1}(T+R)^p\|(W_j)_x - (W_{j-1})_x\|_4 \\ & \quad + N\varepsilon^{p-1}(T+R)\|(W_j)_x - (W_{j-1})_x\|_4. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(W_{j+1})_x - (W_j)_x\|_4 \\ & \leq 2^{p-1}3^{p-1}pACN^{p-1}\varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}(p-1)}(T+R)^p\|(W_j)_x - (W_{j-1})_x\|_4 \\ & \quad + N\varepsilon^{p-1}(T+R)\|(W_j)_x - (W_{j-1})_x\|_4 + O\left(\frac{1}{2^{j\min\{(p-2)+,(q-2)+,1\}}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore we obtain that

$$\|(W_{j+1})_x - (W_j)_x\|_4 \leq O\left(\frac{1}{2^j}\right) \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty \quad (5.18)$$

provided

$$\begin{cases} 2^{p-1}3^{p-1}pACN^{p-1}\varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}(p-1)}(T+R)^p & \leq \frac{1}{4}, \\ N\varepsilon^{p-1}(T+R) & \leq \frac{1}{4} \end{cases} \quad (5.19)$$

holds. Since (5.6) yield that

$$R \leq C_4 \min\{\varepsilon^{-\min\{p,q\}(p-1)/p}, \varepsilon^{-(p-1)}\}$$

for  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{24}$ , where

$$C_4 := \frac{1}{2} \min\{(2^{p+1}3^{p-1}pACN^{p-1})^{-1/p}, (2^2N)^{-1}\},$$

we find that (5.19) as well as (5.17) and (5.18) follows from

$$T \leq C_4 \min\{\varepsilon^{-\min\{p,q\}(p-1)/p}, \varepsilon^{-(p-1)}\} \quad (5.20)$$

for  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{24}$ . We note that (5.20) is equivalent to

$$T \leq \begin{cases} C_4 \varepsilon^{-(p-1)} & \text{for } 2 \leq p \leq q, \\ C_4 \varepsilon^{-q(p-1)/p} & \text{for } 2 \leq q \leq p. \end{cases}$$

Due to the computations after (5.16), we have that

$$\varepsilon^{-p(q-1)/(q+1)} \leq \varepsilon^{-(p-1)} \quad \text{for } \max \left\{ 2, \frac{q+1}{2} \right\} \leq p \leq q$$

and

$$\varepsilon^{-q(q-1)/(q+1)} \leq \varepsilon^{-q(p-1)/p} \quad \text{for } 2 \leq q \leq p.$$

### Continuation of the proof.

The convergence of the sequence  $\{(U_j, W_j)\}$  to  $(U, W)$  in the closed subspace of  $Y$  satisfying

$$\|U\|_3, \|(U_x)\|_3, \|W\|_4, \|(W)_x\|_4 \leq 3N\varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}}$$

is established by (5.6), (5.9), (5.12), (5.16) and (5.20). Therefore the statement of Theorem 2.2 for  $p, q \geq 2$  is established with

$$\begin{cases} c = \min \{C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4\}, \\ \varepsilon_2 = \min \{1, \varepsilon_{21}, \varepsilon_{22}, \varepsilon_{23}, \varepsilon_{24}\}. \end{cases}$$

In the case of  $1 < p < 2$  or  $1 < q < 2$ ,  $Y$  and  $N$  in the proof for  $p, q \geq 2$  above are replaced with  $Y_0$  and  $N_0$  respectively, where

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0 &:= \{(U, W) \in \{C(\mathbf{R} \times [0, T])\}^2 \\ &\quad : \|(U, W)\|_{Y_0} < \infty, \text{supp } (U, W) \subset \{|x| \leq t + R\}\} \end{aligned}$$

which is equipped with a norm

$$\|(U, W)\|_{Y_0} := \|U\|_3 + \|W\|_4$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} N_0 &:= (2^p p A + 2^q q B) E \sum_{\gamma=0}^1 \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=0}^1 \left( \|f^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R})}^{p-\gamma} + \|f^{(\alpha)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R})}^{q-\gamma} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|g\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R})}^{p-\gamma} + \|g\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R})}^{q-\gamma} + \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R})}^{p-\gamma} + \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R})}^{q-\gamma} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is trivial that the convergence of  $\{(U_j, W_j)\}$  to  $(U, W)$  in the closed subspace  $Y_0$  satisfying  $\|U\|_3, \|W\|_4 \leq 3N_0\varepsilon^{\min\{p,q\}}$  follows from (5.6), (5.9) and (5.12), so that the proof of Theorem 2.2 is completed now.  $\square$

## 6 Proof of Proposition 5.1

In this section, we prove a priori estimate (5.4) in the similar manner to the one for (3.3). A positive constant  $C$  independent of  $T$  and  $\varepsilon$  may change from line to line.

