

Is there a radio excess from the decoupling of pre-recombination bremsstrahlung?

Josef Pradler^{1,2,*}

¹*Institute of High Energy Physics, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Georg-Coch-Platz 2, 1010 Vienna, Austria*
²*CERN, Theoretical Physics Department, 1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland*

Recently it has been suggested that thermal bremsstrahlung emission, when it decouples prior to recombination, creates an excess over the Planck cosmic microwave background spectrum at sub-GHz frequencies. Remarkable by itself, this would also explain a long-standing unexplained deficit in the predictions of the extragalactic radio background. In this brief note we reiterate that no such non-thermal component can arise by itself when matter and radiation remain kinetically coupled.

I. INTRODUCTION

Can a substantial spectral distortion in the cosmic microwave background (CMB) radiation develop from the decoupling of a thermal process that helped maintain the blackbody form in the first place? It was recently suggested that this may indeed happen by the free-free emission process, Bremsstrahlung, in the pre-recombination early Universe at redshifts of a few thousand [1]. An excess of photons for comoving frequencies of 10 MHz to 1 GHz in the Rayleigh-Jeans tail of the CMB is found, surpassing the Planckian occupation number. This would not only amount to a remarkable addition to our understanding of CMB formation, but may also explain a to-date unexplained tension in the extragalactic radio background. When expectations based on faint source counts are confronted with observations, a deficit in the predictions is found [2, 3]. For example, at a frequency of $\nu = 200$ MHz the differential Planckian photon number density is $0.01 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ GHz}^{-1}$. Filling the gap and explaining the radio data requires an excess $\Delta n/n_{\text{Planck}}|_{200 \text{ MHz}} = 100\%$, where it is surmised that the “non-Planckian” build-up of photons from the free-free process happens from $z = 2150$ until recombination. Finally, an extra abundance of photons in the purported frequency range would also amplify [4, 5] the predictions for the much sought-after cosmological 21 cm signal [6], especially given the recently claimed observations [7].

Evidently, the claim of a *guaranteed* non-thermal $O(1)$ distortion of the CMB warrants scrutiny. It is the purpose of this short note to recall standard arguments from kinetic theory and to clarify that no such build-up from thermal initial conditions is possible.

II. BOOTSTRAP SPECTRAL DISTORTION?

We now follow through a chain of standard arguments that shows that a *large* spectral distortion cannot be seeded by thermal pre-recombination bremsstrahlung alone. For this purpose we ignore any effects that may originate from the mismatch of photon and electron tem-

peratures. Compton scattering ensures their equivalence, $T_e = T$, to high precision until and during recombination.

Denote the isotropic and homogeneous energy-differential number density of photons by $dn/d\omega$. The associated occupation number is denoted by $f(\omega)$ with $dn/d\omega = (\omega/\pi)^2 f(\omega)$. If a change in n is due to the emission and absorption of single quanta in process A , we may write,

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{dn}{d\omega} \right|_A = \frac{d\Gamma}{d\omega dV} (1 + f) - \frac{dn}{d\omega} \Gamma_{\text{abs}}. \quad (1)$$

Here, $d\Gamma/d\omega dV$ is the spontaneous emission rate per volume and energy, corrected for stimulated emission by the factor $(1 + f)$. The second term on the right hand side accounts for absorption with total rate $\Gamma_{\text{abs}}(\omega)$. In thermal equilibrium, Eq. (1) must vanish identically by the principle of detailed balancing. Hence, plugging in the equilibrium distribution $f_T = [\exp(\omega/T) - 1]^{-1}$ yields the relation between forward and inverse process,

$$\Gamma_{\text{abs}} = \frac{\pi^2}{\omega^2} e^{\omega/T} \frac{d\Gamma}{d\omega dV}. \quad (2)$$

In an expanding FRW Universe, the Boltzmann equation for the evolution of the photon occupation number $f(\omega)$ under the influence of A is given by

$$\left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} - H\omega \frac{\partial f}{\partial \omega} \right|_A = \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right|_A. \quad (3)$$

Introducing the dimensionless and comoving variable $x = \omega/T$ scales out the expansion term on the left-hand-side when we are to consider the evolution of $f(x)$. A photon number changing process such as double Compton scattering or bremsstrahlung, together with Compton scattering, will bring the photon spectrum to a blackbody form when the rates are faster than the Hubble rate; for an explicit demonstration, see Figs. 1 and 2 of [8].

