

Six-dimensional non-extremal Reissner-Nordstrom black hole, charged massive scalar perturbation and black hole bomb

Run-Dong Zhao

*Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Nuclear Science, Institute of quantum matter,
South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510006, China and
Guangdong-Hong Kong Joint Laboratory of Quantum Matter,
Southern Nuclear Science Computing Center, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510006, China*

Jia-Hui Huang*

*Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Quantum Engineering and Quantum Materials,
School of Physics and Telecommunication Engineering,
South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510006, China
Institute of quantum matter, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510006, China and
Guangdong-Hong Kong Joint Laboratory of Quantum Matter,
Southern Nuclear Science Computing Center, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510006, China*

The superradiant stability of higher dimensional non-extremal Reissner-Nordstrom black hole under charged massive scalar perturbation is analytically studied. We extend our previous studies of four- and five-dimensional non-extremal Reissner-Nordstrom black hole cases to six-dimensional case. By analyzing the derivative of the effective potential with an analytical method, we find that no potential well exists outside the outer horizon of the black hole for the superradiant scalar modes. This means that there is no black hole bomb for the system consisting of six-dimensional Reissner-Nordstrom black hole and charged massive scalar perturbation and the system is superradiantly stable.

arXiv:2205.07264v2 [gr-qc] 26 May 2022

* huangjh@m.scnu.edu.cn

CONTENTS

I. Introduction	2
II. Scalar Perturbation in $D=6$ RN black hole	3
III. Analysis of the effective potential	4
IV. Summary	10
Acknowledgments	10
References	10

I. INTRODUCTION

Einstein's general relativity is the current description of the gravitational force. One important prediction of general relativity is black hole. In early 1970's, it was pointed that rotating energy might be extracted from a classical rotating black hole by observers outside the black hole [1–4]. When a charged bosonic wave is imping on a charged rotating black hole, the scattered wave may be amplified by the black hole if the wave frequency ω obeys

$$0 < \omega < m\Omega_H + e\Phi_H, \quad (1)$$

where e and m are respectively the charge and azimuthal number of the bosonic wave, Ω_H is the angular velocity of the black hole horizon and Φ_H is the electromagnetic potential of the black hole horizon. This wave amplification process is called superradiance, which appears in various areas of physics (for a recent review, see[5]).

When there is a mirror-like mechanism that makes the amplified wave be scattered back and forth between the mirror and the black hole, the background black hole geometry will become superradiantly unstable. This is dubbed the black hole bomb mechanism [4, 6–8]. For the massive bosonic perturbation, its mass term behaves as a natural mirror for the low energy perturbation modes. The superradiant (in)stability of asymptotically flat rotating black holes under massive scalar and vector perturbation has been studied extensively in the literature [9–23].

Four-dimensional asymptotically flat charged Reissner-Nordstrom (RN) black holes were proved superradiantly stable against charged massive scalar perturbation [24–30]. The effect of a RN black hole geometry on the motion of the scalar perturbation can be described by an effective potential outside the black hole horizon. Two necessary conditions for the black hole to be superradiantly unstable are the existence of superradiant scalar perturbation modes and the existence of a trapping potential well for the effective potential outside the black hole horizon [31–35]. When these two conditions can not be satisfied simultaneously, the black hole and scalar perturbation system will be superradiantly stable. Higher dimensional RN black holes have also been studied numerically in literature [36–45]. Asymptotically flat RN black holes in $D = 5, 6, \dots, 11$ were shown to be stable under massless scalar perturbation with a numerical method [38].

Recently, we have developed an analytical method in the study of superradiant stability of higher dimensional extremal and non-extremal RN black holes [46–48]. It is found that five- and six-dimensional extremal RN black holes are superradiantly stable under charged massive scalar perturbation[47]. Even for the D -dimensional extremal RN black hole, it is found that there is no black hole bomb for the black hole and scalar perturbation system[48]. It was also proved that there is also no black hole bomb for five-dimensional non-extremal RN black hole under charged massive scalar perturbation and the system is superradiantly stable[46].

In this paper, we extend our previous studies to the six-dimensional non-extremal RN black hole case. We will prove that there is no black hole bomb for six-dimensional non-extremal RN black hole and charged massive scalar perturbation system. The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we give a description of the motion of scalar perturbation in six-dimensional RN black hole background. In Section 3, we analyze the effective potential for the superradiant modes and present the analytical proof that there is no potential well for the effective potential. There is no black hole bomb for the superradiant modes and the system is superradiantly stable. The last Section is devoted to a summary.

II. SCALAR PERTURBATION IN $D=6$ RN BLACK HOLE

The metric of $D = 6$ RN black hole is

$$ds_6^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} + r^2 d\Omega_4^2, \quad (2)$$

where

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{2m}{r^3} + \frac{q^2}{r^6}. \quad (3)$$

The parameters m and q are respectively related with the ADM mass M and the electric charge Q of the black hole,

$$m = \frac{3}{4\pi}M, q = \frac{3}{2\sqrt{6}\pi}Q. \quad (4)$$

The inner and outer horizons of the black hole are

$$r_{\pm} = (m \pm \sqrt{m^2 - q^2})^{1/3}. \quad (5)$$

The components of the background electromagnetic potential is

$$A_{\nu} = \left(-\frac{2q}{\sqrt{6}r^3}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right) = \left(-\frac{c_6 q}{r^3}, 0, 0, 0, 0\right). \quad (6)$$

The dynamics of a minimally coupled charged massive scalar perturbation field Ψ with mass μ and charge e is governed by the Klein-Gordon equation

$$(D_{\nu}D^{\nu} - \mu^2)\Psi = 0, \quad (7)$$

where $D_{\nu} = \nabla_{\nu} - ieA_{\nu}$ is the covariant derivative. The above equation of motion can be separated into radial part and angular part[46, 47]. The solution of the above equation with definite angular frequency can be decomposed as $\Psi = \sum_{lm} R_{lm}(r)Y_{lm}(\theta_i, \phi)e^{-i\omega t}$. The eigenfunction $Y_{lm}(\theta_i, \phi)$ of the angular equation of motion is scalar harmonics on S^4 with eigenvalue $\lambda = l(l+3)$, ($l \geq 0$). The radial equation of motion is

$$\Delta \frac{d}{dr} \left(\Delta \frac{dR}{dr} \right) + UR = 0, \quad (8)$$

where R is the radial function (we neglect the indices l, m for simplicity), $\Delta = r^4 f(r)$, and

$$U = \left(\omega - c_6 \frac{eq}{r^3} \right)^2 r^8 - l(l+3)r^2 \Delta - \mu^2 r^4 \Delta. \quad (9)$$