It follows from the assumption on the supports that

$$\begin{aligned} & |L(|U^0|^{p-m}|W|^m)(x, t)| \\ & \leq C \|U^0\|_\infty^{p-m} \|W\|_4^m (T+R)^m J_0(x, t) \quad \text{for } |x| \leq t+R, \end{aligned}$$

where we set

$$J_0(x, t) := \int_0^t ds \int_{x-t+s}^{x+t-s} \chi_{\text{supp } U^0}(y, s) dy.$$

First, we consider the case of  $x \geq 0$ . From now on, we employ changing variables by

$$\alpha = s + y, \beta = s - y.$$

For  $t+x \geq R$  and  $t-x \geq R$ , extending the domain of the integral, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} J_0(x, t) & \leq C \int_{-R}^R d\beta \int_{-R}^{t+x} d\alpha + C \int_{-R}^{t-x} d\beta \int_{-R}^R d\alpha \\ & \leq C(t+x+R). \end{aligned}$$

For  $t+x \geq R$  and  $|t-x| \leq R$ , we also have that

$$J_0(x, t) \leq C \int_{-R}^R d\beta \int_{-R}^{t+x} d\alpha \leq C(t+x+R).$$

For  $t+x \leq R$ , it is trivial that  $J_0(x, t) \leq C$ . Summing up, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & |L(|U^0|^{p-m}|W|^m)(x, t)| \\ & \leq C \|U^0\|_\infty^{p-m} \|W\|_3^m (T+R)^m (t+x+R) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq t+R. \end{aligned}$$

The case of  $x \leq 0$  is similar to the above, so that we shall omit the details. Therefore we obtain the first inequality in (5.4). The second inequality in (5.4) follows from the computation above because we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & |L(|U^0|^{q-m}|U|^m)(x, t)| \\ & \leq C \|U^0\|_\infty^{q-m} \|U\|_3^m (T+R)^m J_0(x, t) \quad \text{for } |x| \leq t+R. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we shall show the third inequality in (5.4). It follows from the assumption on the support and the definition of  $L'$  that

$$\begin{aligned} & |L'(|U^0|^{p-m}|W|^m)(x, t)| \\ & \leq C \|U^0\|_\infty^{p-m} \|W\|_4^m (T+R)^m \{J_{1+}(x, t) + J_{1-}(x, t)\} \quad \text{for } |x| \leq t+R, \end{aligned}$$



where the integrals  $J_{1+}$  and  $J_{1-}$  are defined by

$$J_{1\pm}(x, t) := \int_0^t \chi_{1\pm}(x, t; s) ds$$

and the characteristic functions  $\chi_+$  and  $\chi_-$  are defined by

$$\chi_{1\pm}(x, t; s) := \chi_{\{|s-|t-s\pm x|| \leq R\}},$$

respectively. First we note that it is sufficient to estimate  $J_{1\pm}$  for  $x \geq 0$  due to its symmetry,

$$J_{1+}(-x, t) = J_{1-}(x, t).$$

For  $(x, t) \in D \cap \{x \geq 0\}$ , we have that

$$J_{1\pm}(x, t) = \int_{(t\pm x-R)/2}^{(t\pm x+R)/2} ds \leq C.$$

On the other hand, for  $t + x \geq R, x \geq 0$  and  $|t - x| \leq R$ , we have that

$$J_{1+}(x, t) = \int_{(t+x-R)/2}^t ds \leq C$$

and

$$J_{1-}(x, t) = \int_{(t-x-R)/2}^t ds \leq C(t + x + R).$$

It is trivial that, for  $t + x \leq R, x \geq 0$ , we have  $J_{1\pm}(x, t) \leq C$ . Summing up all the estimates above, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & |L'(|U^0|^{p-m}|W|^m)(x, t)| \\ & \leq C \|U^0\|_{\infty}^{p-m} \|W\|_4^m (T + R)^m \quad \text{for } |x| \leq t + R. \\ & \quad \times \{\chi_D(x, t) + (1 - \chi_D(x, t))(t + |x| + R)\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the third inequality in (5.4) is established.

