

Quasinormal modes of Kerr-like black bounce spacetime

Yi Yang,^{1,*} Dong Liu,^{1,†} Ali Övgün,^{2,‡} Zheng-Wen Long,^{1,§} and Zhaoyi Xu^{1,¶}

¹*College of Physics, Guizhou University, Guiyang, 550025, China*

²*Physics Department, Eastern Mediterranean University,
Famagusta, 99628 North Cyprus via Mersin 10, Turkey.*

The quasinormal modes of the Kerr-like black bounce spacetime under the scalar field perturbations are studied. We derive the effective potential of scalar perturbation in Kerr-like black bounce spacetime, and study the influence of Kerr-like black bounce spacetime parameters on quasinormal modes by using WKB method and Pöschl-Teller potential approximation. We find that Kerr-like black bounce spacetime has the analogous double-peaked potential as Schwarzschild-like black bounce spacetime, and mass of the scalar particle has a non-negligible effect on quasinormal modes of Kerr-like black bounce spacetime.

PACS numbers: 95.30.Sf, 04.70.-s, 97.60.Lf, 04.50.+h

Keywords: Modified gravity; Rotating black hole; Kerr Black hole; Quasinormal modes; LIGO/Virgo; LISA.

I. INTRODUCTION

Since the first direct gravitational-wave (GW) detection by the Laser Interferometer Gravitational-Wave Observatory (LIGO) Scientific and Virgo Collaboration [1], and then first direct observation of a black hole and its shadow by the Event Horizon Telescope (EHT) [2, 3], we have a unique way to test strong gravity which has made possible new probing of modified gravity theories in the strong-field regime. The importance of emerging field of GW astronomy and physics provide opportunities these tests to the highly relativistic regime that characterizes merging BH binaries and also merging binary neutron stars [4–10]. Post-Newtonian (PN) formalism which is a perturbative approximation to General Relativity (GR) in weak-field, slow-motion situations breaks down (except in the early-inspiral phase). Signal of binary merger is occurred by three stages: the inspiral, merger, and ringdown. The last stage is in the form of a ringdown (a superposition of quasinormal modes (QNMs) vibrations of the merged remnant black holes, known as damped oscillations with characteristic resonant frequencies and damping times, which can provide important insight into the process and dynamics of astrophysical black holes [11–25].

Alternative theories of gravity are extension of the form of Einstein’s GR through various methods, has output of different field equations, hence different black hole solutions and cosmological models. Nowadays, alternative theories of gravity and their applications are a playground of physicist, provide a basis for the now-known understanding of physical phenomena of the universe [26–38]. The spectrum of known stationary black hole solutions in modified gravity theories has dramatically in-

creased, sometimes in unexpected ways. The well-known stationary black hole solution is Kerr black hole solution according to black hole uniqueness theorems, it is the unique solution describing all rotating black holes in vacuum. Ongoing experiments like LIGO/VIRGO collaboration can give some possible constraints of deviations from the Kerr metric so that thrill of possibilities of this have accelerated an expressive proportion of studies in recent years to derive new methods for calculating QNMs, as well as focus largely on QNMs calculation of Kerr-like black holes beyond GR, which produced garden variety of Kerr black holes [39–63]. Hence, in principle, no-hair theorem and GR can be tested using the QNMs.

In 2019, Simpson and M. Visser [64], cleverly suggested a new way to merge a standard Schwarzschild black hole ($a = 0$ and $m \neq 0$) with the wormhole spacetime ($a \geq 2m$) by simply introducing a parameter, known as black-bounce spacetime. Recently, new version of the Simpson-Visser spacetime have been obtained in [65]. Since then various physical analyses are done on the black-bounce spacetime. For instance, Churilova and Stuchlik have studied the QNMs using the WKB method with Padé expansion and the time-domain integration [66]. Moreover, the influence of the black-bounce solution on the gravitational wave echoes signal in brane worlds has been studied by Bronnikov and Konoplya [24]. Then Bronnikov et al. have studied the general parametrization for spacetimes of spherically symmetric wormholes in an arbitrary metric theory of gravity, and have calculated its quasinormal modes [67]. Nascimento et al. have calculated the angular deflection of light, in the weak and strong field limit, in the black-bounce spacetime [68]. Moreover, Tsukamoto have also investigated strong gravitational lensing in the Simpson-Visser spacetime but in all the nonnegative parameters of a and m [69]. Övgün has studied the weak deflection angle of black-bounce spacetime in dark matter medium using Gauss-Bonnet theorem [70]. Lima et al. have investigated absorption of massless scalar waves in the black-bounce spacetime [71]. Lobo et al. have constructed the spherically symmetric thin-shell traversable wormholes

* gs.yangyi17@gzu.edu.cn

† gs.dongliu19@gzu.edu.cn

‡ ali.ovgun@emu.edu.tr

§ zwlong@gzu.edu.cn (Corresponding author)