In order to study the superradiant stability of the RN black hole under the massive charged perturbation, the asymptotic solutions of the radial equation near the horizon and at spatial infinity should be considered with appropriate boundary conditions. By defining the tortoise coordinate y by $dy = \frac{r^4}{\Delta} dr$ and a new radial function $\tilde{R} = r^2 R$, the radial equation (8) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{d^2 \tilde{R}}{dy^2} + \tilde{U} \tilde{R} = 0, \quad (10)$$

where

$$\tilde{U} = \frac{U}{r^8} - \frac{4f(r)[2f(r) + 2rf'(r)]}{4r^2}. \quad (11)$$

The asymptotic behaviors of \tilde{U} at the spatial infinity and outer horizon are

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{U} = \omega^2 - \mu^2, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow r_+} \tilde{U} = \left(\omega - c_6 \frac{eq}{r_+^3} \right)^2 = (\omega - e\Phi_H)^2, \quad (12)$$

where Φ_H is the electric potential of the RN black hole at the outer horizon. The chosen boundary conditions are ingoing wave at the outer horizon ($y \rightarrow -\infty$) and bound states (exponentially decaying modes) at spatial infinity ($y \rightarrow +\infty$). Then the radial wave equation has the following asymptotic solutions

$$y \rightarrow +\infty (r \rightarrow +\infty), \quad \tilde{R} \sim e^{-\sqrt{\mu^2 - \omega^2}y}, \quad (13)$$

$$y \rightarrow -\infty (r \rightarrow r_+), \quad \tilde{R} \sim e^{-i(\omega - e\Phi_H)y}. \quad (14)$$

It is obvious to see that bound state condition at spatial infinity requires the following inequality

$$\omega^2 < \mu^2. \quad (15)$$

The superradiance condition in this case is

$$0 < \omega < \omega_c = e\Phi_H = \frac{c_6 e q}{r_+^3}. \quad (16)$$

III. ANALYSIS OF THE EFFECTIVE POTENTIAL

We define a new radial function $\psi = \Delta^{1/2}R$, then the radial equation (8) can be rewritten as a Schrodinger-like equation

$$\frac{d^2\psi}{dr^2} + (\omega^2 - V)\psi = 0, \quad (17)$$

where the effective potential V is

$$V = \omega^2 + \frac{B}{A}, \quad (18)$$

and

$$A = 4r^2 (-2mr^3 + q^2 + r^6)^2, \quad (19)$$

$$B = 4r^{14} (\mu^2 - \omega^2) + (4\lambda + 8)r^{12} - 8r^{11} (\mu^2 m - c_6 e q \omega) - 4(2\lambda + 8)mr^9 + 4q^2 r^8 (\mu^2 - c_6^2 e^2) - 2r^6 (2m^2 - (2\lambda + 26)q^2) - 32mq^2 r^3 + 8q^4. \quad (20)$$

The asymptotic behaviors of the effective potential V near the outer horizon and at spatial infinity are

$$V(r \rightarrow r_+) \rightarrow -\infty, \quad V(r \rightarrow +\infty) \rightarrow \mu^2 + \frac{\lambda + 2}{r^2} + o\left(\frac{1}{r^3}\right). \quad (21)$$

The asymptotic behavior of the derivative of V at spatial infinity is

$$V' = -\frac{2(\lambda + 2)}{r^3} + o\left(\frac{1}{r^4}\right). \quad (22)$$

Based on the above asymptotic behaviors, we can know that there is at least one maximum for V outside the outer horizon r_+ and there is no potential well near spatial infinity.

In the next part of this section, we will show that there is in fact only one maximum outside the outer horizon for V and no trapping potential well exists by analyzing the real roots of $V'(r)$ outside the horizon r_+ . The explicit expression of the derivative of the effective potential V is

$$V'(r) = -\frac{2C(r)}{r^3(r^6 - 2mr^3 + q^2)^3}, \quad (23)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C(r) = & (\lambda + 2)r^{18} + r^{17} (3c_6 e q \omega + 3\mu^2 m - 6m\omega^2) + r^{15} (-\lambda m - 12m) \\ & + r^{14} (-6m^2 \mu^2 - 3c_6^2 e^2 q^2 - 3\mu^2 q^2 + 6c_6 e m q \omega + 6q^2 \omega^2) + r^{12} (-2\lambda m^2 - 12m^2 - \lambda q^2 + 42q^2) \\ & + r^{11} (9\mu^2 m q^2 - 9c_6 e q^3 \omega) + r^9 (2m^3 + 5\lambda m q^2 - 42m q^2) + r^8 (3c_6^2 e^2 q^4 - 3\mu^2 q^4) \\ & + r^6 (42m^2 q^2 - 2\lambda q^4 - 12q^4) - 12m q^4 r^3 + 2q^6. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Defining a new variable $z = r - r_+$ and using relations $m = \frac{1}{2}(r_-^3 + r_+^3)$ and $q^2 = r_+^3 r_-^3$, we can rewrite $C(r)$ as

$$C(r) = \tilde{C}(z) = b_{18}z^{18} + b_{17}z^{17} + b_{16}z^{16} + \dots + b_2z^2 + b_1z + b_0, \quad (25)$$

where

$$b_{18} = \lambda + 2, \quad b_{17} = 3(m\mu^2 + c_6eq\phi - 2m\phi^2) + 18r_+(2 + \lambda), \quad (26)$$

$$b_{16} = 51(m\mu^2r_+ + c_6eqr_+\phi - 2mr_+\phi^2) + 153r_+^2(2 + \lambda), \quad (27)$$

$$b_{15} = -12m + 408m\mu^2r_+^2 + 408c_6eqr_+^2\phi - 816mr_+^2\phi^2 - m\lambda + 816r_+^3(2 + \lambda), \quad (28)$$

$$b_{14} = -3c_6^2e^2q^2 + 90(67r_+^4 - r_+r_-^3) + 3\mu^2(679r_+^6 + 676r_+^3r_-^3 - r_-^6)/2 + 3c_6eq(681r_+^3 + r_-^3)\phi - 6(340r_+^6 + 339r_+^3r_-^3)\phi^2 + 15(407r_+^4 - r_+r_-^3)l/2, \quad (29)$$