We are interested in a *deviation* from the Planck spectrum that may develop as the Universe cools down. To this end, we write

$$f(x) = f_T(x) + \Delta f(x) \quad (4)$$

where $\Delta f > 0$ would mean an excess of photons compared to a blackbody. By construction, terms with f_T

* josef.pradler@oeaw.ac.at

drop out of (3), and one is left with an equation that describes the departure from the blackbody spectrum,

$$\frac{\partial \Delta f}{\partial t} = \frac{\pi^2}{x^2 T^3} \frac{d\Gamma}{dx dV} \Delta f (1 - e^x). \quad (5)$$

We may now focus on the pre-recombination era at redshift $z \lesssim 10^4$ where it is claimed that a large deviation from bremsstrahlung decoupling may be imprinted onto the CMB. This epoch is commonly referred to y -distortion era where Compton and double Compton scattering have become inefficient in changing photon number and momenta, respectively [9]. The remaining channel for photon emission is then non-relativistic Bremsstrahlung. Here, the dominant contribution is dipole emission in the collision of electrons with protons, $ep \rightarrow ep\gamma$ with an $O(1)$ correction from helium [10–12]; the quadrupole process from electron scattering, $ee \rightarrow ee\gamma$, is suppressed [13, 14]. The emission rate in a Maxwellian plasma where matter is in equilibrium with radiation with common temperature T is given by

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dx dV} = \frac{16}{3} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{3}} \frac{\alpha^3 n_e n_p}{m_e^{3/2} T^{1/2} x} \langle g_{\text{ff}} \rangle. \quad (6)$$

Here, α is the fine-structure constant, m_e is the electron mass, $n_e \approx n_p$ are the (approximate) electron and proton number densities and $\langle g_{\text{ff}} \rangle$ is the thermally averaged Gaunt factor; see [15] for an expression for g_{ff} and definition of $\langle g_{\text{ff}} \rangle$ that covers all non-relativistic kinematic regimes.¹ We note that the emission process is not in the Born regime and results exact to all orders in the Coulomb interaction of the colliding particle pair must be used [16]; for quadrupole emission cf. [13, 14]. To see this, one evaluates the Sommerfeld parameter for a typical relative initial velocity $\eta = Z^2 \alpha / v \simeq Z^2 \alpha \sqrt{m_e / T} = 6(24)$ to 10(40) for redshifts $z = 3000$ to 1000 for protons (fully ionized helium).

The solution of (5) is readily obtained and given by

$$\Delta f(x, z = 0) = \Delta f(x, z_{\text{high}}) e^{-\tau_{\text{ff}}(z_{\text{high}})} \quad (7)$$

where z_{high} is some pre-recombination redshift and τ_{ff} is the optical depth due to bremsstrahlung,

$$\tau_{\text{ff}}(z) = \int_0^z dz \frac{1}{(1+z)H} \frac{\pi^2}{x^2 T^3} \frac{d\Gamma}{dx dV} (1 - e^x) \quad (8)$$

which is a strictly positive quantity. For example, a contribution at $\nu = 200$ MHz (1 GHz) requires emission with $x = 0.02$ ($x = 0.1$). Indeed, the associated optical depth is small $\tau_{\text{ff}}|_{z=2000} = 0.003$ (8×10^{-5}) and the Universe is already transparent for frequencies $\nu \gtrsim 10$ MHz.