¶ zyxu@gzu.edu.cn (Corresponding author)

using black-bounce spacetimes [72]. Mazza et al. have studied a special case of rotating black bounce space-time [73], and Franzin et al. studied the quasinormal modes of this space-time [74]. Afterwards, Franzin et al. have constructed charged black-bounce spacetimes [75]. Xu and Tang have constructed Kerr-like black-bounce space-time by using Newman-Janis algorithm [76]. Guerrero et al. have analysed the light rings and shadows of black-bounce spacetime illuminated by a thin accretion disk [77]. Ou et al. have studied the time evolution of the field perturbations in the wormhole and black bounce backgrounds [78]. Tsukamoto have studied retrolensing by two photon spheres in a novel black-bounce spacetime [79].

One of the key motivations behind this paper is to study the characteristic “sound” of Kerr-like black-bounce space-time under scalar field perturbation, i.e. the QNMs, whose real part represents the actual oscillation frequency after the perturbation, and the imaginary part represents the damp rate. This paper is organized as follows. In the next section we brief review of Kerr-like black-bounce space-time. Furthermore, we calculated the determinant of metric tensor and contravariant form of metric tensor. In Section III, the effective potential under scalar perturbations of the Kerr-like black bounce space-time is derived. In Section IV, we introduce the Pöschl-Teller potential approximation and semi-analytic WKB method for calculating quasinormal modes of the Kerr-like black bounce space-time, and we analyzed the influence of Kerr-like black bounce space-time parameter on the quasinormal modes. Section V is a summary of the full text and a brief discussion of future directions. The geometrized units ($G = c = 1$) is adopted in our work.

II. KERR-LIKE BLACK-BOUNCE SPACE-TIME

Regular spacetime metric is an interesting topic in current GR and black hole physics. It is a variant of

Schwarzschild metric. In our research, we focus on black-bounce space-time which is a regular space-time that connects Schwarzschild black hole and wormhole. The Schwarzschild-like black-bounce space-time is generally read as [65]

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + \frac{1}{g(r)}dr^2 + H(r)(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2), \quad (1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f(r) &= g(r) = 1 - \frac{2\mathcal{Q}(r)}{\sqrt{H(r)}}, \\ \mathcal{Q}(r) &= \frac{M\sqrt{H(r)}r^k}{(r^{2n} + p^{2n})^{\frac{k+1}{2n}}}, \\ H(r) &= r^2 + p^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where the non-negative parameter p can determine whether space-time is a black hole or a wormhole, M is the mass of compact object, n and k are natural number, that is, their values are 0, 1, 2, 3 \dots . When $n = 1$ and $k = 0$, the black-bounce space-time degenerates to Simpson-Visser model [64]. Churilova et al. studied the quasinormal modes of this space-time, and found the echoes in the late stage [66]. In addition, we have studied another special case of Schwarzschild-like black-bounce space-time, and we also found the echoes in the late stage of quasinormal modes [80]. On the other hand, we obtained Kerr-like black-bounce space-time by using Newman-Janis algorithm [76]

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= - \left(1 - \frac{2M(r^2 + p^2)r^k}{(r^{2n} + p^{2n})^{\frac{k+1}{2n}}\Sigma} \right) dt^2 + \frac{\Sigma}{\Delta} dr^2 - \frac{4a \sin^2\theta M(r^2 + p^2)r^k}{\Sigma(r^{2n} + p^{2n})^{\frac{k+1}{2n}}} dt d\varphi + \Sigma d\theta^2 \\ &+ \frac{\sin^2\theta}{\Sigma} \left((r^2 + p^2 + a^2)^2 - a^2 \Delta \sin^2\theta \right) d\varphi^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where

$$\Delta = r^2 + a^2 + p^2 - \frac{2Mr^k(r^2 + p^2)}{(r^{2n} + p^{2n})^{\frac{k+1}{2n}}}, \quad \Sigma = r^2 + p^2 + a^2 \cos^2\theta. \quad (4)$$

For $n = 1, k = 0, a = 0$, the rotating black bounce spacetime degenerates to Schwarzschild-like black bounce space-time [64]. If the parameters $n = 1, k = 0, p = 0$, we

can get Kerr black hole metric. In Ref. [73], Mazza et al. studied a special case of rotating black bounce space-time ($n = 1, k = 0$), and they further studied the quasinormal

modes of this space-time [74].