$$b_{13} = -42c_6^2e^2q^2r_+ + 126(131r_+^5 - 5r_+^2r_-^3) + 21\mu^2(169r_+^7 + 166r_+^4r_-^3 - r_+r_-^6) + 42c_6eqr_+(171r_+^3 + r_-^3)\phi - 84(85r_+^7 + 84r_+^4r_-^3)\phi^2 + 21(811r_+^5 - 5r_+^2r_-^3)l/2, \quad (30)$$

$$b_{12} = -273c_6^2e^2q^2r_+^2 + 34395r_+^6 - 2694r_+^3r_-^3 - 3r_-^6 + 273\mu^2(67r_+^8 + 64r_+^5r_-^3 - r_+^2r_-^6)/2 + 273c_6eqr_+^2(69r_+^3 + r_-^3)\phi - 546(34r_+^8 + 33r_+^5r_-^3)\phi^2 + (36672r_+^6 - 459r_+^3r_-^3 - r_-^6)l/2, \quad (31)$$

$$b_{11} = -1092c_6^2e^2q^2r_+^3 + 18(3079r_+^7 - 431r_+^4r_-^3 - 2r_+r_-^6) + 3\mu^2(12012r_+^9 + 10923r_+^6r_-^3 - 361r_+^3r_-^6)/2 + c_6eq(38220r_+^6 + 1083r_+^3r_-^3)\phi - 2184(17r_+^9 + 16r_+^6r_-^3)\phi^2 + 3(20757r_+^7 - 471r_+^4r_-^3 - 4r_+r_-^6)l/2, \quad (32)$$

$$b_{10} = -3003c_6^2e^2q^2r_+^4 + 33\mu^2r_+^4(1677r_+^6 + 1407r_+^3r_-^3 - 88r_-^6)/2 + 198(350r_+^8 - 79r_+^5r_-^3 - r_+^2r_-^6) + 363c_6eq(169r_+^7 + 8r_+^4r_-^3)\phi - 858r_+^7(68r_+^3 + 61r_-^3)\phi^2 + 33(2559r_+^8 - 99r_+^5r_-^3 - 2r_+^2r_-^6)l/2, \quad (33)$$

$$b_9 = -6006c_6^2e^2q^2r_+^5 + \frac{33}{2}\mu^2r_+^5(2028r_+^6 + 1497r_+^3r_-^3 - 167r_-^6) + (266201r_+^9 - 88521r_+^6r_-^3 - 2721r_+^3r_-^6 + r_-^9)/4 + c_6eq(78936r_+^8 + 5511r_+^5r_-^3)\phi - 858r_+^8(85r_+^3 + 71r_-^3)\phi^2 + 5(18403r_+^9 - 1176r_+^6r_-^3 - 43r_+^3r_-^6)l/2, \quad (34)$$

$$b_8 = 3c_6^2e^2q^4 - 9009c_6^2e^2q^2r_+^6 + 3\mu^2r_+^6(21307r_+^6 + 12793r_+^3r_-^3 - 2510r_-^6)/2 + 9r_+^4(4477r_+^6 - 465r_+^3r_-^3 - 25r_-^6)l + c_6eq(81939r_+^9 + 7524r_+^6r_-^3)\phi - 858r_+^9(85r_+^3 + 64r_-^3)\phi^2 + 9r_+(21077r_+^9 - 9321r_+^6r_-^3 - 741r_+^3r_-^6 + r_-^9)/4, \quad (35)$$

$$b_7 = 24c_6^2e^2q^4r_+ - 10296c_6^2e^2q^2r_+^7 + 3\mu^2r_+^7(8008r_+^6 + 3355r_+^3r_-^3 - 1229r_-^6) + \frac{9}{2}r_+^5(6269r_+^6 - 1047r_+^3r_-^3 - 68r_-^6)l + 66c_6eqr_+^7(1040r_+^3 + 111r_-^3)\phi - 3432r_+^{10}(17r_+^3 + 11r_-^3)\phi^2 + 9r_+^2(2519r_+^9 - 1203r_+^6r_-^3 - 345r_+^3r_-^6 + r_-^9), \quad (36)$$

$$b_6 = 84c_6^2e^2q^4r_+^2 - 9009c_6^2e^2q^2r_+^8 + \frac{21}{2}\mu^2r_+^8(1339r_+^6 + 250r_+^3r_-^3 - 239r_-^6) + \frac{1}{2}r_+^6(31199r_+^6 - 8281r_+^3r_-^3 - 508r_-^6)l + 21c_6eqr_+^8(2197r_+^3 + 231r_-^3)\phi - 546r_+^{11}(68r_+^3 + 35r_-^3)\phi^2 + \frac{9}{2}r_+^3(966r_+^9 + 343r_+^6r_-^3 - 992r_+^3r_-^6 + 7r_-^9), \quad (37)$$

$$b_5 = 168c_6^2e^2q^4r_+^3 - 6006c_6^2e^2q^2r_+^9 + 21\mu^2r_+^9(299r_+^6 - 31r_+^3r_-^3 - 52r_-^6) + \frac{3}{2}r_+^7(4447r_+^6 - 1847r_+^3r_-^3 - 62r_-^6)l + 42c_6eqr_+^9(585r_+^3 + 44r_-^3)\phi - 1092r_+^{12}(17r_+^3 + 6r_-^3)\phi^2 - \frac{27}{2}r_+^4(239r_+^9 - 593r_+^6r_-^3 + 361r_+^3r_-^6 - 7r_-^9), \quad (38)$$

$$b_4 = 210c_6^2e^2q^4r_+^4 - 3003c_6^2e^2q^2r_+^{10} - \frac{27}{2}r_+^5(261r_+^3 - 14r_-^3)(r_+^3 - r_-^3)^2 + \frac{3}{2}\mu^2r_+^{10}(1379r_+^6 - 634r_+^3r_-^3 - 151r_-^6) + 3c_6eqr_+^{10}(3381r_+^3 + 11r_-^3)\phi - 42r_+^{13}(170r_+^3 + 27r_-^3)\phi^2 + \frac{15}{2}r_+^8(284r_+^6 - 181r_+^3r_-^3 + 5r_-^6)l, \quad (39)$$

$$b_3 = 168c_6^2e^2q^4r_+^5 - 1092c_6^2e^2q^2r_+^{11} - 9r_+^6(193r_+^3 - 25r_-^3)(r_+^3 - r_-^3)^2 + \frac{3}{2}\mu^2r_+^{11}(316r_+^6 - 281r_+^3r_-^3 + 19r_-^6) + c_6eq(3132r_+^{14} - 393r_+^{11}r_-^3)\phi - 24r_+^{14}(85r_+^3 - 6r_-^3)\phi^2 + \frac{3}{2}r_+^9(319r_+^6 - 305r_+^3r_-^3 + 40r_-^6)l, \quad (40)$$