However, as is evident from (7), a thermal plasma in equilibrium with matter cannot, by itself, develop a deviation from the Planck spectrum. Free-free emission in a medium where photons and electrons share the same temperature will only reduce any preexisting spectral distortion. Indeed, only if some non-thermal deviation in form of $\Delta f(x, z_{\text{high}}) \neq 0$ at initial redshift z_{high} was already present—not produced by thermal bremsstrahlung—may such distortion survive until today. Prerequisite for it is that $\tau_{\text{ff}} \ll 1$.

The statements made here are not new, but appear in various and explicit forms in a broad and long history of studies on thermalization and spectral distortions of the CMB, see, *e.g.*, [8, 9, 17, 18] and references therein. The spectral distortions that are predicted from the standard recombination cosmic history, *e.g.*, induced by the late-time mismatch of electron and photon temperature, are, compared to the claim made in [1], minute.

Acknowledgements The author thanks C. Boehm, X. Chu and L. Semmelrock for useful discussions.

-
- [1] S. Balaji, M. E. Ramirez-Quezada, and C. Boehm, (2022), arXiv:2204.13711v1 [astro-ph.CO].
- [2] R. J. Protheroe and P. L. Biermann, *Astropart. Phys.* **6**, 45 (1996), [Erratum: *Astropart. Phys.* **7**, 181 (1997)], arXiv:astro-ph/9605119.
- [3] M. Gervasi, A. Tartari, M. Zannoni, G. Boella, and G. Sironi, *Astrophys. J.* **682**, 223 (2008), arXiv:0803.4138 [astro-ph].
- [4] S. Fraser *et al.*, *Phys. Lett. B* **785**, 159 (2018), arXiv:1803.03245 [hep-ph].
- [5] M. Pospelov, J. Pradler, J. T. Ruderman, and A. Urbano, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 031103 (2018), arXiv:1803.07048 [hep-ph].
- [6] J. R. Pritchard and A. Loeb, *Rept. Prog. Phys.* **75**, 086901 (2012), arXiv:1109.6012 [astro-ph.CO].
- [7] J. D. Bowman, A. E. E. Rogers, R. A. Monsalve, T. J. Mozdzen, and N. Mahesh, *Nature* **555**, 67 (2018), arXiv:1810.05912 [astro-ph.CO].
- [8] A. P. Lightman, *ApJ* **244**, 392 (1981).
- [9] J. Chluba and R. A. Sunyaev, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **419**, 1294 (2012), arXiv:1109.6552 [astro-ph.CO].
- [10] W. J. Karzas and R. Latter, *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series* **6**, 167 (1961).
- [11] P. van Hoof, R. Williams, K. Volk, M. Chatzikos, G. Ferland, M. Lykins, R. Porter, and Y. Wang, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **444**, 420 (2014), arXiv:1407.5048 [astro-ph.HE].
- [12] J. Chluba, A. Ravenni, and B. Bolliet, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **492**, 177 (2020),

¹ The thermally averaged Gaunt factor $\langle g_{\text{ff}} \rangle(x)$ is often written in the form $e^{-x} g_{\text{ff}}(x)$ where the exponential is then part of the thermally averaged Kramers emissivity.

- arXiv:1911.08861 [astro-ph.CO].
- [13] J. Pradler and L. Semmelrock, *Astrophys. J.* **909**, 134 (2021), arXiv:2007.06592 [hep-ph].
- [14] J. Pradler and L. Semmelrock, *Astrophys. J.* **916**, 105 (2021), arXiv:2103.03248 [astro-ph.CO].
- [15] J. Pradler and L. Semmelrock, *Astrophys. J.* **922**, 57 (2021), arXiv:2105.13362 [hep-ph].
- [16] A. Sommerfeld and A. Maue, *Ann. Phys.* **415**, 589 (1935).
- [17] Y. B. Zeldovich and R. A. Sunyaev, *Ap&SS* **4**, 301 (1969).
- [18] J. Chluba, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **454**, 4182 (2015), arXiv:1506.06582 [astro-ph.CO].