Due to the assumptions on the supports as well as the definitions of the norms, it is clear that the proofs of the three remaining inequalities in (5.4) easily follow from the computations above. The proof of Proposition 5.1 is now completed.  $\square$ .

## 7 Proof of Proposition 5.2

In this section, we prove a priori estimate (5.5). Again, a positive constant  $C$  independent of  $T$  and  $\varepsilon$  may change from line to line.

It follows from the assumption on the supports and the definition of  $L$  that

$$|L(|W|^p)(x, t)| \leq C \|W\|_4^p J_1(x, t) \quad \text{for } |x| \leq t + R,$$

where we set

$$J_1(x, t) := \int_0^t ds \int_{x-t+s}^{x+t-s} \{ \chi_D(s, y) + (1 - \chi_D(s, y))(s + |y| + R)^p \} \chi_{\text{supp } w}(s, y) dy.$$

First, we consider the case of  $x \geq 0$ . From now on, we employ changing variables by

$$\alpha = s + y, \beta = s - y.$$

For  $(x, t) \in D$ , extending the domain of the integral, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(x, t) &\leq C \int_{-R}^R d\beta \int_{-R}^{x+t} (\alpha + R)^p d\alpha \\ &\quad + C \int_{R-x}^{t-x} (\beta + R)^p d\beta \int_{-R}^R d\alpha \\ &\quad + C \int_{R-x}^{t-x} d\beta \int_R^{t+x} d\alpha \\ &\leq C(T + R)^p(t + x + R). \end{aligned}$$

For  $t + x \geq R$  and  $|t - x| \leq R$ , we also have that

$$J_1(x, t) \leq C + C \int_{-R}^{t-x} d\beta \int_R^{t+x} (\alpha + R)^p d\alpha \leq C(T + R)^p(t + x + R).$$

For  $t + x \leq R$ , it is trivial that

$$J_1(x, t) \leq C.$$

Summing up, we obtain that

$$|L(|W|^p)(x, t)| \leq C \|W\|_4^p (T + R)^p (t + x + R) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq t + R.$$

The case of  $x \leq 0$  is similar to the above, so that we shall omit the details. Therefore we obtain the first inequality of the first line of (5.5).

The second inequality in (5.5) follows from

$$|L(|U|^q)(x, t)| \leq C \|U\|_3^q J_2(x, t) \quad \text{for } |x| \leq t + R,$$

where

$$J_2(x, t) := \int_0^t ds \int_{x-t+s}^{x+t-s} (s + |y| + R)^q \chi_{\text{supp } U}(y, s) dy.$$

Because it is trivial that

$$J_2(x, t) \leq C(T + R)^{q+1}(t + |x| + R) \quad \text{for } |x| \leq t + R.$$

Next, we shall show the third inequality in (5.5). It follows from the assumption on the supports and the definition of  $L'$  that

$$|L'(|W|^p)(x, t)| \leq C\|W\|_4^p \{J_+(x, t) + J_-(x, t)\} \quad \text{for } |x| \leq t + R,$$

where the integrals  $J_+$  and  $J_-$  are defined by

$$J_{\pm}(x, t) := \int_0^t \{ \chi_{2\pm}(x, t; s) + (1 - \chi_{2\pm}(x, t; s))(s + |t - s \pm x| + R)^p \} \chi_{\pm}(x, t; s) ds$$

and the characteristic functions  $\chi_+$ ,  $\chi_-$ ,  $\chi_{2+}$  and  $\chi_{2-}$  are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\pm}(x, t; s) &:= \chi_{\{s: |t-s \pm x| \leq s+R\}}, \\ \chi_{2\pm}(x, t; s) &:= \chi_{\{s: s - |t-s \pm x| \geq R\}} \end{aligned}$$

respectively. First we note that it is sufficient to estimate  $J_{\pm}$  for  $x \geq 0$  due to its symmetry,

$$J_+(-x, t) = J_-(x, t).$$

For  $(x, t) \in D \cap \{x \geq 0\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_+(x, t) &\leq C \int_{(t+x-R)/2}^{(t+x+R)/2} (t+x+R)^p ds + C \int_{(t+x+R)/2}^t ds \\ &\leq C(t+x+R)^p + C(t+x+R) \\ &\leq C(T+R)^p \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} J_-(x, t) &\leq C \int_{(t-x-R)/2}^{(t-x+R)/2} (s + |t - s - x| + R)^p ds + C \int_{(t-x+R)/2}^t ds \\ &\leq C(t+x+R)^p + C(t+x+R) \\ &\leq C(T+R)^p. \end{aligned}$$