$$b_2 = 84c_6^2e^2q^4r_+^6 - 273c_6^2e^2q^2r_+^{12} - \frac{27}{2}r_+^7(38r_+^3 - 11r_-^3)(r_+^3 - r_-^3)^2 + \frac{27}{2}\mu^2r_+^{12}(5r_+^6 - 7r_+^3r_-^3 + 2r_-^6) + 3c_6eqr_+^{12}(227r_+^3 - 74r_-^3)\phi + 6r_+^{15}(-68r_+^3 + 23r_-^3)\phi^2 + 27r_+^{10}(5r_+^6 - 7r_+^3r_-^3 + 2r_-^6)l/2, \quad (41)$$

$$b_1 = 24c_6^2e^2q^4r_+^7 - 42c_6^2e^2q^2r_+^{13} + 9\mu^2r_+^{13}(r_+^3 - r_-^3)^2/2 - 27r_+^8(13r_+^3 - 7r_-^3)(r_+^3 - r_-^3)^2/4 + c_6eq(93r_+^{16} - 57r_+^{13}r_-^3)\phi + (-51r_+^{19} + 33r_+^{16}r_-^3)\phi^2 + \frac{9}{2}r_+^{11}(r_+^3 - r_-^3)^2l, \quad (42)$$

$$b_0 = -\frac{3}{4}r_+^9(r_+^3 - r_-^3)[9(r_+^3 - r_-^3)^2 + 4(c_6eqr_+ - r_+^4\phi)^2]. \quad (43)$$

In the following, we will analyze the signs or sign relations of the coefficients $b_i (i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 18)$ and prove that there is no potential well for the effective potential V outside the horizon r_+ . First, it is easy to see that

$$b_{18} > 0, \quad b_0 < 0. \quad (44)$$

Next, let's see the sign of b_{17} . From the superradiance condition $\omega < \frac{c_6 e q}{r_+^3}$ and the inequality $m = \frac{1}{2}(r_-^3 + r_+^3) < r_+^3$, we have $m\phi < c_6 e q$. Together with the bound state condition $\omega^2 < \mu^2$, we have

$$b_{17} = 3m\mu^2 + 3c_6 e q \phi - 6m\phi^2 + 18r_+(2 + \lambda) = 3m(\mu^2 - \phi^2) + 3\phi(c_6 e q - m\phi) + 18r_+(2 + \lambda) > 0. \quad (45)$$

Similarly, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} b_{16} &= 51m\mu^2 r_+ + 51c_6 e q r_+ \phi - 102m r_+ \phi^2 + 153r_+^2(2 + \lambda) \\ &= 51m r_+(\mu^2 - \phi^2) + 51r_+ \phi(c_6 e q - m\phi) + 153r_+^2(2 + \lambda) > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

$$\begin{aligned} b_{15} &= -12m + 408m\mu^2 r_+^2 + 408c_6 e q r_+^2 \phi - 816m r_+^2 \phi^2 - m\lambda + 816r_+^3(2 + \lambda) \\ &= 408m r_+^2(\mu^2 - \phi^2) + 408r_+^2 \phi(c_6 e q - m\phi) + 1632r_+^3 - 12m + (816r_+^3 - m)\lambda > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

It is difficult to determine the signs of other coefficients. Next, we explore the sign relations between pairs of adjacent coefficients in the following sequence

$$(b_{14}, b_{13}, b_{12}, \dots, b_3, b_2, b_1). \quad (48)$$

We define new scaled coefficients as follows,

$$b'_i = \frac{b_i}{R_i}, \quad (i = 1, \dots, 14), \quad (49)$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{14} &= \frac{3}{2}(681r_+^6 + 680r_+^3 r_-^3 + r_-^6), \quad R_{13} = 21r_+(171r_+^6 + 170r_+^3 r_-^3 + r_-^6), \\ R_{12} &= \frac{273}{2}r_+^2(69r_+^6 + 68r_+^3 r_-^3 + r_-^6), \quad R_{11} = \frac{3}{2}(12740r_+^9 + 12373r_+^6 r_-^3 + 361r_+^3 r_-^6), \\ R_{10} &= \frac{33}{2}r_+^4(1859r_+^6 + 1765r_+^3 r_-^3 + 88r_-^6), \quad R_9 = \frac{33}{2}r_+^5(2392r_+^6 + 2195r_+^3 r_-^3 + 167r_-^6), \\ R_8 &= \frac{3}{2}r_+^6(27313r_+^6 + 23815r_+^3 r_-^3 + 2510r_-^6), \quad R_7 = 3r_+^7(11440r_+^6 + 9229r_+^3 r_-^3 + 1229r_-^6), \\ R_6 &= \frac{21}{2}r_+^8(2197r_+^6 + 1570r_+^3 r_-^3 + 239r_-^6), \quad R_5 = 21r_+^9(585r_+^6 + 343r_+^3 r_-^3 + 52r_-^6), \\ R_4 &= \frac{3}{2}r_+^{10}(3381r_+^6 + 1390r_+^3 r_-^3 + 151r_-^6), \quad R_3 = \frac{3}{2}r_+^{11}(1044r_+^6 + 185r_+^3 r_-^3 - 19r_-^6), \\ R_2 &= \frac{3}{2}r_+^{12}(227r_+^6 - 29r_+^3 r_-^3 - 18r_-^6), \quad R_1 = \frac{3}{2}r_+^{13}(31r_+^6 - 16r_+^3 r_-^3 - 3r_-^6). \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Let's see the difference between b'_{14} and b'_{13} . After a straightforward calculation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} b'_{14} - b'_{13} &= \frac{63r_+^2}{4R_{14}R_{13}}[2040r_+^2(r_+^3 + r_-^3)^3(\mu^2 - \phi^2) + 2040r_+^2 c_6 e q(c_6 e q(r_+^3 + r_-^3) - 2r_+^3 r_-^3 \phi) \\ &\quad - 5r_-^9(12 + l) + 3r_+^3 r_-^6(8956 + 1653l) + 5r_+^6 r_-^3(63636 + 28423l) + 3r_+^9(101436 + 47893l)]. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