In this work, we study the quasinormal modes of rotat-

ing black bounce spacetime for $n = 2, k = 0$. Therefore, the rotating black bounce space-time metric can be written as

$$ds^2 = - \left(1 - \frac{2M(r^2 + p^2)}{(r^4 + p^4)^{1/4}\Sigma} \right) dt^2 - \frac{4Ma(r^2 + p^2) \sin^2 \theta}{(r^4 + p^4)^{1/4}\Sigma} dt d\varphi + \frac{\Sigma}{\Delta} dr^2 + \Sigma d\theta^2 + \frac{A \sin^2 \theta}{\Sigma} d\varphi^2, \quad (5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= r^2 + a^2 + p^2 - \frac{2M(r^2 + p^2)}{(r^4 + p^4)^{1/4}}, \\ \Sigma &= r^2 + p^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta, \\ A &= [r^2 + a^2 + p^2]^2 - \Delta a^2 \sin^2 \theta. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

When the black hole spin $a = 0$, the rotating black bounce spacetime can degenerate to the black bounce spacetime studied in Ref. [80]. Moreover, this rotating black bounce space-time contains rotating black hole space-time and rotating wormhole space-time.

According to Kerr-like black bounce space-time metric (5), we can write its metric tensor

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} - \left(1 - \frac{2M(r^2 + p^2)}{(r^4 + p^4)^{1/4}\Sigma} \right) & 0 & 0 & - \frac{2Ma(r^2 + p^2) \sin^2 \theta}{(r^4 + p^4)^{1/4}\Sigma} \\ 0 & \frac{\Sigma}{\Delta} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Sigma & 0 \\ - \frac{2Ma(r^2 + p^2) \sin^2 \theta}{(r^4 + p^4)^{1/4}\Sigma} & 0 & 0 & \frac{A \sin^2 \theta}{\Sigma} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

from which we can obtain the determinant of metric ten-

sor

$$g \equiv \det(g_{\mu\nu}) = -\Sigma^2 \sin^2 \theta. \quad (8)$$

Therefore, the contravariant form of metric tensor $g^{\mu\nu}$ can be written as

$$g^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{A}{\Sigma\Delta} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{2Ma(r^2 + p^2)}{(r^4 + p^4)^{1/4}\Sigma\Delta} \\ 0 & \frac{\Delta}{\Sigma} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\Sigma} & 0 \\ -\frac{2Ma(r^2 + p^2)}{(r^4 + p^4)^{1/4}\Sigma\Delta} & 0 & 0 & \frac{\Delta - a^2 \sin^2 \theta}{\Sigma\Delta \sin^2 \theta} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

III. SCALAR PERTURBATION OF THE KERR-LIKE BLACK-BOUNCE SPACE-TIME

In this section, we will study the scalar perturbation of Kerr-like black-bounce space-time, and derive the effective potential of scalar particles in Kerr-like black-bounce space-time. To achieve this goal, we study Klein-Gordon equation. In curved spacetime, the Klein-Gordon equation can be written as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_\mu (\sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \Psi) = \mu^2 \Psi, \quad (10)$$

with μ being the mass of the scalar particle. By bringing determinant of metric tensor (8) and the contravariant form of metric tensor $g^{\mu\nu}$ (9) into Klein-Gordon equation (10), we can write Eq. (10) as

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{A}{\Sigma\Delta} \partial_t^2 \Psi - \frac{2Ma(r^2 + p^2)}{(r^4 + p^4)^{1/4}\Sigma\Delta} \partial_t \partial_\phi \Psi + \frac{1}{\Sigma \sin \theta} \partial_\theta (\sin \theta \partial_\theta) \Psi + \\ \frac{1}{\Sigma} \partial_r (\Delta \partial_r) - \frac{2Ma(r^2 + p^2)}{(r^4 + p^4)^{1/4}\Sigma\Delta} \partial_\phi \partial_t \Psi + \frac{\Delta - a^2 \sin^2 \theta}{\Delta \Sigma \sin^2 \theta} \partial_\phi^2 \Psi = \mu^2 \Psi. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

To carry out separation of variables, we can adopt the following ansatz

$$\Psi(r, t) = R_{lm}(r) S(\theta) e^{im\phi} e^{-i\omega t}, \quad (12)$$

with m being the azimuthal quantum number, and ω

being the energy of the particles. Substituting it into Eq. (11), we can get the following second order partial differential radial equation

$$\frac{d}{dr} \left(\Delta \frac{dR_{lm}(r)}{dr} \right) + \left[\frac{\omega^2 (r^2 + a^2 + p^2)^2 + m^2 a^2}{\Delta} - (\omega^2 a^2 + \mu^2 (r^2 + p^2)) - \frac{4Mam\omega(r^2 + p^2)}{(r^4 + p^4)^{1/4} \Delta} \right] R_{lm}(r) = 0, \quad (13)$$

and the angular equation can be read as

$$\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{d}{d\theta} \left(\sin \theta \frac{dS_{lm}}{d\theta} \right) + \left[a^2 (\omega^2 - \mu^2) \cos^2 \theta - \frac{m^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right] S_{lm}(\theta) = 0. \quad (14)$$