For  $t+x \geq R$  and  $|t-x| \leq R$ , we have

$$J_+(x, t) \leq C \int_{(t+x-R)/2}^t (t+x+R)^p ds \leq C(t+x+R)(T+R)^p$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
J_-(x, t) &\leq C \int_0^t (s + |t - s - x| + R)^p ds \\
&\leq C \int_0^{t-x} (t - x + R)^p ds + C \int_{t-x}^t (2s - t + x + R)^p ds \\
&\leq C(t - x + R)^{p+1} + C(t + x + R)^{p+1} \\
&\leq C(t + x + R)(T + R)^p.
\end{aligned}$$

It is trivial that  $J_{\pm}(x, t) \leq C$  for  $t + x \leq R$ . Therefore we obtain the third inequality in (5.5).

The fourth, fifth and sixth inequalities in (5.5) readily follow from the computations above. The proof of Proposition 5.2 is now completed.  $\square$

## 8 Proofs of Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4

This section is devoted to the blow-up part of (1.2) and (1.3). The proof can be established by contradiction argument to the assumption that  $(u, w)$  is a continuous solution in the time interval  $[0, T]$  of an associated system of integral equations, (2.14).

### Proof of Theorem 2.3.

Recall that our assumption on the initial data is (2.19). If one neglects  $A|u_t|^p$  in (1.1), one immediately obtains the contradiction if  $T$  satisfies

$$T \geq C\varepsilon^{-(q-1)/2}$$

with suitably small  $\varepsilon$ , where  $C$  is a positive constant independent of  $\varepsilon$ , by Theorem 1.1 in Zhou [15] or Theorem 5.1 in Takamura [12] for the classical solution of (1.1). This estimate is also available for the continuous solution of (2.14) if one employs the proof of Theorem 2.3 with  $a = -1$  in Kitamura, Morisawa and Takamura [7], which is applied to

$$u \geq \varepsilon u^0 + BL(|u|^q).$$

On the other hand, if one neglects  $B|u|^q$  in (1.1), one immediately obtains the contradiction if  $T$  satisfies

$$T \geq C\varepsilon^{-(p-1)}$$

with suitably small  $\varepsilon$ , where  $C$  is a positive constant independent of  $\varepsilon$ , by Theorem 1.1 in Zhou [16] for the classical solution of (1.1). This estimate is

also available for the continuous solution of (2.14) if one employs the proof of Theorem 2.2 with  $a = -1$  in Kitamura, Morisawa and Takamura [8], which is applied to

$$w \geq \varepsilon u_t^0 + AL'(|w|^p).$$

Therefore we obtain the contradiction if  $T$  satisfies

$$T \geq \min\{C\varepsilon^{-(p-1)}, C\varepsilon^{-(q-1)/2}\}$$

with suitably small  $\varepsilon$ , where  $C$  is a positive constant independent of  $\varepsilon$ , which asserts the statement of Theorem 2.3.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 2.4.**

Recall that our assumption on the initial data is (2.21). If one neglects  $A|u_t|^p$  in (1.1), one immediately obtains the contradiction if  $T$  satisfies

$$T \geq C\varepsilon^{-q(q-1)/(q+1)} \tag{8.1}$$

with suitably small  $\varepsilon$ , where  $C$  is a positive constant independent of  $\varepsilon$ , by Theorem 1.2 in Zhou [15] or Theorem 5.1 in Takamura [12] for the classical solution of (1.1). This estimate is also available for the continuous solution of (2.14) if one employs the proof of Theorem 2.4 with  $a = -1$  in Kitamura, Morisawa and Takamura [7], which is applied to