Given the bound state condition $\phi^2 < \mu^2$ and superradiance condition $0 < \phi r_+^3 < c_6 e q$, it is easy to prove that

$$b'_{14} - b'_{13} > 0. \quad (52)$$

The possible signs of (b'_{14}, b'_{13}) are $(+, +), (+, -), (-, -)$. So we have the sign relation $\text{sign}(b'_{14}) \geq \text{sign}(b'_{13})$. Because the scaled factors $R_i (i = 1, \dots, 14)$ are all positive, then we also have the following sign relation

$$\text{sign}(b_{14}) \geq \text{sign}(b_{13}). \quad (53)$$

For the difference between b'_{13} and b'_{12} , after a straightforward calculation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} b'_{13} - b'_{12} &= \frac{21r_+}{4R_{13}R_{12}}[111384r_+^5(r_+^3 + r_-^3)^3(\mu^2 - \phi^2) + 111384r_+^5 c_6 e q(c_6 e q(r_+^3 + r_-^3) - 2r_+^3 r_-^3 \phi) + 2r_-^{12}(6 + l) \\ &\quad - r_+^3 r_-^9(3564 + 107l) + 3r_+^6 r_-^6(337236 + 70547l) + 9r_+^{12}(676176 + 303887l) + 3r_+^9 r_-^3(2168828 + 883239l)]. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

Given the bound state condition $\varnothing^2 < \mu^2$ and superradiance condition $0 < \varnothing r_+^3 < c_6 e q$, it is also easy to obtain that

$$b'_{13} - b'_{12} > 0. \quad (55)$$

So we have the following sign relation

$$\text{sign}(b_{13}) \geq \text{sign}(b_{12}). \quad (56)$$

For the difference between b'_{12} and b'_{11} , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} b'_{12} - b'_{11} &= \frac{3r_+^3}{4R_{12}R_{11}} \{ [1092r_+^5(6188r_+^9 + 18462r_+^6r_-^3 + 18363r_+^3r_-^6 + 6089r_-^9)(\mu^2 - \varnothing^2)] + 731r_-^{12}(6 + l) \\ &+ [546c_6^2e^2q^2r_+^2(12376r_+^6 + 12379r_+^3r_-^3 + 3r_-^6) - 1092c_6eqr_+^5r_-^3(12376r_+^3 + 3r_-^3)\varnothing] + r_+^3r_-^9(-161814 + 24767l) \\ &+ 273r_+^{12}(660788 + 279127l) + 2r_+^6r_-^6(22234659 + 5349488l) + 3r_+^9r_-^3(64672614 + 23811425l) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

In the above equation, it is easy to see that the term in the square bracket involving $(\mu^2 - \varnothing^2)$ is positive with respect to the bound state condition. The term in the square bracket involving \varnothing is

$$\begin{aligned} &[546c_6^2e^2q^2r_+^2(12376r_+^6 + 12379r_+^3r_-^3 + 3r_-^6) - 1092c_6eqr_+^5r_-^3(12376r_+^3 + 3r_-^3)\varnothing] \\ &= 546c_6eqr_+^2[12376r_+^6c_6eq + 12376r_+^3r_-^3c_6eq - 2 * 12376r_+^3r_-^3(r_+^3\varnothing) + 3r_+^3r_-^3c_6eq + 3r_-^6c_6eq - 2 * 3r_-^6(r_+^3\varnothing)]. \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

Considering the superradiance condition $0 < \varnothing r_+^3 < c_6 e q$ and $r_+ > r_-$, it is easy to check the above term is positive. It is easy to see that the terms outside the two square brackets in the difference $b'_{12} - b'_{11}$ are positive. Thus $b'_{12} > b'_{11}$ and we have the following sign relation

$$\text{sign}(b_{12}) \geq \text{sign}(b_{11}). \quad (59)$$

For the differences $b'_{11} - b'_{10}$ and $b'_{10} - b'_9$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} b'_{11} - b'_{10} &= \frac{99r_+^5}{4R_{11}R_{10}} \{ [156r_+^5(6188r_+^9 + 18156r_+^6r_-^3 + 17769r_+^3r_-^6 + 5801r_-^9)(\mu^2 - \varnothing^2)] + r_+^3r_-^9(-6792 + 11977l) \\ &+ [546c_6^2e^2q^2r_+^2(1768r_+^6 + 1771r_+^3r_-^3 + 3r_-^6) - 1092c_6eqr_+^5r_-^3(1768r_+^3 + 3r_-^3)\varnothing] + 370r_-^{12}(6 + l) \\ &+ 67r_+^6r_-^6(66336 + 19619l) + 39r_+^{12}(389188 + 153477l) + 3r_+^9r_-^3(5236464 + 1786423l) \}, \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

$$\begin{aligned} b'_{10} - b'_9 &= \frac{33r_+^4}{8R_{10}R_9} \{ [10296r_+^8(1547r_+^9 + 4386r_+^6r_-^3 + 4152r_+^3r_-^6 + 1313r_-^9)(\mu^2 - \varnothing^2)] \\ &+ [36036c_6^2e^2q^2r_+^2(442r_+^6 + 445r_+^3r_-^3 + 3r_-^6) - 72072c_6eqr_+^8r_-^3(442r_+^3 + 3r_-^3)\varnothing] + r_+^3r_-^{12}(105419 + 15796l) \\ &+ 518r_+^9r_-^6(86744 + 36503l) + 2r_+^6r_-^9(201629 + 206456l) + 143r_+^{15}(1176187 + 432746l) \\ &+ 2r_+^{12}r_-^3(76753559 + 26070946l) - 88r_-^{15} \}. \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

Using a similar way in proving $b'_{12} - b'_{11}$, we can get the following two sign relations

$$\text{sign}(b_{11}) \geq \text{sign}(b_{10}), \quad \text{sign}(b_{10}) \geq \text{sign}(b_9). \quad (62)$$

The differences $b'_9 - b'_8$ can be obtained as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} b'_9 - b'_8 &= \frac{3r_+^5}{8R_9R_8} \{ [3432r_+^9(85085r_+^9 + 227205r_+^6r_-^3 + 202790r_+^3r_-^6 + 60642r_-^9)(\mu^2 - \varnothing^2)] - 14023r_+r_-^{15} \\ &+ r_+ [132c_6^2e^2q^2r_+^2(2212210r_+^9 + 2254863r_+^6r_-^3 + 42486r_+^3r_-^6 - 167r_-^9) - 264c_6eqr_+^5r_-^3(2212210r_+^6 \\ &+ 42653r_+^3r_-^3 - 167r_-^6)\varnothing] + r_+^4r_-^{12}(5227753 + 574000l) + 143r_+^{16}(15940879 + 5494082l) \\ &+ 55r_+^{13}r_-^3(28162775 + 11098882l) + 2r_+^{10}r_-^6(169113407 + 150950723l) + 2r_+^7r_-^9(13964639 + 6361915l) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