The radial equation and the angular equation usually have the same eigenvalue Λ_{lm} , but the sign is opposite. These two equations can be solved by the separation con-

stant method, so we consider the separation constant as eigenvalue Λ_{lm} . Then the second order partial differential radial equation becomes

$$\Delta \frac{d}{dr} \left(\Delta \frac{dR_{lm}(r)}{dr} \right) + \left\{ m^2 a^2 - \frac{4Mam\omega(r^2 + p^2)}{(r^4 + p^4)^{1/4}} + \omega^2 (r^2 + a^2 + p^2)^2 - [\mu^2 (r^2 + p^2) + \omega^2 a^2 + \Lambda_{lm}] \Delta \right\} R_{lm}(r) = 0. \quad (15)$$

The radial solution is usually related to the free oscillation mode of the propagating field, which has a specific frequency and its imaginary part is negative. In order to further derive the effective potential, we use the following transformation

$$R_{lm}(r) = \frac{\psi(r)}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2 + p^2}}, \quad (16)$$

and tortoise coordinate

$$dr_* = \frac{r^2 + a^2 + p^2}{\Delta} dr. \quad (17)$$

Therefore, we obtain the following second-order partial differential equation about tortoise coordinates

$$\frac{d^2 \psi}{dr_*^2} + (\omega^2 - V_{eff}) \psi = 0, \quad (18)$$

where V_{eff} is the effective potential, which can be written as

$$V_{eff} = \frac{\Delta}{(a^2 + r^2 + p^2)^2} \left[\frac{r\Delta' + \Delta}{a^2 + r^2 + p^2} - \frac{3r^2 \Delta}{(a^2 + r^2 + p^2)^2} - \frac{m^2 a^2}{\Delta} + \frac{4Mam\omega(r^2 + p^2)}{(r^4 + p^4)^{1/4} \Delta} + \mu^2 (r^2 + p^2) + \omega^2 a^2 + \Lambda_{lm} \right], \quad (19)$$

where Δ' represents the derivative $\frac{d\Delta}{dr}$. In Figs.1-3, we give the behavior of effective potential for different parameters. In Fig.1, the effective potential V_{eff} under the Kerr-like black bounce space-time scalar perturbation for different a is given. We can see that there are two peaks in effective potential, which is similar to effective potential of Schwarzschild-like black bounce space-time [80]. In Fig.2, we present the effective potential V_{eff} under the Kerr-like black bounce space-time scalar perturbation for different Λ_{lm} . It shown that effective potential is very sensitive to Λ_{lm} . Moreover, just focusing on the shape of effective potential, one can find that it is completely different from some other rotating black holes caused by modified gravity [81]. In Fig.3, the effective potential V_{eff} under the Kerr-like black bounce space-time scalar

perturbation for different p is presented.

IV. QNM OF THE KERR-LIKE BLACK BOUNCE SPACE-TIME

In this section, we briefly introduce Pöschl-Teller potential approximation and semi-analytic WKB method for calculating quasinormal modes of the Kerr-like black bounce space-time. We first introduce the relatively simple Pöschl-Teller potential approximation, which was suggested by B. Mashhoon [82, 83]. This method is mainly realized by approximating the effective potential,




FIG. 1. Effective potential V_{eff} under the Kerr-like black bounce space-time scalar perturbation for different a with $p = 1, m = 1, M = 1, \mu = 0.5, \Lambda_{lm} = 2$.




FIG. 2. Effective potential V_{eff} under the Kerr-like black bounce space-time scalar perturbation for different Λ_{lm} with $a = 0.3, p = 1, m = 1, M = 1, \mu = 0.5$.




FIG. 3. Effective potential V_{eff} under the Kerr-like black bounce space-time scalar perturbation for different p with $a = 0.3, m = 1, M = 1, \mu = 0.5, \Lambda_{lm} = 2$.




FIG. 4. Frequency spectrum of scalar perturbation of rotating black bounce space-time for different a with $m = 1, M = 1, p = 1, \Lambda_{lm} = 2$. The top panel is the oscillation frequency $\text{Re}\omega/\mu$, and bottom panel is the damping rate $\text{Im}\omega/\mu$, as the function of mass μ .

i.e. Pöschl-Teller potential, and its usual form is

$$V_{PT}(r_*) = \frac{V_0}{\cosh^2\left(\frac{r_*}{b}\right)}, \quad (20)$$

where

$$b = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\frac{1}{2V_0} \frac{d^2V(r_{0*})}{dr_*^2}}}, \quad (21)$$

r_{0*} represents the position of the maximum value $V(r_*)$. We use V_0 to denote the maximum value of the effective potential. The basic computational idea is that map Eq. (18) to a Schrödinger-like wave equation, so that the solution of equation (18) satisfying the boundary condition

$$\begin{aligned} R(r_*) &\propto e^{i\omega r_*}, \text{ for } r_* \rightarrow \infty, \\ R(r_*) &\propto e^{-i\omega r_*}, \text{ for } r_* \rightarrow -\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Then, Eq. (18) can be mapped to the bound state of the new equation. One can analytically compute the bound states of the Schrödinger equation, so after obtaining them, we can obtain the QNM by considering the inverse mapping. To achieve this, the following transformations need to be considered

$$\begin{aligned} r_* &\rightarrow -ir_*, \\ (V_0, b) &\rightarrow (V_0, -ib). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$




FIG. 5. Frequency spectrum of scalar perturbation of rotating black bounce space-time for different Λ_{lm} with $a = 0.1, m = 1, M = 1, p = 0.5$. The top panel is the oscillation frequency $\text{Re}\omega/\mu$, and bottom panel is the damping rate $\text{Im}\omega/\mu$, as the function of mass μ .