$$u \geq \varepsilon u^0 + BL(|u|^q).$$

On the other hand, one can obtain the contradiction if  $T$  satisfies

$$T \geq C\varepsilon^{-(p-1)} \tag{8.2}$$

with suitably small  $\varepsilon$ , where  $C$  is a positive constant independent of  $\varepsilon$ , for the continuous solution of (2.14). To see this, one has to employ

$$w \geq \varepsilon u_t^0 + AL'(|w|^p)$$

for which the definition of  $L'$  implies

$$w(x, t) \geq \varepsilon u_t^0(x, t) + A \int_0^t |w(x - t + s, s)|^p ds.$$

Setting  $x - t = R/2$ , we have  $x + t = 2t + R/2$  so that

$$w\left(t + \frac{R}{2}, t\right) > C_5\varepsilon + A \int_{R/4}^t \left|w\left(s + \frac{R}{2}, s\right)\right|^p ds \quad \text{for } t \geq \frac{R}{4}$$

due to (2.7), where we set

$$C_5 := -\frac{1}{4}f' \left( \frac{R}{2} \right) > 0.$$

Hence the comparison argument with a function  $z$  satisfying

$$z(t) = C_5\varepsilon + A \int_{R/4}^t |z(s)|^p ds \quad \text{for } t \geq \frac{R}{4}$$

yields that

$$w \left( t + \frac{R}{2}, t \right) > z(t) \quad \text{for } t \geq \frac{R}{4},$$

so that the desired contradiction can be obtained by the same arguments to the proof of Theorem 2.2 in Kitamura, Morisawa and Takamura [8]. In fact, if we assume that there exists a point

$$t_0 := \inf \left\{ t > \frac{R}{4} : w \left( t + \frac{R}{2}, t \right) = z(t) \right\},$$

then we obtain a contradiction,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= w \left( t_0 + \frac{R}{2}, t_0 \right) - z(t_0) \\ &= A \int_{R/4}^{t_0} \left\{ \left| w \left( s + \frac{R}{2}, s \right) \right|^p - |z(s)|^p \right\} ds > 0, \end{aligned}$$

by  $w(R/4 + R/2, R/4) > z(R/4)$  and the continuity of  $w, z$ . Taking into account of the fact that  $z$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} z' = A|z|^p & \text{in } [R/4, \infty), \\ z(R/4) = C_5\varepsilon, \end{cases}$$

we have a contradiction if  $T$  satisfies

$$T \geq C\varepsilon^{-(p-1)} \geq \{\text{The blow-up time of } z\} \quad \text{for } 0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_4,$$

where  $C$  is a positive constant independent of  $\varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon_4$  is a constant depending on  $A, C_5, R, p$ . In fact, the representation of  $z$  is

$$z(t) = \left\{ (C_5\varepsilon)^{-(p-1)} - (p-1)A \left( t - \frac{R}{4} \right) \right\}^{-1/(p-1)}$$

so that  $z(t) \rightarrow \infty$  as

$$t \rightarrow \frac{C_5^{-(p-1)}}{(p-1)A} \varepsilon^{-(p-1)} + \frac{R}{4}.$$

If one sets

$$\varepsilon_4 = \left( \frac{4C_5^{-(p-1)}}{(p-1)AR} \right)^{1/(p-1)},$$

then one has a contradiction,  $w(T + R/2, T) = \infty$ , provided

$$T \geq \frac{2C_5^{-(p-1)}}{(p-1)A} \varepsilon^{-(p-1)} \geq \frac{C_5^{-(p-1)}}{(p-1)A} \varepsilon^{-(p-1)} + \frac{R}{4}$$

for  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_4$ . Therefore it follows from (8.1) and (8.2) that we obtain the contradiction if  $T$  satisfies

$$T \geq \min\{C\varepsilon^{-(p-1)}, C\varepsilon^{-q(q-1)/(q+1)}\}$$

with suitably small  $\varepsilon$ , where  $C$  is a positive constant independent of  $\varepsilon$ . This estimate asserts Theorem 2.4 for  $p \leq (q+1)/2$ , or  $p \geq q$ . See (1.3) and Remark 2.1.