In the above equation, the term in the square bracket involving $(\mu^2 - \varnothing^2)$ is obviously positive. The term in the square bracket involving \varnothing can be treated as a linear function $g(\varnothing)$ with negative slope

$$\begin{aligned} &[132c_6^2e^2q^2r_+^2(2212210r_+^9 + 2254863r_+^6r_-^3 + 42486r_+^3r_-^6 - 167r_-^9) - 264c_6eqr_+^5r_-^3(2212210r_+^6 + 42653r_+^3r_-^3 - 167r_-^6)\varnothing] \\ &\equiv g(\varnothing). \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

In the superradiant regime $0 < \omega r_+^3 < c_6 e q$, $g(\varnothing)$ is greater than $g(c_6 e q / r_+^3)$. $g(c_6 e q / r_+^3)$ can be calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} &132c_6^2e^2q^2r_+^2(2212210r_+^9 - 2169557r_+^6r_-^3 - 42820r_+^3r_-^6 + 167r_-^9) \\ &= 132c_6^2e^2q^2r_+^{11}(2212210 - 2169557x^3 - 42820x^6 + 167x^9) = 132c_6^2e^2q^2r_+^{11}\tilde{g}(x), \quad (0 < x = r_-/r_+ < 1). \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

From Fig.(1), we can see $\tilde{g}(x)$ is greater than 0 when $0 < x < 1$.




FIG. 1. $\tilde{g}(x)/10^5$

So the term in the square bracket involving ϕ in the difference $b'_9 - b'_8$ is positive. It is easy to see that the terms outside the two square brackets in the difference $b'_9 - b'_8$ are positive. Thus $b'_9 > b'_8$ and we have the following sign relation

$$\text{sign}(b_9) \geq \text{sign}(b_8). \quad (66)$$

The differences $b'_{i+1} - b'_i$, ($i = 2, \dots, 7$) are

$$\begin{aligned} b'_8 - b'_7 &= \frac{9r_+^7}{4R_8R_7} \{ [10296r_+^9(4862r_+^9 + 11781r_+^6r_-^3 + 9539r_+^3r_-^6 + 2604r_-^9)(\mu^2 - \phi^2)] \\ &+ [3r_+(132c_6^2e^2q^2r_+^2(126412r_+^9 + 131859r_+^6r_-^3 + 5358r_+^3r_-^6 - 89r_-^9) - 264c_6eqr_+^5r_-^3(126412r_+^6 \\ &+ 5447r_+^3r_-^3 - 89r_-^6)\phi) + 3r_+(10r_+^3r_-^{12}(78281 + 4778l) + 143r_+^{15}(723902 + 235261l) - 3791r_-^{15} \\ &+ 11r_+^{12}r_-^3(3056591 + 2117728l) + r_+^9r_-^6(-5097436 + 14755391l) + 2r_+^6r_-^9(2067013 + 519275l)] \}, \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

$$\begin{aligned} b'_7 - b'_6 &= \frac{3r_+^9}{4R_7R_6} \{ [19656r_+^9(4862r_+^9 + 10098r_+^6r_-^3 + 6971r_+^3r_-^6 + 1647r_-^9)(\mu^2 - \phi^2)] \\ &+ r_+[756c_6^2e^2q^2r_+^2(126412r_+^9 + 136461r_+^6r_-^3 + 9609r_+^3r_-^6 - 440r_-^9) - 1512c_6eqr_+^5r_-^3(126412r_+^6 \\ &+ 10049r_+^3r_-^3 - 440r_-^6)\phi] + r_+(4r_+^3r_-^{12}(2647665 + 56197l) + 91r_+^{15}(5476878 + 1690829l) - 124740r_-^{15} \\ &+ 3r_+^6r_-^9(17188506 + 2413601l) + 7r_+^{12}r_-^3(-9401814 + 12678373l) + r_+^9r_-^6(-131700942 + 69208211l)] \}, \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

$$\begin{aligned} b'_6 - b'_5 &= \frac{21r_+^{11}}{4R_6R_5} \{ [2184r_+^9(2431r_+^9 + 3927r_+^6r_-^3 + 2058r_+^3r_-^6 + 386r_-^9)(\mu^2 - \phi^2)] \\ &+ [r_+(84c_6^2e^2q^2r_+^2(63206r_+^9 + 70915r_+^6r_-^3 + 6961r_+^3r_-^6 - 748r_-^9) - 168c_6eqr_+^5r_-^3(63206r_+^6 + 7709r_+^3r_-^3 \\ &- 748r_-^6)\phi) + r_+(r_+^3r_-^{12}(1147509 - 8378l) + 39r_+^{15}(624339 + 184427l) + r_+^6r_-^9(5331078 + 406607l) \\ &+ r_+^9r_-^6(-9604746 + 2889083l) + r_+^{12}r_-^3(-15469083 + 2941951l) - 38619r_-^{15})] \}, \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

$$\begin{aligned} b'_5 - b'_4 &= \frac{9r_+^{13}}{4R_5R_4} \{ [168r_+^9(17017r_+^9 + 17391r_+^6r_-^3 + 5028r_+^3r_-^6 + 650r_-^9)(\mu^2 - \phi^2)] \\ &+ [r_+(84c_6^2e^2q^2r_+^2(34034r_+^9 + 39049r_+^6r_-^3 + 4003r_+^3r_-^6 - 1012r_-^9) - 168c_6eqr_+^5r_-^3(34034r_+^6 \\ &+ 5015r_+^3r_-^3 - 1012r_-^6)\phi) + r_+(r_+^3r_-^{12}(885447 - 27562l) + 21r_+^{15}(569799 + 162167l) - 82215r_-^{15} \\ &+ r_+^6r_-^9(4448970 + 173713l) + r_+^{12}r_-^3(-13174155 + 529733l) + r_+^9r_-^6(-4043826 + 1001845l)] \}, \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