To remove the complexity of the expression, we let $p = (V_0, b)$ and $p' = (V_0, -ib)$, therefore we have

$$V(-ir_*, p') = V(r_*, p). \quad (24)$$

Moreover, by the definition

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(r_*, p) &= \psi(-ir_*, p'), \\ \Omega(p) &= \omega(p'), \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

ϕ satisfies the equation

$$\frac{d^2\phi}{dr_*^2} + (-\Omega^2 + V)\phi = 0, \quad (26)$$

where

$$\Omega_n(V_0, b) = \frac{1}{b} \left[-\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + V_0 b^2} + \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \right]. \quad (27)$$

Therefore, we can get the QNM

$$\omega_n(V_0, b) = \Omega_n(V_0, ib) = \frac{1}{b} \left[\pm \sqrt{V_0 b^2 - \frac{1}{4}} - \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) i \right] \quad (28)$$




FIG. 6. Frequency spectrum of scalar perturbation of rotating black bounce space-time for different p with $a = 0.1, m = 1, M = 1, \Lambda_{lm} = 2$. The top panel is the oscillation frequency $\text{Re}\omega/\mu$, and bottom panel is the damping rate $\text{Im}\omega/\mu$, as the function of mass μ .

with the n being the integer $n \geq 0$.

On the other hand, in order to verify the accuracy of the results of the Pöschl-Teller potential approximation, we also use the WKB method [44, 84]. In the third order WKB method, we can obtain the QNM frequencies from the following equation

$$\omega^2 = \left[V_0 + \sqrt{-2V_0''} \Lambda(n) - i \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \sqrt{-2V_0''} (1 + \Omega(n)) \right], \quad (29)$$

where

$$\Lambda(n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-2V_0}} \left[\frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{V_0^{(4)}}{V_0'} \right) \left(\frac{1}{4} + \alpha^2 \right) - \frac{1}{288} \left(\frac{V_0'}{V_0} \right)^2 (7 + 60\alpha^2) \right], \quad (30)$$

with

$$\Omega(n) = \frac{1}{-2V_0'} \left[\frac{5}{6912} \left(\frac{V_0'}{V_0} \right)^4 (77 + 188\alpha^2) - \frac{1}{384} \left(\frac{V_0^2 V_0^{(4)}}{V_0^3} \right) (51 + 100\alpha^2) + \frac{1}{2304} \left(\frac{V_0^{(4)}}{V_0'} \right)^2 (67 + 68\alpha^2) + \frac{1}{288} \left(\frac{V_0' V_0^{(5)}}{V_0^2} \right) (19 + 28\alpha^2) - \frac{1}{288} \left(\frac{V_0^{(6)}}{V_0'} \right) (5 + 4\alpha^2) \right], \quad (31)$$

where prime represents the derivation respect to r_* , and $\alpha = n + \frac{1}{2}$. $V_0^{(n)}$ denotes the n -order derivative of the effective potential. Moreover, sixth order WKB method is given by

$$\frac{i(\omega^2 - V_0)}{\sqrt{-2V_0''}} - \sum_{i=2}^6 \Lambda_i = n + \frac{1}{2}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (32)$$

where the WKB corrections term Λ_i is given in Ref. [85], and V_0 denotes the maximum of effective potential. In TABLE I, we compare the QNM frequencies calculated by the above two methods, and one can find that the results of the Pöschl-Teller potential approximation are in good agreement with the results of the semi-analytic WKB method. Furthermore, the results show that with the increase of the spin parameter a , the actual oscillation frequencies and damping rate of the quasinormal modes in Kerr-like black bounce space-time will decrease, that is, they are inversely proportional to the spin parameter a . This demonstrates that when the Kerr-like black bounce space-time rotates faster, its decay rate is slower after perturbations. In Fig. 4, we show the actual oscillation frequencies and the damping rate of scalar perturbation of rotating black bounce space-time for different spin a with $m = 1, M = 1, p = 1, \Lambda_{lm} = 2$. In Fig. 5, the frequency spectrum of scalar perturbation of rotating black bounce space-time for different Λ_{lm} with $a = 0.1, m = 1, M = 1, p = 0.5$ are presented. In Fig. 6, we give the frequency spectrum of scalar perturbation of rotating black bounce space-time for different p with $a = 0.1, m = 1, M = 1, \Lambda_{lm} = 2$.