Hence we have to improve this estimate in the case of  $(q+1)/2 \leq p \leq q$ . To do this, we start with

$$u = \varepsilon u^0 + L(A|w|^p + B|u|^q).$$

Set

$$D_+ := \{(x, t) : t - x \geq R, x \geq 0\}.$$

Then, changing variables by  $\alpha = s + y, \beta = s - y$ , we have that

$$L(|w|^p)(x, t) \geq \frac{1}{4} \int_{-R}^0 d\beta \int_R^{t+x} |w(s, y)|^p d\alpha \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in D_+.$$

Hence it follows from

$$w(y, s) \geq \varepsilon u_t^0(y, s) = -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} f'(y - s) \quad \text{for } s + y \geq R, 0 < y - s < R$$

that

$$\begin{cases} u(x, t) \geq \frac{B}{4} \int_R^{t-x} d\beta \int_R^{t+x} |u(s, y)|^q d\alpha, \\ u(x, t) \geq AC_6 \varepsilon^p (t + x - R) \end{cases} \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in D_+, \quad (8.3)$$

where we set

$$C_6 := \frac{1}{2^{p+2}} \int_{-R}^0 |f'(-\beta)|^p d\beta > 0.$$

From now on, we employ the iteration procedure as a routine. Assume an estimate

$$u(x, t) \geq M_n(t+x-R)^{a_n}(t-x-R)^{b_n} \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in D_+ \quad (8.4)$$

holds, where  $a_n, b_n \geq 0$  and  $M_n > 0$ . The sequences  $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}$  and  $\{M_n\}$  are defined later. Then it follows from the first line in (8.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &\geq \frac{BM_n^q}{4} \int_R^{t-x} (\beta - R)^{qb_n} d\beta \int_R^{t+x} (\alpha - R)^{qa_n} d\alpha \\ &\geq \frac{BM_n^q}{4(qa_n + 1)(qb_n + 1)} (t+x-R)^{qa_n+1} (t-x-R)^{qb_n+1} \end{aligned}$$

for  $(x, t) \in D_+$ . Hence (8.4) holds for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  provided

$$\begin{cases} a_{n+1} = qa_n + 1, & a_1 = 1, \\ b_{n+1} = qb_n + 1, & b_1 = 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$M_{n+1} \leq \frac{BM_n^q}{4(qa_n + 1)(qb_n + 1)}, \quad M_1 = AC_6\varepsilon^p.$$

It is easy to see that

$$a_n = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}, \quad b_n = \frac{q^{n-1} - 1}{q - 1} \quad (n \in \mathbf{N})$$

which implies

$$(qa_n + 1)(qb_n + 1) \leq (qa_n + 1)^2 = a_{n+1}^2 \leq \frac{q^{2(n+1)}}{(q-1)^2}$$

Therefore  $M_n$  should be defined by

$$M_{n+1} = BC_7q^{-2(n+1)}M_n^q, \quad M_1 = AC_6\varepsilon^p,$$

where we set

$$C_7 := \frac{(q-1)^2}{4} > 0,$$

so that (8.4) implies that

$$u(x, t) \geq C_8 \{(t+x-R)(t-x-R)\}^{-1/(q-1)} \exp \{Z(x, t)q^{n-1}\} \quad (8.5)$$

for  $(x, t) \in D_+$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} Z(x, t) &:= \frac{1}{q-1} \log \{(t+x-R)^q(t-x-R)\} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{q-1} \log(BC_7) - 2S_q \log q + \log(AC_6\varepsilon^p), \\ C_8 &:= \exp \left( -\frac{1}{q-1} \log(BC_7) \right) > 0. \end{aligned}$$



Because  $M_n$  satisfies

$$\log M_{n+1} = \log(BC_7) - 2(n+1) \log q + q \log M_n$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \log M_{n+1} &= (1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1}) \log(BC_7) \\ &\quad - 2\{n+1 + qn + \cdots + q^{n-1}(n+1 - n + 1)\} \log q \\ &\quad + q^n \log M_1 \\ &= \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} \log(BC_7) - 2q^{n-1} \log q \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{j+2}{q^j} + q^n \log M_1 \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{q-1} \log(BC_7) + q^n \left\{ \frac{1}{q-1} \log(BC_7) - 2S_q \log q + \log M_1 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where we set

$$S_q := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{j+2}{q^{j+1}} < \infty.$$