$$\begin{aligned} b'_4 - b'_3 &= \frac{9r_+^{15}}{4R_4R_3} \{ [12r_+^9(30940r_+^9 + 8670r_+^6r_-^3 - 1875r_+^3r_-^6 + 11r_-^9)(\mu^2 - \phi^2)] \\ &+ [r_+(42c_6^2e^2q^2r_+^2(8840r_+^9 + 9739r_+^6r_-^3 + 433r_+^3r_-^6 - 466r_-^9) - 84c_6eqr_+^5r_-^3(8840r_+^6 + 899r_+^3r_-^3 - 466r_-^6)\phi) \\ &+ r_+(r_+^3r_-^{12}(84387 - 6515l) + r_+^6r_-^9(705807 + 12275l) - 5r_+^{12}r_-^3(425247 + 18865l) \\ &+ r_+^9r_-^6(-101757 + 72236l) + 3r_+^{15}(487614 + 134647l) - 25044r_-^{15})] \}, \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
b'_3 - b'_2 &= \frac{9r_+^{17}}{4R_3R_2} \{ [4r_+^9(6188r_+^9 - 3876r_+^6r_-^3 + 123r_+^3r_-^6 - 5r_-^9)(\mu^2 - \phi^2)] \\
&+ [r_+(14c_6^2e^2q^2r_+^2(1768r_+^9 + 1553r_+^6r_-^3 - 283r_+^3r_-^6 - 68r_-^9) - 28c_6eqr_+^5r_-^3(1768r_+^6 - 215r_+^3r_-^3 - 68r_-^6)\phi)] \\
&+ r_+(r_+^6r_-^9(43365 - 197l) - 3r_+^3r_-^{12}(2227 + 126l) + 3r_+^9r_-^6(10257 + 1967l) - 3r_+^{12}r_-^3(53606 + 7013l) \\
&+ r_+^{15}(94182 + 25433l) - 819r_-^{15}) \}. \tag{72}
\end{aligned}$$

Using a similar way in proving $b'_9 - b'_8$, we can obtain the following sign relations

$$\text{sign}(b_{i+1}) \geq \text{sign}(b_i), (i = 2, \dots, 7). \tag{73}$$

Finally, we consider the difference of b'_2 and b'_1 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
b'_2 - b'_1 &= \frac{9r_+^{19}}{8R_2R_1} \{ [12r_+^9(119r_+^9 - 204r_+^6r_-^3 + 105r_+^3r_-^6 - 20r_-^9)(\mu^2 - \phi^2)] \\
&+ [3r_+(119r_+^6 - 85r_+^3r_-^3 + 20r_-^6)(4c_6^2e^2q^2r_+^2(r_+^3 + r_-^3) - 8c_6eqr_+^5r_-^3\phi)] + 3r_+(119r_+^6 - 85r_+^3r_-^3 \\
&+ 20r_-^6)(r_+^3 - r_-^3)(-6r_+^3r_-^3 - 9r_-^6 + r_+^6(15 + 4l)) \}. \tag{74}
\end{aligned}$$

The two square brackets in the above difference can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
&[12r_+^9(119r_+^9 - 204r_+^6r_-^3 + 105r_+^3r_-^6 - 20r_-^9)(\mu^2 - \phi^2)] \\
&= 12r_+^{18}(119 - 204x^3 + 105x^6 - 20x^9)(\mu^2 - \phi^2) \equiv 12r_+^{18}(\mu^2 - \phi^2)g_1(x), \tag{75}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&[3r_+(119r_+^6 - 85r_+^3r_-^3 + 20r_-^6)(4c_6^2e^2q^2r_+^2(r_+^3 + r_-^3) - 8c_6eqr_+^5r_-^3\phi)] \\
&= 3r_+(119r_+^6 - 85r_+^3r_-^3 + 20r_-^6)4c_6eqr_+^2(c_6eq(r_+^3 + r_-^3) - 2r_+^3r_-^3\phi). \tag{76}
\end{aligned}$$

From Fig.(2), we can see $g_1(x)$ is greater than 0 when $0 < x < 1$.




FIG. 2. $g_1(x)$

Given the bound state condition $\phi^2 < \mu^2$ and superradiance condition $0 < \phi r_+^3 < c_6eq$, the two square brackets (75),(76) are positive. Then the difference $b'_2 - b'_1$ is positive. We have the following sign relation

$$\text{sign}(b_2) \geq \text{sign}(b_1). \tag{77}$$

According to the results on signs and sign relations of coefficients (44),(45),(46),(47),(53),(56),(59),(62), (66),(73),(77), we conclude that the possible signs of the ordered coefficients $(b_{18}, b_{17}, \dots, b_0)$ are of the following forms

$$\begin{aligned}
&(+, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, -), (+, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, -), \\
&(+, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, -), (+, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, -), \\
&(+, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, -), (+, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, -), \\
&(+, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, -), (+, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, -), \\
&(+, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, -), (+, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, -), \\
&(+, +, +, +, +, +, +, +, -), (+, +, +, +, +, +, +, -), \\
&(+, +, +, +, +, +, -), (+, +, +, +, +, -), \\
&(+, +, +, +, -), (+, +, +, -), \\
&(+, +, +, -), (+, +, -), \\
&(+, +, -), (+, -), \\
&(+, -), (-). \tag{78}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the plus signs are always on the left of the minus signs.

Let's recall the numerator $\tilde{C}(z)$ of the derivative of the effective potential in eq.(25). It is a polynomial of z with real coefficients $(b_{18}, b_{17}, \dots, b_0)$. According to Descartes' rule of signs and the results in (78), we obtain that the sign change in the sequence of the coefficients $(b_{18}, b_{17}, \dots, b_0)$ is always 1 and therefore there is at most one positive real root for equation $\tilde{C}(z) = 0$. That means there is at most one extreme for the effective potential outside the event horizon r_+ . Based on the analysis of the asymptotic behaviors of the effective potential, we have already known that there is one maximum outside the event horizon r_+ for the effective potential. So we conclude that there is no potential well for the effective potential outside the horizon r_+ and no black hole bomb exists for the six-dimensional non-extremal RN black holes.

IV. SUMMARY

In this work, we analytically study the superradiant stability of the six-dimensional non-extremal RN black hole under charged massive scalar perturbation. The analytic method is based on Descartes' rule of signs. The equation of motion of the minimally coupled scalar perturbation is Klein-Gordon equation. We decompose it into angular and radial parts. From the radial equation of motion, we obtain the effective potential experienced by the scalar. The necessary condition for the superradiant instability of the system is there exists a potential well for the effective potential V . Based on the asymptotic analysis of the effective potential, we find that there is one maximum outside the outer horizon for the effective potential. We then discuss the real roots of the derivative of the effective potential V' with the analytic method based on Descartes' rule of signs. It is found that the sign change of the numerator of V' is always 1 and there is no potential well for the superradiant modes outside the outer horizon. No black hole bomb exists for the system consisting of six-dimensional non-extremal RN black hole and charged massive scalar perturbation, and the system is superradiantly stable.