V. SUMMARY

In this work, we investigate the quasinormal modes of Kerr-like black bounce spacetime using the Pöschl-Teller potential approximation and semi-analytic WKB method

to reach our main goal which is to check the parameters μ and p that quantifies deviations from Kerr black hole. To do so, first we derive the effective potential for Kerr-like black bounce spacetime using scalar perturbation and plot the effective potential to visualize it. As far as the shape of the effective potential is concerned, it is completely different from the effective potential of some Kerr-like black hole spacetimes in modified gravity theories [86] and other rotating black holes in a dark matter halo [87]. In addition, we summarize the QNM frequencies calculated using the Pöschl-Teller potential approximation and WKB method in TABLE I. At the same time, we plot the real and imaginary parts of the QNM frequencies in Fig.4-6. Our results demonstrate that for a fixed μ , as spin a increases, the true oscillation frequency decreases while the decay rate increases under the mass scalar field perturbations in Kerr-like black bounce spacetime. Increasing the parameter p , which determines whether spacetime is a black hole or a wormhole, makes the QNM frequency behave the same. In future studies, we expect to detect echoes in this rotating black bounce spacetime, as it exhibits a double-peaked effective potential like the Schwarzschild-like black bounce spacetime [66, 80]. Laser Interferometer Space Antenna (LISA), which is planned to be a space-based detector, could be detect low-frequency gravitational waves and may find some hints about the deviations from Kerr black hole and modified gravity theories [10].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are very grateful for Prof. A. Zhidenko useful correspondence. This research was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.11465006 and 11565009) and the Natural Science Special Research Foundation of Guizhou University (Grant No.X2020068).

[1] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, Virgo), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 061102 (2016), arXiv:1602.03837 [gr-qc].

[2] K. Akiyama *et al.* (Event Horizon Telescope), *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **875**, L1 (2019), arXiv:1906.11238 [astro-ph.GA].

TABLE I. QNM frequencies of scalar field perturbation for $l = 1, m = 0, p = 1, \mu = 0$.

a	Pöschl-Teller	3th order WKB	6th order WKB
0.0	0.27997 - 0.096956i	0.271768 - 0.0937156i	0.274464 - 0.093496i
0.1	0.279558 - 0.0967096i	0.271429 - 0.0935124i	0.274117 - 0.0932881i
0.2	0.278329 - 0.0959766i	0.270418 - 0.0929065i	0.273079 - 0.0926693i
0.3	0.276305 - 0.0947754	0.268743 - 0.0919089i	0.271359 - 0.0916531i
0.4	0.273517 - 0.0931353i	0.266422 - 0.0905372i	0.268974 - 0.0902618i
0.5	0.270009 - 0.0910954i	0.263478 - 0.0888155i	0.265947 - 0.0885243i
0.6	0.265836 - 0.0887018i	0.25994 - 0.0867728i	0.262307 - 0.0864758i
0.7	0.261057 - 0.0860059i	0.255842 - 0.0844428i	0.258091 - 0.0841548i
0.8	0.255737 - 0.0830621i	0.251223 - 0.0818623i	0.253341 - 0.0816021i
0.9	0.249943 - 0.0799257i	0.246125 - 0.0790703i	0.248105 - 0.078859i