In view of (8.5), if there exists a point  $(x_0, t_0) \in D_+$  such that  $Z(x_0, t_0) > 0$ , we have a contradiction  $u(x_0, t_0) = \infty$  to the fact that  $(u, w)$  is a continuous solution on the time interval  $[0, T]$  with  $T \geq t_0$  of (2.14) by letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let us set  $t_0 = 2x_0$  and  $t_0 \geq 4R$ . Then, since we have

$$(t_0 + x_0 - R)^q (t_0 - x_0 - R) \geq \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^q t_0^{q+1},$$

$Z(x_0, t_0) > 0$  follows from

$$t_0^{q+1} > 4 \left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^q \frac{q^{2(q-1)S_q}}{A^{(q-1)} BC_6^{(q-1)} C_7} \varepsilon^{-p(q-1)}.$$

Therefore this inequality completes the proof of Theorem 2.4 for  $(q+1)/2 \leq p \leq q$  with  $\varepsilon_4 > 0$  satisfying

$$\left\{ 4 \left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^q \frac{q^{2(q-1)S_q}}{A^{(q-1)} BC_6^{(q-1)} C_7} \right\}^{1/(q+1)} \varepsilon_4^{-p(q-1)/(q+1)} = 4R.$$

□

## Acknowledgement

The second author is partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (No.18K13447), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. The third author is partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (A) (No.22H00097) and (B) (No.18H01132), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

## References

- [1] W. Dai, D. Fang and C. Wang, *Global existence and lifespan for semilinear wave equations with mixed nonlinear terms*, J. Differential Equations, **267** (2019), no. 5, 3228-3354.
- [2] W. Han and Y. Zhou, *Blow up for some semilinear wave equations in multi-space dimensions*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **39** (2014), no. 4, 651-665.
- [3] K. Hidano, C. Wang and K. Yokoyama, *Combined effects of two nonlinearities in lifespan of small solutions to semi-linear wave equations*, Math. Ann., **366** (2016), no. 1-2, 667-694.
- [4] F. John, *Blow-up of solutions of nonlinear wave equations in three space dimensions*, Manuscripta Math., **28** (1979), no. 1-3, 235-268.
- [5] F. John, "Nonlinear Wave Equations, Formation of Singularities", ULS Pitcher Lectures in Mathematical Science, Lehigh University, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1990.
- [6] S. Katayama, *Lifespan of solutions for two space dimensional wave equations with cubic nonlinearity*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **26** (2001), no. 1-2, 205-232.
- [7] S. Kitamura, K. Morisawa and H. Takamura, *The lifespan of classical solutions of semilinear wave equations with spatial weights and compactly supported data in one space dimension*, J. Differential Equations, **307** (2022), 486-516.
- [8] S. Kitamura, K. Morisawa and H. Takamura, *Semilinear wave equations of derivative type with spatial weights in one space dimension*, arXiv:2112.01015.
- [9] T.-T. Li (D.-Q. Li), X. Yu and Y. Zhou, *Durée de vie des solutions régulières pour les équations des ondes non linéaires unidimensionnelles* (French), C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., **312** (1991), no. 1, 103-105.
- [10] T.-T. Li, X. Yu and Y. Zhou, *Life-span of classical solutions to one-dimensional nonlinear wave equations*, Chinese Ann. Math., Ser. B, **13** (1992), no. 3, 266-279.
- [11] M. Liu and C. Wang, *Blow up for small-amplitude semilinear wave equations with mixed nonlinearities on asymptotically Euclidean manifolds*, J. Differential Equations, **269** (2020), no. 10, 8573-8596.

- [12] H. Takamura, *Improved Kato's lemma on ordinary differential inequality and its applications to semilinear wave equations*, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **125** (2015), 227-240.
- [13] D. Zha, *On one-dimension quasilinear wave equations with null conditions*, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, **59** (2020), no. 3, Paper No. 94, 19 pp.
- [14] D. Zha, *Global stability of solutions to two-dimension and one-dimension systems of semilinear wave equations*, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **282** (2022), no. 1, Paper No. 1092219, 26 pp.
- [15] Y. Zhou, *Life span of classical solutions to  $u_{tt} - u_{xx} = |u|^{1+\alpha}$* , *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser.B*, **13** (1992), no. 2, 230-243.
- [16] Y. Zhou, *Blow up of solutions to the Cauchy problem for nonlinear wave equations*, *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B*, **22** (2001), no. 3, 275-280.