According to the result of this work and the previous results on superradiant stability of higher dimensional extremal and non-extremal RN black holes under charged massive scalar perturbation, it will be interesting to prove that no black hole bomb exists for arbitrary D -dimensional non-extremal RN black hole under charged massive scalar perturbation and the system is superradiantly stable.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is partially supported by Guangdong Major Project of Basic and Applied Basic Research (No.2020B0301030008), Science and Technology Program of Guangzhou (No.2019050001) and Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (No.2020A1515010388).

-
- [1] R. Penrose and R. M. Floyd, *Nature* **229**, 177-179 (1971).
 - [2] J. M. Bardeen, W. H. Press and S. A. Teukolsky, *Astrophys. J.* **178**, 347 (1972).
 - [3] J. D. Bekenstein, *Phys. Rev. D* **7**, 949-953 (1973).
 - [4] W. H. Press and S. A. Teukolsky, *Nature* **238**, 211-212 (1972).
 - [5] R. Brito, V. Cardoso and P. Pani, *Lect. Notes Phys.* **906**, pp.1 (2015).
 - [6] V. Cardoso, O. J. C. Dias, J. P. S. Lemos and S. Yoshida, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 044039 (2004), Erratum: [*Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 049903 (2004)].
 - [7] C. A. R. Herdeiro, J. C. Degollado and H. F. Runarsson, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 063003 (2013).
 - [8] J. C. Degollado and C. A. R. Herdeiro, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, no. 6, 063005 (2014).
 - [9] M. J. Strafuss and G. Khanna, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 024034 (2005).
 - [10] R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 124040 (2006).
 - [11] V. Cardoso, S. Chakrabarti, P. Pani, E. Berti and L. Gualtieri, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 241101 (2011).
 - [12] S. R. Dolan, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, no. 12, 124026 (2013).
 - [13] S. Hod, *Phys. Lett. B* **708**, 320 (2012).
 - [14] S. Hod, *Phys. Lett. B* **736**, 398 (2014).
 - [15] A. N. Aliev, *JCAP* **1411**, no. 11, 029 (2014).
 - [16] S. Hod, *Phys. Lett. B* **758**, 181 (2016).
 - [17] J. C. Degollado, C. A. R. Herdeiro and E. Radu, *Phys. Lett. B* **781**, 651 (2018).
 - [18] J. H. Huang, W. X. Chen, Z. Y. Huang and Z. F. Mai, *Phys. Lett. B* **798**, 135026 (2019).
 - [19] S. Ponglertsakul and B. Gwak, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, no.11, 1023 (2020).
 - [20] J. M. Lin, M. J. Luo, Z. H. Zheng, L. Yin and J. H. Huang, *Phys. Lett. B* **819**, 136392 (2021).
 - [21] J. H. Xu, Z. H. Zheng, M. J. Luo and J. H. Huang, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, no.5, 402 (2021).

- [22] W. E. East and F. Pretorius, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, no. 4, 041101 (2017).
- [23] W. E. East, Phys. Rev. D **96**, no. 2, 024004 (2017).
- [24] S. Hod, Phys. Lett. B **713**, 505 (2012).
- [25] J. H. Huang and Z. F. Mai, Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, no. 6, 314 (2016).
- [26] S. Hod, Phys. Rev. D **91**, no. 4, 044047 (2015).
- [27] L. Di Menza and J.-P. Nicolas, Class. Quant. Grav. **32**, no. 14, 145013 (2015).
- [28] A. Chowdhury and N. Banerjee, Gen. Rel. Grav. **51**, no.8, 99 (2019).
- [29] Z. F. Mai, R. Q. Yang and H. Lu, Phys. Rev. D **105**, no.2, 024070 (2022).
- [30] M. G. Richarte, É. L. Martins and J. C. Fabris, Phys. Rev. D **105**, no.6, 064043 (2022).
- [31] R. Li, J. K. Zhao and Y. M. Zhang, Commun. Theor. Phys. **63**, no. 5, 569 (2015).
- [32] N. Sanchis-Gual, J. C. Degollado, P. J. Montero, J. A. Font and C. Herdeiro, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, no. 14, 141101 (2016).
- [33] O. Fierro, N. Grandi and J. Oliva, Class. Quant. Grav. **35**, no. 10, 105007 (2018).
- [34] R. Li and J. Zhao, Phys. Lett. B **740**, 317 (2015).
- [35] R. Li, Y. Tian, H. b. Zhang and J. Zhao, Phys. Lett. B **750**, 520 (2015).
- [36] R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, Rev. Mod. Phys. **83**, 793-836 (2011).
- [37] R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, Nucl. Phys. B **777**, 182-202 (2007).
- [38] R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 161101 (2009).
- [39] R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, Phys. Rev. D **89**, no.2, 024011 (2014).
- [40] R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 104017 (2008).
- [41] A. Ishibashi and H. Kodama, Prog. Theor. Phys. **110**, 901-919 (2003).
- [42] H. Kodama and A. Ishibashi, Prog. Theor. Phys. **111**, 29-73 (2004).
- [43] H. Kodama, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **172**, 11-20 (2008).
- [44] A. Ishibashi and H. Kodama, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **189**, 165-209 (2011).
- [45] H. Ishihara, M. Kimura, R. A. Konoplya, K. Murata, J. Soda and A. Zhidenko, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 084019 (2008).
- [46] J. H. Huang, R. D. Zhao and Y. F. Zou, Phys. Lett. B **823**, 136724 (2021).
- [47] J. H. Huang, T. T. Cao and M. Z. Zhang, Eur. Phys. J. C **81**, no.10, 904 (2021).
- [48] J. H. Huang, Eur. Phys. J. C **82**, 467 (2022).
- [49] R. C. Myers and M. J. Perry, Annals Phys. **172**, 304 (1986).
- [50] K. Destounis, Phys. Rev. D **100**, no.4, 044054 (2019).
- [51] A. Chodos and E. Myers, Annals Phys. **156**, 412 (1984).
- [52] A. Higuchi, J. Math. Phys. **28**, 1553 (1987), [erratum: J. Math. Phys. **43**, 6385 (2002)].
- [53] M. A. Rubin and C. R. Ordonez, J. Math. Phys. **25**, 2888 (1984).
- [54] J. Ben Achour, E. Huguet, J. Queva and J. Renaud, J. Math. Phys. **57**, no.2, 023504 (2016).
- [55] L. Lindblom, N. W. Taylor and F. Zhang, Gen. Rel. Grav. **49**, no.11, 139 (2017).