- [3] K. Akiyama *et al.*, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **930**, L12 (2022).
- [4] L. Barack *et al.*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **36**, 143001 (2019), [arXiv:1806.05195 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [5] M. Martinelli and S. Casas, *Universe* **7**, 506 (2021), [arXiv:2112.10675 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [6] C.-Y. Chen, M. Bouhmadi-López, and P. Chen, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **136**, 253 (2021), [arXiv:2103.01249 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [7] E. Berti *et al.*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **32**, 243001 (2015), [arXiv:1501.07274 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [8] I. Çimdiker, D. Demir, and A. Övgün, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **34**, 100900 (2021), [arXiv:2110.11904 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [9] V. Cardoso, E. Franzin, and P. Pani, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 171101 (2016), [Erratum: *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 117, 089902 (2016)], [arXiv:1602.07309 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [10] E. Barausse *et al.*, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **52**, 81 (2020), [arXiv:2001.09793 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [11] W.-L. Qian, K. Lin, X.-M. Kuang, B. Wang, and R.-H. Yue, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82**, 188 (2022), [arXiv:2109.02844 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [12] X.-M. Kuang and J.-P. Wu, *Phys. Lett. B* **770**, 117 (2017), [arXiv:1702.01490 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [13] S. Fernando, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **48**, 24 (2016), [arXiv:1601.06407 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [14] S. Fernando and J. Correa, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 064039 (2012), [arXiv:1208.5442 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [15] S. Fernando, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **36**, 71 (2004), [arXiv:hep-th/0306214](#).
- [16] S. Fernando, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 124026 (2009), [arXiv:0903.0088 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [17] S. Fernando, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 124005 (2008), [arXiv:0802.3321 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [18] S. Fernando and T. Clark, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **46**, 1834 (2014), [arXiv:1411.6537 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [19] V. Cardoso, S. Hopper, C. F. B. Macedo, C. Palenzuela, and P. Pani, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 084031 (2016), [arXiv:1608.08637 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [20] V. Cardoso and P. Pani, *Nature Astron.* **1**, 586 (2017), [arXiv:1709.01525 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [21] E. Maggio, A. Testa, S. Bhagwat, and P. Pani, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 064056 (2019), [arXiv:1907.03091 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [22] R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, (2022), [arXiv:2203.16635 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [23] R. A. Konoplya, Z. Stuchlík, and A. Zhidenko, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 024007 (2019), [arXiv:1810.01295 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [24] K. A. Bronnikov and R. A. Konoplya, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 064004 (2020), [arXiv:1912.05315 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [25] M. S. Churilova, R. A. Konoplya, Z. Stuchlík, and A. Zhidenko, *JCAP* **10**, 010 (2021), [arXiv:2107.05977 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [26] A. Simpson and M. Visser, (2021), [arXiv:2112.04647 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [27] A. Simpson and M. Visser, *JCAP* **03**, 011 (2022), [arXiv:2111.12329 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [28] S. Shankaranarayanan and J. P. Johnson, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **54**, 44 (2022), [arXiv:2204.06533 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [29] S. D. Odintsov, V. K. Oikonomou, and R. Myrzakulov, *Symmetry* **14**, 729 (2022), [arXiv:2204.00876 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [30] T. Baker *et al.*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **93**, 015003 (2021), [arXiv:1908.03430 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [31] P. G. Ferreira, *Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **57**, 335 (2019), [arXiv:1902.10503 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [32] R. C. Pantig and A. Övgün, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82**, 391 (2022), [arXiv:2201.03365 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [33] R. C. Pantig, P. K. Yu, E. T. Rodulfo, and A. Övgün, *Annals of Physics* **436**, 168722 (2022).
- [34] D. Kubiznak and R. B. Mann, *JHEP* **07**, 033 (2012), [arXiv:1205.0559 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [35] S. Gunasekaran, R. B. Mann, and D. Kubiznak, *JHEP* **11**, 110 (2012), [arXiv:1208.6251 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [36] R. Kerner and R. B. Mann, *Phys. Lett. B* **665**, 277 (2008), [arXiv:0803.2246 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [37] E. T. Akhmedov, V. Akhmedova, and D. Singleton, *Phys. Lett. B* **642**, 124 (2006), [arXiv:hep-th/0608098](#).
- [38] D. Singleton and S. Wilburn, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 081102 (2011), [arXiv:1102.5564 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [39] R. G. Daghigh and M. D. Green, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **26**, 125017 (2009), [arXiv:0808.1596 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [40] R. G. Daghigh and M. D. Green, *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 127501 (2012), [arXiv:1112.5397 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [41] R. G. Daghigh, M. D. Green, J. C. Morey, and G. Kunstatter, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 104040 (2020), [arXiv:2009.02367 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [42] A. Zhidenko, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **21**, 273 (2004), [arXiv:gr-qc/0307012](#).
- [43] A. Zhidenko, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **23**, 3155 (2006), [arXiv:gr-qc/0510039](#).
- [44] R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **83**, 793 (2011), [arXiv:1102.4014 \[gr-qc\]](#).

- [45] M. Chabab, H. El Moumni, S. Iraoui, and K. Masmarr, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **362**, 192 (2017), arXiv:1701.00872 [hep-th].
- [46] S. Lepe and J. Saavedra, *Phys. Lett. B* **617**, 174 (2005), arXiv:gr-qc/0410074.
- [47] P. A. González, E. Papantonopoulos, J. Saavedra, and Y. Vásquez, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 064046 (2017), arXiv:1702.00439 [gr-qc].
- [48] M. Okyay and A. Övgün, *JCAP* **01**, 009 (2022), arXiv:2108.07766 [gr-qc].
- [49] P. A. González, A. Rincón, J. Saavedra, and Y. Vásquez, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 084047 (2021), arXiv:2107.08611 [gr-qc].
- [50] G. Panotopoulos and A. Rincón, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **31**, 100743 (2021), arXiv:2011.02860 [gr-qc].
- [51] G. Panotopoulos and A. Rincón, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **135**, 33 (2020), arXiv:1910.08538 [gr-qc].
- [52] G. Panotopoulos and A. Rincón, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **134**, 300 (2019), arXiv:1904.10847 [gr-qc].
- [53] A. Rincón and G. Panotopoulos, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 024027 (2018), arXiv:1801.03248 [hep-th].
- [54] A. Övgün and K. Jusufi, *Annals Phys.* **395**, 138 (2018), arXiv:1801.02555 [gr-qc].
- [55] A. Övgün, I. Sakalli, and J. Saavedra, *Chin. Phys. C* **42**, 105102 (2018), arXiv:1708.08331 [physics.gen-ph].
- [56] E. Berti, V. Cardoso, and A. O. Starinets, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **26**, 163001 (2009), arXiv:0905.2975 [gr-qc].
- [57] V. Cardoso, A. S. Miranda, E. Berti, H. Witek, and V. T. Zanchin, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 064016 (2009), arXiv:0812.1806 [hep-th].
- [58] N. Andersson and C. J. Howls, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **21**, 1623 (2004), arXiv:gr-qc/0307020.
- [59] N. Andersson, *Phys. Rev. D* **55**, 468 (1997), arXiv:gr-qc/9607064.
- [60] N. Andersson and H. Onozawa, *Phys. Rev. D* **54**, 7470 (1996), arXiv:gr-qc/9607054.
- [61] N. Andersson and S. Linnæus, *Phys. Rev. D* **46**, 4179 (1992).
- [62] N. Andersson, *Phys. Rev. D* **51**, 353 (1995).
- [63] B. Wang, E. Abdalla, and R. B. Mann, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 084006 (2002), arXiv:hep-th/0107243.
- [64] A. Simpson and M. Visser, *JCAP* **02**, 042 (2019), arXiv:1812.07114 [gr-qc].
- [65] F. S. N. Lobo, M. E. Rodrigues, M. V. d. S. Silva, A. Simpson, and M. Visser, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 084052 (2021), arXiv:2009.12057 [gr-qc].
- [66] M. S. Churilova and Z. Stuchlik, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **37**, 075014 (2020), arXiv:1911.11823 [gr-qc].
- [67] K. A. Bronnikov, R. A. Konoplya, and T. D. Pappas, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 124062 (2021), arXiv:2102.10679 [gr-qc].
- [68] J. R. Nascimento, A. Y. Petrov, P. J. Porfírio, and A. R. Soares, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 044021 (2020), arXiv:2005.13096 [gr-qc].
- [69] N. Tsukamoto, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 024033 (2021), arXiv:2011.03932 [gr-qc].
- [70] A. Övgün, *Turk. J. Phys.* **44**, 465 (2020), arXiv:2011.04423 [gr-qc].
- [71] H. C. D. Lima, C. L. Benone, and L. C. B. Crispino, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 124009 (2020), arXiv:2006.03967 [gr-qc].
- [72] F. S. N. Lobo, A. Simpson, and M. Visser, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 124035 (2020), arXiv:2003.09419 [gr-qc].
- [73] J. Mazza, E. Franzin, and S. Liberati, *JCAP* **04**, 082 (2021), arXiv:2102.01105 [gr-qc].
- [74] E. Franzin, S. Liberati, J. Mazza, R. Dey, and S. Chakraborty, (2022), arXiv:2201.01650 [gr-qc].
- [75] E. Franzin, S. Liberati, J. Mazza, A. Simpson, and M. Visser, *JCAP* **07**, 036 (2021), arXiv:2104.11376 [gr-qc].
- [76] Z. Xu and M. Tang, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, 863 (2021), arXiv:2109.13813 [gr-qc].
- [77] M. Guerrero, G. J. Olmo, D. Rubiera-Garcia, and D. S.-C. Gómez, *JCAP* **08**, 036 (2021), arXiv:2105.15073 [gr-qc].
- [78] M.-Y. Ou, M.-Y. Lai, and H. Huang, (2021), arXiv:2111.13890 [gr-qc].
- [79] N. Tsukamoto, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 084036 (2022), arXiv:2202.09641 [gr-qc].
- [80] Y. Yang, D. Liu, Z. Xu, Y. Xing, S. Wu, and Z.-W. Long, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 104021 (2021), arXiv:2107.06554 [gr-qc].
- [81] S. Kanzi and I. Sakalli, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, 501 (2021), arXiv:2102.06303 [hep-th].
- [82] H.-J. Blome and B. Mashhoon, *Physics Letters A* **100**, 231 (1984).
- [83] V. Ferrari and B. Mashhoon, *Phys. Rev. D* **30**, 295 (1984).
- [84] R. A. Konoplya, A. Zhidenko, and A. F. Zinhailo, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **36**, 155002 (2019), arXiv:1904.10333 [gr-qc].
- [85] S. Iyer and C. M. Will, *Phys. Rev. D* **35**, 3621 (1987).
- [86] M. Sharif and Q. Ama-Tul-Mughani, *PTEP* **2020**, 033E01 (2020).
- [87] D. Liu, Y. Yang, A. Övgün, Z.-W. Long, and Z. Xu, (2022), arXiv:2204.11563 [gr-qc].